


30 DEC 2009



39 am

No. 419 of 1891.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

From

COLONEL E. W. TREVOR,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONOURABLE  
GEORGE ROBERT CANNING,

BARON HARRIS, G.C.I.E.,

Governor and President in Council,

BOMBAY.

Commissioner's Office,

Camp Larkana, 4th February 1891.

My Lord,

I have the honor to submit my annual Report on the Revenue Administration of the Province of Sind for the year 1889-90, with the following Appendices :—

Appendix No. I.—Contrasted statement showing the Gross and Net Land Revenue, together with realisations, remissions, and outstanding balances.

Appendix No. II.—Supplement to Appendix No. I, statement showing details of Miscellaneous Land Revenue in cols. 21 and 47 (A) of Appendix No. I.

Appendix No. III.—Statement of causes of increase and decrease.

Appendix No. IV.—Statement of outstanding balances of Land Revenue.

Appendix No. V.—Statement of outstanding balances of Land Revenue for the previous years.

Appendix No. VI.—Statement showing the Takavi Advances and the objects for which they were made.

Appendix No. VII.—Comparative statement showing lands assessed and unassessed, occupied and unoccupied.

Appendix No. VIII.—Supplement to Appendix No. VII, giving explanation of decrease and increase in the area and assessment of culturable assessed land.

Appendix No. IX.—Statement showing the number and extent of holdings in surveyed Government rayatwari villages.

Appendix No. X.—Statement showing tenures other than rayatwari.

Appendix No. XI.—Statement showing the average incidence of Land Revenue and of assessment per head and per acre.

Appendix No. XII.—Statement showing the coercive processes adopted for the realisation of Land Revenue.

Appendix No. XIII.—Statement of realisations under tributes, interest, and miscellaneous.

Appendix No. XIV.—Contrasted statement of actual charges on account of collection establishment, &c.



3. An area of upwards of 300 square miles was submerged by floods from the breaches in the Mitha embankment in the Shikarpur District. An even larger area was also submerged in the Hyderabad and Karachi Districts by the flood which left the river at Badhka Takar in the Hyderabad Collectorate. There were also local floods of less importance. The rabi cultivation in the flooded land, however, compensated for the loss of the kharif crops.

5. The rainfall, though below the average, was heavier and more seasonable than in the previous year, and there was a large increase of barani cultivation. In the Desert Talukas of the Thar and Parkar District the conditions were adverse. For the fifth successive year the rainfall was insufficient, and to make matters worse, flights of locusts destroyed the green crops, and the grass which sprung up after the showers which fell in the beginning of the season. The loss of the crops and the want of pasturage, with the consequent high mortality amongst their cattle, put the Desert population to great straits.

6. The favourable inundation resulted in a large extension of cultivation and an extraordinary increase in the revenue in spite of the heavy remissions which it was found necessary to grant to landholders whose standing crops were destroyed by the floods referred to above.

## VILLAGES AND HAMLETS.

7. The number of Government and alienated villages and hamlets is shown in Appendix No. I. The subjoined table exhibits the number of Government and alienated villages in the year under report as contrasted with that in the preceding year :—

[illegible]

8. It will be seen that, as compared with the last year's figures, there is an increase of thirty under the head "Government Villages." The increase of three villages in the Upper Sind Frontier District is due to the transfer of certain areas from the Shikarpur District; and that of twenty-six villages in the Karachi District is attributed to the following causes :—

(1) The re-arrangement of villages owing to the introduction of the Irrigational Settlements into the Talukas of Kotri and Karachi.

(2) The transfer of certain areas from the Hyderabad District.

(3) The inclusion for the first time of fifteen villages under Forests in the Jamabandi papers of the current year.

(4) The increase of one village in the Thar and Parkar District is due to the subdivision of a deh in the Umarkot Taluka of that District into two dehs.

9. The following comparative table shows the Government and alienated hamlets as they are reported to have existed during the years 1889-90 and 1888-89 :—

No.	Districts.	1889-90.			1888-89.			Increase.			Decrease.		
		Government.	Alienated.	Total.	Government.	Alienated.	Total.	Government.	Alienated.	Total.	Government.	Alienated.	Total.
1	Upper Sind Frontier...	1,244	23	1,267	1,104	23	1,127	140	...	140	...	...	...
2	Shikarpur .....	5,143	189	5,332	5,042	218	5,260	101	...	101	...	29	29
3	Hyderabad .....	7,159	404	7,563	6,925	469	7,394	234	...	234	...	65	65
4	Karachi .....	3,271	42	3,313	3,283	38	3,321	...	4	4	12	...	12
5	Thar and Parkar .....	1,756	2	1,758	1,230	13	1,243	526	...	526	...	11	11
	Total.....	18,573	660	19,233	17,584	761	18,345	1,001	4	1,005	12	105	117
	Deduct.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	12	4	16	12	4	16
	Net increase .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	989	...	989	...	...	...
	Net decrease .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	101	101

10. There has been a net increase of 989 in the total number of Government hamlets, and a net decrease of 101 in the total number of alienated hamlets according to the details given in the foregoing table. The chief causes which led to the increase are as follows :—

(1) More precise enumeration under recent settlement operations in Talukas Thul and Sukkur of the Upper Sind Frontier and Shikarpur Districts respectively.

(2) Formation of new hamlets in several talukas of the Shikarpur and Upper Sind Frontier Districts.

(3) Rectification of errors found on the enumeration of hamlets preparatory to the ensuing census in the Thar and Parkar District.

(4) Correction of errors made in the previous year's reports in the Hyderabad District.

The decrease in alienated hamlets is mainly due to the elimination of errors from the last year's report of the Hyderabad, Shikarpur and Thar and Parkar Districts.



## IRRIGATIONAL WORKS.

11. The following table shows for the years 1888-89 and 1887-88 the total expenditure on irrigational works in the Province not debitable to Capital in the Canal accounts :—

No.	Collectorates and Canal Divisions.	1888-89.	1887-88.	Increase.	Decrease.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	U. S. Frontier { Begari Division .....	1,60,291	1,53,147	7,144	...
	{ Kashmir Band.....	60,169	1,02,705	...	42,536
2	Shikarpur ... { Shikarpur Canals Division ...	1,36,486	2,38,852	...	1,02,366
	{ Ghar Division .....	4,03,346	3,22,862	80,484	...
3	Hyderabad ... { Hyderabad Canals Division ..	3,38,804	2,39,301	99,503	...
	{ Fuleli Division.....	2,85,924	2,81,608	4,316	...
4	Karachi ... Karachi Canals Division.....	2,53,163	4,37,489	...	1,84,326
5	Thar and Parkar—Eastern Nara Division ...	1,28,785	89,876	38,909	...
	Total.....	17,66,968	18,65,840	2,30,356	3,29,228
	DEDUCT.....	...	...	...	2,30,356
	Net decrease.....	...	...	...	98,872



12. The details of expenditure entered in the foregoing table for each of the years 1888-89 and 1887-88 are shown in the following statements according to the figures furnished by the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, Bombay.

1888-89.

No.	Collectorate and Canals Division.	Direct charges.						Cost of revenue collections.	Indirect charges.			Grand Total.
		Works.	Maintenance and repairs.	Establishment.	Tools and Plant.	Refund of revenue.	Total.		Capitalization.	Leave & Pension allow-ances.	Total.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Upper Sind Frontier ... { Begari Division ... ..	...	75,251	31,880	253	...	1,07,384	48,444	...	4,463	4,463	1,60,291
	... { Kashmir Band ... ..	3,003	42,452	14,073	-22	...	59,506	663	...	...	...	60,169
2	Shikarpur ... .. { Shikarpur Canals Division ... ..	13,550	54,701	32,295	65	...	1,00,611	35,875	...	...	...	1,36,486
	... { Ghar Division ... ..	82,160	1,54,346	54,946	408	18	2,91,873	1,03,250	2,797	5,421	8,218	4,03,346
3	Hyderabad ... .. { Hyderabad Canals Division ... ..	10,799	2,19,791	42,276	631	...	2,73,557	63,365	611	1,271	1,882	3,38,804
	... { Fuleli Division ... ..	...	2,02,430	33,734	-244	...	2,35,920	45,143	515	4,346	4,861	2,85,924
4	Karachi ... .. Karachi Canals Division ... ..	23,046	1,24,569	43,653	1,669	...	1,97,942	55,221	...	...	...	2,53,163
5	Thar and Parkar... .. Eastern Nara Division... ..	...	46,891	37,034	6	...	83,931	32,669	...	5,185	5,185	1,28,785
	Total ...	1,32,558	9,20,431	2,94,896	2,826	18	13,50,729	3,91,630	3,923	20,686	24,609	17,66,968

1887-88.

No.	Collectorate and Canals Division.	Direct charges.						Cost of revenue collections.	Indirect charges.	
		Works.	Maintenance and repairs.	Establishment.	Tools and Plant.	Refund of revenue.	Total.		Leave and Pension allowances.	Grand Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Upper Sind Frontier ... { Begari Division ... ..	...	86,850	25,739	382	239	1,13,210	36,334	3,603	1,53,147
	... { Kashmir Band ... ..	46,013	88,717	17,179	100	...	1,02,009	696	...	1,02,705
2	Shikarpur... { Shikarpur Canals Division ... ..	41,111	1,21,463	43,746	—78	...	2,06,242	32,610	...	2,38,852
	... { Ghar Division ... ..	19,006	1,68,677	45,087	167	19	2,32,956	85,578	4,328	3,22,862
3	Hyderabad ... { Hyderabad Canals Division ... ..	35	1,38,969	39,083	2,074	17	1,80,178	58,110	1,013	2,39,301
	... { Fuleli Division ... ..	...	1,92,977	41,439	19	...	2,34,485	41,923	5,200	2,81,608
4	Karachi ... { Karachi Canals Division ... ..	61,255	2,59,039	61,822	774	3	3,82,893	54,596	...	4,37,489
	Thar and Parkar... { Eastern Nara Division ... ..	...	34,531	20,995	114	...	54,740	32,323	2,813	89,876
	Total ...	1,67,420	10,41,223	2,94,240	3,552	278	15,06,713	3,42,170	16,957	18,65,840

13. The following table exhibits under separate heads (1) Consolidated Land and Water Assessment, (2) Hakabo, and (3) Miscellaneous Revenue) particulars as to the Gross Revenue due to canals, the amount of remissions, and the net revenue for collection during the years 1889-90 and 1888-89, in contrast with the expenditure incurred in 1888-89 and 1887-88 respectively :—

1889-90.

No.	Canals Divisions.	Gross Canal Revenue.				Deduct Remissions.	Net Canal Revenue.	Expenditure in 1888-89.	Remarks.
		Consolidated Land and Water Assessment.	Hakabo.	Miscellaneous Canal Revenue.	Total.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1 Upper Sind Frontier	{ Begari Division ... { District ... Kashmor Band ... { Kelat Territory.	Rs. a. p. 5,63,922 0 8	Rs. a. p. 3,086 15 0	Rs. a. p. 821 0 0	Rs. a. p. 5,67,829 15 8	Rs. a. p. 26,930 1 0	Rs. a. p. 5,40,899 14 8	Rs. a. p. 1,60,291 0 0	This is a protective band and not a canal.
		11,060 6 0	79,983 2 0	...	11,060 6 0	1,511 0 0	78,472 2 0	60,169 0 0	
2 Shikarpur	{ Shikarpur Canals Division ... Ghar Division ...	3,95,779 11 0	5,502 6 0	4,036 0 0	4,05,318 1 0	44 15 0	4,05,273 2 0	1,36,456 0 0	
		15,46,592 8 0	11,271 9 0	13,464 0 0	15,71,298 1 0	1,02,906 14 0	14,68,391 3 0	4,03,346 0 0	
3 Hyderabad	{ Hyderabad Canals Division ... Fuleli Division ...	8,19,133 11 1	16,311 8 0	3,993 0 0	8,39,433 3 1	8,816 8 0	8,30,621 11 1	3,38,804 0 0	
		7,15,960 7 5	25,262 7 0	4,299 0 0	7,45,521 14 5	30,918 8 0	7,14,603 6 5	2,85,924 0 0	
4 Karachi	Karachi Canals Division	7,30,743 11 3	8,311 9 0	12,847 0 0	7,51,902 4 3	58,830 4 0	6,93,072 0 3	2,53,163 0 0	
5 Thar and Parkar	Eastern Nara Division	5,43,535 12 0	2,389 9 0	15,708 0 0	5,61,633 5 0	4,996 7 0	5,56,636 14 0	1,28,785 0 0	
Total		53,26,698 3 5	1,52,119 1 0	55,163 0 0	55,33,983 4 5	2,34,954 9 0	52,99,030 11 5	17,66,968 0 0	

1888-89.

No.	Canals Divisions.	1888-89.				Deduct Remissions.	Net Canal Revenue.	1887-88.	Remarks.
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.			Rs. a. p.	
1 Upper Sind Frontier	{ Begari Division ... { District ... Kashmor Band ... { Kelat Territory.	5,86,117 2 8	3,061 3 0	957 0 0	5,90,135 5 8	16,116 5 0	5,74,019 0 8	1,53,147 0 0	This is a protective band and not a canal.
		7,369 12 0	76,395 4 5	...	76,395 4 5	...	7,369 12 0	1,02,705 0 0	
2 Shikarpur	{ Shikarpur Canals Division ... Ghar Division ...	3,94,768 15 3	1,420 13 0	2,164 0 0	3,98,353 12 3	173 11 0	3,98,180 1 3	2,38,852 0 0	
		13,54,785 10 0	5,849 2 0	10,901 0 0	13,70,635 12 0	9,535 13 0	13,61,099 15 0	3,22,862 0 0	
3 Hyderabad	{ Hyderabad Canals Division ... Fuleli Division ...	7,42,375 12 8	15,509 1 0	3,987 0 0	7,61,871 13 8	3,809 2 0	7,58,062 11 8	2,39,301 0 0	
		6,08,443 7 5	24,541 8 0	4,809 0 0	6,37,793 15 5	976 5 0	6,36,817 10 5	2,81,608 0 0	
4 Karachi	Karachi Canals Division	6,30,484 5 9	7,148 12 0	11,862 0 0	6,49,495 1 9	31,561 5 0	6,17,933 12 9	4,37,489 0 0	
5 Thar and Parkar	Eastern Nara Division	4,33,384 13 0	1,830 14 0	15,824 0 0	4,51,039 11 0	843 14 0	4,50,195 13 0	89,876 0 0	
Total		47,57,729 14 9	1,35,756 9 5	49,604 0 0	49,43,060 8 2	63,016 7 0	48,80,074 1 2	18,65,840 0 0	

14. The following statement shows the Gross Revenue Demand under each of the heads of the Canal Revenue in the year 1889-90, including outstanding balances of previous years, as also remissions and realisations, and the sums written off up to the 31st July 1890, together with the balances outstanding on the 1st August 1890.

No.	Heads of Canal Revenue.	Gross Revenue.	Deduct Remissions.	Amount for collection in 1889-90.			Realisations and sums written off up to 31st July 1890.				Balance outstanding on the 1st August 1890.		
				Net revenue for current year.	Balance on account of former years on 1st August 1889.	Total.	Collections on account of current year.	Collections on account of former years.	Irrecoverable balances written off on account of former years.	Total.	On account of current year.	On account of former years.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1	Consolidated land and water assessment...	53,26,608 3 5	2,33,443 9 0	50,93,234 10 5	47,244 5 6	51,40,498 15 11	50,27,893 14 4	34,139 13 6	5,950 12 7	50,67,984 8 5	65,360 12 1	7,153 11 5	72,514 7 6
2	Hakabo ...	1,52,119 1 0	1,511 0 0	1,50,608 1 0	7,675 11 0	1,58,283 12 0	1,45,817 5 10	7,598 9 0	77 2 0	1,53,493 0 10	4,790 11 2	...	4,790 11 2
3	Miscellaneous ...	55,168 0 0	...	55,168 0 0	...	55,168 0 0	55,168 0 0	...	...	55,168 0 0	...	...	...
	Total for 1889-90...	55,33,985 4 5	2,34,954 9 0	52,99,030 11 5	*54,920 0 6	53,53,950 11 11	52,23,879 4 2	41,733 6 6	6,027 14 7	52,76,645 9 3	70,151 7 3	7,153 11 5	77,305 2 8
	Total for 1888-89...	49,43,090 8 2	63,016 7 0	48,80,074 1 2	76,162 15 4	49,56,237 0 6	48,33,413 4 7	54,705 0 10	7,160 12 7	49,01,279 2 0	40,660 12 7	14,297 1 11	51,957 14 6
	Difference...	+5,90,894 12 3	+1,71,938 2 0	+4,18,956 10 3	-21,242 14 10	+3,97,713 11 5	+3,89,465 15 7	-12,968 10 4	-1,132 14 0	+3,75,366 7 3	+29,490 10 8	-7,143 6 6	+22,347 4 2

\* The difference of Rs. 37-14-0 in the figures of col. 6 as compared with those in col. 14 of the last year's statement is due to correction of errors made in the Hyderabad District.



15. The following statement shows details of all revenue for the year 1889-90 derived independently of canal irrigation, as also the amount of village cess and miscellaneous revenue.

No.	Districts.	From lands irrigated from the Indus.	From lands dependent on rainfall.	From lands irrigated from wells.	Village Cess.	Miscellaneous.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
1	Upper Sind Frontier ...	1,08,300 7 0	1,715 5 0	615 10 0	28,121 14 0	62,240 5 3	2,00,993 9 3
2	Shikarpur ...	8,46,689 1 0	43,426 1 0	9,276 3 0	1,79,156 4 0	1,31,991 6 11	12,09,938 15 11
3	Hyderabad ...	85,793 14 0	8,484 10 0	11,187 8 0	92,553 8 0	1,70,776 10 1	3,68,796 2 1
4	Karachi ...	1,38,068 12 0	99,907 11 0	10,126 6 0	53,524 15 0	1,49,882 10 9	4,53,410 6 9
5	Thar & Parkar...	...	1,01,747 1 0	124 15 0	32,268 14 0	34,128 1 0	1,68,268 15 0
	Total ...	11,78,252 2 0	2,55,180 12 0	31,330 10 0	3,87,625 7 0	5,49,019 2 0	24,01,408 1 0
	Deduct—remissions...	11,702 13 4	47,846 1 0	...	19,226 12 0	65,717 8 0	1,44,493 2 4
	Net revenue, 1889-90...	11,66,549 4 8	2,07,334 11 0	31,330 10 0	3,63,398 11 0	4,83,301 10 0	22,56,914 14 8
	Net revenue, 1888-89...	6,84,371 2 0	1,71,977 7 1	45,260 9 0	3,02,407 1 0	4,90,496 2 9	16,94,512 5 10
	Difference...	+4,82,178 2 8	+35,357 3 11	-13,929 15 0	+65,991 10 0	-7,194 8 9	+5,62,402 8 10

16. The collected result of the tables given in paras. 14 and 15 above is as follows :—

	Rs.	a.	p.
1. The land revenue from sources not influenced by canal irrigation amounted to ...	24,01,408	1	0
2. The gross revenue demand on account of canal irrigation was ...	55,33,985	4	5
3. The total land revenue demand from both sources was therefore ...	79,35,393	5	5

17. With reference to Government Resolution, No. 4549, dated 18th July 1887, para. 7, it should be noted that this amount exceeds by Rs. 1,36,741-1-9, the amount given as item No. IX in the table at para. 22 of this report. The excess is made up of items not belonging to the jamabandi accounts which have not been included in the table given in para. 22, though in order to show the entire revenue obtained by canal irrigation it has been included in the table given at para. 14. The above sum of Rs. 1,36,741-1-9, consists of items 3 to 5 shown in the details given in the following para.

18. The gross total above given is made up of the following heads :—

	Rs.	a.	p.
1. Land revenue, <i>vide</i> column 24 of Appendix No. I.	77,26,516	4	8
2. Irrigation revenue on account of hakabo recovered from jagirdars in Sind, <i>vide</i> column 23 of Appendix No. I ...	72,135	15	0
3. Irrigation revenue on account of hakabo due on land in Kelat territory leviable through the Political officers...	79,983	2	0
4. Irrigation revenue on account of miscellaneous items credited in accounts direct to the Irrigation Department ...	55,168	0	0
5. Irrigation revenue within forest limits included under the head "Forests" ...	1,589	15	9
Total Rs. ...	79,35,393	5	5

19. In the subjoined statement is shown the number of wells in existence in proper condition at the commencement of the year 1889-90, and the number sunk or restored during the course of the year, as also the number used for irrigation purposes, together with the area so irrigated and the amount of assessment charged thereon :—

No.	Districts.	No. of wells at the commencement of the year.	No. of wells sunk during the year.	Total No. of wells in existence in the year.	No. actually used during the year for cultivation.	Wells used to supplement canal or river irrigation.			Wells used as sole means of irrigation.			Total.			Remarks.
						No. of wells.	Area cultivated.	Assessment.	No. of wells.	Area cultivated.	Assessment.	No. of wells.	Area cultivated.	Assessment.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
							A. G.	Rs. A. P.		A. G.	Rs. A. P.		A. G.	Rs. A. P.	* The difference between the total No. of wells shown in column 3 of this return and that shown in column 5 of the statement for the past year, 1888-89, is 1,275, and is reported to be due to rectification of errors and more precise enumeration owing to the introduction of Village Form No. XV.
1	Upper Sind Frontier...	419	42	461	187	98	630 15	1,115 8 0	89	249 38	615 10 0	187	889 13	1,731 2 0	
2	Shikarpur .....	10,206	466	10,672	6,183	5,365	37,450 33	1,12,208 1 0	818	3,247 12	9,276 3 0	6,183	40,698 5	1,31,484 4 0	
3	Hyderabad .....	5,200	257	5,457	3,453	2,699	19,108 39	53,131 14 0	754	4,412 29	11,187 8 0	3,453	23,521 28	64,319 6 0	
4	Karachi .....	1,016	105	1,121	744	49	236 4	534 11 0	695	5,188 25	10,126 6 0	744	5,424 29	10,661 1 0	
5	Thar and Parkar .....	3,365	9	3,374	58	27	84 11	251 7 0	31	49 8	124 15 4	58	133 19	376 6 0	
	Total.....	* 20,206	879	21,085	10,625	8,238	57,510 22	1,67,241 9 0	2,387	13,147 32	31,330 10 0	10,625	70,658 14	1,98,572 3 0	
	Total in 1888-89 .....	16,238	2,693	18,931	10,958	7,736	50,029 36	1,44,770 9 0	3,222	19,582 25	45,262 7 0	10,958	69,612 21	1,90,033 0 0	
	Difference .....	+ 3,968	- 1,814	+ 2,154	- 333	+ 502	+ 7,480 26	+ 22,471 0 0	- 835	- 6,434 33	- 13,931 13 0	- 333	+ 1,045 33	+ 8,539 3 0	

20. The above statement will show that at the commencement of the year under report the total No. of wells was 20,206. This number was further augmented by 879 wells newly sunk during the year, making a total of 21,085 wells in existence during the year, or 2,154 more than the number shown in column 5 of the statement given under para. 18 of the last year's report. The total number of wells actually used for cultivation purposes, however, shows a decrease of 333 as compared with last year's figures. Owing to the favourable inundation and seasonable rainfall there was less need to have recourse to well inundation than in the previous year.

#### LAND REVENUE.

21. Appendix No. I is a contrasted statement exhibiting the gross and net Land Revenue of the several Districts in the Province for the revenue year 1889-90 and 1888-89, together with the amount of realisations, remissions and outstanding balances. The following table is an abstract of the Appendix.



No.	Districts.	Gross Assessment.		Deduct	
				Land not available for cultivation, i.e., land for special or public purposes, &c.	
		1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.
1	2	3	4	5	6
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1	Upper Sind Frontier...	16,87,593 6 11	14,51,124 0 3	1,39,533 3 0	...
	Increase .....	2,36,469 6 8	...	1,39,533 3 0	...
	Decrease .....	...	...	...	...
2	Shikarpur .....	1,62,35,854 2 0	52,30,389 1 0	1,08,36,426 8 0	13,716 2 0
	Increase .....	1,13,05,465 1 0	...	1,08,22,710 6 0	...
	Decrease .....	...	...	...	...
3	Hyderabad .....	1,17,71,794 15 0	52,76,644 3 0	34,74,398 0 0	17,249 5 0
	Increase .....	64,95,150 12 0	...	34,57,148 11 0	...
	Decrease .....	...	...	...	...
4	Karachi .....	1,16,33,559 2 0	20,51,317 5 0	95,34,726 11 0	14,687 4 0
	Increase .....	95,82,241 13 0	...	95,20,039 7 0	...
	Decrease .....	...	...	...	...
5	Thar and Parkar .....	6,52,130 5 0	5,50,036 13 0	...	...
	Increase .....	1,02,093 8 0	...	...	...
	Decrease .....	...	...	...	...
	Total Rupees...	4,19,80,931 14 11	1,45,59,511 6 3	2,39,85,084 6 0	45,652 11 0
	Increase .....	2,74,21,420 8 8	...	2,39,39,431 11 0	...
	Decrease .....	...	...	...	...
	Net ... { Increase ...	2,74,21,420 8 8	...	2,39,39,431 11 0	...
	Net ... { Decrease ...	...	...	...	...

assessment on account of			
Fallow area exempt from assessment.		Net alienations.	
1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.
7	8	9	10
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
6,33,682 9 0	6,23,460 11 0	83,037 6 3	82,977 13 7
10,221 14 0	...	59 8 8	...
...	...	...	...
10,97,707 10 0	15,23,782 15 0	8,34,701 6 0	8,32,711 2 11
...	...	1,990 3 1	...
4,26,075 5 0	...	...	...
17,18,456 2 0	19,16,413 13 0	10,91,148 11 0	11,02,961 8 0
...	...	...	...
1,97,957 11 0	...	11,812 13 0	...
5,79,362 15 0	7,83,314 2 0	2,96,571 1 0	2,95,437 0 0
...	...	1,134 1 0	...
2,03,951 8 0	...	...	...
...	...	3,550 8 0	3,671 2 0
...	...	...	...
...	...	120 10 0	...
40,29,209 4 0	48,46,971 9 0	23,09,009 0 3	23,17,758 10 6
10,221 14 0	...	3,183 12 9	...
8,27,934 8 0	...	11,933 7 0	...
...	...	...	...
8,17,762 5 0	...	8,749 10 3	...

Deduct assessment on account of

Unoccupied cultivable land.		Land free or specially reduced (including Huris and Kochas.)	
1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.
11	12	13	14
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
72,202 7 0	34,223 8 0	192 3 0	139 9 0
37,978 15 0	...	52 10 0	...
...	...	...	...
4,49,433 7 0	5,08,262 10 0	7,991 12 0	8,146 10 0
...	...	...	...
53,829 3 0	...	154 14 0	...
36,34,615 8 0	6,53,026 3 0	2,056 15 0	2,117 5 0
29,81,589 5 0	...	...	...
...	...	60 6 0	...
1,08,850 3 0	86,415 5 0	6,957 6 0	7,171 0 0
22,461 14 0	...	...	...
...	...	213 10 0	...
...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...
42,65,131 9 0	12,76,927 10 0	17,198 4 0	17,574 8 0
30,42,013 2 0	...	52 10 0	...
53,829 3 0	...	428 14 0	...
29,88,203 15 0	...	...	...
...	...	376 4 0	...



Remainder Government Land Revenue.			Add Miscellaneous Land Revenue.		
1889-90.			1888-89.		
15			16		
Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
7,58,945	10	8	7,10,322	6	8
48,623	4	0	...		
...			...		
30,09,593	7	0	23,48,769	9	1
6,60,823	13	0	...		
...			...		
18,51,119	11	0	15,84,876	1	0
2,66,243	10	0	...		
...			...		
11,07,060	14	0	8,64,292	10	0
2,42,768	4	0	...		
...			...		
6,48,579	13	0	5,46,365	11	0
1,02,214	2	0	...		
...			...		
73,75,299	7	8	60,54,626	5	9
13,20,673	1	11	...		
...			...		
13,20,673	1	11	...		
...			...		
			61,710	5	6

Total Government Revenue.		Deduct Hakabo credited to Irrigation Department.	
1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.
19	20	21	22
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
8,22,122 12 11	7,87,945 10 1	509 7 0	522 11 0
34,177 2 10	...	...	...
...	...	13 4 0	...
31,39,910 12 11	25,17,694 10 1	20,534 2 0	10,448 0 0
6,22,216 2 10	...	10,086 2 0	...
...	...	...	...
19,55,850 9 1	17,18,027 10 4	41,573 15 0	40,050 9 0
2,37,822 14 9	...	1,523 6 0	...
...	...	...	...
11,97,413 6 9	9,52,144 4 9	8,311 9 0	7,148 12 0
2,45,299 2 0	...	1,162 13 0	...
...	...	...	...
6,83,324 10 0	5,53,877 4 0	1,206 14 0	1,191 5 0
1,19,447 6 0	...	15 9 0	...
...	...	...	...
77,98,652 3 8	65,39,689 7 3	72,135 15 0	59,361 5 0
12,58,962 12 5	...	12,787 14 0	...
...	...	13 4 0	...
12,58,962 12 5	...	12,774 10 0	...
...	...	...	...

Deduct Remissions.		Net amount of Land Revenue for collection for current year including Village Cess.	
1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.
23	24	25	26
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
60,052 1 0	23,829 10 0	7,61,561 4 11	7,63,593 5 1
36,222 7 0	...	...	...
...	...	2,032 0 2	...
89,369 3 0	9,430 8 0	30,30,007 7 11	24,97,816 2 1
79,938 11 0	...	5,32,191 5 10	...
...	...	...	...
1,10,278 10 0	10,040 10 0	18,03,998 0 1	16,67,936 6 7
1,00,237 15 3	...	1,36,061 9 6	...
...	...	...	...
70,816 7 4	50,214 0 0	11,18,315 6 5	8,94,781 8 9
20,602 7 4	...	2,23,533 13 8	...
...	...	...	...
47,420 6 0	843 14 0	6,34,697 6 0	5,61,842 1 0
46,576 8 0	...	72,855 5 0	...
...	...	...	...
3,77,936 11 4	94,358 10 9	73,48,579 9 4	63,85,969 7 6
2,83,578 0 7	...	9,64,642 2 0	...
...	...	2,032 0 2	...
2,83,578 0 7	...	9,62,610 1 10	...
...	...	...	...



Collections on account of Land Revenue for current year.		Collections on account of	
		Rayati.	
1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.
27	28	29	30
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
6,95,205 13 10	7,19,586 11 2	23,516 12 0	23,931 15 0
...	...	...	...
24,380 13 4	...	415 3 0	...
27,68,889 14 4	23,49,267 6 1	1,68,333 6 0	1,33,094 15 0
4,19,622 8 3	...	35,238 7 0	...
...	...	...	...
17,17,484 9 5	15,88,706 9 7	86,301 10 0	78,088 12 0
1,28,777 15 10	...	8,212 14 0	...
...	...	...	...
9,83,623 3 2	8,18,965 1 2	47,072 5 0	38,525 11 0
1,64,658 2 0	...	8,546 10 0	...
...	...	...	...
5,49,571 11 0	5,33,868 6 0	31,416 4 0	25,220 3 0
15,703 5 0	...	6,196 1 0	...
...	...	...	...
67,14,775 3 9	60,10,394 2 0	3,56,640 5 0	2,98,861 8 0
7,28,761 15 1	...	58,194 0 0	...
24,380 13 4	...	415 3 0	...
7,04,381 1 9	...	57,778 13 0	...
...	...	...	...

village cess for current year.			
Inami.		Balances on account of current year on the 1st August.	
1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.
31	32	33	34
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
85 4 0	96 0 0	42,753 7 1	19,978 10 11
...	...	22,774 12 2	...
10 12 0	...	...	...
6 7 0	66 13 0	92,777 12 7	15,387 0 0
...	...	77,390 12 7	...
60 6 0	...	...	...
0 6 0	1 4 0	211 6 8	1,139 13 0
...	...	...	...
0 14 0	...	928 6 4	...
127 5 0	56 6 0	87,492 9 3	37,234 6 7
70 15 0	...	50,258 2 8	...
...	...	...	...
319 7 0	338 1 0	53,390 0 0	2,415 7 0
...	...	50,974 9 0	...
18 10 0	...	...	...
538 13 0	558 8 0	2,76,625 3 7	76,155 5 6
70 15 0	...	2,01,398 4 5	...
90 10 0	...	928 6 4	...
...	...	2,00,469 14 1	...
19 11 0	...	...	...

Collections of Land Revenue for former years.		Collections on account of village	
		Rayati.	
1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.
35	36	37	38
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
18,423 8 0	17,346 6 6	677 11 0	326 10 0
1,077 1 6	...	351 1 0	...
...	...	...	...
12,092 0 0	3,930 10 5	566 14 0	127 5 0
8,161 5 7	...	439 9 0	...
...	...	...	...
778 1 0	6,972 3 8	43 0 0	410 10 0
...	...	...	...
6,194 2 8	...	367 10 0	...
31,665 8 3	21,762 3 2	1,222 8 0	736 1 0
9,903 5 1	...	486 7 0	...
...	...	...	...
1,503 5 0	3,429 11 0	30 0 0	132 2 0
...	...	...	...
1,926 6 0	...	102 2 0	...
64,462 6 3	53,441 2 9	2,540 1 0	1,732 12 0
19,141 12 2	...	1,277 1 0	...
8,120 8 8	...	469 12 0	...
11,021 3 6	...	807 5 0	...
...	...	...	...

Imp 15987 Dated 30.12.09



cess for former years.		Balances on account of former years on 1st August.		Total balances on the 1st August.		REMARKS.
Inami.						
1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.	
39	40	41	42	43	44	45
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
...	...	10,545 9 11	21,163 0 0	53,299 1 0	41,141 10 11	
...	...	...	...	12,157 6 1	...	
...	...	10,617 6 1	...	...	...	
...	...	2,314 5 0	5,208 11 0	95,092 1 7	20,595 11 0	
...	...	...	...	74,496 6 7	...	
...	...	2,894 6 0	...	...	...	
...	...	150 8 0	...	361 14 8	1,139 13 0	
...	...	150 8 0	...	...	...	
...	...	...	...	777 14 4	...	
...	1 4 0	5,896 3 6	9,065 14 0	93,388 12 9	46,300 4 7	
...	...	...	...	47,088 8 2	...	
1 4 0	...	3,169 10 6	...	...	...	
...	...	1,132 2 0	270 0 0	54,522 2 0	2,685 7 0	
...	...	862 2 0	...	51,836 11 0	...	
...	...	...	...	...	...	
...	1 4 0	20,038 12 5	35,707 9 0	2,96,664 0 0	1,11,862 14 6	
...	...	1,012 10 0	...	1,85,578 15 10	...	
1 4 0	...	16,681 6 7	...	777 14 4	...	
...	...	...	...	1,84,801 1 6	...	
1 4 0	...	15,668 12 7	...	...	...	

22. The above abstract is briefly summarized in the following table :—

Heads.	1889-90.	1888-89.	Increase.	Decrease.
<i>Current year.</i>	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
I.—Gross Assessment .....	4,19,80,931 14 11	1,45,59,511 6 3	2,74,21,420 8 8	...
<i>Deductions.</i>				
II.—Assessment of land not available for cultivation ..	2,39,85,084 6 0	45,652 11 0	2,39,39,431 11 0	...
III.—Assessment of fallow area exempt from assessment .....	40,29,209 4 0	48,46,971 9 0	...	8,17,762 5 0
IV.—Net alienations.....	23,09,009 0 3	23,17,758 10 6	...	8,749 10 3
V.—Assessment of unoccupied cultivable land...	42,65,131 9 0	12,76,927 10 0	29,88,203 15 0	...
VI.—Assessment of land free or specially reduced..	17,198 4 0	17,574 8 0	...	376 4 0
<i>Net.</i>				
VII.—Government Land Revenue .....	73,75,299 7 8	60,54,626 5 9	13,20,673 1 11	...
<i>Add.</i>				
VIII.—Miscellaneous Land Revenue .....	4,23,352 12 0	4,85,063 1 6	...	61,710 5 6
IX.—Total Government Revenue .....	77,98,652 3 8	65,39,689 7 3	12,58,962 12 5	...
<i>Deductions.</i>				
X.—Hakabo credited to Irrigation Department...	72,135 15 0	59,361 5 0	12,774 10 0	...
XI.—Remissions .....	3,77,936 11 4	94,358 10 9	2,83,578 0 7	...
<i>Net.</i>				
XII.—Land Revenue and Village cess combined for collection ..	73,48,579 9 4	63,85,969 7 6	9,62,610 1 10	...
<i>Collections.</i>				
XIII.—Land Revenue .....	67,14,775 3 9	60,10,394 2 0	7,04,381 1 9	...
XIV.—Village Cess .....	3,57,179 2 0	2,99,420 0 0	57,759 2 0	...
XV.—Balances .....	2,76,625 3 7	76,155 5 6	2,00,469 14 1	...
<i>Former years' Outstandings.</i>				
<i>Collections.</i>				
XVI.—Land Revenue .....	64,462 6 3	53,441 2 9	11,021 3 6	...
XVII.—Village Cess.....	2,540 1 0	1,734 0 0	806 1 0	...
XVIII.—Balances .....	20,038 12 5	35,707 9 0	...	15,663 12 7
XIX.—Total Balances .....	2,96,664 0 0	1,11,862 14 6	1,84,801 1 6	...

23. Compared with the last year's figures, as shown in the foregoing abstract, there is apparently a very large increase under the head "Gross revenue." This is, however, for the most part nominal, as it is mainly due to the inclusion for the first time this year of assessment calculated at average rate of talukas (1) of land not available for cultivation, and (2) on unoccupied cultivable land, in cases in which the assessment was not fixed by the Settlement Department. The causes given below, which led to the increase under the heads (1) Alienations, (2) Land free or specially reduced, and (3) net Government land revenue, including miscellaneous items of revenue, are also in some degree applicable to the large increase in the gross revenue.

#### ALIENATIONS.

24. The following are the fluctuations under this head :—

No.	Districts.				Increase.			Decrease.		
					Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
1	Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	59	8	8	...		
2	Shikarpur	...	...	...	1,990	3	1	...		
3	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...			11,812	13	0
4	Karachi	...	...	...	1,134	1	0	...		
5	Thar and Parkar	...	...	...	...			120	10	0
Total ...					3,183	12	9	11,953	7	0
Net decrease ...					...			8,749	10	3

25. It will be observed from the foregoing table that there is an increase in the assessed value of alienations in the districts of Upper Sind Frontier, Shikarpur and Karachi, and a decrease in those of Hyderabad and Thar and Parkar. The increase in the Upper Sind Frontier District is accounted for by the fact that the resumed jagir of Kamand Khan was restored to him during the year (*vide* Government Resolution No. 3664 of 11th May 1889). The increase in the Shikarpur District is mainly due to the amount due to Patadars having increased owing to the increase in the Government revenue. The causes of increase in the Karachi District are (1) revision of the assessed value of alienated lands in talukas Kotri and Karachi in consequence of the introduction of irrigational settlements into those talukas, (2) correction of errors made in former years by Mukhtyarkars. The various principal causes assigned for the falling off of Rs. 11,812-13-0 in the Hyderabad District are as under :—

- (1). Surrender of Government share of land on which *charth* was hitherto levied.
- (2). Lapses, owing to the death of jagirdars and seridars.
- (3). Increase in hakabo, owing to extension of cultivation in jagir lands.
- (4). Decrease in jagir area in Taluka Sakrand, owing to erosion by the river.
- (5). Exclusion from the jamabandi accounts of shares of revenue to hissadars as per Government Resolution No. 4428, dated 14th July 1887.

The decrease in the Thar and Parkar District is chiefly due to the correction of errors in the survey registers and the lapse of a seri grant, owing to the death of the holder.



LAND FREE OR SPECIALLY REDUCED, INCLUDING HURIS AND KACHAS.

26. There is a decrease of Rs. 376-4-0 under this head, the figures being Rs. 17,198-4-0 as against Rs. 17,574-8-0 in the previous year. The details for each District are as under :—

No.	Districts.	1889-90.	1888-89.	Increase.	Decrease.
1	Upper Sind Frontier .....	192 3 0	139 9 0	52 10 0	...
2	Shikarpur .....	7,991 12 0	8,146 10 0	...	154 14 0
3	Hyderabad .....	2,056 15 0	2,117 5 0	...	60 6 0
4	Karachi .....	6,957 6 0	7,171 0 0	...	213 10 0
5	Thar and Parkar.....	...	...	...	...
	Total...	17,198 4 0	17,574 8 0	52 10 0	428 14 0
	Net Decrease .....	...	...	...	376 4 0

27. The increase of Rs. 52-10-0 in the Upper Sind Frontier District is due to certain new Huri Grants made in the Jacobabad, Kashmor and Shahdadpur Talukas of that District; and the decrease in the Districts of Shikarpur, Hyderabad and Karachi is explained as follows :—

1. Cancellation of Huri Grants in consequence of failure on the part of the grantees to comply with the terms of the grants in the Shikarpur and Hyderabad District.

2. Land having been cultivated with crops assessable at lower rates than last year in the Shikarpur District.

3. Lapse of lands held on a light assessment under special agreement in the Karachi and Hyderabad District.

4. Change in the method of computing assessment on Huri Grants in the Hyderabad District.

5. Village cess having been charged on Huri Grants for the first time in the Hyderabad District.

NET GOVERNMENT REVENUE.

28. The Government realisable revenue including miscellaneous revenue (*vide* column 22 of Appendix No. I) amounts to Rs. 77,98,652-3-8, against Rs. 65,39,689-7-3 in 1888-89, thus showing a net increase of Rs. 12,58,962-12-5. This increase is shared in by all the districts as shown below :—

Districts.	1889-90.	1888-89.	Net Increase.
Upper Sind Frontier .....	8,22,122 12 11	7,87,945 10 1	34,177 2 10
Shikarpur .....	31,39,910 12 11	25,17,694 10 1	6,22,216 2 10
Hyderabad.....	19,55,850 9 1	17,18,027 10 4	2,37,822 14 9
Karachi .....	11,97,443 6 9	9,52,144 4 9	2,45,299 2 0
Thar and Parkar .....	6,83,324 10 0	5,63,877 4 0	1,19,447 6 0
Total ...	77,98,652 3	65,39,689 7	12,58,962 12 5

29. The causes of increase and decrease have been given in detail in Appendix No. III. The principal causes are, however, repeated below :—

*Increase—*

						Rs.	A.	P.
(1).	By unoccupied assessed land taken up for cultivation—							
	Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	4,174	1	0
	Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	66,665	6	0
	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	90,238	10	0
	Karachi	...	...	...	...	6,299	0	0
	Thar and Parkar...	...	...	...	...	1,06,823	11	0
						2,74,200	12	0
(2).	By unassessed land brought into occupation and assessed—							
	Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	7,921	13	0
	Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	64,056	4	0
	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	1,27,454	0	0
	Karachi	...	...	...	...	24,209	15	0
						2,23,642	0	0
(3).	By lapse of alienated land—							
	Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	68	13	0
	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	1,061	8	0
	Karachi...	...	...	...	...	1,234	11	0
						2,365	0	0
(4).	By expiry of leases of land let on special terms—							
	Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	31	3	0
	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	0	11	0
	Karachi	...	...	...	...	273	7	0
						305	5	0
(5).	By operation of revenue survey—							
	Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	72	9	0
	Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	46,140	1	0
	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	1,488	2	0
	Karachi	...	...	...	...	9,760	10	0
						57,461	6	0
(6).	By lands lying fallow last year and cultivated or assessed under fallow rules during the year of report—							
	Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	4,11,868	0	0
	Karachi	...	...	...	...	1,66,340	11	0
						5,78,208	11	0
(7).	By increased cultivation in unsettled lands, &c.—							
	Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	19,159	9	4
	Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	49,371	0	11
	Karachi	...	...	...	...	30,354	7	0
						98,885	1	3
(8).	By variation in the assessment on settled lands consequent on changes in their irrigation, &c.—							
	Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	3,354	11	0
	Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	52,133	8	0
	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	4,299	14	0
	Karachi	...	...	...	...	3,052	14	0
						62,840	15	0
(9).	By increase in hakabo and other jagir dues owing to increased cultivation in jagir lands—							
	Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	5,047	11	0
	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	1,523	6	0
	Karachi	...	...	...	...	1,230	11	0
	Thar and Parkar...	...	...	...	...	15	9	0
						7,817	5	0
(10).	By extension of cultivation in lands already in occupation Hyderabad					1,02,684	11	0

(11). By extra assessment on twice cropped lands—						
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	...	2,359 3 0
Karachi	...	...	...	...	...	53 6 0
						<hr/> 2,412 9 0
(12). By recovery of rents—						
Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	...	299 13 0
Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	...	38 6 0
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	...	62 14 6
Karachi	...	...	...	...	...	160 13 0
						<hr/> 561 14 6
(13). By occasional items of fixed revenue—						
Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	...	142 9 0
Shikarpur...	...	...	...	...	...	1,761 1 0
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	...	502 9 9
						<hr/> 2,406 3 9
(14). By revenue from trees—						
Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	...	400 6 10
Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	...	2,609 3 11
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	...	901 9 10
						<hr/> 3,911 4 7
(15). By fine for appropriating land to purposes unconnected with agriculture—						
Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	...	272 11 0
Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	...	937 14 0
Karachi	...	...	...	...	...	416 11 0
Thar and Parkar...	...	...	...	...	...	15 0 0
						<hr/> 1,642 4 0
(16). By notice fees—						
Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	...	166 4 0
Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	...	860 12 0
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	...	34 0 0
Karachi	...	...	...	...	...	818 4 0
Thar and Parkar...	...	...	...	...	...	50 12 0
						<hr/> 1,930 0 0
(17). By penalty under Section 148, Land Revenue Code—						
Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	...	5 14 0
Shikarpur...	...	...	...	...	...	736 5 9
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	...	693 9 0
Karachi	...	...	...	...	...	29 6 0
						<hr/> 1,465 2 9
(18). By revenue from miscellaneous products—						
Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	...	34 8 0
Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	...	658 15 6
Karachi	...	...	...	...	...	904 3 10
						<hr/> 1,597 11 4
(19). By revenue from grass and grazing—						
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	...	1,414 1 1
Karachi	...	...	...	...	...	2,611 6 6
Thar and Parkar...	...	...	...	...	...	415 9 0
						<hr/> 4,441 0 7
(20). By revenue from sale of lands or occupancy rights—						
Karachi	...	...	...	...	...	1,343 15 0
Thar and Parkar...	...	...	...	...	...	116 8 0
						<hr/> 1,460 7 0
(21). By proceeds from unauthorised occupation or cultivation—						
Thar and Parkar...	...	...	...	...	...	17,568 8 0



*Decrease—*

## (1). By lands thrown up and remaining unoccupied—

Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	24	4	0
Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	328	11	0
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	40,802	2	0
Karachi	...	...	...	...	1,376	5	0
Thar and Parkar...	...	...	...	...	4,625	2	0
					47,156	8	0

## (2). By lands forfeited owing to default in payment of revenue—

Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	1,076	6	0
Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	121	7	0
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	4,832	10	0
Karachi	...	...	...	...	1,479	2	0
					7,509	9	0

## (3). By lands allowed to remain fallow—

Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	1,517	15	4
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	16,468	7	0
					17,986	6	4

## (4). By lands eroded by river—

Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	428	8	0
Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	787	9	0
Karachi	...	...	...	...	581	9	0
					1,792	10	0

## (5). By sale of lands or occupancy rights—

Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	1,763	4	0
Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	40,270	15	2
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	28,491	10	3
					70,525	13	5

## (6). By produce from unauthorised occupation or cultivation—

Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	11,511	10	0
Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	793	2	0
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	2,816	0	0
Karachi	...	...	...	...	435	0	7
					15,555	12	7

## (7). By other items appearing in Jamabandi Taleband—

Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	2,493	5	0
Shikarpur...	...	...	...	...	4,834	4	1
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	480	13	6
Thar and Parkar...	...	...	...	...	601	7	0
					8,409	13	7

## IRRIGATION REVENUE FROM HAKABO.

30. The revenue from hakabo which is an item of Public Works Revenue, collected by the revenue officers, was Rs. 72,135-15-0, against Rs. 59,361-5-0 in the previous year, showing a net increase of Rs. 12,774-10-0 as detailed below :—

*Increase—*

					Rs.	A.	P.
Shikarpur	...	...	...	...	10,086	2	0
Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	1,523	6	0
Karachi	...	...	...	...	1,162	13	0
Thar and Parkar	...	...	...	...	15	9	0
					12,787	14	0

*Decrease—*

Upper Sind Frontier	...	...	...	...	13	4	0
---------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	---	---

Net increase Rs...12,774 10 0

31. The principal cause for increase assigned by the district officers is the increased cultivation in jagir lands. The decrease in the Upper Sind Frontier District is very slight and calls for no comment.

## REMISSIONS.

32. Remissions amounting to Rs. 3,77,936-11-4 were granted during the year under report, against Rs. 94,358-10-9 in the preceding year, thus showing an increase of Rs. 2,83,578-0-7. The chief causes which necessitated remissions are given in the following table for the year of report and for 1888-89:—

Number.	Districts.	Want and insufficiency of water.			Land taken up for special and public purposes.			Poverty, death and desertion.			Injury to crops by locusts and other insects or animals.			Loss by flood.			Other causes.			Total remissions.		
		Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.	Rs.	A.	P.
1	Upper Sind Frontier..	16,761	11	0	...	...	...	405	7	0	581	3	0	614	0	0	41,689	12	0	60,052	1	0
2	Shikarpur .....	90	1	0	3	7	0	...	...	...	6,826	13	0	77,963	12	0	4,485	2	0	89,369	3	0
3	Hyderabad .....	2,707	11	0	...	...	...	609	8	0	2,203	12	0	35,617	12	0	69,139	15	0	1,10,278	10	0
4	Karachi .....	1,295	10	0	...	...	...	173	14	4	3,447	8	0	65,609	3	0	290	4	0	70,816	7	4
5	Thar and Parkar .....	614	8	0	...	...	...	...	...	...	42,662	9	0	4,143	5	0	...	...	...	47,420	6	0
	Total.....	21,469	9	0	3	7	0	1,188	13	4	55,721	13	0	1,83,948	0	0	1,15,605	1	0	3,77,936	11	4
	Total in 1888-89...	60,998	9	0	...	...	...	744	12	9	9,855	6	0	7,223	7	0	15,536	8	0	94,358	10	9
	Difference.....	—39,529	0	0	+3	7	0	+444	0	7	+45,866	7	0	+1,76,724	9	0	+1,00,068	9	0	+2,83,578	0	7

33. The chief causes of remissions shown in the foregoing statement are (1) want and insufficiency of water, (2) injury to crops by locusts and other insects, and (3) loss by flood. The remissions under head No. (1) have been most marked in the Upper Sind Frontier District, where the supply of water in the Begari and its branches, as well as in the Unarwah was, as explained in the opening paragraphs of this report, injuriously affected owing to river erosions at their mouths. Nearly 80 per cent. of the remissions under head (2) were granted in the Thar and Parkar District. As stated by the Deputy Commissioner, the crops raised in the Desert Talukas of that district, already poor owing to scanty rainfall, were almost entirely destroyed by locusts. The remissions on account of loss by flood which reached the large total of Rs. 1,83,948-0-0 were rendered necessary by the destruction of kharif crops by the river floods which have been briefly described in para. 3 of this report. So far as the Government revenue is concerned the loss was more than made up by the large area of rabi cultivation on the flooded lands. But individual landholders suffered severe loss.

34. Under the head "Other causes" are included—

- (1) Remission of assessment on time-expired fallow numbers on which assessment became due, but was remitted on strong reason being shown for their non-cultivation during the year of report.
- (2) Remission of assessment on uncultivated time-expired fallow numbers forfeited to Government in the Upper Sind Frontier, Shikarpur and Hyderabad Districts, in terms of the rules governing fallows in Sind.
- (3) Injury to crops by salt water freshes in the Karachi District.
- (4) Erosion by the river in the Upper Sind Frontier, Shikarpur and Hyderabad Districts.
- (5) Remission of land revenue and fines on unauthorised cultivation in the Upper Sind Frontier, Shikarpur, Hyderabad and Karachi Districts.
- (6) Remissions to minors in the Hyderabad District on lands which they could neither cultivate nor relinquish.
- (7) Remission of minor items such as notice fees, errors in Jamabandi in charging excess rates of assessment, &c., in the Hyderabad, Shikarpur and Upper Sind Frontier Districts.

#### REVENUE FOR COLLECTION AND ACTUAL COLLECTIONS.

35. The net Government revenue exclusive of remissions represents the revenue for collection for the year under report. This amounts to Rs. 73,48,579-9-4. If the net uncollected balances on account of former years be included, the total revenue for collection during the year under report amounts to Rs. 74,35,620-13-0 against Rs. 64,76,852-3-3 in the preceding year, showing an increase of Rs. 9,58,768-9-9 (*vide* columns 37 and 82 of Appendix No. I.)

36. From the details given in columns 38 to 44 of Appendix No. I. it will appear that out of the total amount for collection (*i. e.*, Rs. 74,35,620-13-0) Rs. 71,38,956-13-0 were collected before the close of the year under report, out of which Rs. 70,71,954-5-9 were on account of the year 1889-90 and Rs. 67,002-7-3 on account of former years, while the total collection of land revenue proper, including miscellaneous revenue not included in Jamabandi (columns 38, 41 and 47 (a) of Appendix No. I), amounts to Rs. 67,87,011-11-10. This, when compared with the Accountant General's figures (Rs. 68,65,810-7-0) as required by Government Resolution No. 5420, dated 2nd August 1890, shows a difference of Rs. 78,798-11-2 which is explained in the subjoined table.



Details.	AMOUNTS.			
	Hyderabad and Thar and Parker Districts.	Karachi District.	Shikarpur and Upper Sind Frontier Districts.	Total.
Total figures given by the Accountant General in his monthly Returns of Land Revenue collections ...	22,87,925 14 9	10,18,440 6 6	35,59,434 1 9	68,65,810 7 0
Total figures shown in Appendix No. I, cols. 38, 41 and 47 (a) combined ...	22,71,507 11 2	10,17,774 0 11	34,97,729 15 9	67,87,011 11 10
Net excess in Accountant General's figures ...	+ 16,428 3 7	+ 666 5 7	+ 61,704 2 0	+ 78,798 11 2
CAUSES OF DIFFERENCE.				
<i>More.</i>				
1. Adjustment of errors ...	3 10 0	194 1 0	2,453 5 0	2,651 0 0
2. Overcollections ...	1,947 7 4	822 2 9	8,061 5 0	10,830 15 1
3. Recoveries on account of boundary marks included in Accountant General's figures, but excluded from those shown in Appendix No. I ...	14,487 0 7	...	...	14,487 0 7
4. Recoveries of overpayments adjusted in the Accountant General's Office ...	5 4 8	...	...	5 4 8
5. Amount credited during the year under report on account of future years, not shown in Appendix No. I. ...	...	185 0 0	...	185 0 0
6. Collection on account of hisadri shares not included by the Collector of Shikarpur and Deputy Commissioner, Upper Sind Frontier, in Appendix No. I ...	...	...	53,599 15 0	53,599 15 0
7 Items of "miscellaneous Land Revenue not included in Jamabandi" less shown by the Collector ...	...	...	87 15 6	87 15 6
Total ...	16,443 6 7	1,201 3 9	64,302 8 6	81,847 2 10
<i>Less.</i>				
1. Adjustment of errors ...	15 3 0	79 14 2	2,498 6 6	2,593 7 8
2. Collection on account of the year under report credited in previous year's accounts shown in Appendix No. I ...	...	455 0 0	...	455 0 0
Total ...	15 3 0	534 14 2	2,498 6 6	3,048 7 8
Net excess in the Accountant General's figures as above ...	16,428 3 7	666 5 7	61,704 2 0	78,798 11 2

## OUTSTANDING BALANCES.

37. The total outstanding balance at the close of the year as shown in column 47 of Appendix No. I amounts to Rs. 2,96,664-0-0, against Rs. 1,11,862-14-6 at the end of the preceding year. This is made up by districts as shown below :—

Districts.	Balance on account of 1889-90.	Balance on account of 1888-89.	Total.
Upper Sind Frontier ...	42,753 7 1	10,545 9 11	53,299 1 0
Shikarpur ...	92,777 12 7	2,314 5 0	95,092 1 7
Hyderabad ...	211 6 8	150 8 9	361 14 8
Karachi ...	87,492 9 3	5,896 3 6	93,388 12 9
Thar and Parker ...	53,390 0 0	1,132 2 0	54,522 2 0
Total...	2,76,625 3 7	20,038 12 5	2,96,664 0 0

38. The total amount of outstanding balances (*i. e.* Rs. 2,96,664) is divided in Appendix No. IV under the following three heads :—

Recoverable.....	1,63,911 8 4
Irrecoverable .....	1,31,721 6 8
Doubtful and disputed..	1,031 1 0

2,96,664 0 0

The detailed causes of each of these heads will be found in the subjoined table.

Causes.	Upper Sind Frontier.		Shikarpur.		Hyderabad.		Karachi.		Thar and Parkar.		Total.		
	Current year.	Former years.	Current year.	Former years.	Current year.	Former years.	Current year.	Former years.	Current year.	Former years.	Current year.	Former years.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
<b>I.—Total balances shown as recoverable in Appendix No. IV. ...</b>	41,643 2 1	10,457 0 11	27,191 4 7	1,349 8 0	141 7 0	...	25,125 10 3	4,744 5 6	53,259 0 0	0 2 0	1,47,360 7 11	16,551 0 5	1,63,911 8 4
<b>DETAILS.</b>													
1. Collected after the close of the year and upto the date of submission of the district officers' reports ...	2,828 6 0	317 9 11	13,015 2 7	4 12 0	111 7 0	...	4,965 15 3	951 11 6	4,277 7 0	0 2 0	25,198 5 10	1,274 3 5	26,472 9 3
2. Postponements granted by the Commissioner and the District Officers for sufficient causes shown...	32,927 3 6	8,416 7 0	7,819 1 0	...	...	...	9,939 8 0	2,395 0 0	45,537 10 0	...	96,223 6 6	10,811 7 0	1,07,034 13 6
3. Processes issued for collection but the result of which is not yet known ...	5,887 8 7	1,723 0 0	3,028 6 0	...	30 0 0	...	8,428 5 0	525 10 0	3,443 15 0	...	20,818 2 7	2,248 10 0	23,066 12 7
4. Due by the Manager, Incumbered Estates, who will pay when funds are available ...	...	...	3,328 11 0	1,344 12 0	...	...	15 0 0	...	...	...	3,343 11 0	1,344 12 0	4,688 7 0
5. Rent on building sites the recovery of which is being effected according to the terms of sanads ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,726 14 0	872 0 0	...	...	1,726 14 0	872 0 0	2,598 14 0
6. Amount found short in a remittance of land revenue collections despatched by a Tapadar in the Karachi District to the Kotri Sub-Treasury and which has been ordered to be recovered from the Tapadar in monthly instalments of Rs. 5. ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	50 0 0	...	...	...	50 0 0	...	50 0 0
<b>Total...</b>	41,643 2 1	10,457 0 11	27,191 4 7	1,349 8 0	141 7 0	...	25,125 10 3	4,744 5 6	53,259 0 0	0 2 0	1,47,360 7 11	16,551 0 5	1,63,911 8 4
<b>II.—Total balances shown as irrecoverable in Appendix No. IV.</b>	1,110 5 0	88 9 0	65,586 8 0	964 13 0	19 15 8	...	61,536 6 0	1,151 14 0	131 0 0	1,132 0 0	1,28,384 2 8	3,337 4 0	1,31,721 6 8
<b>DETAILS.</b>													
1. Remissions sanctioned after the close of the year adjustable in July next ...	...	...	65,447 6 0	828 5 0	...	...	1,221 4 0	...	...	1,132 0 0	66,668 10 0	1,960 5 0	68,628 15 0
2. Assessment on time-expired fallow lands uncultivated during the year and which will have to be remitted and lands forfeited to Government ...	1,110 5 0	78 7 0	...	...	...	...	59,725 5 0	...	...	...	60,835 10 0	78 7 0	60,914 1 0
3. Amount due by rayats who have deserted the country or have become unable to pay on account of poverty ...	...	10 2 0	25 10 0	136 8 0	19 15 8	...	573 5 0	499 14 0	131 0 0	...	749 14 8	646 8 0	1,396 6 8
4. Assessment leviable under Rule I of the Fallow Rules but which will have to be remitted because permission to occupy the land on which it is due was given late in the season ...	...	...	31 8 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	31 8 0	...	31 8 0
5. Assessment on land taken up for Jaha-i Band in the Shikarpur District to be written off ...	...	...	8 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8 0 0	...	8 0 0
6. Appeals having been preferred against fines, &c. for unauthorised cultivation and the amount in all probability will have to be remitted ...	...	...	74 0 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	74 0 0	...	74 0 0
7. Arrears due by the estate of Wadero Lalbux, in the Karachi District, sanctioned to be written off after the close of the year ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	612 0 0	...	...	...	612 0 0	612 0 0
8. Land-rent on certain plots in Karachi town transferred to the Port Trust and Educational Department and which will have to be written off ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16 8 0	40 0 0	...	...	16 8 0	40 0 0	56 8 0
<b>Total ...</b>	1,110 5 0	88 9 0	65,586 8 0	964 13 0	19 15 8	...	61,536 6 0	1,151 14 0	131 0 0	1,132 0 0	1,28,384 2 8	3,337 4 0	1,31,721 6 8
<b>III. Total balances shown as doubtful and Disputed in Appendix No. IV. ....</b>	...	...	...	...	50 0 0	150 8 0	890 9 0	...	...	...	890 9 0	150 8 0	1,031 1 0
<b>DETAILS.</b>													
1. Amounts due by persons who have represented that they have been either wrongly or improperly assessed. The correspondence is going on and the matter could not be settled up to the dates of the Collectors' reports ...	...	...	...	...	50 0 0	150 8 0	890 9 0	...	...	...	890 9 0	150 8 0	1,031 1 0



## RECOVERABLE BALANCES.

39. Of the total amount shown under this head, Rs. 1,63,911-8-4, the greater portion, Rs. 1,07,034-13-6, is due to the postponement of collections. In the Upper Sind Frontier District the failure of the supply in the Begari caused much injury to the crops, and on the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner, I sanctioned the postponement of collections amounting to Rs. 32,927-3-6. The complete failure of crops in the Desert Talukas of the Thar and Parkar District, owing to the scanty rainfall, rendered the collection of the revenue during the year impossible. Half the revenue was remitted, and the collection of the remainder, amounting to Rs. 45,537-10-0, was suspended. In the other districts the suspensions are not abnormally heavy. A sum of Rs. 26,472-9-3 has been collected since the close of the year, and omitting the sums due by the Managers of Incumbered Estates, and those on account of building sites, the outstandings on account of revenue which it was determined to collect during the year amount to Rs. 23,066-12-7. Considering the circumstances of the season this result may be considered fairly satisfactory.

## IRRECOVERABLE BALANCES.

40. The amount shown as irrecoverable, Rs. 1,31,721-6-8, has been unduly swollen by the inclusion of (a) remissions granted after the close of the year on account of the year under report, and (b) the assessment on time-expired fallow numbers which should have been dealt with before the close of the year. These two items account for Rs. 1,29,543. The attention of the Collectors has been called to the necessity for dealing promptly with these matters in future.

41. The state of collections in the Hyderabad District, where the total amount outstanding is only Rs. 361-14-8, is highly satisfactory and is most creditable to the district officers.

42. I will now proceed, as required by Government Resolution No. 5140, dated 22nd July 1890, to explain the circumstances under which the balances on account of former years shown as recoverable in Appendix No. IV could not be recovered during the year under report. The total amount under this head at the close of the year 1889-90 was Rs. 16,551-0-5, and as shown in the table given above, a sum of Rs. 1,274-3-5 was recovered after the close of the year, but before the date of the submission of the District Officers' reports to me. There thus remain only Rs. 15,276-13-0 to be accounted for, which sum is distributed between the Upper Sind Frontier, Shikarpur and Karachi Districts in the following proportions:—

Causes.	Amounts.			Total.
	Upper Sind Frontier.	Shikarpur.	Karachi.	
1. Postponements granted by the Commissioner and District Officers ... ..	8,416 7 0	.....	2,395 0 0	10,811 7 0
2. Processes issued for collection but the result of which is not yet known ...	1,723 0 0	.....	525 10 0	2,248 10 0
3. Due by Manager, Incumbered Estates ...	.....	1,344 12 0	.....	1,344 12 0
4. Rent on building sites in Karachi ...	.....	.....	872 0 0	872 0 0
Total ...	10,139 7 0	1,344 12 0	3,792 10 0	15,276 13 0

*Cause No. 1.* Nearly four-fifths of the whole amount shown under this head belongs to the Upper Sind Frontier District and represents occupancy fees



due on lands given out in Deh Sir Amani of the Shahdadpur Taluka some years back when there appeared to be prospects of a favourable water-supply from the new Eden wah. But unfortunately these expectations were not fully realised, and the recovery of the fees due is therefore made as the circumstances of the zamindars permit. Postponements in the Karachi District have been sanctioned by me on the recommendation of the Collector in the case of the following persons to whom the past few seasons have been particularly adverse :—

Name of person.	Period of Postponement.	Amount Postponed.		
		Rs.	a.	p.
Syed Ibrahim Shah .....	3 years ...	1,060	5	0
Abdulah Duria and Gopalsing .....	4 years ...	1,334	11	0
Total Rupees ...		2,395	0	0

*Cause No. II.* Under this head comes a sum of Rs. 2,248-10-0 as per following details :—

Upper Sind Frontier District ...	Rs. ...	1,723	0	0
Karachi District ... ..	.. ..	525	10	0
Total Rupees ...		2,248	10	0

The whole amount shown against the Upper Sind Frontier District, with the exception of Rs. 68-11-0, is due from the Kashmor Taluka, where the general failure of last year's crops, the insufficiency of water-supply in the Begari and Unarwali during the year under report, and the absence of bidders for the purchase of land put up to sale rendered the recovery of the arrears impossible.

43. Of Rs. 525-10-0 belonging to the Karachi District, Rs. 399-11-0 represent the amounts for which remissions were in the first instance proposed by the District Officers, but there being no sufficient grounds for granting them orders have been issued for the recovery of the amount with as little delay as possible. For the recovery of the remainder, Rs. 125-15-0, proclamations for the sale of the defaulters' property have been issued, but the result is not yet known.

44. *Causes Nos. III and IV* need little explanation. The amounts due by the Manager, Incumbered Estates, can only be recovered when the circumstances of the estates concerned permit of payment; and the rents on building sites consist of very small items, which are due partly by persons who have temporarily left Karachi, and partly by persons of small means, against whom legal processes have been issued.

#### TAKAVI ADVANCES.

45. Appendix No. VI shows the transactions under Acts XIX of 1883 and XII of 1884. The advances made during the year under report as compared with those granted in the preceding year under each of the two Acts are as follows :—

	Granted in 1889-90.	Granted in 1888-89.	Increase.	Decrease.
Under Act XIX of 1883 ... ..	12,095 0 0	3,600 0 0	8,495 0 0	...
Under Act XII of 1884 ... ..	32,436 0 0	12,985 0 0	19,453 0 0	...
Total ...	44,533 0 0	16,585 0 0	27,948 0 0	...

46. It will be seen from the details given in column 4 of Appendix No. VI that the advances made under Act XIX of 1883, amounting to Rs. 12,095, were granted for the clearance of canals and karias, for sinking and clearing wells, and for the general improvement of land, while the advances under Act XII of 1884 were granted for the purchase of bullocks and seed only.

47. With the balance of advances made in former years (*vide* column 12 of Appendix No. VI) the total sum recoverable under both the heads of advances was Rs. 72,312-4-0. Out of this Rs. 20,292-13-4 have been recovered, and Rs. 52,019-6-8 still remain to be collected according to the details given in the subjoined table:—

Name of Act under which the advance was granted.	Name of District.	Instalments due in past years.	Instalments due in current year.	Instalments due in future years.	Total.
Act XIX, of 1883.	Upper Sind Frontier. ...	...	...	150 0 0	150 0 0
	Shikarpur ...	...	50 0 0	13,786 0 0	13,836 0 0
	Hyderabad ...	...	...	2,296 8 0	2,296 8 0
	Karachi ...	...	...	2,687 8 0	2,687 8 0
	Thar and Parkar ...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	...	50 0 0	18,920 0 0	18,970 0 0
Act XII of 1884.	Upper Sind Frontier. ...	...	750 0 0	2,450 0 0	3,200 0 0
	Shikarpur ...	...	...	1,440 0 0	1,440 0 0
	Hyderabad ...	...	544 6 8	2,775 0 0	3,319 6 8
	Karachi ...	2,000 0 0	...	15,665 0 0	17,665 0 0
	Thar and Parkar ...	...	...	7,425 0 0	7,425 0 0
	Total ...	2,000 0 0	1,294 6 8	29,755 0 0	33,049 6 8
Grand Total ...		2,000 0 0	1,344 6 8	48,675 0 0	52,019 6 8

48. It will be seen that out of the total balance recoverable a sum of Rs. 48,675-0-0 is payable in future years according to agreements. Of the remainder, Rs. 594-6-8, recoverable in the current year, were collected after the close of the year. There thus remain Rs. 750-0-0 on account of the current year's instalments, and Rs. 2,000-0-0 on account of those of the past years to be accounted for. The former is due by the zamindars of the Thul Taluka in the Upper Sind Frontier District, and the Deputy Commissioner of that district reports that owing to the general failure of the crops in Thul, he has been obliged to postpone the recovery of the amount till next year. The sum of Rs. 2,000-0-0 on account of instalments due in past years is due in the Karachi District by the estate of the late Hafiz Allahdino, which is under the management of the Collector. The sale of this estate has been sanctioned by the District Court, Karachi, as reported in my No. 4064, dated 22nd November 1890, and it is expected that the amounts due by the estate will shortly be recovered.

#### AREAS OCCUPIED AND UNOCCUPIED.

49. Of the two appendices noted in the margin, the former is a statement showing lands assessed and unassessed, occupied and unoccupied, and the latter explains the increase and decrease in the area and assessment of cultivable occupied assessed land only. The following table contrasts the area shown under different heads given in Appendix No. VII with the corresponding figures of the year preceding:—

Appendices Nos. VII and VIII.

Heads.	1889-90.		1888-89.		Increase.		Decrease.	
	A.	G.	A.	G.	A.	G.	A.	G.
1. Total area (cols. 3 and 19 of Appendix No. VII.) ...	2,60,91,174	30	2,50,74,696	33	10,16,477	37	...	
2. Unassessed area and area set apart for special and public purposes, as also the area of land eroded by the river (cols. 9 and 25.) ...	1,94,27,741	27	1,86,18,462	26	8,09,279	21	...	
3. Remainder cultivable assessed (cols. 10 and 26.) ...	66,63,433	3	64,56,234	27	2,07,198	16	...	
4. Unoccupied area and area given out free of rent or at reduced rates (cols. 11 and 12, and 27 and 28.) ...	5,69,940	14	5,98,084	14	...		28,144	0
5. Remainder occupied assessed land (cols. 13 and 29.) ...	60,93,492	29	58,58,150	13	2,35,342	16	...	

50. It will be seen that with the exception of head No. 4 (unoccupied cultivable assessed land) there is a general increase under all the heads above specified. The chief causes which led to this increase are summarised below :—

- (1) Inclusion for the first time of the approximate area of unsurveyed villages in the Shikarpur District as suggested by the Director of Land Records and Agriculture, Bombay, in his letter No. 500, dated 2nd April 1890.
- (2) Introduction of irrigational settlements in the Sukkur Taluka of the Shikarpur District, and Kotri and Karachi Talukas of the Karachi District.
- (3) Correction of errors in the survey registers of the Thar and Parkar and Hyderabad Districts.

51. The details of fluctuations under the head "Occupied land" and assessment thereon, given in Appendix No. VIII, are reproduced here for the sake of easy reference.



	A.	G.	Rs.	a.	p.
Occupied land paying assessment or quit-rent at the close of the year 1888-89 (vide cols. 3 & 4 of Appendix No. VIII.) ... ..	58,58,150	13	60,54,141	0	9
<b>(a.) Deduct on account of—</b>					
1. Lands thrown up and remaining unoccupied ... ..	69,166	8	47,156	8	0
2. Land forfeited for non-payment of revenue ... ..	5,807	17	7,509	9	0
3. Transfer of land to head of alienation ... ..	11	30	34	0	0
4. Operation of revenue survey ... ..	522	37	...	...	...
5. Land set apart for public purposes ... ..	206	2	117	10	0
6. Land entered as uncultivable ... ..	3,223	21	1,423	10	0
7. Land allowed to remain fallow ... ..	...	...	17,986	6	4
8. Other causes (land eroded by the river, &c.) ... ..	14,728	36	54,669	5	0
Total ...	93,666	31	1,28,897	0	4
<b>(b.) Add on account of—</b>					
1. Unoccupied land taken up ... ..	1,57,544	25	2,74,200	12	0
2. Unassessed land brought into occupation and assessed ... ..	84,306	33	2,23,642	0	0
3. Lapse of alienated land ... ..	301	26	2,365	0	0
4. Operation of revenue survey ... ..	8,666	17	57,461	6	0
5. Expiry of leases let for special terms ... ..	10	19	305	5	0
6. Lands last year fallow, this year cultivated or assessed to the revenue under the fallow rules ... ..	...	...	5,78,208	11	0
7. Other causes (increased cultivation in unsettled lands and lands already in occupation &c.) ... ..	78,179	7	3,08,079	2	3
Total ...	3,29,009	7	14,44,262	4	3
Occupied land paying assessment or quit-rent at the close of the year 1889-90 (vide cols. 45 & 46 of Appendix VIII.) ... ..	60,93,492	29	73,69,506	4	8

52. The above details will show that there was a net increase of acres 2,35,342-16 guntas in the total occupied area, and of Rs. 13,15,365-3-11 in assessment and quit-rent during the year under report. It was due chiefly to the extension of cultivation owing to a favourable inundation and improvement in canal irrigation.

53. The following is a comparative table of the area taken up for cultivation and thrown up in the several districts of the province during the year under report :—

Districts.	Area taken up.			Area thrown up.
	Unoccupied land taken up.	Unassessed land brought into occupation and assessed.	Total.	
	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.
1. Upper Sind Frontier.....	3,279 31	7,406 21	10,686 12	116 27
2. Shikarpur.....	23,812 1	21,918 21	45,730 22	1,465 10
3. Hyderabad.....	61,635 8	40,332 0	1,01,967 8	42,971 20
4. Karachi.....	3,473 34	14,649 31	18,123 25	9,271 6
5. Thar & Parkar.....	65,343 31	...	65,343 31	15,341 25
Total...	1,57,544 25	84,306 33	2,41,851 18	69,166 8

54. The largest increase in the areas taken up for cultivation is found in the Hyderabad, Shikarpur and Thar and Parkar Districts, and is attributed to the eagerness on the part of landholders to take advantage of a favourable season. The relinquishment of considerable areas in the Hyderabad District is said to be due to the operation of the fallow rules.

55. The following table shows in a concise form the particulars given in Appendix No. IX as to the number and extent of holdings in surveyed Government fayatwari villages, including alienated areas contained in such villages:—

No.	Districts.	Total number of holdings.		Total area.		Total assessment or quit-rent.				Average area of holding.		Average assessment or quit-rent per holding.		Average assessment or quit-rent per acre.															
		Khalsa.	Inam.	Khalsa.	Inam.	Khalsa.	Inam.			Khalsa.	Inam.	Khalsa.	Inam.	Khalsa.	Inam.														
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8			9	10	11	12	13	14														
				A.	G.	A.	G.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	A.	G.	A.	G.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
1	Upper Sind Frontier.	1,937	3	4,04,697	3	295	29	4,25,029	5	4	...	208	37	98	23	219	6	10	...	1	0	10	...						
2	Shikarpur...	55,012	220	12,45,169	11	56,629	35	28,69,101	1	0	7,100	14	0	22	25	257	16	52	2	6	32	4	5	2	4	10	0	2	0
3	Hyderabad	28,713	672	16,43,083	28	1,91,738	27	17,80,539	14	0	26,267	12	0	57	9	285	13	62	0	2	39	1	5	1	1	4	0	2	2
4	Karachi ...	15,310	229	6,50,151	23	1,12,728	21	10,02,150	4	0	6,115	9	0	42	19	492	10	65	7	4	26	11	3	1	8	8	0	0	10
5	Thar and Parkar ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total ...		1,00,972	1,124	39,43,101	25	3,61,392	32	60,76,820	8	4	39,484	3	0	39	2	321	21	60	2	11	35	2	1	1	8	8	0	1	9



56. In comparison with the totals of the previous year there is an increase of 4,548 in the total number of Government holdings and a decrease of 48 in that of the Inam holdings. The causes which led to the increase in Government holdings are :—

- (1) The introduction of an irrigational settlement in the Sukkur Taluka of the Shikarpur District, and Kotri and Karachi Talukas of the Karachi District.
- (2) Large areas having been taken up for cultivation owing to favourable inundation in Shikarpur, Hyderabad and Karachi Districts.
- (3) Lapses of jagirs owing to deaths of jagirdars.

The decrease in the total number of Inam holdings is mainly due to the correction of the last year's figures and partly also to lapses in the Hyderabad District.

57. In Appendix No. X are shown varieties of tenure other than rayatwari, with the number of estates, and the area held under each variety, together with the revenue paid to Government under each. The following table gives a summary of the information given in the same appendix :—

No.	Kind of tenure.	No. of estates.	No. of holders or share-holders.	Gross area in acres.	Average area of each estate.	Total payment to Government on estates of each class.	Average payment to Government on each estate.	Incidence of column 7 per acre (column 5).
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
				A. G.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	As. p.
1	Jagirs, 1st class ...	12	12	2,43,657 37	20,304 33	4,944 1 0	412 0 1	0 4
2	Do. Four Great Talpur Families ...	23	23	2,79,719 24	12,161 29	21,527 15 0	935 15 11	1 3
3	Do. 2nd class (Sirdars) ...	14	14	42,697 23	3,043 16	2,516 1 0	179 11 6	0 11
4	Do. 3rd class ...	1	1	2,933 39	2,986 39	677 14 0	677 14 0	3 8
5	Do. Political Inams ...	5	5	26,000 12	5,200 2	389 0 0	77 12 10	0 3
6	Khairatdars, 1st class ...	2	2	28,325 32	14,112 36	1,182 11 0	591 5 6	0 8
	Total...	57	57	6,23,198 12	10,933 12	31,237 10 0	548 0 5	0 10

58. Compared with the last year's figures there is an increase of nine both in the total number of estates and holders or shareholders. This increase is chiefly due to the correction of errors in the last year's return in the Hyderabad District. The introduction of the irrigational settlement into the Kotri Taluka has also increased the total number of the estates in the Karachi District by one.

#### INCIDENCE OF LAND REVENUE.

59. The average incidence of land revenue, and of assessment per head of population, and per acre respectively in each District, is shown in Appendix No. XI. Statistics as regards population for settled and unsettled villages separately not being available, they have as usual been omitted from

columns 12 and 21 of the appendix. The principal figures are reproduced here, to indicate at a glance, how they compare in the several districts and for the several classes—

Districts.	Incidence of land revenue per head of population, col. 13.	Incidence of land revenue per head of population on fully assessed area only, col. 22.	Incidence per acre of land revenue assessed on fully assessed land.		
			Occupied area only, col. 17.		Total assessed area, col. 18.
			Cultivated.	Fallow.	
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1. Upper Sind Frontier.....	5 10 3	6 3 4	2 6 3	1 15 8	2 3 0
2. Shikarpur .....	3 13 3	4 13 6	3 1 7	3 5 0	3 2 8
3. Hyderabad .....	2 9 6	4 0 7	1 15 7	2 5 1	2 1 0
4. Karachi .....	2 7 2	3 0 10	2 3 7	2 6 0	2 4 2
5. Thar and Parkar .....	3 5 9	3 6 1	1 0 3	.....	1 0 3
Classes.					
1. Surveyed Government rayatwari villages .....	.....	.....	2 8 11	2 7 10	2 7 9
2. Other Government villages for which statistics are available. ....	.....	.....	1 1 0	1 15 0	1 2 5
3. Government villages for which statistics are not available ...	.....	.....	1 12 11	2 3 8	1 12 11
4. Surveyed alienated villages .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
5. Alienated villages for which statistics are not available .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
On total for the Province ...	3 3 8	4 3 0	2 3 1	2 7 5	2 4 4

60. The subjoined table shows how the figures relating to the incidence of land revenue in the three classes of Government villages for the year under report compare with those for the preceding year.

	Surveyed Government rayatwari villages.		Other Government villages for which statistics are available.		Government villages for which statistics are not available.	
	1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1888-89.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Per acre of total assessed area .....	2 7 9	2 7 10	1 2 5	1 1 5	1 12 11	1 13 5
Per acre of { Cultivated ...	2 8 11	2 8 1	1 1 0	0 15 10	1 12 11	1 13 4
land { Fallow .....	2 7 10	2 9 3	1 15 0	1 15 0	2 3 8	2 1 11

The variations are slight and require no special comment.

## COERCIVE PROCESSES.

61. Appendix No. XII which is summarised in the following table shows the coercive processes adopted for the realisation of Land Revenue:—

	1889-90.	1888-89.
Number of notices issued ... .. No.	26,132	20,114
Amount of notice fees recovered ... .. Rs.	8,955 8 0	7,076 8 0
Amount of penalty recovered under Section 148 of the Land Revenue Code .....	2,146 5 0	681 2 3
Number of cases in which distraint and sale of moveable property was resorted to .....	1,679	1,285
Amount recovered by distraint and sale of moveable property .....	3,245 12 10	3,807 7 0
Number of cases in which forfeiture of occupancy was ordered .....	2,499	574
Assessment of forfeited land sold to the public .....	1,054 11 0	366 13 0
Assessment of forfeited land returned to occupants on their paying the Government revenue .....	18,583 12 0	12,806 10 0
Assessment of forfeited land remaining with Government. ..	1,00,086 9 0	8,557 13 0

62. The above figures show that a comparatively larger number of coercive processes was issued during the year under report; but this was to be expected since though as stated in para. 2 of this report the inundation was remarkably good, certain localities suffered severely from floods, the visitation of locusts and drought. Too much importance should not be attached to the number of notices issued, as in some places it is the invariable practice of the landholders not to pay their revenue until served with notices, though they may be well able to do so.

In cases of wilful neglect it was necessary to impose penalties to the extent of Rs. 2,146-5-0. The arrears on account of which distraint of moveable property was resorted to amounted to Rs. 85,296-13-3, but the sales were limited to arrears amounting to Rs. 10,000-5, Rs. 75,296-8-3 having been paid before actual resort to sale. The increase in the number of holdings forfeited, and the amount of forfeited land remaining with Government, is chiefly due to landholders who failed to pay the assessment due on their uncultivated time-expired fallow lands and having elected to surrender them under the fallow rules in force.

## SAYER REVENUE.

63. Under this head are included realisations on account of "interest on advances to cultivators" and on "arrears of revenue" and other miscellaneous items such as premium on bills, sale of old stores, unclaimed deposits, &c., as detailed in Appendix No. XIII. The total collections as entered in column 8 of the Appendix amounted to Rs. 2,331-8-11, against Rs. 1,945-11-0 shown in the last year's report. The increase is trifling and calls for no special remark.

## COLLECTIONS AND COLLECTION CHARGES.

64. Appendix No. XIV treats of these details. The total collections made by revenue officers on account of Land Revenue, Village cess, Sayer Revenue and Hakabo amounted during the year under report to Rs. 72,17,433-4-9, against Rs. 64,37,576-15-7 in the previous year, showing an



increase of Rs. 7,79,856-5-2. Under collection charges also there is an increase of Rs. 13,059-9-2 over the previous year's figures, which is mainly due to (1) the increase of travelling allowances owing to the more extended tours of the District officers, and (2) to arrears of salaries and allowances to village cess establishment for the previous year having been disbursed during the year under report. There was, however, a decrease of Re. 1-1-0 in the percentage of cost of collection on revenue.

I have the honor to be,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most obedient Servant,

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,

Acting Commissioner in Sind.

...of the ...  
...of the ...  
...of the ...  
...of the ...  
...of the ...  
...of the ...  
...of the ...  
...of the ...  
...of the ...  
...of the ...

I have the honor to be,  
My dear Sir,  
Your Lordship's most obedient servant,  
E. W. TREVOR CLARKE,  
Agent, Commissioner in Chief.

---

## APPENDIX No. I.

---

Contrasted Statement exhibiting the Gross and Net Land Revenue of the Province of Sind, remissions and sums written off, and of the balances outstanding on account of the year under

No.	Districts.	No. of Villages.			1889-90, year under report.			
		Government.	Alienated.	Total.	Gross Revenue.	Deduct assessment.		Remainder.
						Of land not available for cultivation (i. e., for public and special purposes, &c.)	Of fallow area exempt from assessment.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1	Upper Sind Frontier.....	298	7	305	16,87,593 6 11	1,39,533 3 0	6,33,682 9 0	9,14,377 10 11
2	Shikarpur .....	1,175	17	1,192	1,62,35,854 2 0	1,08,86,426 8 0	10,97,707 10 0	43,01,720 0 0
3	Hyderabad .....	1,339	58	1,397	1,17,71,794 15 0	34,74,398 0 0	17,18,456 2 0	65,78,940 13 0
4	Karachi .....	858	14	872	1,16,33,559 2 0	95,84,726 11 0	5,79,362 15 0	15,19,469 8 0
5	Thar and Parkar .....	71	...	71	6,52,180 5 0	...	...	6,52,180 5 0
	Total .....	3,741	96	3,837	4,19,80,931 14 11	2,39,85,084 6 0	40,29,209 4 0	1,39,66,638 4 11

No.	Districts.	No. of Villages.			Previous year, 1888-89.			
		Government.	Alienated.	Total.	Gross Revenue.	Deduct assessment		Remainder.
						Of land not available for cultivation (i. e., for public and special purposes, &c.)	Of fallow area exempt from assessment.	
1	2	48	49	50	51	52	53	
					Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1	Upper Sind Frontier.....	295	7	302	14,51,124 0 3	...	6,23,460 11 0	8,27,663 5 3
2	Shikarpur .....	1,175	17	1,192	52,30,389 1 0	13,716 2 0	15,23,782 15 0	36,92,890 0 0
3	Hyderabad .....	1,339	58	1,397	52,76,644 3 0	17,249 5 0	19,16,413 13 0	33,42,981 1 0
4	Karachi .....	832	14	846	20,51,317 5 0	14,687 4 0	7,83,314 2 0	12,53,315 15 0
5	Thar and Parkar .....	70	...	70	5,50,036 13 0	...	...	5,50,036 13 0
	Total .....	3,711	96	3,807	1,45,59,511 6 3	45,652 11 0	48,46,971 9 0	96,66,887 2 3



1889-90, year under report.

Deductions on account of net alienations.

Shares of revenue credited to sharers direct.	Political Inams (including all jagirs).	Personal Inams (including holders of garden grants and mafidars).	Religious Inams (including khyratdars).	Service grants (including Seri, Mamul and Thali).	Total.
9	10	11	12	13	14
Ra. a. p.	Ra. a. p.	Ra. a. p.	Ra. a. p.	Ra. a. p.	Ra. a. p.
477 4 0	82,112 8 11	37 10 0	...	109 15 4	82,037 6 3
27,172 5 0	7,45,921 0 0	2,373 13 0	57,401 12 0	1,832 8 0	8,34,701 6 0
...	10,83,703 9 0	3,053 7 0	196 4 0	4,195 7 0	10,91,148 11 0
...	2,94,398 14 0	866 1 0	72 12 0	1,233 6 0	2,96,571 1 0
...	791 13 0	11 0 0	...	2,747 11 0	3,550 8 0
27,649 9 0	22,07,227 12 11	6,341 15 0	57,670 12 0	10,118 15 4	23,09,009 0 3

Previous year, 1888-89.

Deductions on account of net alienations.

Shares of revenue credited to sharers direct.	Political Inams (including all jagirs).	Personal Inams (including holders of garden grants and mafidars).	Religious Inams (including khyratdars).	Service grants (including seri, mamul and thali).	Total.
54	55	56	57	58	59
Ra. a. p.	Ra. a. p.	Ra. a. p.	Ra. a. p.	Ra. a. p.	Ra. a. p.
587 11 0	82,277 3 3	37 9 0	...	75 6 4	82,277 13 7
13,199 10 0	7,54,716 3 11	2,908 13 0	57,040 12 0	1,845 12 0	8,32,711 2 11
709 11 0	10,94,542 9 0	3,199 13 0	207 7 0	4,302 0 0	11,02,961 8 0
...	2,93,395 7 0	799 9 0	70 0 0	1,172 0 0	2,95,437 0 0
...	796 13 0	6 4 0	...	2,863 1 0	3,671 2 0
15,497 0 0	22,25,728 4 2	7,952 0 0	57,318 3 0	10,253 3 4	23,17,753 10 4

## 1889-90, year under report.

Remainder Government revenue.	Deduct assessment of		Remainder.	Details.	
	Unoccupied cultivable land.	Land free or specially reduced (including Huri and Kocha.)		Revenue proper being assessment on Government lands.	Revenue from alienated lands (including village cess).
15	16	17	18	19	20
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
8,31,340 4 8	72,202 7 0	192 3 0	7,58,945 10 8	7,58,350 15 8	594 11 0
34,67,018 10 0	4,49,433 7 0	7,991 12 0	30,09,593 7 0	29,86,365 13 0	23,227 10 0
54,87,792 2 0	36,34,615 8 0	2,056 15 0	18,51,119 11 0	18,05,761 11 0	45,358 0 0
12,22,898 7 0	1,08,880 3 0	6,957 6 0	11,07,060 14 0	10,98,133 12 0	8,927 2 0
6,48,579 13 0	...	...	6,48,579 13 0	6,48,260 6 0	312 7 0
1,16,57,629 4 8	42,65,131 9 0	17,198 4 0	78,75,299 7 8	72,96,872 9 8	78,426 14 0

## Previous year, 1888-89.

Remainder Government revenue.	Deduct assessment of		Remainder.	Details.	
	Unoccupied cultivable land.	Land free or specially reduced (including Huri and Kocha).		Revenue proper being assessment on Government lands.	Revenue from alienated lands (including village cess).
60	61	62	63	64	65
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
7,44,685 7 6	34,223 8 0	139 9 0	7,10,322 6 8	7,09,701 15 8	620 7 0
28,60,178 13 1	5,03,262 10 0	8,146 10 0	23,48,769 9 1	23,35,897 8 1	12,872 1 0
22,40,019 9 0	6,53,026 3 0	2,117 5 0	15,84,876 1 0	15,40,963 1 0	43,913 0 0
9,57,878 15 0	86,415 3 0	7,171 0 0	8,64,292 10 0	8,56,575 7 0	7,717 3 0
5,46,365 11 0	...	...	5,46,365 11 0	5,46,027 10 0	338 1 0
73,49,128 7 9	12,76,927 10 0	17,574 8 0	60,54,626 5 9	59,89,165 9 9	65,460 12 0

1889-90, year under report.

Add miscellaneous land revenue as in Taleband.	Total.	Deduct Hakabo credited to Irrigation Department.	Remainder land revenue.	Deduct remissions on net Government revenue for year under report on account of	
				Want and insufficiency of water.	Land taken up for special or public purposes.
21	22	23	24	25	26
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
63,177 2 3	8,22,122 12 11	509 7 0	8,21,613 5 11	16,761 11 0	...
1,30,317 5 11	31,39,910 12 11	20,534 2 0	31,19,376 10 11	90 1 0	3 7 0
1,04,730 14 1	19,55,850 9 1	41,573 15 0	19,14,276 10 1	2,707 11 0	...
90,382 8 9	11,97,443 6 9	8,311 9 0	11,89,131 13 9	1,295 10 0	...
34,744 13 0	6,83,324 10 0	1,206 14 0	6,82,117 12 0	614 8 0	...
4,23,352 12 0	77,98,652 3 8	72,135 15 0	77,26,516 4 8	21,469 9 0	3 7 0

Previous year, 1888-89.

Add miscellaneous land revenue as in Taleband.	Total.	Deduct Hakabo credited to Irrigation Department.	Remainder land revenue.	Deduct remissions on net Government revenue for the year on account of	
				Want and insufficiency of water.	Land taken up for special or public purposes.
66	67	68	69	70	71
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
77,623 3 5	7,87,945 10 1	522 11 0	7,87,422 15 1	18,889 10 0	...
1,68,525 1 0	25,17,694 10 1	10,448 0 0	25,07,246 10 1	7,728 13 0	...
1,33,151 9 4	17,18,027 10 4	40,050 9 0	16,77,977 1 4	2,675 3 0	...
87,851 10 9	9,52,144 4 9	7,148 12 0	9,44,995 8 9	30,861 1 0	...
17,511 9 0	5,63,877 4 0	1,191 5 0	5,62,685 15 0	843 14 0	...
1,85,093 1 6	65,39,689 7 3	59,361 5 0	64,80,328 2 3	60,993 9 0	...



1839-90, year under report.

Deduct remissions on net Government revenue for year under report on account of

Poverty, death and desertion.	Injury to crops by locusts and other insects or animals.	Loss by fire.	Loss by flood.	Other causes.	Total remissions.
27	28	29	30	31	32
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
405 7 0	581 3 0	...	614 0 0	41,639 12 0	60,052 1 0
...	6,826 13 0	...	77,963 12 0	4,485 2 0	89,369 3 0
609 8 0	2,203 12 0	...	25,617 12 0	69,139 15 0	1,10,278 10 0
173 14 4	3,447 8 0	...	65,609 3 0	290 4 0	70,816 7 4
...	42,662 9 0	...	4,143 5 0	...	47,420 6 0
1,188 13	55,721 13 0	...	1,83,948 0 0	1,15,605 1 0	3,77,936 11 4

Previous year, 1888-89.

Deduct remissions on net Government revenue for year under report on account of

Poverty, death and desertion.	Injury to crops by locusts and other insects or animals.	Loss by fire.	Loss by flood.	Other causes.	Total remissions.
72	73	74	75	76	77
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
621 4 0	1,293 15 0	...	...	3,024 13 0	23,829 10 0
56 2 0	...	...	...	1,645 9 0	9,430 8 0
67 6 9	47 0 0	...	...	7,251 1 0	10,040 10 9
...	8,514 7 0	...	7,223 7 0	3,615 1 0	50,214 0 0
...	...	...	...	...	843 14 0
744 12 9	9,355 6 0	...	7,223 7 0	15,536 8 0	94,353 10 9

1889-90, year under report.

Balance revenue for collection on account of current year.	Balance on account of former years outstanding at the end of last year.	Deduct irrecoverable balances written off on account of former years.	Remainder.	Total of cols. 33 & 36.
33	34	35	36	37
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
7,61,561 4 11	41,537 12 11	11,891 0 0	29,646 12 11	7,91,208 1 10
30,30,007 7 11	20,199 13 0	5,226 10 0	14,973 3 0	30,44,980 10 11
18,03,998 0 1	1,139 13 0	168 4 0	971 9 0	18,04,969 9 1
11,18,315 6 5	46,300 4 7	17,516 0 10	38,784 3 9	11,57,099 10 2
6,34,697 6 0	2,685 7 0	20 0 0	2,665 7 0	6,37,362 13 0
73,48,579 9 4	1,11,863 2 6	24,821 14 10	87,041 3 8	74,35,620 13 0

Previous year, 1888-89.

Balance revenue for collection on account of current year.	Balance on account of former years outstanding at the end of last year.	Deduct irrecoverable balances written off on account of former years.	Remainder.	Total of cols. 78 & 81.
78	79	80	81	82
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
7,63,593 5 1	46,174 15 6	7,338 15 0	38,836 0 6	8,02,429 5 7
24,97,816 2 1	10,507 12 0	1,241 1 7	9,266 10 5	25,07,082 12 6
16,67,936 6 7	8,010 12 4	627 14 8	7,382 13 8	16,75,319 4 3
8,94,781 8 9	36,079 14 8	4,514 8 6	31,565 6 2	9,26,346 14 11
5,61,842 1 0	3,831 13 0	...	3,831 13 0	5,65,673 14 0
63,85,969 7 6	1,04,605 3 6	13,722 7 9	90,882 11 9	64,76,852 3 3

\* NOTE ON COL. 34.—Compared with the last year's figures (vide col. 47 of Appendix No. I to that year's report) there is a difference of Rs. 396 2 0 in the figures of the Upper Sind Frontier and Shikarpur Districts. It is due to the transfer of certain villages from Shikarpur to the Upper Sind Frontier District. The increase of annas four in the Shikarpur District is, however, due to subsequent corrections made in the collection figures of that District.

1889-90, year under report.

Realisations up to 31st July.

On account of current year.			On account of former years.		
Land revenue.	Village cess.		Land revenue.	Village cess.	
	Rayati (Government villages).	Inami (alienated villages).		Rayati (Government villages).	Inami (alienated villages).
38	39	40	41	42	43
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
6,95,205 13 10	23,516 12 0	85 4 0	18,423 8 0	677 11 0	...
27,63,889 14 4	1,68,333 6 0	6 7 0	12,092 0 0	566 14 0	...
17,17,484 9 5	86,301 10 0	0 6 0	778 1 0	43 0 0	...
9,89,623 3 2	47,072 5 0	127 5 0	31,665 8 3	1,222 8 0	...
5,49,571 11 0	31,416 4 0	319 7 0	1,503 5 0	30 0 0	...
67,14,775 3 9	3,56,640 5 0	538 13 0	64,462 6 3	2,540 1 0	...

Previous year, 1888-89.

Realisations up to 31st July.

On account of the year.			On account of former years.		
Land revenue.	Village cess.		Land revenue.	Village cess.	
	Rayati (Government villages).	Inami (alienated villages).		Rayati (Government villages).	Inami (alienated villages).
83	84	85	86	87	88
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
7,19,586 11 2	23,931 15 0	96 0 0	17,346 6 6	326 10 0	...
23,49,267 6 1	1,33,094 15 0	66 13 0	3,930 10 5	127 5 0	...
15,88,706 9 7	78,088 12 0	1 4 0	6,972 3 8	410 10 0	...
8,18,965 1 2	38,525 11 0	56 6 0	21,762 3 2	736 1 0	1 4 0
5,33,868 6 0	25,220 3 0	338 1 0	3,429 11 0	132 2 0	...
60,10,394 2 0	2,98,861 8 0	558 8 0	53,441 2 9	1,732 12 0	1 4 0

Total Land Revenue proper, cols. 38 and 41 ... 67,79,237 10 0

Details.

Collected before 1st April 1890 ... 32,52,524 14 11  
Collected after 1st April 1890 ... 35,26,712 11 1



for the Revenue years 1889-90 and 1888-89, together with the amount of realisations, report and previous years, up to the 1st August of each year.

1889-90, year under report.					
Total realisations.	Balances outstanding on 1st August 1890.			Miscellaneous items not included in the Jamabandi.	Remarks.
	On account of year under report.	On account of former years.	Total.		
	44	45	46		
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
7,37,909 0 10	42,753 7 1	10,545 9 11	53,299 1 0	1,121 13 4	
29,49,888 9 4	92,777 12 7	2,314 5 0	95,092 1 7	1,996 14 3	
18,04,607 10 5	211 6 8	150 8 0	361 14 8	2,043 15 4	
10,63,710 13 5	87,492 9 3	5,896 3 6	93,388 12 9	2,485 5 6	
5,82,840 11 0	53,890 0 0	1,132 2 0	54,522 2 0	126 1 5	
71,38,956 13 0	2,76,625 3 7	20,038 12 5	2,96,664 0 0	7,774 1 10	
Previous year, 1888-89.					
Total realisations.	Balances outstanding on the 1st August 1889.			Miscellaneous items not included in the Jamabandi.	
	On account of the year.	On account of former years.	Total.		
	89	90	91		
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
7,61,237 10 8	19,978 10 11	21,163 0 0	41,141 10 11	3,522 14 8	
24,86,437 1 6	15,387 0 0	5,208 11 0	20,595 11 0	1,185 13 5	
16,74,179 7 3	1,139 13 0	...	1,139 13 0	3,380 2 3	
8,80,046 10 4	37,234 6 7	9,065 14 0	46,300 4 7	2,347 15 9	
5,62,988 7 0	2,415 7 0	270 0 0	2,685 7 0	255 5 9	
63,64,989 4 9	76,155 5 6	35,707 9 0	1,11,862 14 6	11,192 3 10	

Total Miscellaneous Revenue not included in the Jamabandi, col. 47 (a)... Rs. a. p. 7,774 1 10

Details.  
Collected before the 1st April 1890 ... 4,228 2 6  
Collected after do. ... 3,545 15 4

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.

# DETAILS OF VILLAGES AND HAMLETS.

Districts.	Villages.			Hamlets.			Remarks.
	In-habited.	Unin-habited.	Total.	In-habited.	Unin-habited.	Total.	
Government .....	Upper Sind Frontier... ..	297	1	298	1,164	80	1,244
	Shikarpur ... ..	1,080	95	1,175	4,858	285	5,143
	Hyderabad ... ..	1,315	24	1,339	6,675	484	7,159
	Karachi ... ..	823	35	858	3,192	139	3,271
	Thar and Parkar ... ..	71	.....	71	1,749	7	1,756
	Total ... ..	3,586	155	3,741	17,578	995	18,573
Alienated .....	Upper Sind Frontier... ..	7	.....	7	23	.....	23
	Shikarpur ... ..	15	2	17	184	5	189
	Hyderabad ... ..	58	.....	58	397	7	404
	Karachi ... ..	14	.....	14	42	.....	42
	Thar and Parkar ... ..	.....	.....	2	.....	.....	2
	Total ... ..	94	2	96	648	12	660
	Grand Total... ..	3,680	157	3,837	18,226	1,007	19,233



# APPENDIX No. II.

## SUPPLEMENT TO APPENDIX No. I.

Statement showing details of miscellaneous Land Revenue entered in columns 21 and 47 (a) of Appendix No. I.

Sl. No.	Districts.	Total of Cols. 7 and 9 @ 12 as in Col. 21 of Appendix No. I.	Items appearing in Talebands.														Items not appearing in Talebands.									
			Fixed.		Fluctuating.												Record room receipts.	Sale of useless articles.	Batta and fees received from Courts.	Revenue fines.	Recoveries of over-payments.	Recoveries of pay of Govt. servants and of expenditure incurred.	Other items not appearing in the Taleband.	Total of cols. 18 @ 24 as in col. 47 (a) of Appendix No. I.		
			Rents.	Tree tax.	Rice hakabo.	Occasional items of fixed revenue.	Sale of lands or occupancy rights.	Revenue from trees.	Revenue from grass and grazing.	Revenue from miscellaneous products.	Proceeds from unauthorized occupation or cultivation.	Fine for appropriating land to purposes unconnected with agriculture.	Notice fees.	Penalty under Section 118.	Other items appearing in the Jama-band Taleband.											
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25		
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
1	U. S. Frontier...	63,177 2 3	309 2 0	...	...	241 5 0	27,530 3 0	861 11 9	...	137 12 0	31,783 9 0	328 15 0	635 8 0	5 14 0	1,323 2 6	33 5 0	11 3 1	5 0 0	169 4 0	...	7 4 0	895 13 3	1,121 13 4			
2	Shikarpur .....	1,30,317 5 11	471 14 0	3,528 3 0	...	2,765 15 0	96,484 2 4	5,673 10 5	...	1,475 2 6	13,385 3 0	1,702 7 0	2,740 0 0	1,320 3 0	716 9 8	211 9 10	47 1 1	124 5 0	446 10 0	1 0 1	35 5 1	1,130 15 2	1,996 14 3			
3	Hyderabad .....	1,04,739 14 1	62 14 6	...	328 11 0	502 9 9	66,940 10 9	7,128 14 6	6,119 5 1	571 2 0	19,565 12 0	291 8 0	2,390 8 0	790 14 0	38 0 6	449 12 3	247 2 8	121 15 5	421 12 0	...	46 13 2	756 7 10	2,043 15 4			
4	Karachi .....	90,382 8 9	13,005 11 2	226 15 0	409 13 0	382 9 0	21,216 11 0	7,785 13 0	21,677 0 0	4,771 15 0	211,960 13 0	636 9 0	3,130 0 0	29 6 0	79 4 11	...	64 3 2	44 12 0	39 0 0	334 12 4	10 7 0	1,992 3 0	2,485 5 0			
5	Thar & Parkar...	31,741 13 0	...	...	...	...	2,207 0 0	866 0 0	1,149 9 0	300 0 0	21,960 10 0	15 0 0	90 4 0	...	8,165 6 0	124 13 0	0 14 3	...	...	0 6 2	...	...	126 1 5			
	Total.....	4,23,351 12 0	18,820 9 8	3,755 2 0	828 8 0	3,692 6 9	2,14,398 11 1	22,286 2 2	28,936 14 1	7,255 15 8	98,655 15 0	2,974 7 0	9,040 0 0	2,146 5 0	10,322 7 7	819 8 1	379 8 3	296 0 5	1,076 10 0	336 2 7	69 13 3	4,775 7 3	7,774 1 10			

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.



# APPENDIX No. III.

STATEMENT of causes of Increase and Decrease under columns 22 and 67 of Appendix No. I.

No.	Causes.	Amount.	Total.	Grand Total.
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
<b>Upper Sind Frontier.</b>				
<b>INCREASE.</b>				
<i>Land Revenue—</i>				
1	Unoccupied land taken up for cultivation...	4,174 1 0		
2	Unassessed land brought into occupation and assessed	7,921 13 0		
3	Operation of Revenue Survey ...	72 9 0		
4	Increased cultivation in unsettled lands ...	19,159 9 4		
5	Variation in the assessment on settled lands consequent on changes in their irrigation, &c.	3,354 11 0		
6	Transfer of certain lands from the Shikarpur District	17,063 7 0		
7	Cancellation of huri grant in Jacobabad Taluka ...	13 12 0	51,759 14 4	
<b>MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.</b>				
8	Head No. 4, Rents ...	299 13 0		
9	" 7, Occasional items of fixed revenue ...	142 9 0		
10	" 10, Revenue from trees ...	400 6 10		
11	" 12, Revenue from miscellaneous products	34 8 0		
12	" 14, Fine for appropriating land to purposes unconnected with agriculture	272 11 0		
13	" 15, Notice fees ...	166 4 0		
14	" 16, Penalty under Section 148, Land Revenue Code ...	5 14 0	1,322 1 10	
<b>Total Increase...</b>		.....	.....	53,082 0 2
<b>DECREASE.</b>				
<i>Land Revenue—</i>				
1	Land thrown up and remaining unoccupied ...	24 4 0		
2	" forfeited for non-payment of revenue and remaining unoccupied ...	1,076 6 0		
3	" set apart for public purposes ...	25 9 0		
4	" entered as uncultivable ...	43 4 0		
5	" last year cultivated, this year remained fallow ..	1,517 15 4		
6	Erosion by the river ...	423 8 0		
7	Decrease in village cess and hakabo owing to decreased cultivation in alienated lands ...	25 12 0	3,136 10 4	
<b>MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.</b>				
8	Head No. 9, Sale of lands or occupancy rights ...	1,763 4 0		
9	" 13, Proceeds from unauthorised occupation or cultivation ...	11,511 10 0		
10	" 17, Other items appearing in the Jama-bandi Taleband ...	2,493 5 0	15,768 3 0	
<b>Total Decrease...</b>		.....	.....	18,904 13 4
<b>Net Increase ...</b>		.....	.....	34,177 2 10

No.	Causes.	Amount.	Total.	Grand Total.
<b>Shikarpur.</b>				
<b>INCREASE.</b>		<b>Rs. A. P.</b>	<b>Rs. A. P.</b>	<b>Rs. A. P.</b>
<i>Land Revenue—</i>				
1	Unoccupied land taken up for cultivation ...	66,665 6 0		
2	Unassessed land brought into occupation and assessed	64,056 4 0		
3	Lapse of alienated land ... ..	68 13 0		
4	Operation of Revenue Survey ... ..	46,140 1 0		
5	Expiry of leases of land let on special terms ...	31 3 0		
6	Lands last year fallow, this year cultivated and assessed under fallow rules ... ..	4,11,868 0 0		
7	Increased cultivation in unsettled lands ... ..	49,371 0 11		
8	Variation in the assessment on settled lands in consequence of changes in irrigation employed in cultivating them ... ..	52,133 8 0		
9	Increase in hakabo and other jagir dues owing to increased cultivation in jagir lands ... ..	5,047 11 0		
10	Minor causes ... ..	326 0 0		
			6,95,707 14 11	
<b>MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.</b>				
11	Head No. 4, Rents ... ..	38 6 0		
12	" 7, Occasional items of fixed revenue ...	1,761 1 0		
13	" 10, Revenue from trees ... ..	2,609 3 11		
14	" 12, Revenue from miscellaneous products	658 15 6		
15	" 14, Fines for appropriating land to purposes unconnected with agriculture ... ..	937 14 0		
16	" 15, Notice fees ... ..	860 12 0		
17	" 16, Penalty under Section 148, Land Revenue Code ... ..	736 5 9		
			7,602 10 2	
<b>Total Increase ... ..</b>		<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>7,03,310 9 1</b>
<b>DECREASE.</b>				
<i>Land Revenue—</i>				
1	Land thrown up and remaining unoccupied ...	328 11 0		
2	" forfeited for non-payment of revenue and remaining unoccupied ... ..	121 7 0		
3	" set apart for public purposes ... ..	25 13 0		
4	" entered as uncultivable ... ..	148 15 0		
5	" eroded by river ... ..	787 9 0		
6	New grants made for tree plantation ... ..	32 2 0		
7	Amount due to pattadars having increased owing to increased cultivation ... ..	15,749 9 0		
8	Transfer of certain lands to Kashmor Taluka of the Upper Sind Frontier District ... ..	17,243 10 0		
9	Minor causes ... ..	446 5 0		
			34,884 1 0	
<b>MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.</b>				
10	Head No. 5, Tree tax ... ..	312 0 0		
11	" 9, Sale of lands or occupancy rights ...	40,270 15 2		
12	" 13, Proceeds from unauthorised occupation or cultivation ... ..	793 2 0		
13	" 17, Other items appearing in Jamabandi Taleband ... ..	4,834 4 1		
			46,210 5 3	
<b>Total Decrease ... ..</b>		<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>81,094 6 3</b>
<b>Net Increase ... ..</b>		<b>.....</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>6,22,216 2 10</b>
<b>Hyderabad.</b>				
<b>INCREASE.</b>				
<i>Land Revenue—</i>				
1	Unoccupied land taken up for cultivation ...	90,238 10 0		
2	Unassessed land brought into occupation and assessed	1,27,454 0 0		
3	Lapse of alienated lands ... ..	1,061 8 0		
4	Operation of Revenue Survey ... ..	1,488 2 0		
<b>Carried forward.....</b>		<b>2,20,242 4 0</b>		



No.	Causes.	Amount.	Total.	Grand Total.
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
	Brought forward...	2,20,242 4 0		
5	Expiry of leases let on special terms ...	0 11 0		
6	Increase in hakabo owing to increased cultivation in jagir lands ...	1,523 6 0		
7	Extension of cultivation in lands already in occupation ...	1,02,684 11 0		
8	Variation in assessment on settled lands in consequence of changes in their irrigation ...	4,299 14 0		
9	Extra assessment on twice cropped lands ...	2,359 3 0		
10	Minor causes ...	915 4 0		
			3,32,025 5 0	
	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.			
11	Head No. 4, Rents ...	62 14 6		
12	" 6, Rice hakabo ...	5 10 0		
13	" 7, Occasional items of fixed revenue ...	502 9 9		
14	" 10, Revenue from trees ...	901 9 10		
15	" 11, Revenue from grass and grazing ...	1,414 1 1		
16	" 15, Notice fees ...	34 0 0		
17	" 16, Penalty under Section 148, Land Revenue Code...	693 9 0		
			3,614 6 2	
	Total increase...	.....	.....	3,35,639 11 2
	DECREASE.			
	Land Revenue—			
1	Land thrown up and remaining unoccupied ...	40,802 2 0		
2	" forfeited for non-payment of revenue and remaining unoccupied ...	4,832 10 0		
3	" entered as uncultivable ...	983 8 0		
4	Transfer of certain lands to Karachi District...	2,588 15 0		
5	Lands last year cultivated, this year fallow ...	16,468 7 0		
6	Minor causes ...	106 1 0		
			65,781 11 0	
	MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.			
7	Head No. 9, Sale of lands or occupancy rights ...	28,491 10 3		
8	" 12, Revenue from miscellaneous products ...	61 13 8		
9	" 13, Proceeds from unauthorised cultivation or occupation ...	2,816 0 0		
10	" 14, Fine for appropriating land to purposes unconnected with agriculture ...	184 12 0		
11	" 17, Other items appearing in Jamabandi Taleband ...	480 13 6		
			32,035 1 5	
	Total decrease...	.....	.....	97,816 12 5
	Net increase...	.....	.....	2,37,822 14 9
	Karachi.			
	INCREASE.			
	Land Revenue—			
1	Unoccupied land taken up for cultivation ...	6,299 0 0		
2	Unassessed land brought into occupation and assessed ...	24,209 15 0		
3	Lapse of alienated land ...	1,234 11 0		
4	Operation of Revenue Survey ..	9,760 10 0		
5	Expiry of leases of land let on special terms ...	273 7 0		
6	Increased cultivation in unsurveyed lands ...	30,354 7 0		
7	Variation in the assessment on settled lands consequent on changes in their irrigation ...	3,052 14 0		
8	Transfer of lands from Hyderabad District ...	3,827 7 0		
9	Lands last year fallow this year cultivated ...	1,66,310 11 0		
10	Increase in hakabo and village cess owing to increased cultivation in jagir lands ...	1,230 11 0		
11	Extra assessment on twice cropped lands ...	53 6 0		
			2,46,637 3 0	



No.	Causes.		Amount.	Total.	Grand Total.
			Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
<b>MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.</b>					
12	Head No. 4,	Rents ...	160 13 0		
13	" 5,	Tree Tax ...	206 11 0		
14	" 9,	Sale of lands or occupancy rights ...	1,343 15 0		
15	" 11,	Revenue from grass and grazing ...	2,611 6 6		
16	" 12,	Revenue from miscellaneous products ...	904 3 10		
17	" 14,	Fine for appropriating land to purposes unconnected with agriculture ...	416 11 0		
18	" 15,	Notice fees ...	818 4 0		
19	" 16,	Penalty under Section 148, Land Revenue Code ...	29 6 0		
20	" 17,	Other items appearing in Jamabandi Taleband ...	34 9 9		
Total increase...			.....	6,526 0 1	2,58,163 3 1
<b>DECREASE.</b>					
<i>Land Revenue—</i>					
1	Land thrown up and remaining unoccupied ...		1,376 5 0		
2	" forfeited for non-payment of revenue and remaining unoccupied ...		1,479 2 0		
3	Transfer of land to head of alienation ...		34 0 0		
4	Land set apart for public purposes ...		66 4 0		
5	Land entered as uncultivable ...		247 15 0		
6	Lands eroded by river ...		581 9 0		
7	Minor causes ...		83 12 0		
				3,868 15 0	
<b>MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.</b>					
8	Head No. 6,	Rice hakabo ...	268 5 0		
9	" 7,	Occasional items of fixed revenue ...	2,940 3 0		
10	" 10,	Revenue from trees ...	351 9 6		
11	" 13,	Proceeds from unauthorised occupation or cultivation ...	435 0 7		
Total decrease...			.....	3,995 2 1	7,864 1 1
Net increase...			.....	.....	2,45,299 2 0
<b>Thar and Parkar.</b>					
<b>INCREASE.</b>					
<i>Land Revenue—</i>					
1	Unoccupied land taken up ...		1,06,823 11 0		
2	Increase in Hakabo owing to increased cultivation in jagir lands ...		15 9 0		
				1,06,839 4 0	
<b>MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.</b>					
3	Head No. 9,	Sale of lands or occupancy rights ...	116 8 0		
4	" 11,	Revenue from grass and grazing ...	415 9 0		
5	" 13,	Proceeds from unauthorised occupation or cultivation ...	17,568 8 0		
6	" 14,	Fine for appropriating land to purposes unconnected with agriculture..	15 0 0		
7	" 15,	Notice fees ...	50 12 0		
Total increase...			.....	18,166 5 0	1,25,005 9 0
<b>DECREASE.</b>					
<i>Land Revenue—</i>					
1	Land thrown up and remaining unoccupied ...		4,625 2 0		
				4,625 2 0	
<b>MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.</b>					
2	Head No. 10,	Revenue from trees ...	21 12 0		
3	" 12,	Revenue from miscellaneous products ...	309 14 0		
4	" 17,	Other items appearing in Jamabandi Taleband ...	601 7 0		
Total decrease...			.....	933 1 0	5,558 3 0
Net increase...			.....	.....	1,19,447 6 0

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.

# APPENDIX No. IV.

ANALYTICAL STATEMENT of Balances outstanding on the 1st August 1890, on account of Land Revenue in the Province of Sind, as shown in column 47 of Jamabandi Return No. I for the Land Revenue year 1889-90.

No.	Districts.	Current Years' Balances.			Former Years' Balances.			Total.		
	<i>Recoverable—</i>	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
1	Upper Sind Frontier ...	41,643	2	1	10,457	0	11	52,100	3	0
2	Shikarpur ...	27,191	4	7	1,349	8	0	28,540	12	7
3	Hyderabad ...	141	7	0	...	...	...	141	7	0
4	Karachi ...	25,125	10	3	4,744	5	6	29,869	15	9
5	Thar and Parkar...	53,259	0	0	0	2	0	53,259	2	0
	Total...	1,47,360	7	11	16,551	0	5	1,63,911	8	4
	<i>Irrecoverable—</i>									
1	Upper Sind Frontier ...	1,110	5	0	88	9	0	1,198	14	0
2	Shikarpur ...	65,586	8	0	964	13	0	66,551	5	0
3	Hyderabad ...	19	15	8	...	...	...	19	15	8
4	Karachi ...	61,536	6	0	1,151	14	0	62,688	4	0
5	Thar and Parkar...	131	0	0	1,132	0	0	1,263	0	0
	Total...	1,28,384	2	8	3,337	4	0	1,31,721	6	8
	<i>Doubtful and Disputed—</i>									
1	Upper Sind Frontier ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
2	Shikarpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
3	Hyderabad ...	50	0	0	150	8	0	200	8	0
4	Karachi ...	830	9	0	...	...	...	830	9	0
5	Thar and Parkar...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total...	880	9	0	150	8	0	1,031	1	0
	<i>ABSTRACT—</i>									
1	Recoverable ...	1,47,360	7	11	16,551	0	5	1,63,911	8	4
2	Irrecoverable ...	1,28,384	2	8	3,337	4	0	1,31,721	6	8
3	Doubtful and Disputed ...	880	9	0	150	8	0	1,031	1	0
	Total...	2,76,625	3	7	20,038	12	5	2,96,664	0	0

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,

Acting Commissioner in Sind.



# APPENDIX No. V.

## SUPPLEMENT TO APPENDIX No. IV.

Statement showing Balances of Land Revenue in the Province of Sind on account of 1888-89 and previous years outstanding on 1st August 1890.

No.	Districts.	Balances outstanding on 1st August 1889.										REMARKS.
		1879-80.	1881-82.	1882-83.	1883-84.	1884-85.	1885-86.	1886-87.	1887-88.	1888-89.	Total.	
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
1	Upper Sind Frontier.	...	1,090 0 0	1,062 0 0	811 2 0	2,952 4 0	1,136 3 0	5,618 14 0	8,492 9 0	20,374 12 11	41,537 12 11	
2	Shikarpur	...	217 1 0	2,293 14 0	...	479 10 0	...	1,099 9 0	1,097 13 0	14,990 14 0	20,199 13 0	
3	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,139 13 0	1,139 13 0	
4	Karachi	112 5 0	333 15 0	304 8 0	1,151 3 0	523 8 0	663 14 0	908 15 0	5,057 10 0	37,531 6 7	46,300 4 7	
5	Thar & Parkar	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	270 0 0	2,415 7 0	2,685 7 0	
	Total	112 5 0	1,676 0 0	3,690 6 0	1,962 5 0	3,946 6 0	1,805 1 0	7,627 6 0	14,918 0 0	76,155 5 6	1,11,883 2 6	
Written off or remitted during the year under report.												
1	Upper Sind Frontier.	...	1,090 0 0	1,062 0 0	811 2 0	2,952 4 0	1,130 2 0	824 13 0	3,031 5 0	989 6 0	11,891 0 0	
2	Shikarpur	...	103 4 0	10 15 0	...	...	...	0 12 0	8 12 0	5,102 15 0	5,226 10 0	
3	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	163 4 0	163 4 0	
4	Karachi	...	...	...	...	...	...	8 11 0	1,260 3 0	6,247 2 10	7,516 0 10	
5	Thar & Parkar	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	20 0 0	...	20 0 0	
	Total	...	1,193 4 0	1,072 15 0	811 2 0	2,952 4 0	1,130 2 0	834 4 0	4,370 4 0	12,457 11 10	24,521 14 10	
Collected during the year under report.												
1	Upper Sind Frontier.	...	...	...	...	...	6 1 0	1,563 11 0	1,040 6 0	16,491 1 0	19,101 3 0	
2	Shikarpur	...	143 13 0	1,110 4 0	...	470 10 0	...	742 2 0	808 8 0	9,383 9 0	12,653 14 0	
3	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	821 1 0	821 1 0	
4	Karachi	...	...	228 4 0	413 11 0	88 15 0	136 15 0	139 7 0	2,590 9 6	29,240 2 9	32,533 0 3	
5	Thar & Parkar	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	44 0 0	1,489 5 0	1,533 5 0	
	Total	...	143 13 0	1,338 8 0	413 11 0	559 9 0	143 0 0	2,405 4 0	4,483 7 6	57,425 2 9	67,002 7 3	
Balances outstanding on 1st August 1890.												
1	Upper Sind Frontier.	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,230 6 0	4,370 14 0	2,944 5 11	10,545 9 11	
2	Shikarpur	...	...	1,173 11 0	...	...	...	356 11 0	280 9 0	504 6 0	2,314 5 0	
3	Hyderabad	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	150 8 0	150 3 0	
4	Karachi	112 5 0	338 15 0	76 4 0	737 8 0	434 9 0	531 15 0	710 13 0	1,206 13 6	1,747 1 0	5,896 2 6	
5	Thar & Parkar	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	206 0 0	926 2 0	1,132 2 0	
	Total	112 5 0	338 15 0	1,248 15 0	737 8 0	434 9 0	531 15 0	4,297 14 0	6,064 4 6	6,272 6 11	20,033 12 5	

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.



# APPENDIX No. VI.

Statement showing the Takavi Advances made during the year 1889-90 in the Province of Sind and the objects for which they were made, and the progress of the recovery of Outstanding Balances of Advances.

Number.	Districts.	In how many villages.	Advances made in current year.				Balances of advances of last year and previous years outstanding on 1st August 1889.				Collections current year up to 31st July 1889-90.					Balance written off up to 31st July 1890.				Balances outstanding on 1st August 1890.				
			For what objects.	Installments due in current year.	Installments due in future years.	Total.	Total advances made in last year, 1888-89.	Installments due in past years.	Installments due in current year.	Installments due in future years.	Total.	Installments due in past year.	Installments due in current year.	Installments due in future years.	Total.	Interest.	Installments due in past years.	Installments due in current year.	Installments due in future years.	Total.	Installments due in past years (column 9 minus columns 13 and 18).	Installments due in current year (columns 6 and 10 minus columns 14 and 19).	Installments due in future years (columns 6 and 11 minus columns 15 and 20).	Total (columns 7 and 12 minus columns 16 and 21).
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
ADVANCES UNDER THE LAND IMPROVEMENT LOANS ACT.																								
1	Upper Sind Frontier.	...	...	...	...	600 0 0	...	...	300 0 0	300 0 0	600 0 0	...	300 0 0	150 0 0	450 0 0	37 10 11	...	...	...	...	...	...	150 0 0	150 0 0
2	Shikarpur.	31	For the clearance of canals, kharas, and wells and embankments of Ghar canal.	...	8,595 0 0	8,565 0 0	2,500 0 0	...	2,316 0 0	5,191 0 0	7,507 0 0	...	2,565 0 0	...	2,263 0 0	337 3 9	...	...	...	...	...	50 0 0	13,786 0 0	13,836 0 0
3	Hyderabad.	4	For the construction of wells.	50 0 0	950 0 0	1,000 0 0	500 0 0	...	1,333 8 0	1,336 8 0	2,700 0 0	...	1,403 8 0	...	1,203 8 0	165 6 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,296 8 0	2,296 8 0
4	Karachi.	8	For the improvement of land.	...	2,500 0 0	2,500 0 0	...	...	187 8 0	187 8 0	375 0 0	...	187 8 0	...	187 8 0	34 10 8	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,687 8 0	2,687 8 0
5	Thar and Parkar.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total.	43	...	50 0 0	12,045 0 0	12,095 0 0	3,000 0 0	...	4,157 0 0	7,055 0 0	11,182 0 0	...	4,157 0 0	150 0 0	4,07 0 0	624 14 11	...	...	...	...	...	50 0 0	18,920 0 0	18,970 0 0
ADVANCES UNDER THE AGRICULTURISTS LOANS ACT.																								
1	Upper Sind Frontier.	7	For the purchase of seed, &c.	500 0 0	2,050 0 0	2,550 0 0	...	...	450 0 0	400 0 0	850 0 0	...	200 0 0	...	200 0 0	41 1 6	...	...	...	...	...	750 0 0	2,150 0 0	3,200 0 0
2	Shikarpur.	9	For the purchase of cattle and seed.	00 0 0	1,340 0 0	1,430 0 0	300 0 0	...	200 0 0	100 0 0	500 0 0	...	400 0 0	...	400 0 0	36 14 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,440 0 0	1,440 0 0
3	Hyderabad.	28	For the purchase of seed, &c.	3,048 0 0	2,775 0 0	5,823 0 0	3,540 0 0	...	3,220 0 0	...	3,120 0 0	...	5,623 9 4	...	5,623 9 4	271 5 7	...	...	...	...	...	544 6 8	2,775 0 0	3,319 0 8
4	Karachi.	61	For the purchase of seed, &c.	270 0 0	14,565 0 0	15,135 0 0	5,800 0 0	2,372 4 0	6,200 0 0	800 0 0	9,372 4 0	372 4 0	6,470 0 0	...	6,842 4 0	379 15 6	...	...	...	...	2,000 0 0	...	15,865 0 0	17,665 0 0
5	Thar and Parkar.	15	Idita.	75 0 0	7,425 0 0	7,500 0 0	3,345 0 0	...	2,755 0 0	...	2,755 0 0	...	2,850 0 0	...	2,830 0 0	262 3 2	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,425 0 0	7,425 0 0
	Total.	120	...	3,943 0 0	28,455 0 0	32,438 0 0	12,985 0 0	2,372 4 0	12,925 0 0	1,800 0 0	16,897 4 0	372 4 0	15,618 9 4	...	15,935 13 4	931 7 9	...	...	...	...	2,000 0 0	1,294 6 8	29,753 0 0	33,049 0 8
	Grand Total.	163	...	4,033 0 0	40,500 0 0	44,533 0 0	16,585 0 0	2,372 4 0	17,682 0 0	8,325 0 0	27,779 4 0	372 4 0	19,770 9 4	150 0 0	20,292 13 4	1,538 6 8	...	...	...	...	2,000 0 0	1,344 6 8	4,675 0 0	52,019 0 8

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.

---

APPENDIX No. VII.

---



## APPENDIX

## Comparative Statement showing lands assessed and unassessed, occupied and

Year under report.

No.	Districts.	Total area.	Deduct						
			Unassessed cultivable land.	Uncultivable land.	Land set apart for special purposes.		Land set apart for public pur- poses.	Land eroded by river.	
					Forest.	Other.			
1	2	3	4	5	6		7	8	
		A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	
1	Upper Sind Frontier .....	16,01,820 16	3,48,590 31	4,73,023 4	48,467 25	88 37	11,040 34	1,890 37	
2	Shikarpur .....	52,17,328 35	21,06,346 14	9,12,757 12	3,16,521 10	516 21	61,224 35	19,391 25	
3	Hyderabad .....	56,69,738 32	15,89,193 7	14,43,993 5	55,747 36	491 10	64,067 16	16,465 36	
4	Karachi .....	51,12,742 9	6,03,708 38	33,83,773 29	1,10,677 30	56 18	22,771 21	8,097 24	
5	Thar and Parkar .....	84,88,494 18	.....	Information not available.		.....	.....	.....	
Total.....		2,60,91,174 30	46,48,839 10	62,13,547 10	5,31,414 21	1,153 1	1,59,104 26	45,786 1	

Previous year.

No.	Districts.	Total area.	Deduct						Land set apart for public purposes.	Land eroded by river.
			Unassessed cultivable land.	Uncultivable land.	Land set apart for special purposes.		Land set apart for public purposes.	Land eroded by river.		
					Forest.	Other.				
1	2	19	20	21	22		23	24		
		A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.		
1	Upper Sind Frontier.....	15,99,362 29	3,74,520 0	4,87,226 12	48,649 3	82 6	10,092 9	1,534 30		
2	Shikarpur .....	43,86,426 3	16,44,990 18	6,20,511 26	2,69,635 27	60 31	56,651 19	16,567 0		
3	Hyderabad .....	56,02,815 5	16,04,567 6	14,16,998 23	51,020 8	466 35	42,866 26	13,889 13		
4	Karachi .....	50,30,485 1	5,86,003 9	33,84,469 7	95,433 15	38 13	19,707 8	7,417 31		
5	Thar and Parkar .....	84,75,607 35	.....	Information not available.			.....	.....		
Total .....		2,50,74,696 33	42,10,080 33	59,09,205 38	4,64,788 13	648 5	1,29,317 22	39,408 34		

NOTE.—Column 19. The total area shown in this column is in excess of that entered in column 3 of the same appendix for the year 1888-89. The increase is due to the inclusion of the area of wholly alienated villages under the orders contained in Government Resolution No. 6938, dated 1st October 1890. The same reason applies to the difference in entries in columns 26, 29, 30, 33 & 34.



unoccupied, in the Province of Sind during the year 1889-90 and the previous year.

Year under report.											
Total.	Remainder cultivable assessed.	Deduct		Remainder occupied assessed land.	Assessment and quit-rent.	Details.					
		Unoccupied cultivable assessed land.	Land free or specially reduced.			Government.			Alienated.		
						Area.	Assessment.		Area.	Government revenue.	
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		
A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	Rs. A. P.	A. G.	Rs. A. P.	A. G.	Rs. A. P.		
8,84,042 8	7,17,778 8	32,025 15	86 28	6,85,666 5	7,58,945 10 8	6,37,107 3	7,58,850 15 8	48,559 2	594 11 0		
34,16,757 37	18,00,570 38	1,36,147 39	455 5	16,63,967 34	30,03,800 4 0	12,94,508 14	29,86,365 13 0	3,69,459 20	17,434 7 0		
31,69,958 29	24,99,830 3	3,43,275 23	1,340 86	21,55,213 24	18,51,119 11 0	16,55,654 39	18,05,761 11 0	4,99,558 25	45,358 0 0		
41,29,985 35	9,81,656 14	53,286 29	3,321 39	9,28,047 26	11,07,060 14 0	7,37,857 32	10,98,133 12 0	1,90,189 34	8,927 2 0		
78,27,896 38	6,60,537 20	.....	.....	6,60,597 20	6,48,579 13 0	6,38,387 26	6,48,260 6 0	22,209 34	319 7 0		
1,94,27,741 27	66,63,433 3	5,64,735 26	5,204 28	60,93,492 29	73,69,506 4 8	49,63,515 34	72,96,872 9 8	11,29,976 35	72,633 11 0		

Previous year.

Total.		Remainder cultivable assessed.		Deduct				Remainder occupied assessed land.		Assessment and quit-rent.		Details.							
				Unoccupied cultivable assessed land.		Land free or specially reduced.						Government.				Alienated.			
												Area.		Assessment.		Area.		Government revenue.	
25		26		27		28		29		30		31		32		33		34	
A.	G.	A.	G.	A.	G.	A.	G.	A.	G.	Rs.	A. P.	A.	G.	Rs.	A. P.	A.	G.	Rs.	A. P.
9,22,104	20	6,77,258	9	16,264	16	71	30	6,60,922	3	7,10,322	6 8	6,12,600	26	7,06,701	15 8	48,321	17	620	7 0
26,08,467	1	17,57,959	2	1,58,672	33	460	7	15,98,826	2	23,48,284	4 1	12,32,596	22	23,35,897	8 1	3,66,229	20	12,386	12 0
31,29,809	1	24,73,006	4	3,71,796	0	1,346	6	20,99,863	38	15,84,876	1 0	15,96,265	7	15,40,963	1 0	5,03,598	31	43,913	0 0
40,93,069	3	9,37,415	38	46,181	3	3,291	39	8,87,942	36	8,64,292	10 0	6,98,205	25	8,56,575	7 0	1,89,737	11	7,717	3 0
78,65,012	21	6,10,595	14	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,10,595	14	5,46,365	11 0	5,89,418	31	5,46,027	10 0	21,176	23	338	1 0
1,86,18,462	6	64,56,234	27	5,92,914	12	5,170	2	58,58,150	13	60,54,141	0 9	47,20,086	31	59,89,165	9 9	11,29,063	22	64,975	7 0

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.

## APPENDIX

## SUPPLEMENT TO

Supplement giving explanation of decrease and increase in the area and assessment of

No.	Districts.	Cultivable land paying survey assessment or quit-rent occupied during the year 1888-89 as shown in columns 29 & 30 of Appendix No. VII.		Decrease on account of					
				Land thrown up and remaining unoccupied.		Land forfeited for non-payment of revenue and remaining unoccupied.		Transfer of land to head of alienation.	
		Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.
1	U. S. Frontier ...	6,60,922 3	7,10,322 6 8	116 27	24 4 0	2,191 25	1,076 6 0	...	...
2	Shikarpur .....	15,98,826 2	22,48,284 4 1	1,465 10	328 11 0	45 0	121 7 0	...	...
3	Hyderabad .....	20,99,863 38	15,84,876 1 0	42,971 20	40,802 2 0	2,597 30	4,832 10 0	...	...
4	Karachi.....	8,87,942 36	8,64,292 10 0	9,271 6	1,376 5 0	973 2	1,479 2 0	11 30	34 0 0
5	Thar & Parkar...	6,10,595 14	5,46,365 11 0	15,341 25	4,625 2 0	...	...	...	...
	Total .....	58,58,150 13	60,54,141 0 9	69,166 8	47,156 8 0	5,807 17	7,509 9 0	11 30	34 0 0



## Decrease on account of

Operation of revenue survey.		Land transferred to forest.		Land set aside for other special purposes.		Land set apart for public purposes. *		Land entered as uncultivable.	
Area.	Assess-ment.	Area.	Assess-ment.	Area.	Assess-ment.	Area.	Assess-ment.	Area.	Assess-ment.
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
A. G. Rs. a. p.	A. G. Rs. a. p.	A. G. Rs. a. p.	A. G. Rs. a. p.	A. G. Rs. a. p.	A. G. Rs. a. p.	A. G. Rs. a. p.	A. G. Rs. a. p.	A. G. Rs. a. p.	A. G. Rs. a. p.
...	...	...	...	...	...	71 25	25 9 0	48 11	43 4 0
...	...	...	...	...	...	29 3	25 13 0	81 30	148 15 0
227 34	...	...	...	...	...	21 3	...	3,008 20	983 8 0
295 3	...	...	...	...	...	84 11	66 4 0	85 0	247 15 0
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
522 37	...	...	...	...	...	206 2	117 10 0	3,223 21	1,423 10 0

\*Details.

A. G. Rs. a. p.

132 10	62 3 0	Village sites.
2 38	0 0 0	Burning & burial grounds.
29 30	25 13 0	Roads.
0 25	0 0 0	Public buildings.
0 10	1 0 0	Land occupied by Rail- way Department.
37 39	25 10 0	
1 0	3 0 0	Barrow pits.
1 10	0 0 0	Bands.
		Canals.

Total... 206 2 117 10 0



Decrease on account of					
Land allowed to remain fallow.		Minor causes.		Total decrease.	
Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.
21	22	23	24	25	26
A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.
...	1,517 15 4	713 28	961 9 0	3,141 36	3,648 15 4
...	...	9,615 39	48,224 7 0	11,237 2	48,849 5 0
...	16,468 7 0	1,543 27	2,695 0 0	50,370 14	65,781 11 0
...	...	2,855 22	2,788 5 0	13,575 34	5,991 15 0
...	...	...	...	15,341 25	4,625 2 0
...	17,986 6 4	14,728 36	54,669 5 0	93,666 31	1,28,897 0 4

Increase on account of

Unoccupied land taken up.		Unassessed land brought into occupation and assessed.		Lapse of alienated land.	
Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.
27	28	29	30	31	32
A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.
3,279 31	4,174 1 0	7,406 21	7,921 13 0	258 11	...
23,812 1	66,665 6 0	21,918 21	64,056 4 0	...	68 13 0
61,635 8	90,238 10 0	40,332 0	1,27,454 0 0	...	1,061 8 0
3,473 34	6,299 0 0	14,649 31	24,209 15 0	43 15	1,234 11 0
65,343 31	1,06,823 11 0	...	...	...	...
1,57,544 25	2,74,200 12 0	84,306 33	2,23,642 0 0	301 26	2,365 0 0

Increase on account of

Operation of revenue survey.		Expiry of leases of land let for special terms.		Land hitherto set aside for forest or other special purpose given out for cultivation.	
Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.
33	34	35	36	37	38
A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.
31 5	72 9 0	...	...	...	...
1,823 32	46,140 1 0	10 19	31 3 0	...	...
2,733 13	1,488 2 0	...	0 11 0	...	...
4,078 7	9,760 10 0	...	273 7 0	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...
8,666 17	57,461 6 0	10 19	305 5 0	...	...



## No. VIII.

## APPENDIX No. VII.

cultivable assessed land occupied during the year 1889-90 in the Province of Sind.

Increase on account of								Columns 3 & 4 minus columns 25 & 26 plus columns 43 & 44. Cultivable assessed land occupied during 1889-90 as in columns 13 & 14 of Appendix No. VII.	Remarks.
Lands last year fallow this year cultivated or assessed under fallow rules.		Minor causes.		Total increase.					
Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.		
39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	
A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.		
...	...	16,910 10	40,103 12 4	27,885 38	52,272 3 4	6,85,666 5	7,58,945 10 8		
...	4,11,868 0 0	28,814 11	1,15,535 9 11	76,378 34	7,04,365 4 11	16,63,967 34	30,03,800 4 0		
...	...	1,019 19	1,11,782 6 0	1,05,720 0	3,32,025 5 0	21,55,213 24	18,51,119 11 0		
...	1,66,340 11 0	31,435 17	40,641 13 0	53,680 24	2,48,760 3 0	9,28,047 26	11,07,060 14 0		
...	...	...	15 9 0	65,343 31	1,06,839 4 0	6,60,597 20	6,48,579 13 0		
...	5,78,208 11 0	78,179 7	3,08,079 2	33,29,009 7	14,44,262 4 3	60,93,492 29	73,69,506 4 8		

B. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.

# APPENDIX No. IX.

Statement showing the number and extent of holdings in surveyed Government Rayatwari villages of the Province of Sind for the year 1889-90.

Details of holdings.	Upper Sind Frontier.				Shikarpur.				Hyderabad.				Karachi.				Total.			
	Government.		Inam.		Government.		Inam.		Government.		Inam.		Government.		Inam.		Government.		Inam.	
Number of holdings—																				
1. Under and up to 5 acres ...	142		...		17,603		54		3,197		93		3,427		27		24,369		174	
2. Over 5 acres up to 25 " ...	492		2		27,183		81		13,830		181		7,026		45		48,531		309	
3. " 25 " 100 " ...	593		...		8,278		25		8,180		143		3,416		40		20,467		208	
4. " 100 " 500 " ...	488		1		1,757		32		3,020		151		1,311		68		6,576		252	
5. Over 500 acres ...	222		...		191		28		486		104		180		49		1,029		181	
Total No. of holdings...	1,937		3		55,012		220		28,713		672		15,310		229		1,00,972		1,124	
Total Area...	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.
	4,04,697	3	295	29	12,45,169	11	56,629	35	16,43,083	28	1,91,738	27	6,50,151	23	1,12,728	21	39,43,101	25	3,61,392	32
Total assessment or quit-rent...	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
	4,25,029	5 4	...	...	28,69,101	1	7,100	14 0	17,80,539	14 0	26,267	12 0	10,02,150	4 0	6,115	9 0	60,76,820	8 4	39,484	3 0
Average area of holdings...	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.
	208	37	98	23	22	25	257	16	57	9	285	13	42	19	492	10	39	2	321	21
Average assessment or quit-rent per holding .....	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
	219	6 10	...	...	52	2 6	32	4 5	62	0 2	39	1 5	65	7 4	26	11 3	60	2 11	35	2 1
Average assessment or quit-rent per acre .....	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
	1	0 10	...	...	2	4 10	0	2 0	1	1 4	0	2 2	1	8 8	0	0 10	1	8 8	0	1 9

NOTE.—The total Government area shown in this form includes fallow lands exempt from assessment, while the total Government assessment is what has actually been levied on cultivated area only. If the assessment on fallow area be added to that of cultivated area the figures will stand thus :—

Total assessment or quit-rent .....	Rs. a. p.
Average assessment per holding .....	98 10 3
Average assessment per acre .....	2 8 5

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.



# APPENDIX No. X.

Statement showing Tenures other than Rayatwari in the Province of Sind for the year 1889-90.

Kind of Tenure.	No. of estates or villages.	No. of holders or recognized share-holders.	Gross area in acres.	Average area of each estate.	Government Revenue from area under each Tenure.	Average revenue from each estate.	Incidence of revenue (column 6) per acre (column 4.)	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			A. G.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
(1) JAGIRS, FIRST CLASS—								
Upper Sind Frontier ...	1	1	13,385 0	13,385 0				
Shikarpur ...	2	2	2,04,023 3	1,02,011 22	4,347 9 0	2,173 12 6	0 0 4	
Hyderabad ...	2	2	4,464 1	2,232 0	75 0 0	37 8 0	0 0 3	
Karachi ...	7	7	21,785 33	3,112 10	521 8 0	74 8 0	0 0 5	
Total	12	12	2,43,657 37	20,304 33	4,944 1 0	412 0 1	0 0 4	
(2) JAGIRS, FOUR GREAT TALPUR FAMILIES—								
Upper Sind Frontier ...	1	1	1,333 1	1,333 1	159 1 0	159 1 0	0 1 11	
Shikarpur ...	3	3	44,080 9	14,693 16	4,301 0 0	1,433 10 8	0 1 7	
Hyderabad ...	17	17	2,23,918 29	13,171 28	16,982 9 0	998 15 7	0 1 3	
Karachi ...	2	2	10,387 25	5,193 33	85 5 0	42 10 6	0 0 2	
Total	23	23	2,79,719 24	12,161 29	21,527 15 0	935 15 11	0 1 3	
(3) JAGIRS, 2ND CLASS (SIRDARS)—								
Shikarpur ...	3	3	2,756 10	913 30	446 6 0	143 12 8	0 2 7	
Hyderabad ...	11	11	39,851 18	3,622 34	2,069 11 0	188 2 5	0 0 10	
Total	14	14	42,607 28	3,043 16	2,516 1 0	179 11 6	0 0 11	
(4) JAGIRS, 3rd CLASS—								
Karachi ...	1	1	2,986 39	2,986 39	677 14 0	677 14 0	0 3 8	
(5) POLITICAL INAMS—								
Upper Sind Frontier ...	5	5	26,000 12	5,200 2	389 0 0	77 12 10	0 0 3	
(6) KHAIRATDARS, 1st CLASS—								
Shikarpur ...	2	2	28,225 32	14,112 36	1,182 11 0	591 5 6	0 0 8	
Grand Total	57	57	6,23,198 12	10,933 12	31,237 10 0	548 0 5	0 0 19	

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.



## Statement showing the average incidence of Land Revenue and of Assessment per head

Districts.	Classes of Villages.	Total area.	Exempted or privileged areas.				Remainder fully assessed.				Total Government Land Revenue (excluding cesses).	Total population.	Incidence of Land Revenue per head of population (col. 11 divided by col. 12).
			Not available for cultivation or unassessed.	Alienated.	Free or reduced by special agreement.	Total.	Unoccupied.	Occupied		Total.			
								Cultivated.	Fallow.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		10	11	12	13
		A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
UPPER SIND FRONTIER.	1. Surveyed Government Rayatwari villages ...	6,60,676 37	2,32,658 30	295 20	66 30	2,33,021 9	32,025 15	1,50,562 32	2,45,067 21	4,36,655 28	4,54,844 12 4	...	...
	2. Other Government villages for which statistics are available ...	1,20,531 11	35,327 26	...	...	35,327 26	...	12,100 16	73,013 9	85,203 25	28,067 1 0	...	...
	3. Government villages for which statistics are not available ...	7,70,893 85	6,16,055 32	7,545 0	19 38	6,23,620 30	...	1,45,195 29	2,077 16	1,47,273 5	3,38,672 14 7	...	...
	4. Surveyed alienated villages ...	27,333 13	...	27,333 13	...	27,333 13	...	...	...	...	548 1 0	...	...
	5. Alienated villages for which statistics are not available ...	13,385 0	...	13,385 0	...	13,385 0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	16,01,820 16	8,84,042 8	48,559 2	86 28	9,32,687 38	32,025 15	3,16,948 37	3,20,158 6	6,69,132 18	8,27,122 12 11	1,45,810	5 10 3
SHIKARPUR.	1. Surveyed Government Rayatwari villages ...	40,64,040 30	26,26,003 25	56,629 35	455 5	26,83,178 25	1,36,147 39	9,13,586 39	3,31,127 10	13,90,862 5	29,59,797 4 11	...	...
	2. Other Government villages for which statistics are available ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	3. Government villages for which statistics are not available ...	8,74,202 31	7,00,664 12	33,744 11	...	8,24,408 23	...	49,794 8	...	49,794 8	1,39,835 14 0	...	...
	4. Surveyed alienated villages ...	2,33,673 24	...	2,33,673 24	...	2,33,673 24	...	...	...	...	9,094 15 0	...	...
	5. Alienated villages for which statistics are not available ...	45,411 30	...	45,411 30	...	45,411 30	...	...	...	...	1,182 11 0	...	...
	Total ...	52,17,328 35	34,16,757 37	3,69,450 20	455 5	37,96,672 23	1,36,147 39	9,63,381 4	3,31,127 10	14,30,656 13	31,59,910 12 11	8,20,540	3 13 3
HYDRABAD.	1. Surveyed Government Rayatwari villages ...	41,60,028 37	19,81,830 30	1,91,738 27	1,340 36	21,74,910 23	3,43,275 23	9,00,668 18	7,41,074 14	19,85,018 15	19,05,984 2 1	...	...
	2. Other Government villages for which statistics are available ...	12,41,625 27	11,88,127 30	39,585 30	...	12,27,713 23	...	13,912 7	...	13,912 7	39,739 8 0	...	...
	3. Government villages for which statistics are not available ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	4. Surveyed alienated villages ...	2,68,234 8	...	2,68,234 8	...	2,68,234 8	...	...	...	...	19,127 4 0	...	...
	5. Alienated villages for which statistics are not available ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	56,69,788 32	31,69,958 29	4,69,558 25	1,340 36	36,70,858 10	3,43,275 23	9,14,580 25	7,41,074 14	19,99,930 22	19,55,850 9 1	7,54,624	2 9 6
KARACHI.	1. Surveyed Government Rayatwari villages ...	30,40,438 34	22,24,336 16	1,12,728 21	3,321 39	23,40,386 36	53,222 14	4,02,023 4	2,43,006 20	7,00,051 38	10,75,327 15 0	...	...
	2. Other Government villages for which statistics are available ...	19,12,352 20	19,04,749 19	1,920 39	...	19,06,670 18	...	5,682 2	...	5,682 2	15,175 15 0	...	...
	3. Government villages for which statistics are not available ...	1,25,790 19	...	40,379 37	...	40,379 37	64 15	85,346 6	...	85,410 21	1,05,634 13 9	...	...
	4. Surveyed alienated villages ...	20,900 25	...	20,900 25	...	20,900 25	...	...	...	...	1,284 3 0	...	...
	5. Alienated villages for which statistics are not available ...	14,250 32	...	14,250 32	...	14,250 32	...	...	...	...	9 8 0	...	...
	Total ...	51,13,742 9	41,29,085 35	1,90,189 34	3,321 39	43,22,597 28	53,286 29	4,93,051 12	2,43,006 20	7,91,144 21	11,97,443 6 9	4,59,490	2 7 2
THAR AND PAKISTAN.	1. Surveyed Government Rayatwari villages ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	2. Other Government villages for which statistics are available ...	84,83,404 18	78,27,896 38	22,209 34	...	78,50,106 32	...	6,38,387 26	...	6,38,387 26	6,83,324 10 0	...	...
	3. Government villages for which statistics are not available ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	4. Surveyed alienated villages ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	5. Alienated villages for which statistics are not available ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	84,88,494 18	78,27,896 38	22,209 34	...	78,50,106 32	...	6,38,387 26	...	6,38,387 26	6,83,324 10 0	2,03,344	3 5 9
ABSTRACT.	1. Surveyed Government Rayatwari villages ...	1,19,34,085 18	70,64,919 30	3,61,392 32	5,184 30	74,31,497 12	5,64,671 11	23,76,741 10	15,61,175 25	45,02,588	6,64,26,964 2 4	...	...
	2. Other Government villages for which statistics are available ...	1,17,63,003 36	1,09,56,101 33	63,710 23	...	1,10,19,818 16	...	6,70,173 11	73,013 9	7,43,185 20	7,57,298 13 0	...	...
	3. Government villages for which statistics are not available ...	17,70,837 4	14,06,720 4	81,669 8	19 38	14,88,409 10	64 15	2,90,336 3	2,077 16	2,82,477 34	5,94,163 10 4	...	...
	4. Surveyed alienated villages ...	5,50,141 30	...	5,50,141 30	...	5,50,141 30	...	...	...	...	30,054 7 0	...	...
	5. Alienated villages for which statistics are not available ...	73,056 22	...	73,056 22	...	73,056 22	...	...	...	...	1,183 3 0	...	...
	Total ...	2,60,91,174 30	1,94,27,741 27	11,29,976 35	5,204 28	2,06,62,923 10	5,64,736 26	33,27,249 24	16,36,266 10	55,26,251 20	77,98,652 5 6	24,13,823	2 2 2

and per acre, respectively, in each District of the Province of Sind for the year 1889-90.

Land Revenue assessed on fully assessed land.				Incidence per acre of Land Revenue assessed on fully assessed land.				Fully assessed area only.			Incidence of Land Revenue per head of population of fully assessed area (col. 20 divided by col. 21).	Towns of 10,000 inhabitants and upwards.		Remarks.
On unoccupied land.	On occupied land.		Total.	Occupied land only (col. 15 divided by col. 9).		Total assessed area (col. 16 divided by col. 10).	Net alienations.	Total of cols. 11 and 19.	Population.	Number of Towns.		Population.		
	Cultivated.	Fallow.		Cultivated.	Fallow.									
14	15		16	17			18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.					
72,202 7 0	4,25,029 5 4	4,87,590 6 0	9,84,822 2 4	2 10 7	1 15 10	2 4 1	1,223 7 8	4,50,068 4 0						
...	27,343 4 0	1,41,463 2 0	1,68,806 6 0	2 3 11	1 15 0	1 15 8	...	29,057 1 0						
...	3,05,978 6 4	4,629 1 0	3,10,607 7 4	2 1 9	2 3 8	2 1 9	4,920 13 7	3,43,602 12 2						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	60,638 1 0	61,186 2 0						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	10,246 0 0	10,246 0 0						
72,202 7 0	7,59,350 15 8	6,33,682 9 0	14,84,235 15 8	2 6 3	1 15 8	2 3 0	83,037 6 3	9,05,180 3 2	1,45,810 6 3 4	1	11,383			
4,49,433 7 0	28,89,054 6 0	10,97,707 10 0	44,16,195 7 0	3 2 3	3 5 0	3 3 2	1,84,374 4 0	31,74,171 8 11						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...						
...	1,17,264 12 0	...	1,17,264 12 0	2 5 8	...	2 5 8	58,609 8 0	1,98,445 6 0						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,21,528 8 0	5,30,623 7 0						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	70,244 13 0	71,427 8 0						
4,49,433 7 0	29,86,319 2 0	10,97,707 10 0	45,33,460 3 0	3 1 7	3 5 0	3 2 8	8,34,757 1 0	39,74,667 13 11	8,20,549 4 13 6	4	93,297			
5,03,581 8 0	17,90,611 5 0	17,18,456 2 0	41,02,548 15 0	1 15 8	2 5 1	2 1 1	6,61,087 14 0	25,67,072 0 1						
...	25,221 13 0	...	25,221 13 0	1 13 0	...	1 13 0	75,523 9 0	1,06,262 12 0						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3,54,537 4 0	3,73,664 8 0						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...						
5,03,581 8 0	18,06,733 2 0	17,18,456 2 0	41,27,770 12 0	1 16 7	2 5 1	2 1 0	10,91,148 11 0	30,46,999 4 1	7,64,624 4 0 7	1	48,153			
1,08,845 7 0	10,02,146 1 0	5,70,362 15 0	16,90,355 7 0	2 7 10	2 6 0	2 6 8	1,90,361 4 0	12,05,689 3 0						
...	12,649 11 0	...	12,649 11 0	2 3 7	...	2 3 7	1,310 7 0	16,386 6 0						
33 12 0	83,933 13 0	...	83,967 9 0	0 15 7	...	0 15 7	44,227 14 0	1,49,882 11 9						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	44,965 0 0	46,249 3 0						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	15,806 8 0	15,807 0 0						
1,08,880 3 0	10,96,129 9 0	5,70,362 15 0	17,86,372 11 0	2 3 7	2 6 0	2 4 2	2,96,671 1 0	14,94,014 7 9	4,80,496 3 0 10	1	73,660			
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...						
...	6,48,260 6 0	...	6,48,260 6 0	1 0 3	...	1 0 3	3,550 8 0	6,86,875 2 0						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...						
...	6,48,260 6 0	...	6,48,260 6 0	1 0 3	...	1 0 3	3,550 8 0	6,86,875 2 0	2,03,341 3 6 1	...	...			
12,34,063 13 0	60,76,741 1 4	38,85,117 1 0	11,09,921 15 4	2 8 11	2 7 10	2 7 9	10,37,046 13 8	74,63,001 0 0						
...	7,13,475 2 0	1,41,463 2 0	8,54,938 4 0	1 1 0	1 15 0	1 2 5	60,294 8 0	9,37,561 5 0						
33 12 0	5,06,576 15 4	4,629 1 0	5,11,239 13 4	1 12 11	2 3 8	1 12 11	1,07,767 3 7	6,91,939 13 11						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6,81,668 13 0	10,11,723 4 0						
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,02,297 5 0	1,03,480 8 0						
12,34,067 9 0	72,96,783 2 8	40,29,209 4 0	1,25,60,999 15 8	2 3 1	2 7 5	2 4 4	23,00,064 11 8	1,01,07,716 14 11	24,13,823 4 3 0	7	2,26,362			

\* This includes Rs. 55-11-0 on account of share given to Pattadars from miscellaneous Land Revenue.

NOTE.—Information to fill in cols. 12 and 21 is not available for settled and unsettled village separately.

\* This includes Rs. 55. 11-0 on account of share given to Pattadars from miscellaneous Land Revenue.

NOTE.—Information to fill in cols. 12 and 21 is not available for settled and unsettled villages separately.

NOTE.—The population figures of the Upper Sind Frontier, Shikarpur and Karachi Districts do not agree with those of the Census Returns of 1881. The difference is chiefly due to the territorial changes caused by the formation of the new talukas of Johi and Shabdadpur in the Karachi and Upper Sind Frontier Districts respectively.

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.



# APPENDIX No. XII.

Statement showing the coercive processes adopted for the realisation of Land Revenue and the results thereof in the Province of Sind during the year 1889-90.

No.	Districts.	Notice under Section 152 (Bombay Act V of 1879).			Penalty under Section 148.			Distraint and sale of moveable property under Section 154.				Sale of immovable property other than land under Section 155.		
		No. of cases.	Amount of arrears for which notices issued.	Amount of Notice fees recovered.	No. of cases.	Amount due.	Amount levied.	No. of cases.	Arrears on account of which dis- traint was resorted to.	Arrears on ac- count of which sale was resorted to.	Amount realised by sale.	No. of Cases.	Arrears on account of which sale was resorted to.	Amount realised.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
			Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
1	Upper Sind Frontier .....	1,559	1,09,224 10 4	561 8 0	1	5 14 0	5 14 0	43	10,592 10 0	6,717 13 0	861 0 11	...	...	...
2	Shikarpur .....	7,095	1,37,996 14 5	2,794 0 0	645	12,606 13 8	1,320 3 0	169	9,473 12 7	768 15 0	664 10 9	...	...	...
3	Hyderabad .....	9,388	2,28,315 8 0	2,390 8 0	233	18,502 7 0	790 14 0	1,444	62,473 7 8	1,120 15 0	563 5 0	...	...	...
4	Karachi .....	7,894	2,25,149 14 8	3,130 0 0	6	231 5 0	29 6 0	22	2,466 9 0	1,104 4 0	866 6 2	...	...	...
5	Thar and Parkar .....	196	42,654 9 4	79 8 0	...	...	...	1	290 6 0	290 6 0	290 6 0	...	...	...
	Total.....	26,132	7,43,341 8 9	8,955 8 0	885	31,346 7 8	2,143 5 0	1,679	85,296 13 3	10,000 5 0	3,245 12 10	...	...	...

Forfeiture and sale of the occupancy under Section 153.												Arrest and imprisonment under Section 157.		
Districts.	No. of Cases.	Arrears on account of which for- feiture was resorted to.	Occupancy of land declared forfeited.		Occupancy of land sold to the Public.			Forfeited land returned to defaulters.		Occupancy of land remain- ing with Government.		Number of persons ar- rested and detained.	Number of persons imprisoned in the Civil Jail.	Amount of arrears for which pro- cess issued.
			Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.	Amount realised by sale.	Area.	Assessment.	Area.	Assessment.			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
			Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.	A. G.	Rs. a. p.		Rs. a. p.
1	Upper Sind Frontier .....	300	37,305 8 8	22,160 35	...	42 20	...	457 5 0	6,497 8	...	15,621 7	...	...	...
2	Shikarpur .....	1,203	45,971 10 4	15,659 10	43,218 7 0	...	...	...	4,583 17	13,145 13 0	11,075 33	30,072 10 0	...	...
3	Hyderabad .....	824	66,510 5 0	27,188 13	66,447 8 0	227 25	683 0 0	882 13 0	247 35	527 8 0	26,712 33	65,237 0 0	...	...
4	Karachi .....	172	8,345 3 11	5,407 12	10,059 1 0	218 13	371 11 0	622 7 3	2,191 26	4,910 7 0	2,997 13	4,776 15 0	...	...
5	Thar and Parkar .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total.....	2,499	1,58,138 11 11	70,415 30	1,19,725 0 0	488 18	1,054 11 0	1,912 9 3	13,520 6	18,583 12 0	56,407 6	1,00,086 9 0	...	...

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.



# APPENDIX No. XIII.

Statement of Realisations under (I) Tributes, (II) Interest, and (III) Miscellaneous, in the Province of Sind for the year 1889-90.

No.	Item.	AMOUNT.						Remarks.
		Upper Sind Frontier.	Shikarpur.	Hyderabad.	Karachi.	Thar and Parkar.	Total.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	I.—TRIBUTES.	...	...	...	...	...	...	Not levied in Sind.
	II.—INTEREST.							
1	On advances to cultivators .....	78 12 5	424 1 9	436 11 7	414 9 9	202 3 2	1,556 6 8	
2	On arrears of revenue .....	...	...	...	441 8 3	...	441 8 3	
	Total...	78 12 5	424 1 9	436 11 7	856 2 0	202 3 2	1,997 14 11	
	III.—MISCELLANEOUS.							
1	Premium on bills, &c. ....	...	4 0 0	13 5 6	53 15 8	...	71 5 2	
2	Unclaimed Deposits, Currency Notes and cash.	...	...	...	219 9 10	...	219 9 10	
3	Surplus cash balance .....	...	0 14 10	...	2 0 11	...	2 15 9	
4	Cash recoveries of service payments of pre- vious years .....	...	...	...	0 1 3	...	0 1 3	
5	Sale proceeds of old stores and books .....	...	37 10 0	...	...	...	37 10 0	
6	Registration fee of Indian publication .....	...	2 0 0	...	...	...	2 0 0	
	Total...	...	44 8 10	13 5 6	275 11 8	...	333 10 0	
	Grand total...	78 12 5	468 10 7	450 1 11	1,131 13 8	202 3 2	2,331 8 11	

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.

# APPENDIX No. XIV.

STATEMENT showing total Collections on account of Land and Sayer Revenue and on account of Hakabo collected by Revenue officers, collection charges, and percentage of cost of collection on revenue, for the years 1889-90 and 1888-89, with comparison of increase and decrease.

No.	Districts.	1889-90.			1888-89.			Increase.			Decrease.		
		Total collections on account of Land and Sayer Revenue.	Collection charges.	Per-centage on Revenue.	Total collections on account of Land and Sayer Revenue.	Collection charges.	Per-centage on Revenue.	In collections.	In charges.	In per-centage.	In collections.	In charges.	In per-centage.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
1	Upper Sind Frontier...	7,39,619 1 7	62,467 6 10	8 7 2	7,65,507 14 10	58,483 4 8	7 10 3	...	3,984 2 2	0 12 11	25,888 13 3	...	...
2	Shikarpur.....	29,69,226 5 2	2,30,344 2 2	7 12	124,98,459 4 2	2,31,490 13 4	9 4 3	4,70,767 1 0	...	...	...	1,146 11 2	1 8 2
3	Hyderabad.....	18,48,676 4 10	2,08,231 0 0	11 4	317,18,133 10 0	1,96,847 0 0	11 7 4	1,30,542 10 10	11,384 0 0	...	...	...	0 3 1
4	Karachi.....	10,75,535 11 7	1,82,671 0 0	16 15 9	8,90,758 9 4	1,81,865 13 4	20 6 8	1,84,777 2 3	805 2 8	...	...	...	3 6 11
5	Thar & Parkar.....	5,84,375 13 7	70,465 7 9	12 0 11	5,64,717 9 3	72,432 8 2	12 13 3	19,658 4 4	...	...	...	1,967 0 6	0 12 4
	Total.....	72,17,433 4 9	7,54,179 0 9	10 7 2	64,37,576 15 7	7,41,119 7 7	11 8 2	8,05,745 2 5	16,173 4 10	...	25,888 13 3	3,113 11 8	1 1 0
	Deduct.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	25,888 13 3	3,113 11 8	...	25,888 13 3	3,113 11 8	...
	Net.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,79,856 5 2	13,059 9 2	...	...	...	1 1 0

\* The collection charges shown in column 7 against Hyderabad District are less by Rs. 34,425-15-2 than the amount shown in column 4 of the same Appendix for 1888-89. The decrease is due to the exclusion of the collection charges on account of Thar and Parkar District erroneously included in the last year's figures.

E. W. TREVOR, Colonel,  
Acting Commissioner in Sind.



*Annual Reports: Land Revenue Administration Report of the Province of Sind for the year 1889-90.*

No. 3035.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 4th May 1891.

Letter from the Commissioner in Sind, No. 419, dated 4th February 1891—Submitting the Annual Report on the Revenue Administration of the Province of Sind for the year 1889-90.

RESOLUTION.—The rainfall was greater than in the preceding year and the season was generally speaking a good one though locusts did much damage to the green crops and grass in the Desert Tálukás of the Thar and Párkar District and considerable areas were submerged by floods in the Karáchi, Hyderabad and Shikárpur Districts. The inundation was high and steady and a large extension of cultivation resulted.

2. The gross revenue increased from Rs. 1,45,59,510 to Rs. 4,19,80,930. This apparently very large increase was for the most part nominal and was due to assessment being calculated at average rates on land not available for cultivation and on unoccupied cultivated land in cases in which the Survey Department had not fixed any assessment. The net revenue rose by Rs. 12,58,960, the figures for 1888-89 and 1889-90 being Rs. 65,39,690 and Rs. 77,98,650 respectively. The increase has spread over all the districts though it was less perceptible in the Upper Sind Frontier District than in the others. These results are very satisfactory from a revenue point of view.

3. The total remissions amounted to Rs. 3,77,936 against Rs. 94,358 in 1888-89. Out of this sum Rs. 55,722 were for injuries to crops by locusts and other insects, chiefly in the Thar and Párkar District, Rs. 1,83,948 for losses by floods and Rs. 1,15,605 for other causes. The destruction of kharif crops by floods in the Karáchi, Hyderabad and Shikárpur Districts rendered large remissions necessary, but the loss to Government revenue was more than compensated by the rabi cultivation on the flooded lands. The remissions under "other causes" have been fully explained by the Commissioner.

4. The balance outstanding on the 31st July 1890 was Rs. 2,96,664 showing a large increase on that of the previous year. The causes however have been fully explained by the Commissioner in paragraph 38 of his report. The postponements which it was found necessary to grant were unusually large, and much time-expired fallow land was left uncultivated during the year. Although the season was a good one the number of notices issued increased very considerably and the attention of Collectors and Deputy Commissioners should be specially invited to Rule 87 of the Rules under Section 214, Land Revenue Code. A strict attention to the directions in the rule quoted will probably cause the number of notices to decrease.

5. The Commissioner should report whether steps have been taken to remedy the defects in canals and canal clearance in the Tando Bágo and Hála Tálukás of the Hyderabad District noticed in paragraph 4 of his report. The general increase of cultivation reported for the Hyderabad District indicates general improvement in the condition of the canals of that district and is satisfactory.

W. L. HARVEY,

Acting Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner in Sind,  
The Commissioner, N. D.,  
The Commissioner, C. D.,  
The Commissioner, S. D.,  
The Accountant General,  
The Public Works Department of the Secretariat,  
The Director of Land Records and Agriculture.  
The Government of India,  
The Secretary of State for India. } By letter.

} With copies of  
the report.

No. of 1891.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to

National Library,  
Calcutta-27.