The cost of cultivation averages only Re. 1'00 per acre and most owners do their own clearing and plucking. Mr. MacKenna assumed a price of 12 annas per 100 and found the value of the net produce to be Rs 11 12 per acre as compared with Rs. 12'60 at present settlement. Owners, however, complain bitterly of the present rate of Rs. 3'00, and despite the rise in the value of the produce I am inclined to think they have some justification, and some gardens have actually been abandoned. The profits are undoubtedly small and will certainly tend to diminish with the increasing age of the gardens, the present rate represents an unduly large share of the net produce and owners are small cultivators with a comparatively low standard of living. In these circumstances I propose to reduce the rate from Rs 3'00 to Rs 2'25 per acre.

Plantain gardens are at present assessed at Rs. 300 per acre and mixed gardens at Rs. 250. Such gardens are nearly all house compounds from which owners derive no direct profit. The area is backward and sparsely populate, land is not valuable, ample waste is available and complaints were repeatedly made as to the present lates being too high. In view of these facts I propose a rate of Rs 2.25 for these gardens also. The result will be one uniform rate of

Rs. 2'25 for all g. rden land in tracts 3A, 3B and 3C

Tract 8D divided into two soil classes, the first class consisting of cocoanut gardens and the second of all other gardens

the latter being mainly poor house-compounds

Dealing first with cocoanut gardens, the area of the existing groves is insignificant compared with the large amount of waste available, but nuts are exported in considerable quantities to Bassem and the profits of owners are substantial. Countings over 106 acres showed an average of 58 trees to the acre of which 47 were yielding fruit. The number of nuts on a tree varies enormously from 10 to 40 and more, but for assessment purposes 25 may be taken as a fair average. The trees are liable to two varieties of insect pest, namely the rhinoceros beetle which eats the young shoots and may in some cases kill the tree, and secondly a small flying insect which feeds on the leaves causing them to turn brown and both leaves and fruit to fall off prematurely. The last mentioned insect does not kill the tree, which, however, requires two years to recover, and its ravages are fairly widespread. It appeared only six years ago and has not yet had time to acquire a vernacular name.

The local price is Rs 5 per 100 nuts and the Bassein price from Rs. 8 to Rs. 10 Apart from the plucking charge of Re 1 per 100 nuts, there are no expenses of cultivation. The owner may clear away undergrowth with a da in his spare time but does not hire labour to do so and in only two cases were watchmen employed.

There are also no marketing expenses as buyers come to the garden. The value of the net produce is shown below -

Nuts per acre	Value of gross produce	Plucking charges	ŧ	Value of net produce.
	Rs	Rs	- 1	Rs
1,175	58 75	11'7	11'75	

It is impossible to say what proportion of this theoretical profit is actually obtained, and owners will only admit half to two-thirds of the above amount and allege absence of purchasers. Even assuming that only half the possible profit is obtained and taking into consideration the present very low rate of Rs. 0.75 per acre and the backwardness of the tract, no difficulty should be experienced in paying a rate of Rs. 3 00 per acre, intermediate rates being payable for ten years.

As regards second class gardens the present rates are Rs 1.50 in two kwins and Rs. 0.75 in 86 kwins. Having regard to the primitive character of the area I propose a rate of Rs. 1.50 for the whole tract.

123. A form of rotation of crops is a remarkable feature of garden cultivation in assessments of 10B and 10C. Three varieties of Tracts toB and toC Methods plantain, namely pi-gyan, nan-thn-bu and yakaing, are grown, and these alternate with thethe fallow and miscellaneous ya cultivation on a more or less definite plan. The history of an ordinary holding is somewhat as follows. On first clearing, the land is planted with either pr-gyan or nan-tha-bu plantains and remains under this crop for from six to eight years. The yield then falls off and the hardier but less valuable ya-kaing plantain is substituted. When this crop in turn deteriorates in from ten to twelve years' time, no weeding is done and the holding is diverted to growing thetke which springs up spontaneously. For five years fair yields of thethe are obtained, but bushes and scrub jungle then begin to choke the thethe and the holding is allowed to lie fallow for three or four years. The ground has by this time sufficiently recovered to bear another crop of plantains and is then cleared, a preliminary crop of brinjals, sessamum or maize being generally grown for one year. The ya-kaing plantain is nearly always grown on this occasion, as the other varieties only do really well on virgin soil.

124. The three kinds of plantain, pi-gyan, nan-tha-bu and ya-kaing, differ in price, productivity and method of cultivation. Varieties and prices of plantains The most valuable variety is pi-gyan which requires good soil and very careful weeding, and even then is peculiarly liable to a disease known as yo-chaung which attacks the stem of the plant turning it red. There are either two or three trees to each clump or yon. The distance between yons is ten cubits, that is to say, there are about 200 yons to the acre. The nan-tha-bu variety is a smaller plant and is more densely planted. There are usually only two trees in each clump of which there are approximately 240 to the acre. Besides careful weeding the nan-tha-bu requires the soil to be thoroughly dug up once every two years In value the nan-tha-bu occupies a position midway between pi-gyan and ya-kaing The last mentioned requires less attention and is hardier but is the better for weeding Countings over 109 acres gave an average of 201 yours to the acre and the majority of 'yons' contains three trees. As regards yield and price four different periods may be distinguished, namely July to October, November to February, March and April, and May and The following table shows the yield and price during these four periods for the three varieties in three typical plantations with admitted yields of 5,000 saleable combs.

Period		Yield of combs.			Sale rate per 100 combs.			
		Pi-gyan	Nan-tha-bu.	Ya-kaing.	Pi-gyan.	Nan-tha-bu.	Ya-kaing.	
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	
					Rs.	Rs.	Rs,	
July-October		1,600	2,000	1,000	4.00	2.20	1.44	
November-February		2,100	1,750	2,400	3 50	2.20	1.20	
March-April		750	750	600	3.00	2 75	1.32	
May-June		550	500	1,000	2.20	1.20	1'00	

Except in the case of ya-kaing the trees are least productive and the fruit itself is least palatable during May and June. Prices also are lowest at that time owing to the competition of mangoes.

Though the value of pi-gyan and nan-tha-bu would justify a higher rate than that suitable for ya-kaing, there are various objections to a differential assessment. In the first place many holdings contain all three varieties of plantain and crop-marking would be extremely complicated, as the settlement party found in some kwins in which it was experimentally tried. An increase in the staff

of Revenue Surveyors would be imperative and there would be room for a species of fraud very difficult to check. Again ya-kaing covers at present quite 70 per cent. of the area under plantains and is continually tending to increase at the expense of pi-gyan and nan-tha-bu. For assessment purposes it is therefore proposed to take account only of ya-kaing prices, and an average price of Rs. 1.50 per 100 combs has been assumed

large quantities, which may be roughly estimated at not less than two million combs per annum, are exported by boat to Rangoon, My aungmya, Pyapôn and the delta generally. The railway is a little remote and is less used. The average boat has a capacity of 5,000 combs and the boatmen buy through middlemen who receive a commission of Re. I per 1,000 combs. The latter complain that their profits are less than formerly owing to the growing tendency to convert gardens into paddy land. Such a tendency undoubtedly exists but Land Records figures for the whole settlement area show an increase of 2,000 acres under plantains since 1906-07 and there has as yet been no decrease in the area under discussion

Ya-kaing is the variety in greatest demand for this export traffic as it can be plucked when unripe and matures en route, whereas the others either go bad or shrivel up There is, however, an active and constant demand for nan-tha-bu and pi-gyan from less distant places such as Kyonpyaw, Ngathainggyaung and Bassein.

There are some curious customs connected with the sale of plantains and a high standard is exacted by buyers. To constitute a saleable comb each separate fruit must be perfect the minimum required being 9 fruit per comb for pi-gyan, 10 for nan-tha-bu and 11 for 1a-kaing. Many combs contain supernumerary irregular fruit outside the regular comb. Such fruit are known as let-nyo and combs containing them are rejected, even though the recognized minimum of perfect fruit may be exceeded. The slightest injury in plucking is likewise fatal and it is customary for the buyer to appropriate all rejections for nothing. In addition the seller has to supply combs 10 per cent in excess of those nominally purchased. This allowance is known as tha-dan and is ostensibly made to safeguard the buyer from damage to the fruit in transit. Rejections and the tha-dan allowance account for perhaps 25 per cent. of the total yield.

Minor Trade.

Good quality plantains are bought at the rate of Rs. 2

per 100 combs and are allowed to become thoroughly ripe. They are then skinned and after being put in a basket are well shaken so that any free liquid there may be drains away. They are then placed on mats and exposed to the sun for five days, the position of each fruit being changed daily. Fruit thus dried sells at the rate of five viss to the rupee. The yield of 100 combs is roughly 18½ viss of dried fruit, thus showing a profit of Rs. 1.70. The utmost a family deals with in the season is 500 viss yielding a total profit of Rs. 46.

Reference may also be made here to a project for utilising the fibre of plantain stalks for weaving cloth. In August 1911 a certain Mr. H. A. Nicol appeared at Yonthalin, a large village just across the border of the Henzada district, and started propaganda to induce Karen garden owners to take shares in a company known as the Burma Fibre Company, Limited, with a nominal capital of Rs. 5,00,000, He had with him certain samples of cloth said to have been woven from plantain fibre and was successful in disposing of over 100 shares of Rs. 10 each in the neighbourhood Garden-owners were also asked to enter into an undertaking to give this particular company a monopoly of the supply of stalks for ten years. The stalks were not to be paid for, but at the end of ten years each garden owner was to receive a free Rs 10 share in the company—an extremely advantageous arrangen ent for the latter. A piece of land was bought and a certain amount of machinery which is now rusting away was delivered at Yofithalin, but nothing further has been heard of the company or its Directors since 1911. In spite of this

fiasco the possibilities of plantain fibre perhaps deserve investigation by Government, and if it can be converted into cloth at a commercial profit there would seem to be an opening for co-operative enterprise in this area.

hearing stalks to every two clumps. Allowing for tha-dan and rejections, it would be unsafe to assume more than five saleable combs to each stalk. There would thus be 1,500 saleable combs per acre selling at Rs. 1.50 per 100 combs. Mr. MacKenna assumed an average outturn of 1,800 combs per acre and an average price of Rs. 2 per 100 combs. As ya-kaing has certainly increased since last settlement at the expense of the more densely planted nan-tha-bu and the more valuable pi-gyan, I do not think the old assumption would now be justified. The cost of cultivation of ya-kaing per acre only amounts to Rs. 4.00 of which Rs. 3.00 are for weeding charges and the remainder for miscellaneous implements. The following table shows the value of the net produce per acre:—

No. of combs.	Sale rate per 100 combs.	Gross value per acre.	Cost of cultivation.	Net value per acre.
-	·			,
I	2	3	4	5
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
1,500	1.20	22.50	4.00	18.50

There is no rented area and owners are mainly karens with average holdings of five or six acres. Besides their gardens, cultivators generally have small holdings of rice land to supply their domestic requirements or they breed cattle in a small way. Garden land in this area is if anything more valuable than paddy land and 790 acres of the former sold at an average price of Rs. 35'00 per acre as compared with Rs. 31'00 per acre for paddy land.

One-sixth of the values of the net produce would give a rate of Rs. 3'00 identical with that at present in force. In view of the lower price and outturn assumed an increase could hardly be justified and a reduction seems even indicated. However, as the existing rate has been paid without difficulty and a substantially lower rate will be proposed for garden land during the less productive portions of the cycle, a reduction hardly seems necessary and I therefore propose a crop rate of Rs. 3 00 on plantain garden in assessment tracts 10B and 10C.

Other Gardens. Tracts 10B and 10C is generally speaking either under thetkè or is fallow. However, the dividing line between a bad thetkè holding in its later stages and a fallow holding is an extremely fine one, and it therefore seems advisable not to propose a separate fallow rate but to make allowance for fallows in recommending rates on thetkè. Under existing conditions a fallow rate would lend itself to fraud, and though land may nominally be fallow, garden owners generally get sufficient thatch for their domestic requirements though they may have no surplus for sale.

As already mentioned thetke springs up spontaneously in moribund plantain gardens. For the first two years the yield is small, but in the third, fourth and fifth years a good crop is obtained. In the sixth year the yield falls off, in the seventh and eighth years scrub jungle has grown up extensively, and in the tenth year the land is again cropped with plantains after the sowing of a catch crop of brinjals or sessamum in some instances

The great majority of garden owners do not sell the thetkè grass in its raw state but manufacture it into thatch themselves and then sell it. A few of the best holdings are let to tenants, and it is curious that many of these are not local men but come from Shwenyaungbin village at the mouth of the Shwenyaungbin chaung in the Thabaung township. They do not convert the thetkè into

thatch on the spot, but convey it by boat to their village where some 60 or 70 households manufacture it into thatch. One hundred and twenty-seven acres were let at an average rental of Rs 6 12 per acre, but tenancy statistics are useless

for assessment purposes as only the best holdings are rented

In estimating the outturn of a thethe garden the local unit of measurement is the baw or bundle, 100 of which yield on the average 275 pieces of thatch or byits Measurements over 17 acres in gardens three to six years old gave 157 bundles or 431 pieces of thatch per acre. The average sale price is Rs 5.00 per 100 bysts and the gross value of the produce of an acre is therefore Rs 21 55.

The following table shows the cost of manufacture: -

-	-
Rate.	Cost per acre.
2	3
,	Rs.
Re. 1 per 100 bundles .	I 57
Re. 1 per 100 bysts	4.31
Rs. 3 per 1,000 bysts .	1.39
Rs. 2 per 1,000 bysts	86
1 otal expenditure	8.03
	Re. 1 per 100 bundles . Re. 1 per 100 bysts Rs. 3 per 1,000 bysts Rs. 2 per 1,000 bysts

The actual cost to owners with large families is about Rs. 5 oo per acre, but the employment of a certain amount of hired labour is unavoidable as the thatch must be ready for sale before the rains. The value of the net produce of an acre is therefore only Rs. 1352, even during the four years that plantations are at their best

As thatch is a necessity of life to the poorer classes in the settlement area and as allowance must be made for fallows, I propose the low crop rate of Rs 1'50 per acre, approximating to one-eighth of the value of the net produce. Many of the poorest thetke plantations are very similar in soil and general character to third class rice land in assessment tracts 10B and 10C, and the rate now suggested is identical with the rate proposed for third class rice land in assessment tract 10B. The same rate seems also suitable for the poor miscellaneous ya crops occasionally found on such land and also for a few small groves of hni-wa and kya-thaung bamboos grown for domestic use near villages

The result of the proposals for these two tracts is that when garden land is under plantains it will pay Rz. 3'00 and then fallow or any under crop it will pay Rs. 1'50. It is true that a uniform rate of Rs. 3'00 is at present in force and that no fallows are admissible, but I think the reduction can be defended if regard be had to fallows and the extremely moderate net profit obtained.

129. The gardens of assessment tracts 1A, 4B, 5B, 5C, 6A, 6B, 7B, 9B, 9C, 11B, 11C and 12B remain for consideration. In Garden assessment in other tracts. Garden assessment in other tracts. these tracts it is proposed to differentiate between thetkè plantations and other mixed gardens. Dealing first with thetkè plantations, the great bulk of the thethe in the settlement area is found in assessment tracts 10B and 10C and has been already dealt with, but a limited amount is also found in assessment tracts 5B, 6A, 6B and 7B. This is at present assessed at Rs. 2'50 per acre but the same considerations apply as in tracts 10B and 10C and I propose the same rate, namely, Rs. 1'50. As regards other gardens, house compounds exceeding quarter of an acre in area and containing a few mango, plantain or jack fruit trees form the vast majority. The cultivation is careless and haphazard, very little of the produce is sold and what the cultivator and his family de not eat themselves is given away to friends. Assessment proposals must therefore depend on general considerations, such as the standard of comfort prevailing in any given area and what owners may reasonably be expected to pay for the extra land they take up. Cultivators regard the taxation of such land with considerable jealousy, and any serious increase would probably lead to the abandonment of land in areas outside the larger villages. In these circumstances caution seems advisable and the rates proposed do not differ materially from the existing rates of Rs. 300, Rs. 250 and Rs. 200, the last mentioned rate being assessed on one or two small teak plantations under the authority of letter No. 97-4R-13, dated the 4th September 1906, from the Revenue Secretary to the Financial Commissioner, Burma. In tracts 1A, 4B, 6A, 6B, 7B, 9B, 9C, 11B, 11C and 12B cultivaors on the whole are prosperous, land is scarce and valuable, and this area forms the more wealthy and civilized portion of the settlement area. In this area I therefore propose a rate of Rs. 300 on all garden land.

In tracts 5B and 5C conditions are not so tavourable, the people are poorer, the whole area is heavily flooded, land is less valuable and ample waste is available. In this area I propose a rate of Rs. 2.25 which is the same as that proposed for the backward tracts 3A, 3B and 3C along the foothills of the Yomas. This is a substantial reduction of the rates at present in force, namely, Rs. 3.00 for plantain gardens and Rs. 2.50 for mixed gardens, but this poor sparsely populated area

seems entitled to preferential treatment

Summary Garden assessment. I30 The following table shows the financial result of the proposed assessment of the garden land —

4	A		Present demand	Proposed demand
	Assessment	tract,	Present demand	Proposed demand
				-
			R,	Rs
1 A			3,971	4,458
2A			6,485	8,208
3A			1 281	1,083
313			1,959	1,639
36		1	1,167	927
413			*61	0.8
3A 3B 3C 4B 5B	***		947	1 616
5C 6A 6B		!	5 to	418
6A	•••		1, ,85	1,381
6 B			7.428	7,347
7B		2.7	5,027	5,501
7B 8D 6 B	•••		842	1,948
$\alpha \mathbf{B}$	***		1 21 '	1,344
9C		1	2,310	2,763
oli	•••		19,955	16,619
oC	•••		14 279	12,887
1B	***		1 3,645	14,834
1C	***	. 1	1,095	1,208
2B	••	. 1	593	(13
			-	
		Total	85,99	85,502

The present demand is really larger by Rs. 3,364 than the figures given in the above table as 1,346 acres of miscellaneous ya cultivation in tracts 10B and 10C are at present classed under the head of miscellaneous crops, whereas at present settlement they have been classed as garden land

Solitary fruit trees.

Solitary fruit trees.

Solitary fruit trees.

Solitary fruit trees.

These are mostly toddy palms and mangoes and owners seem to attach considerable value to their rights and are present rate of 4 annas per tree. I recommend that this rate be continued The amount of the present and proposed demand is Rs. 1,263.25.

T32. Small plantations of betel vine are found in considerable numbers along the banks of the Daga and other streams and also on rising ground unsuitable for paddy but irrigable from wells. Methods of cultivation are somewhat as follows: In April or May a

suitable piece of ground is cleared and burnt and carefully manured. Furrows are then dug 3 feet apart and bamboos of either the ka-yin or wa-ya varieties are bought in preparation for planting which begins in June. Three varieties of vine are grown in the settlement area, namely, yo-ni, yo-gaung and yo-byu. The two first mentioned are much alike, they give numerous subsidiary shoots from the ground and are hardy, though the leaf is somewhat small The yo-byu variety produces more branches than the other two and the leaf is larger, but it requires more attention and is more liable to disease. The young shoots are trained to the bamboo posts placed about a foot apart, two shoots being planted to each post, and in September or October cuttings are sometimes made from the parent stem and are lightly tied to the posts. There are thus in a mature plantation two, and sometimes three, plants to each post. Before the hot weather sets in, the plants are banked up with straw and manure and the whole plantation is shaded by a bamboo trellis covered with kaing grass or palm leaves. From January till the rains break in May, the plantation must be kept well watered and this involves very hard work for the owner. In the great majority of cases the water must be raised from the river by means of a lever known as a maung at the end of which a kerosene oil tin is suspended. If the lie of the ground permits, the water runs into the garden along wooden gutters, but more often it has to be carried in by hand. The necessity for this arduous labour naturally restricts the size of gardens which rarely exceed 10 of an acre in area. On the river bank the ground must be changed every year, though on virgin soil on high ground plantations sometimes last three or four years. The plants are liable to various diseases for which no remedies are known. The most dreaded is sisum which spreads from pole to pole and is said to be caused by excessive dew in November and December. leaves turn black and drop off and the whole plantation has to be abandoned. Other diseases are known as sit-hme and thaliga-myeisi. The former attacks the joints which turn black and are eventually cut through. In the latter the leaves become yellow and patchy, but only occasional poles are affected.

Plucking starts two months after the shoots are first planted and continues at intervals of a fortnight. The plants are less productive during the dry months from December till May and are at their best during the rains. The total produce per pole for the whole year is roughly 44 ticals, of which 17 ticals only are plucked during the dry weather. The price varies with the season, being Rs. 2000 per 10 viss from June till October and Rs. 3000 to Rs. 450 during the remainder of the year. In the circumstances Rs 3000 per 10 viss seems a fair one for assessment purposes. The following table shows the result of countings made:—

Acres counted.	Average number of poles per acre.	Total produce per acre at 44 ticals per pole.	Value of produce per acre at Rs. 30 per 100 viss.
i .		3	4
18.35	1,2000	Viss.	Rs.

Cost of cultivation comes to Rs. 572 per acre and is made up of the following items:—

0.0						Rs.	A	P.
Seedlings	***	***	***			264	0	0
Bamboos	1444	***	•••	***	••	150	0	0
Manuring	•••			••		75	O	0
Shading						33	0	0
Miscellaneous,	Miscellaneous, implements and irrigation				**	50	0	0
				Total			•	_
				i otai	• •	572	0	0

On these figures the net profit is enormous amounting to Rs. 1,012 per acre. There are, however, certain considerations which make it inadvisable to propose

too heavy an assessment. Existing rates are only Rs. 500 in the Bassein and Thabaung townships and Rs. 1000 elsewhere; secondly the area under this crop has only increased from 69 acres to 125 acres during the expiring settlement, and lastly the owners are mainly of the poor agricultural labourer class who supplement their meagre earnings by a small plantation of betel-vine and employ no hired labour. In the circumstances I propose a crop rate of Rs. 1000 per acre over the whole area. I do not think there is any reason to differentiate between the cultivation in the Bassein and Ngathainggyaung subdivisions, as the gardens in the latter subdivision are not more densely planted or more productive and their rates of sale do not differ.

Sugarcane

Sugarcane

Sugarcane

Sugarcane

The settlement area. It is eaten raw as a sweetmeat and no jaggery is extracted from the cane. In this respect it resembles the kyaukgaung sugarcane found in the Amherst district, but the Bassein cane is inferior, being much harder and smaller. It is mainly grown in house compounds but in the Kyonpyaw township a few Indians work somewhat larger holdings, though even there very few exceed one acre in area. Though the profits are large the cultivation is unpopular, especially amongst Burmans, as it entails constant hard work and exhausts the soil rapidly.

The method of cultivation is somewhat as follows: In March nurseries are prepared and pieces of caue are laid horizontally in furrows. The nurseries are kept well watered till the beginning of June, by which time the seedlings have sprouted from the eyes of the buried canes and are ready for transplanting. The land to be planted is cleared of all undergrowth and thoroughly dug up and earth is pressed firmly round the roots of the seedlings. From June till November constant weeding and pruning must be done and farmyard manure and oilcake are applied. The stalks are cut during December, January, and February in accordance with local demand, but they must be kept well watered if not cut early. Owing to exhaustion of the soil the crop cannot be raised more than two years in succession on the same ground. There is a fairly constant local demand for the canes and they figure prominently in bazaar stalls at railway stations, but there is no export to other districts.

Countings over 15 acres gave an average of 12,000 canes per acre. Nearly all garden-owners sell the canes at an average wholesale price of Rs.3:00 per 100 canes. The retail rate is a little under two pice per cane, but few cultivators can afford the time to sell them at retail rates. The gross value of the outturn per acre is therefore Rs. 360 Cost of cultivation statistics are unsatisfactory, as Indians would either give no information at all, or gave false information, and in the case of Burmans a large part of the work was done by them or their families in their spare time It is, however, clear that the crop is an expensive one to raise, and the statistics collected give an average of Rs. 150 per acre, the chief items being seed, digging and weeding charges. Anything larger than a small plot in a house compound requires a very considerable amount of hired labour and the figure given above does not include the value of the owner's own labour or that of his family. Nevertheless, profits are substantial, and if the crop were a popular one and if sugarcane could be permanently grown on the same land, a rate of Rs. 5'00 would not be too low. Sugarcane is at present assessed as a miscellaneous crop, the rate being Rs. 2'50, except on the West Coast where it is Rs. 1'50 in 2 kwins and Re. 0'75 in 86 kwins. I propose a crop rate of Rs. 3'00, except in assessment tract 8D on the West Coast where, owing to the absence of any market, I propose the same rate as for second class gardens, namely, Rs. 1'50. The suggested rate of Rs 3'00 is the same as that proposed for gardens over the greater part of the settlement area. I do not propose any higher rate, as petty garden cultivation by small cultivators requires encouragement and any great enhancement would probably lead to a restriction in the area of this none too popular crop. The present demand is Rs. 678 and at the proposed rates will be Rs. 577, a decrease of 15 per cent. This decrease is nominal, and is due to a discrepancy of 82 acres between Settlement and Land Records figures as to the area under sugarcane.

Tobacco.

Daunggyi and Bokchaung circles lying outside the protection of the embankment. The soil there is peculiarly suitable being a pure silt which is annually renewed by fresh deposits from the Ng twun. This cultivation is only some 16 years old, the first impetus having been given by the distribution of seed by Government. In 1902 the area cultivated was 2,141 acres and this had increased to 5,316 acres in 1914. The tobacco grown is a light coloured Bengal variety which is used for chewing with betel, and for pipes as well as for cheroots. It is inferior to the tobacco grown near Danubyu in the Ma-ubin district and fetches a lower price. Danubyu tobacco is darker in colour and has a fuller flavour.

Seedlings are sown towards the end of October and are transplanted in December, the ground having been previously prepared by being ploughed and harrowed twice and all clods broken up by means of a roller. In transplanting a sharp pointed instrument known as a kat is used, and for the first few weeks the young plants are supported by stones or clods of earth placed round them. The seedlings are planted out in rows at intervals of 2 cubits and there are about 4,750 plants to the acre. During February and March the ground must be weeded at least twice, the plants pruned, and constant care exercised with regard to the numerous insect pests Plucking begins early in April and continues throughout the month. The number of leaves per plant varies between 6 and 8, and usually a holding is gone over twice. Immediately after plucking the leaves are exposed to the sun for an hour or two and are then strung together on thin sticks known as thidans. Between 30 and 34 leaves go to a thidan. The thidans are then suspended in rows in a bamboo shed for a fortnight or so, and when thoroughly dry are made up into bundles of four. These bundles are known as kaungs and a kaung is taken as equivalent to one viss in weight. The bulk of the crop is sold locally between April and the beginning of June at prices varying between Rs. 35 and Rs. 25 per 100 viss, the average price being Rs. 30 A certain proportion is bought by small capitalists who store it and retail it at prices varying between Rs. 60 and Rs. 75 per 100 viss from August till February, the price being highest just before the new crop comes into the market. In spite of the fact that the weight of the tobacco decreases by 10 per cent. during storage, the difference between the buying and selling price yields these middlemen a very handsome profit

A few cultivators also profit by the sale of the powdered tobacco stalks which are used as a filling for Burmese cheroots. The stalks are sliced by a revolving wheel with projecting knives. The wheel is made to turn rapidly by means of a lever worked with the foot. The sliced stalks are dried in the sun and then reduced to powder by pounding. This powder sells at the rate of Rs. 75 per 100 baskets and the produce of an acre is 15 baskets. Very few cultivators, however, can afford the time to manufacture this dust, as they must complete the plucking, curing, and sale of the leaves before the rains break in May. In the circumstances I do not propose to take this potential source of profit into account in estimating the gross profit per acre.

Standard Outturn.

Standard Outturn.

Standard Outturn.

Standard Outturn.

Standard Outturn.

And the weight of the tobacco per acre after curing, was found to average 300 viss. The outturn admitted by cultivators averaged only 225 viss with remarkable fluctuations from 175 to 350 viss per acre. The reason is that outturns are largely dependent on the nature of the annual deposit left by the river and on the absence of insect pests. A high flood year is generally considered a good one, if the deposit left behind is a fine silt, not too sandy on the one hand or too clayey on the other. The river is most capricious, an excellent holding one year being extremely poor the next year and vice versa, thus rendering any attempt at soil classification futile.

Though neither season was particularly favourable and the selected plots did not look unduly good, still they were comparatively free from insect pests and on this account were perhaps a little above normal. It is therefore proposed to assume the moderate outturn of 250 viss per acre for assessment purposes.

136. Cost of cultivation is high, amounting to Rs. 51 per acre, the detailed charges being as follows:—

					Rs	٠ ٨.	P.
Seed, 4,750 seedlings	at Rs. I's	o per 1,000	•••		7	3	0
Hire of plough cattle	, plough m	en and food	••		22	8	0
Transplanting at 10				***	2	15	6
Weeding and hoeins					10	0	0
Plucking			***		2	0	0
Threading leaves on	thidans	0.70			1	14	0
Pamboo and thatch		shed	•••	••••	4	o	0
Miscellaneous imple		•••	17.74	134	Ó	8	6
			1 220 2 0 20		5		
			Total	••	51	0	0
					2313		7.00

Thirty cultivators working 252 acres were also examined as to their actual out-of-pocket expenses which amounted, on the average, to Rs. 36.25 per acre. It is clear, therefore, that tobacco is an expensive crop to raise, and owing to the shortness of the season the hiring of a good deal of outside assistance is unavoidable. The average size of a holding does not exceed 5 acres, the actual cultivators are poor, and those who are not tenants have generally had to mortgage their holdings to secure working capital. The result is that a good deal of land has got into the hands of money lenders, the principal man being Maung Shwe Pan and Maung Shwe Hnit, who own more than 100 acres each. Seven hundred and twenty-three acres, or 14 per cent of the whole area is let at the very high average rate of Rs. 10.29 per acre. It is, however, impossible to utilise rents for assessment purposes, as only the best land is let. It is therefore proposed to base the assessment on the value of the net produce as shown in the following table:—

Assumed outturn per acre,	Sale rate	Cost of	Value of	outturn.
	per 100 viss.	cultivation per acre	Gross.	Net.
i	2	3	4_	5
Viss	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
250	30	51	75	24

In view of the comparative poverty of the majority of the cultivators and the uncertainty of the crop, it is not proposed to take more than one-sixth of the value of the net produce, though the cost of cultivation assumed includes the value of home labour. I therefore propose a crop rate of Rs. 4'00 per acre. The present rate is Rs. 4'50 only, tolacco being assessed at the same rate as other much less valuable miscellaneous kaing cultivation. An intermediate rate of Rs. 3'75 will be necessary for five years. The present demand is Rs. 12,897 and at the settlement rate will be Rs. 21,265, an increase of 65 per cent. The increase is a very large one, but this crop has been somewhat under-assessed in the past.

Miscellaneous Cultivation—

Miscellaneous Cultivation—

Constry Vegetables.

Miscellaneous Cultivation—

Constry Vegetables.

Constry Vegetables.

Constry Vegetables.

Constry Vegetables.

Miscellaneous Cultivation—

In the Mgathainggyaung township. There it is found on land getting a fair supply of silt but not sufficiently fertile, or lying too far inland, to be suitable for the cultivation of tobacco. There is a very fair demand for the produce, but holdings are small and average three acres in area or less. Cultivators are poor and do not depend solely on miscellaneous cultivation for their livelihood. They are either boatmen or timber workers, and some have a little tobacco cultivation as well. There is no

rented area, and the bulk of the produce is sold at retail rates, the following being fair average prices:—

Kind of produce.	19		Rate of sale.
Maize			12 annas per 100 cobs.
Water melons		•••	Rs. 10 per 100 fruit.
Gram	•••	***	Rs 2'50 per basket.
Beans (Pegyt)		•••	Rs. 4 per basket.
Brinjals			12 annas per basket.
Sweet potatoes		190	Rs. 5 per 100 viss.
Groundnuts	•	5.00	Rs. 2 per basket.
Pumpkins			Rs, 1'50 per 100 fruits.

Of the above vegetable, maize, water-melons, gram and beans are most generally grown, but most holdings contain two or three different kinds. The following table shows the outturn and value of three typical small holdings:—

	Holding r	(2 acres).	Holding 2 (4	40 Acres).	Holding 3 (5 acres).			
Nature of produce,	Amount.	Value.	Amount.	Value.	Amount.	Value.		
	2	3	4	5	6	7		
		Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.		Rs. A. P.		
Maize	500 cobs	3 12 0	500 cobs	3 12 0				
Gram	20 baskets	50 0 0	10 baskets	25 0 0				
Water-melons	150 fruit	15 0 0	1,000 fruits	100 0 4				
Pumpkins	500 fruit	7 8 0	***		400 fruit	600		
Brinjals		1		.,	50 baskets	37 8 0		
Groundnuts			***		15 baskets	30 0 0		
Sweet potatoes .	•		••		500 viss	25 0 0		
Total per holding		75 4 0		128 12 0		98 8 0		
Value per acre		37 10 0		29 4 2		19 11 2		

Countings were also undertaken on land solely under maize, water-melons, gram and beans. The average outturn over 25 acres of maize was 3300 cobs per acre worth Rs 2475, and over 16 acres of water-melons the outturn was 325 fruit per acre worth Rs 32'50. The average yield over 10 acres of gram was 13 baskets worth Rs 32'50, and over 17 acres of beans it was 9 baskets worth Rs. 36'00. On a consideration of the above figures the value of the gross outturn of an acre may safely be assumed to be Rs 28'00. It was impossible to collect adequate statistics of the cost of cultivation, as hired labour is seldom employed, and the only ascertainable items were cost of implements and seed averaging Rs. 3'00 per acre.

138 A new and interesting miscellaneous crop known to Burmans as pa-law-pi-nan and resembling a yam in taste and manner of growth has made its appearance during the expiring settlement. The cultivation was introduced from the

Ngaputaw township some seven or eight years ago, and now covers perhaps 200 acres in the Thabaung and Kindat circles of the Thabaung township. It is a taungya crop and is ordinarily not grown more than two years in succession in the same clearing. The plant grows to the height of about 6 feet and has a roughish brown stem. It is cultivated for the four or five tubers found at the roots of each tree or group of trees. The method of cultivation is as follows: Trees and undergrowth having been previously cut and burnt during the hot weather in May, holes about six feet apart and eighteen inches deep are dug. A piece of the stem of plants kept for this purpose is placed in each hole and covered lightly with earth. Towards the end of June two or three young shoots appear

and earth or vegetable mould are placed round them. The plantation is weeded twice during July and August and the plants are mature by the middle of November. As buyers arrive, the trees are pulled up by the roots and the tubers sold at an average rate of Rs 8 per 100 viss. The chief purchasers are boatmen who convey them to the larger riverine villages of the Bassein and Myaungmya districts, where they fetch about Rs 10 per 100 viss. When being conveyed by boat, a light covering of earth and plantain leaves is usually spread over the yams to keep them moist.

Countings gave an average of 1,500 trees to the acre, but the yield per tree varies greatly from 20 tickals to as much as one viss in exceptional cases. Five sample plots of 0:40 of an acre each gave an average of 35 ticals per tree or 525 viss to the acre. This agrees almost exactly with the outturn admitted by 34 cultivators working 54 acres and may be safely assumed for assessment purposes. Cost of cultivation comes to Rs. 13 25 per acre, the chief items being seed and implements. Holdings are small, and most cultivators do their own planting and weeding. Assuming an average price of Rs. 8:00 per 100 viss and an outturn of 525 viss per acre, the gross value per acre would be Rs. 41:00 and the net value Rs. 27 75.

At present yams are classed as a miscellaneous crop, and in view of the small area affected and the similar in the net value of the outturn of yams and country vegetables, it hardly seems necessary to consider the former as a separate main kind of cultivation. The present rate on all miscellaneous cultivation is Rs. 2:50, except in assessment tract 8D on the West Coast where it is Rs. 1:50 in 2 kwins and Re. 0:75 in 86 kwins. As vegetables enjoy a good market and are raised for sale and not merely for domestic consumption, and as there is a substantial profit averaging not less than Rs. 24 00 per acre, I think they can bear a small enhancement, except in tract 8D where conditions are different. One-eighth of the net produce would give a rate of Rs. 3:00 and I accordingly propose a crop rate of Rs. 3:00 in all assessment tracts except tract 8D, where I propose one uniform rate of Rs. 150. The present demand is Rs. 12,011, and under the revised classification and rates will be Rs. 6,612, a decrease of 44:06 per cent. Here again the decrease is nominal, and is due partly to the inclusion of 1,346 acres of poor miscellaneous ya cultivation in tracts 10B and 10C under the head of garden cultivation, and partly owing to a certain amount of tobacco having been erroneously shown as a miscellaneous crop by Revenue Surveyors.

Dhans.

Dhans.

On the Yomas. The plants are somewhat poor and stunted, as the soil contains too large a proportion of sand and too little mud, and plants generally require six years to come to maturity. Countings over 50 acres showed an average of only 700 trees to the acre. Each plant yields two fronds annually, but to make a piece of thatch of the regulation length of six feet nine inches from 2 to 4 fronds are required. For assessment purposes it has been assumed that 3 fronds are used. On this basis the yield of thatch per acre would be 466 pieces selling at Rs. 3 per 100. The gross value of the outturn per acre is therefore Rs. 14. Expenses of manufacture come to Rs. 3-6-6 per acre and are made up of the following items.—

					1/2.	۸.	r.
Threading fronds on	laths at 8 and	nas per 10	ou pieces		t	13	0
Laths at 4 annas per					O	14	6
Cutting of fronds	•••	•••	***	••	0	11	0
			Total	-	3	6	6
					-		_

The net value of the produce per acre is therefore Rs. 10-9-6.

Dhani in this area is grown entirely for domestic use and not for export. Holdings are small, the thatch is a necessity of life and the net profit is small. In view of these considerations and the extreme lowness of the present rate, namely Rs. 1.50 in two kwins, Re. 0.75 in 86 kwins, I propose the very lenient rate of

Rs. 2 00 per acr. in rice assessment tract 8D. The rate proposed is an exceptionally low one for *dham*, but a rate of Rs 3 00 was recently sanctioned in the Yelamaing Township of the Amherst District, a much less primitive and backward area.

In assessment tracts 2A, 3A and 3B to the east of the Yomas, conditions are entirely different, the soil is more suitable, and dhans is grown for sale as well as for domestic use. I therefore propose that the existing rate of Rs. 5.00 be maintained in this area. The present demand is Rs. 1,109 and at the proposed rates it will be Rs. 2,007, an increase of 81 per cent.

PART 5.-Capitation-Tax.

140 No reduction of the full normal rates of capitation tax at present in force throughout the settlement area is proposed. If one may be permitted to make a remark which is Capitation-Tax perhaps not new, but which has been repeatedly made to me by headmen in the course of settlement operations, the present date of collecting capitation tax is highly inconvenient Money is then extremely scarce, more especially amongst agricultural labourers, and communications are at their worst. It may be said that all know they will be called on to pay and should lay aside a sufficient amount, but in practice the great majority do not, and delay and friction are unavoidable. Headmen say they could collect the revenue much more easily and quickly if capitation tax fell due at the same time as land revenue Cultivators have then just sold their paddy and labourers have just been paid their wages and the burden of the tax would be much less felt. An additional advantage is that headmen would be spared some journeys into township headquarters during the rains, and this is a material consideration to those who live in out-of-the-way parts. The pressure on the district office would be very great, but possibly this might be overcome if surveyors did not wait to complete their charge before submitting assessment rolls but sent in the roll of each kwin as soon as it was completed

PART 6.—Financial Results of New Settlement.

141. The following statement shows present and proposed demands under the actual conditions as regards fallows prevailing in the year of settlement:—

M	laın kınd	Ī		Present Demand.	Proposed Demand	Percentage of increase or decrease
				2	3	4
	5000			Rs.	Rs.	Rs
Unirrigated winter	rice	***	***	11,05,403	13,61,943	+23.50
Irrigated rice		••		4,130	6,194	+49.97
Gardens (including	thetke)	••		85,991	85,552	-0.2
Tobacco		•		12,897	21,265	+64.88
Miscellaneous	••			12,011	6,612	-44 96
Sugar cane	••	••	•••	678	577	~ 14'90
Betel-vine	•		•••	924	1,257	+36.03
Dhani				1,109	2,007	+80'97
Solitary fruit trees	••			1,263	1,263	Nil. Nil.
Total Land Revenu	ie ^c			12,24,406	14,86,670	+21.41
Capitation-tax		••		3,23,555	3,23 555	Nel
Total Land Revenu	e and Ca	pitation-	tax	15,47,961	18,10,225	+16.94

Deducting cess and taking the mean of the two settlement years 1913 and 1914, the present land revenue demand according to the Revenue Department of the Deputy Commissioner's office as shown in Statement 2A is Rs. 12,27,087, a fairly close approximation to the settlement figure.

The following table shows the incidence of the present and proposed demands:—

***	,	Land Rev	enue only.	Land Revenue and Capitation-tax.		
		Present.	Proposed.	Present.	Proposed.	
I		2	3	4	5	
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Incidence per acre occupied	9	2.50	2.78	2.90	3'39	
Incidence per acre assessed	•••	2,50	2.78	2.90	3.39	
Incidence per acre cultivated	(6)	2 37	2.88	3.00	3.21	
Incidence per head of population		3.64	4.43	4.61	5'39	

Demand (Assessed area paying full rates.)

Demand (Assessed area paying full rates.)

Demand (Assessed area paying full rates)

proposed demand would be Rs. 14,99.586, equivalent to an increase of 22.48 per cent. on the present demand.

Intermediate Rates

by more than 50 per cent. in kwins for revision settlement, and by more than 100 per cent. in kwins for first settlement, intermediate rates are required by standing orders. Intermediate rates are therefore necessary in portions of nearly every assessment tract for unirrigated rice, and in some tracts also for other main kinds of cultivation. The result of the imposition of intermediate rates will be a decrease of Rs. 51,060 in demand for five years, and of Rs. 4,122 for a further period of five years. The new demand will therefore be Rs. 14,35,610 for five years, that is to say there will be an immediate increase of Rs. 2,11,204, or 17.24 per cent.

Fallows.

144. The following statement gives details of the fallow area in the whole settlement circuit:—

Assessment	Fallow are	a paying 2-ar	ma rate	Fallow	area paying fu	ill rate.	Total fallow
Tract,	Class 1.	(lass 2.	Class 3.	Class I,	Class 2.	Class 3.	area
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	Acres	Acres.	Acres	Acres.	Acres,	Acres	Acres.
IA	67.48	129,19	184.58	41.17	281.20	216.07	922'66
2 A	524.60	2,786.10	523 53	330.37	1,196.90	444'73	5,806 33
34	26 15	503.38		49.21	289'03		867.77
3B	254'01	1,170'80		154'16	529'38		2,108.3
3C	17'36	142'97	***	5'43	541.00		707'30
4 B	11.77	104.13	204 78	49.06	204*29	114'00	688.03
5B	6.43	412'57	925.24	29.84	394 12	1,026'85	2,795 6
5C	0.38	31.39	231'21	4.5	08.31	332.33	667.6
64	4.31	12.20	3444	13.00	41'71		78.6
6в		***		39'74	551.34	***	597.0
7 B				13.64	325'75	***	339.3
8р		•••	***	30°24	527'17	30513	862.2
9в		•••		0.88	3'69	92.40	96.9
90		***	***	25'94	65'55	1 93'48	224.0
108	••	***		13.35	168 44	531.18	712.0
IOC		***	•••	39'37	278.12	545'42	863.0
118		•••		59'91	299.38	818.01	1,178-2
110	•••	**	***	16.52	40'80	142.38	199.6
138				0.42	22.23		32.0
Total	912'78	5,292'99	2,069*34	918.59	5,829.54	4,702.68	19,725'9

It will be seen that the 2-anna rate is entirely confined to those tracts which lie in the Bassein subdivision or in that portion of the Ngathainggyaung

subdivision which lies west of the Ngawun river. In those areas, Lower Burma Land Revenue Rule 77 is applicable, and revenue surveyors assess all fallows at the 2-anna rate without previous application being required from the occupier, provided that in the case of land in the possession of a non-cultivator the area so assessed in any kwin shall not without the special orders of the Deputy Commissioner exceed one-sixth of the total area held by him in such kwin.

Where previous application is required, cultivators do not find it worth their while to apply for the 2-anna rate and all fallows pay the full revenue rate. To the east of the Ngawun the flooded area does not exactly coincide with the administrative boundary of the Bassem subdivision, and I would recommend that Rule 77 be extended to all kwins included in assessment Tracts 5B and 5C. This would mean the notification of 9 kwins of the Kyaunggon township and 63 kwins of the Ngathainggyaung township. These kwins are quite as much inundated as those further south in the Thabaung township, and there seems no good reason for differentiation.

Comparison of proposed with previously sanctioned rates in mg table shows assumed outturns and rates sanctioned in this area and compares them with the rates now proposed in the corresponding tracts of the present circuit:—

		Present	Settlemen	it area.				Mr.	Duffin's	arca.		
	Ass	sumed ou	itturns	Pi	sposed rat	.es,	tract	Assu		Rates sanctioned.		
Assess- ment tract.	Class 1.	Class 2.	Class 3. (Class 1.	(lass 2.	Class 3.	Corresponding tract	(lase r	(lass 2.	Class t.	Class 2,	
,	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	Bkts.	Bkts.	Bkts.	Rs	Rs	Rs		Bkts.	likts.	l's,	Rs.	
1A	40	30	20	4.20	2.75	1.52	8	40	30	4 50	2 50	
2 4	35	25	10	2.75	1.20	1 00	7	30	20	3' ≥5	1.20	
3A	35	25		3,00	1 75	. !	6	32	22	3 25	1.75	
4B .	40	30	30	3.20	2 25	1.00	9	30	20	3'00	1*25	

It is difficult to compare rates in tracts containing three soil classes with rates in those containing two, but the only very material difference in the two sets of rates is the much more lement rate now proposed for first class land in tract 2A.

CHAPTER VII.—MISCELLANEOUS REMARKS AND SUGGESTIONS.

Land Records Department subsequent changes of officers, the work of the Land Records Department prior to 1913 had fallen into arrears. The present Superintendent of Land Records, Mr. W. S. O'Hara, is doing his best to put matters right, and I am much indebted to him for the ready manner in which he has responded to the many calls I have made on his time and patience. Considering the very large area of many charges, the field work of perhaps half the total number of Revenue Surveyors was reasonably good. Holding boundaries and names of occupiers were accurate, survey changes in old land had gradually been noted and some trouble had been taken to record tenancies, sales, and mortgages. The work of the other Revenue Surveyors can only be called

extremely poor, and gross errors due to laziness, were frequently met with. They do not seem to have been properly supervised in the past, and from information supplied by Mr. O'Hara, 20 charges out of 75 in the district have not been visited by any Superintendent of Land Records since the year 1908-09. Unsatisfactory features of the work were the neglect to survey long standing extensions of cultivation and extreme carelessness in keeping area statements up to date and seeing that they corresponded with the map-a carelessness which amounted in some cases to deliberate fudging. These discrepancies, aggregating hundreds of acres in many kwins, caused the Settlement staff much trouble during 1913, and it was found necessary to call the surveyors into Bassein to assist in tracing the errors. The necessary alterations and additions were entered simultaneously in the maps and area statements in the possession of the surveyors and these should now be fairly accurate. In 1914 special attention was given to these points by. the Land Records Department and all work was checked by the Assistant Superintendent of Land Records, Maung Tun E, to whom my thanks are due for a very great improvement Holding areas arrived at by the settlement staff were compared with those contained in the registers of the Land Records Department. Numerous minor discrepancies were found, but they seldom exceeded 10 per cent. of the total area of a holding, and the Superintendent of Land Records has been supplied with lists of all differences exceeding 2 per cent for further check.

As regards the non-survey of extensions of cultivation, the surveyors are not entirely to blame. The survey of much of the area has not been revised since last settlement, and when brought up to date for purposes of soil classification many maps are little more than a mass of corrections. The rate of expansion in many charges has been so rapid that no surveyor, however diligent, could possibly have kept up with it. The surveyors further say their other work is so heavy that six weeks is the utmost they can devote to re-survey, and they also complain they are only allowed two chainmen, whereas four are necessary There seems to be some force in these contentions, and possibly four chainmen might be supplied while re-survey is actually in progress and subject to a minimum acreage, to be fixed by the Superintendent of Land Records being satisfactorily completed by the surveyor himself. The scale would of course vary with the average size of the plots dealt with. The present system of hiring old Survey of India employes to do this work at a contract rate varying from Rs 10 to Rs 13 per 100 acres, seems to me to be highly objectionable, though it has long been tacitly permitted. Surveyors are presumably paid to do their own work and not to sublet it to others, and under present conditions there is a temptation for them to recoup their expenditure by illicit methods. Though local surveyors can do part of the work of re-survey, yet the area to be covered is so large that to secure an up-todate survey and thereby the proper assessment of full and fallow rates within a reasonable time, the entertainment of a special temporary staff seems desirable. Once surveyors have been supplied with accurate maps, it should be well within their powers to maintain them properly at least in those charges where little culturable waste remains. A further suggestion I would like to make is that when a kwin is re-surveyed or a map re-printed all survey plots should be re-numbered in accordance with paragraph 115B of the Survey Manual for Land Records officers and a fresh area statement compiled. Much of the confusion and inaccuracy discovered in 1913 was directly attributable to this not having been done.

In the area for third settlement there are five Inspectors' circles and 52 surveyors' charges. Two other surveyors are in charge of town lands and at least two additional surveyors will shortly be required in the area for first settlement. Excluding the area dealt with by town lands surveyors and the area for first settlements, the average occupied area in a surveyor's charge is 10,002 acres. Debiting five-sevenths of the cost of the Land Records Administration of the district to the area for third settlement, the annual cost in the latter area comes to Rs. 48.090, equivalent to one anna and five pies per acre of the occupied area and just under ten pies per acre of the gross area.

Revenue Surveyors shared in the work of reaping selected fields, each man being responsible for eight or nine plots in the kwins nearest his headquarters. The work in nearly every case was done satisfactorily and promptly.

147. The total cost of settlement operations amounts to Rs. 1,56,391. This is equivalent to Rs. 103 per square mile of the gross area and to 4 annas and 8 pies per acre of the area assessed and occupied.

Currency of expiring settlement for 15 years with effect from the 1st July 1899, and the term of settlement therefore expired on the 30th June 1914. The present rates in the Ngathainggyaung subdivision, except those in the Daunggyi, Kwingyaung, and Bodaw-Kanni circles, were sanctioned for 15 years with effect from the 1st July 1900 and the current settlement expires on the 30th of June 1915. The Daunggyi, Kwingyaung, and Bodaw-Kanni circles were re-settled at the same time as the Zalun, Henzada, and Lemyethna townships of the Henzada District, and the current settlen ent in their case does not expire till the 30th June 1916. The opportunity might be taken to bring the whole settlement area into line, and as conditions are unlikely to alter to any very great extent in the immediate future. I recommend that the new rates finally sanctioned be imposed for a term of 20 years, or 19 years as the case may be, with effect from the 1st July 1915. In the present very uncertain state of the European market and the possible fall in the price of paddy, it may be a matter for serious consideration whether the introduction of the new rates should not be postponed.

149. The writer has been in charge throughout the operations and has been fortunate in having had Mr. H. P. Hewett, I C.S., Officers and men of the Settleand Mr J Clague, I.C S., as assistants ment Party. P. Hewett was with the party from the start of operations on the 4th November 1912 till the 9th September 1913, and Mr. Clague from the 17th December 1913 till the end, with an interval of three and-a-half months' privilege leave during the rains of 1914. They both worked hard and well in the field and were entirely responsible for the compilation of the District Gazetteer during the recess. Mr. Clague has shown a special liking and aptitude for settlement work and succeeds me as Settlement Officer on the transfer of the Party to the Sandoway District. The excellent work of Maung Po Thaung, who was Assistant Settlement Officer throughout the operations, is worthy of particular mention, and I am greatly indebted to him for many valuable suggestions. He was not only thorough and reliable, but displayed ability above the ordinary. He is about to be transferred to the regular line as the continuous hard work of settlement has affected his health. He will be a serious loss to the department and I wish to bring his good service to notice. Maung Po Shein worked conscientiously as Assistant Settlement Officer and controlled his section satisfactorily. Maung Ba Hla Thein, a Myook from the regular line, was attached to the Party for training in settlement work for a year. Though the work was distasteful to him, he took pains to learn it and gave pronise of becoming an efficient Section Officer. Nevertheless the experiment was hardly a success and if it is intended to recruit Burman Assistant Settlement Officers from the regular line, I think the Officers appointed should have volunteered for the work and be men of good physique. The permanent field establishment has worked extremely well and, with few exceptions, is thoroughly efficient. It is due to their efforts that the heavy programme has been completed in the time allotted. This is all the more creditable as promotion in the higher grades has been phenomenally slow, and only one man has been permanently appointed to the rank of Inspector during the past 14 years. Maung Kyaw Zaw, Maung Po Hlaing, and Maung Po Myit amongst the Inspectors, and Maung Po Su, Maung Po Tu, Maung U Ga, Maung Thin, Maung Nyun (1), and Maung Kyan amongst the field clerks deserve special commendation. The work of the head clerk, Maung Tha Dun Gyaw, and of the Recordkeeper Maung Po Bwin, has been very good, and it is distinctly creditable to the former that there should not have been a single audit objection for two years.

> P. E. JAMIESON, Settlement Officer, No. 1 Party.



Statement 1.-Occupied and other areas at present Settlement

ASSESSMENT TRACT	***		1A.	2A.	8A.	3 B .	8C.	4B.	5B.	5C.	6A
Year and difference		-	1912-13	1912-16	1914-13	1912-19		1912-13			1912-13
Oceupied areas	1,000	-	26,990	48,476	10,758	12,561	19,180	27,227	(a) 68,743	8,489	9,079
Occupied areas			20,500	10,	10,,00	22,00	10,100	213-21	(0) 00,110	4,100	•,
Village sites			379	355	182	77	151	847	813	83	94
Religious land	•••		44	113	13	2	3	86	67	В	
. Roads, canals, tanks streams,	bunds		895	2,153	490	427	710	691	3,504	948	21
Waste { Culturable		1	2,304	7,323	4,514	7,548	15,269	1 090	41,269	13,224	91
Unculturable	346		9 883	12 642	ძ,681	5 579	11 668	437	84,393	14,441	57
Reserved grazing	•••		9,158	12,780	879	1 013	2,771	8,408	11,762	4,228	89
A. dieder water, etc.		1	698	2 520	1 149	437	513	638	12,882	2,949	1,07
B. Total " Other area: "			10 954	87,H 36	11,048	15,082	83,088	11,435	109 674	35,806	8,70
4. Gross Area			37,344	86,812	21,806	27.648	44,268	88) FE	1 79,417	44,245	12,78
5. Percentage of area occupied	•		72-27	5 6'16	49-89	45-11	29 77	# 0 48	88 52	19-17	71.0
ASSESSMENT TRACT	7 		6B.	7B.	9B.	9C.	10B	10C	138.	11C.	19B.
Year and difference .		_		1912-13	1913-14	1)13-14	1913-14	1918-14	19134	1913-14	1918-1
		1									
Occupied areas			88,893	35,690	15 378	84,846	20,507	16,035	114.908	14,217	6,6
.) . Village sites							•00	000			
Religious land .	107		541	440	188	577	522	287	1,619	185	1
. Roads, canals, tanks, streams	bunds	•	71	86	9	62	25	9	179	10	2
1 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00			1,171	578 3 319	974	989	995	770	4,255	276	2
Waste Culturable Unculturable		1811	6,987	1 906	1,019 230	5,185	7,728 3 922	9 211	8,(84	779	
A. Reserved grazing		••	4,409 11,579	6,888	2 349	1,015 5,971	5 605	6,525	19,733	1 115	2
S. Under water, etc.	•••		1,808	779	311	823	2 (7)	1 402	9,510	2 517 653	1
18. Total "Other grees"	1765		b 563	14 8 18	7 51 5	14 584	2 ,603	13,659	52,051	5,515	1,6
14. Gross Area			64 956	48 026	-2 891	ED 430	41,110	20,694	1 6,969	19 732	8,8
6. Percentage of area occupied	••		59 10	74 18	67 18	70 49	JO 24	54 00	68*15	72-05	79
ASSESSMENT TRACT			Total 'r l	8D.	Total whole				-		
S. Year and difference			Are: 1912-14	1912-18	Settlement				 		-
۵)						ı———					-
6. Occupied areas	•••		699,365	10,963	538,968						
6. Village sites			6,510	892	6,902	8					
7. Religious land (•••		755	79	884	į.	••	0.0			
8. Road, canale, tanks, streams,	, bunds	•••	19,508	1,731	2,1994			***		٠.	
Waste Culturable			144,696	8,600	158,206						
10.) (Unculturable	·• a	•••	112,205	5,749	117,954			•		1	-
11. Reserved grazing			102,171	52	102,228				-		1 .
19. Under water, etc.	•••		84,762	618	85,410					}	
18. Total " Other ereat "			490,602	17,251	487,853			. <u>. </u>			
14, Grass Area	**	•••	949,907	28,214	971,121			-			١,
15. Percentage of area occupied			55.89	38-85	64'91	'				***	4.

(e) Includes 8,989 acres sutelde Supplementary Survey.



Statement 1A -Occupied and other areas at last and present Settlement.

- 1				- 1	Occupied	area	Grazing g	grounds		Wa	stc.		Gross	area.
				1	1		/21		Cultur	able	Uncult	ntable.		-
	C	Ircle			2nd Settle ment.	Settle- ment.	2nd Settle ment	Settle- ment,	2nd Settle- ment	Brd Settle- ment.	2nd Settle- ment.	drd Settle- ment.	2nd Settle- ment	8rd Settle ment
-		2		-	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
					Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres.	Acres.	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres.	Acres
	Kyaukchaunggyl				21,611	24,248	2 935	4,901	5,701	2,404	2,760	3,601	84,407	84,0
11	Shwemyindit				3 797	4,751	4,61 3	5 203	ሪ 187	2 745	6,788	5,552	18,237	18,5
1	Zechaung				6,620	7,819	951	J34	1 338	597	665	887	9,487	9,6
	Lezu		•	1	.0 740	2,085	- 317	4,660	7 420	1,474	9,640	8,648	39,917	80,5
	Kyunchaung	•••	•		30,125	87,_50	6 478	7 463	13 351	3 439	4,818	6,559	84,767	54,7
			[otal		82 203	98 683	16,974	-2,161	3_,997	10,659	24,621	24,747	166,815	256,2
	Kwinhla .				5,481	7,904	132	97	5,752	8,143	11,030	9,8,6	25,195	(*) 25,4
	Thabaung .				3,966	5,717		۵	4,907	1,972	3,527	1,797	12,400	12,4
!	Kanbe		•••	Ì	4,2 3	9 894	921	1,710	6 892	0,272	9,860	4,069	21,926	21,8
اأ	Degon				4,835	9,360	. +95	2 176	3,186	11 1177	25,065	10,982	33,431	33,7
	Kindat	-		1	× 73	12 751	1 5 1	782	2,201	1,252	10,751	5,478	23,255	- 98,
	Dagazibun .			1	4,391	6 4.1	.37	DU4	1,576	447	2 168	941	8,356	8,
	Shwenyaungbin				2,4 38	8,992	1,5 ,7	3,891	4,681	9 156	29,689	10, 114	32,815	36,
	Zibyugwin-Thanlyetsi	ın		.]	6,564	8,547	910	1 4 10	6,662	6,126	6 858	5,067	21,019	21,
l	Ngakwa-Shangwa		••	1	8 679	1(,214	920	162	6 461	3 187	2,650	4,293	18,010	18,
1			Tenl	1	48,039	79, 90	6,473	10,765	42 217	61,082	⁹⁸ 688	65, 10	195,917	195,
	Inyò			1	17,919	23,131	1 594	2,691	9,546	4,4.6	9,080	7,850	88,189	88,
Nyannegon	Kyannggon .			Ì	21,176	_7,95a	10 865	4,890	22,076	7,1.6	15,997	5,189	79,914	(8) 60,
1	Sabeyon		10	,	16,4.7	-1 6u3	1, 70	4 367	13 365	4,620	20,489	16,996	61,551	51,
3	Mohya			•••	23,292	88,970	0,1);	7 317	15,059	3,119	8 281	2,588	46,826	46,
			l otal		82 111	109,659	18 1 3	1 865	80 (46	JU,901	49,847	B£,578	209,430	188.
,	Thabyehl	9•0			29,814	39,476_	5,6.4	5 5ul	19,5(6	7 ₃ (30)	9,090	9,581	(c) 64,188	60,
Kionpraw	Thaung				21,36	81,612	5,737	6,701	12 747	5,860	10 226	, A	50,079	50,
1	Kyonkazin .				29,88	8(,911	7,2 0	6 951	9,994	5,982	9,133	4 19	(4) 68,966	58
3	Taunglón	•••			19,885	11,126	1,696	.,681	2,595	1,307	8,2,2	¥,981	20,898	91
			Total		93 987	122 194	30,107	31,410	44,902	18 185	81,680	23,400	190,876	185
ſ	Bokchaung				24,926	ر (99, اء	2,926	2,955	4,183	2,899	3,953	4,194	35,987	34
1	F wingyaung-Daung	ду1			3,127	6,249	611	768	1 016	8 196	17,508	6,894	22,160	21
	Bodaw-Kanni	•	-		1 2/7	8, 78	2,834	2,891	492		18,949	8,140	26,612	26
Jann.	Ngathainggyanug-Y	egyı North	i e		3,147	1,052	184	799	+2	1		828	•	6
280	Yegyi South			•••	7,684	8 423	813	1,089	307		i collection	863		10
Ngathainggyaung	Thitpyubin		••	٠	9,983	10,616	1,416	4,1'6	590	# +500000000	1,642		W 1825	1
ž	lanbingyo	•	•		3 873	5,306	716	709	38		1,502	1.5		1
	1 anzimbla Bylomabinhla		•		6,880	7,998	1,707	2 624	3,726		7,480	1.00	3	
	Athak	٠.,	174		17,695	9,760	453 2,820	1 1(1 5,178	19,210	y areas	17,063	50,000,000	1	
					88,685	109,700	17,840	22,540	28,800	45,819	85,225	37,705	915,630	, N19
	3		Total											

(a) But and a supplementary Survey.

(b) Five swing transferred from Kyannggon Circle to the Manubia District.

(c) Universal theorem area 60,728 acres.

(d) Universal theorem area 56,058 acres.

100 APPENDICES.

Statement 2 —Land Revenue and Capitation-tax Demand and Collections for 13 years in whole of the Bassein District.

. YE								
	AR	1901 02.	1.02 01	1908 04.	1904- 5.	19 /5-06.	1906-07.	1907-08.
1	8) Now (Matured	547,014	6_4, 9_	649 6 8	\$u5,992	67-,705	698,372	619,278
51	8. cropped Not matured		1,185	192		5,058	608	748
31	la compania de la compania de la	66,157	81, 28	71 13	6 ,019	7 ,020	74,709	72,415
Area	4. Fallowed and exempt			670 7	0., 011	652 733	Bud, 84	582, 105
<u> </u>	5. Potal occapied	603,171					11,01,6 9	
. [6. Demand . Rs.	13,11, 63	13,11, 12	13 0 04	114 3	11,17, 11		14,74,,8/
(8)	7. Rem selone Rs.	1831	12 773	1.37	1 178	251,71	191,2	1,675
1	& Collections Rs	13,00 783	1 9 67	13, (11 6 /	13 13 916	14,4-,497	14,78,062
Peresue	9. Average collected Rs.	2 38	2 1	2 20	u 47	2 15	2.43	2'41
/	10 Number of Assessees	74,/68	11, 74	,, 53	7+95	t 1 780	8 ,7 01	82,595
. 1	11. Number of Exemptees	9,2)7	7 48	7,1 7	8 112	. 8189	8831	9,929
1	19 Demand . Rs.	8 29,887	8,00,111	,1007	850,015	, d,61 d89	8 65,7	8,48,077
8	18. Remissions Rs.	8 5 5 8	8 1.9	6, at	4,917	5,500	7 594	7,992
3	14. Collections . Re	8,26, 29	8 11 973	34/51	8,49 , 27	8,5.,869	8 60,718	8,60,585
Capitation-tax.	15 Average collected	82	-84	. 3		ئه .	*86	.84
	per head of popula- } Rs							
1		(6)	(4)	_ (a) (b) _	(a) (b)	(a) (h)	(a) (b,	(0) (6)
i	16 (a) I otal collections Re-	10,37 (68 2 6	.6,3 ,815 . 51	17 1 5 7 . 76	17 (6 0) 2 ,6 ;	1,,55,80. 2 68	18,08,200 2 70	8,33,647 2 68
(Total No o - 17. Processes issued	6,084	ა,86ა	2,783	3 310	4,(48	4 476	4,087
zi i	18.) (Arrested .	689	140	226	81	44	16	5
3	19. Pers ins Imprisoned.	7	1	1	*****	1	1	
2	20. Saits of Moveable -	47	11	19	49	40	6 5	108
6	81. Property 1mmoveable	98	98	40	102	291	4.0	416
Pecovery by process.								
2	1	(a) (b)	(a) (b)	(0)	(4) (6	(4) (6)	(4) (6	(4) (6)
_ {	22. {(a) Total nears } Rs	138,404 121,341	1,28 696 1,24 919	80,970 3,698	1 15 321 1, 18 842	1 05,951 94,758	88,263 75,415	67,157 48,10
1, Y	(EAR	1908-09	1909-10.	1910-11.	19:1-1.	1912-13	1918 14.	Average for 12
* (Nete (Matured	687,719	611 401	6414 3	644 , 1	6 85,671	6,99,916	6,08,755
2	& Scropped \ ot matured	183	971	613	6 253			1,998
Ξď	4 Fallowed and excerpt .	6 ,676	6. 31	71 -09	7 9 2	51,419	51,129	68,083
Area in acres	5. Total occupied	896,5.8	70 635	716 801	2 ,	7 .9 . 0	1,04,038	
								6,10,206
2 . [6. Demand Ks.	15,29 207	13,45,614	10,05 154	- 4,4 110	16 (4,478	11,40,170	14,75,849
1						5,0		
	7 Remissions Rs.	2,083	Y,155	2,139	4,5 8	La Constant Constant	6.7	4,801
evenue.	8 Collections . Rs.	w was Events	15,46 47	15,62 818	108812	16,13,909	18,39,851	14,7(566)
Pereme.		re acceptance	serson existence.	1		La Constant Constant	1902 1909 1900 1900	
Ference Tr	8 Collections . Rs.	15,96,906	15,46 47	15,62 818	198812	16,13,908	18,39,851	14,7(566)
Pereme	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. } Rs	15,96,906	15,46 47	15,62 818	10 88 1 2	16,13,909 2° 35	18,39,851 2°64	14,7(466)
Pereme	9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs 10. Number of Assesses	15,96,906 2-89 85 445 9,391	25,46 47 	15,62 818	13 88 1 2 2 33 88 854	16,13,909 2° 35 £1,024	16,39,851 2° 44 92,859	2°41 89,724
Pereme	8 Collections . Rs. 8. Average collected per acre matured. Rs 10. Number of Assesses 11. Number of Lzemptees	15,96,906 2*89 85 446 9,381 8,79,.05	25,46 47 41 56, 61 9,5.1	15,62 818 	1. 88 1 2 - 33 88 854 9 653	16,13,903 \$*35 £1,024 9,791 4,04,435	2° 44 92,859 9,887 4,19,888	14,7(\\$6)] E*41 83,724 8,986 8,71,408
Pereme	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs 10. Number of Assessees 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs.	85 446 9,381 8,79,.05	25,46 47 2-41 56, 61 9,3.1 8,81,656 7,647	15,52 818 '4 	13 88 1 2 - 33 88 854 9 653 6 94 . 0 11,331	16,13,403 2°35 £1,024 9,791 4,04,415 8,110	16,39,851 2° 64 92,859 9,887 4,19,838 7,086	14,7(\66) 2*41 89,7±4 8,966 8,71,466 6,788
Capitation-tax. Ferense.	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs. 10. Number of Assessees 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs. 13. Remissions Rs. 14. Collections Rs.	15,96,906 2*89 85 445 9,381 8,79,.05 7,882 3,79,048	15,46 47 .*41 56, 6' 9,8.1 8,84,655	15,62 818 	10 88 1 2 - 33 88 854 9 653 6 84 , O	16,13,903 \$*35 £1,024 9,791 4,04,435	2° 44 92,859 9,887 4,19,888	14,7(\\$6)] E*41 83,744 8,986 8,71,406
Pereme	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs 10. Number of Assesses 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs. 13. Remissions Rs. 14. Collections Rs. 15. Average of Hected per head of popula- Rs.	15,96,906 2°89 85 445 9,381 8,79,.05 7,862 3,79,048	15,46 47 .*41 56, 6' 9,3.1 8,81,555 7,547 8,77 168	15,52 818 4 88,0 1	1.0 88 1 2 . 33 85 854 9 653 6 96 . O 11,131 6,-1,779	16,13,903 2° 36 £1,024 9,791 4,04,415 8,110 8,36,835	16,39,851 2° 64 92,859 9,887 4,19,888 7,086 4,06,849	14,7(\phi) 2*41 89,744 8,966 8,71,468 6,788 8,84,675
Pereme	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs 10. Number of Assesses 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs. 13. Remissions Rs. 14. Collections Rs. 15. Average of Hected per head of popula- Rs.	15,96,906 2°89 85 445 9,381 8,79,.05 7,862 3,79,048	15,46 47 41 56, 61 9,50.1 3,81,656 7,647 3,77 108 86 (a) (b)	15,52 818	13 88 1 2 - 33 85 854 9 653 6 94 . O 11,331 6,4,779 *86	16,13,908 2°.85 £1,024 9,791 4,04,45 8,110 8,36,835 *87	16,39,851 2° 64 92,859 9,887 4,19,888 7,086 4,06,849	14,7(\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Pereme	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs 10. Number of Assesses 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs. 18. Remissions Rs. 14. Collections Rs. 16. Average of liceted per head of population 16. (a) Total of Rections Rs. 16. (b) Incidence 1 of acre occupied. Rs. 16. (b) Incidence 1 of acre occupied. Rs.	15,96,906 2-89 85 445 9,391 8,79,.05 7,862 3,78,049 *86 (a) \ (b) 16,98,949 \ 2*71	15,46 47 .*41 56, 6' 9,3.1 8,81,855 7,547 8,77 168 -86 (a) (b) 19,81,455 2 71	15,52 818	10 88 1 2 - 33 88 854 9 653 6 96 70 11,311 6,-1,779 *86 (4) (6) 19, 0,131 2-06	16,13,908 2°.85 £1,024 9,791 4,04,45 8,110 8,36,835 *87	16,39,851 2°64 92,859 9,887 4,19,888 7,086 4,06,849 89	14,7(\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Thathameds or Land and T	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs. 10. Number of Assesses 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs. 13. Remissions Rs. 14. Collections Rs. 15. Average collected per head of population 16. (a) Total collections 16. (b) Incidence 1cr acre occupied, 17. Processes issueed	15,96,906 2°89 85 445 9,381 8,79,.05 7,862 3,79,048	15,46 47 41 56, 61 9,50.1 3,81,656 7,647 3,77 108 86 (a) (b)	15,52 818	13 88 1 2 - 33 85 854 9 653 6 94 . O 11,331 6,4,779 *86	16,13,908 2°.85 £1,024 9,791 4,04,45 8,110 8,36,835 *87	16,39,851 2°64 92,859 9,887 4,19,888 7,086 4,06,849 89	14,7(\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Thathameds or Land and T	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs. 10. Number of Assesses 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs. 13. Remissions Rs. 14. Collections Rs. 15. Average collected per head of population 16. (a) Total collections 16. (b) Incidence 1cr acre occupied, 17. Processes issueed	15,96,906 2*89 85 446 9,381 8,79,.05 7,882 3,78,048 *86 (a) \ (b) 16,98,949 \ 2*71	15,46 47 .*41 56, 6' 9,3.1 8,81,855 7,547 8,77 168 -86 (a) (b) 19,81,455 2 71	15,52 818	10 88 1 2 - 33 88 854 9 653 6 96 70 11,311 6,-1,779 *86 (4) (6) 19, 0,131 2-06	16,13,908 2° 36 £1,024 9,791 4,04,415 8,110 9,36,835 *87 (a) (b) 1,10,248 2.71	18,39,851 2° 64 92,859 9,887 4,19,838 7,086 4,06,849 89 (4) (4) 20,16,760 2-71	14,7(\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Thathameds or Land and T	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs. 10. Number of Assesses 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs. 13. Remissions Rs. 14. Collections Rs. 15. Average collected per head of population 16. (a) Total collections 16. (b) Incidence 1cr acre occupied, 17. Processes issueed	15,96,906 2*89 85 446 9,381 8,79,.05 7,882 3,78,048 *86 (a) \ (b) 16,98,949 \ 2*71	15,46 47 41 56, 6' 9,8-1 8,81,6-5 7,647 8,77 168 88 (a) (b) 19,81,455 2.71	15,52 818	1.0 88 1 2 - 33 85 854 9 653 6 96 70 11,131 6,-1,779 *85 (4) (5) 19, 0,131 2-06	16,13,908 2°.85 £1,024 9,791 4,04,415 8,110 8,46,835 *87 (*) (b) 1,10,248 2.71	16,39,851 2° 64 92,859 9,887 4,19,838 7,086 4,06,849 89 (a) (b) 20,16,760 2-71	14,7(\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Thathameds or Land and T	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs. 10. Number of Assesses 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs. 13. Remissions Rs. 14. Collections Rs. 15. Average collected per head of population 16. (a) Total collections 16. (b) Incidence 1cr acre occupied, 17. Processes issueed	15,96,906 2-89 85 445 9,381 8,79,.05 7,862 3,78,048	15,46 47 41 56, 6' 9,8-1 8,81,6-5 7,647 8,77 168 88 (a) (b) 19,81,455 2.71	15,52 818	1.5 88 1 2	16,13,908 2°.65 £1,024 9,791 4,04,45 8,110 8,46,835 *87 (•) (b) 1,10,248 2-71 2,452 48	16,39,851 2°64 92,859 9,887 4,15,888 7,086 4,06,849 89 (a) (b) 20,16,760 2-71	14,7(\66) 2*41 89,724 8,985 8,71,406 6,588 8,64,675 85 (a) (b) 18,35,686 2*7
Thathameds or Land and T	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs. 10. Number of Assesses 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs. 13. Remissions Rs. 14. Collections Rs. 15. Average collected per head of population 16. (a) Total collections 16. (b) Incidence 1cr acre occupied, 17. Processes issueed	15,96,906 2-89 85 445 9,381 8,79,.05 7,862 3,78,048	15,46 47 41 86, 64 9,51 3,81,656 7,647 3,77 168 86 (a) (b) 19,31,455 2.71 3,912 85	15,52 818	1.0 88 1 2 - 33 85 854 9 653 6 96 70 11,331 6,41,779 *85 (4) (5) 19, 0,151 2-06	16,13,908 2°.85 £1,024 9,791 4,04,45 8,110 8,36,835 *87 (a) (b) 1,10,248 2-71 2,452 48	18,39,851 2° 64 92,859 9,887 4,19,848 7,986 4,06,849 89 (a) (b) 20,16,760 2-71 1,977 90 1	14,7(\66) E'41 89,724 8,986 8,71,406 6,788 8,64,676 -88 (a) (b) 18,35,686 2-76
Thathameds or Land and T	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs. 10. Number of Assesses 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs. 13. Remissions Rs. 14. Collections Rs. 15. Average collected per head of population 16. (a) Total collections 16. (b) Incidence 1cr acre occupied, 17. Processes issueed	15,96,906 2-89 85 445 9,321 8,79,.05 7,862 3,78,048	15,46 47 41 56, 6' 9,8-1 8,81,6-5 7,647 8,77 168 86 (a) (b) 19,81,455 2.71 8,912 85 43 832	15,52 818	1.0 88 1 2 - 33 85 854 9 653 6 96 70 11,131 6,-1,779 *85 (4) (5) 19, 0,131 2-06	16,18,908 2° 36 £1,024 9,791 4,04,435 8,110 8,36,835 *87 (a) (b) 1,10,248 2.71 2,452 48 7 10)	16,39,851 2°64 92,859 9,887 4,19,888 7,086 4,06,849 89 (a) (b) 20,16,760 2-71 1,977 90 1 18 167	14,7(\pi) 2'41 83,724 8,985 3,71,408 6,788 8,84,675 (a) (b) 18,35,686 2-76 8,889 110 1 68 292
Pereme	8 Collections . Rs. 9. Average collected per acre matured. Rs. 10. Number of Assesses 11. Number of Exemptees 12 Demand Rs. 13. Remissions Rs. 14. Collections Rs. 15. Average collected per head of population 16. (a) Total collections 16. (b) Incidence 1cr acre occupied, 17. Processes issueed	15,96,906 2-89 85 445 9,321 8,79,.05 7,862 3,79,048	15,46 47 41 .56, 6 9,51 8,81,5.5 7,647 8,77 108 -88 (a) (b) 19,21,455 2 71 8,912 85	15,52 818	1.0 88 1 2 - 33 85 854 9 653 6 96 70 11,531 6,-1,779 *85 (4) (b) 19, 0,131 2-06	16,13,908 \$'.85 £1,024 9,791 4,04,45 8,110 8,46,835 *87 (**) (**) (**) 1,10,248 9-71 9,452 48 ****	18,39,851 2° 64 92,859 9,887 4,19,888 7,086 4,06,849 89 (4) (6) 20,16,700 2-71 1,977 90 1	14,7(\sis) 2'41 83,744 8,986 8,71,406 6,788 8,84,676 85 (a) (b) 18,88,686 2-76 8,889 110 1

		d .		
	S(#)			
Statement 2A.—L	and Revenue an 8 years Sett	d Capitation-tax dement in area or	Demand and (Collections
Statement 2A.—L	and Revenue an 8 years Sett		Demand and Cally.	Collections
Statement 2A.—L	and Revenue an 8 years Sett		Demand and Cally.	

Statement 2A. - Land Revenue and Capitation-tax

. YE		••	1906-07	1907	- 08	1908	-09.	190	9-10.
	2. Nett Matured		439,298		459,709		482,047		485,454
Acres.	3. Scropped. Not matured	2 9 7	653		579		39		14
Area in acres.	4 Failowed and exempt	•••	39,363		30,583		22,081		26,251
•	5. Total occupied	•	479,314		490,871		504,167	i -	511,719
	6. Demand F	Rs.	11,06,026	١,	1,21,351	11	,63,446	1	2,78,703
He.	7. Remissions F	₹ 5 •	3,121		1,470		1,461		1,275
Revenue.	8 Collections F	ts.	11,02,905	1	1,19,881	11	,61,985	1	2,77,428
•	9. Average collected per R acre matured		2'51	1	2 43		2.41		2.63
F.	10. Number of Assessees	•	62,025	t	63,936		65,830		66,475
tion-t	11. Number of Exemptees	•	6,638		7,518		7,630	51	7,554
Capit	12. Demand R	s.	2,76,170		2,91,659	3	,00,178		3,00,994
de and	13. Remissions R	is.	5,643	1	6,759		6 146		6,061
Thathameda and Capitation-tax.	14. Collections R	s.	2,70,527	1	2,84,700	1 1	1,94 032	,	2,94,933
Ē	15. {Average collected per } R	s	86		90		92		91
			a) (b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(6)	(a)	(b)
16.	(a) Total collections . R (b) Incidence per acre occupied	.s 13,7	3,432 486	14,04 781	2 86 J	14,56,017	2*88	15,72,361	3.07
	Total No. of—		3,357	1	2,968		2,812		2,704
ocess.	18.) (arrested		9	1			22		82
by pa	20. Sales of moveable		48	1	88		20		36
Recovery by process.	Property { immoveable		337		428	1	539		328
æ		(a) (b)	(a)	(6)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(6)
	12. (a) Total arrears . (b) Total realized	Rs. 66	,189 56,583	50,713	40,807	52,952	44,672	51,001	43,272

Demand and Colfections for 8 years in Settlement area only

1910-1	u.	1911-	12.	1912	2-13.	191	3-14.	Average fo	or 8 years,
	485,844		484,535		508,769		521,603		483,407
	30		6,230						943
	32,282		30,600		18,599		17,480		27,155
	518,156		521,365		527,368		539,083		541,505
i	3,09,190		12,92,638		13,35,510		13,63,083		12,46,244
	2,253		4,240		338		321		- 1,809
1	3,06,937	,	2,88,398		13,35,172		13,62,762		12,44,435
	2.60		2.65		2'62		2.61		2.22
	67,637		67,480	<u></u>	69,423		70,818	***************************************	66,703
	7,456		7,712		7.779	el el	7,819		7.517
	3,05,995		3,07,649		3,16,508		3,23,555		3,02,838
	8,833		9,502		6,543		6,096		6,947
	2,97,155		2,98,141		3, 09 ,9 65		3,17,556		2,95,901
	•91		-90		'93		.94		.01
(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(6)
16,04,092	3,00	15,86,539	3 04	16,45,137	311	16,80,318	3*11	15,40,336	3.01
	3,159		2,867		2,004		1,668		2,692
	12		49		18		20		26
	. 174		142		6		12		6
	551		380		88		148		349
(a)	(6)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(6)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(<i>b</i>)
96,310	82,607	1,34,271	1,23,303	1,24,935	1,17,964	99,129	91,941	84,437	75,131

Statement 3.—Areas under various crops for 13 years in whole of the Bassein District.

	YEAR.		1901-02	1909-08	19:8-04	1904-05	1905-06	1906-07	1907-08	1908-09	1909-10	1810-11	1911-12	1912-18	1918-16	18 year averag
		-	Acres.	Acres	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres,	Acres.	Acres
1	Spring Rice— 1. Irrigated		775	887	937	1,091	1,979	1,419	1,648	1,678	1,884	2,980	1,712	2,954	2,375	1,49
1	2. Unirrigated				i										1	-
1	& Irrigated				İ							٠.				
1	4. Unirrigated				١									i		!
1	Winter Rice-	1				1	Î			ļ						i
1	5. Irrigated				 E10.013	***	1 .			rea 0.00			900 7711			
í	6. Unirrigated		511,822	41:0,466	012,011	530,930	085,441	001,018	568,582	002, 22	598,854	590,891	808,713	687,881	650,408	567,51
	7. Irrigated		775	897	937	1,091	1,279	1,419	1,648	1,679	1,864	1,980	1,702	2,351	2,375	1,49
1	8. Unirrigated		511,222		512,611	\$30,980	535,411	551,588	600,592	592,922	598,454	596, 8 91	603,718	6:7,881	850,468	567,69
1	9. Total		811,997	491,328	613.648	682,021	536.740	652,952	768,230	694,696	559,918	504,871	6(16,416	640,235	652,88 3	589,08
	10. Wheat (Gyon)	••• ;														
1	11. Jowar (Pysung)							·	***							
١	12. Job's Tears (Lu)	j			***								***			
1	18. Maize (Pyaungbu)		180	843	711	602	9:1	1,258	967	921	615	520	611	798	948	82
1	14. Gram (Kelabi)		117	147	148	93	149	158	405	184	404	848	340	219	188	24
İ	15. Beans (Pagyi)	•••		997	926	261	866	278	285	849	210	213	10 13	111	116	27
ı	16. Beans (Pegya)			1	1		•••						2			
1	17. Brans (Peyin)	•••	•••							9.00			16.			-
ι	18. Others	-	1,612	353	115	130	217	248	390	237	191	109	217	144	119	80
1	19. Ground-nuts						1	2	10	65	12	12	10	16	19	ı
1	Settamem —												8	2	9	
I	21. Late			157	205	104	71	119	160	111	188	141	107	107	105	11
1	\$8. Others	.]	147			218										2
-	29. ChiMes			 38	27	17	26	70	68	43	81	83	22	23		8
Į	94. Betel vine			104	166	142	144	181	162	142	149	121	113	142	34 156	18
i	8. Olhera		86				8								100	
_																
-	26. Sugarcane		828	824	- 256	227	198	118	245	272	868	262	265	281	185	26
5	27. Cotton	.			1	1	1	1	1							-
{	28. Others															
(29. Tobacco		2,119	2,411	2,591	8,818	9,798	8,482	2,853	8,150	4,136	5,001	5,282	5,198	5,118	8,72
{	80. Others											•••	•••			
-	21. Fodder crops	-														-
-						34.490		7/25							-	
1	82, Plantains	**	•••	15,107	14,789	14,488 758	14,240	4,725	14,852	15,106	15,192	15,558	15,677	16,807	17,141	14,08
ļ	83. Cocoanuts	•	***	719	758	21	785	776	776	772	787	818	816	818	885	7
١	The state of the s	•••		24	26		40	36 1,200	6,687	84 6,7 76	6,796	28	6,854	28	80	8,7
١	36. Others	•••	25,729	10,098	10,369	10,797	11,831	10,968	5,440	7,264	5,775	6,798 8,950	6,478	6,968	7,190	9,5
_	<u> </u>	 .		10,000	-0,000									0,700	7,000	_
1	87. Opious								·		·	-				١.
I	88. Tomatoes			88	68	84	22	9	10	89	28	60	147	28	91	
┨	39. Others (food)		2,860	2,593	1,915	956	1,597	2,846	8,880	2,166	1 602	1,710	1,861	1,887	1,992	1,8
1	41. Others (non-food)		 1,614	1,670 9,961	2,070 2,860	2,198 2,636	2,232 8,875	2,301 2,727	2,934	2,384	2,408 8,691	2,448 8,938	2,458 8,188	9,495 8,250	2,590 8,288	2,8
1	42. All crops	! 	547,014	629,127	349,800	i68,992	676,778	594,095	610,021	637,859	641,772	849,098	649,931	885, 671	699,716	\$10,1
Į	43. Double cropped area								۴							
- 6	48. Nett aren cropped		- Charles	E	549,800	F	DE THEOLOGY	Charles Town	The same of the sa		10	1	1 100000	1 - 15	A Section	** *: 1°

Statement 3A .- Areas under various Crops for eight years in Settlement area only,

- '.			YEAR				19: 6-07.	1907-08.	1908-09.	1909-10	19;0-11.	1911-13.	1919-1 4	1813-14	Bight years' average.
	Spring rice-							1							
1	1. Irrigated						1,419	1,648	1,078	1 864	1 380	1,702	2 354	2,875	1,814
	2. Unirrigated		256	***	***	••						***			-
	Autumn rice-														•
11	& Irrigated		•••	•••	***			•••	ì			•••			***
	4. Unirrigated .		•••	•••		•••							•••		•••
	Winter slee -													•	
11	5. Irrigated .		•••	•				•••				4			
	6. Unterigated		•••			41	4026-1	432,038	442,467	447 580	445,543	450,081	466,251	477,500	444,955
	All rice—								1						
	7. lrngated .				•••		1,419	1,648	1,873	1,364	1,980	1,702	8,851	2,376	1,814
	8. Umrrigated		,				402 651	420,038	442,417	117,58	445 543	459,081	466,250	477,500	414,255
	9.				Total		401,070	423,6 6	444,140	448 994	447 523	451,7.3	466 804	479,875	146,069
11	10. Wheat (Gyon)														
11	11. Jowar (Pyaung)										1	-			
11	12. Job's Tears (Lu)						1								***
11	13. Malze (Pyaunghi			•••		- B	1,257	965	921	614	519	610	792	947	893
11	14. Gram (Kalabb)	**					168	406	4:1	404	843	34)	919	188	310
11	15. Beans (Pčgyi)						273	285	849	210	218	102	111	116	907
ш	16. Beans (Peggs)		•••					2.00	010			102	•		
!1	17. Beans (Pegym)			•••			•••		- 1	•••					***
11	18. Others		•	193	(1.00 to 1.00		248	299	297	191	109	217	194	169	208
-	19 Ground-nuts				-			1,		12	107	10	- 134	13	17
11					•••	**		17	00	12	12	10	19	15	."
51	Seesamum— 20, Barly											2	2	9	1
11	21. Late				•••		119	160	111	233	141	107	130	218	198
11	82 Others								•						
d	23, Chi iies						8 9	6.	43	81	32	21	29	28	88
11	24. Betel vine						150	118	112	117	94	но	113	101	
11	25. Others				-		1				. •3				
1	26. Signreage		 -	<u> </u>			188	219	- 252	950	211	250	289	307	250
7	97. Cost						1								
31	28. Others							. 1							
6	29, 1 obacco						3,452	2,853	8 150	4 136	6,0)1	5,232	5,128	5,148	4,968
1	80. Others												***		
_[8	81. Fodder crops								•					·	
(82. Plantaine						11,419	14 512	14 769	14,864	15,905	15,918	15,898	16,788	15,224
	98, Cocoanuts		•••	•••		,	419	366	318	361	973	372	6.6	611	486
4	94. Betel-nuts			•••	•••		2	2	, 3	4	8	8	•2	8	2
11	35. Mangoes			•••	***	•••	1,090	6,013	6,161	6,171	6 168	6,222	6,830	6,407	5,870
-	86. Others				· ··-	<u> </u>	8,594	4,110	5,908	442	4,876	5,085	5,164	5,698	5,518
11	B7. Optons					•••									
11	88. Tomatoes				•••		8	7	86	25	47	147	28	81	46
	89. Others (tood)	•					2,087	8,139	1,9.0	1,439	1,541	1,699	1,615	1,719	1,892
	40. Jani		•••	*	***	•••	201	158	180	102	179	194	947	410	299
-	41. Others con-tood			-			2,661	8,867	9.276	9 019	8,256	3,108	8,191	8,147	8,015
1	49. All crops						689,951	460,288	487,080	485,468	485,874	490,766	606,769	691,603	484,850
	48. Double compped a		•••	•••	***				• ""						
1 4	The Profit Stea Cronme		***	***	***		439,951	460,238	452,086	485,468	415.874	490,765	5 8,769	521,608	484,850

APPENDICES.

Statement 4.-Wholesale Harvest Prices of

Kind of Produce,	Assessment Tra	.ct	-,							Averag	e prices a	t ti ne
Unit of Sale and Average Weight.	or name of market.	1895	1986	1897	1898	1899	1900	1901	1909	1903	1904	1908
1	8	8	4	6	6	7	8	A	10	11	19	18
addy per 100 merchant' baskets of 46 lbs, each	Bassein	P.	**	R s 96	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	ks. 81	Re. 89	Rs.	Ks.	Rs.
1	b-A		7 87	97	100	97	87	80	76	98	88	101
	9-A	9	9 85	106	98	94	91	79	* 79	97	89	100
	8-A		80	100	103	91	90	84	61	91	92	101
	9-B	10	0 87	98	109	90	90	81	79	89	88	10:
	s-C	9	5 77	101	99	88	89	78	71	89	87	95
	4-B	8	8 84	161	96	92	90	77	77	94	87	101
		. 9	8 88	1(8	96	87	85	77	73	98	86	98
		8		100	93	90	87	75	73	98	84	100
addy per 100 village baskets of approxi- mately 50 lbs. each	6-A		8 88	103	101	94	89	79	79	95	90	100
	7-B			100	93	87	84	76	75	95	88	96
	8-D			1		91	84	74	74	98	87	101
	9-B		4 80	98	90	86	89	78	79		88	95
	9-C	9	2 80	99	90	90	84	81	78	94	88	94
	10-B		1 80	100	94	88	84	77	79	98	86	100
	10-C		0 80	99	AS.		j , 83	77	72	90	85	98
	11-B		7 81	100	88	86	81	78	78	98	85	101
	11-C		5 79	95	88	84	79	75	71	91	68	100
	19-B	10	0 84	106	99	87	83	78	72	96	85	100

Produce from last Settlement to present Settlement.

1916	1907	1908	1909	1910	1911	1912	1918	1914	Average price for twenty years.	Average dif- ference be- tween Local Government standards.	ment stan- dards.	men
14	15	16	17	18	19	2)		23	23	24	95	26
Ks.	Rs.		Rs.	F								
97	110	136	106	98	119	150	135	128	102	Add weight allowance of 10 per cent.	112	11
98	113	140	101	100	140	151	137	191	106	I salà	106	8
201	114	137	107	98	199	154	183	133	168	Do	108	8
102	111	135	97	93	125	165	188	140	108	Do	208	
99	108	191	97	99	190	159	128	137	104	Do	106	•
98	99	121	97	96	113	147	133	124	99	Do	101	•
102	115	140	109	100	126	150	184	180	105	Do	107	
101	106	130	100	99	123	151	132	132	109	Do	104	'
98	105	126	101	97	116	118	129	127	101	Do	103	,
105	109	194	101	100	119	160	188	135	105	Do,	107	
98	105	181	107	97	193	150	183	180	103	Do	104	
97	106	134	107	102	121	119	137	•181	10-3	Do	104	
	•••					-						
101	109	128	103	98	119	160	135	123	101	Do	108	i
103	109	181	104	100	197	153	186	118	109	Do	104	
100	111	194	104	99	111	151	187	128	102	Do	104	
10	110	132	104	96	120	148	137	191	100	υ	109	•
98	104	131	102	98	193	150	136	126	102	Do	104	
95	100	197	108	95	126	150	196	194	90	Do	101	
98	99	190	100	95	128	150	184	134	102	Do	104	1

Statement 5-Areas of rice land (Unirrigated winter rice)

19 \unber of tenants	ω Acres rerted	Percentage of occupied	Rent rate per acre.	o \umber of tenants.	Acres rented	Percent ge of occupied	e. Rent rate per acre	Number of tenants	Acres rented.	Percentage of occupied	Real rate per acre.
	1	Α.			2	-Λ			3	-Λ	
219	3,71	18 05	8 15	148	6 976	1, 90	•4 16	88	1,411	16 45	3 49
dSt	6,03	7 49	C 12	476	10,404	25 28	3 67	114	1,472	15 76	3 88 °
21 1	5,1%	21 61	8 13	ورس	12,19-	23 15	4 47	110	1,599	16 %	5 34
650	11,07	78 41 35	10 26	617	14,248	32 07	8-10	143	2,127	23 85	7-80
		5-C			6	-Λ			6	-В	
58	3 70		1.72	117	1 8 85	23 62	5 27	45°	6,156	25-33	4 95
61	88		4-82	10	1 291	17 79	b 44	716	1 ,061	34 41	6.75
76	1,00	1188	8 6 29	14,	1,506	2 2 1 9	8 73	704	11,1 6	93·28	8-83
7	2 1,37	16 96	3 761	117	2,150	25 85	9.28	1,0 1	17,201	44 74	9 08
		10-C			11.	В			11-	c	_
T	18 81	11-1	1 5 8:	2,581	9 27,76	38 76	678	323	8 681	28 49	6 11
	99 1,4	3,0 78	19 6-0	5 2,91	4 43,61	0 48 4	7. 6	810	6,002	46 69	7 05
9	90 4,0	F9 40 7	9 78	3,80	4 4 ,92	9 48.42	5 69 0	468	6,772	50-04	9-28
	219 351 211 650 76	2 3 219 3,714 351 6,03 211 5,141 650 11,07 68 88 79 1,06 72 1,37	2 3 4 1 A 219 3,714 18 05 351 6,034 7 49 21 5,130 21 61 650 11,078 41 35 68 882 15 4 79 1,000 11 88 72 1,370 16 94	2 3 4 6 1 A 219 3,714 18 05 6 15 351 6,034 77 49 7 12 211 5,140 21 61 8 13 550 11,078 41 35 10 26 5-C 58 701 18 79 4 72 68 882 15 4 4 32 79 1,090 11 88 6 29 72 1,370 16 96 7 61	2 3 4 5 6 1 A 219 3,714 18 05 5 15 48 354 6,039 47 49 7 12 476 241 5,190 21 61 8 13 558 550 11,078 41 35 10 26 617 5-C 58 701 18 79 4 72 117 58 882 15 4 4 32 91 79 1,000 11 88 6 29 146 72 1,370 16 96 7 61 147	2 3 4 6 6 7 1 A 2 219 3,714 18 05 5 15 48 6 976 351 6,639 77 49 7 12 476 10,404 211 5,190 21 61 8 13 549 12,194 550 11,078 41 35 10 26 617 14,248 5-C 6. 58 701 18 79 4 72 117 1 886 58 882 15 4 4 32 91 1 291 79 1,000 11 88 6 29 146 1,506 72 1,370 16 96 7 61 117 2,160 10-C 11-	2 3 4 6 6 7 8 1 A 2-A 219 3,714 18 06 5 15 48 6 076 1, 90 351 6,634 7 49 7 12 476 10,404 25 28 21 5,140 21 61 8 13 359 12,194 23 15 650 11,078 41 35 10 26 617 14,248 42 07 6-C 0-A 58 791 18-79 4-72 117 1 536 23 62 68 882 15 4 4-82 91 1 291 17 79 79 1,090 11 88 6 29 1 16 1,260 25 35 10-C 11-B	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 3 1 A 2-A 219 3,714 18 95 5 15 48 6 976 1, 90 4 16 35 6,034 7 49 7 12 476 10,404 25 28 9 67 211 5,150 21 61 8 13 359 12,194 23 15 4 87 650 11,078 41 35 10 26 617 14,248 32 07 8 10 6-C 6-A 58 791 18 79 4 72 117 1 835 23 62 5 27 68 882 1; 4 4 32 91 1 291 17 79 6 44 79 1,090 11 88 6 29 146 1,506 22 10 8 73 72 1,370 16 96 7 61 117 2,160 25 85 9 28 10-C 11-B	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 5 10 10 1 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 5 10 11 1 1 A 2-A 3 219 3,714 18 05 5 15 148 6 976 1, 90 4 15 88 1,411 349 6,039 7 49 7 12 476 10,404 25 28 9 67 114 1,472 211 5,190 21 61 8 13 55 10 26 617 14,248 92 07 8 10 143 2,427 5-C 6-A 6 58 791 15 79 4 72 117 1 585 23 62 5 27 45 6,196 58 882 1; 4 4 32 91 1 291 17 7 9 5 44 7 15 1 ,661 79 1,000 21 88 6 29 1 16 1,265 25 35 9 28 1,0 1 17,201 10-C 11-B 11-	2 3 4 6 6 7 8 5 10 11 12 1 A 2-A 3-A 219 3,714 18 05 5 15 148 6 076 1,90 4 16 88 1,411 16 45 356 6,634 7 49 7 12 476 10,404 25 28 9 67 114 1,472 15 76 211 5,150 21 61 8 13 359 12,194 23 15 4 87 110 1,599 16 35 550 11,078 41 35 10 26 617 14,248 32 07 8 10 143 2,427 23 85 6-C 6-A 6-B 58 701 18 79 4 72 117 1 836 23 62 5 27 46 6,156 25 33 68 882 15 4 4 35 2 91 1 291 17 79 6 44 776 1 ,061 34 41 79 1,000 11 88 6 29 1 16 1,808 23 10 8 73 704 11,16 33 28 72 1,970 16 96 7 61 117 2,150 25 35 9 28 1,0 4 17,201 44 74 10-C 11-B 11-C

rented in the year of last Settlement and in every fifth succeeding year.

Number of tenants.	Acres rented.	Percentage of occupied area.	Rentrate per acre.	Number of tenants.	Acres rented.	Percentage of occupied	Rent rate per acre.	Number of tenants.	Acres rented.	Percentage of occupied area,	Rent rate	Number of tenants.	Acres rented.	Percentage of occupied area.	Rent fate per acre.
14	15	16	17	18	19	90	31	22	23	21	25	26	27	28	29
	8	-В			3-	с			4-	В				i-B	
56	889	9-72	3-10	· 285	2,143	26-19	1.36	304	5,563	23.15	4-17	301	4,906	16.85	4.5
111	1,695	16 74	9-65	: 97	2,298	2 5-67	198	443	7,917	31-70	1.77	476	6,073	17-49	5*0
108	1,865	18-26	4.05	313	2,6:8	eg- 73	7:38	33 0	6,887	25-83	5*58	483	7,185	15-5?	7-5
137	2,400	20.76	7.10	177	1.831	14-89	a-90	707	14,863	85-8 2	9•07	671	9,676	16-37	8.0
	7	-в			9-	ß			ا ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ)-C			1	0-B	
20-1	4,193	20-98	5 -⊍7	178	9,057	24-15	6-61	627	8,8,0	81-00	7-21	68	H43	15 21	6.6
C85	10,951	3√.46	6* 65	286	4,357	3170	8-17	778	14,278	46*28	4-16	172	1,810	23-87	6-0
987	17,502	51-61	8.04	318	5,515	88.51	1 0°0 .	973	17,836	55-92	1141	281	3,163	25-22	7.1
3,040	19.547	57°+ 1	, 11 ⁻ 15	411	7,218	49-11	12-13	1,05%	19,536	57-80	19:63	376	4,107	30-85	9.5
v	19-	В				···.									_
241	8,802	83-83	11-72				***						:		
229	9,858	57-11	11.12					evc o							<u></u>
288	3,591	69 80	13-86					[- 1		-		•	•
907	9,749	59-79	18:18			. 1				i]				•••

Statement 6.—Areas of rice land (unirrigated winter rice)

			State	nent	0	-MI C	45 U	1 110	.C 14	DIL	(uui	rige	iceu	WIII	CCI	rice
Years for v	which stat	intics sho	wn.		Number of sales.	ω Acres soid.	Percentage of occupied area.	ca Sale rate par acre.	a Number of sales.	Acres sold.	Percentage of occupied area.	o Sale rate per acre.	Number of sales.	Acres sold.	Percentage of occupied area.	61 Sele rate per acre.
Assessment Tract of T	ownship	***		•••		1	Α.				Α.				A.	-
Past Settlement			•••		72	999	486	11- 15	64	1,642	€.31	4.46	26	415	4.84	7.88
6th year after 1903-01		•••	•••		128	1,973	s-98	18-65	108	2,:64	2.78	10-90	36	487	5•21	10-94
10th year after 1908-09	•••	***	•••		138	2,483	10·3 6	31-03	139	2,676	G-18	18-35	61	857	8.80	15-43
resent Settlement	•••				120	1,069	7.88	35-82	118	2,110	4-51	22-55	38	452	4-44	27-50
Assessment Tract or To	wnship		***			50	. ·			6	Α.			61	3.	
Past Settlement			•••		9	86	2.03	1.77	30	366	5:45	14-14	111	1,326	5-40	11-95
ith year after 1903-01		***	•••	•••	17	236	4.44	14.46	31	859	4*91	22:37	148	1,9 ,1	6.50	20-11
10th year after 1908-09	300	**	***		22	259	S*64	18-48	79	925	11-36	£7·84	228	3.108	9-24	29-6-2
Present settlement					23	272	3 -3 6	25.01	45	546	6-14	29-28	161	2,058	5*35	30-50
Assessment Tract or To	ow ns hip		•••		27*31	10(: .			116	3.			11(j.	
Past Settlement			(910)	•••	19	182	5.25	6.13	490	6,756	6-93	14-53	93	1,231	9.86	14'60
5 th year after 1903-04			•••	•••	58	106	6.57	22-88	497	6,973	6-44	23 39	58	883	6-72	90-85
10th year after 1903-09	•		•••	•••	200	892	9-91	84.36	600	7,950	7:43	32-40	71	931	6.48	29.75
Present Settlement		•••			38	449	8-88	80-26	317	4,289	8-91	40-17	87	471	8.49	35104

sold in year of last Settlement and in every fifth succeeding year.

Number of sales.	Acres sold	Percentage of occupied	Sa e rate per acre	Number of sales.	Acres so d.	Percentage of occup el	Sa e rate per acre	Number of sa es	Ares so d.	Percentage of occupied	Sale rate per acre	Number of sa es.	Acres sold.	Percentage of occupied area,	Sale rate per acre.
14	16	18	17	18	19 .	81	-31	24	28	41	25	26	97	28	29
	аВ.				30	;.		1	4B	g			5B	•	
22	859	8 92	9 84	• 45	811	3-89	b 48	70	1 319	5.49	12 29	101	1 163	8 99	10-0
93	857	8 52	11 29	52	421	4.28	17 53	169	1761	7*06	12 97	1()	1 773	6 10	13-3
95	879	8*54	18 71	84	582	5 78	18*60	131	2 737	10*42	25-62	264	2,883	6 9 9	22- 0
51	803	8 94	26 50	48	309	2 51	23 47	97	2 159	8 08	27 06	253	2749	4 65	26-1
	71	3.			,	В.			,	с.	1		10	В	
65	994	4 43	12 81	63	873	7*00	16 08	708	2 716	988	18-59	40	418	7 55	12.8
131	9,156	7 81	Ju 6.	67	1 054	7 50	15-20	96	17'1	5-0	3. 21	87	506	6 25	18 5
164	8,368	7 83	81 19	66	758	5 26	81 70	138	2 479	7 49	10 61	114	832	6 66	₹3. .8
148	2,671	7.87	39 50	40	649	4 29	89 23	76	1 468	4 30	<i>8</i> 8 1	73	490	3· 9	8413
	110	в.													
81	847	5 62	35.61											 .	•
2 0	346	6-30	29-18	1		1									
16	140	2.88	61.59										1		
	1	I	i	1	1	1	1	I .		9	1	E 3	. 1	1	5

112	APPENDICES.		
Statement	7Areas of rice	e land (unirrigat	ted winter rice
ars for which statist es shown	Aumber of a ortgages Acres mortgaged Percentage of occ 19 ed rea Mortgage rate per acre.	Acres mortgaged. Percentage of occupied area. Mortvage rate per acre	Number of mortgages Acres mortgaged, Percentage of occupied area Mortgage rate per acre.
1	3 3 4 5	6 7 9 9	10 11 12 18
Assessment Tract or Township	zA	» A	3A.
isast Cettlement	7 104 51 2 74	45 1 108 2 84 6 50	24 390 4-56 7 10
5th year after 1903 04	40 989 4.27 14.67	79 8,026 4.92 9.65	45 664 647 109
10t) year after 1 ° 08-09	164 8,701 15 44 26 97	116 8709 8 56 16 11	44 707 7 26 15 0
Present Sctllement	82 1,042 417 59 90	87 ,217 5 01 14 82	16 87, 9-84 14 0
Assessment Tract or Township	5C	6A.	6B
Past Settiement	10 146 3 17 10-20	22 39 8 58 10 67	55 709 2.50 11-
öth yenr after 1309-0s	38 557 11 (0 14 58	41 69, 955 1456	188 2219 7 59 14 7
10th year after 1968 09	43 726 192 1471	40 747 917 2385	292 8,824 11 37 28
Present Settlement	1785 7 68 20-66	19 209 2 16 2 36	71 1,316 3 49 91.0
Assessment Tract or Township	10C	11B	zzC.
	T		42 627 492 65
Past Suttlement	5 52 1 49 5 19	318 4,219 4 42 11-81	
Past Settlement 5th year after 1903 04	5 52 1 49 5 19 . 20 °.2 4 41 15 35		77 1,830 10-05 13-
	. 20 °,2 4 41 15 85		

APPENDICES. 113

moragaged in year of last Settlement and in every fifth succeeding year.

Number of mortgages.	Acres montgaged.	Percentage of occupied	Mortgage rate per acre.	Number of mortgages.	Acres mortgaged.	Percentage of occupied area.	Mortgage rate per acre.	Number of mortgages.	Acres mortgaged.	Percentage of occupied area.	Mortgage rate per acte.	Number of morigages.	Acres montgaged.	Percentage of occupied	Mortgage rate per acte.
14		В.		18	3(1 24	10		В.	20	•		В,	- 39
6		-73	8-15	21	160	1-96	8.14	16	280	1-17	9-27	π	1,001	9-14	7.8
7	249	1-48	18-02	47	941	4-35	15-46	75	1,712	6 -8 6	19-18	911	9,148	9-96	11-1
38	699	6.48	19.84	109	935	9-29	19°05	258	4,118	1673	21-79	278	4,671	9-50	1845
6	157	1-86	15-23	¥9	234	1-90	30.30	41	1,256	4-69	23-80	92	1,798	3 68	20-7
-	7	В.		4	9H				90				100		
82	999	1-77	9-87	18	148	1-18	19-87	40	878	3-08	15-95	23	194	5.54	6 ·1
197	2,574	9-36	14'06	69	1,192	8'48	18-63	89	8,048	6-84	18 79	99	466	8-74	14.3
170	4,086	12.68	91-71	101	2,650	18-41	89-88	150	6,623	20-51	38-86	99	980	7-84	18-7
41	1,009	B-97.	28-11	15	965	3.49	98-36	14	816	92	95.90	80	306	2-69	22'16
	201	.						,							_
. 9	150	9:58	19-75			~	-				-				_
49	1,018	17-98	9475	-	-	-	-		-		-	-	=	-	•
	866	26-44	38-95					-	-		-				
•	20	-47	60-90				*	-			-				

Statement 8.—Rainfall recorded from

	Years.	18	94.	188	8.	188	6.	18	97.	18	98.	18	99.	196	00.	29	01.	1	902.	16	. 800
1	-(s) Rainy days. (b) Rainfall,	(a)	(6)	(a)	(6)	(a)	(6)	(0)	(6)	(a)	(b)	(4)	(b)	(a)	(4)	(a)	(6)	(a)	(6)	(4)	(6)
	8	3	1	6	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	16	16	17	18	19	20	11	22
	Manak	0	00.0	0	0.00	1	1.46	,	0.10	1	0.45	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	5-80	0	0.00	0	0.0
11	January-March		0.08	4	1.73	1	2.05	0	0.00	0	0.04	¥	1.94	1	0-24	0	745 500	1	0*80	0	0.0
11	Nay	18	18-80	14	8-89	18	13-40	12	16.32	13	10.58	16	31-88	9 .	5.02	11	8.1.3	18	19-67	8	7.
П	June •	25	16-04	21	#1.83	96	28:39	23	85.17	:8;	82.13	ga į	20-49	27	30-66	17	17:87	20	15.06	28	18
11	July	27	41.98	27	22.34	26	85 88	22	15.19	29	24.68	28	23.28	13	19:04	26	19.57	27	30-19	25	86.
Dage	August	22	16.22	25	27.59	29	27'96	.87	27-24	27	31-43	21	17:18	24	81-41	:6	28-93	95	22.96	28	28-
1	September	94	15.85	17	1826	21	24-24	19	7-21	17	17·8×	21	14.49	19	18.04	16	8.76	22	18.22	16	10.
1	October	12	10-18	12	6.67	13	6.60	. 17	11-78	12	7.68	10	6.24	9	9.74	15	18 24	9	4.86	16	11.
il	November	2	8.28	1	0-29	1	1.83	3	1.88	0	0.00	6	3-17	3	2.35	9	1'46	3	2.22	•	
-	December	0	0.00	8	0.71	0	0.00	0	0.05	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.06	1	0.21	2	6.21	0	0-
	Total	184	191-48	126	92-65	181	140-82	124	118764	122	124-56	147	118:14	115	113-56	117	98-45	183	109-12	118	102
	January-March	0	0.00	0	0.00	i u :	0·0u	0	0.00	1	0.53	0	0-00	0	0.00	3	1-62	0	0.01	0	0.
İ	April	2	164	4	1.09	1	0.88	0	0.00	8	3-51		3.11	0	0.00	. 0	0-00	0	0.00	0	C-
	May	16	7-47	8	6.5g	16	6 20	12	17-15	14	9-31	21	17.67	9	5-19	14	10.29	12	10.56	8	11
Ngathainggyaung.	June	25	17*42	20	13.14	20	15.02	24	26.10	20	26-65	25	15-08	23	31.70	28	17-63	18	14-69	6	19
	July	89	26.30	24	18:11	80	84.18	17	16.04	28	21.51	28	27.25	22	82.62	28	22-52	20	88-80	25	16
a)	August	78	18-62	27	16.58	24	284	13	25-69	24	:4-17	24	18:25	125	28.77	27	28-79	19	15.86	97	25
S.	September	16	17.69	12	6.77	19	19.83	16	0.16	19	16.95	17	9.39	13	83.65	18	7.80	6	12-14	19	10
-	October	1	6.33	1 1	5-91	8	4.79	17	10.08	1	5.91	6	8.68	8	8.20	16	8-78	W 0	5 81	18	7
	November		0.80	1	0'21	1	1.71	. 0	0.13	1	0.10	5	9.31	1	1.50	8	6.08	1 0	1.48		0
(December	0	0.00	2	0.61	0	0.00	C	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.09	1,	0.14	0	
	Total	118	87-17	104	70.55	132	101-12	109	103.82	114	99-24	128	97.74	101	116.23	127	108.55	93	91-19	121	98
5	January-March	U	0-0	0	0-00	0	0-00	,	0-10	1	0.70	0	0.00	0	0-00	9	1.90	0	0.08	0	0
- 1	April	. 2	8.00	8	1.93	2	0.18	0	0.08	2	0.63	1	0.83	1	C-30	0	0.00	. 1	0.13	0	
-	May	15	7.7	11	11-52	11	8-04	11	7-58	11	8.70	16	16:34	9	9-48	11	8-17	16	14-60	9	6
	June	. 21	17.8	1 91	17.76	20	17:07	23	17.71	19	17:81	19	14'67	22	50-88	92	1 000	1	15-75	24	20
Kyonpyaw	July	1	16-1	1	18-81		24.43		15.61	24	20.35	1	26.67	19	17-21	1	92-6	1	1 7	7	18
21	August	1	11.6	.	16-68		23.54		21.57		18-80		18.02	100	24 24						9 17
	September			- 1	8-85	i	15.45	. 1	9.17		8.00		3.60		13.57			. 1			n
1				1	0.0		0.0	3	0.18		0.00	V	4-40		8.3	1				1	1
١				1 -			0.0			1	1		1		W 127720			14		1	1
		-	-	_(_		_	-	- -		-		-	-			-		_!_		_	-
	Total	110	701	7 109	86.3	0 118	94.8	9 120	82.81	9	79-4	1 109	94.45	98	90-1	7 118	103.7	2 101	89-10	180	100
-	January-March .	- "		2 1 2	0.0	0 9	1.3	6 1	0.86	5 1	1.8	5 0	0.0	0 0	0.0	0 2	8.9	1 0	0.00	0	
	April	- 1		1	44	9	1-2	1 25	0.8	7 8	0.9	4 9	100		1	1	3.0	0 0	000	0	1
*	1	15	1				18-1		1	1	1	1			1		14000		3		1
4		20															1			1	
ğ.	L. C.				Townson.		4			1				. 1	1				1	1	1
Kangridaeng	6	2	1	-	2 9592	1	1	1	1	10		1			1						1
-	0	1.		90 10			1				0.00			100	1 -		10000	1	100	1	1
		1	1	08 (1		1	1		1	1	1		1 33	1		(1	1	13
	December			10 1	1		1		100					S 100	1	- 1	0-0	. 1	0.3		Pos
	1 .	_	-	_ _	_	_	-	_!_	-	- -	-	_ _	-	- -	_	_ _	-	_ _	-	_	-
	Total	11	7 87	34 180	129-1	126	166-0	8 19	98-8	2 114	106-1	0 186	109-9	6 204	107-8	19	821	18	107-7	7 194	
			- 1	- 1		1	1			-1-		1			M		1	- 1			1

last Settlement to Present Settlement.

	1904.	1	1905.		1906.	1	907.	,	1908.	1	909.	1	910.	1	911.	1	919,	1	1918.	, Av	crages.
s)	(4)	(a)	(6)	(0)	(6)	(4)	(6)	(a)	(6)	(4)	(6)	(a)	(6)	(a)	(8)	(a)	(6)	(a)	(b)	(4)	(4)
28	84	25	26	87	98	29	90	31	82	83	34	85	36	87	38	39	40	41	43	49	44
0	0-00		0.00	0	0.00	4	3.58	0	0.00	8	1-41	2	2.16	0	0.00	g	1-40	0	0.00	. 0	0.1
8	1.68	0	0.00	0	0 00	0	000	1	0.24	2	2.18	4	2.08	1	0.13	0	0.00	0	U. 0	1	0-9
9	8.70	12	9.88	7	8.51	15	21.79	10	5 86	19	12.78	10	11.50	4	1.59	8	4.79	13	6*18	11	10.8
18	26.95	24	80-51	21	16:48	91	24-33	24	26.40	28	26-69	18	9 28	90	19-99	20	18-05	20	13-90	- 22	28-1
7	28:41	26	27.68	25	21.18	96	19-40	28	25'19	26	25.17	17	15:24	27	24.86	80	32-93	21	80.84	25	85
7	23.98	23	15.68	20	18.47	26	29-85	88	26:37	18	14 15	21	16.15	58	20.86	94	18 87	24	23.69	24	29.
2	18-41	23	17-66	28	16-59	18	12 61	16	10.86	18	16:01	20	21 37	80	14.08	18	13.59	91	15-72	19	144
6	8.98	15	11.03	9	7.85	14	C+69	12	7.79	34	8.04	19	7.23	18	8.80	17	9-51	12	4-57	19	7-
9	4.43	0	0.00	6	248	1	0.38	7	2.81	6	4.80	4	8.15	0	0.00	6	2.66	6	10-61	3	8.
0	0.12	2	2.65	0	0.00	3	8-22	0	0.00	1	C-13	0	0 01	0	(-00	0	0.00	1	0.50	0	0.
0	110-59	125	116.09	111	83-54	197	191-40	126	104-89	128	110-74	108	H7·10	114	89.70	119	101-80	118	104-16	117	106-
1	0-41	0	0.00	0	0.00	8	2.45	0	0.00	0	0.01	8	3.50	0	n-co	8	8-17	0	0-00	0	0-
1	1.48	0	0-00	0	0.00	0	0.00	U	0 02	1	8.30	8	2.05	3	0.80	0	0-00	0	0.00	1	0.
1	8-16	10	11-97	8	11-13	11	12.60	9	4.08	12	8.81	11	25-11	4	6.08	9	7.43	9	4'11	11	91
8	16-61	17	20.17	23	18-97	20	30 64	21	20-94	24	19-59	21	10.53	g u	81-17	19	11.29	18	14-13	21	18*
1	38-14	97	29.47	13	81.99	23	16-18	30	26-01	25	27.19	16	13.82	24	23.58	25	22.53	92	22.64	94	28
5	80.90	19	13.55	11	ñ·46	97	27-17	26	28-24	20	13.38	25	21-13	30	27-39	24	17.78	25	83.63	23	31,
3	9.51	19	13-38	83	15-28	19	9.53	13	5.82	19	14-76	9.8	11-89	20	11.84	15	13.74	16	11.80	17	11.
	1.06	10	4.64	8	4-00	12	8.99	10	5.58	8	8.84	10 :	6.16	9	7.19	11	8*85	10	4.70	9	5.
3	6.79	9	0.86	1	1.28	1	0.16	7	3.95	9	3.62	5	3.34	0	0 00	4	1.71	6	19 47	2	2-
	0.88	1	1.34	0	0.00	N	1.06	0	0.60	0	0.00	0	0.01	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	. 0	0.
0	118-69	105	94.80	97	75:78	118	98.78	116	89*80	181	94.86	116	PB-06	110	108.05	109	85.18	107	98-76	108	98-
0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	9	8.91	0	0.00	2	0.79	2	3.03	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	. 0	0.
3	1.61	0	000	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0 00	2	1.61	0	0.00	3	1.30	0	0.00	0	C*O	0	0
1	6.00	9	8-84	8	3'85	11	23-30	9	10 12	15	14.77	11	16-89	7	13-21	10	81.15	8	9-58	10	111
8	84-02	14	17.98	28	18.(8	16	27-52	18	18.75	25	16.89	22	14-08	25	34-80	20	22-91	18	8-91	20	19
1	26.19	80	49 55	28	93-95	94	80:70	7.8	27.13	98	35-15	16	17-18	24	47-48	26	84-64	15	11-84	94	25-
	19 53	24	23.36	25	94.19	28	49.14	9	15.17	24	85.05	26	30.08	48	46'10	23	1444	18	14.33	23	98*
9	18-21	12	10.08	22	18-56	90	80.48	:22	10-06	20	11-14	21	90-78	16	1144	y	9-10	19	12:80	17	134
9	8 21	3	0.98	12	8-95	15	14-90	9	9-46	8	3*22	7	19-58	1)	10-95	10	2.87	11	4.57	9	7
7	4.74	0	0-00	2	2.41	2	0.12	8	4.20	8	4:39	4	8-58	0	0.00	9	0.57	8	5-88	2	9:
0	0-00	3	2 61	U	0-90	1	0.20	0	0-00	0	0.00	0	0-00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0 00	0	0"
-	208'34	95	105-88	275	101-10	118	186-83	192	104-89	188	113.81	109	118-86	111	195-83	100	104-98	90	81 86	105	1081
1	0-00	0	0-00	0	0-00	8	2.66	0	0.0	2	0.95		4.58	U	0.00		1-10	0	0.00	0	0-
i	0.88	0	0-00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0 66	1	0-67	2	2.74	0	U-04	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0
1	4.48	13	10-97	7	7-30	9	17-68	15	11-33	19	19-59	11	13.78	10	6.82	9	8-98	8	5'06	19	11-
1	29-55	20	94-67	28	15.86	22	24-91	91	21.48	28	22.38	22	13-99	91	18-19	18	17-86	14	272	26	19-1
1	19-56	24	29-10	23	19.87	27	90-40	23	28-63	27	23.08	17	13-27	23	24'59	29	26-46	28	30-82	86	25"
1	28 23	27	16.88	15	11-91	89	83-99	. 89	20.69	20	16-11	17	17-14	11	9.18	22	80.36	26	18-27	28	91 .
١	14-99	23	18-54	24	17.78	23	16-82	17	8.80	31	12'59	21	20.77	11	7.85	11	9-32	18	4-47	18	18
1	9-52	19	8-78	9	8-09	. 9	8.80	10	6.28	13	10-18	12	8 78	10	9-70	11	10-79	12	8-86	10	71
	4-05	0	0.00		1-16	2	8.08	8	. 3.88	9	6-14	4	8.96	0 '	0.00	9	0.98		9-10	9	8.
0	0-09		6.80		0 00	2	1-92	. 0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.09	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.
,	98-113	150	10074	104	77-65	198	126-11	194	96:45	194	105-43	109	97-69	68	75 98	110	96:32	7.01	94-90	113	1034
	106:41	101	100-07	106	84-68	100	13573	192	98-99	198	107-78	110	87:93	106	109-74	109	97:08	108	89-47	110	102-
				-	4.00				20 00			110	01.40	100	109.16	***	2,00	-00	MP 51	710	100

APPENDICES.

Statement 10.—Crop Measurements and Pertility Assumptions.

	Total Mean	surements ar,	Total see reaped	a (acres) in year.	Average o	utturn per 1 year,	Average acre	Acre outurn	Cultivators*	statements.	Optiors a
ract and ioli Class.	1912-18,	1918-14,	1919-18	1918-14,	1912-18.	1913-14.	outturn,	assumed.	Acres cropped.	Outturu stated,	outturn
1	9	8	•	6	в	7	8	9	10	11	, 19
	No.	No.	Acres.	Acres.	bkts.	bkts.	bkts.	bkts.	Acres.	- bkts.	bkts.
		į		UN	IRRIGAT		TER RICE.			1	
(1	29	25	15'47	11.60	40.99	41.48	41.31	46	3,443	1	1
^ -	26	21	14.18	10.83	\$9-69	81-91	3 9-9 6	30	1,186	99,465	100,88
\ .	* 8	11	1.44	5.03	20.79	99"14	21-45	20	. 403	} 	
1'	60	66	29 *53	29.54	40.07	85-49	87-78	85	4,245	1	
. } .	94	77	46.85	36-98	28-49	\$7.07	27.78	9 5	4,992	278,186	250,00
\	8	10	1.98	5.70	21-18	19-58	20.45	19	457	ل	
, { '	23	28	10.61	10*71	89-80	39-26	· 86-03	85	1,107	79,610	- 70,09
	19	26	8'89	11-66	98.06	25.67	96'81	95	1,987	}	
,	8 1	28	18-93	10-48	40-70	81-15	85-69	85	1,811	134,918	108,70
	16	ψı	7:98	\$.80	29.62	28.86	96.49	25	1,815)	
, { 1	38	30	18.49	18-16	85-64	89-17	8740	98	942	79,717	88,57
1.	13	18	4.68	10-48	24-09	28-81	26.31	28	1,984	}	
1 1	97	38	14-16	16'08	44-02	48-05	43.68	40	1,779	1	
} •	89	88	12 49	18-18	34-30	32.76	93-5 3	30	1,461	117,885	190,7
l a	7	ษ	£41	4.68	\$9-86	21.05	\$L·71	90	258]	24,
1	98	48	40-98	21.70	48.31	40.87	41 84	40	1,667)	
3 2	91	111	37.68	47.78	31.15	30-11	90-63	80	5,109	967,665	969,00
١,	11	45	4.28	21-09	28:67	33.83	22·11	20	9,790	3	
1 1	20	19	8-69	6.22	40-50	89-70	40-10	40	242	1 .	
1'"	17	20	. 7:98	7.77	88.08	29-82	81-43	80	845	53,875	50,9
٠.	٠	. 9	9-33	8.82	26-88	28-40	85'14	\$0	778	3	
A I	23	16	8*56	6-98	89-00	87:89	: :8·19	35	897	59,995	4.0
	10	17	4:16	7.45	27'84	26-98	97:08)	600	J	16
[1	84	*	20'87	28-67	394)	38-21	88-81	25	9,589	1	1
8	19	43	174	17-10	81-80	28-68	80-04		1,786	145,730	18,3

AFFENDICES.

Statement 10 .- Crop Measurements and Fertility Assumptions -concld.

Fract and	Total Mea	outements cut,	Total ar	ea (acres) in year.	Average acre i	outturn per n year,	Average	Acre	Cultivatore*	statements,	Onttorn a
oil Class.	1019-18.	1918-14.	1912-13.	1918-14	1911-18.	1913-14,	outture,	assumed,	Acres cropped.	Outturn stated.	outturns
1	3	8	4	8	8	7	8	9	i 10	11	19
	No.	No.	Acres,	Acres.	bkts. U NIRRI	bkte.	bate WINTER R	bkts.	Acres.	bkts.	bkts
(1	10.	49	84-46	24·08	46.78	45-95	45.74	45	2,736	174,725	178,576
B { .	Q J	45	R-63	19:19	34.86	82*94	33-95	99	1,798		170,070
()	84	94	19-58	8-56	43.80	40-42	41'81	10	990)	-
D 2	81	19	11.87	6-96	31 78	80°65	31.81	90	1,211	79,685	80,650
8	15	. 7	6-12	2.51	81,08	20.03	£ 0 186	80	358]	
[1	8	8	9:71	3.18	44.00	48-53	48.76	49	561	1	
B 2	16	16	8.84	6-29	38*88	34 .5 22	£8.06	93	711	58,870	57,580
8	6	11	2-96	4.36	27-96	23-04	35.90	25	491] _	
{ 1	40	84	17.87	14.81	48.04	48-41	43-17	18	2,210)	
C { B	34	54	13-95	18.00	34-01	38.56	9 2•38	89	1,616	170,995	174,99
8	8	19	2.36	7-47	30-61	24-39	27'50	25	3,154	J	
1	17	17	6 •9 9	6-53	41.01	\$5.19	12.02	49	297)	
В 2	23	21	9.59	8*27	83-73	32.78	812.76	39	1,085	79,900	76,51
8	2	9	*85	9-18	24.45	24-03	24-93	92	760	}	
(1	14	14	5.52	5-88	43.84	42-79	49.21	. 42	987	1	
0C 8	. 18	23	5.00	5.39	99-44	81.78	82:50	32	604	44,185	48,094
{ a		7		5.38		21-60	57-60	22	341	J	
- f 1	153	156	63-28	68-67	43-91	40.73	19-82	43	5,468	1	5
18 2	143	130	57-48	61.50	87-81	38.69	38*00	35	8,085	686,896	621,981
l s	95	61	9.99	59.01	29.78	35'29	97*50	25	4,878	J.	
1	. 20	.15	8.07	6-86	45.17	39.98	42 57	(5	1,908	}.	
1C 2	17	16	7*98	6-00	36168	88-61	35.13	35	1,254	109,150	105,761
	6	12	2.78	6.71	30,18	83.66	96-89	95	445	}	
۱ کی	19	19	648	6.63	46.67	45'70	46-18	45	688	87,400	\$6,685
ી ક	•		1.16	1.88	36-54	89-80	84-78	80	270	}	
1	.	10 10	14 P		,	IRRIGAT	ED RICE.				6 1
•			* 1.4	8.00	45-80	97-00	28.90	35	-	8,434	7,808

APPENDICES.

Statement zz.-Deduced Wholesale Prices of Produce,

		Averag	e price i ent Star at Basselu	adards	ı	Deduction	ı.		Prices in		ament Sta	Av	erage imed
Kind of P oduce and Unit of Sale,	Assess- ment) rack	Year 191d.	Ycar 1914	For 20 years.	Cost of carriage	Mer- chants' profite	Total	Year 1913	Year		Average local price		8rd settle
		3	4	<u> </u>	6	7	8	9	10	11	19	19	14
	Bassein .	117	18,	112							112	9-2	119
	1-A .	147	139	פננ	7	7	11	131	125	l gd	1(8	81	90
	2 A	147	199	118	6	7	16	134	138	99	108	67	99
İ	8 A	147	189	112	5	7	13	136	197	100	108	85	99
	вв	147	139	112	8	7	15	198	134	97	10b	84	98
	9-C .	147	139	119	14	7	21	126	118	91	201	77	94
	4-B .	147	199	112	9	7	18	131	158	96	107	82	94
į	5-B	147	1 39	112	8	7	15	182	384	97	104	79	96
	6-C	147	139	112	13	7	20	127	11)	92	108	77	90
ddy per 100 9-gal- lon measures of 50 ibs, each	6-A .	147	139	312	6	7	13	124	126	99	105	83	98
	6-B	47	139	113	10	7	17	180	142	95	104	79	
	7-B	14:	139	119	2	7	16	191	123	96	104	82	-11
	8-D	147	139	132	23	7	28	120	112	84			84
	₽-B	147	139	112	11	7	18	120	121	94	103	78	96
	9-0 .	147	139	313	14	7	21	196	119	91	104	74	90
	10-В	147	139	110	10	7	17	130	193	95	104	89	91
	10-C	147	189	112	16	7	21	126	118	92	102		90
	ц.в	247	189	119	9	7	16	191	128	96	104	78	96
	11-C	147	189	119	12	7	19	198	190	93	103	77	90
	1#-B	147	189	112	11	7	18	129	181	94	104	89	98

APPENDICES.

Statement 12.—Cost of Cultivation—Actual and Assumed.

		Total no	ambet el	<u> </u>		Ave	rage cost p	er acreol				Cost p	et acres.
Assessment Tract.	L.B Race and status.	Culti- vators examined.	Acres cropped,	Seed	Cattle	Labour.	Manure.	Imple- ments.	Hut & Byres.	lrrige- tion and Bund- ing.	Other expen- diture	Average Total,	Assu- med
				8	- 6	7	8	9 ,	16	21	19	18	14
		No	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Ro	Rs.	R.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Ra,
,	Burman	3 6	104 85	-78	3'97	8 99		47	•19			18-47	
Ì	Owner	} 26	752-17	89	2 68	9 35		-58	.16			13:49	
1A	Owner	} 13	37 9-15	79	4.04	7-09	}	.58	-17	•		18 67	
"	Karen .	11.	985 63	1 -72	3.46	7*80		51	-18			19-84	
Į	Karen owner tenant	, 1	30-89	74	1*81	8 66		.80				11.61	
	1												
	j Au	66	1551 63	€2	3-17	8 4 7		53	.16			18 08	18-00
	Burman Owner .	} 44	1427 96	-93	3-09	7 04	-08	-30	14	-01		11 66	
1	Karen	} 24	754*78	-78	2 86	8 18		-38	18	-02		11-88	
. 1	Burmas	} 81	918-17	-95	8 50	6-25	-04	-38	18		•••	11-80	
2A {	Karen Tenant .	} ,	945 10	97	3 67	4.79	. !	97	•15			9-88	
i	Burman owner tenant	7	289-02	80	8.98	7 48		-83	08			12.60	
Į.	Karen owner tenant		110-48	87	4 14	6 83		-26	14			12 24	
	All	118	\$744-86	-89	8-16	ć•9 5	-04	-88	*14	01		11-59	11 80
(Burman Owner	} 14	278 05	-60	8.81	-87		-60	28		*06	11 08	
	Karen	} 11	173-89	70	3.81	5 30		*58	-19	.03	07	10 17	
84	Burman	} 10	204-15	-61-	2.98	5-41		*48	129			9-77	
1	Karen Tenant	} 1	17 87	-52	5 84	1.03	-	-68	-28	-	.	8 25	
ţ	Karen owner tenant	1	49-55	*35	2-80	4.24	1	.81			-	7 60	
	All	87	711 02	81	3 98	5.77		48	91		104	10 42	10-50
		i			- i				$\neg \dagger$		1		
ſ	Burman Owner	} 6	119.08	-61	4-17	4-40	1	.41	-16		···•	9-75	•••
	Karen Owner .	} #2	449-69	70	4.66	4.04	•	48	18		.	10-05	
in }	Burman Tenant	} 7	138-09	•70	8-47	4.86		87	-16	.	•01	9-06	
i	Karen Tenant	} •	127-92	-54	2 15	6-76	. 1	-45	-08		-	9-98	•••
. (Karen owner tenant	1	17-99	88	5-89	1-89		-80	- 1			7-35	•••
	., All	49	987'6 5	-66	4.00	4-18		-46	-16			9-54	0-00

APPENDICES,

Statement 18. Cost of Cultivation-Actual and Assumed.

	Ī	Tetal nu	unber of			Ave	rage chet pe	er acte of				· Cost p	ér sci
Augessmort.	Kac∉: nd Status.	(ulti- vatore examined	Acres cropped,	wed.	Cattle.	Labour,	Magare.	Imple- ments	Hut & Byres	lrriga- tion and bund- ing.	Other expen- diture,	Aver-	Ass
1	2	8		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	19	18	14
	1												-
	Burman	No	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Ks	Re	Re.	P.	Re.	Re,	Rea	R
-	Owner	} 18	241*86	*69	2.99	3*92		•47	95	"	"	1.88	۱ "
	Karen Owner	} 19	226.93	69	2.72	5-41		•47	•19	•		9.48	١.
1	Burman Tenant	} 10	199 23	74	2.58	5 35		51	28			9-41	
1	Karen	3 6	54 60	62	1 98	9 86		-49	-18			7.08	١.
i	Tenant	,	10.01	61			·	76				7'85	
	Burman owner-tenant	1 1	18 62	61	1 91	4 59			*			W.00000	•
t	Karen owner tenant	1	24 89	85	2 76	1 68		•79	-89			6*07	
	All	42	760*06	•69	9-51	4 67		•50	23			8%8	•
,	Burman Owner .	} 19	417 48	•78	F 84	10 41	•	-76	22			18-96	Γ.
	Karen Owner	£ 14	439 23	70	2 26	8-33	i	-46	18	.08		19'06	
i	Burman	} 25	888 34	87	9 (0	9.10	01	-34	-24	.01		18.63	١.
. {	Karen	} 10	293 78	70	2 16	8 54		-67	-17		١.	19:14	١.
1	Burman owner-tenant	, ,	35 19	56	3 87	31.89		-55	-24			1771	
1													١.
	Karen owner-tenant.		166 51	*54	2.95	10 51		*48	-14			14'67	<u> </u>
	All	68	2180-68	76	3.83	9-99		41	.81	•01		13.81	18
lat & 2nd	Burman owner {	74	1603 54	1 30	1 62	7 58		- 62	.8 0	•06		11.58	
Brd class	1	19	347 09 1004*19	1 10	1 22	4.20		· 55	·89	*04	***	7*78	١.
lst & Rud class, Brd class	Karen owrer	10	248 11	-97	-55	7°14 5 03		*84	-15			7.05	'
1st & 2nd	2		468 69	91	1.40	7.87		.90	.81	.08		10-41	
Srd class	Burman tensut . {	4	53 86	*78	-87	8-28) <i></i>	.49	42) 		784	
1st & 2nd	Karen tenant }	9	191.73	1.00	1.00	7'48		'51	16			10.19	
Brd class	1	6	76 48 189 91	*78 F 49	2° 20 2° 05	6.49		·35	-14	. 18		10-78	
class, 1st & 2nd	The second	• 5	171 95	1.18	1.30	8'80		-46	.19	1		9-89	
1st & 2nd	1,2	169	8579'94	1.12	1'87	7 84	T-:-	.93	.18	*05	1	10.61	1
ciass.	*	. 86	795*49	1-00	1.03	4.78		.46	* 928	.08		7'47	
let and 2nd	Burman owner . {	5	176-02	1.58	1.81	6-50		*45	·15		•	10:17	
Brd class	1)	10	104'55	1.15	.88	8.40		84	-16	*04		7'44 9'51	1
class. 8rd class	Karen owner		79'88	1.86	COLORE	8-18	1	*48	'04	1	!	6.68	'
Ist and and	Burman tenant .	6	116-98	1.90	2.28	5-80		-49	25		į	10.68	
3rd class	,	. 2	29'62	1'56		2.60		*68	.68	-		6.28	1
let and 2nd	Karen tenant	1 1	74' 57	1.86	\$41	4'88	٠.	*50	*28			0-16	1
3rd class		1	18-18	1-14	4°95	9-38	-	-69	79			19.38	1
st and for	4)	26	645'78	1 40	3-930			.50	-18	-08		870	-
Brd clase .	} ^u{	18		1'25	1'86	8-94	*	.94	.19	1	1	1	1

Statement 12-Cost of Cultivation Actual and Assumed-continued.

	Manager 1	Total ni	mber of			A	verage cos	t per acre of			-	Cost p	er acre,
Assessment Tract,	Race and Status,	Culti- vators examined.	Acres	Seed,	Cattle,	Labour,	Manure,	lmple- ments.	Hut &	Irrigation and Hunding.	Other ezpen- diture,	Average.	Assum-
 -	2	8	-	6	- 6	7	8	9	10	11	19	13	-14
		No.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rę.	Re.	Ran	Re.	Re.	Re.	Re.
1	Burman	} 10	877-87	. 76	1.46	9-65	****	-62	-93			19-88	
	Karen	} 15	303-35	• 6 0	-87	7-5		-63	-18		-87	1010	
1	Burman	} 15	889-32	-84	8-80	8-14		-52	.32		-19	18-64	
5-A}	Karen	3 6	108-02	*68	2-61	9-84		-59	-19			18:84	
	Burman Owner-tenant	3 8	81.88	-52	1.83	10-15		·61 ,	- 29		-	12-00	
ĺ	Karen Owner-tenant	} 2	65*08	-74	1'14	10-21		.60	.08			1978	
ļ	All	80	1160-97	-66	2.00	8-76		*56	190		-10	18-94	19-95
	Furman	3 24	615-6	*82	1-87	9-78	T	-63	*84			19-82	
	Owner Karen	3	559:17	-76	1.61	8-56		-86	-26			11-78	
	Burman	,	804.58	*86	203	8-38		-47				19:19	
6-B	Karen	,	399-65	-77	9.33	8.08		-44	- 24		,	11:81	_
	Burman	1.	285-19	-84	8.35	7-78		- 45	*95	ļ	×	18'84	
	Owner-tenant	3 8	241-82	-75	1.42	9-18		-\$1	*28			11-84	
	Owner-tenant	-	9686-59	-81	1,88	8.70		-48	-81		-01	19-19	19:00
	Burman	-		-87		J1:14	-	-61	 	+			-
	Owner	. 3	449-97		3-28			1	.58	-08	- "-	18:14	-
	Owner	15 20	604-61	-68	8.61	10-09	-	-44	.85	1 "		12-63	-
7-B	Karen	1.	927-76	-65	9-85	9-19		-48	-81	"		15-89	-
	Burman	15 20		-44	9-19	13-89	-	-41	14	-		19-69	-
	Owner-tenant	15 1		-79	4.75	7:39		-17				17:11	-
	Owner-tenant								.13	<u> </u>		13-23	-
	(All	+	2458-14	78	8-22	9-79		-48	-91	-01		1641	14.50
	Owner	. 3 10	134- % 6	-59	2.58	9-44		* -28	-96	"	-04	6-17	
	Owner	. 3	198-99	-48	2-49	1.69		-47	.16	-	***	5-90	-
	Arakanese	. 13	\$15.75	-57	1'41	2-54	•••	.89	-25		. 0	5-19	
	Tenant	. 3	89-11	-54	8-51	1-09		-96	-85		'16	4-92	
8 - D	Tenant		1171	-48	1-17	2.75		-95	-88			479	
	Tenant	s °	47.50	.61	177	2-19		.40	.98	-		8-95	-
	Office-tenant		8-38	-48	-	4-83		-28	* **	-		\$-57	-
	Owner-tenant .	: 3	45-48	-95	125	8-06	-	*	.00			1.08	-
] 1	6-97	'48	2.19	9-08	<u> </u>		. 43	<u> </u>		5.00	7
	(A)	65	688-99	-64	1.03	6729		. 8	*24	١	-00	8-30	5 R

Statement 12-Cest of Cultivation-Actual and Assumed-continued.

1	-	Total nu	mber of			Avera	ge cost per	agre of			•	Cost	er acre.
			1 -			- 1	4					1	
Assessment Tract.	Race and Status.	Cultiva- tors exam- ined.	Acres cropped.	Seed.	Cattle.	Labour.	Manure.	Imple- ments,	Hut and Byres.	irrig tion and Bund- ing.	Other expen- diture.	Average Total.	Assum-
	8	-			B	 7		9	10	11	19	18	14
									<u> </u>				
		No.	Acres,	Rs.	Re	Ra.	R∙.	Rs.	Re	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
9-B1st and and	Burman		11.44	1-34	1.78	9.87	•	.63	53	1 ***	••	18 78	
Brd class	Owner		420-46	1·08	2'52	8-16		-69				12.70	
let and 2nd class	Owner	-	9.80	1.07		5-48		-67	•15	"	***	7'23	
erd class A	Burman	9	189-11	1.88	3.96	7.56		*66	-40			18'71	
ird class	Tenant	1	44 '98	1.88	,	5.62		-91	28			7-97	
at and and class.	Karen		78-99	-80	1-95	9-27		.41	84	1		12-88	
rd class	Tenant "	1	6-10	1-25	8 95	-89		-80	-41			7.00	
et and Sad tlass.	Burman	1	23-18	1.00	9 45	9-81		• 67				13-28	
ri aless	Owner-tenant										-		
												18'18	7000
lat and Sod class.	All	43	998-93	1.08	2 58	8.70	-	-87	27 -91			7-61	7 50
led class "		- 	79 18	1.76	-88	4.38				-			7,50
-C1st and 2nd	Burmau	16	824-53	*88	1-28	8 94		86	-29			11-94	
ciass.	Owner	6	119-83	2.38	70	8 27		*48	-21		•••	7-04	
st and and class.	Karen	80	480-88	-90	1.84	7-99		*47	25		**	10.95	
rd class	Owser "	5	56-56	3.33	.33	3 88		*52	.18			8.18	•••
ist and and class.	Burman .	30	748-71	-91	1.84	5'87		*43	.48		•••	18.84	
Ird class "	Tenant	4	85*68	8.10	1.08	3.00		.37	.00		•••	6.84	
let and Sad class.	Karen	20	413-21	•96	2789	7 87		.48	*82		•	11.48	
ord class	Tenant		122.48	1.89	*64	3-50		*34	.10	*04		6'61	
et and and class.	Burman "	1	89-18	.83	1.31	8.72		-60	.11			11-50	***
14 (Owner-tenant	1						***		۱ "		10-79	
st and 2nd class.	Kares	5	143 24	.83	-98	8.33	•••	.95	*28		••		•••
ed chalse	Owner-tenant	"											
st and and class.	All	94	8194'75	-91	1.63	8.40		-46	*87	-		11.67	12.00
rd class		90	384.05	9-3∪	-78	8-36		.43	*14	(1		6.82	7-00
1	Burman Owner		175'56	1.08	2'57	5.94		.69	*44			10*20	-
11	Karen		519-17	1.00	2.23	5-07		•51	*85	-	٠.	9'48	-
11	Owner " Burman " Tenant "	7 10	£63.89	1.09	2'48	6.65		68	-32			11.06	
10-B	Karen Tenaht	16 11	192-89	-97	3.83	8.82		-38	•89			10-61	
	Burman Owner-tenant		15.68	1'48	-61	7-47		-9 5				10-46	-
Į	Λu	74	1166-69	1,03	9.55	5. 68		-53	- 5			10-18	10.00
		3 5	98'72	.83	-52	7:37		-44	•49			~9365	-
- ()	Owner	3								5050		8-54	
	On the	. 3	208-74	*65	1.92	4.88		*59	*28	١	 	1000	,
i	Berman Tenant	. 3	192 79	1.08	9-58	6.04		*45	-29		- ,	•	
o-o.	Karen Tenant	. 13 20	185.01	*98	1.68	6-98		.48	• .58			9-86	•••
- 1	Owner-tenant	15	19-88	1.88		6-84		'67	-50	-	•••	9 67	***
■ 1		1000	1	i sessi	 Parente d 	0.0000				F 1	a associal	0-16	***
11	Waren Owner-tenant		26.13	1.12	2.87	4-01		19	"	"			377

Statement 12-Cost of Cultivation-Actual and Assumed-concluded.

		Total n	umber-of			Ave	rage cost pe	r acre of	at its tunner			Cost p	er acre.
Assessment Tract.	, Race and Status,	Culti- vators examined	Acres cropped.	Seed.	Cattle,	Labour,	Manure,	imples ments.	Hate & Byres.	tion and Bond- ing.	expen-	Average total,	Assum-
 7	9	3	1	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	19	18	24
		No.	Acres,	Rs.	Ra.	Ks.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	R.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
ſ		: } 113	A82-28	-77	3 01	P-16		-60	.83	~		18-77	
1			896-72	71	2.29	8.08		.24	#80			11-85	
18	Burman Tenant	} 105	3157 46	.76	8.97	9.47		.48	-39			15.08	
į		: }• 30	502 28	.48	3.12	8.12		-45	-25			19.75	
l	Burman Owner-tenant .	} . 16	674 75	-78	8.09	8-14		.48	-97	•••		16'01	
Į	L .		132 60	.08	243	8.86		-88	-10		.	15 40	
	Ail	. 848	1896-01	•75	8 83	9 10		*49	.83			14.00	14'00
	Burman Owner	. } 19	445 52	· 87	1.84	9.49		'47	·25			19.85	
1	Karen Owner	. 3 7	189 48	89	90	8.73		*44	*19			10.80	-
11-C	Burman Tenant .	· } 18	868*48	'89	3-80	8-25		•48	٠,			18.76	•••
	Karen Tenant	. } 6	94.89	73	2 95	7 33		-43	.3	•••		11.67	}
Į		. } 3	33-11	-84	1.63	8*70		-29	.8	-		11-74	
,	All	60	1131.17	83	2 40	8.79		•44	.30			12.69	18'00
12·B	Burman Owner	} .	119.54	*87	3 29	£.18		'4 5	.99.			18.13	
{	Burman Tenant	} 19	289.52	-69	5.43	6 91		*41	-53			18-99	
	All	18	408.40	*89	4 80	7 26		45	. 54			18-74	18'76
	Burmans	943	29357-19	-81	2.81	8 20	.01	*47	-28	. •01	U-6	19-64	
Summary. All tracts by race	Karens ,	639	13897-01	75	2.10	7 34		-48	.30	.01	-06	10.84	
{	Arakanese	26	970 -2 2	*58	1.49	2 47		•.88	- 26		•09	5° 15	
	Owners	680	19285 03	, •77	2.13	7- 87		-49	-24	01	*08	11.40	
Summary. All tracts by states.		631	14479-03	-82	3.08	7-96		1 -45	*28		•09	12'61	
,, ,		81	2767-36	-74	0.40	8 95		41	•18	10-	-04	1919	-
	Charmer	} 1	150.66	1-(8	1.22	10.85	·	.78	* 38	.81		15.09	
irrigated rice	Karen	} 1	81.76	.98	.58	10.68		-78	. 38	-84		20.0	
(Burman		11-89	1.02	2.01	11.46		-97	.48	.86	1	16.08	-
	A.0		263 14	1.08	1.04	10-81		79	-87	8.1		14.85	15.00

Statement 12A.—Cost of Cultivation, Actual and Assumed including value of home labour,

		N.	Total nu	mber of			Av	erage cost p	per acre of	1 24			Cost p	er acre.
Assessment Tract.	Race and	d Status.	Culti- vators examined,	Acres cropped.	Seed.	Cattle.	Labour.	Manure.	lmple- ments.	Hut and Byres,	leriga- tion and Bund- ing.	Other expen- ditore.	Average Total	Assum ed.
-1			8		8	6	7	8	9	10	- 11	19	18	14
= 13			No.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	. Rs.	Ps.	Rs.	R.	Rs.	Rs.
1	Owner		} 5	104-35	-72	8.97	18 30		-47	-19			28.65	
- 1	Karen		5								V.		((* -
	Owner		3 26	752-17	-69	8*04	15.70	•••	•58	-16	-05	•	89.33	"
1	Burman		} 18	879-16	-79	6-69	14:69		-58	-17	·		35.05	
^ -{	Tenant		5	3,,,,,,				1	1.0		1000	10.	- "	
, ,	Karen		} · n	285-83	-79	8-35	. 16.88		-51	• 15	*06	٥.,	25-14	į
	Tenant		1							·	5			i
1	Owner-tenar		} '	90-32	-74	7.84	16-25	•••	-80	•••	•••		25.13	•••
Į.	Alt			1851-63	-72	7.83	15:76		-52	•16	*08		25. 03	25'0
	VII	· ·	-, 56	1001 02										
														4.5
ſ	Owner	• ••	} "	1497-86	.83	6.74	18:79	-06	.89	-14	-01		23.06	٠
1	Karen		5											
. =	Owner		} **	754-73	-78	7.16	13-88	***	*88	-18	*02	•••	22.35	***
·	Burman		} 81	918-17	•95	6.68	11.06	-04	•38	•18			99-26	
i	Tenant		5 **	02011	-			-						
4	Karen		} •	245-10	•97	5:40	14.57		-87	-15			91.46	•••
	Tenant		2	ı							į			
ļ	Owner-tenan		} 7	289-02	• 8 u	7-26	14.55		-88	-06			28.00	
į.	Karen		2	110-48	-87	6'90	18-56	l .	•26	*14	1		21-73	78200
	Owner-tenar	at	} •	.110 10							<u></u>		21.10	
į	AU	••••	118	8744-86	-89	6.75	18-98	.01	-38	*14	*01		58.18	23.0
													-	
,	Burman)			e self								
	Owner		} 14	278-08	.60	7-01	16-28		-48	- 98	•••	-05	28-66	***
ì	Karen		} 11	178-89	•70	6-58	17:87		0333	*19	·02.	-06	95-97	
1	Owner	•••	,						* 58			•••		
	Burman		} 10	904-15	-61	6-21	13-97		-48	•29	-09		21.62	
^	Karen		5											-
	Tenant		} '	17:87	-52	6.93	18 82		.48	*28	•••	***	26-66	•••
1	Karen	•••	} :	42-55	•35	4.80	18.08		-93			22.0	18-68	6
1	Owner-tenan	it	3											
4	AII	•••	87	711-01	· 6 1	6.24	15'48		'48	•?4	-04	60-	28-42	28'8
[Burman		} •	112.08	-61	7-49	18.88		*45	-18	*04	4.	28.08	
	Owser	***	2									100	2 7050	
n ee	Owner		} 28	449-69	'70	7 58	1678		48	-18		** 1	26-67	•••
	Barman		,					•		•.15			724	2
•	Tenant		} 7	188-02	70	7*87	14.78	***	*87	.16	-04	10	28-87	:
2 11 20	Karen	- ···	} .	191-92	-54	7:29	16-65	***	*45	108			24:94	
i	Tenant	••	,					W				a		
	Karen Owner-tenan		} 1	17-99	*83	5.80	14.48		180				20:40	
Į.	AU		48	827-68	-66	7.51	16.85	1	•4	-18	.01		24.48	84.8
a servicia.						18.5	1 1 1	100				•		

Statement 12A .- Cost of Cultivation-Actual and Assumed including value of home labour-contd.

	1		Total ne	mber of			Aver	rage cost per	r acre of				(ost p	er acre,
Assessment Tract,	Race and Sta	tue.	Culti- vators examined,	Acres cropped	Seed.	Cattle,	Lahour.	Manure.	Imple-	Hut and Byres.	tion and Bund- ing	Other expen- diture.	Average Total	Assum-
 1	8	_	8		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	14	18	14
			No.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs,	Re.	Rs	Rs.	Rs,	Rs.	Rs.
ſ	Burman Owner	:::	} 13	241-86	-69	8.17	12-73		-47	-25			19-80	-
ł	Karen Owner	:	} 13	237.83	-69	8-15	19-18-	1 .	-47	-19			19-63	
í	Burman . Fenant	:	} 10	199 25	-74	5.0	13 61		-51	-78			90-17	-
	Karen	:	} 5	54.60	-63	5-15	15 28		-49	-18	-		21-69	-
ļ	Burman* Owner-tenant		} 1	18 62	-66	485	19-30		*76		-		19-57	-
	Karen Owner-tenant	<u>:</u>	} 1	24 39	-85	5-22	12 27	_	*79				19-12	
į	All		42	760-06	-69	5 12	18 25		-50	*43			19-75	20-00
{	Burman .		} 19	417 48	*73	5-84	14 78		-86	-20	*08	٠	21-96	
ļ	Karen Owner	:	} 14	439-23	70	5 91	12-89		16	18	-10		90-24	-
İ	Burman leuant		} 25	838 91	-87	5.99	15.21	. 01	34	*24	*15		92-76	
Ś	Karen Tenant	:	} 10	84978	-69	6 15	15'61		-57	*17	-08		23-25	-
ļ	Burman Owner-tenant	."	} 1	80,39	58	4 83	1 1.53		.99.	-24			92 73	
1	Karen Owner-tenant	•	} 3	166*51	54	6 US	13-29		-48	14	03		80 -6	
į	All .		65	218) 68	.76	5.3	14 67		41	.50	.09		21-96	22-00
5-B -let and 2nd	Burman		67	1385 88	133	5 40	15-36	ĺ	*51	•22	-16		22.95	
Class. 8rd class	Owner		15	271 92	1.16	5 04	12 30		81	-84	.13		19*48	
1st and 2nd class	Karen	•••	49	962-81	1.08	6.63	15 76	1	*65	15	-13		14'30	¦
3rd class 1st and 2nd class	Owner	•••	10	845-11	-89	4 89	10.88		*34	-15		l	16.98	
Brd class	Furman Fenant	•		59-86	78	6.61	16 72		·50	.43	-94		92-00	
lst and 9nd class	Karen		8	104 67	1-16	p-89	15 26	١.	-53	•16			28 91	-
3rd Jass	Tenant		8	20-43	-75	4.31	11 53		35				17'01	
let and and class	Burman		6	189 91	1-49	5.99	15 32		*48	*14	*19		98-61	
	Owner-tenant													
ist and and class	Karen	••	8	171'95	1.08	5 55	16.39		*46	•19			28-47	
 Ist and Sud class	Owner-tenan*	•	152	 9175- 4	1.18	5-86	15'44		-52	·19	-12		#. \$8-31	 28-25
8rd class				650-82	97	5 08	11.88		.48	*28	-05		18-69	18-60
5-C.—let and sod	Burman		8	115-72	1-19	4'89	11 0		789	-18			17-53	
Class. Brd class	Owner		8	104-65	1.73	6.04	11-96	1	-56	-20		•	19:38	
lat and and class	Kuren		7	214-02	1.98	4.49	12-19		61	-15	-05		10-07	
8rd class	Owner			79-38	1 86	5-21	12 89		*48	04			18-48	
lat and find class	Вигита			78-21	1.88	5 -9 8	15.99		-49	-98			98-91	••
Brd class	Tenagt		9	29-62	1-58	7'97	18 13		-68	-68			49-99	
Ist and and class	Karon	•••	3	87-05	J 58	4.93	18.86		-45	•87			20-69	
Brd class	Tenant		1	18 18	1.14	7.58	18-21		-69	•••			#9'67	•••
lst and and class			16	489 90	1.48	4 77	12•76		-18	19	-1.8		19188	90-00
Red class			18	991-83	1-26	6-08	19783		-64	.19			90-95	20.00

126
Statement 12A—Cost of Cultivation.—Actual and Assumed including value of home labour—contd.

			Total nu	mber of			٨	verage cost	per acre of				Cost p	er acre
Assessment Tract.	Race and Statu	2011	Culti- vatore exa mined.	Acres crupped.	Seed.	Cattle.	Labour.	Manure.	imple- ments,	Hut and Byres.	Irriga- tion and Bund- ing.	Other expen- diture.	Average Total.	Assur
1	9	_	3	4	8	6	7	8	y	10	11	12	13	14
										Rs.	Ra	Rs.	Re.	Re
,	Burman		No. } 10	Acres. 277-37	Rs.	Rs 6 47	Rs. 16:06	Ra.	Rs.	7/8			24.04	
i	Owner		} 15		•	7.42	25	·		•18		.28	25.88	
	()wner Burman		,	808-85	•60		16.73		-63				26.65	. "
1	Tenant		} 15	839-83	-61	7-21	16 93		-53	-22	"	-12		
, {	Karen Tenant	-	} 5	103-02	-88	8.63	15-89	•	-59	'12	-		25-91	-
ì	Burman Owner-tenant	:::	} 8	81 83	-62	8.23	14.70		-51	-98	-		84 65	•••
1	Karen Owner-tenant	:::	} 2	65.08	.74	4'94	18.56		-60	•08			24.87	
Į	AII		50	1169-97	· 6 6	7 18	16-52		5 6	-80		-10	28-22	284
								——					-	-
	Burman Owaer	=	} 12	907 97	-85	7-77	16 33		-46	-81	-01	•05	25.84	
	Karen Owner	:::	} 17	327-85	74	7 38	15.81		55	-25	01		24-27	
1	Burman 1enant		} 20	468.40	-86	7-28	15-48		-50	-43	-01		24.56	
_	Karen Tonant		} 7	191-64	-71	6-88	13.81		.39	-23			81-28	
	Burman		} 8	, 77 17	-84	7 45	15 83		-48	*27			24 86	
	Owner-tenant Karen		}	184 56	•73	671	18-26		25	-22			20-17	
	Owner-tenant	•••					16 20							_
	Au		63	1560 64	*80	7.11	15 17		-46	-81	'01	01	28.87	8
	Burman					1	1				122			
	Owser		} 16	469 37	87	6 98	16 43		51	*28	-16		25 98	-
	Karen Owner	==	} 25	604-61	89*	7.38	17-18		44	*22	13		28 CB	
	Burman Tenant	:::	} 81	927-76	'75	8-61	15 0	ì	-42	2.3	-09		24.13	
.в	Karen Tenant	:::	} 15	875-19	-65	6 98	15 83	-01	16	*14	.18		84.30	
	Burman Owner-tenant	::	} 1	41.38	.44	P-09	17-61	1	-11	28		-	23 79	
	Karen Owner-tenant	:: :	} 1	8 02	79	b 07	12 03	1	17	.13	-13		19-02	
	Au Au		89	9456 14	.79	8. 0	16 11	T	-15	22	12		24.78	84
				- -	 			ļ				-		-
	Burman			134-26	-59	5 65	18 33		.28	•2	i)	-04	80-15	١.
	Karen Owner	:	} 18	138 98	48	1 6 €5	14-81		-47	1 16			19-07	١.
	Arakanese Owner	•••	3 19	215 76	-67	6 7	19.75	i .	-32	-28		-08	20-19	
	Earman Tenant			39 11	-64	5 72	11 62	T.	-28				18-51	١.
	Karen			- 107.015	1		1	1	-85				23-26	
	Tenant		ľ										00000000] "
	Borman	٠	1					1	.40			-	18.65	į.
	Owner-tenant Karen	**	1.		1	1			-28		1		18-49	
	Owner-tenant	·	1'	45-11	38	3 13	9 78		•22	20			13.8	1
	Arakanese Owner-tenant	:		6 97	-48	4-89	7-67	<u> </u>	38	-63		<u>.</u>	18.68	1
	ll All		65	638-09	-04	6.78	12:38		34	-24		-63	19-25	19

Statement 12A.-Cost of Cultivation-Actual and Assumed including value of home labour-contd.

i			Total nu	mber of	[] } 	_	A1	erage cost	per acre of		<u>certa e comunico</u> facili		Cost p	er acre
Assesment Tract.	Race and Status		Culti- vators examined.	Acres croped.	Seed,	Cattle.	Labour	Manure.	Imple- meats	Hut and Byres.	1rriga- tion and Bunding	Other expen- diture	Average Total	Assum ed.
	9		8	•	Б	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	18	14
			No.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs	Rs.	Re-	Rs.	Rs.
B.—Ist and End Class.	Burman	•••	8	209-97	1.10	7.79	15.18		63	.96			36.08	,
d class	Owner	•••	1	11:44	2.10	4.67	11-93	•••	*69			***	19.89	***
t and 2nd class	Karen	•••	19	420-48	1.08	7-35	14-10		*54	.12			23-17	-
d class	Owner	-	1	9-80	1.07	7.04	18.08		*67	•••		***	20-86	
t and Rud class	Burman	••	8	173-18	1 21	7-88	15.88	••	-54	*89		•••	31. 86	
d . 1888	lenant	•••	3	14.92	1.86	4.11	6-58		21	-2 8			18'70	
t and Snd class	Karen	••	. 6	78-29	-86	7.80	17.09		.41	'84			26.10	
rd class	lenant .	•••	• 1	6 (1	1.32	9.48	8-20*		*60	.47			19'84	
st and 2nd class	Burman	•••	1	23.18	1.00	6.78	15.24		*57			•••	23.90	
rd class	Owner-tenant	•••		•••						•				
it and 2nd class	All -	••	41	900.rs	1.08	7'49	14-87		55	-26			24.33	84.0
d class			4	7 2 18	1.75	5 44	8-30		-87	-91	-		28-07	18-0
C.—Istand 2nd	Burman	•	16	924-53	*88	6.79	15 76		*55	*29			34.39	-
class	Owner		5	119 38	2.38	5.06	11-65		*48	-21			19-78	
rd ciass	Karen		20	480 88	-90	6 50	14.75		-47	*25			29'87	
st and and class	Owner			66.56	2.33	4.24	9-95	1	.52	.18			18-31	
rd class	Burman		5	743-71	-91	7.01	15:39	•••	43	.29	! "		24-08	
st and 2nd class	lenant		30	85.68		8.45	9 48		*87	-09		••	20000000	
rd class	Karen		4	413-31	21)	6.78	15 23		i neoli	107500	"		15-49	
st and 2nd class	Tenant		20	192 48	-96	58 0920			•42			•••	28.71	**
rd class	Burman		6	89-18	1.89	5 42	10.87	•	-84	.10	.04		18-65	
st and 2nd class	Owner-tenant	***	8	09.10	.83	6.44	13-44	***	- 6 3	.11		•••	81.83	***
rd class				1,0,0,		•••		•••	•••	•••			•	••
st and 2nd class	Karen	•	5	143.34	-83	6-80	16-59		.23	-38		•••	24.97	***
rd class	Owner-tenant							_ • _	<u> </u>		J			
st and 2nd class	All	•••	91	2194.78	-91	6 79	15-37	•	*46	.27			98.70	84-0
rd c'ass			20	884.05	2 80	4.69	10.67	_:	-48	14	-01		18-28	18-0
[Owner Owner	 	} 10	141*87	1 10	66,	15 50		•61	47			24-88	
	Owner		} 20	998.11	1-06	8.61	17-83	5 o.c	-52	*83	-		97-85	
10-B .,	Burman Fenant		} 13	. 187-45	1.34	7-08	15-81		• '50	.57		••	24-38	
1	Karen	•••	5	126'48			14.00							
1	Tenant	••	3	12010	1.01	7.03	14-66	•••	*37	.81		***	23.87	100
	Burman Owner-tenant	•••	} 1	15.08	1.43	6 67	17.85		-95				25-90	-
	Ail		51	809*07	1.11	7-61	16.13		-61	-80			8C-65	95.20
	Burman		3 .	98-74	-88	6-43	12.85		-64	.49			21-04	
į	Owner	***	13 .	Í	"				1	_				••
	Karen Owner		} 18	20374	-85	7-96	14.98		-53	•28		•	88.81	
	Burman	•••		192.79	}		10.05			1				
10-C	Tenant	•••	13 "	102 19	1.08	5.96	13.67	***	*45	.29	-	٠.	21.40	***
	Karen		1 10	185-01	-98	6-40	13.20		.13	.30			81.24	
	Burman Owner-tenant		1	19'88	1.89	6.28	15"34		-67	-50			94-75	
	Kares .	•••	1)									
	Owner-tenant		15 1		1-15	4.13	1v t7		- 19				25-93	
	All		89	781-81	-96	6.49	18.40				-	-		28'0

Statement 12A.—Cost of Cultivation—Actual and Assumed including value of home labour -concid.

		Total number of		Average cost per acre of								Cost per acre.	
Assessment Tract.	Race and Status,	Culti- vators examined.	Acres cropped.	Seed,	Cattle.	Labour,	Manure,	Imple- ments.	Hut and Byres.	irriga- tion and Bund- ing.	Other expen-	Aver- age Total	Assnpr
1	8	8	4	5	-6		8	9	10	11	12	13	16
		No.	Acres.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Re.	Rs.	Re.
ſ	Burman		1697-63	.78	7-15	15:49	.,	-51	•85			84.85	
Į,	Owner												
1	Owner	\$.88	446-15	'71	7.45	15-12		*50	-21		•••	94.02	
. [Buratan		2125 •50	74	6*98	14.98		.50	*87			28-57	53
11-B	Tenant	90	-120 00	,	0.30		".	•				25 01	-
	Karen	} -23	440-93	74	7.65	15'61		+14	72			84.28	°
	Burman	1.											
	Owner-tenant	6.	296-80	'84	6-77	14.61	•••	'42	25			21-89	-
	Karen	} .,	20.84	-60	4.58	19*29		*52				18-97	
Ų	Owner-tenant											1001	
181 19	A 11	226	5027-85	*76	7:05	16.17		-60	-33			28-80	24.00
	Burman	} 19	445' 59	-87	7-21	14-79		.47	*25			23-59	
	Owner	15 "										2000	
11	Owner	} 7	189-43	*69	7'41	14.84		*14	13	,		88.61	
l l	Burman	5	000.40										-
11-C	Tenaut	} 18	268-41	-89	7.38	15.01	***	*49	*39	•••	***	24.18	
11	Karen	} 5	94.95	*78	6.83	14.17		-43	*25			22-50	
i	Tenant	,	i									2 1	
. [Owner-tenant	1	88.11	-83	6.07	13.67		29	*80			81.19	.4
	All	50	1181.47	-83	7'28	14.21		:44	*30			23-51	98.20
-	Burman	,	110:04	_	7:00	****			•••				
19-R	Owner	} 6	119.54	.61	7.88	16.09		*45	*58			25-67	
	Burman	10	289-25	*69	7:36	15-12		44	*53			94-14	
	Tenant												
	Au	18	4:8*49	769	7.61	15:40		*45	*54			84*59	24-80
Summary. All tracts by race.	Burmans	802	19105.88	-81	6.61	14.91	-01	*46	-27	.03	*06	28-16	
	Karens		12881-76	•75	6.49	14.91		•47	*19	*09	107	99-91	
	Arakanese	9;	270.83	-58	6.16	12:40		•38	*28		.05	19.75	
		788	10885-01	77	6.78	14.87	-01	-48	-23	-04	*09	23-21	1
Sammery, All												26	•
Status.	Tenants	646	12562 99	.88	671	14.96		'45	*87	-08	102	28.26	***
	Owner-tenants	71	2259*86	73	6.18	14.87		.41	.35	*02	-05	28.11	
Errigated rice {	Burman "	2	169'55	1.08	8 129	17-22		-78	98	-81		88*59	
	Owner	} 19		100		-1 24			-		-	20 08	
	Karen	10	81.76	-96	9709	17-87		•78	:86	'84		86.88	-
	Burman)	150000							-			V.
	Owner-tenant	1 1	11-82	1'06	9-41	17:39		-97		88	•	59-91	
			268'14	1708	8"58			and the same of	2 1			15'86	- 3

