

Taluk.	CHIKNOT.			MATTYAR I.			MATTYAR II.			BHUR I.			BHUR II.			Average.
	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
Lishengarh	... 3 5 11	2 2 7	1 10 7	3 1 1	1 12 4	1 13 2	1 11 4	1 7 5	1 0 5	2 11 9	1 6 8	0 13 0	3 10 0	...	0 9 10	1 7 8
Ramgarh	... 3 5 8	Chiknot I, Rs. 2-14-0 Chiknot II, Rs. 2-7-1	1 13 11	3 7 10	2 7 3	1 10 7	3 5 3	1 15 0	1 6 10	2 15 3	1 11 3	0 15 10	3 4 2	1 11 8	0 11 4	1 14 3
Govindgarh.	Chak I	... 3 14 4	3 3 3	2 0 1	3 12 8	3 3 2	1 13 9	...	...	4 0 9	...	1 6 2	...	...	1 2 4	2 2 0
	II	... 3 2 6	...	1 13 9	3 4 0	2 0 0	1 9 4	...	2 3 4	1 8 7	3 2 1	...	1 2 3	3 0 0	...	0 14 7
Total	... 3 10 6	3 3 3	1 15 9	3 8 9	3 2 5	1 12 0	...	2 3 4	1 9 2	3 5 7	...	1 3 7	2 13 6	...	0 15 11	1 14 6
Lachmangarh	... 3 6 0	...	1 9 5	3 3 4	1 9 11	1 4 1	2 14 1	1 3 6	1 0 0	2 13 0	0 14 10	0 13 6	2 15 4	2 0 0	0 10 11	1 8 11

The incidence of the revenue in the year 1896-97 is practically the same as that of Major Powlett's final assessment, except in Govindgarh where the decrease of 9 pies per bigah is due to the transfer of same rich highly assessed estates to Bhartpur.

As compared with the three years' settlement the incidence has risen considerably in Kishengarh and Lachmangarh and fallen off largely in the other two tahsils, and as compared with the 10 years' settlement it has risen in Kishengarh, fallen off in the remaining three. In these it is however almost certain that the 10 years' assessments were at the time very heavy and could not have been paid, were it not for the great increase in cultivation and wells during the currency of the settlement.

117. As bearing on this point and also on the pitch of his own assessment

Major Powlett's view of the standard of previous assessments and of his own. I quote the following from a letter of Major Powlett's (No. 74, dated 18th October 1873).

"I propose that my assessment should be based on 66 per cent. of the *nikasis*, plus 2 per cent cesses. I justify the levy of as much as this by the fact that the State up to the time of Major Impey's settlement aimed at taking all in excess of what would furnish a bare subsistence to the actual cultivators, indeed the assessment of the last settlement itself was at first very heavy and public opinion in Alwar is not now prepared to yield as much to the Zamindar as under British administration. A distinct surrender of more than 30 per cent. is thought very liberal. It is worth remembering that the Maharaja was very angry with Major Impey for what he considered Major Impey's unnecessary light assessment of Jhundoli (but which was at the time a very heavy assessment) and he publicly charged him with disregard of the interests of the State and with being generous with what was not his own. It is notorious that the Maharao contemplated compensating himself on the expiry of the settlement for what he thought was the undue liberality of 10 years before."

Probably every Native State regards the cultivating class as one which it is vain to conciliate by moderation and kindness, and this view has the sanction of Ram Chandra himself in the saying "*rayat kisi ka nahin.*" So expedient, in the interests of the people themselves, do I think it to guard against giving the impression of undue generosity to the villages, that I have in many cases where over assessed villages were not clearly in distress, contented myself with granting a measure of relief, substantial indeed, but one which still left the jama in excess of two-thirds the assets. The result will I believe satisfy influential opinion in the State, and at the same time place the people in a much better position than they would have been, had the settlement been made by Raj official under the direction of an average Alwar Chief."

118. I have given this quotation at length, not only because of its historical

Improvement in the condition of the interest, but because it expresses in wise Zamindars.

and lucid language the necessity of giving weight to local opinion in dealing with the assessment of Native States. No doubt official public opinion has advanced considerably in Alwar since these remarks were written. By all accounts, the late Maharaja Mangal Singh, who reigned from 1876 to 1892, regarded the contentment of the ryots as the foundation of the prosperity of his State, and so far from harassing them with the petty exactions and "benevolences," so common in a Native State, he signalled the first years of his rule by foregoing on the occasion of his marriage the "*neota*" or marriage cess (estimated to yield  $3\frac{1}{2}$  lakhs) to which all classes were bound to contribute according to their means, and in subsequent years further lightened the burdens of his people by abolishing all the '*begar*' and other impositions which weighed heavily of them. The result is that the Alwar Zamindars are now as secure in the possession of their rights, and as safe from illegal exactions as their neighbours in British territory, and though the standard of assessment is much higher, it aims at leaving 30 per cent. of the net assets in possession of the owners, whereas 30 years ago the State took the whole. It may here be explained that the extra demand on account of cesses which in the Punjab comes to about 20 per cent. on the land revenue, is in Alwar only 3 per cent. Before last settlement it was fixed at 2 per cent. for schools and dispensaries by Maharao Sheodan Singh. In 1896 an extra cess of 8 annas per cent. was imposed for arboriculture. It is now proposed to change this into a cess of one per cent. for roads and roadside trees, thus making a total of 3 per cent. for cesses. Lambar-dars will as before receive from the State 3 per cent. on collections (the rate was formerly only 2 per cent. in Lachmangarh, but the council have now sanctioned 3 per cent. as in other tahsils), and the Patwaris are also paid by the State.

Under the present administration of the State carried on during the minority of His Highness the Maharaja by the Political Agent and Council, while there is no desire to squeeze the Zamindars unduly, there is a feeling that the State has a right to profit by the undoubtedly improvement which, in spite of temporary checks, has taken place in the condition of the agricultural community during the last 22 years—an improvement due in great measure to the aid given by the State for sinking wells, and other agricultural improvements.

119. In this connection I may remark that as Takavi for construction of wells

Non exemption of new wells from chahi is always given free of interest, I do not propose to exempt land attached to such rates.

wells from assessment at irrigated rates. In paragraph 4 of the Government of India letter dealing with Major Jennings's preliminary report, it is stated that this was done by Major Powlett, and the same system should be followed now. But in Major Powlett's reports I cannot find any clear statement of this policy, and in his village assessments there is certainly nothing to show that he gave any such indulgence, which under the circumstances of the case is not warranted, and which the Zamindars have never thought of claiming. The interest charged— $6\frac{1}{2}$  per cent per annum—on such advances in British districts is roughly equivalent to the remission given in exempting such lands from chahi rates for 20 years; where no interest is charged there is no reason for the remission, and in Alwar new wells are nearly always constructed wholly or partly with Takavi advances.

120. This however is a matter of detail. As to the general question of the Forecast of enhancement by Political Agent and Council extent to which enhancement was justified Major Jennings in his report for the whole State estimating an increase of—

3 per cent. in area cultivated;

10 „ „ „ irrigated;

30 per cent. in prices.

and taking into consideration the improved agriculture and growth of more valuable crops, thought that an all-round enhancement of 20 per cent. or 4 lakhs for the whole State would be a moderate one, and the Council were of opinion that an even greater enhancement might be fairly taken. The Government of India while agreeing that the general basis of the last settlement, *viz.*, two-thirds assets generally, and half assets from Rajputs and Chauthbut holdings should be maintained, considered that the forecast required reconsideration, and that only a moderate increase of assessment should be imposed, but added that when a village pays the present demand with ease, it need not be reduced merely because it is over the standard rates.

121. In these four tahsils the complete data now available show that the Analysis of increase in cultivation prices, wells, &c. increase per cent. in cultivated area (paragraph 70) masonry wells (paragraph 10), chahi area (paragraph 70), ploughs (paragraph 77), prices (paragraph 86) since last settlement has been—

Tahsil.	INCREASE IN					
	Cultivation.	Wells.	Laos.	Chahi area.	Ploughs.	Prices.
Kishengarh ... ...	25	13	18	15	43	18
Rangarh ... ..	4	44	56	23	10	15
Govindgarh ... ...	<i>Nil.</i>	42	61	37	22	20
Lachmangarh . ...	<i>Nil.</i>	18	30	13	14	25

So that assuming Major Powlett's assessment to have been a moderate one at the time, and the tract to have suffered no serious agricultural disasters since, an increase of 20 per cent. now, though heavy, would not be excessive. Major Powlett's assessment was however in my opinion (paragraph 37) decidedly heavy in Ramgarh and Lachmangarh, and comparatively moderate in Kishengarh and Govindgarh, while the whole tract, but especially Lachmangarh, was severely tried by the disastrous famine of 1877-78. In the light of these facts, and of the present condition of the tract as ascertained by a village to village inspection, the share of the theoretical net assets, as ascertained in Chapters VI and VII, which the State should now take, will be discussed in the following chapter.

## CHAPTER IX.—Part I.

### STANDARDS AND ESTIMATES OF THE NEW ASSESSMENT AND ASSESSMENT PROPOSED.

**122.** The various estimates available for determining the future assessment Estimates of the new assessment. are shown in the following table:—

	Standard.	Kishengarh.	Ramgarh	Govindgarh.	Lachmangarh
A	Present demand ... ... ...	213,181	203,083	76,411	173,754
A	Applying the all round final rates of last settlement to present area	215,152	201,176	75,633	177,454
B	Do. adding increase of prices ...	257,754	232,928	94,606	210,456
C	Applying the actual rates of last settlement ...	250,677	223,684	86,895	199,290
D	Do. adding for the increase of prices (Chapter IV). ...	293,270	255,436	105,858	234,262
E	Taking one-fourth of the produce (Chapter V) ...	251,343	232,634	103,362	193,623
F	According to kind rents, state share being two-thirds of owner's share (Chapter VI).	254,782	240,018	105,480	194,133
G	According to Khatauni cash rents, State share being two-thirds of owner's share (Chapter VII).	158,360	180,015	78,623	138,837
H	According to the assumed competitive cash rents (Chapter VII).	244,826	217,052	84,346	186,504

The applicability of these estimates may now be discussed *seriatim*, it being premised that the present demand is now paid in ordinary years with ease and punctuality except in certain villages in Lachmangarh, and that the total balances due since 1878-79, not including any that may have been realised last rabi are—

	Rs.
Kishengarh ...	60,371
Ramgarh ...	1,25,028
Govindgarh ...	16,175
Lachmangarh ...	2,39,012

**123. A.** The all round rates of last Settlement would bring out a slight increase in Kishengarh and Lachmangarh, a slight decrease in the other two tahsils. Moreover the standard does not take account of the increase of prices and of irrigated area, the proportion of which to total area is higher now than at last settlement.

**B.** To increase it in proportion to the total increase of prices—15 to 25 per cent. in the various tahsils—would be unfair as there has also been a rise in the

cost of production, and some allowance must be made for improvement in the standard of comfort which is at present rather low as compared with British districts and which it should be the aim of a well regulated State to encourage.

C. The application of the soil rates of last settlement would give a large enhancement in Kishengarh, and a substantial one in the other tahsils. This enhancement so far as it is based upon an increase of cultivation or of chahi or dahri area is quite justifiable. The increase which might be taken into account under these heads would roughly be (see paragraphs 71 and 72) as follows :— Kishengarh 2·5 per cent. increase in cultivation.

	Rs.
At all round rates ... ... ... ... ...	$= 5,345$
3,404 bigahs increase in chahi at Rs. 1-12-0 per bigha—the difference between chahi and barani rates ...	$= 5,957$
 TOTAL ...	$11,302$
Ramgarh—4 per cent. increase in cultivation ...	$= 8,123$
2,388 bigahs increase in chahi at Rs. 1-12-0 ...	$= 4,079$
 TOTAL ...	$12,202$
 Govindgarh—1,741 bigahs increase in chahi from barani at . Rs. 2-0-0 ... ... ... ...	$= 3,482$
Lachmangarh—2,163 increase in chahi at Rs. 2-0-0 ...	$= 4,326$

The *dahri* irrigation has increased only in Kishengarh, and is probably not so effective now in Ramgarh and Lachmangarh as at last settled it, (paragraphs 72-74). The increase under this head is however mainly due to the fresh classification of soils *Chiknot*, *Mattyar* and *Bhur*, the result of which has been to add to the area of superior soils, at the expense of the inferior and more lightly assessed (paragraph 16), and this of course does not indicate an improvement in the soils themselves, but a difference in their classification. Hence this estimate is not a very safe guide.

D. The same remarks, as well as those under B, apply to estimate D. and the enhancement which it would bring out is out of the question.

The standards E and F based respectively on one-fourth of the gross produce of the year 1897-98 (allowing for fodder and failed crops) and on two-thirds of the owners net share deduced from rents in kind, agree very closely and are the result of careful enquiry.

Any estimates, however elaborately worked out based on a number of uncertain factors—in which there is room for wide difference of opinion—e.g., the outturn of crops, their money value, etc., cannot be considered satisfactory until tested by comparison with some absolute and certain data. In the present instance these are the cash rents.

G. The cash rents stated by the Zamindars being quite unreliable, except in Govindgarh, we have to fall back upon the competitive cash rents—standard H—based in Kishengarh on the special enquiry made last rabi, and in the other tahsils, on the data supplied by selected villages in which the figures were specially tested by the Superintendent. This estimate in Kishengarh and Lachmangarh agree pretty closely with E and F—though as might be expected it comes out lower. In Ramgarh it comes out a good deal, and in Govindgarh considerably lower.

124. After carefully weighing all the facts suggested by the above theoretical standards and testing them by the practical Assessment proposed. knowledge of these tahsils gained by the Superintendents and myself during our inspections, I consider that the future

assessment should be something close to standard H—the assumed competitive cash rents, and I therefore propose in round figures the following assessment, for each tahsil.

Tahsils.	Proposed Jama	Present Jama.	INCREASE.		Incidence.
			Total.	Per cent.	
Kishengarh	238,000	213,181	24,819	12	1 10 3
Ramgarh	220,000	203,083	16,917	8·4	2 1 1
Govindgarh	86,000	76,411	9,589	12	2 2 8
Lachmangarh	186,000	173,754	12,246	7	1 10 0
Total. ...	730,000	666,429	63,571	9·5	1 12 0

The enhancement is greatest in Kishengarh which I consider the most prosperous and lightly assessed tahsil, and in Govindgarh which has great natural fertility and was let off lightly at last settlement. In Ramgarh the enhancement proposed is moderate, because the old assessment was very high at the time, and allowance must be made for the uncertainty of the *dahri* floods. The same remarks apply to Lachmangarh, where the *dahri* is still more uncertain, and many villages have never recovered quite from the famine of 1877-78, and are still hampered with heavy balances. In fact I should not have proposed so large an enhancement were it not that—(1) Lachmangarh has a large area of good culturable land much of which is sure to be broken up soon after the assessments are given out; and (2) I intend to propose the remission of the most of the arrears.

The all-round enhancement of 9·5 per cent. may appear small compared with the forecast, 20 per cent., but this was considered by the Government of India to be oversanguine, and the enhancement in the eastern tahsils is likely to be greater as they were more leniently assessed at last settlement and suffered less from the famine.

The total assessments as now proposed are of course only in the rough, and the detail village assessments when worked out may give a difference of one or two thousand rupees per tahsil one way or the other. The proposed demand is slightly above the competitive cash rent half assets in Ramgarh and Govindgarh, agrees with it in Lachmangarh and falls 3 per cent. short of it in Kishengarh. An addition of 3 per cent. must however be made in each tahsil for cesses.

I do not think it will be necessary to take any considerable part of the enhancement now proposed in the form of progressive assessments, but should it appear excessive in any estate, this will be arranged in the distribution by villages.

125. The rates proposed to bring out the above total assessments are based on two-thirds of the kind rent rates (Chapter VI) and cash rent rates (Chapter VII) Revenue rates proposed.

already worked out for soils. These rates, the area to which they are to be applied, and the financial results derived from them are shown in detail in Appendix F, and a summary of this is given in the annexed form.

Tahsil.	CHAHI.				DAHRI.				BARAIN.						DEMAND.	
	PERMANENT OF		Temporary.	Average.	Present.	Former nahri.	Barishi.	Average.	Chiknot.	MATTYAR.*		BHUR.		Average.	By these rates.	Incidence of
	Masonry wells.	Others.								I	II	I	II			
	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Kishengarh	3 6 0	2 7 0	1 15 0	3 5 0	2 5 0	2 0 0	1 10 0	2 3 0	1 12 0	1 6 0	0 14 0	0 10 0	0 8 0	1 1 0	2,36,783	1 10 1
Ramgarh	3 15 0	2 14 0	2 7 0	3 6 0	2 15 0	2 6 0	2 3 0	2 11 0	2 0 0	1 10 0	1 2 0	0 14 0	0 8 0	1 5 5	2,19,370	2 8 0
Chak I	4 2 0	3 3 0	2 8 0	5 9 0	3 4 0	2 12 0	2 8 0	3 2 9	2 3 0	2 3 0	1 10 0	0 14 0	0 10 0	2 1 4	54,470	2 8 8
" II	3 8 0	2 8 0	1 15 0	2 11 0	...	...	...	...	...	1 10 0	1 4 0	0 14 0	...	1 7 9	31,819	1 12 1
Total	3 14 8	2 13 0	2 3 5	3 3 1	3 4 0	2 12 0	2 8 0	3 2 9	2 3 0	1 14 8	1 6 0	0 14 0	0 10 0	1 12 7	86,289	2 2 11
Lachmangarh	3 4 0	2 9 0	2 3 0	3 1 0	2 3 0	1 12 0	1 10 0	1 13 9	1 6 0	1 6 0	0 14 0	0 11 0	0 7 0	1 1 10	1,86,317	1 10 2

Gorakhpur.

88

The financial results yielded by these rates, in which for simplicity I have avoided fractions of an anna, do not exactly agree with the *jamas* proposed in round numbers for each tahsil, but the difference is inconsiderable. These rates are I think not only justified by the cash and kind rents but by applying them to average estates, they work out satisfactorily. They are compared with the soil rates of last settlement in the following table.

---

Tahsil.	Detail.	CHAKNOT, I, II.			MATTIAR I.			MATTIAR II.			BHUR I.			BHUR II.			Incidence	REMARKS.
		Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.		
Kishengarh	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	
	Former ...	3 5 17	3 2 7	1 10 7	3 1 1	1 12 4	1 13 2	2 11 4	1 7 5	1 0 5	3 11 9	1 6 8	0 13 0	3 1 0	...	0 9 10	1 7 8	
Ramgarh	Present ...	3 7 0	3 5 0	1 12 0	3 7 0	3 6 0	1 6 0	2 10 0	1 10 0	0 14 0	2 11 0	1 12 0	0 10 0	3 0 0	1 8 0	0 8 0	1 10 1	
	Former ...	3 5 8	2 12 3	1 14 11	3 7 10	2 7 3	1 10 7	3 5 3	1 15 0	1 6 10	2 15 3	1 18 3	0 15 10	3 4 3	2 11 8	0 11 4	1 14 3	
Gyanindgath.	Present ...	3 5 0	2 13 0	2 0 0	3 9 0	2 11 0	1 10 0	2 11 0	2 1 0	2 2 0	2 7 0	2 1 0	0 14 0	2 0 0	1 4 0	0 8 0	2 1 4	
	Former ...	3 14 4	3 3 3	2 0 1	3 13 8	3 3 2	1 13 9	...	...	...	4 0 9	...	1 6 2	...	1 2 4	2 4 0		
Chak II.	Present ...	3 18 0	3 3 0	2 3 0	3 10 0	3 3 0	2 3 0	3 5 0	...	8 20 0	1 10 0	...	0 14 0	1 7 0	...	0 10 0	2 8 8	
	Former ...	3 3 6	...	1 13 9	3 4 0	2 0 0	1 9 4	...	2 3 2	1 8 7	3 3 1	...	1 2 3	3 0 0	...	0 14 7	1 10 7	
Total	Present ...	...	...	...	2 13 0	...	1 20 0	2 1 0	...	1 4 0	1 6 0	...	0 14 0	...	...	...	1 12 1	
	Former ...	3 10 3	3 3 3	1 15 9	3 8 9	3 3 5	1 12 0	...	2 3 4	1 9 2	3 5 7	...	1 3 7	2 13 6	...	0 15 11	1 14 6	
Jachherangarh	Present ...	3 11 0	3 3 0	2 3 0	3 4 0	3 3 0	1 15 0	2 3 0	...	2 6 0	1 8 0	...	0 14 0	1 7 0	...	0 10 0	2 2 11	
	Former ...	3 6 0	1 12 10	2 9 5	3 3 4	1 9 11	1 4 1	2 14 1	1 3 6	1 0 0	2 13 0	0 14 10	0 13 6	2 15 3	2 0 0	0 10 11	1 8 11	
	Present ...	3 15 0	3 14 0	1 6 0	2 4 0	1 13 0	1 6 0	2 13 0	...	0 14 0	2 9 0	...	0 11 0	2 8 0	...	0 7 0	1 10 2	

Nihri area is included in dahri (fractions of ples omitted).

126. In the adjoining Ferozpur tahsil of Gurgaon Mr. Channing's rates  
 Comparison with adjoining British districts. (page 51, Financial Commissioner's Review)  
 converted into standard bigahs were—

	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Chahi	... 2 3 0	to 1 9 0
Dahri	... 1 9 0	" 0 14 0
Barani	... 1 1 6	" 1 10 6
(other than Bhur)		
Bhur	... 0 11 3	" 0 8 9

and Colonel Wace considered these rates moderate.

To compare them with the rates now proposed for Alwar, they should first be increased by one-third on account of the difference in the standard of assessment which would give—

	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Chahi	... 2 14 8	to 2 1 4
Dahri	... 2 1 4	" 1 10 8
Barani	... 1 7 6	" 0 14 0
Bhur	... 0 14 0	" 0 11 9

and if allowance also be made for the increase in prices within the last 20 years, and for the fact that cesses in Gurgaon are over 21 per cent. on the land revenue, and in Alwar only 3 per cent. the difference from the Alwar rates is not very considerable, except in the case of the Ramgarh *dahri*. This is, however, I believe far superior to the Ferozpur *dahri*.

A comparison with the adjoining districts of the North-West Provinces, where the theoretical half assets standard of assessment is more nearly approached than in the Punjab, would be more apposite. From the review already quoted I extract the following rates *per bigah* for irrigated and unirrigated land—

District.	Tahsil.	RATE PER BIGAH.			
		Irrigated.		Unirrigated.	
		Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.	Rs. A. P.
Muthra	Jhewar	... 5 10 0	to 2 3 0	2 8 0	to 0 12 6
Aligarh	Khair	... 3 12 0	to 1 9 0	1 9 0	to 0 10 0

127. In the Kot Putli Tahsil of Jaipur adjoining the Alwar Tahsil of Bahror, assessed by Mr. A. L. Tucker, I.C.S., in 1888-89, his rates on *chahi* according to the

Comparison with Native States. Alwar bigah varied from Rs. 5-10-0 to Rs. 3 in the highly assessed villages, and from Rs. 3-8-9 to Rs. 1-14-0 in estates assessed at one-fourth of the produce—the State share in Alwar—while his barani rate varied from Rs. 1-8-0, to 0-6-0 in the former group of villages, and from Rs. 0-15-0 to Rs. 0-4-0 in the latter. As regards soil, rainfall and produce these Alwar tahsils are very far superior to Kot Putli. As regards other parganahs of Jaipur which adjoin these tahsils on the north and south, there are no data for comparison available, while comparison with Bhartpur on the eastern boundary, would yield no useful results, as a regular settlement is now being made there for the first time. I think, however, I am justified in saying that the rates and assessment proposed are moderate for a Native State, and do not exceed two-thirds of the net assets, though they probably approach it very closely.

128. The question of balances has now to be considered in connection with what has been said in paras. 46 and 47.

**Balance to be realized and remitted** These balances are chiefly due for the years immediately following the famine of 1877-78, when the village communities had not yet quite recovered from the shock. They might however have been largely avoided (at least in all tahsils but Lachmangarh) if the revenue administration had been more alert or efficient. Owing, however, to the loose system followed under which the balance of one year was never carried forward and shown in the demand of the next, the old balances have been generally lost sight of and the decision of the question how far they should be realized, has been left entirely to the Tahsildars, who in this respect enjoy a discretion as wide as the Financial Commissioner or Board of Revenue in British provinces. The inefficiency of the Tahsildars and the uncertainty as to the methods of distribution (para. 56) and collection, are largely responsible for the balances of the last 10 years, which are only considerable in Lachmangarh.

To forego these balances altogether would perpetuate the old system, by encouraging the Zamindars to be dilatory in their payments; to endeavour to realize them in full, or on a scale approaching it, would be impossible without breaking down or seriously crippling the estates. Moreover, in many estates, these balances are due from owners who deserted after 1877-78, and though the transferees tacitly or expressly accepted liability, to enforce this now as regards the old balances would be impolitic and unjust. In many other estates the balances are due from particular sub-divisions or individual holdings which it will be a matter of great difficulty to ascertain correctly, while it would be unfair to saddle the whole estate with the responsibility. The Political Agent in para. 29 of his forecast report wrote,—

"Large arrears of revenue remained outstanding (after the famine of 1877-78) while the annual revenue demand could not be collected in full for several succeeding years. These arrears should have been struck off the registers long ago. It is however contemplated that at the completion of the present settlement the greater part of all the old outstanding balances should be finally written off."

Since then the State Council have agreed to commemorate Her Majesty's Jubilee to the remission of three out of the 9 lakhs of arrears in the State, and as about half of the total arrears are due from these 4 tahsils, 1½ lakhs or a half of the total remission may be appropriated to liquidating these balances. Of this I would assign a lakh to Lachmangarh Rs. 35,000 to Ramgarh, and Rs. 15,000 to Kishengarh, leaving the amount to be otherwise disposed of at—

Rs.

Kishengarh	...	...	...	...	...	45,371
Famgarh	...	...	...	...	...	90,028
Govindgarh	...	...	...	...	...	16,175
Lachmangarh	...	...	...	...	...	139,012

Out of this, after giving consideration to the amounts already realized on account of old balances in each Tahsil, and to the present condition, past history and future assessment of the estates from which these balances are due, I would propose to collect one lakh in instalments, distributed where necessary over the whole term of the new settlement, viz.—

Rs.

Ki hengarh	...	...	...	...	...	24,000
Ramgarh	...	...	...	...	...	40,000
Govindgarh	...	...	...	...	...	8,000
Lachmangarh	...	...	...	...	...	28,000

and remit the residue as irrecoverable, viz.—

Rs.

Kishangarh	...	...	...	...	...	21,371
Ramgarh	...	...	...	...	...	50,028
Govindgarh	...	...	...	...	...	8,175
Lachmangarh	...	...	...	...	...	111,012*

\* The remission might be associated with some state event either the recent marriage of His Highness the Maha-raja, or be held over till his installation.

The following statement illustrates the history of the balances in each tahsil and the proposals now made—

Tahsil.	Total balance from 1876-77.	Amount recovered up to Rabi 1877.	REMITTED UP TO DATE.		Balance now due.	Number of villages in which due.	PROPOSED FOR REVENUE.		Proposed to collect.
			On behalf of Maharaja.	For over assessment, etc.			As part of Jubilee remission	As recoverable.	
Kishangarh	... 255,579	104,478	85,653	5,077	60,371	33	15,000	21,371	24,000
Ramgarh	... 3448,50	82,087	78,694	59,041	125,028	67	35,000	50,028	40,000
Govindgarh	... 92,636	37,107	39,354	...	16,175	18	...	8,175	8,000
Lachmangarh	... 410,318	100,205	57,442	13,659	239,012	67	100,000	111,012	28,000
Total	... 1,103,383	323,877	261,143	77,777	440,586	185	150,000	190,586	100,000

Any sums realized last rabi will be credited against the lakh which it is proposed to realize. In Lachmangarh Rs. 21,708 of the balance is due from estates held in *jaidad* by one of the Maharanis. As regards remissions and realizations these villages will be dealt with like ordinary khalsa estates. Of course in making the distribution over estates it may not be found possible to realize as much as one lakh, but this is the object to be aimed at. The instalments will be proportioned to the resources of the villages, and where due from whole estates or sub-divisions of estates will be incorporated with the revenue demand till they are liquidated. This will protect the Zamindars from the arbitrary and haphazard ways by which old balances are at present collected.

Under the more careful revenue system which I hope the present settlement will inaugurate, and the more regular methods of distribution and collection, balances should rarely accrue in future. It will of course be necessary, even with the moderate demand now proposed, to show special consideration in years of drought or scarcity, but this should take the form of suspensions systematically sanctioned by the State on the advice of its revenue officials, and sanction should in the same way be obtained to the collection of such suspended revenue, or its remission if irrecoverable.

129. As already explained (paragraph 61) there are in these tahsils no Thakur estates to be assessed at favourable Remission for Thakur estates or Chauthbut rates, though many Thakurs hold revenue holdings. free grants or whole villages in jagir. The remission to be given for *Chauthbut* holdings are inconsiderable. By the above rates they work out.

Tahsil.	Area held in bigahs.	Jama by rates.	Remission allowed.	Amount in rupees.	Balance or assessment to be imposed.	REMARKS.
Kishengarh	... 284	...	...	About Rs. 200	...	Under enquiry.
Ramgarh	... 129	344	and $\frac{1}{2}$	105	239	
Govindgarh	... 302	223	<i>Nil</i>	...	...	
Lachmangarh	... 802	223	$\frac{1}{2}$	55	168	

But there may be some variation from these rates in the village assessments. In Ramgarh and Lachmangarh as the areas have not been included in *khalsa*, the sums to be realized are to be added to the proposed demand.

## CHAPTER IX.—Part II.

## MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS CONNECTED WITH THE ASSESSMENT.

130. So far I have dealt purely with the assessment of *khalsa* lands, or of estates assigned temporarily to the ladies of the palace, which for assessment purposes are treated as *khalsa*. A few matters may here be introduced to complete the survey of the revenue system.

131. The following estates held in *istamrar* or *jaidad* (as apart from *jagir*) by Thakurs and others pay a fixed revenue or commutation, which is practically the same as land revenue, but has not been taken account of in the proposed demand :—

Ramgarh—Istamrar plots	...	...	...	71	}
Manglispura (istamrar)	...	...	...	500	
Deoh	...	...	...	500	
Lachmangarh—Jadouli	...	...	...	1,038	
Ranija Jat	...	...	...	41	
Doothana	...	...	...	1,010	3,090
Khokar (istamrar)	...	...	...	1,010	
			Total	4,161	

while in Lachmangarh the fixed commutation dues realized from mafidars come to Rs. 1,759. These sums should be added to the *khalsa* jama to complete the land revenue account, the total addition—including *chauthbat*—coming to Rs. 1,310 in Ramgarh and Rs. 5,017 in Lachmangarh.

132. The cesses proposed (paragraph 118) amount to only 3 per cent. on the jama. As a cess of one per cent. is now to be imposed for roads, the special rate (1·9·0 per cent.) now levied in certain estates in Kishengarh (paragraph 67) should be abolished. In the 10 *jaidad* estates in Lachmangarh held by the Jamnagar Maharani the revenue is realized directly by the Maharani's agents, who pay no cesses to the State but appoint and remunerate the Patwaris. The seven *jaidad* estates in Ramgarh held by the Kishengarh Maharani pay 2 per cent. cesses for school and dispensaries; the revenue is collected through the tahsil, and the Patwaris are paid by the State, the cost of their pay being however deducted from the income. Proposals will be made to the Council for the introduction of a uniform system under which these estates should pay 3 per cent. cesses to the State, but the latter should pay the Patwaris as being State servants.

133. Prior to last settlement a great many miscellaneous cesses (*Hakk patwar, nazar, bait chanda* to temples, etc.) were levied from the villages, which were also responsible (page 8, Gazetteer) for providing officials on tour with fodder, wood, earthen pots, etc., gratis.

At last settlement nearly all of these were abolished and included in the land revenue, this being one of the reforms which Major Powlett set off against the large enhancement taken, and *begar* of all kinds was subsequently abolished by Maharaja Mangal Singh. A few relics of the old system survived, probably because they were never in the old days formally recognized, or were overlooked when the rest were abolished. In Ramgarh the Killadar of Naugaun still realizes *nazar* direct from 27 villages at the rate of one rupee per village per harvest or per annum, and the Killadar of Kherli similarly realizes Rs. 3 from three

villages, while in Lachmangarh the Killadar of Khotara realizes Rs. 12 from 6 villages at the rate of one rupee per harvest. All the other Killadars receive their pay and *nazar* direct from the treasury, and these should be similarly dealtwith.

In the same way a Brahmin Pandit in Lachmangarh realizes onerupee per harvest per village for reading the sacred books, and the temple of Brijnand receives a similar allowance, while a mosque at Lachmangarh realizes Re. 1 and annas 8 per harvest per village.

None of these dues seems ever to have been formally sanctioned by the State, and if so they would at last settlement have been included in the jamas, and paid from the treasury. They should now be abolished as a demand above the jama, otherwise they may furnish an inconvenient precedent for the imposition of other such irregular cesses hereafter, for which the system of making the internal distribution annually at the tahsil, with the assistance of the patwari and lambardars has in the past left the door open.

**134.** The *mujrai* or percentage paid to the lambardars as remuneration for their services in collection is now (paragraph 118) fixed at 3 per cent. At present

however this is paid not on the whole collections but after deducting the amount which represents the old miscellaneous imposts abolished or included in the jama at last settlement. This does not exceed 2 to 5 per cent. usually, and is a petty and needless economy which complicates the accounts. The lambardars should in future receive *mujrai* on the total collection.

**135.** The question of malba or contribution for village expenses is discussed at length by Major Powlett at page 46-48, Gazetteer. The rates he sanctioned

were—

Up to Rs. 100				15 per cent. on the Jma.
100	500	...	10 per cent.	
500	1,000	...	7 "	
1,000	1,500	...	6 "	
1,500	3,000	...	5 "	
Over	3,000	...	4 "	

Of which 2 per cent. in each case goes as a matter of right to the lambardars to increase their remuneration to 5 per cent. on the jama. The above rates are in some cases unnecessarily liberal, and I propose for the future the following :—

Up to Rs. 500	...	...	8 per cent.
Rs. 500 to 1,000	...	...	7 "
" 1,000 to 2,000	...	...	6 "
" 2,000 to 4,000	...	...	5 "
Over 4,000	...	...	4 "

Of which 2 per cent. will in each case go as before to swell the lambardars *mujrai* leaving the amount available for village expenses 6, 5, 4, 3 and 2 per cent. respectively. This I consider ample. In British districts one of the heaviest charges on the *malba* is the food of petty officials on tour, and the admirable system introduced in Alwar by the late Maharaja under which such officials are supplied when on tour according to a fixed scale by the nearest bunniah, who is afterwards paid by the State on presenting his bill, protects the village as a body against such charges.

**136.** There are no Zaildars or Inamdar in Alwar, and the want of a class of intelligent and well-to-do yeomen who can be of the greatest assistance to officials on tour as well as to the police administration, at once strikes an officer coming from the Punjab.

A few lambardars, for family or other reasons, receive small money grants (*nankar*) from the State, but there are no corresponding duties. In Kishengarh I have not traced any such grants. In Ramgarh two *Khanzada Chaudhries* of Mobarakpur receive each Rs. 25 and 12½ bighas (kham) of land revenue free. In Govindgarh, Umrao, the Meo Chaudhri of Semla receives an allowance of Rs. 80

per annum at the rate of 2 per cent. per Meo village in the tahsil (the number was 40); in Lachmangarh 8 lambardars receive *nankar* allowances varying from Rs. 50 to Rs. 5 per annum and aggregating Rs. 115. The total sum paid by the State is therefore Rs. 245 and 25 bigahs (kham) of land revenue free.

Before the settlement closes I shall see if something cannot be done to make some use of the more prominent members of the zamindar class in the local administration by defining their duties and increasing their remuneration.

**Revenue instalments.** 137. At present the dates of the revenue instalments for the whole State are—

Kharif	$\left. \begin{array}{l} 1\text{st, Mangsar Badi 1st, about 10th November.} \\ \dots \\ 2\text{nd, Poh Badi 1st, about 10th December.} \end{array} \right\}$
Rabi	$\left. \begin{array}{l} 1\text{st, Baisakh Badi 1st, about 10th April.} \\ \dots \\ 2\text{nd, Jeth Badi 1st, about 10th May,} \end{array} \right\}$

i.e., the collections in each case begin from these dates, and go on till the end of the month.

If payment is not made within three days of the end of the month, a writ of demand is issued, and interest charged at the rate of  $3\frac{1}{2}$  per cent. per month (6 pies per rupee). The dates are early, and owing to the Badi Sudi calendar, and the intercalary month (Laund) every fourth year, are variable.

The demand is made, at least for the first instalment, when the zamindars are busiest in harvest operations and long before they can dispose of their grain, and thus they have to pay interest at the rate of one anna per rupee for 15 days' accommodation or have to dispose of their crops in advance at cheap rates. The traditional system is of course, that the demand should be collected before the crop is removed, so as to avoid risk from absconding owners or tenants, and the Tahsildars who have been trained to this system, will be opposed to any extension of the date. But the time has happily gone by in Alwar when proprietors will bolt with their produce to escape the revenue demand, and it is the duty of the tahsildars and lambardars to see that shaky tenants do not abscond before discharging their liability. They have the necessary powers for this, for in Alwar, as in British territory, the State demand is the first charge on the harvest.

In Gurgaon where agricultural conditions are similar, the dates of the instalment (page 298, Settlement Report) were originally—

Kharif, 15th November and 15th December,

Rabi, 15th May and 15th June,

but in Mr. Wilson's revision (paragragh 32) the payment of the kharif instalments was postponed by a month, agreeing with the Muthra dates—so that for both harvests, the dates are now over a month behind those of Alwar.

I therefore propose for the kharif; 1st—25th November to 10th December, 2nd,—20th December, 5th January; and for the rabi, which is mainly sown by the owners themselves, and does not include a number of crops ripening earlier or later, one instalment beginning on 1st May and ending on the 31st. The English dates which are now used almost universally in all the State Courts and offices and are familiar to many of the people should also be introduced as unvarying. The amounts to be paid in kharif and rabi are now badly proportioned in many villages. In making my inspections I have always asked the zamindars how they wish to apportion the *jama* in future and have advised them when in doubt. In future the instalments will be proportioned roughly to the area and value of the crops grown in each harvest  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{1}{6}$ ,  $\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{1}{8}$ ,  $\frac{1}{5}$  and  $\frac{1}{10}$  and so on, minute fractions being avoided.

These changes, which the zamindars desire, while not going so far as in the adjoining British districts will give considerable relief to the people by interfering less with their harvest operations and enabling them to dispose of their produce in time to meet the revenue liabilities.

138. In paragraphs 8 and 32, it has been shown that nine estates in Kishengarh and 16 in Ramgarh have suffered from erosion or sanding over by the Sahibi Landha and other 'nala' ; and their assessments were in consequence reduced. The amounts reduced were however reimposed as a rule in 1891, on the ground that the term of the settlement had expired, though the deterioration of soil, so far from having been made good, had meantime extended. This shows the necessity of making rules to define the principles under which such remissions should be given, and this will be done for the State as a whole before the settlement closes.

139. It has been decided by the State Council that settlement operations are not to be extended to whole villages held in *mafī*, *jagir* or *istamrari* unless the grantees or proprietors so desire. This they have only asked for in one or two cases. The number of such estates in each tahsil has been shown in paragraph 3. Villages held revenue free for temples, charitable institutions, etc., generally pay nothing to the State, while Jagirdars in addition to military service—now discharged by supplying a certain number of *sawars* to the tahsil—pay also certain commutation and other dues to the following extent :—

Tahsil.					No. of Jagir villages.	Commutation dues paid.
						Rs A. P.
Kishengarh	...	...	...	...	4	126 6 0
Ramgarh	...	...	...	...	4	499 0 0
Govindgarh	...	...	...	...	1	54 0 0
Lachmangarh	...	...	...	...	25	2,626 0 0
Total	...	...	...	...	34	3,305 6 0

In Lachmangarh there are in addition 17 jagir estates, which pay no commutation.

140. Petty revenue free grants, which are very numerous in some tahsils, are now being regularly investigated for the first time. These have been surveyed like khalsa lands, and will be assessed in the usual way, but the assessment will of course only be made use of for calculating cesses, or imposed in case of lapse or resumption. The total area of such mafis—held in khalsa villages—is shown in paragraph 70. Except in Lachmangarh, where the area is considerable, and commutation dues are levied on several grants to the amount of Rs. 1,759, these grants at present pay nothing to the State, but it is proposed that in future they should pay the ordinary 3 per cent. cesses. This will be settled in consultation with the State authorities.

As regards soils, crops, rents, etc., they are on the same footing as khalsa lands, and I therefore apply the revenue rates of the latter to determine the

nominal assessment. The data on which this is worked out and the result are shown in the following table:—

Tahsil.			Number of villages in which there are petty mafis.	Total area.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Total cultivated.	Jama by rates now assumed.	Commutation dues now levied.
Kishengarh	..	..	37	1,807	541	206	995	1,742	3,187	..
Ramgarh	..	..	51	2,395	584	461	1,227	2,272	4,814	..
Govindgarh	..	..	16	874	199	4	639	842	1,845	..
Lachmangarh	..	..	89	9,768	2,283	1,409	5,637	9,329	15,979	1,759
Total	..	193	14,844	3,607	2,090	8,498	14,185	25,825	1,759	

It is possible that some of this area has already been, or will be resumed in the course of the present enquiry. If so it will be taken account of in giving out the village assessment.

**141.** The grants which pay *nazarana* or *abwab* (commutation) in Lachman-garh are chiefly maintenance grants (*Kabila Kharch*) to Thakurs, or village service grants to Mina Chaukidars. Through some error at last settlement the commutation dues though realised from the grantees by the lambardars; are credited by the latter against the khalsa assessment of the village. This means that the total amount Rs. 1,759 per annum has been lost to the State. This error will now be rectified and the commutation will, as it was intended to be, a charge on the masidars quite separate from the khalsa jama.

**142.** The question of upholding the chaukidara grants, now held from the State—  
Maintenance of Chaukidara mafis. which are very numerous in Lachmangarh—will be settled in consultation  
with the State authorities.

The number of villages and the area held by chaukidars.

- (1) from the Zamindars;  
 (2) from the State, is shown in the following table—

Tehsil.	CHAUSSIDARA GRANTS FROM ZAMINDARS.				CHAUSSIDARA GRANTS FROM THE STATE.			
	No. of estates.	Total area.	Cultivated area.	No. of estates.	Total.	Cultivated.	Nominal Jams by new rates.	Commutation levied.
Kishengarh	2	25	23	...	...	...	...	...
Ramgarh	1	40	40	3	155	155	244	2
Govindgarh	5	120	117	3	113	113	235	4
Lachmangarh	10	209	209	70	2,814	2,779	4,123	847
Total	18	482	479	76	3,082	3,047	4,602	847

In Meo villages there are usually no grants to chaukidars either from the zamindars or the State. The grants from the State appear to have been made in the first half of the century with the object of settling the predatory Minas on the land, thereby weaning them from their thievish propensities, and at the same time providing for the watch and ward of the villages. The charge is one which in theory at least should be borne by the zamindars themselves, but there may be practical difficulties in connection with this view of the case.

**143.** I have discussed the assessment proposals in the rough from time to ~~Sanction required for the proposals now made.~~ time with the Political Agent, Major Jennings, whose knowledge of revenue matters and cordial co-operation have much facilitated the work of the settlement, and who in his forecast report in 1896, defined the lines on which the work should be carried on. The detailed proposals will now be laid before the Political Agent and Council for their general approval, and their sanction to certain changes proposed. The sanction of the Agent to the Governor General and of the Government of India is required to the general lines on which the work is being carried out, and in particular to the new assessments which I propose to introduce from the coming kharif, for a term of 20 years, as already sanctioned by the Government of India.

**144.** The statements annexed to this report are—

(a) Nine assessment statements by tahsils, similar to the form adopted in the village note books, *viz.*—

- I. Milan Rakba or statement of areas showing details of area at last settlement 1896-97 and 1897-98.
- II. Jinswar or crop statement showing the crop grown at last settlement in the 5 years 1892-93—1896-97, and in 1897-98.
- III. Jama Wasil Baqi—showing demand realisation and balances year by year since last settlement.
- IV. Sales and mortgages, showing the alienation prior to last settlement, since then in two periods, and the total up to date.
- V. Cultivating occupancy, showing area held by owners and the various classes of tenants in the year 1896-97.
- VI. Statement of rents showing the area held and the average rents paid by the various classes of tenants on different soils in the year 1896-97.
- VII. Statement showing population, cattle, ploughs, at last settlement, and 1896-97.
- VIII. Tenure showing the number of villages held by the different tribes, with details of area and jama in 1896-97.
- IX. Wells, details of wells at last settlement and now.

Many of these statements are from the nature of the case lengthy and cumbrous, and I have therefore endeavoured to summarise them as far as possible in the text, so that the latter may be complete in itself.

(b) Appendices.

- A.—Statement showing yearly rainfall.
- B.—Statement showing monthly rainfall.
- C.—(Not printed).
- D.—Statement showing crop experiments.

*E.*—(Not printed) shows area and value of the crops grown on each class of soil.

*F.*—Statement showing revenue rates.

*G.*—Glossary of vernacular terms.

This report is I fear a very lengthy one, but being the first submitted a number of subjects have had to be discussed in detail, which need only be referred to in future reports. Beyond this my only apology is that I have not had the time to make it shorter.

SIMLA ; }  
25th June 1898. }

M. F. O'DWYER, I. C. S.,  
*Settlement Commissioner,*  
*Alwar and Bhartpur.*

---

---

**APPENDICES.**

---

### Appendix A.

*Statement showing yearly rainfall 1876-98 (April—March).*

Year.	Kishengarh.	Ramgarh.	Govindgarh.	Lachmangarh.
1876-77	12.69	15.10	12.50	5.11
1877-78	11.43	7.61	6.84	3.10
1878-79	9.75	15.70	13.10	30.44
1879-80	23.64	14.55	29.13	31.26
1880-81	14.39	12.30	11.22	10.22
1881-82	27.69	23.60	24.89	17.26
1882-83	24.86	23.43	23.76	22.48
<b>7 years' total</b>	<b>124.45</b>	<b>112.29</b>	<b>121.44</b>	<b>119.87</b>
<b>" average</b>	<b>17.77</b>	<b>16.04</b>	<b>17.35</b>	<b>17.12</b>
1883-84	16.84	11.78	16.65	9.08
1884-85	21.90	27.27	25.01	22.79
1885-86	26.38	25.38	25.42	31.87
1886-87	19.37	19.90	14.77	11.56
1887-88	40.54	26.10	26.91	18.48
1888-89	20.88	15.13	21.58	8.13
1889-90	22.84	14.59	23.42	12.36
1890-91	25.99	18.55	19.21	15.48
<b>8 years' total</b>	<b>194.74</b>	<b>158.70</b>	<b>172.97</b>	<b>109.75</b>
<b>" average</b>	<b>24.34</b>	<b>19.84</b>	<b>21.99</b>	<b>13.72</b>
1891-92	13.23	17.77	16.40	18.13
1892-93	21.02	22.84	26.19	25.17
1893-94	45.78	26.52	31.48	20.31
1894-95	25.26	24.73	29.10	26.41
1895-96	12.26	10.66	10.58	15.05
1896-97	19.49	15.67	14.50	17.36
1897-98	22.99	19.79	21.17	16.10
<b>7 years' total</b>	<b>160.03</b>	<b>137.98</b>	<b>149.42</b>	<b>138.53</b>
<b>" average</b>	<b>22.86</b>	<b>19.71</b>	<b>21.34</b>	<b>19.79</b>
<b>22 years' total</b>	<b>479.22</b>	<b>408.97</b>	<b>443.83</b>	<b>368.15</b>
<b>" average</b>	<b>21.78</b>	<b>18.59</b>	<b>20.17</b>	<b>16.73</b>

---

**APPENDIX B.**

---

Appendix B.

*Statement showing monthly rainfall from 1883-84.*

Month	Name of Taluk.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
		1883-84.	1884-85.	1885-86.	1886-87.	1887-88.	1888-89.	1889-90.	1890-91.	8 years' total 1883 to 1890.	8 years' average 1883 to 1890.	1891-92.	1892-93.	1893-94.	1894-95.	1895-96.	1896-97.	1897-98.	7 years' total 1891 to 1897.	7 years' average 1891 to 1897.	15 years' total 1883 to 1897.	5 years' average 1883 up to 1897.		
April.	Kishengarh	...	...	...	...	60	...	11	75	...	146	18	14	...	...	...	...	...	59	68	205	1		
	Ramgarh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Govindgarh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	05	14	19	2	...	...	...	...	10	...	10	1	29	22	
	Lachmangarh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	30	30	4	...	...	...	35	...	...	35	5	65	64		
May.	Kishengarh	...	179	...	149	114	...	...	205	25	672	84	...	110	126	...	...	15	25	176	25	848	57	
	Ramgarh	...	...	...	295	100	...	...	25	...	420	53	23	...	50	...	...	...	15	88	113	508	34	
	Govindgarh	...	51	...	81	30	...	66	54	...	282	35	...	110	130	...	...	...	...	240	34	522	35	
	Lachmangarh	...	70	10	45	...	...	05	08	11	149	19	37	57	...	...	...	...	...	94	13	243	16	
June.	Kishengarh	...	894	548	224	290	151	...	40	287	1834	229	150	153	710	355	212	242	116	1838	262	3672	245	
	Ramgarh	...	90	228	70	270	90	...	75	300	1123	140	75	265	470	172	50	...	208	1240	177	2363	158	
	Govindgarh	...	34	214	412	149	17	73	45	727	1977	247	..	150	284	465	47	150	65	1161	166	3138	209	
	Lachmangarh	...	70	194	36	114	40	1	385	939	117	08	158	314	4	180	111	230	1401	2	2340	156		
July.	Kishengarh	...	425	338	836	881	1157	376	913	1393	6319	789	259	438	2321	416	610	992	1035	5512	787	11831	789	
	Ramgarh	...	688	275	745	1000	782	332	392	670	4884	611	255	415	919	543	414	875	752	4173	556	9657	604	
	Govindgarh	...	740	64	562	683	729	586	1070	501	5513	189	200	467	1643	521	220	720	925	4696	671	10209	681	
	Lachmangarh	...	533	410	255	500	665	162	325	451	3001	375	243	678	556	326	524	1071	479	3877	554	6878	459	
Aug.	Kishengarh	...	41	183	1034	287	587	930	1106	418	6586	823	315	641	664	570	402	461	837	3890	556	10474	698	
	Ramgarh	...	356	499	1183	420	1488	530	940	350	5760	720	379	580	390	873	529	476	860	4187	598	9947	663	
	Govindgarh	...	24	152	1371	260	1216	724	1147	322	5216	652	320	779	387	950	646	320	584	3986	569	9202	613	
	Lachmangarh	...	15	435	771	22	809	277	721	280	5528	441	443	760	560	931	716	452	515	4377	625	7905	523	



---

**APPENDIX D.**

---

**Return of Crop experiments.**

---

Appendix  
Return of Crop

1		2		3		CHIKNOKT I AND II.						MATTYAR I.					
						CHAIH OF			DAHRI.			CHAIH OF			DAHRI.		
Tahsil.		No. of experiments actual, and assumed averages.		Name of crop.		Masonry wells.	Other kinds.	Present.	Former.	Banshi.	Banai.	Masonry wells.	Other kinds.	Present.	Former.	Banshi.	Banai.
						Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.
Kishengarh	..	..	No. of experiments	...	Cotton	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Actual	{	Averages	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Assumed	{		..	5 0	..	4 0	3 0	2 0	..	..	5 0	..	4 0	3 0
Ramgarh	..	..	No. of experiments	..		..	1		2	3	..	3	2	..	1	4	..
			Actual	{	Averages	..	7 5	..	8 5	3 8	..	3 2	9 25	..	5 11	4 12	..
			Assumed	{		..	6	4	5 0	4 0	3 20	..	6 0	4 0	5 0	4 0	3 20
Govindgarh	..	..	No. of experiments	..		..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2 0
			Actual	{	Averages	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	3 29
			Assumed	{		..	7 0	..	6 0	..	..	..	6 0	..	6 0	..	3 0
Lachmangarh	..	..	No. of experiments	..		..	..	..	2	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	..
			Actual	{	Averages	..	..	..	5 18	..	..	..	5 10	..	..	..	..
			Assumed	{		..	5 0	..	4 0	3 20	..	..	5 20	5 0	4 0	3 20	..
Kishengarh	..	..	No. of experiments	..	Bajra	1	..	..	..	..	..	1	3	..	..	..	..
			Actual	{	Averages	..	8 21	..	..	..	..	5 31	6 11	..	..	..	..
			Assumed	{		..	9 9	..	7 0	5 20	5 0	5 0	9 0	..	7 0	5 20	5 0
Ramgarh	..	..	No. of experiments	..		..	1	..	1	..	..	11	..	..	5	4	..
			Actual	{	Averages	..	9 0	..	15 0	..	..	8 5	..	..	10 11	9 25	..
			Assumed	{		..	8 0	7 0	8 0	6 0	5 20	5 0	8 0	7 0	8 0	6 0	5 20
Govindgarh	..	..	No. of experiments	..		..	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..
			Actual	{	Averages	..	..	..	..	..	..	3 1	..	..	..	3 20	..
			Assumed	{		..	..	..	9 0	..	7 0	6 0	..	9 0	7 0	..	5 0
Lachmangarh	..	..	No. of experiments	..		..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
			Actual	{	Averages	..	..	..	..	1 26	..	..	2 38	..	..	2 14	..
			Assumed	{		..	7 0	..	7 0	5 0	..	4 20	7 0	..	7 0	5 10	..
Kishengarh	..	..	No. of experiments	..	Jowar	..	..	..	..	..	..	4	4	..	..	..	1
			Actual	{	Averages	..	..	..	..	..	..	5 3	7 10	..	..	..	7 20
			Assumed	{		..	10 0	..	7 20	6 20	5 20	4 20	8 0	..	7 20	6 0	5 30
Ramgarh	..	..	No. of experiments	..		1	..	..	2	..	..	2	1	..	..	1	5
			Actual	{	Averages	..	9 39	..	5 25	..	..	5 13	3 15	..	5 0	..	6 4
			Assumed	{		..	10 0	..	10 0	7 0	6 0	5 0	10 0	..	10 0	7 0	6 0
Govindgarh	..	..	No. of experiments	..		..	..	..	..	..	..	5	..	..	..	..	5 0
			Actual	{	Averages	..	..	..	..	..	..	5 21	..	..	..	..	5 20
			Assumed	{		..	..	..	13 0	..	9 0	9 0	..	13 0	11 0	..	8 0
Lachmangarh	..	..	No. of experiments	..		..	..	..	8	2	2	3	..	..	4	2	..
			Actual	{	Averages	..	..	..	7 19	3 34	6 4	3 28	..	..	4 18	2 33	..
			Assumed	{		..	8 0	..	8 0	6 0	..	5	8 0	..	8 0	6 0	..
Kishengarh	..	..	Value	..	Vegetables,	Rs. 16	Rs. 12	Rs. 8	Rs. 6	Rs. 4	Rs. 2	Rs. 16	Rs. 12	Rs. 8	Rs. 6	Rs. 4	Rs. 2
Ramgarh	..	..	Assumed	..	..	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
Govindgarh	..	..	..	..	Other food crops	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
Lachmangarh	..	..	..	..	..	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
Kishengarh	..	..	..	..	..	12	..	9	8	..	7	12	..	9	8	..	7
Ramgarh	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	23	9	8	6	..	..	12	..	8	..
Govindgarh	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	12	..	..	5	12	12	12	12	..	6
Lachmangarh	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	8	..	5	11	..	8	..	5	..

D.

### *experiment.*

## **Appendix**

*Return of Crop*

Tahsil.		No. of experiments, actual and assumed averages.	Name of crop.	CHIKNAT I AND II									MATTYAR I.				
				CHAWI OF			DAHRI.			CHAWI OF			DAHRI.				
				Masonry wells.	Other kinds	Present	Former.	Barish.	Barani.	Masonry wells.	Other kinds.	Present	Former.	Barish.	Barani.		
Kishengarh	...	No. of experiments ...	Wheat	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	Md. S.	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	23	...	4	...	...	...	54	...	8	...	...	...	1	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	19 15	...	18 4	...	...	...	18 2	...	16 15	...	...	...	22 26	
Ramgarh	...	No. of experiments ...	"	12	...	20	...	...	...	18	...	7	...	...	...	...	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	11 10	...	11 22	...	...	...	15 85	...	9 10	...	...	...	...	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	10 0	9 0	9 0	7 0	6 0	5 0	10 0	9 0	9 0	7 0	6 0	5 0	4 20	
Govindgarh	...	No. of experiments ...	"	4	...	5	...	...	...	28	2 0	4	...	...	...	...	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	6 6	...	10 15	...	...	...	12 26	16 22	12 20	...	...	...	...	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	12 0	10 0	11 0	...	7 0	...	11 0	9 0	10 0	8 0	...	...	10 20	
Lachmangarh	...	No. of experiments ...	"	6	1	5	...	1	...	7	1	1	...	...	...	...	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	16 0	10 0	14 22	...	10 0	...	14 7	32 20	20 0	...	...	...	...	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	9 0	8 0	7 0	6 0	...	4	9 0	8 0	7 0	6 0	...	...	4 0	
Kishengarh	...	No. of experiments ...	Barley	10	...	3	...	...	...	45	...	4	...	...	...	1	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	23 29	...	10 31	...	...	...	19 34	...	21 8	...	...	...	6 20	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	14 0	12 0	9 0	8 0	6 0	5 20	14 0	12 0	9 0	8 0	6 0	5 20		
Ramgarh	...	No. of experiments ...	"	15	...	14	...	...	...	39	...	4	...	...	...	2	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	15 16	...	16 9	...	...	...	17 8	...	16 12	...	...	...	3 15	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	16 1	15 0	13 0	9 0	7 0	6 0	16 0	15 0	12 0	9 0	7 0	6 0	6 0	
Govindgarh	...	No. of experiments ...	"	8	1	3	...	...	...	50	5	2	...	...	...	1	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	10 34	24 9	37 37	...	...	...	19 22	15 16	12 11	...	...	...	3 25	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	18 0	17 0	14 0	...	9 0	8 0	17 0	16 0	14 0	10 0	10 0	...	7 20	
Lachmangarh	...	No. of experiments ...	"	9	1	15	...	1	...	19	1	2	...	...	...	...	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	20 33	15 6	12 11	...	5 0	...	20 11	12 15	22 23	...	...	...	...	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	15 0	14 0	10 0	7 0	...	5 0	16 0	14 0	10 2	7 0	...	5 0		
Kishengarh	...	No. of experiments ...	Gram	...	...	4	...	...	3	...	...	2	...	...	...	8	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	...	...	8 17	...	...	9 30	...	...	11 10	...	...	5 0	20 40	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	8 0	7 0	6 0	5 0	4 20	4 0	8 0	7 0	6 9	5 0	4 20	4 0		
Ramgarh	...	No. of experiments ...	"	...	...	5	2	2	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	8	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	...	...	5 11	4 30	10 0	12 20	...	...	4 23	7 5 0	...	...	8 34	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	6 0	6 0	6 0	5 0	5 0	4 20	6 0	6 0	6 0	5 0	3 0	4 0		
Govindgarh	...	No. of experiments ...	"	...	...	4	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	32	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	...	...	6 5	...	...	8 30	...	...	...	...	...	...	6 34	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	...	...	8 0	...	6 0	5 0	...	6 0	8 0	7 0	...	4 20		
Lachmangarh	...	No. of experiments ...	"	...	...	5	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	
	...	Actual } Averages ...	"	...	...	12 12	...	15 0	...	...	...	4 14	...	...	4 20	...	
	...	Assumed } Averages ...	"	5 0	5 0	5 0	4 20	...	4 0	5 0	5 0	...	4 20	...	3 20		
Kishengarh	...	Value ...	Maize	10	...	2	...	...	10	...	7	...	6	...	4		
Ramgarh	...	Assumed ...	"	12	9	8	6	...	12	9	6	...	5	...	4		
Govindgarh	...	" ...	"	12	...	11	...	...	5	12	11	...	...	...	5		
Lachmangarh	...	" ...	"	10	...	6	5	...	3 20	10	8	...	5	...	3 20		
Kishengarh	...	" ...	"	...	...	8	5	5	4	10	...	7	5	5	4		
Ramgarh	...	" ...	"	...	...	8	...	5	5	8	8	8	7	7	4 20		
Govindgarh	...	" ...	"	...	...	8	...	5	5	8	8	8	7	7	4 20		
Lachmangarh	...	" ...	"	...	...	6	5	4	4	6	6	5	4	4	4 20		

**D—contd.**

*experiment--contd.*

## **Appendix**

### *Return of Crop*

D—*concl'd.*

*experiment—concl.*

---

**APPENDIX F.**

**Statement showing the proposed Revenue Rates of different soils.**

---

## Appendix F.

Statement showing proposed Revenue

1	2	Name of Taluk	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Chahi of masonry wells	Other permanent kinds	Temporary.	Chahi of masonry wells.	Other permanent kinds	Temporary	Chahi of masonry wells	Other permanent kinds.	Temporary
		Kishengarh	3-8-0	3-8-0	2-0-0	3-8-0	3-8-0	2-0-0	2-12-0	2-4-0	1-12-0
		Ramgarh	4-0-0	3-0-0	2-8-0	4-0-0	3-0-0	2-8-0	3-0-0	2-8-0	2-0-0
		Chak I	4-2-0	3-4-0	2-10-0	4-2-0	3-4-0	2-10-0	3-8-0	3-8-0	2-0-0
		Chak II	"	"		3-8-0	2-8-0	2-0-0	3-0-0	2-4-0	2-12-0
		Total	4-2-0	3-4-0	2-10-0	3-14-5	2-13-5	2-4-8	3-2-6	2-5-12	1-13-6
		Lachmangarh	3-4-	2-8	2-2-0	3-6-0	2-10-0	2-2-0	3-14-0	2-3-0	1-6-0
		Kishengarh	6,00-0	5	322	17,360	168	809	8,066	49	189
		Ramgarh	4,532	4-0	3,722	6,296	431	2,278	815	89	336
		Chak I	439	4	185	2,844	571	1,149	38	32	156
		Chak II	"	"	...	1,570	701	1,314	82	33	869
		Total	439	9	185	4,414	1,273	2,463	120	65	424
		Lachmangarh	6,227	413	2,151	10,083	343	1,734	1,019	45	28
		Kishengarh	20	...	...	275	...	-	143	...	"
		Ramgarh	83	6	132	209	18	69	64	...	5
		Chak I	9	+	...	125	3	53	...	...	9
		Chak II	"	"	...	15	...	...	...	...	8
		Total	9	+		140	3	52	...	..	11
		Lachmangarh	725	25	167	1,170	6	44	93	...	3
		Kishengarh	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Ramgarh	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Chak I	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Chak II	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Total	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Lachmangarh	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Kishengarh	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Ramgarh, Islamgarh	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Chak I	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Chak II	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Total	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Lachmangarh	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Kishengarh	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Ramgarh, Islamgarh	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Chak I	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Chak II	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Total	"	"	...	...	...	...	...	...	"
		Lachmangarh	"	"	Ramgarh Amount	Islamgarh					

#### **Appendix F—*contd.***

### *Rates of different soils.*

12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
DAHRI															
BHUR I.				BHUR II.				TOTAL.				CHIKNAT I, II.			
Chah of masonry wells.	Other permanent kinds.	Temporary.	Chah of masonry wells.	Other permanent kinds.	Temporary.	Chah of masonry wells.	Other permanent kinds.	Chah of masonry wells.	Temporary.	Total Chah lands.	Present.	Former.	Bal. II	Present	Former.
8-12-0	8-4-0	1-12-0	8-0-0	1-4-0	1-0-0	3-6-0	2-7-0	1-15-0	3-5-0	2-8-0	2-0-0	1-12-0	2-8-0	2-3-0	1-12-0
3-0-0	2-8-0	2-0-0	2-8-0	2-8-0	1-0-0	3-15-0	2-14-0	2-7-0	3-6-0	3-0-0	2-8-0	2-4-0	3-0-0	2-8-0	3-4-0
**	2-8-0	1-8-0	2-8-0	...	1-4-0	4-3-0	3-3-0	2-8-0	3-9-0	3-4-0	2-12-0	2-8-0	2-4-0	2-12-0	2-12-0
3-0-0	2-0-0	1-4-0	...	...	...	3-8-0	2-8-0	1-15-0	3-11-0	...	...	...	...	...	1-4-0
3-0-0	2-4-0	1-6-0	2-8-0	...	1-4-0	3-14-8	2-13-0	2-3-5	3-3-1	3-4-0	2-12-0	2-0-0	3-4-0	2-12-0	...
2-12-0	2-2-0	1-4-0	2-8-0	...	...	3-4-0	2-0-0	2-3-0	3-1-0	8-3-0	1-12-0	1-10-0	2-3-0	1-12-0	1-10-0
1,073	4	91	197	..	3	36,746	226	1,321	28,293	3,793	1,633	634	5,737	528	869
196	3	859	84	12	23	13,821	945	6,618	19,434	10,929	4,128	1,072	4,257	2,048	1,035
...*	4	36	3	...	12	3,333	616	1,538	5,472	801	4	115	619	66	...
8	4	37	...	...	...	1,684	738	1,619	4,011	...	...	...	...	...	...
2	8	71	3	...	12	4,977	1,354	7,157	9,488	801	4	115	619	66	...
243	93	9	140	...	...	17,711	894	3,316	21,031	8,617	1,084	6,989	1,323	975	405
3	...	...	...	...	...	541	..	...	541	2	..	4	2	..	29
4	...	9	8	..	..	308	24	192	584	184	70	8	56	36	70
...	...	...	...	...	...	134	3	41	178	...	...	..	4	...	...
4	...	...	...	...	...	10	...	2	21	..	...	..	...	...	...
4	...	...	...	...	...	153	3	43	199	...	...	..	4	..	...
46	...	4	...	...	...	2,034	31	218	2,283	400	208	640	40	10	12
...	...	...	...	...	...	90,902	353	2,519	94,84	...	..	..	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	46,822	2,918	15,113	65,671	..	..	..	..	..	..
...	...	...	...	...	...	13,717	1,064	3,945	14,116	...	...	..	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	5,710	1,845	3,143	10,777	...	..	..	..	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	10,495	3,809	6,8	20,293	...	..	..	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	57,561	2,301	7,254	77,105	..	..	..	..	..	..
...	...	...	...	...	...	1,704	...	..	1,714	..	..	..	..	..	..
...	...	...	...	...	...	1,450	70	463	1,988	..	...	..	..	..	..
...	...	...	...	...	...	553	10	102	6,605	..	..	..	..	..	..
...	...	...	...	...	...	64	...	4	18	..	..	..	..	..	..
...	...	...	...	...	...	617	10	105	733	..	..	..	..	..	..
...	...	...	...	...	...	6,611	79	477	7,107	..	..	..	..	..	..
...	...	...	...	...	...	81	...	40	131	5	...	...	..	..	..
...	...	...	...	...	...	58	...	3	67	...	..	..	..	..	..
...	...	...	...	...	...	58	...	..	...	..	..	..	..	..	..
...	...	...	...	...	...	310	...	98	437	..	..	..	..	..	..

## Appendix F—*contd.*

*Statement showing proposed Revenue*

## **Appendix F—concl'd.**

### *Rates of different soils.*

37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49
BARANI.												
TOTAL.												
Present.	Former.	Banishi.	Total dahrī land.	Chiknū I, II.	Mattyār I.	Mattyār II.	Bhur I.	Bhur II.	Total barani.	Total cultivated and irrigated plots.	Present Jara <sup>a</sup> of Sambal 1953, includ- ing resumed plots.	Increase by revenue rate on the present amount and percentage.
3-5-0	2-0-0	1-10-0	2-3-0	1-12-0	1-6-0	0-14-0	0-10-0	0-8-0	1-1-0	1-10-2	...	...
2-15-0	2-6-0	2-3-0	2-11-0	2-0-0	1-10-0	1-2-0	0-14-0	0-8-0	1-5-5	2-1-4	...	...
3-4-0	2-12-0	2-8-0	3-2-0	2-3-0	2-3-0	1-10-0	0-14-0	0-10-0	2-1-4	2-8-8	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	1-10-0	1-4-0	0-14-0	...	1-7-9	1-12-1	...	...
3-4-0	2-12-0	2-8-0	3-2-0	2-3-0	1-14-8	1-0-0	0-14-0	0-10-0	1-12-7	3-2-11	...	...
2-12-0	1-12-0	1-10-0	1-11-0	1-6-4	1-6-0	0-14-0	0-11-0	0-7-0	1-7-10	1-12-3	...	...
12,813	1,596	1,706	15,614	7,839	38,618	29,153	12,726	6,17	107,318	145,45	...	...
17,018	8,222	3,667	28,907	4,362	25,184	16,074	6,849	4,191	56,807	105,228	202,513	16,868 8.3 per cent.
1,420	70	115	1,605	1,415	11,061	1,212	268	267	14,343	21,425	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	10,739	2,569	1,270	...	14,123	18,139	...	...
1,420	70	115	1,605	1,415	21,350	1,851	1,558	167	28,471	39,564	...	...
11,960 7,902 4,058	2,9-7	7,391	22,311	4,215	35,770	16,055	10,485	3,191	69,718	111,950	...	...
171	33	36	31	31	456	274	218	36	915	1,742	...	...
244	139	78	461	121	526	210	188	153	1,227	2,272	...	...
4	...	...	4	37	198	52	4	...	293	477	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	234	53	62	...	314	305	...	...
4	...	...	4	37	422	114	60	...	639	912	...	...
440	308	661	1,419	279	2,816	1,420	990	133	5,17	9,329	...	...
29,010	3,192	2,833	35,035	13,718	51,100	25,511	12,729	3,004	107,664	216,783	270,181	43,602 increase 11 per cent.
49,990	19,527	8,022	27,539	5,738	41,250	18,083	5,913	2,96	76,160	210,370	...	...
1,615	192	288	5,095	3,161	24,190	2,093	252	107	29,950	54,470	46,420	8,460 18.2 per cent. 1,828 6.1 per cent.
4,615	192	288	5,095	3,161	40,916	5,224	1,363	167	51,901	86,289	67,411	10,488 13.5 per cent.
17,285 7,102	5,175	12,015	41,577	5,795	49,184	14,048	7,209	1,392	27,634	186,717	171,754	12,363 7.2 per cent.
306	...	58	364	19	627	240	136	18	1,040	3,188	...	...
717	331	171	1,219	242	855	270	164	76	1,007	4,814	...	...
13	...	...	13	81	413	91	3	...	608	1,286	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	364	73	54	...	421	559	...	...
13	...	...	13	81	797	164	57	...	1,099	1,845	...	...
909	539	1,074	2,576	382	3,872	1,243	681	59	6,230	15,979	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
909	539	1,074	2,576	382	3,872	1,243	681	59	6,230	15,979	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	113	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
13	...	...	9	31	1	...	...	...	51	1		

---

**NO. I.**

**Statement of Milan Raqba or Area Statement.**

---

No. I.

Statement of Milan Ragba or Area Statement.

Main In brackets	Details of settle- ments.	Total Area.	UNCULTIVATED.						Total of uncultivated.	Follow only three years.	CHIKNOT I.			CHIKNOT II.			REMARKS.			
			STATE JUNGLE.		UNCULTURABLE.		Old culturable.	Total of uncultivated.			Chah.	Dahri.	Barani.	Total.	Chah.	Dahri.	Barani.			
			Rund.	Bani.	Hills.	Others.														
Kishengarh	...	301,962	...	...	22,717	20,450	12,231	55,418	4,76	5,715	4,545	8,531	19,091	349	165	554	868			
Ramgarh	...	146,581	...	...	16,708	15,726	8,228	4,652	...	4,763	18,520	7,444	30,77	...	...	117	117			
Govindgarh	{ Chak I	24,082	...	...	95	1,816	661	2,572	...	467	782	1,260	2,509	...	...	...	...			
	{ Chak II	19,943	...	...	29	2,213	573	1,815	...	231	...	611	843	...	...	...	...			
Lachmangarh	...	160,679	...	...	27,774	20,421	16,50	43,95	...	6,45	17,949	6,689	30,324	...	...	...	...			
Kishengarh	...	204,598	4,345	...	20,524	15,456	12,325	53,357	2,5	5,612	5,00	6,136	15,753	672	492	2,703	3,867			
Ramgarh	...	153,143	484	...	17,368	15,457	14,877	48,197	651	4,515	10,429	1,222	16,176	3,87	6,674	2,944	13,605			
Govindgarh	{ Chak I	23,704	...	...	53	1,533	75	2,320	5	347	838	832	2,197	88	70	612	770			
	{ Chak-II	19,845	...	...	32	2,232	503	1,767	68	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			
Lachmangarh	...	158,606	...	...	20,453	14,76	19,475	44,72	1,091	7,175	13,668	2,185	21,418	1,111	3,783	2,677	6,371			
Kishengarh	...	1,283	...	...	...	21	36	57	2	2	7	67	94	...	...	...	...			
Ramgarh	...	3,080	...	...	...	68	58	1,6	...	92	3	45	551	...	...	...	...			
Govindgarh	...	1,013	...	...	...	9	14	2	...	23	...	31	46	...	...	...	...			
Lachmangarh	...	17,449	...	...	209	183	252	64	...	No record	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			
Kishengarh	...	2,47	...	...	...	45	19	6	...	5	6	4	15	11	...	7	35			
Ramgarh	...	2,397	...	...	...	49	63	11	4	71	140	45	257	113	153	104	370			
Govindgarh	...	874	...	...	...	26	2	2	4	...	...	23	22	9	...	74	27			
Lachmangarh	...	9,768	...	...	...	167	397	54	1	753	1,169	8	2,009	145	125	192	475			
Kubengarh	...	952	823	...	...	130	...	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			
Ramgarh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			
Govindgarh	...	165	...	...	...	2	41	47	...	42	...	1	42	11	...	...	...			
Lachmangarh	...	1,575	723	...	...	59	21	1,37	...	7	235	4	251	...	...	...	...			
Kishengarh	...	10,413	827	...	5,311	676	14	10,34	...	2	11	3	...	...	...	...	...			
Ramgarh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			
Govindgarh	...	353	85	...	...	123	1	217	...	76	...	15	95	...	...	...	...			
Lachmangarh	...	2,404	1,288	342	171	281	61	2,11	13	51	28	...	283	...	...	...	...			
Kishengarh	...	204,197	822	...	22,37	20,401	12,67	6,47	4,748	5,25	4,452	1,72	19,155	649	175	54	868			
Ramgarh	...	150,951	1,300	...	16,08	10,794	8,96	4,628	...	4,855	18,523	7,962	31,80	...	...	117	117			
Govindgarh	...	45,703	...	...	124	3,04	1,289	4,45	...	61	72	3,04	3,439	...	...	...	...			
Lachmangarh	...	173,613	721	...	11,383	21,105	16,63	5,74	...	51	28	...	283	...	...	...	...			
Kishengarh	...	216,818	5,172	...	35,133	16,375	12,344	69,220	293	5,520	5,011	5,140	35,771	613	492	2,710	3,885			
Ramgarh	...	156,365	484	...	17,158	15,575	14,958	48,35	625	4,55	10,569	1,365	16,423	4,110	6,832	3,049	43,980			
Govindgarh	...	44,736	85	...	85	3,913	1,241	4,324	77	603	839	874	2,375	97	70	626	793			
Lachmangarh	...	170,868	1,283	342	10,729	15,125	18,840	46,324	920	8,48	15,065	3,272	25,519	1,756	3,921	2,160	7,346			
Kubengarh	...	...	...	...	(Figures for 1897-98 are shown under present settlement)	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			
Ramgarh	...	156,862 (3,305)	484	...	17,357 (48)	15,519 (75)	14,370 (123)	47,473 ...	504 ...	4,538 (92)	10,358 (151)	16,130 (283)	4,280 (102)	7,162 (111)	3,301 (75)	14,752 (206)	...	...		
Govindgarh	...	44,756 (874)	85	...	85	2,911	1,130	4,311 (26)	27 (1)	603	875	2,128 (23)	97 (9)	70 (14)	646 (23)	793 (560)	...	...		
Lachmangarh	...	170,865 (6,708)	1,283	342	10,729	15,125 (100)	18,840 (279)	46,324 (420)	920 (10)	8,48 (758)	15,068 (1,048)	3,401 (86)	25,517 (1,048)	1,756 (159)	3,927 (109)	2,160 (192)	7,346 (560)	...	...	

## No. I.

## Statement of Milan Ragba or Area Statement.

Particular.	Tahsil.	Details of settle- ments.	MATTYAR I				MATTYAR II				BHUR I				BHUR II				REMARKS.
			Chahi	Dahri	Barani	Total.	Chahi	Dahri	Barani	Total.	Chahi	Dahri	Barani	Total.	Chahi	Dahri	Barani	Total.	
Khalsa.	Kishengarh	...	13,283	6,094	34,755	54,132	1,150	116	14,996	16,208	3,15	1,711	25,417	30,247	250	...	21,43	21,923	
	Ramgarh	...	4,277	6,118	19,123	29,518	65	4	7,023	8,130	762	2,72	20,922	23,743	17	192	8,841	9,335	
	Govindgarh	Chak I	1,870	54	14,771	16,745	...	...	...	91	...	1,07	2,054	...	...	132	192		
		Chak II	1,47	...	944	10,706	...	...	23	263	43	5,71	5,449	13	1	610	614		
	Lachmangarh	...	8,413	3,714	35,3	47,45	630	250	11,761	14,95	6	1	17,127	17,47	17	1	2,67	2,705	
	Kishengarh	...	18,302	7,122	27,78	62,194	2,75	1,15	2,1	3,564	1,115	1,	12,787	23,81	19	112	6,7	6,378	
	Ramgarh	...	6,024	8,51	2,322	10,851	1,71	84	16,58	18,247	47	1,71	64	8,84	8	18	3,881	4,142	
	Govindgarh	Chak I	4,543	635	11,6	16,70	22	1,275	1,50	4	288	328	14	...	267	28			
		Chak II	3,74	...	1,22	17,817	83	1	2,541	2,074	47	1,17	1,18	...	...	...	...		
	Lachmangarh	...	13,44	4,53	25,47	57,03	27	1	15,85	16,84	218	...	9,045	10,4	203	...	3,165	3,708	
Mah from State.	Kishengarh	...	177	74	37	63	37	17	172	172	01	...	163	24	...	133	133		
	Ramgarh	...	190	...	87	1,17	4	...	213	21	58	...	619	677	1	...	418	49	
	Govindgarh	...	78	9	517	672	...	25	26	2	...	162	16	...	...	75	75		
	Lachmangarh	...	...	Nil	...	...	Nil	...	No record	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
	Kishengarh	...	347	31	47	834	101	16	274	543	3	1	218	24	...	36	30		
	Ramgarh	...	273	27	45	96	64	5	230	304	5	16	197	222	8	...	141	142	
	Govindgarh	...	156	4	41	631	21	...	323	134	4	...	18	22	...	...	...	...	
	Lachmangarh	...	1,204	172	2,719	4,074	27	...	1,135	1,55	4	...	915	949	1	...	117	123	
State property.	Kishengarh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Ramgarh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Govindgarh	...	...	19	...	61	80	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Lachmangarh	...	...	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Kishengarh	...	36	...	41	42	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Ramgarh	...	81	...	88	963	47	...	171	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Govindgarh	...	3	...	36	47	1	...	81	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Lachmangarh	...	2	7	...	9	...	5	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Total.	Kishengarh	...	13,650	6,122	34,651	54,411	1,311	176	15,42	17,437	3,177	1,711	25,78	31,37	250	...	21,876	22,126	
	Ramgarh	...	4,467	6,218	27,05	30,75	630	42	7,236	8,255	821	2,792	20,837	24,427	101	522	9,261	9,754	
	Govindgarh	...	3,474	549	24,457	28,18	...	...	3,24	294	51	...	7,136	7,63	13	1	867	881	
	Lachmangarh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Kishengarh	...	16,675	7,153	29,077	64,015	2,396	1,344	2,247	22,197	3,177	1,28	12,241	23,627	12	112	6,043	6,347	
	Ramgarh	...	9,278	8,958	26,37	45,013	1,451	836	16,459	18,750	47	1,717	6,838	9,025	88	181	4,029	4,398	
	Govindgarh	...	8,295	689	21,801	20,795	621	...	3,947	4,558	86	...	1,482	1,598	14	...	267	281	
	Lachmangarh	...	13,660	4,934	38,582	52,170	1,050	31	1,315	18,396	292	...	10,851	11,143	212	...	3,316	3,431	
Statistical notes 1. Settlements. 2. Settlements.	Kishengarh	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Ramgarh	...	9,354 (28)	8,943 (162)	26,703 (152)	45,280 (21)	1,346 (66)	802 (21)	16,455 (20)	18,633 (327)	420 (12)	1,731 (15)	7,037 (18)	9,198 (216)	97 (8)	179	4,341 (152)	4,617 (160)	
	Govindgarh	...	8,312 (105)	689 (105)	21,773 (4)	3,773 (422)	621 (522)	...	3,956 (113)	4,587 (114)	86 (4)	...	1,624 (57)	1,710 (70)	14 (70)	...	259 (133)	281 (133)	
	Lachmangarh	...	12,717 (1,195)	4,860 (152)	38,589 (2,816)	56,166 (4,166)	1,172 (90)	31	17,413 (1,420)	18,755 (1,516)	375 (50)	...	11,478 (90)	11,733 (10,00)	140 (10,00)	...	3,326 (133)	3,466 (133)	

No. I.

*Statement of Milan Ragba or Area Statement.*

Particulars.	TOTAL OF CULTIVATED LAND IN CHIKNOT MATTYAR AND BHUR.								WELLS.												AVERAGE DEPTH				
	Tahsil.				GARDEN.				MASONRY.						KAUCHA.						Permanent.		Average Depth		
	Details of settle- ment meets.	Chahi.	Dahn.	Barami.	Total.	Manured.	Chahi.	Barami.	Total cultivated.	Working.	Not work- ing.	Under prepara- tion.	Total.	Lao.	Working.	Not work- ing.	Lao.	Permanent.	Temporary.	To water.	Of water.	Remarks.			
Khabas.	Last settlement.	Kishengarh	23,563	12,631	105,597	141,796	3	...	...	141,798	1,010	293	6	1,319	1,319	43	32	19	1	15	...	...			
	Present settlement.	Ramgarh	10,539	28,412	62,769	101,710	209	...	...	101,919	584	287	15	886	730	522	40	...	562	...	21	12			
	Present settlement.	Govindgarh { Chak I ..	2,368	1,323	17,813	21,510	...	...	...	21,510	123	17	15	158	150	...	...	...	147	22	18				
	Present settlement.	Govindgarh { Chak II	8,319	1	15,983	18,799	19	...	...	18,128	61	18	10	89	85	6	...	6	...	196	25	17			
	Present settlement.	Lachmangarh	16,442	27,852	74,220	112,514	7	...	3	112,534	850	29	...	1,206	1,006	...	...	...	270	300	27	18	Village of Anta Para included in Khalsa since Sambat 1,374.		
	Present settlement.	Kishengarh	28,241	15,814	101,333	145,388	...	53	15	145,45	1,742	236	21	1,459	2,13	69	2	21	...	266	...	...			
	Present settlement.	Ramgarh	19,315	28,588	55,151	104,654	412	7	2	104,275	833	262	4	1,716	1,240	...	...	...	143	176	20	20			
	Present settlement.	Govindgarh { Chak I ..	5,438	1,503	14,343	21,374	...	5	...	21,372	174	12	4	197	247	1	...	1	269	787	22	20			
	Present settlement.	Govindgarh { Chak II	4,709	..	13,930	17,959	30	1	...	17,959	61	18	10	99	130	...	...	...	137	377	25	17			
	Present settlement.	Lachmangarh	21,381	22,217	68,952	112,570	137	19	...	112,570	1,000	404	...	1,411	1,307	...	...	...	245	1,113	31	2			
	Last settlement.	Kishengarh	23	41	87	1,221	...	...	3	1,224	81	...	8	19	...	...	...	...	...	...	...				
	Last settlement.	Ramgarh	345	3	2,604	2,952	...	...	2	2,954	35	6	41	34	...	...	...	1	...	23	14				
	Last settlement.	Govindgarh	101	8	829	990	...	...	...	990	9	2	11	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	23	19			
	Last settlement.	Lachmangarh	1,952	...	9,953	10,905	...	...	...	10,905	34	7	141	139	...	...	...	...	...	7	27	18			
	Present.	Kishengarh	457	206	905	1,678	...	74	...	1,742	37	...	37	72	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
	Present.	Ramgarh	528	551	1,175	2,267	..	14	..	2,261	..	...	..	47	...	...	...	...	7	33	25	15			
	Present.	Govindgarh	120	4	639	823	...	9	..	842	13	2	15	13	...	...	...	1	2	22	22				
	Present.	Lachmangarh	2,232	1,479	5,448	9,161	6	16	...	9,187	178	9	11	10	...	...	...	1	40	29	19				
	Last.	Kishengarh	...	...	...	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			
	Last.	Ramgarh	...	...	...	..	...	..	..	..	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
	Last.	Govindgarh	61	..	61	122	..	...	...	122	3	3	6	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	26	27			
	Last.	Lachmangarh	1	247	4	254	...	5	25	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	26	27			
	Present.	Kishengarh	39	...	13	53	...	25	21	53	5	...	5	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			
	Present.	Ramgarh	...	...	...	..	...	..	..	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			
	Present.	Govindgarh	79	..	63	144	..	...	..	144	3	3	6	5	..	..	..	2	..	..	23	16			
	Present.	Lachmangarh	56	225	5	296	...	9	11	31	1	4	5	1	...	...	...	..	1	25	19				
	Last.	Kishengarh	2,851	1,672	106,484	143,173	2	...	3	143,22	1,018	293	6	1,317	1,523	43	32	19	1	15	..	..			
	Last.	Ramgarh	10,874	28,415	6,1373	104,662	209	..	2	104,873	619	293	15	927	821	..	..	..	563	...	..	..			
	Last.	Govindgarh	4,751	1,372	34,549	47,721	19	...	...	47,721	40,754	136	40	28	264	247	6	...	6	...	343	29	20		
	Last.	Lachmangarh	16,335	22,103	83,077	127,531	7	...	8	127,536	...	...	1,147	1,147	...	..	..	271	307	27	17				
	Last.	Kishengarh	28,747	16,020	102,341	147,118	152	36	147,296	1,018	29	21	1,511	2,210	69	2	21	...	206	1,930	2,110				
	Last.	Ramgarh	12,974	29,147	58,179	107,50	212	21	2	107,735	872	273	4	1,149	1,293	...	...	...	143	1,801	...	...			
	Last.	Govindgarh	9,716	1,527	28,997	40,310	30	15	..	40,315	278	28	4	310	335	1	..	1	479	1,184	22	19			
	Last.	Lachmangarh	21,675	23,051	74,405	122,031	143	44	11	122,223	1,116	417	...	1,533	1,523	...	...	...	245	1,154	29	19			
	In brackets.	Kishengarh	...	...	...	..	...	(Figures to 18,793 are shown under present settlement)	18,793	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
	In brackets.	Ramgarh	20,089	29,185	53,145	108,419	238	21	2	108,673	593	243	4	1,150	1,291	...	...	...	148	1,801	...	...			
	In brackets.	Govindgarh	(570)	(461)	(1,227)	(2,258)	...	(14)	...	(2,272)	...	...	(35)	...	...	...	...	(7)	(33)	...	...	...	...		
	In brackets.	Lachmangarh	9,733	8,669	83,130	40,522	31	15	..	40,518	280	...	...	...	297	...	...	1	400	1,184	45	38			
	In brackets.		(100)	(4)	(633)	(833)	...	(9)	...	(842)	(17)	...	...	...	(13)	...	...	1	(30)	(23)	(19)	(19)			
	In brackets.		24,432	23,955	75,263	123,426	143	44	12	123,605	1,235	...	...	...	1,442	1,442	...	...	225	506	29	19			
	In brackets.		2,261	(1,409)	(5,637)	(9,307)	Chahi.	(6)	6	6	(9,329)	1,120	418	...	(122)	...	...	...	(4)	(14)	(19)	(18)			

Note.—Entries of Sambar in total of Khalsa, Masi, &c., and Masi figures are shown below each entry.

---

No II.

Jincwar or Crop Statement.

---

Jinswar or

Detail Settlement	Tahsil		KHA											
			COTTON			BAJRA			JAWAR					
			Chahi	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.			
Kishengarh	...	...	2,720	...	6,663	5,785	24	66,180	1,028	...	...	9,099		
Ramgarh	...	...	1,573	158	17,278	2,379	730	35,790	389	4	4	6,019		
Govindgarh	...	...	452	238	8,153	790	210	13,503	182	112	112	3,893		
Lachmangarh	...	...	1,986	253	6,391	1,487	784	37,874	649	160	160	16,904		
Sambat 1949, 1892-93.	Kishengarh	...	2,212	435	4,871	4,156	1,435	41,833	1,392	1,984	1,984	8,394		
	Ramgarh	...	796	3,047	6,167	1,759	3,968	35,115	435	3,219	3,219	6,424		
	Govindgarh	...	116	20	1,870	354	326	10,501	82	303	303	9,206		
	Lachmangarh	...	995	661	969	1,767	1,095	10,668	608	6,082	6,082	13,751		
Sambat 1950, 1893-94	Kishengarh	...	2,007	280	7,420	3,176	962	30,290	1,474	854	854	13,661		
	Ramgarh	...	1,325	3,700	7,234	776	1,653	19,045	354	3,143	3,143	7,351		
	Govindgarh	...	774	45	3,516	252	115	7,524	111	357	357	9,716		
	Lachmangarh	...	1,842	1,164	1,970	1,269	1,171	16,039	384	5,730	5,730	14,771		
Sambat 1951, 1894-95.	Kishengarh	..	3,281	866	8,159	3,518	1,419	38,985	1,195	1,436	1,436	10,759		
	Ramgarh	...	2,085	6,116	11,212	1,120	2,904	20,144	270	1,718	1,718	4,409		
	Govindgarh	...	413	55	5,182	233	215	8,587	111	213	213	9,027		
	Lachmangarh	...	2,052	5,770	3,557	1,525	934	17,543	412	3,370	3,370	15,443		
Sambat 1952, 1895-96	Kishengarh	...	1,779	436	4,451	3,406	1,607	35,647	1,358	1,175	1,175	8,356		
	Ramgarh	...	743	1,877	1,802	1,158	3,164	19,253	569	3,546	3,546	4,612		
	Govindgarh	...	297	19	1,411	170	67	6,431	383	218	218	8,602		
	Lachmangarh	...	1,122	504	651	1,151	827	13,164	570	2,765	2,765	13,838		
Sambat 1953, 1896-97.	Kishengarh	..	3,301	712	4,700	6,700	4,579	50,001	1,497	1,844	1,844	7,808		
	Ramgarh	...	2,604	2,527	4,016	2,794	4,905	29,084	1,344	5,095	5,095	5,037		
	Govindgarh	..	771	23	1,801	1,328	227	10,129	879	433	433	7,854		
	Lachmangarh	...	2,151	843	1,215	2,649	1,589	33,034	1,105	6,855	6,855	12,302		
Total of five years	Kishengarh	...	13,480	2,651	30,298	20,065	9,033	197,658	6,916	7,233	7,233	48,380		
	Ramgarh	...	7,616	17,267	33,111	7,563	16,543	112,541	3,073	16,781	16,781	28,023		
	Govindgarh	..	1,871	262	13,771	2,487	950	43,169	1,556	1,374	1,374	49,405		
	Lachmangarh	...	8,264	5,181	8,181	9,031	5,066	90,348	3,068	24,302	24,302	70,105		
Average of five years	Kishengarh	...	8,696	830	6,159	4,193	1,987	39,532	1,383	1,447	1,447	9,576		
	Ramgarh	..	3,543	3,453	6,666	1,513	3,339	22,528	594	3,344	3,344	5,005		
	Govindgarh	...	375	32	3,754	497	190	8,634	313	303	303	8,481		
	Lachmangarh	...	1,653	1,036	1,676	1,806	1,733	18,069	618	4,800	4,800	14,033		
Difference as compared with last settlement	Kishengarh	...	— 94	+ 530	— 604	— 1,592	+ 1,663	— 20,648	+ 355	+ 1,447	+ 1,447	+ 537		
	Ramgarh	...	— 50	+ 3,295	— 10,612	— 866	+ 2,609	— 13,282	+ 205	+ 3,340	+ 3,340	+ 424		
	Govindgarh	...	— 84	— 206	— 5,399	— 293	— 19	— 4,869	+ 131	+ 291	+ 291	+ 4,558		
	Lachmangarh	...	— 333	+ 783	— 4,015	+ 310	+ 749	— 19,805	— 31	+ 4,700	+ 4,700	+ 5,971		
Sambat 1954, 1897-98.	Kishengarh	..	503	650	3,404	232	4,979	53,311	63	1,618	1,618	8,469		
	Ramgarh	...	243	1,239	4,675	169	9,368	35,802	89	6,077	6,077	8,388		
	Govindgarh	...	110	32	1,505	...	586	33,981	...	3,373	3,373	7,388		
	Lachmangarh	...	1,157	8,033	2,796	30	2,630	39,074	...	2,600	2,600	14,227		

## II.

## Crop Statement.

R.F.

MAIZE.			MASINA, MOONG, MOTH, MASH.			INDIGO.			GOOWAR CHUBRI.			VEGETABLE AND GARDEN CROPS		
Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.
Maunds	Maunds.	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds	Maunds
85	...	15	121	...	10,426	84	...	77	319	5,23	112	...	15	
41	-	45	87	10	10,078	47	...	23	24	6,7	23	...	23	
10	...	2	21	...	2,698	...	-	-	42	52	2,40	225	...	7
431	...	90	144	2	12,428	55	25	205	357	69	2,992	272	228	0
306	...	5	153	316	13,595	4	...	7	741	664	11,36	77	...	1
368	746	57	4	181	3,947	18	...	1	459	1,022	1,17	154	54	23
96	...	20	3	8	1,830	...	...	-	152	5	1,5	121	3	
815	87	18	89	186	11,103	...	291	...	621	1,812	1,94	121	5	2
291	...	13	30	318	14,079	19	...	6	63	371	11,341	104	...	2
245	403	31	9	81	2,636	58	...	4	399	1,714	9,405	206	32	14
77	...	11	6	16	2,199	...	...	...	68	71	1,94	96	..	49
790	45	12	75	163	11,041	44	364	3	23	1,511	2,34	154	22	..
108	...	6	77	145	7,293	9	...	8	964	627	10,128	129	...	9
212	238	57	5	35	1,289	73	...	4	47	2,305	9,48	327	45	7
39	3	13	5	1	1,235	...	..	131	...	1,2	115	1	19	
517	15	7	37	50	7,125	73	389	26	53	1,031	7,116	107	34	1
50	...	9	65	154	6,299	37	..	13	1,372	202	1,147	146	...	
100	171	45	16	100	1,408	19	...	11	1,43	2,07	2,1	524	326	8
17	...	4	5	1,701	...	...	...	184	6	4,119	240			
505	6	10	142	194	11,233	95	652	7	77	1,27	7,371	194	19	
18	2	6	67	114	7,053	22	242	665	1,773	1,57	9,47	651	4	
809	196	16	24	94	1,214	...	24	711	1,271	8,32	0,23	271	77	47
36	1	100	60	7	1,316	...	...	57	117	4,25	173	..	1	
825	8	13	165	864	12,077	143	226	33	893	2,42	250	102	12	106
605	3	39	393	947	48,56	93	249	690	5,17	4,173	7,175	1,106	4	17
8,132	4,744	206	58	491	11,374	167	24	349	7,13	11,171	47,507	1474	514	98
265	3	148	79	33	8,321	...	...	1,041	356	12,441	148	3	73	
8,132	161	59	508	1,450	54,163	354	3,914	63	7,127	8,315	17,76	807	145	110
123	...	8	73	179	9,779	30	50	139	1,003	810	10,614	322	1	3
200	347	41	12	108	4,273	33	5	70	177	4,623	9,310	437	103	10
63	1	29	16	6	1,664	...	..	208	71	3,898	149	1	15	
631	33	12	103	391	11,834	71	383	13	639	1,663	7,515	161	20	22
+ 98	...	- 7	- 46	+ 179	- 647	- 64	+ 50	+ 62	+ 684	+ 810	+ 5,106	+ 110	+ 1	- 102
+ 266	+ 340	- 4	- 75	+ 88	- 7,800	+ 16	+ 5	+ 47	+ 478	+ 2,185	+ 2,443	+ 67	+ 103	- 243
+ 235	+ 2	+ 27	- 5	+ 6	- 1,234	...	...	...	+ 166	+ 19	+ 1,123	- 176	+ 1	+ 8
+ 402	+ 38	- 87	- 43	+ 284	- 6,991	+ 6	+ 88	- 153	+ 473	+ 1,56	+ 3,614	- 18	- 295	+ 13
26	3	15	17	360	10,389	...	...	...	22	1,674	11,755	385	1	30
46	238	53	...	427	3,268	...	...	...	24	3,068	9,004	154	6	96
133	46	13	3	24	1,786	...	...	...	4	91	4,083	107	3	17
133	46	238	53	19	748	13,323	5	109	6	1,549	7,910	188	...	3

Detail	Tahsil	RA											
		OTHER FOOD CROPS.			OTHER NON-FOOD CROPS.			TOTAL.					
		Chahi,	Dahli,	Barani,	Chahi,	Dahli,	Barani,	Chahi,	Dahli,	Barani,	Chahi,	Dahli,	Barani,
Last Settlement	Kishengarh	... ..	8	Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.
1892-93	Ramgarh	.. ..	44	20	103	39	.. ..	25	5,058	950	26,203	4,854	98,227
	Govindgarh	.. ..	10	.. ..	713	.. ..	.. ..	9	1,739	621	31,837	1,221	82,761
	Lachmangarh	.. ..	28	.. ..	78	39	.. ..	74	4,974	1,321	28,597	1,221	82,761
Sambat 1893-94	Kishengarh	.. ..	21	2	6	102	14	170	9,073	4,854	80,468	.. ..	.. ..
	Ramgarh	.. ..	17	159	3	163	1,712	548	4,171	14,815	50,760	.. ..	.. ..
	Govindgarh	.. ..	8	21	1	39	16	1,573	971	742	27,559	.. ..	.. ..
	Lachmangarh	.. ..	14	26	2	207	300	206	5,301	10,597	53,513	.. ..	.. ..
Sambat 1894-95	Kishengarh	.. ..	3	.. ..	1	84	4	150	8,621	2,691	76,968	.. ..	.. ..
	Ramgarh	.. ..	11	70	4	46	432	106	3,426	11,217	45,990	.. ..	.. ..
	Govindgarh	.. ..	6	56	3	33	13	615	913	661	27,514	.. ..	.. ..
	Lachmangarh	.. ..	5	.. ..	.. ..	221	367	222	1,177	10,443	51,602	.. ..	.. ..
Sambat 1894-95	Kishengarh	.. ..	3	.. ..	4	151	15	195	9,174	4,471	75,530	.. ..	.. ..
	Ramgarh	.. ..	5	27	5	165	1,27	49	4,5	14,433	47,254	.. ..	.. ..
	Govindgarh	.. ..	2	74	5	52	54	722	1,217	673	26,013	.. ..	.. ..
	Lachmangarh	.. ..	4	7	2	342	225	610	1,175	10,095	53,060	.. ..	.. ..
Sambat 1895-96	Kishengarh	.. ..	18	.. ..	1	97	8	73	9,373	4,092	65,989	.. ..	.. ..
	Ramgarh	.. ..	3	7	4	63	1,27	79	4,118	12,478	39,130	.. ..	.. ..
	Govindgarh	.. ..	1	31	.. ..	43	8	63	1,342	404	22,910	.. ..	.. ..
	Lachmangarh	.. ..	5	14	10	296	235	759	5,472	6,441	47,021	.. ..	.. ..
Sambat 1896-97	Kishengarh	.. ..	79	7	39	54	20	33	11,775	9,092	80,968	.. ..	.. ..
	Ramgarh	.. ..	22	62	.. ..	290	1,220	547	8,906	17,263	51,629	.. ..	.. ..
	Govindgarh	.. ..	9	7	9	132	28	1,209	3,943	842	26,405	.. ..	.. ..
	Lachmangarh	.. ..	48	33	8	303	1,041	2,470	8,315	13,841	60,081	.. ..	.. ..
Total of five years	Kishengarh	.. ..	114	9	50	488	82	624	49,180	25,207	370,836	.. ..	.. ..
	Ramgarh	.. ..	65	330	16	730	5,365	2,139	25,420	70,215	234,739	.. ..	.. ..
	Govindgarh	.. ..	33	183	18	294	124	3,060	8,384	3,332	137,006	.. ..	.. ..
	Lachmangarh	.. ..	76	79	22	1,496	2,168	4,443	29,973	49,387	265,337	.. ..	.. ..
Average of five years	Kishengarh	.. ..	23	2	10	97	16	123	9,836	5,041	75,065	.. ..	.. ..
	Ramgarh	.. ..	13	36	3	146	1,073	428	5,084	14,048	46,946	.. ..	.. ..
	Govindgarh	.. ..	7	37	4	59	35	733	1,077	666	36,801	.. ..	.. ..
	Lachmangarh	.. ..	15	16	4	299	434	889	5,095	9,977	53,067	.. ..	.. ..
Difference as compared with last Settlement	Kishengarh	.. ..	+ 14	+ 2	- 7	+ 48	+ 16	+ 8	+ 477	+ 5,027	+ 27,808	.. ..	.. ..
	Ramgarh	.. ..	- 51	+ 46	- 105	- 117	+ 1,073	+ 403	+ 26	+ 13,093	+ 29,347	.. ..	.. ..
	Govindgarh	.. ..	- 3	+ 37	- 709	+ 59	+ 35	+ 733	- 62	+ 45	+ 5,836	.. ..	.. ..
	Lachmangarh	.. ..	- 13	- 16	- 74	+ 261	+ 424	+ 835	+ 8,021	+ 8,935	+ 30,894	.. ..	.. ..
Sambat 1897-98	Kishengarh	.. ..	122	45	101	43	48	282	1,475	9,380	16,979	.. ..	.. ..
	Ramgarh	.. ..	.. ..	298	89	5	1,616	870	688	28,707	37,436	.. ..	.. ..
	Govindgarh	.. ..	8	36	89	9	20	983	250	3,094	16,979	.. ..	.. ..
	Lachmangarh	.. ..	12	181	57	737	9,940	2,518	11,005	19,979	37,436	.. ..	.. ..

II—contd.

Statement—contd.

Br.

WHEAT.			BARLEY.			GRAMS.			BEJAR, GOCHAN, GOJRA.			SHARBHAP, TURRAH, OILSEEDS.			
Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	
Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.	Maunds.										
8,892	12	2,272	8,873	...	9,587	675	15	9,358	1,148	...	8,031	499	...	1,176	
8,075	790	3,378	8,932	335	10,234	170	10	2,601	151	511	2,260	5	15	459	
473	101	50	8,853	400	772	135	104	1,848	19	26	133	13	3	143	
8,813	369	688	9,878	564	3,132	527	201	6,244	322	117	3,059	70	...	53	
3,925	220	373	9,410	800	1,051	373	729	10,506	1,022	644	13,258	1,070	189	4,304	
8,388	3,983	154	8,876	1,948	528	19	1,035	2,220	255	7,015	2,111	86	235	403	
8,328	400	188	3,541	80	86	153	200	9,267	17	25	1,354	179	82	990	
3,200	1,368	...	7,706	622	13	878	2,951	8,524	413	4,395	104	420	21	128	
4,200	1,252	485	7,853	723	1,839	316	353	1,167	1,215	7,322	1,157	1,610	243	8,367	
1,354	7,210	661	2,578	1,257	745	51	521	2,001	203	1,402	4,300	234	245	844	
1,372	383	175	3,777	103	106	150	293	2,907	48	300	3,125	140	61	1,518	
3,249	1,335	9	7,640	515	42	508	4,943	12,257	470	1,130	20	593	279	3,145	
3,865	1,000	711	7,444	1,087	1,103	54	607	9,054	1,364	2,54	9,431	2,170	531	8,547	
918	5,506	421	1,010	1,075	51	24	79	3,169	177	6,526	3,518	235	511	3,407	
1,166	406	168	3,110	113	96	271	386	6,74	20	17	4,207	131	96	746	
3,953	1,840	91	6,557	611	25	9,8	3,537	1,5,0	310	4,092	395	412	21	148	
3,753	496	253	13,57	1,010	1,491	391	1,407	11,145	547	1,124	3,351	1,692	570	8,507	
1,547	639	17	5,937	1,412	400	41	2,17	22	104	4,190	959	223	1,115	2,59	
1,098	398	4	4,218	20	28	65	209	1,79	30	210	577	233	238	393	
3,576	390	3	8,260	706	92	343	4,145	9,58	242	1,170	93	159	98	93	
4,639	1,425	270	15,201	3,464	6,297	455	1,818	7,791	656	3,003	2,950	605	744	9,299	
3,380	3,819	275	11,737	3,569	1,482	56	1,636	1,440	510	3,278	1,516	747	4,893	14,231	
900	394	6	6,486	137	71	45	159	973	30	210	577	134	147	700	
9,423	394	...	11,812	724	71	100	3,150	1,471	491	1,259	34	368	613	338	
30,388	6,303	2,123	51,064	6,134	73,272	3,050	4,909	45,553	6,394	24,852	30,789	7,140	2,166	38,934	
7,587	31,206	1,528	24,738	9,281	3,713	191	6,601	11,271	1,401	30,693	13,504	1,478	6,091	18,944	
5,864	1,910	543	31,652	529	389	683	1,226	34,223	704	1,237	9,563	828	624	4,403	
14,400	5,227	103	43,975	3,200	350	3,853	18,927	45,181	1,941	13,901	975	2,143	1,033	1,851	
4,076	879	424	17,393	1,227	2,035	412	932	9,110	1,124	7,253	1,031	453	7,795		
1,517	4,242	306	6,948	1,855	742	38	1,320	2,154	180	7,139	2,502	295	1,93	3,789	
3,123	382	108	4,130	206	78	136	345	6,845	61	247	1,93	166	125	880	
3,880	1,045	31	8,715	640	50	570	2,136	9,190	388	2,781	195	430	300	370	
+3,184	+867	-1,848	+1,520	+1,227	-6,053	-263	4,052	-348	1,111	+4,971	-771	+1,170	+453	+6,509	
+443	+3,453	-3,073	-684	+1,521	-6,492	-138	+1,310	15	1,120	+5,618	+23	1,246	+1,123	+3,330	
+750	+481	*	+58	+1,477	-303	-694	+1	+141	+4,297	+42	1,221	+1,164	+153	1,122	+757
+1,067	+676	-667	-1,083	+76	-2,282	+43	+3,585	+2,952	+66	+2,063	-1,164	+360	+166	+317	
2,653	3,135	324	10,813	1,782	3,350	157	2,476	1,1023	474	4,247	7,504	486	921	10,464	
1,716	8,030	507	6,317	5,940	2,208	810	4,989	5,901	195	1,980	800	248	3,021	+803	
773	537	5	3,083	279	102	4	358	8,370	92	501	96	80	374		
37	833	17	6,681	816	175	69	3,235	8,192	203	8,023	567	273	630	740	

## No. II—concl'd.

## Jinsvar or Crop Statement—concl'd.

Detail,	Tahsil,	RABI,										TOTAL OF BOTH HARVESTS	
		VEGETABLE AND GARDEN CROPS,			OTHER NON-FOOD CROPS,			TOTAL,					
		Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.	Chahi.	Dahri.	Barani.			
Last Settlement	Kishengarh	... ...	489	...	278	110	...	69	11,746	27	30,871	151,448	
Ramgarh	... ...	249	7	621	471	...	24	8,046	1,688	19,588	111,623		
Govindgarh	... ...	263	...	19	121	...	101	3,817	641	3,087	41,594		
Lachmangarh	... ...	187	195	12	151	...	6	12,948	1,446	11,304	114,846		
Sambat 1849, 1892-93.	Kishengarh	... ...	848	11	5	137	...	...	17,684	8,196	30,177	150,678	
Ramgarh	... ...	16	...	10	41	6	1	4,741	1,241	6,118	94,706		
Govindgarh	... ...	195	9	6	...	...	...	5,412	1,103	12,601	48,588		
Lachmangarh	... ...	197	41	...	205	2	...	13,025	9,402	8,839	100,667		
Sambat 1850, 1893-94.	Kishengarh	... ...	3,425	13	270	433	...	6	17,682	10,511	28,700	145,863	
Ramgarh	... ...	121	13	4	183	...	4	4,014	19,718	9,495	94,633		
Govindgarh	... ...	174	9	...	129	...	12	5,790	1,213	13,039	49,147		
Lachmangarh	... ...	322	9	1	503	1	...	13,281	10,108	13,736	104,541		
Sambat 1851, 1894-95.	Kishengarh	... ..	985	16	5	319	...	8	16,601	8,820	3,873	145,478	
Ramgarh	... ..	79	35	2	132	...	...	3,175	14,889	9,728	93,981		
Govindgarh	... ..	210	...	...	134	...	13	5,244	1,188	15,016	49,055		
Lachmangarh	... ..	227	18	...	750	7	0	12,165	10,128	14,244	102,400		
Sambat 1852, 1895-96.	Kishengarh	... ..	1,226	...	...	98	...	...	10,237	5,437	23,650	136,739	
Ramgarh	... ..	21	3	...	20	...	...	7,800	8,185	6,269	77,986		
Govindgarh	... ..	188	3	1	37	...	...	6,378	1,277	6,678	38,989		
Lachmangarh	... ..	850	38	6	85	1	...	12,722	6,696	10,051	88,403		
Sambat 1853, 1896-97.	Kishengarh	... ..	583	3	22	280	...	2	23,279	9,403	26,569	183,019	
Ramgarh	... ..	326	9	10	165	8	1	15,021	17,012	18,961	130,586		
Govindgarh	... ..	338	6	220	45	...	1	7,948	772	8,039	41,948		
Lachmangarh	... ..	488	13	2	812	4	1	18,559	5,987	3,319	80,043		
Total of five years...	Kishengarh	... ..	5,067	42	302	1,272	...	16	94,478	42,597	139,879	731,167	
Ramgarh	... ..	815	59	26	541	14	6	36,751	74,845	49,091	491,058		
Govindgarh	... ..	1,105	27	227	345	...	26	30,781	5,553	49,375	238,437		
Lachmangarh	... ..	2,084	119	9	2,355	15	10	69,750	42,421	49,179	506,053		
Average of five years.	Kishengarh	... ..	1,013	8	61	355	...	3	18,806	8,580	27,977	146,824	
Ramgarh	... ..	163	12	5	108	3	1	7,350	14,969	9,098	98,390		
Govindgarh	... ..	221	6	45	69	...	5	6,156	1,111	9,874	45,685		
Lachmangarh	... ..	417	24	2	471	3	3	13,051	8,484	9,836	101,310		
Difference as compared with last Settlement.	Kishengarh	... ..	+524	+8	-217	+145	...	-66	+5,250	+8,493	+2,894	-6,914	
Ramgarh	... ..	-86	+5	-68	-305	+3	-23	-696	+12,281	-9,890	-13,233		
Govindgarh	... ..	-43	+6	+33	-52	...	-96	+8,339	+4,468	+6,837	+3,091		
Lachmangarh	... ..	+230	-171	-10	+320	+3	-4	+1,003	+7,038	-1,558	-13,066		
Sambat 1854, 1897-98.	Kishengarh	... ..	359	12	10	354	...	1	16,195	11,574	23,726	150,249	
Ramgarh	... ..	125	...	68	389	...	...	9,240	18,966	11,280	110,985		
Govindgarh	... ..	89	1	3	73	2	1	5,039	1,339	8,238	41,245		
Lachmangarh	... ..	363	...	...	353	...	...	13,487	6,891	9,831	118,375		

---

**No. III.**

**Statement of realisations and balances.**

---

## III

*Statement of realisation and balances.*

Tahsil.	Sambat	Detail.	Total Jama	Realised.	Remission or Reduction		Total balance, realised up to date.	Amount now due.	Amount of Jama of land resumed.			REMARKS.
					Remission.	Reduction.			Total.	Realised.	Balance.	
Kishengarh	... 1916 A.D. 1859-60	3 years	Rs. 1,46,617	Rs. 1,46,617	...	...	Rs. 1,46,617	Rs. 1,46,617	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	
Ramgarh	"	"	Rs. 1,38,951	Rs. 1,38,951	...	...	Rs. 1,38,951	Rs. 1,38,951	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	
Govindgarh	"	"	Rs. 70,125	Rs. 70,125	...	...	Rs. 70,125	Rs. 70,125	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	
Lachmangarh	"	"	Rs. 1,12,910	Rs. 1,12,536	...	...	Rs. 1,12,536	Rs. 1,12,536	Rs. 374	Rs. 374	Rs. 0	
Kishengarh	... 1918 A.D. 1861-62	3 years	Rs. 1,46,697	Rs. 1,46,697	...	...	Rs. 1,46,697	Rs. 1,46,697	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	
Ramgarh	"	"	Rs. 1,38,951	Rs. 1,38,951	...	...	Rs. 1,38,951	Rs. 1,38,951	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	
Govindgarh	"	"	Rs. 78,577	Rs. 78,577	...	...	Rs. 78,577	Rs. 78,577	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	
Lachmangarh	"	"	Rs. 1,21,003	Rs. 1,20,439	...	...	Rs. 1,20,439	Rs. 1,20,439	Rs. 564	Rs. 564	Rs. 0	
Kishengarh	... 1819 to 1927 A.D. 1862-70	10 years	Rs. 1,73,354	Rs. 1,73,354	...	...	Rs. 1,73,354	Rs. 1,73,354	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	
Ramgarh	"	"	Rs. 1,68,922	Rs. 16,820	408	...	Rs. 16,820	Rs. 16,820	Rs. 514	Rs. 514	Rs. 0	
Govindgarh	"	"	Rs. 88,200	Rs. 88,200	...	...	Rs. 88,200	Rs. 88,200	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	Rs. 0	
Lachmangarh	"	"	Rs. 1,52,785	Rs. 1,49,652	...	...	Rs. 1,49,652	Rs. 1,49,652	Rs. 3,133	Rs. 3,133	Rs. 0	

*Statement of realisation and balances—contd.*

Tahsil.	Sambat.	Date.	Total Jama.	Realised	REMISSION OR REDUCTION.		Total balance	Amount realised up to date.	Balance now due.	AMOUNT OF JAMA OF & AND RESUMED.			REMARKS.
					Remission	Reduction.				Total.	Realised.	Balance.	
Kishengarh	... 1928 A. D. 1871-72	10 years	1,46,697	1,46,697	...	...	...	* ...	...	...	...	...	
Ramgarh	...	"	"	1,70,955	1,70,955	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Govindgarh	...	"	"	88,200	88,200	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Lachmangarh	...	"	"	1,52,785	1,52,785	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Kishengarh	.. 1929 A. D. 1872-73	Summary	1,87,185	1,87,185	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Ramgarh	...	"	"	1,80,562	1,80,562	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Govindgarh	...	"	"	86,337	86,337	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Lachmangarh	...	"	"	1,64,959	1,63,129	...	1,830	...	...	...	...	...	
Kishengarh	.. 1933 A. D. 1876-77	16 years	2,01,139	1,96,764	3,433	...	942	...	...	...	...	...	
Ramgarh	...	"	"	1,92,147	1,91,873	274	...	...	...	...	71	59	12
Govindgarh	...	"	"	75,600	75,435	...	...	...	165	...	399	399	...
Lachmangarh	...	"	"	1,72,360	1,71,210	1,150	...	...	...	...	240	240	...
Kishengarh	.. 1934 A. D. 1877-78	16 years	2,09,915	1,01,161	82,220	74	26,460	...	...	...	...	...	
Pangarkh	...	"	"	1,94,547	81,760	78,420	410	...	33,957	...	317	147	170

<b>Govindgarh</b>	...	"	"	75,600	28,543	39,354	...	...	7,703	..	399	399	...	
<b>Lachmangarh</b>	...	"	"	1,72,360	83,627	57,442	...	...	31,291	...	429	429	...	
<b>Kishengarh</b>	...	1935 A. D. 1878-79	16 years	2,12,761	1,64,830	...	10	47,921	4,444	9,974	...	...	...	
<b>Ramgarh</b>	..	"	"	2,01,414	1,57,836	4,071	3	...	8,990	30,517	297	192	105	
<b>Govindgarh</b>	...	"	"	75,700	58,153	...	...	...	11,198	6,349	399	399	...	
<b>Lachmangarh</b>	...	"	"	1,73,640	1,22,090	2,651	...	...	20,078	28,821	428	373	55	
<b>Kishengarh</b>	...	1936 A.D 1879-80	16 years	2,13,554	1,85,549	...	...	28,005	16,159	8,89	...	...	...	
<b>Ramgarh</b>	..	"	"	2,00,123	1,77,119	3,000	3	17	..	3,113	16,874	374	356	18
<b>Govindgarh</b>	...	"	"	75,700	72,742	...	...	..	1,336	1,622	519	510	9	
<b>Lachmangarh</b>	...	"	"	1,74,583	1,54,799	1,431	...	...	4,292	14,066	248	248	...	
<b>Kishengarh</b>	...	1937 A D 1880-81	16 years	2,14,764	2,00,132	...	182	14,450	1,175	8,424	...	...	...	
<b>Ramgarh</b>	..	"	"	1,99,840	1,82,143	2,565	3	...	...	2,401	12,731	208	195	13
<b>Govindgarh</b>	..	"	"	75,700	58,453	...	...	...	12,743	4,524	426	392	34	
<b>Lachmangarh</b>	...	"	"	1,75,673	1,33,844	2,044	...	...	6,941	32,844	247	176	71	
<b>Kishengarh</b>	...	1938 A.D. 1881-82	16 years	2,14,714	2,05,743	..	74	8,267	1,840	5,63	...	...	...	
<b>Ramgarh</b>	..	"	"	2,00,970	1,86,132	3,063	3	12	...	2,275	8,688	314	310	4
<b>Govindgarh</b>	..	"	"	75,800	74,620	...	...	...	1,511	160	431	354	77	
<b>Lachmangarh</b>	...	"	"	1,75,844	1,41,193	2,083	...	...	5,954	27,512	253	211	47	

\*NB — Figures in bracket under column of "Remission" stand for deductions.