Officer starting a new Settlement to first of all carefully examine every Patwari in the District in writing, teading, and arithmetic up to compound division. It is simply incredible how ignorant some Patwaris are, and to try and teach these men to use a plane table is labour and time utterly lost. An examination of this kind would weed out at once all the utterly incompetents, and save an immense amount of trouble. A distinct ruling or principle is also needed to regulate the exemption of Patwaris from learning measurements. Length of service should be the main point considered. If only age is looked at, the Patwaris will all grow old at once.

"In addition to the instruction of the Rawalpindi Patwaris, the Kanungos have also been made over to the Settlement Department, and endeavours are being made to impart to them some knowledge of Settlement work. Two Kanungos have been got rid of, and I am told that two or three more cannot be taught, but as yet they are under instruction. A few of the Kanungos will probably be of some little assistance.

"The Shahpur Patwaris are also being instructed in measurements. Teachers have been appointed and the work is progressing slowly but satisfactorily. I can see that my estimate that three months' tuition would be sufficient, will be considerably exceeded. Pass certificates have been granted to eight. They seem a willing, intelligent lot of men.

"I have been engaged during the last week in appointing two Girdáwars in each Tahsil except Murree. Each Superintendent sent me the four best Patwaris he had, and after hearing them read, asking them questions concerning their work, and examining them in writing, I selected the two best educated men. Each Girdáwar will be put in charge of from 3 to 4 Patwaris and work as a Munsarim. The training they receive during Settlement ought to be of great value to them after the completion of Settlement operations. They will be paid from the Patwari fund."

"Field maps of 117 villages have also been prepared during the year. These have been prepared exclusively by Patwaris. A considerable amount of work has been done in preparing preliminary statements as shown in the statement below:—

PREPARED.

		TAH	SIL.		BEFORE.	Now.	BALANCE.
r geli	Rawalpindi	See See	N	E-1	 10	153	285
	Attock		***		 	68	125
	Kahuta, Mu	ree*			 	174	148
	Pindigheb .	•••		•••	 	85	46
	Gujar Khan				 3.0	167	215
	Fattehjang	FRA	***	100 to 10	 	101	98
		District		***	10	747	917

"I understand a preliminary Statement to mean the Chitta-tasdiq and Fard Badr papers, which are prepared by the Munsarim and given to the Patwari before the field measurement of a village is commenced, and as the Chitta-tasdiq and 'Fard Badr' of 747 villages has been prepared, I have entered them in stage No. 2. I was particularly pleased with the extreme care with which several Munsarims had prepared these statements in villages that had been measured or where measurements were in progress. At first I thought they could not be correctly prepared until after the Khasra had been completed, but after I had learnt the mode of preparation the difficulties vanished. An intelligent Munsarim with the village pedigree table corrected up to date and a copy of the last annual papers, can prepare the "chitta-tasdiq" and "Fard Badr" almost without the Patwari's help; and with the Patwari's assistance the papers are prepared quickly and almost without an error. In Kahuta, Gujer Khan and Rawalpindi the Munsarims whose village boundary maps had not been enlarged, and where the field map consequently could not be commenced at once, have been employed in completing the "chitta-tasdiq" work of their circles, so that once measurements commence they will have nothing to do but inspect, and hard enough work too."

Appendix A. 14.

21. Beside the above work, two valuable and extensive sets of crop experiments (Rabi and Kharif) were made and reported. I think I may say that no other work noticed crop experiments and reports are so valuable as those received from Mr. Steedman. A rough survey (girdáwari) of the area under crop at each harvest was also made. And some progress was made during the cold season in the demarcation of the Forest Reserves of the Murree and Kahuta Tahsils. The work of the year has been very much up-hill. But the boundary demarcation has been completed; and it may be hoped that the Patwaris have been so far taught and disciplined, that the field measurements will now progress with rapidity. Mr. Steedman's management of the Settlement has so far been very successful.

AMBALLA PATWARI TRAINING SCHOOLS.

22. Three schools for the instruction of the Patwaris of the Amballa district were sanctioned in the Secretary, Government Panjáb's No. 495, dated 28th Progress made in April, 1881. Mr. Walker, the Settlement Officer of Ludhiana, has been teaching Patwaris. in charge, and he has furnished an instructive report of the past year's progress. Work was started in the 2nd quarter of the year; and by its close 60 Patwari candidates, residents of the district, had been trained. 60 Patwaris were then summoned to the schools, the candidates taking their place. These Patwaris were trained by February, and at the close of the year a second batch of the same number were under training. Meantime a third or 2 Tahsils of the District has been placed under Settlement, but in the other 4 Tahsils the work is still going on. And we may be confident that when at the close of the year now opening the Ludhiana establishment commences the Settlement of these 4 Tahsils, a very great improvement in the Patwari agency will have been established. The Kanungos are also being instructed. By the close of the year some 140 Patwaris and 60 candidates had been instructed, the total number of Patwaris in the district being 445. Besides the Settlement Officer has taken up 170 cases of inefficient Patwaris; some of whom were minors appointed contrary to rule, and others Hindí-writing Patwaris appointed since 1876; and in most cases has enforced the standing orders which had been overlooked; at the same time modifying his action where possible and suitable by extension of time or by substitution of relations. We still have a great deal of difficulty before us, before we can hope to make the Amballa Patwari agency efficient. There are old men who ought to be removed with the consideration that is due to their long service (and on this point, so far as concerns the Ludhiana district, proposals have been submitted for the Financial Commissioner's orders): and there are a number of unintelligent Hindi writers whom we cannot hope to teach. Still during the year the number of Hindí-writing Patwaris has decreased from 310 to 258, and that of Urdu writers has increased from 135 to 186; on the whole our difficulties are less than we anticipated, and the best men among the agency, Hindi writers not excepted, have responded to our efforts to teach them. The Patwaris are all thoroughly examined as to physical efficiency, and also in reading, writing, and mensuration before being sent out to survey: their instruction in these matters being completed, so far as necessary, before they are required to map in the field. The work which they are required to do, is exactly the same as they would be required to do, if Settlement operations were in progress; and the maps and papers prepared by them will be utilised as soon as the district is put under Settlement. Mr. Walker has ctaken great interest in the work with much success. I spent a few days in the Cract in the middle of March.

SIMLA SETTLEMENT.

The Settlement of the Simla District was commenced in May of the year under report. The Patwari agency was very weak, there being only 5 Measurements and Patwaris in the whole district, and the annual papers had hitherto been kept in the roughest way. Consequently, I have been obliged to work with Amins. The measurement and attestation of the lower part of the Simla district, viz., the 2 Kalka villages, the Shiwa Ilaqua and Pargannah Bharauli, aggregating 16,000 acres and 2,400 holdings, was completed by the end of the year. Also during the 2nd and 3rd quarters of the year a little work was done in Kotkhai, in order to teach the Patwaris of that part of the district. I believe the Bharauli work to be fairly good; but the Superintendent has not managed it well. If the weather is favorable, I have some hopes of completing the Settlement of this district, or at least of the greatest portion of it during the year now opening. But I am not sure how far the rains may not hinder the work; and very little can be done usefully in the 4th quarter, when the snow falls. The plan of operations is to work with a small staff of officials, finishing one flaqa before commencing another.

24. I trust it will be apparent from the extracts which I have given from the reports of Settlement Officers, that we are now really making Settlements almost entirely by the Patwari agency. Settlement Officers succeed in doing this, only by moving about in camp continuously, both themselves and the Tahsil Superintendents. I append a statement of the number of days spent in camp by each officer down to the grade of Superintendent, in the same form as the statement given in para 26 of my last report:—

SETTLEMENT OFF	ICERS,		EXTRA ASSISTANT OFFICE		IRNT	SUPERINTENI	ENTS.	
NAME.	Days of duty.	Days spent in Camp.	NAME.	Days of duty.	Days spent in Camp.	Name,	Days of duty.	Days spent in Camp,
Mr. J. Wilson	258	170	Gobind Sahai .	365	149	Kalka Parshad Kishen Parshad Alimulla	365 365 365	234 331 194
Mr. T. G. Walker	365	157	Chiranjit Lal	365	208	Aamad Bakhsh Jodh Singh Karam Shah, Offg Khadim Hosain Amir Chand, Offg Ishar Das Amir Chand, Offg	365 253 112 332 33 69 296	244 199 59 257 30 56 173
Mr. W. E. Purser	365	231	Maharaj Kishen .	365	208	Hakim-ud-din Azizuddin, Offg Sohan Lal, Offg Shekh Suba Janki Nath Nihal Chand Fattahulla Khan, Offg.	214 117 34 865 365 365 349 16	122 84 23 214 189 239 13
Captain J.A. L. Mont-gomery. Mr. A. Kensington, A. S. O.	351 334	151 181	Mirza Azim Beg .	365	225	Bhagwan Das Shulam Farid Alam Shah, Offg. Parmeshri Sahai Durga Parshad Parmeshri Sahai, Offg. Nathu Ram	365 252 28 85 304 61 865	146 80 3 21 164 22 184
Mr. E. B. Steedman Mr. F. A. Robertson	365 53	198 34	Abdul Ghani	344	227	Ali Ahmad Budh Singh Alif Din Ishar Das Chandan Lai Muhammad Afzai Khan Mahesh Das Ratan Chand	365 365 150 201 365 365 317 48	211 228 125 118 326 286 172 34

I cam increasingly convinced of the extremely laborious and responsible character of the work of Settlement Officers, their native Extra Assistants, and Tahsil Superintendents. Settlement duties impose on all these officers personal exertions and severe responsibility of such a character, as no officer, either European or native, can discharge successfully for more than a limited number of years. And I am anxious that the Superintendents especially should, after each had done his fair and full share of this arduous work, be transferred to the ordinary line of the Revenue administration, in which their detailed knowledge of the agricultural communities of the Revenue assessment and Patwari agency must necessarily be very valuable. Every English officer feels the necessity for a change of this nature, after a certain number of years of Settlement work; and our Tahsil Superintendents feel the strain of unbroken Settlement duties in the same way.

25. I visited the interior of the Simla District in June last. The latter part of October and beginning of November (29 days) were spent by me in Sirsa. I then spent 19° days in the Rawalpindi District, and a month (18th December to 20th January) in the Hoshiarpur District. Assessment work then delayed me at Jallandhar for a month. From 22nd February to 24th March, 1 marched through the Ludhiana and Amballa Districts. The only district in which I made no tour was Jallandhar.

Mooltan Settlement has been forwarded during the year under report.

Reports and case There are consequently three still pending disposal; viz., those of the Jhang, Muzaffargarh and Jhelum Districts. I have been practically prevented from forwarding the Jhelum Report by 2 circumstances; viz., that the Forest Settlement was incomplete; and that the Government's order on the assessment and Patwari agency were only issued in February last. The Jhang and Muzaffargarh reports were sent to the printer in August last; but the autumn sickness hindered the printing work; and though I hope to receive them complete in a few days, to the present moment neither of them have yet reached me.* I will endeavor to forward all three reports, before next camping season commences.

My case-work has again increased slightly. I decided 157 civil appeals; and 217 Revenue appeals; and there were pending at the close of the year, 57 of the first, and 41 of the second class of cases.

27. Of the crop girdáwari work referred to at the close of my last year's report, the reports of the Settlement officers of each district contain some notice; of which the following is an abstract.

In Jallandhar a Kharif crop girdawari was made according to the old papers. This Rabi a girdawari is being made according to the new papers. Until the Settlement Officer comes to write the Assessment reports, he cannot say what the value of these girdawaris will be; but he says they ought to be useful. In Hoshiarpur the crop areas of 2 harvests together were this year recorded at one time. Time occupied in two Tahsils between 15 and 20 days, and in the other two Tahsils about 1 month. The Settlement Officer writes that in every way it is advisable to have crop areas recorded every year. In Ludhiana crop girdáwaris were made for 2 harvests at same time. Time taken about 12 days. It is necessary to have the girdáwari in December, as sowings go on into that month. By this arrangement thereawas no great interruption of the regular work. In Rawalpindi a rough girdawayi of the area under crop at each harvest was made and results tabulated and filed in Settlement Officer's office. In Sirsa the crops of 5 harvests have now been observed, and the time occupied was about ten days on the average each harvest. Returns of the crops sown for each harvest have been obtained; which are for all practical purposes trustworthy ard without which Settlement Officer would have been working altogether in the dark in making out the estimates for assessments. Besides this the knowledge of the agriculture of the district has been increased, and the Patwaris kept acquainted with the condition of their villages.

^{*} I have received the Jhang report since I wrote this .- E. WACE, Settlement Commissioner.

The results of this continuous observation of the crops prove of the greatest value in every Assessment seport that is now submitted.

28. In conclusion I subjoin a table of the principal data of each Settlement now in progress, in the same form as the table at the end of my last year's report:—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7		. 9 .	10
and at	date it of		IPATED LEASE.	income ees.	cement copera-	ii. ii	f con-	Cost of	
• District,	Land Revenue at do of commencement ettlement.	To imperial Land Revenue.	To revenue enjoy- ed by Jagirdars and other assign- ees.	Estimated gross inco by Settlement fees.	Date of commencement of Settlement copera- tions.	Probable duration years.	Anticipated date of conclusion of the same.	Total expenditure to date.	Frobable cotal cost of Settle-ment,
Sirsa	 Rs. 1,93,106	Rs. 85,846	Rs. 2,645	Rs. 40,000	2nd Decr. 1879	3	Decr. 1882.	•Rs. 1,85,287	Rs. 2,25,001
Karnal-Amballa	 8,91,716	1,38,000	46,000	1,30,000	1st May 1882	5	April 1887		5,35,000
Ludhiana	 9,48,742	1,30,000	20,000	1,40,000	1st Octr. 1878	4	1st Octr. 1882	3,56,640	4,00,000
Simla	 15,507	Nil.	Nil.	3,000	May 1881	2	May 1883	5,815	11,000
Jallandhar	 13,07,437	1,00,000	7,000	1,43,000	February 1880	51	July 1885	1,90,270	5,00,000
Hoshiarpur	 13,61,953	1,30,682	15,611	95,296	1st Jany. 1879	5	1st Jany. 1884	3,97,018	5.50,000
Rawalpindi	 7,37,378	1,50,000	12,000	1,50,000	15th Octr. 1880	6	15th Octr. 1885	1,42,816	6,00,000
Total	 54,55,839	7,34,528	1,03,256	7,01,296		100		12,77,846	23,26,000

I wish to repeat the acknowledgment of the great assistance received from the Superintendent of my Office with which I concluded my last year's report. And I should also be glad if I could find some opening for rewarding 2 or 3 of the English Clerks of Settlement Officers, as for instance, those of Ludhiana, Jallandhar and Hoshiarpur, who send me repeatedly most complicated and important volumes of figured statements without a mistake of any importance from beginning to end.

Appendix B. 1.

No. 1221-D. M., dated 14th September 1882.

From-E. P. HENDERSON, ESQUIRE, Government Advocate, Punjab.

To-J. M. Douie, Esquire, Junior Secretary to Financial Commissioner, Punjab.

I have the honor to submit the Annual Report of the Civil business transacted by this office for the past official year (1881-82). I also submit the usual Appendices A. and B.:

- 2. Mr. C. H. Spitta, Barrister-at Law, held charge of the duties of Government

 Advocate from 1st April to 23rd October 1881. On the 24th October office.

 I rejoined from a furlough to Europe, and held charge during the remaining portion of the year under report.
- 3. Appendix A. gives the usual detailed abstract of cases and questions referred to this office for opinion during the year, and the usual comparative tabular statement of references during the last 5 years is hereto subjoined.

Comparative Statement of references submitted for opinion, and disposed of in the several years 1877-78 to 1881-82.

No.	Name of Der	artme	nt.		•	1877-78.	1878-79.	1879-80.	1880-81.	1881-82
		-	•							-
1	Government Punjab, Civil Depa	rtment				50	67	28	24	27
2	Do. Military De	epartm	ent	***	***			1	1.	1
3	Do. P. W. Depa	rtment		***	***	54	36	48	76	15
14	Do. P. W. D. Ir	rigation	Branch	***						144
5	Financial Commissioner Punjab	***	***			21	14	30	25	20
16	Indus Valley State Railway					***				7
7	Punjab Northern State Railway		***	***	***					1
8	Consulting Engineer, Lahore				***	1		6	1	3
9	Conservator of Forests	***					- 5	9	4	5
10	Inspector General of Police		***			4	6	6		1
11	Inspector General of Prisons				***	3	1	3		
12	Inspector General of Registration		***		***	5		2	2	
13	Director of Public Instruction		***			1		3	2	
14	Accountant General Punjab		***	***					1	
15	Post Master General Punjab		***	***	***	1	1 3	6	2	1
16	Miscellaneous	***			***	15	18	10	18	13
			7	otal		154	150	152	156	237

The number of references during 1881-82 is thus 86 in excess of the average of the previous 5 years.

- 4. This appendix gives particulars of the original Civil Suits, to which Government Appendix B, Part I. was a party, conducted during the year. Fourteen such cases were conducted, and a brief statement of each is here given.
- Deputy Commissioner of Delhi versus Heirs of Haji Kutbuddin and Mahomed Tukkee.

 Report. The preliminary issue fixed by Mr. Justice Plowden as to whether the trust created by the will of Muhammad Tukkee was a trust for chargable purposes within the meaning of Section 539, Civil Procedure Code, was found in favour of defendants, and the suit was therefore dismissed with costs. Mr. Justice Plowden disposed of the case in an elaborate judgment which left little room for doubt that, as the Code of Civil Procedure then stood, the suit did not fall within the scope of Section 539, and an appeal was not considered advisable. The judgment is published as No. 50 of the Punjab Record for 1882.

- 6. This was a suit for damages for alleged breach of contract by the officers of the Collins versus Secretary of State. Punjab Northern, State Railway in connection with the supply of bricks to that Railway. The damages were laid at Rs. 1,23,717, and after a trial extending over 7 days, the Plaintiff's suit was dismissed with costs.
- 7. This was a suit against the Defendant, a Commissariat Agent, for an account, the Secretary of State claim being set down approximately at 2 lacs. The particulars of the claim will be found in para 14 of last year's Report. It was found impossible to get the evidence on commission or otherwise of almost the whole of the witnesses, and a full report of the case was submitted by me to the Military Department, under whose instructions the case had been instituted, recommending that it should be witndrawn. This was sanctioned, and the suit was accordingly withdrawn on 31st January, with liberty to bring a fresh suit should the required evidence be subsequently procurable, the defendant agreeing to receive half costs only.
- 8. In this case the Plaintiff, who was the Proprietor of the Caledonian Press, Mooltan, preferred a claim for Rs. 6,739-14-0 for printing done for and paper tary of State.

 with costs by the Judicial Assistant of Mooltan.
 - 9. This suit was instituted in the Court of the Judicial Assistant of Lahore against the Wazir Ali versus Section Punjab Northern State Railway, for the balance of the value of 10,000 brick cubes and other profits on a contract entered into with the Plaintiff.

The facts, briefly stated, were that Plaintiff agreed to supply at a fixed price 10,000 cubes of a prescribed quality. He made some, but they were so inferior that only a few could be accepted, and the rest were rejected. Plea accordingly, and issue joined thereon. The Court erroneously threw the burden of proof on the defence, but, this notwithstanding, the Plaintiff's case was demonstrated to be without foundation, and was dismissed with costs.

10. This was a claim for a declaration of proprietary rights in a parcel of land near the Shah Nawaz versus Canal at Mooltan. The suit failed on a technical ground, but it is possible the Plaintiff may bring a fresh suit when the whole question of proprietorship in the banks of the Inundation Canals in the Mooltan District will have to be considered.

Muncherji Manuckji versus Secretary of Railway. The sum claimed was Rs. 19,809-13-11.

Issues were settled as follows:

- 1. Has valid notice of action been given by the Plaintiff.
- Was there a contract express or implied to pay Plaintiff at the rates claimed by him, and was Defendant estopped from quoting the rates.
- 3. If not, at what rate is the Plaintiff entitled to be paid.
- 4. Is Plaintiff entitled to interest.

Having returned from furlough on the 24th October, I proceeded to Multan on the 25th, fortunately arriving the day before that fixed for final disposal of this case. I expected of course to find the evidence required ready: but, on the contrary, the Railway authorities professed themselves quite unable to furnish any proof on the most material points previously alleged by them, and at the last moment, thanks entirely to the good will of the plaintiff, I was enabled to compromise the case on terms which were approved by the Financial Commissioner, allowing to the plaintiff a sum only about Rs. 125 in excess of what a strict examination of his claims showed to be justly due to him, and one which, se, far as it related to costs, certainly fell far short of his actual legal expenses.

This suit was in the Engineer-in-Chief's Department, not that of the Manager. My experience of Government suits furnishes no parallel to this one in the rashless of assertion and speming indifference to the fate of the case displayed by the Department concerned: and had not a successful compromise rendered such a course needless, I should have felt it my luty to report to the Financial Commissioner the circumstances which would have assuredly led to a heavy decree leing passed against the Government.

This was a regular suit against the President, Umballa Cantonment Committee, under Sc. 283 of Act X of 1877, for the value of property attached and sold Cantonment Committee in execution of a decree gained by thein against another person. It was heard by the Sub-Judge of Saharanpore, and was thrown out with costs for want of due notice under Sec. 424 of Act X of 1877. I should here state that this case arose out of several suits brought, without authority, by the Committee of Umballa Cantonment on behalf of Government. The Government rules regarding suits by or against the Secretary of State are from time to time overlooked, and great inconvenience results. This report contains references made on more than one occasion entirely in consequence of these illegal proceedings of this Committee: and the vexatious and protracted litigation in the suit of Narain Das v. Secretary of State (Jullundur), referred to elsewhere is another outcome of the same system.

- 13. This was a suit in the Court of the Judicial Assistant, Gujranwala, against the P.

 Hazur Bux & Devi
 Dyal versus Secretary
 of State.

 N. State Railway for the sum of Rs. 8,550-2-8 on account of ballost said to have been supplied. Notice had not been given as required by Sec. 424, C. P. Code, and the suit was dismissed with costs on this ground.
- 14. This suit, which was previously brought in forma pauperis in 1879, and was finally Narain. Das thrown out in appeal in February 1880, as barred by limitation,* was Secretary of State. re-instituted under cloak of a fresh claim, viz., "for the value of trees" standing on the ground resumed, and a sum of Rs. 2,083 was fixed by the plaintiff as their value at the time of resumption.

I may here observe that the claim for compensation as originally brought showed an item of Rs. 238 as the value of all the trees on the ground, 800 in number. The suit was tried by Major Lawrence, Judicial Assistant, Jullundur.

The pleas were various—1st, Limitation; 2ndly, that the grant was void ab initio; 3rdly, that it was personal to the grantee; 4thly, that the grant had been ferfeited by violation of its terms; 5thly, that no compensation was claimable under the Bengal Military Regulations; and 6thly, that the sum claimed was excessive.

Issue was joined on all of these pleas, and estoppel was replied generally, in addition. Issues accordingly. The trial of the 6th Issue was by agreement postponed pending decision of the others. Evidence was recorded and the case was argued. After taking time to consider its judgment, the Court dismissed the suit on all the issues with costs.

It is satisfactory to be able to point, after a struggle lasting for several years, to a decision on the merits.

- Radha Ram versus on six consignments of wheat conveyed by rail from Mooltan to Secretary of State. Sukkur. The suit was first brought against the S. P. & D. Railway Company, and a decree passed against them by a Native Extra Assistant Commissioner. The Company appealed on the ground that the contract was not with them but with the Indus Valley State Railway, and the Additional Commissioner, instead of dismissing the suit as against the Company, remanded the case with directions to join the Secretary of State as a defendant. The plaintiff's suit against Government failed for want of jurisdiction, and was dismissed with costs by the Judicial Assistant of Lahore.
- Ganesh Das versus by Mr. Elliot, Deputy Conservator of Forests, Rawalpindi, in his Mr. Elliott.

It was formerly instituted against the Secretary of State, but was thrown out.

It was then filed against Mr. Elliott personally. I advised that (inter alia) it was barred by time, Article 2 of the Schedule of Act XV, 1877, being applicable. This view was taken by the two first courts. On appeal to the Chief Court, Mr. Justice Rattigan disagreed. Mr. Justice Smyth held that no opinion could be arrived at on the point pending an elucidation of the facts of the case. It was therefore sent back for trial de novo.

For the defence it was pleaded,-

- (1).—That the claim was barred by time.
- (2).—That the defendant was not personally liable.
- (3).—That his action was justifiable under powers vested in him by the Hazara
 Forest Regulation, 1873.
- (4).—That it was justifiable under powers legally vested in him.
- (5).—That the damages were excessive.

Issue joined on these pleas and issues accordingly.

The case was tried by Mr. Troward, Judicial Assistant. Judgment was reserved. On 7th February Mr. Troward delivered his decision. He rejected the whole of the above defences, and decreed the sum of Rs. 26-10-8 with costs. I need only here point out that an appeal has been panctioned, in which the errors into which, in spite of a careful and in many respects an able judgment, the court has fallen, will be set right. Of the ultimate dismissal of the suit there is to doubt: and in my opinion it is eminently one justifying a prosecution of the plaintiff for false evidence,—which Mr. Troward has found as a fact that he committed.

Mr. Elliot has been persecuted by this audacious and dishonest person, Ganesh Das, for more than three years: and if an application should be made by him to Government for my services with a view to his prosecution under Sec. 193, Indian Penal Code, 1 am of opinion that his request might properly be granted.

Muhammad Ibrahim
Railway to Amritsar, which was stolen en route. It was pleaded that
the Secretary of State was not liable, the value of the silk not having
been declared at the time of delivery to the Railway. Also that the
amount claimed was excessive. The plaintiff replied that it was not necessary to declare more
than the nature of the article. Issue joined: also as to value. The case came on for issues on
9th February in Extra Assistant Commissioner's Court at Amritsar. By order of the court
the trial of Issue 2nd was postponed pending a decision of the first issue of law.

The case was tried on the first issue. It was dismissed: but the court, sympathizing with the undoubted misfortune of the plaintiff, in whose case there was no reason to suspect a deliberate attempt to cheat the Indus Valley State Railway out of the extra insurance rate—refused Government its costs. I would here point out that in my opinion the court distinctly erred. Where a question of law is new or reasonably uncertain, and on the merits the claim (as here) is well founded, the court, if compelled on the question of law to dismiss the suit; may well refuse the defendant his costs. But where (as here) the legal point has been decided and published over and over again, not a tittle of doubt remaining upon it, and the suit is therefore due either to ignorance on the part of the plaintiff's legal adviser, or to a dishonest design to make its institution the fulcrum for pressure on the defendant to agree to some compromise, in my opinion it is the bounden duty of the court to mark its disapprobation by observing the general rule and making costs follow the result, leaving to the plaintiff such remedy, if any, against his evil counsellor as the law allows. Government can with impunity bear the loss of the costs: but if in lieu of Government the defendant had been a private individual, and the circumstances the same, an obvious injustice would have been done.

18. This was another suit against the Indus Valley State Railway, on account of munj Chundu Mal versus supplied for rafting sleepers. The amount of the claim was Rs. Secretary of State. 708-8-0.

The facts of the case are briefly these: In Septetber 1880, Major Sparks, Executive Engineer, Indus Valley State Railway, was deputed by the Engineer-in-Cnief, Kandahar State Railway, to Phillour, for the purpose of buying sleepers for the latter railway, then under construction. One of his subordinates, Fazldad Khan, appears to have without authority agreed with Chandu Mal for the purchase of 300 maunds of munj, to be delivered not later than 27th September 1880. Chandu Mal failed to deliver it on the date agreed upon, but some munj consigned by kim to Fazldad Khan's name, arrived at Phillour on 3rd October. In order to get delivery he induced Fazldad Khan to sign the Railway note, and further got him to agree

to take over the munj, not under the expired agreement but independently of it, to use what he required and to return the test. Fazldad Khan took a memo from him signed in Hindi to this effect. Subsequently, however, Chundu Mal repudiated this memo, and sued Fazldad Khan personally for the value of this munj. Losing his case on the plea that Fazldad Khan had acted as a known agent, Chundu Mul next sued Fazldad Khan jointly with the Secretary of State: and after the court had declined to hear an Assistant Engineer sent to represent the Indus Valley State Railway, the Government Advocate was directed to take up the defence. As against Fazldad Khan the suit was at once dismissed as resjudicata with costs. As against the Secretary of State, the case was still pending at the close of 1881-82, and the result will be submitted in next year's report.

Appendix B. 19. There were 10 civil appeal cases during the year under Part II. Civil Appeals. report. Of each in their order.

- 20. This was an appeal to the Chief Court from the order of the Commissioner of Pawalpindi rejecting plaintiff's appeal. The suit was brought by the Punjab Mr. Cooke versus Secretary of State. Northern State Railway against Mr. Cooke without reference to the Financial Commissioner, and was for Rs. 10,000, forfeited by Mr. Cooke under a contract with the Punjab Northern State Railway. Mr. Cooke pleaded inter alia a set-off of Rs. 5,668-8-0, but this was erroneously disallowed by both the lower courts. There being no doubt of the validity of this set-off--which indeed the Punjab Northern State Railway authorities themselves admitted, an offer was made to Mr. Cooke by the Financial Commissioner's orders to allow-him this set-off, and costs in proportion, he dropping his other grounds of appeal. This was ultimately arranged, and the Chief Court passed a decree by consent with costs as above, in a consolidated form. So far, therefore, as Mr. Cooke's claim was resisted in appeal, he failed, as he had failed before, on the merits. This suit is another instance of the inconvenience so commonly resulting from a disregard of the rules relating to Government suits. Had the proper course been taken, much litigation would have been avoided, and the costs which in bare justice were ultimately allowed to the defendant on his set-off, would have been saved.
- Motun Mul versus to have been supplied to the Indus Valley State Railway. The JudiSecretary of State cial Assistant, Mooltan, dismissed the suit, on the ground that plaintiff had accepted a previous payment in full of all demands, and having granted a receipt to that effect was estopped from bringing any further claim. On appeal by the Plaintiff, the Commissioner of Mooltan, in the absence of the appellant and his Pleader, went into the merits of the case instead of dismissing the appeal for default. The Plaintiff then preferred a special, or "second" appeal to the Chief Court, and it was at this stage that the aid of this office was asked for. The Chief Court remanded the case in order that the Commissioner might determine whether the appellant was or was not actually present when the appeal was heard in his court, and if not, to pass an order dismissing the appeal for default.

Ganesh Das versus

22. The facts of this case are given in para 16 of this Report.

Mr. Elliott, Deputy
Conservator of Forests. (2 cases).

22. The facts of this case are given in para 16 of this Report.

The Chief Court remanded the case in order to elucidate the facts.

- 23. This was an application under Sec. 622, Act X of 1877, to the Chief Court to Lalla Bunsi Lall versus revise the order of the Judicial Assistant, Umballa, in the long-secretary of State. Protracted Raenwala suit which has been running on since the year 1875. The application was groundless, and was rejected with costs without the other side being called upon to oppose it. This ends the litigation in a case, trifling in amount, but of hidden importance. In it was virtually involved the large question of the rights of Government to the banks of the Western Jumna canal, and it is a matter for satisfaction that, although not technically, yet virtually, it may, by the issue of this suit, be said to have been established against all the world.
- 24. This was an appeal in the court of the Commissioner of Delhi, from an order of the Kalka Das versus Sudicial Assistant of Delhi dismissing the Plaintiff's suit. The Secretary of State. Government Advocate was only called upon to appear for the first time at the appeal stage. The circumstances under which the suit was brought were briefly

these:—A nominal rent was assessed on the parcel of land in dispute and other similar lands in the city of Delhi, which are known and recorded as Tainl Linds, or late the property of the King of Delhi. This assertion of the right of Government was contested by the Plaintiff. The Plaintiff's main contention was that he had been in adverse possession for more than 19 years, but the Commissioner, holding that the 60 years' rule applied, dismissed the Plaintiff's appeal with costs. This was understood to be a test case.

25. This appeal was heard by the Commissioner Rawalpindi on 9th January. For Mr. Collins versus the facts of the case I may refer to para 6 of this Report. The appeal Secretary of State. Was dismissed with costs. The Plaintiff has now preferred a second appeal to the Chief Court.

26. This was an appeal to the Chief Court to set aside the order of the Judge awarding

Musst Fatima versus

Rs. 1,719 compensation for certain land taken up under Act X of

1870 at Delhi.

The Judge's procedure was indisputably irregular and illegal, and this was admitted at the hearing. The only question for argument was as to costs of the appeal. It was contended by the Appellant that an appeal lay on the ground that the Judge had disagreed with both the assessors. For the Government it was replied that this was not so, the award of one assessor having been withdrawn and a second substituted by him, in conformity with which latter the Judge gave his decree: and that therefore as a fact he did not in the end disagree with both assessors, although he had no power to require either assessor to reconsider his award. On this ground it was argued that the case should be sent back under Sec. 622 for proceedings de novo, and that the costs of the appeal should be disallowed, the appellant having mistaken his procedure (see No 48, Punjab Record 1878, Civil).

The court ordered as suggested, and allowed costs under Sec. 622 only. The case will therefore go back on the merits.

- 27. This was a proceeding in the Chief Court in connection with the transfer of a case

 Mr. Murphy versus from the Delhi to the Jullundhur Commissioner's Court, and need not be referred to in detail.
- 28. This was an appeal in the court of the Commissioner Mooltan from the decision of Motan Mal versus Section 4. Multan, dismissing the Plaintiff's claim for Rs. 792-12-0, the claim being one brought against the Indus Valley State Railway for brushwood supplied for embanking purposes.


On the day fixed for hearing the appellant was not present in person or by pleader: but instead of dismissing the appeal in default the Commissioner tried and dismissed it on the merits. From this order the Plaintiff appealed to the Chief Court, by which the case was remanded to the Commissioner, with orders to ascertain whether on the day of his former decision the appellant was present or not: and if not, to now dismiss the appeal in default.

On the day fixed for hearing at Montgomery, evidence was produced to prove that the appellant had been absent: but the Commissioner was pleased to grant an adjournment at appellant's request, to enable him to rebut this evidence. This he ultimately failed to do, and on 16th March the appeal was dismissed, conformably to the Chief Court's order, in default. An application for rehearing was subsequently filed, and was refused by the Commissioner.

Execution of Decrees. 29. The following progress has been made in execution of decrees:—

- (1). Partab Singh.—The instalment due by Partab Singh has been recovered and credited to Government in the Umballa treasury.
- (2). Bansi Lall.—The whole of the costs decreed against Bansi Lall during the long course of litigation carried on by him, has been recovered and credited to Government.
- (1). Mr. G. P. Paul.—Execution proceedings were taken out against Mr. Paul, and his arrest was applied for. He sought refuge in the Insolvent Court.
- (4). Mr. Cloke.—The Rs. 3,807-7-4 decreed against Mr. Cooke, were duly realised.

- (5). Mr. Collins. The costs decreed against Mr. Collins, Rs 1,246-3-0, were recovered and credited.
- (6). Hazur Bakhsh and Devi Dyal.—The costs due by these men are still anpaid. Execution proceedings are pending.
- (7). Wazir Ali.—The costs in this case have not yet been recovered.
- (8). Radha Ram .- The costs in this case are also still outstanding
- (9). Kalka Das .- The costs decreed in this case have been realised and credited.
- (10). Narain Das.—The Deputy Commissioner reports that this man, who is merely a nominal Plaintiff and nothing more, is a pauper, and that there is no prospect of recovering the costs. The Financial Commissioner has directed that they be abandoned.
- 30. The instalments in repayment of the loan of Rs 48,000, made to the family of the late Diwan Pundit Munphool, C.S.I., have, I am glad to say, been regularly paid.
- 31. I regret the delay which has taken place in the submission of this Report. I can only plead that the work has continued so to increase, that it is at times impossible to keep pace with it.
- 32. The services rendered during the past year by Mr. Sinclair, more than sustain the high-character which he has steadily established for industry and ability, and have been invaluable to me.



No. I.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF LAND REVENUE (INCLUDING NAZARANAH, SERVICE COMMUTATION, DEMANDS, COLLECTIONS, AND BALANCES), IN THE PUNJAB, FOR THE YEARS 1880-81 AND 1881-82.

1	- 2		-	3	4	5		6	3 100	7			8		9	10	0	1	1	12	13	14	•	1	5 •	i
		•	•				Ba	LLANCES.				PARTIC	ŢLARS	OF BAI	ANCES,			DEM DEM	AGE	current ous years.	(columns	BIONS	ON AC	AOD R COUNT EASON NG THE	CAL- BANC-	•
	Distri	ct.					under	years.		In tra		Doubt undete	ful or rmixed	Irrecou	erable	Nom	inal.	18.	*	during of previou	collections, 12).	On ac of the under		of for	rmer	•
DIVISI .A.				Year.	Demand.	Collections.	Of the year report.	Of former ye	Total.	Of year un-	Of former years.	Of year un-	Of former years.	Of year under report.	Of former years.	Of year under report,	Of former years.	Of Collections.	Of Balances,	Collections on account o	Total of colle 5 and 12).	Suspensione	Remissions.	Suspensions	Remissions.	
	Delhi		{	1880-81 1881-82	7,93,956 7,95,881	(a)7,91.975 7,94,044	3,311 1,841	36,177 9	39.488 1,850	140	•	=	Ξ.	=	-	3,171 1,841	36,177		- 1 - 1	3,170 131	7,95,145 7,94,175		*			
DECHI	Gurgaon	•	{	1880-81 1881-82	12,29,297 12,29,000	12,07,787 12,00,057	21,510 28,943	34,655 15,074	56,165 44,017	15,509 28,305	34,655 15,074		:::	5,749	=	248 638	:::	98 98		33,887 18,321	12,41,674 12,18,378			* 6 ,028	500 36,900	
Ā	Karnal	•	{	1880-81 1881-82	5,80,265 5,80,562	5,70,047 5,80,063	- 10,218 499	4,550 664	14,768 1,163	9,878	4,502 661	21 165	48	::		319 334	-	98 100		17,834 13,716	5,87,881 5,93,779			661		
3.	Hissar		···{	1880-81 1881-82	4,24,237 4,24,323	4,24,237 4,24,323							::	==	::		-::	100 100			4,24,237 4,24,323	-				
HISSAR	Rohtak	•		1880-81 1881-82	9,33,176 9,31,862	9,32,195 9,30,960	981 902		981 902	902		-:	::	::		981		100		10,410	9,42,605 9,30,960			:::	:::	1000
H	Sirsa	•	}	1880-81 1881-82	1,77,724 2,24,830	1,72,122 1,99,323	5,602 25,507	106 1,318	5,708 26,825	5,584 23,533	106 1,318		::	18 613	:::	1,361		97 89	3 11	289 3,483	1,72,411 2,02,806		18 1,974			
LA.	Umballa ::		{	1880-81 1881-82	7,83,188 7,85,935	7,82,522 7,84,758	666 1,177	81 81	747 1,258	148	::	137 766		::	::	529 263	81 81	100 100		99	7.82,621 7,84,758	:::			-::	
BAL	Ludhiana	•	{	I880-81 1881-82	7,90,619 7,92,535	7,89,536 7,91,885	1,083 650		1,083 650	**	=	1,081	•••	2 31				100 100	::		7,89,536 7,91,885			==	-::	
UMB	Simla		{	1880-81 1881-82	13,592 13,592		::::			=						ï.	:::	100 100			13.592 13.592			=		
UTB.	Jullundur		{	1880-81 1881-82	12,15,777 12,22,950	12,11,588 12,18,684	4,189 4,266	1,577 4,189	5,766 8,455			1.142		92	92	3,047 919	3,109	100 100			12.11,588 12,18,684			[:::		19.
OND'	Hoshiarpur		{	1880-81 1881-82	12,58,624 12,58,373	12,41,203 12,41,920	17,421 16,453	15.779 3,872	33,200 20,325	13,261 9,612	2,591 2,284	1,436 1,989	817 200	287		2 724 4,565	12,371	98 98		8,988 13,044	12,50,191 12,54,964	200	:::	159	37	
JULL	Kangra	•	{	1880-81 1881-82	6,14,098 6,13,897	6,13-220 6,13,480	+ 878 417	162	878 579	`. 	-	291 268	"i51	106		587 43		100 100		129	6,13,220 6,13,609		95			

The same of		DESIGNATION OF THE PERSON	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	The second	STATE OF THE PARTY		COLUMN TO SERVICE TO	RESIDENCE			REPRESENTATION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	SERVICE Y		SYSTEM BEEF	The second	STEEL MADE	S HOW	CHECKEN !		10000		CT SECTION OF	Contract of the last	-
IAB.	Amriteur {	1880-81 1881-82	7,99,256 7,94,888	7,93,104 7,91,658	6,152 3,230	5,422 6,395	11,574 9,625	146 .7		2,044 1,580	5,122 6,395	 	=	3,962 1,643	300	99	1	491 148	7,93,595 7,91,806		45,869 2,325	::	1,569	
RIT	Gurdaspur {	1880-81 1881-82	10,56,966 10,58,375	10.45,104 10,49,031	11,802 9,344	2.701 4,897	14,503 14,241	3,939 2,254	2,199 2,278	5,989 5,939	2,619	1,437 135		439 1,016	502	98 99		1,673 3,292	10,46,777 10,52,323	234	• ••	=	- ::	in exc
AM	Sialkot {	1880-81 1881-82	11,04,8 9 0 11,09,419	11,00,96 2 11,07,139	3,888 2,280	368	3,888 2,648	21 17	II.	1,061 675		1,063	362	1,743 1,588	6	100 100	100	327 21	11,01,289 17,07,160	362	1,063		362	realized
E	Lahore {	1880-81 1881-82	5,63,590 5,65,474	5,60,951 5,63,144	2,639 2,330		2,639 2,330	1,762 1,267	:::	759 1,063			==	118	::	99 99		2,040 1,769	5,62,991 5,64,913	98			111	13
HOR	Gujranwala {	1880-81 4881-82	4,91,983 4,93,814	4.91,716 4,92,924	267 890		267 890	23 49	:::	226 111	:::	==	=	18 730	::	100 100	11	175 23	4,91,891 4,92,947		Ξ	:::	•	Includes Rs.
LA	Ferozeput	1880-81 1881-82	5,13,877 5,14,114	5,13,099 5,12,567	778 1,547	* 429 305	1,207 1,852	 242		778 810	305	:::	,	495	429	99 99	111		5,13,099 5,12,567	: :::		 		1000
DI.	Rawalpindi {	1880-81 1881-82	6,85,093 6,86,211	6,85,091 (b)6,85,916	308		2 308	91	::		:::		::	2 217	::	100 100	H .		6,85,091 6,85,916			=	-	(%)
PINDI	Jhelum {	1880-81 1881-82	6,93,174 6,94,836	6,91,217 6,90,213	1,957 4,623		1,957 4,623	114	::	237			:::	1,843 4,386	::	99 99	1		6,91,217 6,90,327		1,843 4,623		:::	
WAL	Gujrat {	\$80-81 1881-82	5,86,657 5,88,764	5,84,442 5,86,523	2,215 2,241		2,215 2,241	140 490	=	611 271		::	::	1,464 1,480	::	99 99	1	410 140	5,84,852 5,86,663	:::	Ξ	••• •••	:::	
BA	Shahpur {	1880 81 1881-82	3,80,063 3,86,223	3,78,710 3,80,812	1,353 5,411		1,353 5,411	2,283		282 455		938	::	1,071 1,735	::	100	1		3,78,710 3,80,812	 2,283	938		::: :::	
	Mooltan	1880-81 1881-82	5,74,314 5,72,566	5,61,354 5,60,601	12,960 11,965	724 2,206	13,684 14,171	1,924 2,557	1,320	4,718 3,449	455 708	:::	:::	6,318 5,959	269 178	98 98	2 2	3,785 451	5,65,139 5,61,052		12,836 10,455		467 1,060	
TAN	Jhang {	1880-81 1881-82	2,81,695 3,14,775	2,66,306 3,11,195	15,389 3,580	9,883 7,755	25,272 11,335	3,847 228	340 183		85 7,487	2,239	83	2,054 2,267	9,375 85	95 99	5	221 3,493	2,66,527 3,14,688			*	-	
MOOLTAN	Montgomery {	1880-81 1881-82	2,77,449 2,85,853	2,73,301 2,81,905	4,148 3,948	18,277 4,604	22,425 8,552	1,058 798	2,142 817	368 1,422	1,065	112	12,342	2,722 1,616	3,793 2,722	99 99		2,211 1,155	2,75,512 2,83,060	798			16,666	
4	Muzaffargarh {	1880-81 1881-82	3,99,521 3,99,513	3.97,514 3,98,651	2,007 862	44,089 16,629	46,096 17,491	790	:::	:::	29,614 16,629	1,217	2,937	 862	11,488	99 100	TI.	4,497 790	4,12,011 3,99,441					ехсезя
AT.	D. I. Khan {	1880-81 1881-82	1,76,541 1,76,633	1,75,422 1,76,633	1,119	668 1,223	1,787 1,223	412	1,223	676	637	:::	:::	31	31	99 100	1 .	502	1,75,422 1,77,136	:: '	•	:		sed in
A.J	D. G. Khan }	1880-81 1881-82	3,50,503 3,48,252	3,26,673 3,35,475	23,830 12,777	22,053 8,592	45,883 21,369	14,308 7,573		391	8,9	8,314 5,0 3 1	21,534 8,503	817 173	519	93 96		0,385 4,511	3,37,058 3,49,986	500 139	173		•	I reali
DER	Bannu }	1880-81 1881-82	3.34,712 3,34,793	3,04,175 3,30,206	30,537 4,587	27,814 42,513	58,351 47,100		27,814 42,513				:::	14 12		91 99		1,791 5,825	3,05.966 3,46,031			•	:::	es Re.
AR.	Peshawar	1880-81 1881-82	6,78,937 6,80,925	6,67,383 6,76,218	11,554 4,707	5,853 3,332	17,407 8,039	3,892 3,028	4,430 2,641	1,413 101	1,138 646		-:	6,249 1,578	285 45	98		5,27: 5,84	6,82,661 6,82,059	3.522 1,851		1,256 •2,632	33,948 8,234	Inchid
8	Hazara	1880-81 1881-82	2,21,256 2,21, 0 20	2,19,245 (e) 2,21,501	2,011 120	1,458	3,466 120	359		30				1,652 90	1,455	99 100	- 11	3,81	• 2,23,061 • 2,21,860	1,652 120	. š.:	1,455	3,107	(e)
PESHA	Kohat }	1880-81 1881-82	90,967 90,967	90,253 77,797	714 18,170	5,346 5,351	6,060 18,521	714 9,912	704 441	122	120 220	:::	1	3,258	4,522 4,690	100 86		8,324 708	98.577 76,505	496		:::	٠.	
•	GRAND TOTAL	1880-81 1881-82	1.90,75,897 1,91,91,755		2,01,181 1,68,575	2,37,639 1,29,539	4,38,820 2,98,114	1,08,344 97,871				20,039 7,345		42,123 39,069	81,597 12,313	99 99			1,90,16,147 1,91,21,164				36,484 47,000	

No. II.
ALTERATIONS IN RENT-ROLL.

No. II.

12,22,950 12,58,373 6,13,897 7,95,881 4,24,323 9,31,862 2,24,830 7,85,935 FOR ... Jama of the year 1880-81, as per kistbandi, 24 3,047 2,543 587 1,503 2,176 617 SHOWING ALTERATIONS IN THE LAND REVENUE DEMAND IN THE DISTRICTS OF PUNTAB, 23 Total Decrease. 22 :: 111 111 Errors in Kistbandi. 111 2 : : THE YEAR 1881-82; AS COMPARED WITH THAT OF THE PREVIOUS YEAR 1880-81 21 111 111 111 By redemption of land Revenue. 1 By terri-20 To Foreign States or other Provinces. 111 111 111 111 DECREASE, 19 : : ": 111 1 1 1 111 To districts of Punjab. 18 198 108 208 1 : " By lands being occupied by Government. 1:18 3,152 69 294 359 2,161 2,274 579 17 By Diluvion. Other reductions owing to reduction of assessment, calamities of season, deterior of estates, farms &c. 320 91 111 111 111 794 1,395 2 111 111 Reduction owing to Settlement opera-12: 350 269 14 By grant of land revenue-free. 111 5,931 320 760 86 189 50,485 3,684 2,292 2,292 386 13 Total Increase. 43 : : 0111 16 12 111 Miscellaneous. 76 73 = By progressive Jamas. 111 111 By assessment of wastes, formation of new estates, new leases of wells, &c. 10 . . . 111 111 111 By terri-torial trans-fer. Provinces. 111 111 111 111 From Foreign States or other 00 111 From districts of Punjab. 111 111 111 By land released from occupation by Government. : :22 : 1 -111 111 3,212 848 172 1,509 124 439 3,234 9 By Alluvion. 1 1 1 3,815 50,170 By revision of assessment from Regular Settlements or otherwise. 111 191 100 7,008 120 299 2,621 By lapses and resumptions of revenue-free lands. : 12,15,777 12,58,624 6,14,098 7,93,956 12,29,297 5,80,265 4,24,237 9,33,176 1,77,724 7,83,188 7,90,619 13,592 Jama of the year 1879-80 as per Kist-8 : " 1110 111 111 STATEMENT 111 1 i i 111 118 DISTRICT 111 111 Gurgaon. Karnal Hissar Robtak Sirsa DUR, DEPHI DITIBION, HISSYE. UMBALLA, JULLIUM.

AMRITSAR.	Amritsar Gurdaspur Sialkot		::	7,99,256 20,56,906 11,04,850	1,650 2,451 3,525	•	564 3,135 1,868	 57	:::	:::	.16	662 434 1,078	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	2,876 6,036 6,528	1,760 636 242	::	4,650	828 3,931 1,595	45			=======================================		7,244 4,567 1,959	7,94,888 • 10,58,375 • 11,09,419
LAHORE.	Lahore Gujranwala Ferozepore	:: ::		5,63,590 4,91,983 5,13,877	929 1,548 281	20 	3,323 535 2,091		145 20 	 	314	 	 "ïı	4,427 2,417 2,383	100 		.	2,381 586 2,146	62 	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	: :: ::	::	• =	2,543. 586 2,146	5,65,474 4,93,814 5,14,114
RAWAL. PINDI.	Rawalpiudi Jhelum Gujrat Shahpur	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	E	6,85,093 6,93,174 5,86,657 3,80,063	1,120 519 617 1,668	1,165 131	2,916 4,960	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	•		338	61 20 200	 	1,120 1,745 3,583 7,297	 8 61	₇₅		1,456 1,061	2 15	20	:: ::	:	:: :: ::	2 83 1,476 1,137	6,86,211 6,94,836 5,88,764 3,86,233
MOOLTAN.	Mooltan Jhang Montgomery Muzaffargarh	:: :: ::	:: ::	5,74,314 2,81,695 2,77,449 3,99,521	170 2,787 	89,947 	1,462 2,222	:::::	604	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	161 2,925 8 6 1	91 66 5,448 	100	1,126 44,400 11,268	1,479 4,111 	4,572 151	213 21 	1,011 2,006 2,713 	171 8	610 			;;; ;;; ;;;	2,874 11,320 2,864 8	6,72,566 3,14,775 2,85,853 3,99,513
DERAJAT.	D. I. Khan D. G. Khan Bannu	:::	••• •••	1,76,541 3,50,503 8,34,712	35 65 80	151 	6,106 15	. :::	:::	:::: ::::		 25 	::	186 6,196 95	36 35 	 :::		8,412 14						94 8,447 14	1,76,633 3,48,252 3,34,793
PESHAWAR.	Peshawar Hazara Kohat	· •:::		6,78,937 2,21,256 90,967	8,019 1,239	"io	574 	:::	::	=======================================			¥:	8,019 1,823	214	169		5,647 1,452	1 7	:::		::		6,031 1,459 	6,80,925 2,21,620 90,967
•	GRAND TO	TAL		19,75,897	39,963	95,409	40,352	89	769	- - -	4,615	8,553	170	1,89,920	9,395	10,689	5,281	47,113	841	630		79	th	74,062	1,91,91,765

٠.

NO. III. COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF FLUCTUATING AND MISCELLANEOUS REVENUE.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF FLUCTUATING AND MISCELLANEOUS IN THE PUNJAB DISTRICT, DURING

1	. ,	3 .	4	. 5	6	7	. 8	9	10 .	11	12	13	14	15	16	0 17	18	19
					FLUCTI	JATING	Sour	CES O	F LAND	REVENU	Е. •			Mı	SCELLAN	EOUS.		O.
	C DISTRICTS,	YEAR.	lapsed and resumed rent-free by the broken periods preceding by brought on the Rent-Roll.	of assessments, progressive under circumstances preced-	al lands, ditto.	under assessment, ditto.	estates held Kham sment No. XV of this	sed from Government	nue.	fluctuating assessments					r grazing	from Rakhs		ry dues.
DIVISION.	'e		Revenue of lapsed and resumed refuring holdings for the broken periods preceditheir being brought on the Rent-Roll.	By revision of asser jamas, &c., under c ing.	Revenue of alluvial Ditto	Waste lands brought under assessment, Ditto	Collections from all estates held that appear in Statement No. XV Series.	Revenue of land released from occupation.	Water advantage revenue.	Collections from fluc of river lands.	Other items.	Total.	Surplus warrant talabánah	By enumeration of cattle.	By leases,	Sale proceeds of wood Forests.	Stone quarry receipts.	Malikanah of proprietary dues.
	Delbic {	1880-81 1881-82	508 2,024	6	1,524 859			52 37		1,036 875	3,397 2,516	6,523 6,311	779 715					1,716 2,028
DEPHI	Gurgaon {	1880-81 1881-82	58 31	14 41	.124 89			:::		1,832 5,096	578 795	2,606 6,052	1,190 1,102			-		
TO .	Karnal {	1880-81 1881-82	496 154		279 192			=	=	::	:::	775 846	510 436	::	112 105	::		
	Hissar §	1880-61 1881-82	119	100								119	21		2,050		0	
HISSAK.	Rohtak {	1880-81 1881-82	275	1								136 275	25 69		2,050 2,940			•••
H	Sirsa {	1880-81 1881-82			618		==	:::	=	:	-	2,918	136 . 32 37	=	2,767		0	:
Α.	Umballa {	1880-81 1881-82			11 284	-						2,026 1,940	500 E30		252 253			
MBALLA	Ludhiana {	1889-81 1881-82	4,161		1,667 828				-:		-	5,828 4,255	238					***
UME	Simla {	1880-81 1861-82		::		254 270		Ξ	=	==	=	254 270		=	Ξ.	3		
UR.	Jullundur {	1880-81 1881-82	6,872 3,436		3,951 8,053	<u></u>				-		10,823 6,489	1.084				- - 	
UND,	Hoshiarpur {	1880-81 1881-82	4,509 2,768	14,680	937	262 248			=	=	:::	5,708 19,599	1,299 1,778					
JULLUNDUR	Kapgra {	1880-81 1881-82		:::	176 406			:::	=	#]		2,806 3,009	263 184	 	826 711	969 1,687		
-	Amritsar }	1880-81 1891-82	2,811 1,215	::	1,163 1,830	1,404		× 1 1	82,017 88,039		-	87,395 92,093	490 6 745	 :::	223 212	2 19 19	- -	
MKITEAR	Gurdaspur [1860-81 1881-82	GARTIN.	402 27	977 1,208				30.509 27,578	200 2,450		59,234 49,201		=	- 550 550			•••
A MU H	Sialkot {	1880-81 1881-82	5,203	146	2.661 3,649			132		:::		8,142 7,666	440 26	186 139	184 110	 2 15		•••

REVENUE COLLECTIONS FROM LAND NOT ON THE REGULAR RENT-ROLL
THE YEARS 1880-81 AND 1881-82.

20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	80	31	32	483	34	35 .	. 86	87	▶38	39	• 48
٠.	•					M	ISCELL/	NEOUS		F. Marie			4	•		previous	. *	В	MANCI	ES.
Leases or gardens and groves.	Sajji.	Fisheries.	Gold washings.	Iron and other Mines.	Royalty dues.	Alum.	Dyes.	Water mills.	Fines and forfeitures of the Revenue Department.	Fees.	Rent of Railway class C. lands and rent of buildings on such lands.	Rate on Wards' Estates.	Other items.	Total,	Total of columns 13 and 34.	Collections during current on account of pr years.	Grand total columes 35 and 36.	Of the year under report.	Of previous years.	Total.
::			•	:		::	::	:::	8	:::		:	412 640	2,915 3,380	9,438 9,691	1,099 12,288	10,537 21,979	13,363 455	1,333	13,36
				:		:::			140 90	:::			156 94	1,486 1,286	4,092 7,338	1,237	5,329 7,409	23 60		2
-		57 57		:::		:::	=		20	301 354	:::		12,552	1,000 13,504	1,775 13,850	132 2,709	1,907 16,559	2,560 23	•	2,56
	•		::						24					2,095	2,214		2,214		_•	
									47				1,830	2,081 4,919	2,217 5,194	53	5,201			
•	==	16 16					=		56 3				465 53 48	3,393 157 104	6,311 157 757	285	6,596 157 789		-	
-	=	78 70		-			=	382 425	88 56				108 128	1,549 1,575	3,575 3,515	1,283 2,517	4,858 6,032	112	165 65	27 6
		. 76 74				::			123 18				111	310 437	6,138 4,692		6,138 4,692			
			:::	,		=		::	68 17		•	:::	==	66 17	320 287	==	320 287	•		::
	::-	159	- :	::									108	1,351 970	12,174 7,459	166 156	12.340 7,615	745 852		74 85
		199 103	***						33 502				. 29	1,560 3405	7.268 22,004	2,482 748	9,750 22,752	1,035 3,842	291 299	1,32
		821		127 76	557.29				367	9			6	3,888	6,194		6,194			***
	•		_						3 669	15			11	3,333	6,36	<u>. </u>	6,362		•	
		. 46 - 42		···					270 71	766 799		:::		1,795 2,046	89,190 94,129		95 273 99,17	5.599 3,470	895	6,26
28 18	::	242 176				 	: .	==	2	1,291 1,269	:::			2,111 2,015	\$1,345 51,216	352 1,147	61,697 52,863	1,032 1,060	476 220	1,50
	1	240 138	-						107 27	135 129	=		62	1,294 646	9,486 8,312		10,464 8,642	346 326		134

•	-1{	€ g			6	7	8	9 .	10	11) [12	18 1	14	16	16	17	18	1
			*	5	- 1	- 1			F LAND	of Street	-	<i>v</i>		1	SCELLAN		1-0,	0
•			a gn		1	-		-		jo				Tirni o	rgrazing	1	-	
DIVISION.	DISTRICTS.	YEAR.	apsed and resume or the broken period brought on the R	By revision of assessments, progressive james, &c., under circumstances preceding.	Revenue of alluvial lands, Ditto ditto.	sessm o.	Collections from all estates held Kham thet appear in Statement No. XV of this series.	Revenue of land released from Government occupation.	Water advantage revenue,	Collections from fluctuating assessments river lands.	Other items.	Total.	Surplus warrant talabánah.	By enumeration of cattle.	By leases.	Sale proceeds of wood from Rakhs s Forests.	Stone quarry receipts.	G
rio	Lahore {	1880-81 1881-82	471 433		291 180	2,803 3,190		2	1.09,743 1,26,123			1,13.391 1,30,040	300 600		12,564 13,721	=		
TOR	Gujranwalla	1880-81 1881-82	2,046		237 535	910					44	3,237 4,823	69		9,248 7,205			
LAHORE	Ferozepore {	1880-81 1881-82	406		73 992		-			:::		479 1,165	817 43					
		1880-81	1,468		A Company	-	· · · ·				_	1,468	712	655	4.766	2,522	-	
NDT.	Rawalpindi	1881-82	2,819		:::		•••	54			-	2,819 55,308	568 219		5,122 2,230	734	-	100
LPI	Jhelum	1880-81 1881-62	338	12	4,106			56				4,512 4,535	594	***	2,857	27		
EAWALPINDI.	Gujrat { Shahpur {	1880-81 1881-82 1880-81	1,410 2,128	335	2,996 6,977	983 983			=	=		4,467 10,423	898 391	33,998 1,357	22,742	70		
	0	1881-82		-		1,753	4.0		914	74,278		75,902	1,048		84,987			-
N.	Mooltan	1880-81 1881-82				528			2,766	73,266 2,842		76,560	709		80,318 68,472	10,681		State of
MOOLTAN	Jhang {	1880-81 1881-82	270	601	2,959	6,296 7,571		=		3,407	33,707	48,515	397		66,682 96,038	6,069		
MOO	Montgomery {	1880-81 1881-82	30	17	2,581 1,696	515 515	100		88,409 1,12,409	13,006 14,538		1,35,391	119		1,01,110	4,474		
	Muzaffargarh {	1880-81 1881-82			8	127			1,559	1,45,957 1,57,108		1,46,093 1,58,713	205 366		7,462 11,449			
H	D. I. Khan {	1880-81 1881-82		222 216		=	41,682 36,676			1,64,611 1,91,653		2,06,587 2,30,936		33,925 30,864	10,233 10,932			E)
DERAJAT	D. G. Khan {	1880-81 - 1881-82	11			:::	:::					6,157 6,996	170	908	200 2,443			
DE	Bannu {	1880-81 1881-82			15	 	· 46 74		:::	58,208 65,528		58,322 65,617	107 103	741 701	7,391 7,594			
AR.	Peshawar {	1080-81 1881-82	5,723 6 4,906		3,803	27				t		5,750 8,722	,277	=	 :::		6	
AW	Harara " {	1880-81 V.51-82			762 458		=					1,213 555	79 0 45		481 268			
PESFAWAR.	Kohat	1880-81 1881-82	0	1,144						=		1,144 1,818	60 172	466	<u>450</u>	191 2,976		
1	Grand Total {		72,147 57,933	1,26,268							15,235	10,78,786	13,014	72,032	3,11,272 3,39,720			100

20	21	22 =	23	94	9=1	90	07 1	co.	00 1	es 1			content of the						11	<u>.</u>
20	-1	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	*85	36	37	38	39	40
CELLA	NEOUS.	١.														of previous		BA	LANCE	B.
Leases of gardens and groves.		Fisheries.	d washings.	Iron und other Mines.	Royalty dues,	ji,	80	Water mills.	nes and forfeitures of the Revenue Department.	80	nt of Railway class C. lands and rent of buildings on such lands.	e on Wards Estates.	er items.	al.	Total of columns 13 and 34,	Collections during current on account of p	nd teral columns 35 and 26.	the year under report.	previous years.	•••
Les	Sajji.	Fis	Gold	Iron	Koi	Alum.	Dyes.	Wa	Fines Dep	Fees.	Rent buil	Rate	Other	Total,	Tota	Coll	Grand	Of t	of	Total.
 262 260	::: 16 6 :::	1,830 1,580 84 40 290 355	•			::	::	::	18 5		698 1,190 	:: :: :: ::	480 362 122 120 3,628 328	15,878 17,468 9,501 7,478 4,997 986	1,29,269 1,47,508 12,738 12,301 5,476 2,151	7,705 17,237 279 504	1,36,974 1,64,745 \$3,017 12,805 5,476 2,151			17,272 14,916• 504 6,162
	 245 385 8,452 8,200	430 460 60 110 24 8	147 119 268 264 	::		::		138 165 174 168	512 74 64 39 87 42	.12 71 			53 89 715 1,800 29 53 336 3,442	9,947 7,989 5,820 5,889 1,552 1,455 43,257 36,708	11,\$15 10,808 61,128 10,401 6,087 5,922 53,680 47,029	35 3,156 193 304 120 5,771	11,415 10,808 •61,163 13,557 6,280 6,226 53,800 52,800	3,175 2,308 309 7 9,327 6,758	1 781 1,401	3,175 2,309 309 7 10,108 8,159
 18 42	7,046 7,226 44 84	258 44 44 1,135 945 1,818 2,244				::. :::	180 247 1,279 1,198		316 110 48 136 21 28 1,730 605	3,784			532 8,274 76 2,367 57 22 741	1,18,355 1,07,742 75,369 75,868 1,01,906 1,09,044 15,207 21,437	1,84,302 1,62,392 1,24,383 2,11,096 2,44,435	12,453			 74 367 1,114 877	6,233 5,101 10,126 15,016 919 76
8 811 9,651 	 • 22 50	 130 258		11 11.		3,834 3,125	20 20	 	31 619 63 20	220 220 1,066 531	: : :	- :: :: ::	7,435 10,313 3,900 	58,291 57,307 21,727 18,553 14,796 17,548	2,64,878 2,88,243 27,884 25,549 73,118 83,165	3,326 1,647 5,465 8,147 756 820	2,68,204 2,89,890 33,349 33,696 73,874 83,985	6,229 12,445 6,011 1,334		10,094 6,229 12,445 6,011 1,346 265
30 30 	140 			- :: ::		• 11-11	1. 11 11	592 478 		::.• :::•			98 80 174 75	1,132 543 560 313 891 5,437		226 58 662	999 26 2,697	10,553 68 213 059	133 1,120 767	
	15,965 15,901	8,037 6,750		127 76	-	3,834 3,125	1,479 1,465	1,927 2,128	4,192 3,162	7,588 3,426	698 1,190		20,473 42,265	5,25,182 5,28,977	16,03,918 16,21,885	62,734 83,787	16,66,652 17,05,672	1,03,098 82,498	4,863 5,748	107,978 6 246

STATEMENT SHOWING THE DEMAND, COLLECTIONS, AND DALANCES ON ACCOUNT OF LOCAL RATES UNDER ACT XX OF 1878, IN THE DISTRICTS OF THE PUNJAB, FOR THE YEAR 1881-82.

1	2	3	4			.5		•	6 •	• •7	8
					В	LANCE	s.		to and cel: ess.	dovern-	
DIVISION.	Districts.	Demand.	Collections.	Recover- able.	Nominal.	Doubtful.	Irrecover.	Total.	Amount caid to lambardars and patwaris for cell-lecting the cess.	Net amount credited to Government.	REMARKS.
HISSAR. DELHI DIVISION.	Delhi Gurgaon Karnal	Rs. 76,772 79,970 70,547	Rs. 76,606 79,798 69,369	172 1,158	Rs. 138 20	•••	Rs	Rs. 166 172 1,178	Rs. 6,200 6,496 5,695	Rs. 70,406 73,302 63,674	
HISSAR.	Hissar Rohtak Sirsa	35,834 86,442 20,054	35,834 86,442 18,026	1,858	123		47	2,028	2,912 7,023 1,628	32,922 79,419 16,398	
AMBA. LA.	Amballa Ludhiana Simla	1,06,207 79,186 1,730	1,06,141 79,186 1,755	1	65		:::	66	8,590 6,429 130	97,551 72,757 1,625	Rs. 25 collected in excess,
JULLUN	Jullundur Hoshiarpur Kangra	1,10,095 1,13,729 67,612	1,10,032 1,14,609 67,583	187	 		29	63 187 29	8,945 9,725 5,476	1,01,087 1,04,884 62,107	Rs. 1,067 collected in excess owing to revision
AMRIT- SAR.	Amritsar Gurdaspur Sialkot	92,863 1,08,738 1,02,349	92,402 1,08,259 1,02,294	263 106 5	91 373 50		107	461 479 55	7,478 8,834 8,299	84,924 99,425 93,995	of assess- ment.
LA- HORE.	Lahore Gujtanwalla Ferozepore	71,746 53,299 54,069	• 70,936 53,233 54,069	778 54	32 12 			810 66 	5,829 4,330 4,391	65,107 48,903 49,678	
RAWAL- PINDI.	Rawalpindi Jhelam Gujrat Shahpur	61,204 61,379 66,571 35,589	61,204 61,369 66,754 35,352	₅	₅	•: ::	 	10 237	4,973 4,987 5,409 2,832	56,231 56,382 61,345 32,520	Rs. 183 collected in excess.
TAN.	Mooltan Jhang Montgomery Muzaffargarh	64,507 38,013 47,525 • 46,954	63,870 37,307 46,469 46,890	274 471 997	363 235 54 64		 5 	637 706 1,056 64	4,629 2,433 3,018 3,812	59,241 34,874 43,451 43,078	• •
DERA- JAT.	Dera I. Khan Dera G. Khan Bannu	46,736 34,420 34,541	46,736 33,469 34,50S	911 38	·•• •••			951 38	3,796 2,795 2,807	42,940 30,674 31,696	
PESHA- WAR.	Peshawar Hazara Kohat	71,863 25,484 10,187	71,593 25,484 9,109	182 894	184	; ::	88 	270 1,078	5,828 2,067 828	65,765 23,417 8,281	
	*TOTAL	19,76,215	19,66,683	8,483	2,048	•	276	10,807.	1,58,624	18,08,059	2,275

No. IX. GOVERNMENT ESTATES.

No. IX.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE AREA AND INCOME OF GOVERNMENT LAND IN THE DISTRICTS OF THE PUNJAB, FOR 1881-82.

1		2	0	1	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11 🦽	-:	•	123	•	
		c				Area held	l under leases.	Re	emaining Are	ea.		Income.	6.				•	•
Division,) Di	istrict.			Total area.	Cultivated,	Uncultivated.	Under Forest Depart- ment.	Under other Depart- ments.	Under Deputy Commr's. manage- ment.	From leases for cultivation.	Other income.	Total income.		R	MARKS.		
HI.	Delhi				4,165				3,519	646 386		2,516	2,516				•	
DELHI.	Gurgaon _ Karnal	•••		"	386 70,897	13,918	56,514	 		465	8,283	1,286	1,286 8,388					•
- H	Hissar		30		44,547				42,479	2,068		2,050	2,050					
HISSAR	Robtak				5,397			· ···		5,397		3,125	3,125				٠.	
H —	Sirsa	<u></u>						•										
SAL-A.	Umballa				15,645			11,829	1,876	1,940		253	253				٠.	
UMBAL- LA.	Ludhiana	•••											***					
	Jullundur				4,702	175	³	1,420	2,375	732	1,114	2,926	4,040					
ULLUN. DUR.	Hoshiarpur		. 0		12,071	518		688		10,865	248	15,872	16,120			•	•	
JOE DE	Kangra				35,199			34,857	150	192		3,353	3,353	0		•	0	

DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE OWNER, T	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF						STATE AND STATE OF THE STATE OF						The second
Amritsar		4,582	544	293	3,700	• ".	45	1,990	389	2,379			
Gurdaspur	•••	870					• 370	•••	550	550			
Sialkote		5,743	1,545	2,122	·•• 1	855	221	•••	311	311	•	•	
Lahore		2,73,777	15,316	15,245	2,27,824	13,614	1,778	12,317	•71,071	83,388		•	
Gujranwala		1,60,965	385	350	1,54,929		5,801	637	7,211	7,848			
Ferozepore	•••	2,500	467	2,033*			A	516	260	776			
Rawalpindi	<u></u>	5,83,462	127	4	4,17,365	2,384	1,63,586	110	9,433	9,543			
Jhelam		2,97,107	2,044	8,059	2,29,095	792	57,117	1,539	8,777	10,316			
Gujrat		53,920	14 11 - 6		53,725		195		10,782	10,782	-		
Shahpur		8,45,659	18,638	23 ,533	2,74,924		5,28,564	14,123	35,451	49,574			
Mooltan		18,37,420	589	3,865	1,09,913		17,23,053	528	1,07,742	1,08,270			
Jhang		23,27,734	9,157	14,784	87,450		22,16,343	7,338	76,238	83,576			
Montgomery		22,73,872	15,026	27,717	5,47,380	4,000	16,79,749	8,424	1,14,250	1,22,674			
Muzaffargarh		3,18,319	5,304	9,175	48,575		2,55,265	7,670	16,384	24,054			•
Dera Ismail Khan		7,66,096	53	23			7,66,020		50,675	50,675			•
Dera Ghazi Khan	•	2,76,043	29,378		19,000		2,27,665	5,225	8,390	13,615		•	
Bannu		97,803	10			···	97,793	157	12,720	12,877			
Peshawar		1,893	575	656	558	103	1	1,788	1,200	2,988			
Hazara		9,18,244			1,53,620	287	7,64,337		1,476	1,476	•		
Konat		1,41,088	17,489	47,365		1,390	74,852	15,412	4,236	19,648	N. J. 188		•
GRAND TOTAL	•	1,13,79,606	1,31,250	2,12,734	23,76,852	73,824	85,82,946	87,419	5,69,032	6,56,451	•		
	Gurdaspur Sialkote Lahore Gujranwala Ferozepore Rawalpindi Jhelam Gujrat Shahpur Mooltan Jhang Moutgomery Muzaffargarh Dera Ismail Khan Dera Ghaz Khan Bannu Peshawar Hazara Konat	Gurdaspur Sialkote Lahore Gujranwala Ferozepore Rawalpindi Jhelam Gujrat Shahpur Mooltan Jhang Moutgomery Muzaffargarh Dera Ismail Khan Dera Ghaz Khan Bannu Peshawar Hazara Konat	Gurdaspur 5743 Lahore 2,73,777 Gujranwala 1,60,965 Ferozepore 2,500 Rawalpindi 5,83,462 Jhelam 53,920 Shahpur 8,45,659 Mooltan 18,37,420 Jhang 23,27,734 Montgomery 22,73,872 Muzaffargarh 3,18,319 Dera Ismail Khan 7,66,096 Dera Ghazi Khan 2,76,043 Bannu 97,803 Peshawar 1,893 Hazara 1,41,088	Gurdaspur 5743 1,545 Lahore 2,73,777 15,316 Gujranwala 1,60,965 385 Ferozepore 2,500 467 Rawalpindi 5,83,462 127 Jhelam 2,97,107 2,044 Gujrat 53,920 Shahpur 8,45,659 18,638 Mooltan 18,37,420 589 Jhang 23,27,734 9,157 Montgomery 22,73,872 15,026 Muzaffargarh 3,18,319 5,304 Dera Ismail Khan 7,66,096 53 Dera Ghaz Khan 2,76,043 29,378 Bannu 97,803 10 Peshawar 1,893 575 Hazara 1,41,088 17,481	Gurdaspur 5.743 1,545 2,122 Lahore 2,73,777 15,316 15,245 Gujranwala 1,60,965 385 350 Ferozepore 2,500 467 2,033 Rawalpindi 5,83,462 127 Jhelam 2,97,107 2,044 8,059 Gujrat 53,920 Shahpur 8,45,659 18,638 23,533 Mooltan 18,37,420 589 3,865 Jhang 23,27,734 9,157 14,784 Montgomery 22,73,872 15,026 27,717 Muzaffargarh 3,18,319 5,804 9,175 Dera Ismail Khan 7,66,096 53 23 Dera Ghaz Khan 2,76,043 29,378 Bannu 97,803 10 Peshawar 1,893 575 656 Hazara 9,18,244 Konat	Gurdaspur 5.743 1,545 2,122 Lahore 2.73,777 15,316 15,245 2,27,824 Gujranwala 1,60,965 385 350 1,54,929 Ferozepore 2,500 467 2,033 Rawalpindi 5,83,462 127 4,17,365 Jhelam 2,97,107 2,044 8,059 2,29,095 Gujrat 53,920 53,725 Shahpur 8,45,659 18,638 23,533 2,74,924 Mooltan 18,37,420 589 3,865 1,09,913 Jhang 23,27,734 9,157 14,784 87,450 Montgomery 22,73,872 15,026 27,717 5,47,380 Muzaffargarh 3,18,319 5,304 9,175 48,575 Dera Ismail Khan 7,66,096 53 23 Dera Ghari Khan 2,76,043 29,378 19,000	Gurdaspur 5.743 1,545 2,122 855 Lahore 2,78,777 15,316 15,245 2,27,824 13,614 Gujranwala 1,60,965 385 350 1,54,929 Ferozepore 2,500 467 2,033 Rawalpindi 5,83,462 127 4,17,365 2,384 Jhelam 2,97,107 2,044 8,059 2,29,095 792 Gujrat 53,920 53,725 Shahpur 8,45,659 18,638 23,533 2,74,924 Mooltan 18,37,420 589 3,865 1,09,913 Jhang 22,73,872 15,026 27,717 5,47,380 4,000 Muzaffargarh 3,18,319 5,304 9,175 48,575 Dera Jemail	Gurdaspur 5.743 1,545 2,122 855 221 Lahore 2,78,777 15,316 15,245 2,27,824 13,614 1,778 Gujranwala 1,60,965 385 350 1,54,929 5,301 Ferozepore 2,500 467 2,033 Rawalpindi 5,83,462 127 4,17,365 2,384 1,63,586 Jhelam 2,97,107 2,044 8,059 2,29,095 792 57,117 Gujrat 53,920 53,725 195 Shahpur 8,45,659 18,638 23,533 2,74,924 5,28,564 Mooltan 18,37,420 589 3,865 1,09,913 17,23,053 Jhang 22,27,3872 15,026 27,717 5,47,380 4,000 16,7	Gurdaspur 570 370 Sialkote 5,743 1,545 2,122 855 221 Lahore 2,73,777 18,316 15,245 2,27,824 13,614 1,778 12,317 Gujranwala 1,60,965 385 350 1,54,929 5,301 637 Ferozepore 2,500 467 2,033 516 Rawalpindi 5,83,462 127 4,17,365 2,384 1,63,586 110 Jhelam 2,97,107 2,044 8,069 2,29,095 792 57,117 1,539 Gujrat 53,920 53,725 195 Shahpur 8,45,659 18,638 23,533 2,74,924 5,28,564 14,123	Gurdaspur 270 370 550 Sialkote 5.743 1,545 2,122 855 221 311 Labore 2,73,777 15,316 15,245 2,27,824 13,614 1,778 12,317 71,071 Guranala 1,60,965 385 350 1,54,929 5,801 637 7,211 Ferozepore 2,500 467 2,033 5,801 637 7,211 Ferozepore 2,500 467 2,033 5,801 637 7,211 Ferozepore 2,500 467 2,033 260 Rawalpindi 5,83462 127 4,17,365 2,384 1,63,586 110 9,438 Jhelam	Gurdaspur 270 370 550 550 Sialkote 5.743 1,545 2,122 855 221 311 311 Labore 2,78,777 15,316 15,245 2,27,824 13,614 1,778 12,317 71,071 83,888 Gujrand 1,60,965 385 350 1,54,929 5,301 637 7,211 7,648 Ferozepore 2,500 467 2,033 516 260 776 Ravalpindi 5,83,462 127 4,17,365 2,384 1,63,586 110 9,433 9,543 Jhelam 2,97,107 2,044 8,059 2,29,095 792 57,117 1,539 8,777 10,316 Guirat 53,920 53,725 19	Gurdaspur 550 550 Sialkote 5,743 1,545 2,122 885 221 311 311 Labore 2,78,777 15,316 15,245 2,27,824 13,614 1,778 12,317 71,071 83,888 Gujranwala 1,60,965 385 350 1,54,929 5,301 637 7,211 7,848 Ferozepore 2,500 467 2,033 516 260 776 Rawalpindi 5,83,462 127 4,17,365 2,384 1,63,586 110 9,433 9,543 Jhelam 297,107 2,044 8,059 2,290,5 792 57,117 1,539 8,777 10,316 Gujrat 53,920 53,725 1	Gurdaspur 570 550 550 Sialkote 5.743 1,545 2,122 855 221 311 311 Labore 2,73,777 16,316 15,445 2,27,824 13,614 1,778 12,317 71,071 83,888 Gujranwala 1,60,965 385 350 1,54,929 5,901 637 7,211 7,848 Ferozepore 2,600 467 2,033 516 260 776 Rawalpindi 5,83,462 127 4,17,365 2,384 1,63,586 110 9,433 9,543 Jbelam 2,97,107 2,044 8,059 2,29,095 792 57,117 1,539 8,777 10,182 Gujrat 53,920 53,725 1195 <t< td=""></t<>

. .

No. X. ALLUVION AND DILUVION STATEMENT. (For Districts in which the System of Alluvial Chaks prevails.)

STATEMENT OF ALLUVION, DILUVION, AND DESTRUCTIVE INUNDATION IN THE DISTRICTS OF THE PUNJAB, DURING THE YEAR 1881-82.

1	2			3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12 -	13	14,	15
	1.	rilaysi	0		No. of Chaks in		ALLUV	ION.		.0.	Dir	VION.		DESTRUCTIVE	INUNDATION.	
Division.	Distr	ICT.			which neither Alluvion nor Dilu- vion has occurred.	No. of Chaks in which Alluvion has oc- curred.	Former Jama of such Chaks.	Present Jama of such Chaks.	Increase of Kist- bandi.	No. of Chaks in which Diluvion has occurred.	Former Jama of such Chaks.	Present Jama of such Chaks.	Decrease	Amount of area in acres render- ed unproductive by water or sand within the cur- rent year.	Amount of remission of balance in the	Remarks.
	D.III										T.V.Sept.					
DELHI.	Delhi	•••		•••		10 										
EL	Gurgaon										•••					
A	Karnal						•••	•••	•••	• •••	•••	8			•••	
nd.	Hissar		o				i							\	···	
HISSAR.	Rohtak		,													
HIS	Sirsa		f	Ghaggar Sutlej.	25	13	4,320	4,903	583	17	4,382	3,111	1,271	-		••
A.	Umballa	,,,		Sutlej & Jamna.	52	1å	5,045	5,937	892	7	1,671	1,440	231			
ALL	Ludhiana			Sutlej	12	52	22,626	23,707	1,081	22	7,700	7,043	657	215		
UMBALTA.	Simla			•••			,					5				
	Jullundhur"			a.											· A	
CON R.	Hoshiarpur		···	٠.۵											*	
JULLUN- DAR.	Kangra				ੈ									·		•

AMRITSAR.	Amritsar	1											•	···. I
AG.	Gurdaspur			•	,		•		•				• •	
	Sialkot		•	1			•	*						
W.	e a constant		•		_•				i i					
si si	Labore													
LAHOKE.	Gujranwala		Chenab	6	69	34,877	36,217	1,340	19	7,254	7,071	183	***	•
Ten	Ferozepore	•••	Sutlej	60	65	5,331	7,985	2,654	47	8,554	6,854	1,700	874	
								,						
man and man		1		•••	•••	1000	***						***	
	Jhelum	,												
	Gujrat			- "									¢	
	Shahpur	•••											•••	
	•								-					
	Mooltan												•••	
	Jhang			"					•••					
	Montgomery	•••							•			•		
4.7	Muzaffargarh			es part				FORW I		-		•		. "
	Dera Ismail Khan		e de la company	Tre see	de Barrello		Ture Ser	E WALL						
	Dera Ghazi Khan	•••		ALTERNATION OF									1 10 m	
		٠				90 - 106.0			•	79			.	Samuel P
_	Bannu	•••	<u>:-</u>											
	Peshawar							.:				*		•
WAR.	Hazara	*	•			1.181.0						•		8
	Kohat		LUAR MIN	1		Ebiji	granca	11.1	1.8.07	LI ME	4	Wird.	Goder Ong d	
	GRAND TOTAL	•		155	215	72,199	78,749	6,550	- 112	29,561	25,519	4,042	1,069	
~	GRAND TOTAL	•	•••	155	215	72,199	78,749	6,550	. 115	29,561	25,519	4,042	1,0,9	

No. X A.

(For Districts in which the system of Alluvial Chaks does not prevail, but assessment varies with the culturable area). STATEMENT OF ALLUVION, DILUVION, AND DESTRUCTIVE INUNDATION IN THE DISTRICTS OF THE PUNJAB, DURING THE YNAR 1881-82.

1	2		-3	4	5	6	7	8.	• 9	90	11
•			•		ALLU	VION.	•	• DILU	ion,	DESTRUCT! DATE	VE INUN-
DIVISION.	District			Area (in acres) placed under assessment during the year, in acres.	Jama imposed on such area.	Area re-assessed at enhanced rates in acres.	Additional Jama im- posed on such area.	Area removed from assessment (in acres).	Reduction of Jama.	Area temporarily injured (in acres).	Remission of current demand.
HISSAR DELMI.	Delhi		Jamna	508	510	€8	31	604	45.		
SLE	Gurgaon		Jamna &c	173	28	79	81	645	810	643	1,428
DI	Karnal		Jampa	529	143	65	81	290	171		•••
IB	Hissar										
SS	Rohtak										
H	Sirsa								*		
A.	Umballa	}	Mountain torrent known	78	49			122	142		
BALLA.	Ludhiana	'	as Tougri and Khandran								•••
BA	Simla						,				
-	Jullundur		Sutlej	2,842	1,525	3,475	1,200	2,212	2,980		,
UR.	Jullundur Hoshiarpur Kangra	{	Sutlej, Beas, Sawan Choas and Khads	3,263	1,187	1,486	1,203	3,471	4,883		•
HA	Kangra	}	Beas, Khad, Chaki and Channel	617	162	111	163	293	881		
	Amritsar	- 5	Beas, Ravi, Sakki,	543	127	1,395	659	1,939	578		•
· SAR.	Gurdaspur		Chhumb Jastrewal. Ravi, Beas, Ujh & Nalas	3,121	1,643	3,113	770	5,334	2,844		•
02	Sialkot	{	Chanab, Ravi. Degmera and other streams	3,686	830	3,746	3,005	2,350	2,057		*
PA 5.5 LE COR.	Lahore		Ravi, Sutlej and Beas	5,469	1,928	4,467	1,809	10,558	4,179		
LAHORE	Gujranwalla	***									
LA	Ferozepore										
	Rawalpindi	• 1	River Soan, Kuss Baralla	1,909	2,106	666	267	1,032	541		
PINDI.	Jhelum	!	and Chhal. Jhelum	3,268	1,668	2,065	770	2,292	1,675		
IN	Gujrat		Chenab and Jhelum	2,304	2,045	2,131	1,823	3,243	2,579		
P	Shahpur		Do. Do.	4,724	4,231	1,962	1,326	3,175	2,615	•	
•	Mooltan									4	
1.	Jhang		Jhelum-Chenab	273	137	4,436	2,143	3,425	2,066		
TAN.	Montgomery	***	Ravi-Sutlej	7,792	2,422	1,287	653	8,976	2,883	3,113	1,629
	Muzalfargarh				_					•	
	Dera Ismail E	-		·							
E	Dera Ghazi K	200x45003	Indus 0	23,927	6,370	7,885	2,103	25,750	6,489		
JAT.	Bannu		Kurram	397	434			24	24		
A-	Peshawar		Indus Kabul Swat and Abazi and other streams		4,893			1,914	3,209		• :
WAR.	Hazara		Siran Dour Indus Khathe Khaki Nala Haro and		532			-11	• 196		
4	Kohat		minor streams.		•				•		
	1		GRAND TOTAL	67,515	32,970	38,397	18,127	77,660	• 41,656	3,366	3,057

No. X B.

STATEMENT OF ALLUVION AND DILUVION &c.

No. X B.

(For Districts, or tracts in Districts, in which the demand fluctuates with the area cultivated or is part so fluctuating and part fixed.)

STATEMENT OF ALLUVION AND DILUVION AND DESTRUCTIVE INUNDATION AND OF FLUCTUATING ASSESSMENT OF

LAND FOR WHICH THE ASSESSMENT VARIES WITH THE CULTIVATION.

,	1 -		2			3	1 4	1 5	T 6	7	8	9	1 10	1 11 1	12	2 2 13	
	<u> </u>		2	-				- Aı	REA IN AC	RES. O		ON LAND.	ABIANA (R WATER	7		•
DIVISION.		Dts	TRICT,		6	Names of rivers and streams or marshes causing variation,	Last and present years compared.	On which the revenue is fixed t. e., does not ordinarily fluctuate.	Subject to fluctuatin year's ar va Total Area	assessment g with each ca of culti- tion. Area cultivated.	Fixed.	Fluctuating.	No. of wells or Jhalárs assess- ed with abiána.	Jama of abiana assessed.	Total Jama assessed.	REMARKS.	
	Delhi				}	::	1880-81 1881-82	::	2,201 2,201	1,764 322		1,080 875			1,080 875		``
DEFER	Gurgaon	•••			{		1880-81 1881-82	:::	5,583 5,583	1,891 5,196		3,782 10,391	:::	:::	3,782 10,391	•	
	Karnal				{		1880-81 1881-82	::	::	4°	Ξ	=	:::	:::	=		
	Hissar		G.,		{		1880 81 1881-82	30 		:::							
	Rohtak			/	{		1880-81 1881-82		:::			= ::					
	Sirsa				{	::	1880-81 1881-82	:::	:::		 					C	0
	Amballa		o 		{	 	1880-81 1381-82		:::			::		:::			
	Ludhiana				{	:	1880-81 1881-82			7.	:::	:::	:::	:::		•	•
	Simla				{		1880-81 1881-82		:::			-:: <u>-</u>	:::_	::			
	Jullundur	o			{	·	1880-81 1881-82					2	III.		7	5	
	Hoshiarpu	t			{	° :::	1880-81 1881-82			:::		:::	::	= =			
	Kangra				{	:	1880-81 1881-82			:::	•			° ::: °		. 9	

	Amritsar	.	{	1880-81 1881-82	7			•		•	• •	=	•	•	
	Gurdaspur		1	1880-81 1881-82	23,290 31,940	10,485 13,203	423 1,063	19,445 30,104	926 2,450	=	II.	20,371 32,554			•
	Sialkot		1 =	• 1880-81 1881-82	:::		:::			_::_		•:::			
	Lahore		1 ::	1880-81 9881-82	1 :::	:::	:::		•:::		:::				
	Gujranwalla		#:	1880-81 1881-82	::	-	:::		· ···					•	
	Ferozepore		:::	1880-81 1881-82		===	*:::		:::			*			
	Rawalpindi		1 :::	1880-81 1881-82	1 ::	:::	:::	:::	:::		:::				•
	Jhelum		{ :::	1880-81 1881-82	::		::	У.	:::						
	Gujrat		:	1880-81 1881-82	::		:::	:::	:::	::		:::			
	Shabpur		<u>{</u>	1880-81 1881-82							-::-				
	Mooltan		Ravi, Chenáb and Sutlej.	1880-81 1881-82	28,538 26,248	3,36,685 3,37,547	79.156 91,100	25,995 23,718	74,964 86,094			1,00,959 1,09,812			
1	Jhang		{ :::	1880-81 1881-82	4,909 5,142	16,923 29,071	3,528 6,279	2,149 2,221	3,182 5,843	31 86	291 722	5,622 8,786			_
-	Montgomery		1 ::	1880-81 1881-82	71,019 70,996	77.163 77,718	7,800 10,959	5,979 5,828	11,703 14,812	237 •	1,581	19,263 20,640			
	Muzaffargarh		.	1880-81 1881-82	5,638 5,638	6,76,956 7,09,395	1,31,465 1,42,756	3,950 3,950	1,45,306 1,55,076	2,313 2,468	15,194 16,241	1.64,450		.)-	
-	Dera Ismail Khan	ď.	{ : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1880-81 1881-82	32,570 32,223	13,26,616 13,27,013	3,20,456 3,33,889	36,609 35,598	2,41,422 2,46,553	2,010 2,220	13,377 13,823	2,91,408 2,95,97	•:	1134	
			1	1880-81 1881-82											
	Bannu		1	1880-81 1881-82	3,05,093 3,02,982	2,75,750 2,83,462	47,378 56,884	32,987 32,845	58,401 65,580			91,388 98,425	.:		
CHARLES CO.	Peshawar			1880-81 1881-82			::							••	
	Hazara			1880-81 1881-82		=	::	=		٠	:::		-	i antes	
THE PARTY NAMED IN	Kohat			1880-81 1881-82	=	:::				-::-		3. 6		•	•
	GRAND TOT	AL	·{	1880-81 1881-82	4 71,057 4,75,169	27,28,362 27,85,193	5,93,861 6,48,448	1,27,114 1,34,264	5,40,766 5,87,674	4,591 4,774	30,443 30,786	6,98,323 7,52,724	•		•
THE PERSON NAMED IN	1				•						•		•		•

No. XI. LAND TAKEN UP FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES. STATEMENT OF COMPENSATION AND REDUCTION OF REVENUE FOR LAND FIND OF THE YEAR 1881-82, AND OF LAND RESTORED TO ITS

e1		2		3	4	5	6 }	7
•	. t			•		For 1	ROADS.	
Diviniqs.	DI	STRICT.	• 6	Ревіод.	Arga of land taken up	Amount of compensa- tion paid for land.	Amount of compensa- tion paid for buildings trees, &c., on the land.	Annual reduction in Government rent-roll.
DELHI.	Delhi Gurgaon Karnal			Previously C By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously Private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court By Award of Court By award of Court By Collector's award By award By award of Court By Collector's award By award	A. R. P. 690 0 0	Rs. 12,149	Rs. 3,618 1,832 455	1,050
HISSAR,	Hissar Rohtak Sirsa	 		Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award Ry award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court	 941 0 0 20 0 0 3,537 0 0	250 6,757 283 1,895	250 254 	65 ° · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
UMBALLA.	Umballa Ludhiana Simla	***************************************	··· ···	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court	2,329 0 0 2,875 0 0	52,845 10,833 330	124 	1,965 1,687
JULLUNDUB.	Jullundur Hoshiarpur Kangra			Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By private negotiation By collector's award By collector's award By award of Court	2,061 0 0	71,901 8,075 11,015	71 8,164	2,099 1,008 933
AMRITTARE.	Amritsar Gurdaspur			Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award	2,991 0 0 0	28,801 27,057 20,429 14	37 1,605 0 142	2,026 1,978 437

TAKEN UP FOR PUBLIC PURPOSES IN THE DISTRICTS OF THE PUNJAB TO THE OWNERS BY GOVERNMENT, HOWEVER OCCUPIED.

				•		•	Net of the second
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
	For (CANALS.			RAIÙ	WAYS.	• . •
dn un	ensa-	ensa- nuild- n the	-roll.		For State Ra		
Ares of land taken up in acres.	Amount of compensa- tion paid for land	Amount of compensa- tion paid for build- ings, trees, &c., on the land,	Annual reduction in Government rent-roll.	Area of land taken up in acres.	Amount of com- pensation part for land.	Amount of com- pensation paid for buildings, trees, &c., on the lard.	Annual reduction in Government rent-roll.
A. R. P. 1,205 0 0	Rs. 1,40,325	Rs. 29,372	Rs. 5,695	A. R. P.* 357 0° 0	Rs. 32,935	70,163 0 0	Rs. 354
1 0 0	16 751	492 2,187	"1 				
176 0 0	61,520	5,080	2,359	862 0 0	39,635	256 0 0	977
12 0 0	363		16	***	::		
456 0 0	1,14,976	15,104	3,119				5
59 0 0	1,266	756	32		- 45:14		•••
75 0 0	1,098	37	39				
1 0 0	40		1				
2 0 0 512 0 0	101 7,250	246	609 •				: L
58 0 0	2,140	-	70		::	:::	*
37 0 0	296	312	2				:::
						:	::
••••	***				•••		•••
035 0 0	2,28,243	8,419	4,099				
-			2		-		
,616 0 0	1,28,300	5,807	3,191	.:			
269 0 0	11,094	. ::	148				
-					::		** **
		- :	*			7.	
		· · ·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••		•
•		100 m		***			
=							
			.			= =	
			I				
161 0 0	413	mater II	3				
				Section III (1995)	.		•
•	· "		24 2011				
878 0 0	1,49,772	280	8,367			i	
						•=	•
,878 0 0	75,742	- ::	0,971			•	•
	=		:		•		
: 1		=	# # #		***		•
					::	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
1							The second second

•	1				16	e 17	c 18	0 19
		: 1		d		RAII	WAYS.	0
						For Guarante	ed Railways, C	6
DITIBION. 5	DI	STRICT.		PERIOD.	Area of land taken up in acres.	Amount of com- pensation paid for land,	Amounted com- pensation paid for buildings, trees, &c., on	Annual reduction in Government rent-roll.
DEELI.	Delhi Gurgaon Karnal	 •24	{	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court By Collector's award By award of Court	A. B. P. 313 0 0	Rs. 6,01,504	Rs	Rs. 365
S HISSAR.	Hissar Rohtak Sirsa		;{ ;{ ;{	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court By Collector's award By award of Court By Collector's award By award of Court				
UMBALLA.	Umballa Ludhiana Simla		{ { {	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	31,122 18,186 	:: :: :: :: :: :: :: :: ::	1,330 1,465
JULIUNDUR.	Jullundur Hoshiarpur Kangra		1	Previously	782 0 0	42,839 	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	784
AMBITSAB.	Amritsar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · {	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By Odlector's award By award of Court		49,249		1,051

1	20	9 21	220	23	24	. 25	26 0	27	28		9	30	٠
	FOR BUILI	OING AND M		ous works		TOTAL,		LAND BA	TORED BY	rhi occu-	not jed.	claim	
	Area of land taxen up in acres,	Amount of compensa-	Amount of compensa- tion paid for buildings, trees, &c., on the land.	Annual reduction in Government rent-roll.	Area of land taken up in acres.	Amount of compensa- tion of every descrip- tion paid.	Annual reduction in Government rent-roll for one entire year.	Area of land given up in acres.	Amount brought on the rent-foll.	No. of cases in which has been occupied from pied for which com-	Area.	Date of oldest pending of for compensation.	
	A. R. P. 545 0 0	Rs 38,450	Rs. 1,814	Rs. 457	A. R. P. 6,110 0 0		Rs. 7,594	A. R. P. 808 0 0	Rs. 1,191	1	1	23-3-80	
The Party and Publishers	362 0 0	5,856	563	350	1 0 0 2 0 0 4,603 0 0 	2,938	4,736 	• 88 0 0	83	 5 3	 2 148	20-12-81	•
Service Control of	554 0 0 	4,944	480	389	6,099 0 0 		4,270 82	364 0 0	144	- :::			
	290 0 0 0	1,637 2,084 620	153	84 83 7	### ##################################	101 16,740 2,423	188 1 2 1,182 70 290 	15 0 0	13	 6 1 	698 206 	15-11-81 5-P1-81 7-4-81	
	11,536 0 0 0 283 0 0 117 0 0	2,82,862 9,784 5,544 1,873	1,490	1,097 380 499	19,065 0 0 0 7,803 0 0 0 269 0 0 117 0 0	9,784 1,68,703 11,094	\$,481 2 7,423 148 499	92 0 0	128 4 	1 5 3 	156 955 160	13-2-81 25-9-79 25-9-79 	
	2,831 0 0	78,058 13,588 6,136	2,740	4,791 4,003 372	5,624 0 0 2 0 0 0 2,958 0 0 1,061 0 0		7,666 5,011 1,308	1,176 0 0 0 823 0 0 43 0 0	1,502 1,634 213	59	396		
	1,893 G O O 765 O O 1 O O 4,817 O O	70,984 14,828 420 41,868	30	1,242 656 250	12,733 0 0 0 11,292 0 0 1 0 0 0 5,728 0 0		12,686 • 12,600 687	310 0 0 200 0 0 0 581 0 0 1 0 0	356 384 637	1	63	15-7-79	

1		2	f	•	. f 9 6		14	6 5	60 6	' 7
		,	-	- 1	6 ·			For	ROADS.	0
DIVISION.		ustrict,		c	PERIOD.		Area of Iand taken up in acres.	Amount of compensa- tion paid fer land.	Amount of compensa- tion paid for buildings, trees, &c., on the laud.	Annual reduction in Government-rent-roll.
-					Proviously	-	A. R. P.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
AHORE.	Lahore	 C _R		{	By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously By private negotiation	: : : :	3,940 0 0 2,657 0 0	20,671 9,953	25	2,669 917
LAH	Gujranwalla			{	By Collector's award By award of Court Previously		2,639 0 0	16,164	58	1,503
	Ferozepore			{	By Collector's award By award of Court					
	Rawalpindi			}	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award		8,503 0 0	21,240	5,329	9,975
PINDI.	Jhelum			{	By award of Court Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court		2,839 0 0	9,368		2,329
RAWALPINDI	Gujrat		•••	}	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award		4,085 0 0	15,214	5,285	3,081
R.	Shahpur	···	_	{	By private negotiation By Collector's award By wavel of Court	::	2,034 0 0	7,078		1,102
c c	Mooltan			{	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of County		1,376 0 0	11,030	445	2,570
MOOLTAN.	Jhang			{	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court	:::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	899 0 0 	4,117		121
MOOT	Montgomery	•		{	By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously		738 0 0	3,324 7,266	 275	42 684
	Muzaffargari	ı		{	By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court	::	595 0 0			c.*
E	Dera Ismail	Khan		{	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court		505 0 0	3,305		750
DERAJAT	Dera Ghazi	Khan		{	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court Previously		1,065 0 0	3,418		2,202
۲	Bannu			{	By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		0	
8	Peshawar	o	e	{	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court		650 0 0	8,850		2,055
PESSHAWAR	Hizara		•••	{	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court	::	6(7 0 0	7,931	3,554 4,969	1,466
PE	Kohat		···	·{	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court	1111	417 0 0 19 0 0	32,445 3,379 	4,969 815 	79
-		BAND TO		(Previously By private negotiation		54,866 0 0 39 0 0	4,61,583 3,676	36,525 815	47,197 79

8	9.,	10	11	1 12	• 18,	14	15
	For (CANALS.			RAIL	WAYS.	
taken up	188	compensa- for build- &c., on the	nnual reduction in Government rent-roll.		For State Ra	imaks.	
akeı	mount of compensa- tion paid for land.	npe , on	ion snt-1		For State Ra	1 15 05	
	co co	cotm for &c.,	luct nt r		com: paid	Amount of com- pensation paid for buildings, trees, &c., on the land.	Annual reduction in Government rent-roll.
of land	g	nt of paid trees,	тес	land Ip ii	of on d.	of on on dilid	sduc erni l.
of la acres.	ınt ı ps	d. tr	al ern	of lost sen use.	sati lan	ant of bailons, &c.	d re dove
Area in a	Amount tion p	Amount tion ings, ti	Annual	Area of land taken up in acres.	Amount of pensation for land.	Amount pensation by trees, the lan	n c
A.* R. P.					₹	-	4
,976 0 0	Rs. 51,029	Rs. 839	Rs. 2,088	A. R. P. 924 0 0	Rs. 62,440	Rs. A. P. 13,212 0 0	Rs. 287
		:::			***		

,				1,680 •0 0	36, 246 32	4,458 0 0	762
,939 0 0	51,591	1,212	866				
759 0 0	47,999	3,152	495				

		1		847 0 0	33,851	1,33,188 0 0	434
•••	***			1,129 0 0 36 0 0	43,600	4,252 0 0	268
62 0 0	428		103	1,085 0 0	2,071 46,259	155 0 0 1,719 0 0	7 295
				22 0 0	546		
				2,243 0 0	60,571		***
				.1.	16	9,441 0 0	565
			*		***		
43 0 0	85		17	136 0 0	2,926	1	15
10	***					, 0	
					***	***	
899 0 0	22,154	165	770	1,143 0 0	41,905	9,340 0 0	492
44 0 0	2,234	***	1		32	***	1
	:::				***		
414 0 0	17,766	168	362				***
	17,766	108			:::		
	- 4						
163 0 0	403	65	178			***	***
•••							
•••			-				
103 0 0	628	150	27		•••		
•	•••		•••		•••		
334 0 0	22,350	3,741	68		•	===	
39 0 0	2,722	897	=			I. 4.76	
				100 0 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0 00 0			•••
	Ž.				•		:::
75 0 0	6,052	38	87				
5 0 0	27	4		Constant of the Constant of th			
	•••						
							•
	•••						•
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1915 au	2. P		••	• •
	••						
							<u> </u>
807 0 0	10,80,421 2,180	71,035	42,720 79	9,277	3,56,768 48	2,47,777 0 0	4,181
188 0 0	65,721	5,297	695	1,151	. 44,178	4,252 0 0	273
4 0 0	852	2,187	2	36	2,071	155 0 0	7

		1				16	17	e 18	19
	A STATE OF	-		4			RAIL	WAYS.	(
٠.							For Guarantee	d Railways.	
,	DISTRICT.		•	Period.		land up in	of com-	mount of com- pensation paid for buildings, trees, &c., on the land.	Annual reduction in Government rent-roll,
DITTEION.						Area of taken acres.	Amount of c pensation for land.	Amount pensation but trees, the lan	Annual 1 in Gov rent-re
,				Previously		R. A. P. 1,263 0 0	Rs. 65,016	Rs. 1,300	R\$. 213
rá	Lahore		}	By Private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court					
LAHORE	· •		1	Previously By private negotiation					.6.
CAB	Gujranwalla	***		By Collector's award By award of Court Previously					
7	Ferozepore		{	By Collector's award	***	=			
			- 1	By award of Court					
	Ramalnindi		1	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award		=			
DI.	Rawalpindi		(By award of Court Previously	,	***			
RAWALPINDI	Jhelam		}	By Collector's award					
AL	•		1	By award of Court Previously By private negotiation		=			
RAW	Gujrat		}	By Collector's award By award of Court			***		
-	Shahnur		J	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award		***		- ::	Ľ.
	Shabpur			By award of Court					
			1	Previously By private negotiation	:::	917 0 0	20,364	9,048	386,
1	Mooltan	•••	(By Collector's award By award of Court Previously	•••				
TAT	Jhang		{	By private negotiation By Collector's award			:::	- ::	
MOOLTAN			1	By award of Court Previously By private negotiation	•	94 0 0	. 521		27
M	Montgomery	:"	{	By Collector's award By award of Court		Comments of the Comments of th		d::	- ::;
	Muzaffargarh	1	{	Previously By private negotiation By Collector's award					
	*			By award of Court	•••	- : :			
	Dera Ismail Khan		{	By private negotiation By Collector's award					0
H.	The second		1	By award of Court Previously	X	=			
DERAJAT.	Dera Ghazi Khan		}	By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court		=	7	::	
DEB	Programme Text		1	By private negotiation		=		= 1	
	Bannu		{	By Collector's award By sward of Court		# #	are in the second	. c	
			-1	Previously By private negotiation	:::	-			
AR.	Peshawar	.e.	{	By Collector's award By award of Court					
AW	Hawara		5	By private negotiation By Collector's award		<u> </u>			
PERHAWAR.		Çer.	. , (By award of Court Previously	c	三五			
A	Kobát		}	By private negotiation By Collector's award By award of Court	•••	=	1		
' (c is		Previously		6,448 0 0	8,28,801	10,348	5,621
	GRAND TOTAL FOR 1	881-52	\	By private negotiation By Collector's award		2 0 0	79		170

	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	\$ 27	28		29	38
	For Buil	DING AND	Miscellan		В	TOTAL.		LAND 180	STORED BY	which ocea-	i the	claim
	Area of land taken up in acres.	Amount of compensa- tion paid for land,	Amount of compensa- tion plid for buildings, trees, &c., on the land.	Annual reduction in Government rent-roll.	Area of land taken up	Amount of compensa- tion of every descrip- tion paid.	Annual reduction in Government rentroll for one entire year.	Area of land given up	Amount brought on the	No. of cases in which land has been occu-	pensation has the been paid, and the area thus occupied.	Date of oldest pending cla for compensation,
	A. R. P. 10,347 6 0	Rs. 52,239	Rs. 8,548	Rs. 1,522	A. R. P. 26,390 0 0	Rs. 2,75,294	Rs. 6,779	A. R. P. 288 0 0	Rs. 60		, e	3
			:::	:::				400				
	640 0 0	7,772	919	148	4,977 0 0		1,827		:::	***		1
	·					32		*::: -	:::	1	pi2 2.	
	889 0 0	20,601		1,036	5,467 0 0	1	3,495	54 0 0	40	2	8 *	
					1,759 0 0		495	47 0 0	34			
Magazi	1,734 0 0	54,646	1.000	1.041	11 004 0 0	0.50.000	11.450					
1000	74 0 0	3,331	4,628	1,041	11,084 0 0		11,450	35 0 0	77			
	364 0 0	11,302			1,203 0 0 36 0 0	51,189 2,226	274	5 0 0	20	4	80	14-9361
	***	***		320	3,850 0 0	69,076	3,047	80 0 0	69			
Sections	708 0 0	2,845	2.100		22 0 0	546	4 204				202	
2222			3,106	658	7,036 0 0	96,46 2 16	4,304	614 0 0 3 0 0	80	7	30 110	10-7-80
g						•				•	:::	X .
	14 0 0	182		8	2,227 0 0	10,271	1,142		, 2		,	9
								***			*	***
	1,572 0 0	3,92,256	139	2,293	5,901 0 0	5,06,846	6,511	104 0 0		1	1	16-4-79
					44 0 0	2,266	2	580 0 0		4	259	20-8-81
j	464 0 0	4,966	250	153	863 0 0	9,333	274	***	::		:::	
•					:::					***	:::	. :
	20 0 0	200		3	3,266	21,979	434	99 0 0	25		:: !	
١	***			:::	:::		:::		:::			*
į	324 0 0	5,669	768	• 133	1,082	14,446	995		::			
ı	100	30		1	13	30	9		::			
i		•••										
	406 0 0	11,563	3,000	566	1,014 0 0	18,646	.1,343	200	2	2	8	•::
I	3								=			
ı	943 0 0	20,122	296	1,525	2,342 0 0	49,927	3,795					
i	900	523	40		48 0 0	4,182			::		=	
ě	747 0 0	37.556	483	210	789 0 0	38,147	227			1		
į	-::											
ğ	2,901 0 0	67,126	524	453	4,126 0 0	82,590	2,595	139 0 0	•			
1	49 0 0	5,774		6.	54 0 0	5,801	6			30	865	3-11-79
	1,642 0 0	28,706	3,672	2,001	2,289 0 0	43,866	3,467	186 0 0	214		20	
	100	508			100		===			=	• •	
	373 0 o	219829	4,300	1,550	790 0 0	***	1,783	13 0 0	,	• "		8.7-79
	15 0 0	1,422	1,095	3	34 0 0		82					• • • •
V										·		
1	51,823 0 0 17 0 0	12,57,912 1,960	38,207	28,280	1,73,221 0 0 127 0 0		1,27,999 162	6,108 0 0 4 0 0	6,799	75 14 •	688 1,014	
10	133 0 0	19,832	46	12	3,474 0 0		980	641 0 0	68	54	3,327	

No. XII.

STATEMENT OF LAND REVENUE ASSIGNED TO INDIVIDUALS OR SOCIETIES IN THE PUNJAB, AT THE CLOSE OF THE YEAR 1881-82.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
		To	TAL ARE	A AND R	EVENUE	Assigna	D.				Di	STRIBUTIO	ON OF AI	REA AND	JAMA.					Nu	MBER	ор ног	DEHS.	
DIVISION.	vill	ages.	Fraction tions of		Plo	ts.	To	tal.	In Per	petuity.	For on	ne life.	For motor one l		During ance of me		Repo	ding rt or rs of ument.			one life,	mance of t.	•	
	Area.	Jama.	Area.	Jama.	Area.	Jama.	Area.	Jama.	Area.	Jama.	Area.	Jama	Area.	Jama,	Area.	Jama.	Area.	Jama.	In perpetuity.	For one life,	For more than	During maintenance establishment.	Pending orders.	Total.
elhi	3,95,220	2,26,303	24,678	16,355	16,627	31,628	4,36,525	2,74,286	4,02,745	2,45,554	13,937	13,339	3,367	2,689	16,079	11,809	397	895	31,845	7,166	1,200	5,434	57	45,70
ssar	5,074	3,550	·		36,771	19,430	41,845	22,980	4,228	3,161	11,900	9,893	22,456	6,860	3,261	3,066			715	640	380	241		1,9
aballa	6,24,824	4,91,966	2,91,257	1,75,565	30,598	42,966	8,56,679	7,10,497	8,07,541	6,57,871	16,336	17 419	28,291	29,659	4,511	5,548			-9,826	3,485	6,156	1,782		21,2
llundur	3,33,843	2,12,878	66,396	95,104	37,355	54,637	4,37,594	3,62,619	3,61,462	2,69,216	49,456	62,247	2,210	2,303	22,994	27,356	1,472	1,495	1 ,637	9,235	575	1,456		12,9
ritsar	2,75,552	2,79,730	1,28,981	1,42,128	1,05,185	1,41,995	5,09,718	5,63,853	1,74,554	1,99,163	2,14,765	2,23,230	38,213	45,347	82,186	96,113			9,389	10,630	2,929	4,0		26,9
hore	9,37,770	2,75,042	1,27,092	43,382	75,660	54,177	11,40,522	3,72,601	7,87,992	2,23,858	1,97,012	97,716	82,278	29,562	73,240	21,465			4,388	6,863	472	2,404	r.	14,1
walpindi	3,42,815	69,239	1,10,272	31.193	28,040	38,844	4,81,127	1,39,276	2,69,853	73,239	1,64,020	41,905	24,762	9,827	22,492	14,305			710	1,927	1,054	214	10	3,9
oltan	7 6 9542	19,534	62,818	16,149	20,974	18,092	1,54,334	- 53,775	78,209	24,430	52,267	19,918	9,085	3,470	12,556	5,006	2,217	951	371	478	146	288	9	1,2
rajat	10,58,954	1,53,051	33,656	5,459	13,932	8,741	11,06,542	1,67,251	7,08,804	1,27,440	3,89,070	36,346	4,084	901	4,584	2.564			102	267	35	10-		• 5
shawar	6,52,425	1,27,95	67,044	71,346	85,287	98,184	8,04,756	2,97,485	5,80,500	1,24,978	1,71,292	1,11,832	8,777	25,838	7,272	6,855	36,915	27,982	306	14,490	≈9 81	1,087	3,961	29,9
Total .	46,97,019	18,59,248	8,22,194	5,96,681	4,50,429	5,08,694	59,69,642	29,64,623	11,75,888	19,48,910	12,80,055	6,33,845	2,23,525	1,56,456	2,49,175	1,94,089	41,001	31,323	59,289	55,181	13,928	17,027	3,137	1,48,56

No. XIII.

STATEMENT OF PENSIONS GRANTED BY THE STATE TO INDIVIDUALS

AT THE CLOSE OF THE YEAR 1881-82:

	•		DISTRICT.			No. of pension the 31st 1882.	oners March	Value of pensions.	REMARKS.	***	
	Delhi		•			658	,	Rs. 1,76,519			,
	Gurgaon	۰				106		14,229		,	
	Karnal					76		17,098	,	,	
	Hissar	•••				33		4,333			9
	Rohtak					99		9,559	02		
	Sirsa					. 27		4,948			
	Umballa					324		63,391			
	Ludhiana		·			283		99,707			
	Simla					34		31,284		•	
	Jullundur '					192		24,842			
	Hoshiarpur					184		36,875			
	Kangra					160		40,358			
	Amritsar					596		79,998		•	
	Gurdaspur					122		16,030			
	Sialkot					162		28,346			
	Lahore					612		1,80,266			
	Gujranwalla					184		22,631			
	Ferozepore		*			62		4,978			
	Rawalpindi					187		24,594			
	Jhelum		٠ ٠			132	341	15,828			
	Gujrat	3			·	74		19,244			
	Shahpur					- 41		9,644		•	
	Mosltan					52		17,964			2 K 18 3
	Jhang					35		2,381			
	Montgomery	·				29		3,468			
	Muzaffargar			1		10		1,022			
	Dera Ismail	Khan	•		Markeyo.	138		32,104			
	Dera Ghazi	Khan			•	32		6,799			
	Bannu		•			25		6,245			No.
	Peshawar					122		87,615 .	• •	•	STATE OF
	Hazara	· •				87		16,774			
-	Kohat		j			22		8,792			
				TOTAL		4,900		10,52,866	•		000

No. XVI.
TAKAVI ADVANCĖS.

COMPARATIVE YEARLY COLLECTION REPORT OF ADVANCES FOR AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENTS MADE UNDER

ACT XXVI OF 1871, AND ADVANCES FOR PURCHASE OF SEED GRAIN AND BULLOCKS MADE

UNDER ACT X OF 1879, IN THE PUNJAB, FOR 1880-81 AND 1881-82.

1	2	3 -	4	5	6	7	8	9 -	10	11	12	13	14
	•		-	ADV.	ANCES MA	DE.	Aı	OVANCES 1	DUE.		TAND	CES OUT- ING AT OF YEAR.	
DIVISION.	Distracer.	YEAR.	NATURE OF ADVANCE.	Up to close of last year.	Within present year.	Total.	At close of last year.	Within present year.	Total.	Collections within the year.	Due.	Not due,	Remarks.
		1880-81 {	Agricultural Inprovements Seed grain Bullocks	4,559 	424	4,983	230	766	996	886	110	,3,989 	
	Ħ		Total	4,559	424	4,983	230	766	996	886	110	3,989	
	DELHI	1881-82 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	4,099	450 	4,519 	110 	742	852 	(a) 820 	30	(b) 3,847 	(a.) Rs. 2 realized in excess in 1880-81 has been adjusted in 1881-82 by short receipt. (b.) Includes Rs. 148 on account of interest.
			. Total	4,099	450	4,549	110	742	852	820	30	3,847	
1		1880-81 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	36,961 4,517 19,096	200	37,161 4,517 19,096	1,241 1,658 6,710	7,760 2,859 12,386	9,001 4,517 19,096	7,764 2,416 12,645	1,236 2,101 6,451	30,041	
H	YON		Total	60,574	200	60,774	9,609	23,005	32,614	22,825	9,788	30,041	
DEI	GURGAON.	1881-82	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	31,277 2,101 6,451	1,600 2,365	32,877 2,101 8,816	1,236 2,101 6,451	7,876	9,112 2,101 6,451	6,771 830 4,198	2,341 1,271 2,253	25,789 2,365	
			Total	39,829	3,965;	43,794	9,788	. 7,876	17,664	(e)11,799	5,865	28,154	(c.) Includes Rs. 2.024 on account of interest.
		1820-81	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	3,550 23,260	402	3,952 23,260	160 1,380	1,051 10,979	1,211 12,359	1,060 11,544	157 815	2,741 10,901	
	RNAL.	•	lotal	26,810	402	27,212	1,540	12,030	13,570	12,604	966	13,642	
•	KARN	1881-82	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	2,847 11,716	300	3,147 11,716	60	867 11,716	927 11,716	927 11,716	:::	(d) 2,349 	(d.) Includes Rs. 129 on account of interest.
	1		Total	14,563	300	14,863	60	12,583	12,643	12,643		2,349	

		1880-81 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	45,070 4,517 42,356	1,026	46,096 4,517 42,356	1,658 8,090	9,577 2,859 23,365	11,208 4,517 31,455	9,710 2,416 24,189	1,497 2,101 7,266	\$6,771 10,901	
14.			Total	91,943	1,026	92,969	11,379	35,801	47,180	36,315	10,864	47,672	
TOTAL		1881-82	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	38,223 2,101 18,167	7,350 2,365	40,573 2,101 20,532	1,406 2,101 6,451	9,485	10,891 2,101 18,167	8,518 830 15,914	2,371 1,271 2,253	31,985 2,365	
			Total	58,491	4,715	63,206	9,958	21,201	31,159	25,262	5,895	34,350	
			Agricultural Improvements	8,997		8,997		1,553	1,553	1,588		7,398	
		1880-81 }	Seed grain Bulloeks	8,890		8,890		4,570	4,570	4,605		4,281	
1 N			Total	17,887		17,887		6,123	6,123	6,193		11,679	
HISSAR			Agricultural Improvements	7,398	300	7,698		1,604	1,604	1,604		(e) 6,184	(c.) Includes Rs. 90 on account of interest,
4		1881-82	Seed grain Bullocks	4,281		4.281		4,281	4,281	4,281			
			Total	11,679	300	11.979	-:-	5,885	5,885	5,885		6,184	
-		,4	Agricultural Improvements	19,217	800	20,017	110	5,952	6,062	6,062		(A) 14,195	(A.) Includes Rs. 240 on account of interest.
1		1880-81	Seed grain Bullocks	14,106		14,106	1,285	12,821	14,106	14,106			
X			Total	33,323	800	34,123	1,395	18,773	20,168	20,168		14,195	
ROHTAK			Agricultural Improvements	14,204	100	14,304		3,199	3,199	2,559	- 640	(f) 11,138	(f.) Includes Rs. 33 on account of interest.
BC		1881-82 }	Seed grain Bullocks			***							
			Total	14,204	100	14,304		3,199	3,199	2,559	640	11,138	
1-			Agricultural Improvements	19,558	2,150	21,708	204	5,247	5,451	4.668	322	(B) 9,847	(B.) The difference between amount shown in col. 13 and col. 6
		1880-81 }	Seed grain Bullocks	8,426		8,426		3,149	3,149	3,123	26	5,277	minus col. 10. Rs. 6,871 is due to this amount having been remitted by Government.
A			Total	27,984	2.150	30,134	204	8.396	8,600	7,791	348	15,124	
STRSA			Agricultural Improvements	10,895	1,800	12,695	322	2,786	3,108	2.861	247	9,587	
		1881-82 }	Seed grain Ballocks	5.557		5.557	26	2,908	2,934	(g)2,973		2.567	(g.) Includes Rs. 56 on account of interest,
	e		Total	16,452	1,800	18,252	348	5,694	6,042	5,834	264	12,154	
		V .	Agricultural Improvements	47,772	2,950	50,722	314	12,752	13,066	12,318	322	31,440	
9		1880-81	Seed grain Bullocks	\$1,422		31,422	1,285	20,540	21,825	21,834	26	9,558	
OTAT			Total	79,194	2,950	82,144	1,599	33,292	34,891	34,152	348	40,998	5
104	1		Agricultural Improvements	32,497	2,200	34,697	322	7,589	7,911	7,024	887	26,909	
		1881-82	Seed grain Bullocks	9,838		9.838	26	7,189	7,215	7,254		2,567	
	0 .		Total	42,335	2,200	44,535	348	14,778	15,126	14,278	904	29,476	٠
1	0		, ,	,2,003	2,200	12,000	910	12,110	0 .	12,010	ogt	25,110	
· .		0 0 0								-			
		- 1	0.0				•	10			1		٠٠ ٩

• .

COMPARATIVE YEARLY COLLECTION REPORT OF ADVANCES FOR AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENTS &c. - Continued.

1	2	3 _	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13			• 14		•
^		-	7*	ADV	ANCES MA	DE,	Α	DVANCES	DUE.	ur,	STANDIN	CES OUT- G AT CLOSE YEAR.				•	•
Division.	District.	YEAR.	NATURE OF ADVANCES,	Up to close of last year.	Within present year.	Total.	At close of last year.	Within present year.	Total.	Collections within the year,	Due.	Not due.	•	•	Remarks.	: 	
	,	1880-81 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	3,570	:::	3,570		812	812	812 		2,758					
	LL	0	Total	3,570		3,570		812	812	812		2.758					
	UMBALLA	1881-82	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	2,934	500 	3,134 	::	705	705 	705	=	2,729					
			. Total	2,934	590	3,434		705	705	705		2,729					
LA.		1880-81 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Builocks	674	:::	674	:::	105	105	105	=	569 				• • •	
H	AN		Total	674		674		105	105	105		569					
MBA	LUDHIANA.	1881-82 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	569	2Q0 	769 	::	120	120 	120 		649 					
Þ	80.00		Total	569	200	769		* 120	120	120		. 649					
		1860-81 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks						.,,			 					•
	CA.	-	Total	***	·						°					•	
0	SIMILA	1881-82 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks		e		: : :		e				•	•			
		1	Total	- m 5						T	1	·					

TOTAL	1881-82	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	3,503	700	4,203	1	825	825	825		3,378	
		Agricultural Improvements	7,859	1,235	9,094		* 825	825	825		3,378	
J.B.	1880-81 }	Seed grain Bullocks		1,200	9,094	=	1,982	1,982	1,982		7,615	
DND		Total	7,859	1,235	9,094		1,982	1,982	1,982		7,615	
JULEUNDUR.	1881-82 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	7,615	3,935	11,550 	==	2,186	2,186	(Å)2,186		9,916	(h.) Includes Rs. 552 on account of interest.
		Total	7,615	3,935	11,550		2,186	2,186	2,186		9,916	
UB.	1880-81	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	702		702		85	104	104		598	
TARE		Total	702		702	19	85	104	104		598	
HOSHIANPUR	1881-82 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	598 	100	698	::	105	105	105		(i) 627	(i.) Includes Rs. 34 on account of interest,
		Total	598	100	698		105	105	105		627	
	1880-81 {	Agricultural Improvements Seen grain Bullocks	::	2,000	2,000					=	 (C) 1,944	(C.) Includes Rs. 469 on account of interest.
KANGBA	L	Total		2,000	2,000				525		1,944	(c) morace in the or second of interest.
KAN	1881-82	Seed grain Bullocks	1,944	 	1,944						1,944	
		Total	1,944		1,944					·	1,944	
	1880-81	Regricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	8,561 	1,235 2,000	9,796	19	2,067	2,086	2,086		8,213 1.944	
TOTAL.		Total	8,561	1,435	9,996	19	2,067	2,086	2,611		10,157	
TOL	1881-82 <	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	8,213 1,944	4,035	12,248		2,291	2,291	2,291		10,543	
		Total	10,157	4,035	14,192		2,291	2;291	2,291	c	12,487	

NO. XVI .- Continued.

COMPARATIVE YEARLY COLLECTION REPORT OF ADVANCES FOR AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENTS, &c. Continued.

1	2	8	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	9 14
		* .		ADVA	NOES MA	DE,	An	VANCES I	OUE.		STAN	DING AT OF YEAR.	
Division.		YEAR.	NATURE OF ADVANCES,	Up to close of last year.	Within present year.	Total.	At close of year.	Within present year.	Total.	Collection within the year.	Due.	Not due,	Řemarks.
	ď	1880-81	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	3,438 9,065	338	3,776 9,065	:::	1,389	414 1,389	622 1,389	===	(D) 3,103 7,676	(D.) Difference of Rs. 51 is due to recovery before due date.
	L'SA.		Total	12,503	338	12,841		1,803	1,803	2,011		10,779	
	AMRITSAR.	1881-82 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	3,103 7,676	470	3,573 7,676	=	557 1,389	557 1,389	557 1,389		(j) 3,166 6,287	(j). Includes Rs. 150 on account of interest.
			Total	10,779	470	11,249		1,946	1,946	1,946		9,453	
A.B.	JR.	1880-81 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bellocks	4,383	1,000	5,383	25 	631	656	1,107	::	4,276	
23	ISPI		Total	4,383	1,000	- 5,383	25	631	656	1,107	T	4,276	
RIT	GURDASPUR	1881-82	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	4,276	100	4,376		892	892	(l) 859	(k) 33 	3,718	(k.) Includes Rs. 226 on account of interest, (l.) Includes Rs. 8 on account of interest.
M			Total	4,276	100	4,376		892	892	859	33	3,718	
A		1880-81	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	10,657 	2,700	13,357	50	1,698	1,748 264	1,748 264		(C) 12,425 481	(C.) Includes Rs. 816 on account of interest.
	KOT		Total	11,402	2,700	14,102	50	1,962	2,012	2,012		12,906	
	SIALKOT.	1881-82 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	12,425 °481	1,075	13,500	···	2,253e 248	2,253 248	2,253 248		(m) 11,582 (n) 363	(m.) Includes Rs. 335 on account of interest. (n.) Includes Rs. 30 on account of interest.
0	-		Total	12,906	1,175	14,081		2,501	2,501	2,501		11.945	

	1880-81 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain	18,478 9,810		22,516	75	2,743	2,818	3,477 1,653	***	19,804	
ī.		Ballocks		4,038	9,810	75	4,396	1,653 4,471	5,130	*	8,157 27,961	
TOTAL.		Agricultural Improvements	19,804	1,645	21,449		3,702	3,702	3,669	33	18,466	
H	1881-82	Seed grain Bullocks	8,157	3 00	8,257		1,637	1,637	1,637	:::•	6,650	
		Total	27,961	1,745	29,706		5,339	5,339	5,306	33	25,116	
	1880-81 .8	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	2,826	625 • 590	3,451 590		419	419 	419	 	3,032 590	
RE.		• Total	2,826	1,215	4,041		419	419	419		3,622	
LAHORE	1881-82 5	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain	3,032	1,000	4,032	7::	479	479	479		3,553	••
-		Bullocks	3,622	1,000	4.622		630	$\frac{151}{630}$	630		3,992	
	99							555	524	30	(F).2,985	(F.) Includes Rs. 120 on account of interest.
LA.	1880-81	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	3,019	400	3,419 	:::	555				(F).2,985	(F.) Includes As. 120 on account of interest,
WAL	0	Total	3,019	400	3,419		555	555	524	30	2,985	
RAN		Agricultural Improvements	3,015		3,015	30	602	632	(0).629	3	2,385	(o.) Includes Rs. 2 on account of interest.
GUJRA	1881-82	Seed grain Bullocks			11,							
0		Total	3,015		3,015	30	602	632	629	3	2,385	
		Agricultural Improvements	6,303	5,940	12,243		1,418	1,418	1,418		11,164	
Œ.	1880-81 {	Seed grain Bullocks		:::								~
POI		*Total	6,303	5,940	12,243		1,418	1,418	1,418		11,164	
KROZEPORE.		Agricultural Improvements	11,161	19,580	30,744		2,322	2,322	2,322		(p)29,135	(p.) Includes Rs. 713 on account of interest,
FIER	1881-82	Seed grain ' Bullocks			***		:::	9				
		Total	11,164	19,580	30,744		2,322	2,322	2,322	T	29,135	
o'' -	0 , (Agricultural Improvements	12,148	6,965	19,113	- 15 T	2,392	2,392	2,361	30	17,181	
	1880-81	Seed grain Bullocks		590	590	***		***				
AL.)	Total	12,148	7,555	19,703		2,392	2,392	2,361	30	17,771	
TOTAL.	1	Agricultural Improvements	17,211	20,580	37,791	30	3,403	3,433	3,430	3	35,073	9
٠.٠	1881-82	Seed grain Bullocks	590		₆₉₀		151	151	151		439	9
•		. • Total	17,801	20,580	38,381	30	3,554	3,584	3,581	3	35,512	

١.

COMPARATIVE YEARLY COLLECTION REPORT OF ADVANCES FOR AGRICULTURAL IMPROVEMENTS &c. - Continued.

100	-	1 3 3 7		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
-	2	-		ADV	ANCES MAT	7 2 7 9 5 10 6	AD	VANCES D	ne,	year.		NCES OUT- NG AT CLOSE YEAR,	
DIVISION.	Distracce.	YEAR,	NATURE OF ADVANCES.	Up to close of last year.	Within present year.	Total.	At close of year.	Within present year.	Total.		Due.	Not due.	Remarks.
		1880-81	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Builocks	6,741	500	7,241 8	8	1,045	1,045 8	1,045	:::	6,352	
	IND	12.	Total	6,749	500	7,249	8	1,045	1,053	1,053		6,352	
	RAWALPINDL	1881-82	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullecks	6,352	3,520	9,872		1,313	1,313 	1,313 	::	(g) 9,803 	(g) Includes Rs. 1,244 on account of interest.
	l H		Total	6,352	3,520	9,872		1,313	1,343	1,313		9,803	
		1880-81	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	2,595	120	2,715, 	::: :::	339	339 	339	::	(G) 2,414 	(G.) Includes Rs. 38 on account of interest.
	UM.		Total	2,595	120	2,715		339	339	339		2,414	
	JHELUM.	1881-82 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	2,414	1,715	4,129 	 	439	439 	439	 	3,692	
			. Total	2,414	1,715	4,129		439	439	439		3,693	(H.) Includes Rs. 99 on account of interest.
		1880-81 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	1,778 	330 	2,108	::: :::	336	336	336	::	(H) 1,871	(H.) Includes As. 33 on account of interest,
	GUJBAT.		Total	1,778	330	2,108		336	336	336		1,871	
	GUJ	1881-82	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	1,871 	995 ³	2,866 	 	381	381 	 	I	(r)2,721 	(r.) Includes Rs. 236 on account of interest.
			Total	1,871	995	2,866		381	381	381	12:	2,721	
		1890-81 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	3,265	670 	3,938 	232	768 	1,000 	398 	602	2,938 	
	PUR.		Total	3,268	670	3,938	232	768	1,000	398	692	2,938	
	SHAHPUR.	1881-82 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Builocks	3,890	910	4,800	602 	828	I,430 	1,418	12	3,370	
5			Total	3,890	910	4,800	602	828	1,430	1.418	12	3,370	

			Andadisial Increasements	14,382	1,620	16,002	232	9.199	2,720	9 119	602	13,575	
H		1880-81		•		10,002		2,488	8	2,118		••••	
N	1		Buitocks	8	•		8		•	8	400	2.50	
RAWALPINDL	OTAL.		Total	14,390	1,620	16,010	240	2,488	2,728	2,126	602	13,575	
4₹	TO	1881-82	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain	14,527	7,140	21,667	602	2,961	3,563	3,551	• 12	19,586	
AW		•	Bullocks						***		1114		
H			Total	14,527	7,140	21,667	602	2,961	35,63	3,551	12•	19,586	
		1000 61	Agricultural Improvements	4,828	1,225	6,053		935	935	935	*	(1) 5,485	(I.) Includes Rs. 367 on account of interest.
	١.,	1880-81	Seed grain Bullocks										
	MOOLTAN		Total	4,828	1,225	6,053		935	935	935		5,485	
	OIL		Agreultural Improvements	5,485	1,900	7,385		875	875	875		(8) 7,133	(s.) Includes Rs. 623 on account of interest,
	MO	1881-82 }	Seed grain Bullocks	- :::									
			Total	5,485	1,900	7,385		875	875	875		7,133	
	100		Agricu'tural Improvements	1,611		1,611	56	1,283	1,339	1,339		272	
		1880-81	Seed grain										
	75	1	Bullocks										
	JHANG.	-	Total	1,611		1,611	56	1,283	1,339	1,339		272	•
	JH	1881-82 {	Agricultural Improvements	272	200	472		90	90	90		(t) 448	(t.) Includes Rs. 66 on account of interest.
			Bullocks										
			Total	272	200	472		90	90	90		448	
Ä		1000 01	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain	4,221	200	4,421	133	1,625	1,758	1,485		(J) 2,841	(J.) Includes Rs. 178 on account of interest.
. ⊲	RY.	1880-81 }	Bullocks										
H	OMERY		* Total	4,221	200	4,421	133	1,625	1,758	1,485	273	2,841	
0	Tac	(Agricultural Improvements	3,114	4,270	7,384	273	1,342	1,615	1,450	165	(u) 5,997	(n.) Includes Rs. 228 on account of interest.
0	MONTG	1881-82	Seed grain Bullocks										
Ħ	₹.		Total	3,114	4,270	7,384	273	1,342	1,615	1,450	165	5,997	
•		. 1		14,496	13,525	28,021	-	1,726	1,726	1,926		(K)30,092	(K.) Includes Rs. 3,997 on account of interest.
	EB.	1880-81 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	4,997	7,000	11,997		1.363	1,363	1,363		(L) 11,684	(L.) Includes Rs. 1,050 on account of interest.
	MUZAFFARGARIK	•1										41,776	
	FAR		Total	19,493	20,525	40,018		3,089	3,089	3,289			(v.) Includes Rs. 1,343 on account of interest.
	AFI	1 881-82	Agricultural Improvements	30,092	22,685	52,777		2.568	2,568	2,929		(2) 57,191	(v.) includes its, 1,545 on account of interest.
	ZOJ		Bullocks	11,684		11,684		3,469	3,469	3.469		8,215	
	-		Total	41,776	22,685	64,461		6,037	6,037	6,398		65,406	
		1880-81 }	Agricultural Improvements	25,156	14,950	40,106	189	5,569	• 5,758 •	5,685	273	38,690	
•	•.		Bullocks	4,997	7,000	11,997		1,363	1,363	1,363		11,684	
•	AL.		• • Total	30,153	21,950	52,103	189	0,932	7,121	7,048	273	50,374	
13 A	101	1881 89	Agricultural Improvements	38,963	29,055	68,016	273	4,875	5,148	5,314	165,	30,769	The second second second second second
		1881-82	Seed grain Pullocks	11,684		11,684		9,169	3,469	3,469		8,215	• • •
	••	1	Total	50,647	29,055	79,702	273	8,344	8,617	8,813	165	78,984	
				0.01021	20,000	10,104	210	OphiTI.	O'OLI I	0,010	100	10,104	

.

. . .

١.

COMPARATIVE YEARLY	COLLECTION REPORT	OF ADVANCES FOR	AGRICULTURAL	IMPROVEMENTS	&c Concluded
--------------------	-------------------	-----------------	--------------	--------------	--------------

ı	2	3	4 "	5	6	7	8 -	, 8	10	- 11	12	13	1
				ADV	ANCES MA	DE.	Ap	VANCES I	DUP		STAN	DING AT OF YEAR.	
	Distraict.	YEAR.	NATURE OF ALVANCES.	Up to close of last year.	Within present year.	Total.	At close of year.	Within present year.	Total.	Collection within the year.	Due.	Not due.	REMARKS.
	ż	1	Agricultural Improvements	17,158	1,675	18,833	76	3,296	3,572	2,917	70	(M) 16,374	(M.) Includes Rs. 528 on account of interest.
	KHAN.	1880-81 {	Seed grain Bullocks		-::								
			Tc*al	17,158	1,675	18,833	76	3,296	3,372	2,917	70	16,374	
	A ISMAIL	1881-82 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	16,444 	850 	17,294 	70 	3,744	3,814	3,685	129 	(w) 13,641 	(w.) Includes Rs. 161 on account of interest.
	DERA		Total	16,444	850	17,294	70	3,744	3,814	3,685	129	13,641	
	KHAN.	1880-81 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	28,034 11,951	7,850	35,884 11,951	153	3,777 4,799 	3,930 4,799	3,918 4,487 	118 312 	34,253 7,152	
	1 K		Total	39,985	7,850	47,835	153	8,576	8,729	8,400	430	41,405	
No. of the last of	DERA GHAZI	1881-82 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	34,371 7,464 41,835	10,000	44,371 7,464 51,835	118 312 	4,976 - 4,521 9,497	5,094 4,833 	5,094 4,558 9.652	275 275	42,603 2,631 45,234	
	<u> </u>		Agricultural Improvements	4,394	450	4,844		510	510	570		(N) 4,410	(N.) Includes Rs. 136 on account of interest,
		1880-81 {	Seed grain Bullocks		:::	-:: -::							
SPECIE	BANNU.		Total	4,394	450	4,844		510	510	570		4,410	(a) Yalada Da ooo aasaa Afrika A
	BAL	1881-82 {	Agric Itural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	4,410 	900	5,310		572	572	572 	:::	(x) 4,960 	(x.) Includes Rs. 222 on account of interest.
2000			Total	4,410	900	5,310		572	572	572	•••	4,960	
Section of the sectio		1880 51 {	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	49,586 11,951	9,975;	59,561 11,951 	229 	7,583 4,799	7,812 4,799 	7,400 4,487 	188 312	55,037 7,152	
	i.		T.cal	61,537	9,975	71,512	229	12,382	I2,611	11,887	500	62,189	
THE REAL PROPERTY.	TOTAL	1881-82 }	Agricultural Improvements Seed grain Bullocks	55,225 7,464	1I,750 	66,975 7,464	188 312	9,292 4,521	9.480 4,833	9,351 4,558	129 275	61,204 2,631	
8	1		Total	62,689	11,750	74,439	30	13,813	14,313	13,909	404	63,835	