

rare, and when they came were rarely obeyed, as tending to delay of justice.

THE case of sir Geoffry Stanton was upon a counterplea of a voucher, whether continuance of seisin might be averred by a stranger against a fine, *quod vide* 13. E. 3. *Voucher* 119. There was diversity of opinion among the judges, which gave some delay to the demandant. Upon the complaint to the king and lords by sir Geoffry, the record was sent for into the lords house in parliament. *Rot. parl.* 14. E. 3.* And upon this petition the answer was, *Avise est au conseil, que par le ley de terre Geffry Stanton, q'est estrange al fyne, est receivable al averment q'il a tend, pur ceo q'il n'est ouste de cel averment par le statut ne par autre ley, pur que la court doit aler al judgment selonc ce, &c.* And thereupon a writ under the great seal bearing test 22. May 14. E. 3. to the justices of the common pleas to give judgment accordingly *selonc l'advise et agard avant dit.* But the judges notwithstanding forbore to give judgment; and thereupon an *alias*; and that not being obeyed a mandate issued to the same effect under the privy seal teste 17. Junii 14. E. 3.† But nothing was done by the judges thereupon; for they took not themselves bound by such anticipation or mandates. And thereupon he complained again. And the petition and transcript of the record being read *en plein parlement, assentu est par tous en plein parlement, et command par les prelates countes barons et autres du parlement, a sir Thomas Drayton, clerk de parlement, q'il alera al justices de C. B. et leur dirent q'ils aillent a judgment selonc le plea plede devant eux sans plus delay; et s'ils ne puissent accorder pur difficulty, &c. q'ils veignent et apportent le roll et record en parlement illoques a prendre finall accord que judgment se dever faire.* The chief justice accordingly brought in the record *en parlement; et assemble illoques le chauncellor, treasurer, justices del un bank*

* No. 31.—F. H.

† I do not perceive that the printed rolls of parliament include the subsequent proceedings in parliament on this case of sir Geoffry Stanton. But see Cott. Abr. of Parl. Rec. 130.—F. H.

et del autre, barons d'exchequer, et autres de counsell le roy, et en dit parlement veues et lyes le record, et en dit parlement debatus diligentment et examine. En meme parlement est finalment accord, that sir Geoffry is receivable to the averment, and ought to recover seisin, par quoi en le dit parlement estoit dit al justices, q'ils aillent a render judgement. [Note, till a judgment *en plein parlement* the judges would not obey the direction.] And accordingly now the judges gave judgment for the demandant, upon which judgment nevertheless the tenant brought a writ of error in the king's bench, *Hil. 15. E. 3. B. R. rot. 41. Nott.* where the matter depended long; and afterwards the plaintiff in the writ of error was nonsuit.

UPON this record these things are very observable.—1. That the judges, who are upon their oath, did not take themselves bound by a direction of anticipation, given by the lords, approved by the *consilium regis*, and followed with the king's writs or mandates of the great and privy seal, to give judgment according to this direction.—2. But when the *plenum parliamentum* consented thereunto, then and not before they submitted to it.—3. Even the *plenum parliamentum* would not govern their directions by their own judgments; but herein they first took the advice of the king's *consilium legale* or *ordinarium*, the chancellor, justices, &c.—4. That though this judgment was given by the advice and command of both houses of parliament and of the *consilium regis*, yet a writ of error lay upon that judgment; for though it were a parliamentary advice expounding the law, yet it was not an act of parliament authoritatively declaring the law, for then it had been conclusive to all courts.

THIS proceeding upon Stanton's petition, and the delays and difficulties that it produced, were the occasion of the statute of 14. E. 3. cap. 5. whereby a commissary court is erected, consisting of two bishops, two earls, two barons, and the chancellor treasurer and justices, for the remedy of delays in courts of justice: upon which

which these things are observable, and will be of use in the ensuing discourse.

1. THE first bishops earls and barons are named in the act; and it being an act of parliament, the nomination, or which was equivalent the consent to these persons, must be by the king and both houses.

2. THAT afterwards the persons, viz. bishops earls and barons, were to be named by the king, and commissioned by a special commission under the great seal to this employment. And indeed it is regularly true, that very oftentimes, though acts of parliament settle a jurisdiction; yet the exercise thereof is regularly to be by the king's commission. *Vid. 9. H. 6. 19.* in the case of the mayor of the staple.

3. THAT the decisive power by this act seems to be committed as well to the judges as to those lords; and they had a voice therein not only of advice, but also of suffrage. And this hath been the wisdom of parliament in all ages; to be much, if not wholly, guided by the judges and those that are knowing in the laws of the land, when matters of that kind were in debate.

4. THAT in case they could not conclude, then they were to send the tenor of the record to the next parliament there to be finally resolved, and according to that resolution the judges to give their judgment. The words in this act *assent of parliament* seem to take in the assent of both houses or *plenum parliamentum*; for as the words *assent of parliament* import as much, so it pursues the methods used in Stanton's case, where the direction for the judgment is by the assent of the *plenum parliamentum*.

THIS court was used in 14. E. 3. after this act till the next parliament; and since commissions have been sometimes granted under the

the great feal, which are grounded upon this act, and run to this effect, *assignavimus vos de assensu parliamenti*. Such were those of *rot. pat.* 18. *E. 3. m.* . & *pat.* 9. *R. 2. m.* 31. *dors.* for Thomas Lovell. But otherwise such commissions have been rarely if at all granted: and the court itself is thereby worn out of use.

AND this statute of 14. *E. 3.* is that mentioned *rot. parl.* 1. *R. 2. n.* 95. 2. *R. 2. n.* 63.

C H A P. XX.

CONCERNING THE JURISDICTION OF THE LORDS HOUSE IN CAUSES IN THE SECOND INSTANCE, VIZ. AFTER JUDGMENT GIVEN IN THE ORDINARY COURTS, VIZ. WRITS OF ERROR AND APPEALS.

I NOW come to what I principally aimed at in this whole discourse, viz. concerning the jurisdiction of the lords house in cases of the second instance by writs or petitions of error and appeals after judgment.

AND this will concern two sorts of suits or proceedings in the ordinary courts, viz.—FIRST, upon judgments given in courts of law, where the ordinary remedy is by writ or petition in nature of a writ of error:—SECONDLY, upon decrees in courts of equity, namely, in the chancery.

I SHALL first dispatch the former of these, and conclude with the business of appeals from decrees in equity.

IN the full examination of the former of these, namely, writs or petitions of error, I shall, as near as I can, hold this method.

1. OUT of what courts, records or judgments are or may be removed into the parliament for error.

2. INTO what court they are or may be removed, viz. whether into the full parliament, there to be determined, or into the lords house.

3. WHAT is preliminary and requisite in law preliminary to the removing of records into parliament for error.

4. By what method the same is to be done, whether by petition or writ.

5. How and when and in what manner the record is to be brought into parliament, and the errors are to be assigned.

6. WHAT process is to be made against the defendant in such writ or petition of error, and where and how to be returnable.

7. How and by whom the judgment of affirmation or reversal is to be given, whether by the lords and commons, or whether by all the lords, or whether only by some, and by what advice and order they are to proceed.

8. How the judgment of affirmation or reversal is to be executed.

9. WHAT is the effect of a prorogation or adjournment of the parliament before the final judgment of affirmation or reversal be given.

10. AFTER this I shall consider of appeals touching decrees in courts of equity.

C H A P. XXI.

OUT OF WHAT COURTS RECORDS MAY BE REMOVED FOR ERROR,
AND WHEN.

REGULARLY when a judgment is given in such a court, as hath no court immediately superior to it, where its errors in judgment may according to the common law of the land be examined, but the parliament, there a writ or bill of error lies in parliament. But if by the constitution of the common law, it have another superior court, wherein its errors may be examined, it is not to go *per saltum* into parliament by writ or petition of error. Particular instances will make the learning hereof more plain.

1. As to the parliament itself, if a judgment be given (suppose of attainder or of reversal or affirmance of a judgment) by full parliament, viz. by the assent of the king and both houses of parliament, this indeed may be reversed *in pleno parlamento*; but cannot be reversed or proceeded upon by way of error in the lords house alone.

THIS was the case of Richard Arundell, *rot. parl. 4. E. 3. n. 13.* who petitioned the king and his council in parliament (which was plainly the *upper* house of parliament) for the reversal of the judgment of attainder given against his father; but could not be admitted, because the judgment against his father *feust affirme en parlement*.

BUT if a judgment of attainder or affirmation or reversal be given in the lords house in parliament, a writ of petition of error lies at another session in the same lords house to reverse their own judgment; and possibly it may be done even the same session. Many

instances of this nature are ; as in the case of Alice Peres, of Holt and Burgh, of the earl of Salisbury and others ; for which see *rot. parl.* 2. R. 2. p. 2. n. 36. 37. 7. R. 2. p. 2. n. 20. 8. R. 2. n. 11. 2. H. 5. p. 1. n. 13. p. 2. n. 11. 3. H. 5. p. 1. n. 18. 9. H. 5. n. 19.

2. If a judgment be given in the king's bench in Ireland, it is true a writ of error lies into the king's bench of England or in the parliament of Ireland ; and if the judgment be affirmed or reversed in the parliament of Ireland, no writ of error lies in the king's bench of England upon such affirmance or reversal in the parliament there, but a writ of error lies in the parliament here upon such judgment given in the lords house of parliament in Ireland. *Rot. parl.* 8. H. 6. n. 70. the case of the prior of Lanthony.

3. If a judgment be given in the chancery of England upon a *scire facias*, upon a recognizance, or in case of a suit by privilege, error lies in the king's bench ; and therefore a writ of error lies not in the lords house in parliament, for then it would proceed *per saltum*. *Vid.* Dy. 315. 18. E. 3. 25. Error 71.

BUT if an erroneous judgment be given in a partition, or in a traverse or *monstrans de droit* or petition of right, a writ of error lies immediately into parliament ; because these are in truth *placita coram consilio regis*, whereunto the justices of both benches are to be called and to give their opinions ; and it is not reasonable for them to be judges in the writ of error, where they are in effect judges in the first instance. And thus I knew it ruled in the case of a judgment given in chancery for the king against Squibb upon an *aid-pryer* and *rege inconsulto* about 20. Car. 2. And with this agree in cases of like nature 42. Aff. . and *rot. parl.* 2. H. 4. n. 37. 38. 39. in Bassett's case in case of a livery.

If a judgment be given in the king's bench, a writ of error lies in parliament. Nay, although it be in such a suit wherein by the stat. of 27. *Eliz.* he may have a writ of error in the exchequer chamber; yet he hath election to bring it in parliament if he please. But if he once make his election to bring it in the exchequer chamber, it seems he has concluded himself, and shall not waive it and bring a writ of error in parliament, but at best, if he do it, it shall be no *superfedeas*.

If a judgment be given in the king's bench in Ireland in an *ejectione firmæ* for the complainant, and that judgment is affirmed in the king's bench of England or reversed, a writ of error lies in the lords house in parliament upon that judgment given in the king's bench here. And note, that a mandate shall issue out of chancery by writ by command of the lords in parliament unto the chief justice of Ireland to issue a writ in nature of a *scire facias* against the defendants *ad audiendos errores* in the parliament of England directed to the sheriff of the county in Ireland where the land lies. And thus it was done in the parliament of 18. *Jac. per ordinationem* 25. *Maii* 1621. in Stafford's case.

4. A judgment given in the exchequer is not reverfible by error in the king's bench; but was antiently done *either* * by the king's special commission *rot. parl.* 21. *E.* 3. n. 26. *et rot. parl.* 22. *E.* 3. n. 25.

BUT yet a writ of error did lie in parliament in such cases, as it seems; for the commissions were but in nature of acts of favour. *Vide* accordingly *H.* 2. *E.* 3. *coram rege rot.* 96. in the case of the men of Lementon, where upon such a writ of error in parliament

* The word *either* is in the original. But unless this was by mistake, which is probable, the sense is imperfect, and there must have been an omission; for in the following part of the same sentence, only one mode of reversing errors of the exchequer is mentioned.—F. H.

the examination of the error was committed to the justices of the king's bench. And it seems also, that, notwithstanding the statute of 37. * E. 3. that gives power to the chancellor and treasurer to examine and reform errors in judgment in *seaccario*, a writ of error may lie in parliament, as well as in the instance above given touching the king's bench. *Quere tamen*, for I have not known it done.

5. If a judgment of affirmation or reversal be given before the commissioners in a writ of error out of the hustings of London in an action, it hath been ruled, that a writ of error lies upon such a judgment in parliament. And accordingly it was done in a judgment of reversal by such commissioners in an action of waste by Coke against Forth; and the judgment of reversal so given was affirmed in the lords house, and the tenor of the record removed by *certiorari* into chancery, and thence sent by *mittimus* into the king's bench, upon which a *scire facias* there issued, and execution thereupon awarded about 20. Car. 2.

6. If a judgment be given before justices of assise, oyer and terminer, or in eyre, or in the court of common bench, no writ of error lies immediately from thence into parliament, till the judgment be affirmed or reversed in the king's bench; and then upon that judgment so affirmed or reversed, a writ of error lies in parliament: for the writ of error must not be brought in parliament *per saltum*, but after it hath passed the ordinary way and method of examination in the king's bench. And accordingly it hath been ruled in parliament. *Rot. parl.*, 8. E. 2. n. 18. & 50. E. 3. n. 48. the bishop of Winchester his case.

* It should be 31.—F. H.

C H A P. XXII.

CONCERNING THE COURT OF PARLIAMENT, WHEREIN RECORDS WERE REMOVED EXAMINED AND DETERMINED BY WRITS OR PETITIONS OF ERROR.

I HAVE before shewn the difference, between the *plenum parliamentum* (consisting of the king and both houses of parliament, and sometimes applied to both houses only) and the *curia parliamenti*, *curia in parlamento coram nobis*, and *consilio nostro in parlamento*, &c. which are oftentimes intended of the upper house of parliament, as well as *coram prælatis proceribus et magnatibus in parlamento*.

ACCORDING to this distribution we shall find, especially in antient records, two kinds of courts (if I may so call them) wherein errors were examined, viz. errors *in pleno parlamento*, and errors examined in the lords house.

TOUCHING examinations of errors *in pleno parlamento*, and the decision thereof by consent of both houses, this I call an extraordinary way; because of latter ages much disused. The other I call ordinary; because it is that method of examining errors in parliament, that now is and for some ages last past hath been most if not altogether in use.

TOUCHING the former, there are many antient instances, where, upon petition of parties unduly attaint or their heirs, the records of the attainders were brought *in plenum parliamentum*, and errors assigned and judgments thereupon reversed.

CLAUS. 1. E. 3. *parte 1. m. 21. dorf.* The earl of Lancaster, being condemned for treason by a kind of military council without being duly arraigned, petitioned in parliament 1. E. 3. for the reversal thereof; and the record is brought into parliament, and errors assigned, and the attainder reversed *per dominum regem proceres et magnates et totam communitatem in parlamento*, and his possessions restored. This was in the parliament of 1. E. 3.

CLAUS. 3. E. 3. *parte 1. m. 33.* the like was done in the same parliament by the king lords and commons for the reversal of the attainder of the bishop of Hereford.

THE like was done for the bishop of Carlisle, and for Roger Mortimer, in the parliament of 1. E. 3. upon the like attainders.

YET observe, that in these cases the petition, upon which these records were entered, is exhibited *coram domino rege proceribus et magnatibus regni et consilio ipsius domini regis*; and the file of the roll of the record of reversal is *placita coram domino rege et consilio suo in præsentia ipsius regis procerum et magnatum in parlamento*: yet the judgment given as well by the *commonalty* as the king and lords.

MORTIMER earl of March was condemned for treason touching the death of E. 2. by the judgment of the lords in parliament 4. E. 3. Esmon his son and heir petitions the king, that the record of his father's attainder *soit fait venger devant vous et les peres de la terre*, that the errors therein may be examined and corrected and right done. *Rot. parl. 28. E. 3. n. 8. par vertu de quel petition le roy fist venger, devant lui, et le prince, et duc de Lancaster, prelates, countes, barons, et peres de la terre, LES CHIVALERS DES COUNTES ET TOTES LES AUTRES COMMONS, le record et judgment*, which is there entered. He assigns errors, and principally in this, that he was judged to death without being arraigned or put to answer, *et sur ce, eue bone deliberation*

tion par le roy prince duc prelates countes barons, il appeirt clerment, que judgment est erronious; par quoi le roy, prince, duc, prelates, et peres, par ACCORD DES CHIVALERS DES COUNTES ET DES DITS COMMONS, repellent et pur erronious adjudgent le record et judgment fusilits, et agard ent restitution. And the tenor of the record is sent into the king's bench to award scire facias and execution.

Rot. parl. 28. E. 3. n. 13. Richard earl of Arundel by his petition to the king prays, that a statute made 1. E. 3. which only recites his father's attainder *soit veue et examinee devant lui et les paires de la terre*, and that he be restored to his father's lands. The king caused the record of that attainder to be searched; and nothing was found hereof, but that recital, and it is there entered, *quel statute vene et entendue par nostre seigneur le roy prelates prince de Gales duc de Lancaster barons et paires de la terre et CHIVALERS DES COUNTES ET TOUTS AUTRES COMMONS illonques assemblez, le dit Richard dit, &c. que, &c. riens est comprise forsque recitall de statute, &c. and sur ce eue bone deliberation par nostre seigneur le roy prelates prince duc countes et barons avant dits, il appeirt, que Esmou comte de Arundell fust unduement mise a mort, par quoi nostre seigneur le roy prelates prince duc countes et barons, par assent des CHIVALERS DES COUNTES ET DES COMMONS, adjudgent, &c. la recitation, &c. erroignes et nuls, et qu'il soit restore, &c.* Nota, the petition is to the king to bring the record before him and the peers in both these cases. Yet the record is perused in both these cases by both houses. But yet the stile of the judgment varies from what it was before in 1. E. 3. for the judgment is entered as given by the king and lords BY THE ASSENT of the commons, whereas before it was BY the king and lords and *totam communitatem*, which though in substance the same, yet the variation of the stile seems to be industriously done.

Rot. parl. 45. E. 3. m. 2.* the proof of the age and livery made thereupon to William de Septvans is reversed and annulled *coram*

* According to the printed roll, it should be m. 14. b.—F. H.

domino rege prælati proceribus et magnatibus et COMMUNITATE ANGLIÆ in parlamento.

OTHER instances of this nature in petitions of error might be given, as *rot. parl.* 21. *E.* 3. n. 65. 25. *E.* 3. n. 54. for John Matavers; *ibidem* n. 8. et 9. *pro comite Arundel.*

YEA, and it should seem in some cases, though the petitions of errors were to the king and lords, and the record thereupon removed into the lords house; yet the commons were called up, and the judgment of affirmance or reversal and the award of execution thereupon *in pleno parlamento.* Thus it seems to be done in the case of the prior of Mountegne against Seymer, *rot. parl.* 17. *R.* 2. n. 20. et 8. *R.* 2. n. 15. which I shall mention more at large hereafter.

AND thus far touching the reversal or affirmance of judgments upon petitions of error *in pleno parlamento.*

SOMEWHAT I shall add touching reversal and affirmance by writ of error *in pleno parlamento,* which were not in antient times so usual as petitions of that kind.

THE only precedent, that I find of this nature by writ, is that in Rastall's Entries title *error en parlement,* which appears to be a writ of error brought as I take it in the parliament of 1. *H.* 7. upon a judgment given in the king's bench in the time of *E.* 4. The writ was to remove the record *coram nobis in parlamento, ut, inspectis recordo et processu prædictis, nos, de consilio et advisamento dominorum spiritualium et temporalium et COMMUNITATIS in parlamento nostro prædicto existentium, ulterius pro errore illo corrigendo fieri faciamus, quod de jure et secundum legem et consuetudinem regni Angliæ fuerit faciendum.*

THIS writ seems to be in that very case of 1. *H.* 7. 19. Howerdine's case; and the time of its issue and the first letters of some of the

the names seem to accord with the parties in that record : upon which case, notwithstanding, the judges there agree, that the commons ought not to have a voice, but only the lords with the advice of the judges. And possibly there might be a new writ brought accordingly. But surely such a writ as this, though not in the usual form that obtained in latter ages, might issue; and upon such a writ the commons would have been interested in the judgment, as well as in the cases of the proceeding upon petition of error abovementioned, where the commons had also a concurrent voice, though this hath been long disused.

C H A P. XXIII.

CONCERNING PETITIONS AND WRITS OF ERROR IN THE LORDS HOUSE, AND THE FORMS AND PROCEEDINGS THEREUPON.

ALTHOUGH in antient times there were petitions, and possibly some writs of error, which did interest the commons in point of judicature, or at least consent or disassent to the judgment; yet these two things are to be noted:—1. That even in the antientest times whereof we have any memorials of record, as the times of *E. 1. E. 2. and E. 3.* the petitions and writs of error in the house of lords were more frequent and more frequently there determined than *in pleno parlamento*.—2. That from the beginning of Richard the second's time downward to this day there are very few if any petitions or writs of error brought before both houses or determined by them, but only in the house of lords, except that one instance in Rastall's Entries abovementioned, which nevertheless is encountered by the opinion of the judges in *1. H. 7. 19.* And this especially after the beginning of the reign of *H. 4.* where the judicature of the house of lords was so liberally asserted by the commons, *rot. parl. 1. H. 4. n. 79. Les communes fierent protestation, &c. que come les juggements du parlement pertaignent solment al roy et les seigneurs et nient al communes, si non pleist au roy de sa grace speciall leur monstrer les dits juggements pur cose de eux, que nul record soit fait en parlement encontre les communes, que ils sont ou seront parties al ascuns juggements dones ou a donner apres en parlement. A quoy feut respondus par l'archevesque de Canterbirs par commandement le roi, comment mesmes les communes sont petitioners et demandeurs, et le roy et les seigneurs de tout temps ont eues et averont du droit les juggements en parlement, en manere come mesmes les communes ont monstres, sauve, que en statutes a faire, ou en graunts des subsidyes, ou tiel choses a faire pur le common profit de realme, le roy voet aver especialment*

ment leur advise et assent ; et que cel ordre de fait soit tenu et gardez a tous temps avener.

AND now I shall proceed to shew the methods of proceedings in the house of lords in cases of writs or petitions of error, and give the history thereof in succession of ages.

WRITS or petitions of error or false judgment are of a higher nature than other kinds of civil suits. They are *quasi casus reservati* to the king's special cognizance. And therefore by the statute of Marlbrede *cap. 20. nullus excepto domino rege teneat placitum in curia sua de falso judicio in curia tenentium suorum, quia hujusmodi placita specialiter pertinent ad coronam et dignitatem domini regis.*

AND hence it is, that even in the greatest courts of ordinary jurisdiction, the king's bench or common pleas, those courts cannot, barely by virtue of their ordinary jurisdiction, without the king's writ under the great seal, hold plea to reverse a judgment given in an inferior court of record ; no, not so much as a judgment in a court baron or hundred court, though no courts of record.

AND the reasons are these two principally.

1. IN respect of the king, all jurisdiction is mediately or immediately derived from him ; and the courts of all kinds are his courts, and have that stile (unless in counties palatine where the lord hath *jura regalia*, and yet even that is derived from the crown), and consequently judgments there given are virtually given by the king ; and therefore it is not reasonable to have them examined, but by the king's writ or commission derived from him specially.

2. IN respect of the subject, who having run his course to obtain or defend his right in the ordinary courts of justice, it is not reasonable

able after his long expectation and expence to turn all about again without the solemnity of the king's special writ or commission.

BUT the reason holds more effectually in cases of errors brought in parliament upon these accounts.

1. THOUGH the court of parliament be the highest court of justice; yet it is an extraordinary court, and the primitive and principal end is to advise the king *circa ardua regni*. And therefore it is not reason to take up their time, in matters of less moment and where the common business of the king and kingdom is not so much concerned, without the king's special command; such as writs and petitions of error between party and party.

2. MANY times such writs or petitions of error would be brought in parliament in trivial causes and for delay, and without any just cause, which is fit by all reasonable ways to be avoided.

3. THE proceedings in parliament must necessarily be very dilatory and expensive, in respect of the intervention of public business, and their frequent adjournment prorogations and dissolutions. And therefore it is not reasonable, that they should be ordinarily prosecuted in parliament, unless in cases of great moment, and by the more special direction or command of the king and sometimes of his council also.

4. THE suits for error in parliament are for the most part upon judgments given in the highest court of ordinary justice, viz. the king's bench, where the proceeding is *coram ipso rege*, and the discussion of causes by judges of great learning and experience. And therefore it is not reasonable, that judgments, which are in effect given more especially by the king than in other courts, should

should be examined and drawn into a second examination without the king's special command or commission.

AND upon these reasons, though in the ordinary courts of justice the writ of error is *breve de cursu*, and grantable in chancery of course; yet to remove a record for error into parliament, whether it be by petition or writ, it is not to be granted without a petition to the king and a bill signed to that effect, as shall be shewn; for such petitions to the king were to be preliminary before any such writ or removal of a record into parliament, to the end that the king might advise with his council,—whether the writ of error lies or not; or if it lies, whether it must be according to the nature of the cause *in pleno parlamento*, or in the lords house; whether the case be of that moment or weight as to be brought into parliament; and whether there be probable cause of error, or it be only for delay.

THESE and the like were the reasons why always parliamentary petitions were made to the king, and sometimes to the king and his council, and sometimes to the king and nobles, before any record was to be removed in parliament for error, or so much as a writ of error issued for that purpose, as shall be shewed more at large.

THIS being therefore premised, we are to know, that there are and have been two methods of removing records into parliament, or into the lords house in parliament, by way of error.

I. By petition to the king, or to the king and his council in parliament, or to the king and lords in parliament, setting forth the cause of complaint; and praying that the record may be removed into *plenum parlamentum*, or into the lords house in parliament, to examine the errors, and do right to the party. And thereupon if the

the petition were indorsed, that it be done, the chief justice was commanded by order of the king, and sometimes of the king and lords, and sometimes of the lords, to bring the record into parliament; and thereupon the party assigning his errors, a *scire facias* issued to the sheriff under the great seal, to give notice to the defendant *ad audiendos errores*, returnable most commonly the next parliament.

AND this petition thus indorsed was in nature of a special commission to the parliament to proceed in examination of the errors; for the petition and indorsement were both of record and filed of record, and most commonly entered upon the parliament roll.

AND this certainly was the most usual course of removing the record; for, the chief justice being ordinarily present in parliament, and having such a command *ore tenus* or by order, there was no need of a special writ of error to command him.

AND this I take to be the reason, why in the Register, though there be a precedent of a *scire facias ad audiendum errorem in parlamento*, there is no precedent of any writ of error in parliament. For they were not so much in use as petitions only in ancient time; though sometimes they issued, especially where the chief justice was not present to remove the record, for then it was necessary he should be commanded by writ to do it.

II. THE second method to remove the record into parliament was by writ of error directed to the judge, that had the custody of the record, to bring it into parliament. And this hath been the method generally used, especially since the time of E. 4. and is much more secure for the judge that brings up the record, and more regular than the other way of petition. And of this more at large hereafter.

THESE then being the two methods ancient and modern for removing records for error into parliament, I shall in the next Chapter give the narrative touching the various forms of petitionary bills of error in parliament, which will be equally applicable to writs of error, where they were used, as sometimes though not always was practised, in ancient times as well as modern times.

C H A P. XXIV.

CONCERNING THE ANCIENT FORMS OF PETITIONS FOR REMOVAL OF RECORDS INTO PARLIAMENT FOR ERROR.

THE petitions for examining of errors in parliament, or in the lords house in parliament, were in the most ancient times only to the king, or to the king and his council, or to the king and his council in parliament. And thus it was constantly used till some time after the beginning of the reign of R. 2. But after that time, though that form was sometimes used; yet commonly the stile of petitions of error was *al roy et al nobles*, or *nobles seigneurs en parlement*; as *rot. parl.* 16. R. 2. n. 18. in the case of Shepey; *ibid.* n. 19. in the case of Bassel; 1. H. 4. n. 19. in the case of Hexy; 2. H. 4. n. 37. in the case of Holt; *ibid.* n. 39. in the case of Bassett; *rot. parl.* 6. H. 4. n. 61. in the case of Deyncourt; 1. H. 5. n. 19. in the case of Gunwardby; 3. H. 5. n. 19. in the case of Colerman; and 8. H. 6. n. 70. in the case of the prior of Lanthony.

Now touching the matter of such petitions, it was for the removal of the record, to the end that the errors may be examined. And these petitions, as in reference to the removal of the record, were of four kinds.—I. To remove the record in parliament, to the end the errors may be examined *in pleno parlamento*. Such were those, which I have at large declared *supra* CHAP. XXI. and therefore I shall say no more thereof here.—II. Such as were to remove the record *coram rege et consilio*, or *coram rege et consilio in parlamento*.—III. Such as were to remove the record *coram prelatibus magnatibus et proceribus in parlamento*.—IV. Such as were to remove the

the record *coram prælatis et magnatibus*, but yet with a special restriction of the examination of the errors to some select lords and others appointed by the king.

II*. As to the second of these, viz. to return the record *coram nobis et consilio*, which sometimes is so in the petition, sometimes in the indorsement of the petition, and most ordinarily in the *scire facias ad audiendum errores*; it is to be observed, as I have before noted, the *consilium ordinarium* had no power or jurisdiction to examine errors and reverse judgments, unless they were embodied in the parliament: *quod vide* 39. E. 3. 14.—But when the parliament was sitting, the *consilium ordinarium* was concerned in petitions of error: but how far we shall see hereafter.—And thus must those records of reversals *coram rege et consilio* be necessarily intended, not of reversals by the king's *ordinarium consilium*, out of parliament, but by the king and his council in parliament. *Rot. parl.* 18. E. 1. Ryley p. 57. the petition of error by the abbot of Westminster; *ibid.* p. 62. upon the petition of Peter Mantar; *ibid.* 144. upon the suit before the *auditores querelarum* in Scotland; *ibid.* 168. 172. of a judgment before justices itinerant: though I must confess, sometimes *coram rege et consilio* is intended in the king's bench in those ancient times. Ryley 174. 183. in the case of error upon an outlawry against Stutevill.

THE ancient form of writs and petitions of error and *scire facias* thereupon granted run many times thus, viz. to have the record or to appear *coram nobis et consilio in parlamento, ut inspectis, &c. de advisamento consilii nostri fieri faciamus, quod de jure et secundum consuetudinem regni nostri Angliæ fuerit faciendum*. This was the ancient form of error in the parliament; which continued in use most commonly till about the beginning of R. 2.

* The reason for omitting the first head is given before, page 138.—F. H.

AND upon this writ these things are observable :

1. THAT writs of error did not lie *coram consilio* unless it were in parliament, as I have observed upon the book of 39. E. 3. 14.

2. THAT by this writ or form of removing of records *coram consilio in parlamento* the records were to be removed into the upper house of parliament.

3. THAT by this writ and form of removing of records and to proceed *de advisamento consilii* it seems to me, that in ancient times, as of E. 1. E. 2. and the beginning of E. 3. the *consilium legale*, viz. chancellor, justices, &c. were not barely assistants, but had a voice of suffrage as well as of advice in the affirming or reversing of judgments, &c. though in process of time the grandeur of the lords got in effect the whole jurisdiction from the *consilium ordinarium*, and left them only as assistants and advisers, which seems thus to obtain about the beginning of R. 2.

4. THAT yet even in these ancient times the *consilium ordinarium* was not only that which was intended by the words *de advisamento consilii nostri*, but it also included the lords in parliament; for the words *consilium nostrum in parlamento* most ordinarily intended the whole upper house of parliament in writs of error, and most commonly in other judicial proceedings in parliament.

5. THAT when by the power and grandeur of the lords they obtained as it were the whole jurisdiction, and the *consilium ordinarium* became but in nature of assistants, &c. the words *coram consilio* and *de advisamento consilii* with the addition of those words *in parlamento* were applicable and usually applied to the lords in parliament.

Now for instances to make good these collections.

IN the parliament of 21. E. 1. Ryley 140. the judgment against the archbishop of York is, *propter quod per comites barones et justiciarios et omnes alios de consilio domini regis unanimiter ordinatum est.*—And the like *ibidem* 165. in the case of the prior of Bermondsey, *et super hoc in pleno consilio habito tractatu, &c.* Here the lords, as well as the justices, and these as well as those, come under the name or stile of *consilium regis*.

AGAIN, *rot. parl. 1. R. 2. n. 29. & 2. R. 2. part. 2. n. 19, 20.* the petition of error by the earl of Salisbury against Mortimer is, that the king would command the record to be brought *devant vous et votre tres sage counsell en ce present parlement*, and process against Mortimer to hear the errors. Thereupon it is commanded *par les prelates et seigneurs peres de parlement* to Cavendish the chief justice to bring the record, which was accordingly brought into the lords house; and after errors assigned a *scire facias* issued against Mortimer to appear in the next parliament *ad audiendum errores*, which recites the petition, *et quod nos, supplicationi prædicti comitis annuentes, recordum et processum prædictos tam coram nobis quàm prælatis et magnatibus in dicto parlamento venire fecimus*; and it is thereby commanded to the sheriff of Salop to summon defendant, *quod sit in proximo parlamento, ubicunque tunc fuerit, auditurus recordum et processum, et ulterius facturum et recepturus quod tunc ibidem considerari contingeret, &c.* Here *coram rege et consilio in parlamento* in one part of the record is *coram nobis prælatis et magnatibus* in another part of the record: and yet all this transacted in the lords house and by the lords in parliament.

AND thus *consilium in parlamento* is applicable to the lords in parliament and to their jurisdiction. So as to the word *curia nostra in parlamento* and *curia nostra parlamenti*, though in some records it is applicable to both houses, yet it is most ordinarily in these called applicable to the lords in parliament and the lords house in parliament.—*Rot. parl. 8. R. 2. n. 15.* in the prior of Mountegne's case, the

the *scire facias* is, *quòd sit coram nobis in parlamento, &c. ad faciendum & recipiendum quod curia nostra consideraverit.*—*Ret. parl.* 17. R. 2. n. 13. & 14. 1. H. 5. n. 19. 3. H. 5. n. 19. it is, *ad faciendum et recipiendum quod curia nostra parlamenti consideraverit.* And in the Register 17. the *scire facias* is, *quòd sit coram nobis et consilio nostro in parlamento, &c. et ulterius ad faciendum et recipiendum quod curia nostra parlamenti consideraverit.* In all these and infinite more it appears, that process in and upon writs or petitions of error *coram nobis in parlamento*, or *coram nobis et consilio in parlamento*, or *facere quod tunc et ibidem contigerit ordinari*, or *quod curia nostra consideraverit*, or *quod curia nostra parlamenti consideraverit*, or *quod de advisamento consilii nostri in parlamento ordinari contigerit*, are but so many expressions of the lords in parliament or lords house in parliament in case of process upon writs or petitions of error.

AND thus far touching writs or petitions to remove records according to the ancient form, which was sometimes *coram nobis in parlamento*, sometimes *coram nobis et consilio in parlamento*, and sometimes *in curiam parlamenti, &c.*

III. THE next form was more explicit, viz. to remove records *coram nobis prælatis et proceribus in parlamento*, and sometimes *coram nobis in parlamento*, but to proceed *de advisamento prælatorum magnatum et procerum in parlamento*, expressly limiting it to the lords in parliament; and though it were sometimes the form in the time of R. 2. yet after the beginning of H. 4. it became the usual stile expressly to mention the prelates and lords.

AND this, it seems, obtained upon two reasons.—1. Because the lords were intent as much as possible to exclude the commons from a concurrent judicature in such cases, which possibly was not so well obviated by the general words of *parliamentum* or *curia nostra*
par-

parliamenti, which by construction might possibly extend to both houses.—2. Because they were intent also to exclude the *consilium ordinarium* from a concurrent voice in these cases, and to bring them to be only assistants, which was better effected by making the petition or process *coram prælatis proceribus et magnatibus in parlamento*, than by the words *coram nobis et consilio in parlamento*, which possibly by a liberal construction might intitle the *consilium ordinarium* to a voice in judicature.

THE instances of these variations and various forms in petitions, writs, and process upon error, will be given hereafter in the several kings reigns.

IV. THE next kind of forms in process upon errors in parliament was, where, although the records were removed into the lords house by writs or bills of error, yet the discussion of the errors was committed by the king to a select number, sometimes of lords and judges, sometimes of judges only. But of this more at large in its proper place hereafter.

C H A P. XXV.

CONCERNING REMOVAL OF RECORDS INTO THE LORDS HOUSE
BY WRIT OF ERROR.

IN the former Chapter I have given an account, that there are two ways of removing of a record into the parliament for error, viz.—by petition, which was the more antient and more usual in antient times;—or by writ, which hath been the common course of latter ages to bring or remove a record for error into the lords house in parliament.

IN respect to the latter, I shall consider these things.—1. How the usual form of the writ runs. 2. How it is to be obtained. 3. When it is to be sued, and how made returnable.

1. As to the form of the writ, it usually runs thus. *Rex capitali justiciario, &c. quia in recordo et processu et redditione judicii loquela, &c. ad grave damnum prædicti I. S. sicut ex querela sua accepimus, nos, errorem, si quis fuerit, debito modo corrigi, et partibus prædictis celerem justitiam in hac parte fieri, volentes, vobis præcipimus, quòd, si judicium inde redditum sit, tunc recordum et processum prædicta, cum omnibus ea tangentibus, nobis in præsens parliamentum (if the parliament be sitting) or nobis in parliamentum nostrum apud Westmonasterium dies, &c. proxime tenendum (if the parliament be not sitting but only summoned or under prorogation) sub sigillo nostro distinctè et apertè sine dilatione mittatis, et hoc breve; ut, inspectis recordo et processu prædictis, ulterius inde de assensu dominorum spiritualium et temporalium in eodem parlamento existentium pro errore illo corrigendo fieri faciemus, quòd de jure et secundum legem et consuetudinem regni nostri Angliæ fuerit faciendum. Teste, &c.*

THIS is the form of the writ, as it is now used, in writs of error in the lords house. Whereby it appears, that it much differs from the form of the writ in Rastal's Entries mentioned before, and likewise from those writs, which were antiently, *ita quòd de advisamento consilii nostri in parlamento*, or *de advisamento consilii nostri parlamenti*. But it expressly limits it, *ita quòd de assensu dominorum spiritualium et temporalium fieri faciamus*, &c. When the alterations were made, or by whom, cannot easily be found without search of all the antient writs of error, many whereof were long since lost or mislaid.

2. As to the manner of its obtaining, it is true, a writ of error in parliament is *breve de cursu* as to some purposes, and therefore made by the cursitor; but yet for the reasons given in CHAP. XXIII. it ought not to pass the seal without a petition or bill to the king, and that bill signed by him. And the writ itself was antiently, and still ought to be *per regem*, or *per warrantum domini regis*; and this appears expressly by the books of 22. E. 3. 3. 1. H. 7. 19. Flour-dew's case; and Dy. 375. and by the constant indorsement of these writs, viz. *per regem*.

AND this course antiently obtained till the long parliament; where, by reason of the king's absence, he that then exercised the office of attorney general did grant his warrant to the cursitor for the making of writs of error returnable in parliament, and the writ was indorsed *per warrantum attornati domini regis generalis*. And upon that account it hath been also so practised since the king's restoration, which is an error and ought to be reformed.

3. As to the time of issuing it, although out of parliament time, yea though a parliament be not summoned, the king may upon a petition grant a warrant for a writ of error to be issued. Yet it seems the writ ought not to issue till a parliament summoned; because it can have no certain return, as it ought to have, for the chief justice to bring the record. And besides it would be to no purpose; for if

a writ of error were brought to remove the record *in proximum parliamentum* before a parliament summoned, though this might be warrant enough for the chief justice upon the first day of the next parliament to bring up the record, upon which the errors may be assigned, and a *scire facias* issue against the defendant, and thereupon proceeding may be to examine the errors; yet this writ of error would be no *superfedeas* to the king's bench to issue execution before the parliament; because there is no certain time when it shall be returned, inasmuch as it is uncertain, whether and when a parliament shall be summoned; and it may be so long before a parliament be summoned, that it would give an excessive delay to justice, if it should in the mean time supersede execution.

C H A P. XXVI.

CONCERNING THE METHOD OF REMOVING THE RECORD INTO
PARLIAMENT, AND THE PROCEEDING THEREUPON.

I HAVE in the former Chapters laid down the warrant for removing of a record for error into the lords house in parliament, viz. either by petition to the king, or to the king and his council, or to the king and the lords sitting the parliament, and the king's answer thereupon that it be done; or by petition to the king for a writ of error, and a bill signed thereupon, and a writ issued upon such bill signed.

THESE are in nature of commissions from the king for the examination in parliament of errors in the king's bench or chancery, or such other courts, as are as it were immediately next below the lords house in parliament, or upon judgments given in the house of lords itself.

BUT because suits of error in parliament are for the most part upon judgments given in the king's bench, and the method used in parliament upon such judgments doth in effect *mutatis mutandis* square with error in parliament out of other courts, I shall keep myself principally to such instances, as concern error upon judgments given in the king's bench.

IF the warrant for the examination of the errors be upon original petition in the parliament, and not by writ of error, the entry is, *quâ quidem petitione lectâ et auditâ, præceptum est I. C. capitali iusticio*; sometimes *per dominum regem* only, as in the case of Mortimer, *rot. part. 28. E. 3. n. 8.* of the earl of Lancaster, *claus. 1. E. 3. part. 1. m. 21. dorf.*; sometimes, but rarely, *per prælatos proceres et*

magnates only, *rot. parl.* 1. *R.* 2. *n.* 28. in the case of the earl of Salisbury against Mortimer; but most ordinarily *per dominum regem et dominos in parlamento*, *rot. parl.* 8. *R.* 2. *n.* 15. in the case of the prior of Mountegne; sometimes *per dominum regem ex assensu dominorum in parlamento*, *rot. parl.* 1. *H.* 5. *n.* 19. the case of Gunwardby; sometimes generally *præceptum est*; and sometimes *ex assensu parliamenti præceptum est*, to the chief justice to bring the record presently into parliament.

THIS being done, then the errors are to be assigned: though many times antiently the errors were assigned in the petition, and a *scire facias* thereupon granted. But this was irregular; and therefore when in the parliament of 18. *R.* 2. there was a judgment given for the prior of Newport-Pagnell reversed in parliament, the prior brought a petition of error again in the lords house, and assigned for error, that the *scire facias* issued before the record of the first judgment brought into parliament. *Rot. parl.* 2. *H.* 4. *n.* 43. and *rot. parl.* 4. *H.* 4. *n.* 26.

WHEN the chief justice brought up the record, the record was read, and in antient time entered of record in the rolls of parliament. And then the party complaining assigned his errors in writing, and thereupon had a *scire facias* to warn the defendant in the error to appear in the next parliament or next session of parliament *ad audiendum errores* directed to the sheriff of the county where the land lay, if it was for land, &c.

AND here some things are inquirable for the better discovery of the antient practice.—(1.) What was done with the record.—(2.) What and in what manner the *scire facias* issued.

(1.) As to the first of these there have been three methods.—1. The chief justice by the command or order *ut supra* brought the record into parliament, whereupon the plaintiff assigned his errors; and

and thereupon a *scire facias* awarded against the defendant returnable the next session of parliament; and it was then commanded to the chief justice to have the record again in parliament at the return of the *scire facias*, that so they might proceed upon the errors; and in the mean time the record was carried back after the errors assigned and *scire facias* awarded, because the same roll concerned divers other matters. Thus it was done *rot. parl.* 1. *R.* 2. *n.* 29. in the earl of Salisbury's case; 1. *R.* 2. *part.* 2. *n.* 31. in the same case; 8. *R.* 2. *n.* 15. in the prior of Mountegne's case; and 16. *R.* 2. *n.* 18. in Shepey's case.—2. Sometimes the chief justice upon the petition brought in the record, and the record thereof was entered again of record by the clerk of the parliament; and then they might proceed without a second bringing up of the record by the chief justice. Thus it was done *rot. parl.* 28. *E.* 3. *n.* 8. in the case of Mortimer.—3. But in the time of *H.* 4. that course was settled, which hath obtained to this day as well upon writs as petitions of error, viz. when the chief justice was commanded either by petition of error or by writ of error to bring the record into parliament either *indilate* or at a day certain, he brought up the roll and a transcript of the record, and left the transcript and roll with the clerk of the parliament to be examined, and then the same day or some short time after the rolls themselves were carried back into the treasury. And this hath obtained to this day. In the parliament of 18. *Jac.* when the chief justice of the king's bench was made speaker of the lords house by commission on the suspension of the lord keeper, yet it was resolved 14. *Maii* 1621. in that parliament, that upon a writ of error he should bring in the record as chief justice.

(2.) As to the *scire facias*, when the party hath assigned his errors, he prays a *scire facias*: which, as hath been shewed, is entered sometimes to be commanded by the king alone; sometimes by the peers alone, as 1. *R.* 2. *n.* 29. in the case of the earl of Salisbury; but most commonly by the king and lords, or by the king with the assent

assent of the lords or advice of the lords, *rot. parl. 2. R. 2. part. 2. n. 31.* In Catermaine's case, *rot. parl. 1. H. 5. n. 19.* it is said to be *ex assensu parliamenti*; yet it was only by the king and lords.

IN this matter two things are considerable.—1. The form of the writ.—2. The time of its return.

1. THE form of the writ of *scire facias* hath in some circumstances differed in several ages. Sometimes, *quòd sit coram nobis in parlamento, &c. tali die ad audiendum recordum et processum et errores predictos, et ulterius facturus et recepturus, quod per legem terræ consideratum fuerit in hac parte, rot. parl. 16. R. 2. n. 18.* in Shepey's case. Sometimes, *ad faciendum et recipiendum, quod curia parliamenti consideraverit, 1. H. 5. n. 19.*;—*quod per legem terræ in curia parliamenti contigerit adjudicari, 3. H. 5. n. 19.* Catermaine's case;—*Registr. 17. quod curia nostra consideraverit in hac parte, rot. parl. 8. R. 2. n. 15.* in the prior of Mountegne's case;—*facturus et recepturus quod tunc et ibidem considerari contigerit, rot. parl. 2. R. 2. par. 2. n. 19.*;—and *ad faciendum et recipiendum quod nos de assensu dominorum spiritualium et temporalium in eodem parlamento duxerimus ordinandum*, as in the modern *scire facias* upon writs of error in latter ages.

2. As to the *teste* of the *scire facias* and the return thereof, regularly the *scire facias ad audiendum errores* was returnable the next parliament or the next session of parliament. But though the award was such, yet the writ was rarely if at all taken out till the new parliament summoned; for till the summons issued for the parliament or a certain day given by prorogation, it was uncertain, whether or when the parliament would be held. This appears partly by the *Register 17.* but more fully *rot. parl. 2. R. 2. part. 1. n. 19. 8. R. 2. n. 15. and 2. H. 5. part. 2. n. 11.*

BUT where the king's interest was only concerned, as to reverse a judgment for the king or an attainder, there went out no *scire facias*
ad

ad audiendum errores; because the king is always present in court and cannot be made party by a *scire facias*. *Rot. parl.* 10. *H.* 6. *n.* 52. Jane Beachamp's case. *Vid. rot. parl.* 2. *H.* 4. *n.* 37. 39. for Holt and Burgh and Burly to reverse attainders in 11. *R.* 2. and 1. *H.* 4. *n.* 90. for Thomas Haxey.

THE ordinary return of the *scire facias* was *ad proximum parliamentum*; for their sessions were short and uncertain; and if it should be returnable at a day certain (as it must) in the same session, the session might end before the return of the writ. But in cases where no *scire facias* was to issue, as where the king was party, the errors were oftentimes examined the same parliament wherein the petition of error was exhibited.

BUT in the late king's parliaments that antient course was altered; for they made writs of error returnable *in præsens parliamentum*, and gave notice by orders from day to day to the defendant. And this course holds now in use, the old way of *scire facias* returnable the next parliament being laid aside: yet without any law at all to warrant it; for the record cannot be reversed or affirmed without making the defendant party by writ, unless he appear *gratis* without a *scire facias*, and plead to the errors. This is now the common course, and the defendant commonly appears upon orders of the house without any *scire facias*, and pleads to the errors *gratis*; which therefore being done *gratis* supplied the defect of a regular process, which yet the defendant may insist upon if he will.

AND thus far touching the process and proceedings in writs of error preliminary to the discussion and determination thereof.

C H A P. XXVII.

CONCERNING THE JUDGES OR PERSONS BY WHOM THE JUDGMENT OF AFFIRMATION OR REVERSAL IS GIVEN IN PARLIAMENT.

THE defendants appearing *gratis* or by process, and pleading to the errors *in nullo erratum*, the next thing considerable is, how or by whom the judgment is given.

Now upon a writ of error—either the whole determination of the case stands upon the matter, as it appears upon the record :—or there intervenes a matter of fact to be first settled before the errors upon the record can be examined or determined ; which commonly is in two kinds or cases ; 1. where the error assigned is an error in fact, as nonage, coverture, &c. 2. where a matter of fact is pleaded in bar or abatement of such writ of error, or a matter triable by the country ariseth upon such a plea ; as where a release is pleaded and denied, or a fine is pleaded and *nient comprise* is replied to it.

I SHALL begin with this latter consideration ; namely, where matter of fact is assigned for error or arises upon pleading.

I NEVER knew an error in fact assigned upon a writ of error in parliament ; neither indeed is it needful it should ; for the king's bench, being the usual court out of which records are removed by writs of error into parliament, may reverse their own judgments before themselves for errors in fact ; and so there needs no writ of error in parliament upon such occasion.

BUT suppose, that a writ of error be brought in parliament for all this, and an error in fact be assigned and put in issue ; or suppose a release be pleaded and put in issue ; how shall it be tried ?

I CONFESS

I CONFESS I never knew such a case happen. But if it should so happen, I think the regular way is to send it into the king's bench to be tried, as where an issue is joined in chancery. Only there may be this difference, that whereas upon an issue joined in chancery and sent into the king's bench to be tried, the king's bench finally gives judgment without remanding it to the chancery; yet possibly the record being sent out of parliament is to be remitted thither with the verdict, that the judgment of affirmance or reversal may be given in the lords house. And although possibly, where the issue upon an error in fact is found for the plaintiff or defendant, or for the defendant upon a plea of release, there is no inconvenience, if the judgment be given in the king's bench; yet if the issue upon the release be found for the plaintiff, it seems necessary the record should be remitted; because the judgment cannot be reversed notwithstanding such verdict, till the errors upon the record be examined, which is proper to be done only in parliament.

BUT now let us resume the former consideration, where *in nullo erratum* is pleaded to the errors assigned of record, how and in what manner and by whom is the judgment given either of affirmance or reversal.

THERE are four parties, whom this inquiry may concern, viz. the king, the lords, the commons, and the judges or *consilium regis*, or such of them as are specially deputed thereunto.

I. IN all judgments of affirmance or reversal in parliament the king is actually or virtually a party to the judgment; for there is scarce one entry of twenty, but the judgment is entered, *consideratum est per dominum regem ex assensu magnatum*, &c. or *per magnates*, &c. *ex assensu domini regis*, as shall appear by the numerous instances, which I shall have occasion to mention in the ensuing Chapters. But

yet I do not think it necessary, that the king should be actually present or pronounce the judgment. For inasmuch as before is shewed, whether the reversal be by writ or upon petition, the king's assent is requisite to the removing of the record in parliament, and ought first to be given and endorsed upon the bill signed. This doth sufficiently commissionate the lords in parliament, or those others to whom that business is committed, to proceed in virtue of the king's authority. And so the king is virtually consenting to the judgment by them given; which is in law as effectual, as if he were actually present and joined in the judgment or pronounced it himself in person with consent of the lords, &c. and warrants the entry to be *per dominum regem* or *ex assensu domini regis*, as if he were actually present. How far the king, after such removal of a record by his consent, may either retract his assent or have a negative voice, I shall not here examine. But it seems to me, that he can no more deny the affirmance or reversal of such a judgment, than he can suspend a judgment of affirmance or reversal in the king's bench by the ordinary judges of that court, though the pleas be there held *coram rege*; for he hath committed the ordinary jurisdiction in such cases to his ordinary judges.

II. If a writ or petition of error be before both houses of parliament, as in the cases of the earls of Lancaster and March and the bishop of Hereford *supra* CHAP. XXII. there both houses of parliament are to be consenters to the reversal or affirmance, or nothing is effected; for by the king's commission both houses are made as it were *judices ordinarii*; and if both houses consent not, nothing can be done. But as hath been said, such bills or writs of error, though used sometimes (and yet but rarely) in antient times, have been very long out of use.

III. If a bill or writ of error be made returnable before the lords in parliament according to the usual form of writs of error now in use, the judgment at this day is authoritatively given by the lords house; the entries whereof, as shall be shewn, are various, sometimes *per curiam* or *in curia parlamenti*, sometimes *per magnates et proceres* &c. And this ordinarily done at this day by majority of votes; which yet notwithstanding hath been found a great inconvenience. For though the lords spiritual be learned men in their way; and though the temporal lords are usually of a noble extraction and generous education, and possibly well acquainted with the methods of government; yet it is impossible they should be skilled in judicial proceedings and matters of law, which requires great study and experience to fit persons thereunto. And besides many of them are young and unacquainted with business, especially of this nature; many of them may be absent, and commit their proxies to others. So that certainly it is a great inconvenience, that mens estates and interests, and the judgments of learned judges given with great deliberation and advice, should be subject to be shaken, and it may be overthrown, by, it may be, one single content or not content. Whatever the extraction of men be, yet they are not born with the knowledge of the municipal laws of a kingdom, nor can be supposed to be inspired with the knowledge of the law by the acquist or descent of a title of honour.

AND this was well known and observed by the king and nobility and wise counsellors of antient times. And therefore there were provisional remedies for this inconvenience in the judicatory in the house of lords.

I. It should seem, that in antient times these proceedings, especially in writs of error, in parliament were for the most part if not altogether transacted by the *consilium regis ordinarium*, the chancellor, treasurer, justices, barons of the exchequer, and those whose education and experience rendered them more fit for such employment; and rarely did these matters come into the house of lords for their

decision, unless it were in cases of great moment concernment and example.

2. WHEN they came to the house of lords upon such an account, it seems, that antiently even the *consilium regis ordinarium*, the chancellor treasurer and justices, had not only a voice of advice, but also of suffrage: as appears by what hath been before delivered, and by the instance of the statute of 14. E. 3. that erected a court for remedying delays in judicial proceedings, consisting of lords spiritual, lords temporal, chancellor treasurer and judges, wherein the judges had a coordinate voice as well as the lords, as appears by the statute itself: and as likewise appears by the compofure and power of the *auditores querelarum* appointed by the king in parliament; which consisted as well of the chancellor treasurer and justices, as of lords, and their power not only preparative to the house of lords but decisive, as appears before in this tract.

3. BUT yet further it is most evident beyond all dispute, that though the record either by writ or petition were removed into the lords house, and virtually and interpretatively the judgment of affirmation or reversal was theirs; yet the actual decision and determination (in antient times even after the decay of the power of the *consilium regis*) was given by a select number of lords and judges, nominated by the king in parliament, or at least by the king with the advice of the lords.

THIS appears by the Year Book of 22. E. 3. 3. upon a petition of error by Hadelow and his wife in parliament upon a judgment given in the king's bench. The words of the book are,—*NOTA que petition fuit sue al roy devant ceo que le breif fuit graunt. Pur que le royl, en que le processe et jugement furent, fuit port en parlement par sir William Thorpe. Sur que le roy assigne counts et barons et ovesque eux les justices, &c. de terminer les dites besoignes. Et devant ceo que riens fuit fait, le parlement fuit fini, et les deputyes demeurent, mes le roy*

roy meme fuit ale; devant queux fuit dit, que le jugement ne poet estre revers si non en parlement; et depuis que ceo est fini, ULTERIUS en ceste besoigne NIHIL AGENDUM.

HIL. 2. E. 3. rot. 96. *coram rege*. Diverse of the inhabitants of Lemington brought a petition of error of a judgment given for the king against the mayor bailies and men of Lemington in the exchequer 17. E. 2. The record and proces were removed into parliament, and sent *sub pede sigilli* by *mittimus* to the justices of the king's bench by the king's mandate, *quod visis et examinatis recordo et processu et petitione prædictis, vocatisque coram eis evocandis, et auditis hinc inde rationibus partium, ulterius ad errores prædictos, si qui fuerint, fieri facerent, quod de jure foret faciendum*. And now upon complaint by the petitioners of delay in the judges, a writ issued to the justices of the king's bench to proceed to the determination of the errors: whereupon the justices of the king's bench affirm the judgment, and accordingly gave judgment of affirmation, and execution is made in the king's bench.

ROT. parl. 7. R. 2. * n. 20. *et sequentibus* in the case of the petition of error by the prior of Mountegne against Richard Seymor, directed to the king and lords in parliament, he prays, *que ordonne soit en ce parlement, que certains gents de counsell le roy soient assignes, devant queux le record soit envoy; et q'ils eient poiar par force de cette ordonnance par examiner les erreurs; and to warn Seymor to appear before them ad audiendum errores; et que eux poient corriger et redresser les erreurs*. And thereupon *par assent de parlement* a *scire facias* was ordered to issue against Seymor, *d'estre al prochain parlement ubicunque*; and that the record be then brought into parliament, and that no protection be allowed. And accordingly rot. parl. 8. R. 2. n. 15. the *scire facias* was returned, and the errors examined, *et videtur curie parliamenti, quod erraverunt*. The judgment was reversed, *et in pleno parlamento præceptum est cancellario, quod faciat executionem*.

* The roll meant to be referred to is part. 2. of the 7. R. 2.—F. H.

THIS should seem to be a proceeding in *pleno parlamento*, though the record were removed to the lords house and the petition was to the king and lords. So that, although the desire of the prior to have the errors examined by some persons of the council thereunto assigned was not observed, but the proceeding was altogether in the parliament; yet this prayer of his makes it appear, that it was the usual course in writs of error in parliament to have the errors examined by some select persons of the king's council thereunto appointed by the king, or at least by the king and lords or with their assent consonant to the book of 22. E. 3.

AND possibly if this course were held, it would be not only a great dispatch of business of this nature; but also would avoid those many inconveniencies, which arise by determining of errors and matters in law by the majority of voices, where it may be, that one fifth part of them that give the judgment are wholly strangers to the course of law and of judicial proceeding.

IT is true, the course abovementioned is now grown much out of use, and the lords give the judgment themselves. But yet even therein since the time that the whole decision of errors have been practised in the house of lords by their votes, the judges have been always consulted withal, and their opinion held so sacred, that the lords have ever conformed their judgments thereunto, unless in cases where all the judges were parties to the former judgment, as in the case of ship money.

THIS appears by very many instances, some whereof are given before, CHAP. *. *. In the book of 1. H. 7. 19. *quam cito billa, sic indorsata fuerit, et breve de errore et transcriptum prædicta in parlamento deliberentur, clericus parlamenti habebit custodiam inde, et per dominos tantum, et non per communitatem, assignabitur fenescallus, qui cum dominis spiritualibus et temporalibus PER CONSILIUM JUSTICI-*

* See before, CHAP. IX. and page 59. — F. H.

ARIORUM procedent ad errorem corrigendum. And therefore when after errors assigned the plaintiff being in execution desired to be bailed, it is answered *per advisamentum omnium iusticiariorum*, that it could not be; for then if the parliament were dissolved before judgment, the party should be at large and the plaintiff below without remedy.

AND he, that considers the great reverence that hath been in all cases of law given to the resolution and opinion of the judges by the lords in parliament, and how conformable regularly the judgment of the lords hath been to the opinion and advice of the judges upon matters in law transacted in the house of lords, and how the statute of 14. E. 3. joins the advice of the judges to the lords and bishops commissioned for redressing delays in judgment, will find, that though for many years last past they have had only voices of advice and assistance not authoritative or decisive; yet their opinions have been always the rules, whereby the lords do or should proceed in matters of law, especially between party and party; unless the cases be so momentous, that they are not fit for the determination of judges; as in questions touching the right of succession of the crown, *rot. parl. 39. H. 6. ** or the privileges of parliament, *rot. parl. 31. H. 6. †* or the great cases that concern the liberties and rights of the subjects in general, as in the case of ship money and some others of like universal nature.

* *Rot. parl. 39. H. 6. n. 12.*—F. H.

† *Rot. parl. 31. 32. H. 6. n. 26.*—F. H.

C H A P. XXVIII.

CONCERNING THE MANNER OF EXECUTION OF JUDGMENTS OF AFFIRMATION OR REVERSAL UPON WRITS OR PETITIONS OF ERROR IN THE LORDS HOUSE.

IF the judgment were affirmed or reversed in parliament, the ancientest course for the execution of such judgment was by remanding the record into the court where that judgment was given, viz. into the king's bench, with a mandate to the justices to issue execution accordingly, which was accordingly there done. *Vid. accordant T. 31. E. 1. coram rege rot.* . And accordingly in the reversal of the attainder of Mortimer earl of March, which was done by the king lords and commons, *rot. parl. 28. E. 3. n. 8.* the record of the reversal and restitution was sent by writ under the great seal into the king's bench, with a command to issue writs of *scire facias* to the tenants for the earl's restitution to his lands, which was accordingly done in the king's bench.

BUT in after times they used sometimes another method of executing their judgments of affirmation or reversal, but especially of the latter, viz. because the chancellor or keeper of the great seal was constantly with this seal attending in parliament, the house of lords by their order usually commanded the chancellor to make execution of the judgment by writ under the great seal; which it seems was returnable in chancery, because the parliament might be dissolved or adjourned before the writ could be executed or returned.

THUS *rot. parl. 8. R. 2. n. 15.* the prior of Mountegne recovered a rent in the common bench; that judgment was reversed in the king's

king's bench; and again the judgment of reversal was reversed in parliament, and that the prior should have restitution of his annuity and of the arrears. And thereupon it is commanded to the chancellor *in pleno parlamento*, that he issue a writ under the great seal to the sheriff of Somerset, where the lands charged lay, *quod tam de seisinâ et restitutione, quam de exitibus perceptis, secundum legem et consuetudinem regni, plenam executionem fieri faciat.*

Rot. parl. 2. H. 4. n. 27. upon the reversal of a judgment given in parliament against Burgh and Holt, a writ of restitution issued under the great seal to the sheriff of Somerset, where the lands lay.

BUT at this day, if a writ of error be brought in parliament upon a judgment in the king's bench, if the writ abate by death, a record is made of it in the lords house, and by judgment the writ is there abated, and the judgment of abatement is entered upon the transcript left in the lords house, and the same is remanded into the king's bench to proceed according to law. H. 22. Car. 1. B. R. rot. 696. Frowl and Methurst.

So if the judgment be affirmed by the lords, the judgment of affirmation is entered upon the transcript, and a *remittitur* entered thereupon, and the record delivered back to the king's bench to proceed with execution. T. 26. Car. 2. rot. 807.

AND so if the judgment be reversed by the lords, the judgment of reversal is entered upon the transcript with a *remittitur* in this form. *Et super inde recordum et processus per curiam parliamenti curie domini regis coram dicto domino rege ubicunque, &c. remittuntur; et in eadem curia coram dicto domino rege jam resident.* M. 24. Car. 2. B. R. rot. 237. Streter's case.

AND it seems, that although as to some purposes the record was removed from the king's bench into the parliament; yet really the record remains as to many purposes in the king's bench; and after such a *remittitur* the court of king's bench proceeds upon the original record before them, and enters the reversal and *remittitur* upon that record.

THEREFORE if the parliament be dissolved before any judgment of affirmance or reversal, upon a suggestion thereof upon the roll in the king's bench, the court of king's bench shall proceed upon the record before them, though there be no *remittitur* of the transcript out of the parliament into the king's bench.

A JUDGMENT is given for the defendant in the hustings of London in an action of waste, where it should have been given for the plaintiff. That judgment is reversed by writ of error before commissioners at Saint Martin le Grand according to the case. Error was thereupon brought in parliament, and the last judgment affirmed. The parliament is adjourned before any execution made. The plaintiff, to have his execution, removed the tenor of the record of the affirmation into chancery by *certiorari* directed to the clerk of the parliament; and thence it was sent into the king's bench by *mitimus* under the great seal commanding that court to proceed to execution, which was accordingly done in the king's bench by *seire facias* about 23. Car. 2. in Cole's case of Gray's Inn.

C H A P. XXIX.

CONCERNING SUPERSEDEAS BY WRITS OF ERROR IN PARLIAMENT,
AND CONTINUANCES BY ORDER PROROGATION OR ADJOURN-
MENT.

WE are to know, that the lords house may be considered as a court by itself without the commons, or as a constituent part of the parliament together with the commons. In the former consideration it proceeds in points of judicature belonging to their separate jurisdiction as a distinct court of itself; as in writs of error, and some other points of jurisdiction before mentioned belonging thereunto. In the latter consideration it proceeds on the legislative power, passing of acts, which cannot be without the consent of the king lords and commons; and this is indeed the supreme court of parliament, the *commune consilium regni*.

As the parliament hath its beginning by the king's writ of summons under the great seal; so it hath its prorogation and continuances by the like writ ordinarily.

WE are to observe these several methods of continuances of the parliament, or of either house thereof, or of the businesses depending therein.

(1.) THERE are continuances of particular causes depending in the lords house during the session of parliament, much like the continuances in other courts, by *dies dati*, or orders of continuances of particular causes.

(2.) THERE are adjournments and continuances of each house of parliament by their own adjournments. This doth not determine, or put without day, or discontinue, any business depending in either house by bill, by petition, or in the lords house by writ of error, or otherwise.

(3.) THERE are prorogations by the king's writ of prorogation after the summons and before the day of session appointed by the writ of summons unto some other day, and sometimes to some other place, as *claus. 12. H. 6. m. 15. dorf.* from Lincoln to Westminster. Sometimes it is to some farther day and place, as in frequent instances: the writ of prorogation reciting the former summons at a day to come, and sometimes the cause of prorogation, sometimes only *certis de causis*.—The operative words are only *parliamentum prædictum usque* such a day *duximus prorogandum, per quod ad dictum diem et locum prædictum accedere vos non oportet ista vice*, with a command to appear at the day given by the prorogation. And sometimes it is prorogued till a new sessions, which in effect is a dissolution of the former summons. Thus *claus. 22. E. 3. part. 2. m. 17. dorf.* the parliament was summoned to be held at Westminster *die lune post festum sancti Hilarii*; then *claus. 22. E. 3. part. 2. m. 3. dorf.* prorogued to *quindenam pasche* next by reason of the plague by writ bearing test 1. *Jan. 22. E. 3.* and then *claus. 23. E. 3. parte prima m. 19. dorf.* by writ bearing test 10. *Martii* prorogued *usque ad novam præmonitionem per nos inde faciendum*.—Thus the writs run, that were directed to the lords.—But the writs directed to the sheriffs run as before to the words *prorogandum*; and then *per quod milites cives et burghenses, quos adveniendum ad dictum parliamentum ad dictam quindenam tenendum per te summoneri præcipimus, ad locum prædictum ad eandem quindenam accedere non oportet, quousque de mandato nostro de novo fuerint præmoniti; et ideo tibi præcipimus, quod executioni dicti mandati nostri devenire faciendo hujusmodi, milites cives et burghenses ad dictum parliamentum ad quindenam prædictam faciendum omnino supersedeas*.

BUT there was no resummons of those that were formerly elect, but an entirely new parliament summoned. *Claus. 24. E. 3. part. 2. m. 3. dorf.* The like *claus. 5. E. 2. m. 17. dorf.*

I FIND two extraordinary kinds of writs relating somewhat to the matter of prorogation, viz.—*Claus. 28. E. 1. m. 2. & 3. dorf.* whereby after the former parliament dissolved there was a resummons of the same knights citizens and burgeses to a new parliament. This was accordingly *de facto* done, and the parliament accordingly sat: but it was irregular and not agreeable to law, and therefore was never after practised.—*Claus. 17. H. 6. m. 1. dorf.* a parliament was summoned *in quindenā Michaelis*; and after by writ bearing *teste 3. Augusti*, entitled *de abbreviacione parliamenti*, reciting the former summons, *nos tamen certis de causis urgentibus, &c. diem parliamenti predicti duximus abbreviandum, et parlamentum nostrum apud palatium nostrum Westmonasterii in crastino sancti Matthei apostoli proxime futuro teneri ordinavimus*; and a command to the peers, &c. to attend accordingly, which was accordingly then held.

(4.) THERE are adjournments of parliaments by the king after they are begun and held. These are oftentimes called prorogations, and the word *prorogandum* was sometimes used therein.

CLAUS. 21. R. 2. m. 19. dorf. The writ recites the summons of parliament holden *die lune post festum sancte crucis ultimo preterito pro quibusdam arduis et urgentibus materiis et negotiis in eodem parlamento adtunc penitentibus, que adhuc commodè terminari non potuerunt, dictum parlamentum usque quindenam sancti Hilarii proximi futuram apud Salop in statu quo tunc fuit duximus prorogandum et continuandum: vobis precipimus to attend at that day and place, vestrum consilium impensuris, et inde absque licentia nostra non recessuris, teste rege quinto Novembris.*

AND indeed it is frequently in records styled a prorogation ; though it is but an adjournment. *Vide rot. parl. 27. H. 6. n. 12.* But this hath been altered of latter times.

IN the point of adjournment these things are observable.

1. THE adjournment of the parliament is by the king, or the king's commission to adjourn the parliament ; and this is done in the house of lords, sometimes and most commonly the commons being called up and present, and sometimes only done in the lords house and notified to the commons in their house.

2. THOUGH there may sometimes issue a writ to call the lords to the parliament, as was done in the case of 21. R. 2. beforementioned ; yet in truth the effective and operative adjournment is the king's declaration, being present by the mouth of the chancellor, or if absent then by the commissioners. And thus it was done *rot. parl. 21. R. 2. n. 36.* which is the foundation of the writ abovementioned to notify it.

3. THOUGH it be sometimes called a prorogation in the very record of adjournment ; yet in truth and propriety of speech it is no prorogation ; for that is *before* the day of sessions by the summons, but adjournment is *after* the sessions begun. And the records of the commission to adjourn have therefore of latter times omitted the word *prorogandum*, and run only thus : *presens parliamentum et omnia negotia causas et materias inceptas et non adhuc terminatas adjournare et continuare usque talem diem ibidemque tunc tenendum et proseguendum.*

Now in relation to these various continuances somewhat is observable touching parliamentary proceedings in both or either of the houses. It seems therefore,

1. THAT the private adjournments of the houses by themselves make no alteration or discontinuance of suits in the lords; no, nor of their committees or bills.

2. A PROROGATION before a session doth not discontinue a writ of error, nor a *scire facias* thereupon, but carries it over to the day given by prorogation.

3. AN adjournment of the parliament by the king, or by his commission, in such manner as is above declared, what effect it hath, was a business formerly of great debate: but now it is by use and custom and partly by declarative orders settled.—1. As to writs of error and causes depending there as a separate court from the commons, heretofore it was held, that an adjournment without special words to adjourn all causes *in statu quo* had discontinued all such proceedings in the lords house, and they were put to begin all again. And thus I remember it was ruled in the house, Bridgeman being keeper. But since that time upon search of precedents it hath been ordered and declared by the lords, that no discontinuance ariseth in such cases by adjournment, but they are to proceed as they left the cause the last session. And truly it stands with reason; for these proceedings are in the lords house as a distinct court.—2. But then what shall be done as to bills or acts depending in either house? In the parliament of 18. *Jac.* Coventry then attorney reported, that upon search he found not the word *proroguing* in such adjournments; and therefore May 31. in that parliament reported, that such an adjournment determines committees, but not bills. But this report of his hath not obtained; for it is the constant use after such adjournments by the king or by his commission, that all bills and matters relating to both houses do begin *de novo*, as well as committees. And it stands with reason: for this is a proceeding before them, as both houses constitute one court and also a great council wherein there may be many changes of advices as well as persons.

BUT if the parliament be dissolved before judgment affirmed or reversed, then the writ of error is wholly discontinued and abated, and the court below may issue process and execution upon the record remaining with them, without any formal remission of the transcript from the house of lords, upon a suggestion entered thereof upon the record before the judges below, that the judgment is neither affirmed nor reversed.

AND therefore I take it, that the granting or continuing of a *superfedeas* by the lords house, depending a writ of error, until the next parliament, as it hath been sometimes done, viz. *rot. parl. 4. H. 4. n. 26.* in the case of the dean and chapter of Litchfield, *rot. parl. 11. H. 6. n. 40.* in the case of Isabel Beauchamp, was not consonant to law. For it would be an intolerable delay of justice; for no parliament possibly would be summoned in seven years; and it were very unreasonable, that the plaintiff's execution upon a judgment obtained should be so long delayed: and the rather because error in judgments is not presumed, till it be declared and adjudged by the court where a writ of error is depending.

BUT if it were only an adjournment of the parliament to a long day, there, according to the reason of the resolution of the lords above-mentioned, as the writ of error hath a continuance until the day given by adjournment, so the *superfedeas* will also have a continuance notwithstanding such adjournment of the parliament.

A WRIT of error regularly is not to be brought or sued out of record, till a parliament be actually summoned; for it must have a certain return; and the like of a *scire facias* upon a writ of error brought and errors assigned in parliament; for to bring a writ of error returnable *ad proximum parliamentum* generally is not regular, nor will be any *superfedeas* for the reason before given. But the writ of error is to be brought after the parliament summoned, and is to mention

mention the day and place of the parliament so summoned. Thus it was agreed by the court of king's bench.

AFTER an adjournment or prorogation of the parliament a writ of error may be brought, and is to be allowed; because there is a fixed day of reconvening it; but with this difference. If the day given by adjournment or prorogation be a short time after the issuing of the writ of error, it is then also a *superfedeas* to the court below to grant execution; as if for the purpose the writ bears test in Trinity term, and the day of adjournment or prorogation be in the next term, viz. any time in Michaelmas term; because here no mean term intervenes. And accordingly this holds upon writs of error in the exchequer chamber or king's bench. But if a term intervenes between the test or allowance of the writ of error and the day of adjournment of the parliament; as if the writ comes to be allowed in Trinity term, and the day of adjournment of the parliament, when the writ of error is returnable, is in Hilary term; this is no *superfedeas* of the execution (but yet the writ of error must be allowed) for the great delay that would happen, to those that have had their judgments, by the interposition of a term. And this I have known many times ruled, as well upon writs of error in parliament, as upon writs of error in the exchequer chamber.

AND this case differs from that before mentioned, where the parliament is adjourned or prorogued either to a short day or long day after the record removed, and a long day upon the writ of error before the record removed*: for in the former case the court of parliament is possessed of the record, but not in the latter case.

* The words in *italic* want addition to make the sense compleat. But the difference meant to be pointed out is between removal of the record *after* adjournment or prorogation and removal *before*.—F. H.

C H A P. XXX.

SEVERAL INSTANCES OF WRITS OF ERROR, AS THEY OCCUR IN THE PARLIAMENT ROLLS FROM THE BEGINNING OF E. 3. TO 1. H. 7.

I SHALL now, as I promised, give an account of the several writs or petitions of error in parliament from the first year of Edward the third to the beginning of Henry the seventh, and the brief memorial of them, and some observations thereupon; which will both explicate and prove much of what hath been before delivered upon this subject.

I SHALL omit those of the earls of Lancaster and bishop of Hereford; because mentioned at large *supra* CHAP. * and begin about 4. E. 3.

† 4. E. 3. n. 1. 2. & 3. Attainder of Roger Mortimer and Simon Beresford for the death of E. 2. and of John Matravers for the earl of Kent.—N^o 6. *Declarations des seigneurs en plein parlement, que leur jugement sur Simon Beresford et autres, que ne fuerent leur peres NON TRAHATUR IN CONSEQUENTIAM, par quoi les dits peres puissent estre charge desore adjuger autres que leur peres contre le ley de terre, si antiel case aveigne.*

N^o 11 & 12. Petition of error by Esmont son and heir of Esmont earl of Kent upon the attainder of his father. The like by his widow. But it ended in a restitution by the king *par assent du parlement*.

* See before, CHAP. XXII. page 128. See also page 172. and 173.—F. H.

† The remainder of this chapter is not in lord Hale's own hand-writing, but seems to have been transcribed by some person employed by him as an amanuensis.—F. H.

N^o 13. The like for Richard earl of Arundel, but no reversal, because the attainder was confirmed in parliament, but a restitution *par assent de parlement*.

21. E. 3. n. 56. vel 65. John Matravers *fuiſt petition al roy et a son conseil d'error, que le jugement soit veü et examine en plein parlement devant roy et peres de realme. Nihil factum; sed postea restitution, 25. E. 3.*

25. E. 3. n. 54. *Restitution de John Matravers par roy seigneurs et commons.*

N^o 9. *Restitution de count de Arundel par roy seigneurs et commons. The petition al roy et conseil en present parlement.*

ROT. parl. 28. E. 3. n. 8. Esmon son and heir of Mortimer petitions the king, that the record of his father's attainder *soit fait vener devant vous et les peires de la terre*, that the errors therein may be examined and corrected, and right done. *Par vertue de quel petition le roy fist vener devant lui et le prince et duc de Lancaster, countes, barons, et peres de la terre, les chivalers des countees et totes les autres commons illoque assemble, le record et judgment, which is there entered, viz. that in the parliament of 4. E. 3. he assigns error, that he was adjudged to death without being put to answer. Et sur ce eue bone deliberacion par le roy, prince duc prelates countes et barons, il appeire clerement, que le jugement est erroneous, par quoi le roy, et les prelates prince duc countes et barons, par accord des chivalers des countees et des dits communes, repellent et pur erroneous adjugent le record et judgment furdit, et agardent restitution.*—This record was sent by writ under the great seal into the king's bench; and there *scire facias* to be awarded.

IBID. n. 13. Richard earl of Arundel petitions the king, that the record of a statute made 1. E. 3. by which Esmond his father was

put to death be viewed and examined *devant lui et les peeres de la terre*, that he may be restored to the inheritance of his father. *Par vertu de quel petition le roy fist sercher les recordes et remembrances touchant le mort Richard count de Arundel*, which was a recital in a statute 1. E. 3. and entered in *hæc verba*. *Quel statut veiu et entendu par notre seigneur le roy, prelates, prince de Gales, duc de Lancastre, countes et barons, peires de la terre, et chivalers des countees et totes autres communes de la terre, illoque assembles, riens est comprise forsque recital de statut : et sur ceo eue bone deliberation par notre seigneur le roy prelates prince duc countes et barons avantdits, il appeirt, que Esmond count de Arundel fuit unduement mis al mort, &c. par quoi notre seigneur le roy prelates prince duc countes et barons, par assent des chivalers des countees et des dits communes ajugent la recitation, &c. erroneus et nuls*, and that Richard be restored, &c.

CLAUS. 1. E. 3. part. 1. m. 21. dorf.* Henry brother and heir of Thomas earl of Lancaster *venit in isto parlamento, et exhibuit coram domino rege proceribus et magnatibus regni et consilio ipsius regis tunc ibidem existentibus petitionem*, “*A notre seigneur et a son conseil pryre Henry,*” &c. that the record of his brother’s attainder being in the chancery be examined and redressed. *Frætextu cujus petitionis dictum fuit cancellario per ipsum regem, quod deportare faceret recordum et processum in parlamento*; which was accordingly dore and errors assigned. *Et quia inspectis et plenius intellectis recordo et processu prædictis, ob errores prædictos et alios in recordo et processu, consideratum est per ipsum dominum regem proceres et magnates et totam communitatem regni in eodem parlamento, that the judgment tanquam erroneum revocetur et annulletur, &c. et habeat breviam cancellario et justiciariis, in quorum placeis dictum recordum irrotulatur, quod recordum prædictum irritari faciant.*

THE stile is *placita coram domino rege et consilio suo in presentia ipsius regis procerum et magnatum in parlamento.* 1. E. 3.

* See also *rot. parl.* 1. E. 3. r. 1.—F. H.

THE like judgment for Roger Mortimer.—The like for the bishop of Carlisle.

Rot. parl. 40. E. 3. m. 2. The proof of age and livery admitted *coram domino rege praelatis magnatibus et communitate regni Angliæ in parlamento.*

50. E. 3. n. 48. Complaint of bishop of Norwich of erroneous judgment in C. B. *A quoi est respondu finalement par commun assent de tous les justices*, that it doth not lie till affirmance or reversal in B. R.—*Nota*, the petition is not of record.

1. R. 2. n. 29. The earl of Salisbury petitions the king for the reversal of a judgment given against him in the king's bench for error, and prays the king *a commander faire venir le record et proces devant vous et votre tres sage conseil en ce present parlement*; and process against Mortimer to hear the errors, &c. *Quel petition eue et entendue en memo parlement, commande fuit en cest parlement par les prelates et seigneurs peres de parlement a Johan Cavendish cheife justice, q'il ferra venir le record et proces entre rolls de divers autres records sans delay, who apporta les record et proces en rolls de diverses autres records.* The earl thereupon assigns errors by word of mouth, and prayed a *scire facias* against Mortimer returnable the next parliament, which is granted. At the end of the parliament the chief justice carries back the rolls, and it is ordered, that the record be brought into the next parliament. *Nota* the petition to the king, but transmitted to the lords, and thereupon the lords make these awards.—At another parliament, rot. parl. 2. R. 2. part. 1. n. 19. * the former record recited. The *scire facias*, accordingly reciting the petition, *nos supplicationi prædicti comitis annuentes recordum et processum prædicti tam coram nobis quàm praelatis et magnatibus in dicto parlamento venire fecimus*; and command to the sheriff of Salop, *quod scire facias Edmundo*

* It should be part. 1. n. 31.—F. H.

Mortimer, &c. quod sit coram nobis in proximo parlamento ubicunque tunc fuerit auditurus recordum et processum, &c. et ulterius facturus et recepturus quod considerari contigerit tunc ibidem. Teste 1. Decemb. 1. R. 2. *NOTA*, the former parliament ended 28. Novemb. 1. R. 2. This parliament held 25. May * 2. R. 2. So the *scire facias* issued after the end of the former parliament and before this of R. 2. summoned.—The sheriff returned *nihil habet*. The earl petitions the king for a new *scire facias* returnable the next parliament *in totidem verbis* as the former. Mortimer returned summoned. The parties appear. Exception taken to the return; and the earl prays, *a notre seigneur le roy et al seigneurs de parlement*, that he may assign errors, and that the record may be examined and reversed. *Par assent du parlement jour est done al parties tanque prochain parlement. Rot. parl. 2. R. 2. part. 2. n. 31.†* This parliament began *die Mercurii 20. Octob. 2. R. 2.‡* The former proceedings of the earl of Salisbury recited; a new *scire facias* prayed; *quel brief par notre dit seigneur le roy par advise des seigneurs et autres sages de parlement est graunt returnable prochain parlement, et que les record et proces soient en dit prochain parlement. NOTA*, this seems to be the second *scire facias* abovementioned.

§ [2. R. 2. part. 1.] n. 36. 37. Alice Peres || judged in parliament brought a petition of error. She could not pursue it by attorney without the king's licence. Licence is granted by the king. The bill is indorsed by the king, and *envoy a son grand councell en parlement, a ceux le roy ad commise la discussion de mesme bill*: which bill *estoit puis apres par mesme le grand councel en plein parlement, par auctorite a eux done par le roy, respondue et endorsée, &c. "il semble as*

* It should be 20. October.—F. H.

† It should be n. 19.—F. H.

‡ It should be 25. April.—F. H.

§ What is between the crotchets not in the original; but necessary in point of reference.—F. H.

|| In the printed roll of parliament the name is *Perriers* and *Perrers*.—F. H.

“*seigneurs * de counsell nostre seigneur le roy, que le roy le poet faire de sa grace. Pur quoi il est assentuz, que les suppliantz soient rescueuz a pursuer la ley par leur attournes.*”

Rot. parl. 3. R. 2. n. 19. This parliament held Monday after the feast of Saint Hilary 3. R. 2. There the whole record and continuance of the proceeding of the earl of Salisbury in parliament are again repeated, and the continuance thereof is made to this that was the next parliament. It is commanded by the king *et autres seigneurs avantdits*, that the record and process be brought in and read. But now Mortimer earl of March hath the king's protection *per unum annum duraturam*, and so the plea put without day.

Rot. parl. 7. R. 2. n. 20. A judgment given in the king's bench for Richard Seymor against the prior of Mountegne in a *seire facias*.—First, the prior delivers a petition *al roy et al seigneurs en ceste parlement*, that a judgment *quod respondeat oustre* given against the prior omitted to be entered of record by the justices may be entered.—

The record brought into parliament *par commandment des seigneurs*, and the record viewed and examined in presence of the lords and the justices *de utroque banco* and barons of the exchequer. *Et par advise des justices et autres sages est agardiz et comandiez en parlement, que l'enrolment soit amendez*; and the old rolls taken out of the bundle and new-amended rolls inserted.—The enrolment being amended by the said award in parliament, a petition of error is brought by the prior directed *al roy et al nobles seigneurs en ce present parlement*; and it prays, *que ordeine soit en ce present parlement, que certains gents de counsell le roy soient assignes, devant queux le record soit envoy, et q'ils eint poiar par force de ce ordinance to examine the errors, and warn Richard Seymor to appear before them ad audiendum errores*, and to redress the errors. *Quel bill lu en parlement est agard par assent de par-*

* What follows is in the original imperfect; and is therefore taken from the printed roll of parliament.—F. H.

lement, that the prior should have *scire facias* returnable next parliament to warn Richard Seymor *ad audiendum errores, et ulterius faciendum et recepturum ce que par ley de terre sera adjugé en ce cas*, and that the record and process be in the next parliament, and no protection to be allowed.—*Rot. parl. 8. R. 2. n. 15.* In the parliament held at Westminster *crastino Martini 8. R. 2.* the proceedings at the former parliament are recited. A *scire facias* issues to the sheriff of Somerset *teste 15. October 8. R. 2. per petitionem de parlamento. Quod scire facias Richardo Seymor, quod sit coram nobis in parlamento apud Westmonasterium in crastino Martini proximè futuro ad audiendum recordum et processum et errores, &c. si sibi viderit expedire, et ulterius ad faciendum et recipiendum quod curia nostra consideraverit in hac parte.* This writ issued not till the new parliament was summoned and agreed. *Scire feci* returned. The petitioners appear. *Et præceptum est per regem et dominos in eodem parlamento* to the chief justice to bring the record in *dictum parlamentum*, which was forthwith done accordingly, and entered in *hæc verba*. Thereupon the prior assigns several errors; and to every material error assigned the court gives their opinion, viz. *et super hoc auditis allegationibus utriusque partis, et visis et examinatis recordo et processu prædictis, * ideo ob errores illos consideratum est, quod iudicium prædictum tanquam erroneum revocetur cessetur et penitus annulletur; et quod prior should have restitution una cum exitibus, &c. Et præceptum est vicecomiti Somerset restitutionem et seisinam de manerio prædicto, &c. et quod inquiret de exitibus, &c. Et præceptum est cancellario domini regis in PLENO PARLIAMENTO, quod tam de seisinâ et restitutione, &c. quàm de exitibus, &c. secundum legem et consuetudinem regni Angliæ plenaria executionem fieri faciat et demandet.* NOTE the command of restitution *en PLEIN parlement*, which appears to be both houses present, as appears *rot. parl. 10. R. 2. n. 35.*

* In the printed roll the following words of judgment are preceded with an opinion upon each material error. *Rot. parl. vol. III. page 194.—F. H.*

THE like 16. R. 2. n. 17. for John Frere.

ROT. parl. 13. R. 2. n. 16. Error in parliament by petition *al roy et seigneurs* by John Mothian for erroneous charging him as abettor in an *appel de mort* at 500 marks. The record brought into parliament: a *scire facias* thereupon awarded returnable *a prochein parlement a oyer les erreurs*, and to receive *ce que en le dit prochein parlement ferra adjuge*, and that the record should be there, and the petitioner's bail to pay the damages stated or render his body.

ROT. parl. 16. R. 2. n. 18. a petition of error by John Shepey upon a judgment in the king's bench directed *al nostre seigneur le roy et al nobles seigneurs*, and praying *que plese al roy et al seigneurs, de faire vener le record en ce parlement, et a faire garnir le prior d'estre a ce parlement*. And *dicta petitione in parlamento lecta consideratum est, quod Johannes habeat breve de scire facias returnable proximo parlamento ad audiendos errores*, and *ad ulterius facturum et recepturum quod per legem terre consideratum fuerit in hac parte*; and the record to be in the next parliament.—And now the writ abated *certis de causis*; and a new *scire facias* was granted returnable *ad proximum parlamentum*.

16. R. 2. n. 19. the like petition *al roy et nobles seigneurs* by Esmond Bassett. The petition continued till the next parliament *in statu quo nunc*.

ROT. parl. 17. R. 2. n. 41. * *et sequentibus* in this parliament held in quindena Hillarii a petition of error by the dean and chapter of Litchfield against the prior of Newport: *et dicta petitione in parlamento lecta de assensu ejusdem parlamenti consideratum est, quod habeant breve de scire facias returnable proximo parlamento, ad audiendum errores, et ad faciendum ulterius et recipiendum quod per legem terre in eadem curia parlamenti adjudicaretur in hac parte; et quod recordum et processus præ-*

* N^o 15. in the printed rolls.—F. H.

dicta sint in proximo parlamento ex causâ prædictâ. The petition was *al seigneur le roy et a les nobles seigneurs de ce parlement.*—The *scire facias* issued 15. November 18. R. 2. returnable *coram nobis in parlamento nostro in quindén Hillarii proxima futura tenendo, ad audiendum recordum et errores, et ad faciendum et recipiendum quod curia nostra consideraverit in hac parte,* which writ is then accordingly returned by the sheriff.—This writ issued after the new parliament summoned. Thereupon *in eodem parlamento præceptum est capitali justituario banci regis, quod recordum et processus prædicta in dictum parlamentum deferret:* which he accordingly did, and the record entered *in hæc verba.* The dean and chapter assign errors in parliament upon the judgment of reversal given in the king's bench. *Super quo visis et examinatis recordo et processu prædictis, videtur curiæ in isto eodem parlamento, quod prædicti justitarii ad placita coram rege tenenda assignati erraverunt: ideo consideratum est in eodem parlamento, quod judicium revocationis primi judicii redditum, &c. cassetur et pro nullo habeatur.* And the first judgment in the common bench affirmed. *Et præceptum est in eodem parlamento cancellario domini regis, quod faciat executionem. Intentionis tamen custodis Angliæ et dominorum in eodem parlamento existentium est, quod decanus et capitulum habeant tantum unum annum redditum viginti librarum.* This judgment of reversal was in the lords house. Yet the words of the whole proceeding are as applicable to the whole parliament, as that before of the prior of Mountegne. *Nota* this parliament held by the *custos regni.*

1. H. 4. n. 90. Thomas Haxy's petition of error upon attainder of treason; *a nostra seigneur le roy et a les seigneurs du parlement nostre; et a quel petition ovz record et proces d'icel lue et entendue, nostre seigneur le roy par advise et assent des seigneurs spirituell et temporall ad ordein et adjuge, que le dit judgment rendu in parliament 20. R. 2. soit de tout casse revers et repeale, &c. et que le dit Thomas Haxy soit restore, &c.*

NOTA *ibidem* n. 104. there was a bill for the reversal delivered to the commons, and by them presented *inter petitiones communitatis*: and the king answers, *le roy voet d'advise et assent de seigneurs spirituels et temporals, que le judgment soit revers, ut supra*. So it passed as an act.

2. H. 4. n. 37. John Holt and William Burgh attaint in the parliament of 11. R. 2. petition the king (*al roy nostre seigneur*) *de granter et adjuger, q'ils soient restores a leur terres, &c.* The record thereupon brought out of chancery *devant le roy et les seigneurs en parlement; et illoque lue et entendue, error y ad apparent; pur que accord est par les seigneurs susdits de assent le roy, que le record et judgement soient casses adnulles et reverses, et les petitioners restores a leur terres unà cum exitibus*. And a writ to the sheriff of Somerset under the great seal to restore them to possession *unà cum exitibus* *.

IBID. n. 38. *A roy nostre seigneur* a petition of error by Esmond Bassett upon a judgment in B. R. given against him in B. R. for king R. 2. in a *scire facias*, *que plesse al roy et les nobles seigneurs avantdits, a faire vener record et proces devant eux en cest present parlement, et a corriger les erreurs come ley et reson demandent*. The record is accordingly removed, and continued in *statu quo nunc* till the next parliament. The record is entered at large.

IBID. 39. *Al seigneur le roy et son tres sage conseil en present parlement supplie Roger cosin et beyre Simond de Burley, que plesse a granter, que un judgement rendus envers Simond en le parlement 11. R. 2. soit revers et adnulle en cest present parlement. Assentuz est et accordez par le roy et les seigneurs en cest parlement, que le judgement envers Simond Burley soit revers et adnulle.*—NOTA la petition al roy et conseil. le

* The printed roll of parliament is not in the same words of reversal as are given here; and the restitution is silent as to profits of the lands, whilst out of possession of the two attainted persons.—F. H.

reversal par roy et seigneurs. But it was a special reversal, and not to extend to certain lands granted to the free chapel of Saint Stephen.—*Vid.* more of this matter *rot. parl.* 5. H. 4. n. 54.

IBID. n. 40. Petition of error for the prior of Newport Pagnel. A *scire facias* granted and now returnable continued *in statu quo* to the next parliament. *Et vide rot. parl.* 4. H. 4. n. 26. The error was brought upon the judgment of reversal given 17. & 18. R. 2. in parliament. And now the errors assigned by the prior principally were, that a *scire facias* was granted in parliament before the record brought thither. *Sed nihil actum ulterius.*

6. H. 4. n. 61. * *A notre souveraine seigneur et a seigneurs en ceo present parlement* the petition of Roger Deyncourt against a judgment given against him in a *scire facias* in C. B. and affirmed in B. R. upon a forged fine, *q'il plesse a votre gracieus seigneurie et a votre tres sage counsell en cest present parlement et aillours par auctorité d'icelle d'adnuller* the note of the fine, although *il ne soit party ou privy*, and that the record *soit envoy devant vous en parlement, et appelez devant vous et votre dit conseil* the demandant *d'oyer et terminer les erreurs.* † RESPONSIO. *Pur eschuir les perils et inconveniencess que purront advenir en ce cas, le roy par auctorité du parlement voet assigner certains seigneurs ovresqu les justices, d'examiner la matire comprise en ceste petition: et sur ce aient mesmes les seigneurs et justices poiar, par auctorité suisdite, de purvoier de remede en ce cas, come mieull leur semblera par leur sages discrecions.*—NOTA the petition read, and the record brought into parliament.—NOTA this was a petition promoted by the commons as it seems ‡, and so in nature of a bill.

* See also n. 31. in the printed rolls of parliament.—F. H.

† The answer here given is from the printed roll of parliament; the extract in the manuscript being imperfectly and inaccurately given.—F. H.

‡ See n. 63. of the printed roll of parliament of 6. H. 4.—F. H.

1. H. 5. n. 19. *A nostre seigneur le roy et a les nobles seigneurs en ce present parlement* a petition of error by John Gunwardby upon a judgment in B. R. at the suit of John Windsor in a reversal of an assize. *Plese al roy et seigneurs a faire venir record devant eux en ce present parlement, et a garnir Johan Windsor d'oyer le record le prochain parlement.* Then *ex praecepto domini regis de assensu dominorum in eodem parlamento assistentium, capitalis justiciarius detulit in hoc parlamento recordum, et processum praedicta; quibus recorde et processu lectis et auditis et plenius intellectis in presenti parlamento, necnon erroribus per praedictum Johannem Gunwardby allegatis, concessum est, quod habeat scire facias versus Johannem Windsor returnable in proximo parlamento, in quocunque loco teneri contigerit, ad auditurum recordum et errores praedictos, et ad faciendum et recipiendum ulterius quod CURIA parlamenti adtunc in hac parte consideraverit.*—NOTA better order now settled than formerly, viz. the record brought into parliament and read, and errors assigned and read, before any *scire facias* issued.—NOTA the *scire facias* returnable *proximo parlamento*.—NOTA lords house called *curia parlamenti*.—NOTA *rot. parl. 2. H. 5. part. 2. n. 11.* a parliament held *ultimo Aprilis 2. H. 5.* The *scire facias* bears test 18. Feb. 1. H. 5. returnable in *parlamento apud Lincolniam ultimo Aprilis proximi futuri tenenda*. So it was not taken out till the parliament summoned.—NOTA it agrees *verbatim* with the award.

Rot. parl. 2. H. 5. part. 2. † n. 12. The earl of Salisbury petitions *a nostre seigneur le roy* for error in a judgment given against his father 1. H. 4. whereby he was attaint of treason after his death. It prays, *que le record et proces del judgement soient faits venir devant vous et les peres de terre* to examine errors. The record of the judgment and declaration is brought out of the chancery into the parliament, and the record entered in *hac verba*. The earl assigns errors, and among others that the judgment was given by lords temporal

* It should be *Leicestriam*.—F. H.

† In the printed rolls of parliament it is *part. 1.*—F. H.

only *de assensu regis*, and without the assent of the commons, *queux de droit serront peticioners ou assentours de ceo que sera ordein pur ley en parlement*. Continued to the next parliament. The judgment* given *rot. parl. 2. H. 4. n. 30.*—NOTA the judgment affirmed, *per dominos in presenti parlamento de assensu regis, quod judicium versus Johannem Comitem Sarum affirmetur. Rot. parl. 2. H. 5. part. 2. n. 13. & 14.* And *rot. parl. 9. H. 5. n. 19.* a special act of restitution.

3. *H. 5. n. 19.* Richard Caterman petitions *a nostre souveraigne roy et tres nobles seigneurs en ce parlement pur erreur sur jugement in B. R. in trespasss, que plese roy et seigneurs a commander cheif justice, de faire vener devant eux le record et proces, et de faire garnir the plaintiffs in the action par agard de mesme cest parlement d'estre al prochein parlement d'oyer les erreurs which shall be assigned. Quod quidem petitione in parlamento ipso publicè lecta, de assensu ejusdem parlamenti consideratum est, quod Richardus habeat breve de scire facias returnable the next parliament, ad audiendum errores, et ulterius recepturum quod per legem terræ in curiâ parlamenti contigerit adjudicari in hac parte.*—NOTA IN PARLIAMENTO, DE ASSENSU PARLIAMENTI, and CURIA PARLIAMENTI. Yet all in the house of lords.

Rot. parl. 3. H. 6. n. 70. *Al roy et al seigneurs spiritual et temporel en ce parlement*, the petition of the prior of Lanthony against an erroneous judgment given in the parliament of Ireland in reversal of a judgment in the king's bench in Ireland, because the justices of the king's bench in England *† n'ont poiair a juger et terminer ceo que fust fait en parlement d'Ireland.*

10. *H. 6. n. 52.* *A nostre seigneur le roy supplie Johane de Beauchamp*, for error in a judgment against her for the king in a *scire facias* upon a recognizance. *Plese a vous a faire vener record et proces devant vous*

* That is, the judgment of attainder. — F. H.

† 1. E. 4. n. 15. 35.

en ce parlement, et illoques par assent des seigneurs spirituell et temporall et par auctorité de votre dit parlement corriger et amender the error. Super quo præceptum est capitali justiciario, quòd recordum et processum in dictum parlamentum deferret, which is done accordingly and entered in hæc verba. Quibus lectis et auditis she presently assigns her errors in writing. And pro eò quòd curia parliamenti nondum advisatur, ideo consideratum est, quòd Johana habeat diem usque proximum parlamentum, quòdque recordum et processus cum omnibus ea tangentibus in dicto parlamento continuè sint parata, et interim supersedeatur executioni.—NOTA no scire facias, because the king party only †.*

* 1. R. 2. 87.

† It is observable, that though lord Hale at the beginning of this Chapter expresses an intention of extending his account of writs of error to the beginning of the reign of Henry the seventh; yet here he stops at the tenth of Henry the sixth.—F. H.

C H A P. XXXI.

CONCERNING APPEALS AND REVERSALS OF DECREES IN CHANCERY,
AND THE JURISDICTION OF THE LORDS HOUSE IN RELATION
THEREUNTO.

I HAVE been the longer and the more particular in the discussion of the jurisdiction of the lords house in writs of error or bills of error, partly because the learning touching it is not so commonly known or understood ; and partly, because it makes way to the better discovery of the lords jurisdiction in point of decrees in courts of equity, and their examination by way of appeal or petition of reversal, which hath caused so great and warm contests between the two houses of parliament.

TOUCHING the jurisdiction of the court of chancery in causes of equity, certainly it was not very ancient, as I have before shewn * ; wherein I have also shewn the degrees and methods whereby it hath attained *de facto* that ample jurisdiction in causes of equity, that now it hath in effect swallowed up the courts of law, and indeed in a great measure altered and in effect abrogated the common law.

BUT this court hath now so long been in the possession of this equitable jurisdiction, and the estates contracts and assurances of lands and persons are so much interested in that jurisdiction, that it is not only a vain thing for any one person to contend against it, but a sudden alteration therein may be of very ill consequence to the public. Neither is such alteration or abridgment of the power of the chancery to be attempted without authority of parliament ; and that also with great and deep deliberation, and with a convenient time given before such alteration made, that men may accordingly

* See before, CHAP. VI.—F. H.

order their contracts settlements and dealings with a due prospect to such alteration.

BUT yet the late arrival of the court of chancery to this exercise of jurisdiction must needs have this effect, that we are not like to find in ancient records and monuments frequent precedents, that may direct our inquiry touching this matter of appeals. But the best measures we can take herein will be,—I. To examine the matter by reason.—II. To examine it by the analogy, that it holds or may have with writs of error and reformation of judgments in the courts of common law by the lords in parliament.—III. To consider of what antiquity such reversals are in the lords house of decrees in chancery, and how made.—IV. To consider some things *de bono*, what is fit to be done, as well as *de vero*, what may be done, especially where there is difficulty in the matter of fact.

PRELIMINARY to this argument we are first to consider those methods for the rectifying of erroneous decrees in courts of equity, which are not relative to parliament, and touching which there is no colour of controversy. And the methods are three.

1. By a *rehearing* of the cause by the chancellor himself, which he may do, and if he see cause may alter his decree. But this must be before the decree be enrolled of record; for when it is signed and enrolled by the stile of that court it cannot be reheard.

2. By *bill of review* in the same court. And this is after the decree signed and enrolled. But this is somewhat a strait-laced remedy. For they neither examine, nor read the proffs in the cause, whether they warrant the decree; neither is this bill of review allowed, unless the decree be performed, if it concern payment of money. But all the matters, that maintain such a bill of review, must be some error appearing in the body of the decree or in the proceed-

ings of record, or some matter *ex post facto*, which hath happened since the cause or come newly to be discovered, which, had it been known and alledged and due proof thereof made upon the hearing, would probably have suspended or altered or annulled the decree.

3. BY *appeal to the king*, by petition, setting forth the matter of the decree, the unwarrantableness of the decree by the proofs in the case, the untruth of the suggestions on the decree, and thereupon praying a rehearing of the cause either before the king himself or such commissioners as he shall assign by commission under the great seal to hear examine and determine the cause. And thereupon the king usually issues his commission under the great seal to some of his privy council and to some of the judges for this purpose, before whom the cause is to be heard *de novo* from the beginning, and to be affirmed or reversed as there is cause. And such commissions as these have sometimes issued; and the reason, why they have not issued oftener, is in respect of the great charge and delay in such commission, and the uncertainty of the success because of the great uncertainty and arbitrariness used in equitable proceedings. But that this is the regular and legal way of appealing from and reversal of decrees in chancery, we have not only the judgment of the lords themselves in the parliament of 21. *Jac.* in Mathew's case hereafter mentioned, but the resolution of all the judges, long before this question started, *Hill. 13. Jam. Roll's Rep. 331.* and *Bulstr.** in the case between Vawdry and Pannel, and *Mich. 42. & 43. Eliz.* in the case of the countess of Southampton against the earl of Worcester certified by the judges under all their hands.

AND whether the petition of appeal be made to the king in such case in parliament or out of parliament, such a commission may be thereupon issued; for it is the king's commission, that gives the jurisdiction in this case.

* 1. *Ro. Rep. 331.* 3. *Bulstr. 116.* — F. H.

AGAIN, in parliament, if a parliamentary petition of appeal be delivered to the king and answered by him or by his direction, the answer is of itself a commission according to the tenor of the endorsement, and gives as full a power to those to whom the hearing and determining of the complaint is referred, as if it were a commission under the great seal; and though this latter be more regular and formal, yet they are both equally effectual. And therefore if the petition be indorsed, *soit cette matiere oyée et terminée par les seigneurs spirituell et temporall, en parlement, or par les juges*, or by a select number of lords and judges, or by the *auditores querelarum*, it gives them a full commission for the determining thereof, as if it were by commission under the great seal: for the petition and the king's answer indorsed are a record; and by what before is shewed touching writs of error, a petition of error thus indorsed is as full a commission to the lords in parliament to examine and reverse or affirm a judgment at law, as if it had been done by writ, for in those cases the king's answer is an effectual commission according to the tenor of it.

AND therefore if in parliament there be a petition of appeal against a decree in chancery, and the king indorses the petition, *soit mande as seigneurs spirituell et temporall*, or to a select number thereof, *a oyer et terminer cest appeale*, there is no question to be made, as I conceive, but that according to the tenor of endorsement there may be a proceeding in parliament to hear and determine *ex integro* the justice or injustice of such decree; for the king, that is the fountain of jurisdiction, hath hereby delegated the same by such his endorsement of the petition as effectually as if it were done by commission under the great seal.

THE true state therefore of this question is, whether the house of lords, by a kind of innate inherent jurisdiction, have power, without any such commission or delegation from the king, to receive appeals against decrees in chancery, and to hear and determine them upon a plenary hearing of the cause; or not.

AND I shall not intangle the question with this ; whether they may immediately before a bill of review in the court below proceed to the hearing and determining of such appeals. For therein I think this difference will obtain. If the cause of appeal be such a matter, as the party petitioning may have remedy by bill of review in the court below, then I think he ought not *per saltum* to come to the lords before such bill of review had and finally determined ; for it is a proceeding *per saltum*, and extraordinary remedies are not to be used till the ordinary remedies fail ; as in the case of the bishop of Norwich, *rot. parl.* 50. E. 3. n. . where it was resolved, that a writ of error lies not in parliament upon a judgment given in the common pleas, till the same is affirmed or reversed in the king's bench. And thus I have known it often resolved in the lords house in parliament, where petitions of appeal against decrees in chancery have been dismissed, if they contain only matter of review remediable below, and no bill of review either pursued or finally determined in the chancery.

BUT the question in controversy is touching such appeals, as require an entire rehearing of the cause upon the proofs had therein ; which cannot be done by bill of review, but must be done in another way. This is that, which is the true matter and state of the question.

C H A P. XXXII.

THE REASONS AS WELL FOR, AS AGAINST, THE JURISDICTION OF THE LORDS HOUSE AS SUCH IN CASES OF APPEALS FROM DECREES IN EQUITY.

THE reason asserting this jurisdiction inherent and radical in the lords house are as follow :

1. It seems a thing highly unreasonable, that the decree of a chancellor, who may err as well as another man, should be so conclusive, that the same should be unexaminable by any other court, but be binding as the laws of the Medes and Persians, or as an act of parliament.
2. THE court of parliament, as sitting in the lords house, or the lords spiritual and temporal assembled in parliament, are the highest court of justice in the realm : and here the judgments at law of the greatest ordinary court of justice, namely the king's bench, are examinable and reverfible for error. And what reason can there be, that a decree in a court of equity should have a greater sacredness than a judgment at law ?
3. THERE are several precedents in the lords house of reverfals of decrees in courts of equity and sentences in the court of star-chamber, upon petitions immediately preferred to the house of lords, without any commission or indorsement of a petition by the king ; especially in the long parliament begun in 1640. And now must all those reverfals fall to the ground, upon supposition that the lords had no jurisdiction in the cases ? Nay, there are some instances of such reverfals in the parliament of 3. *Car.* 1. and possibly upon further

further search there may be more precedents found much more ancient.

ON the other side, there are reasons of great weight against an original inherent jurisdiction in the house of lords, without a special commission or delegation of such authority from the king, either by commission under the great seal, or by endorsement of such petition or bill of reversal first made to the king.

1. ALTHOUGH that the English monarchy is not in all respects absolute and unlimited, but hath certain qualification of monarchical power, especially in point of making laws and imposing taxes upon the people; yet certainly, since the denomination of government is *ad plurimum*, the government is monarchical, and not aristocratical or democratical. And hence it is, that all jurisdiction in this realm, whether ecclesiastical or civil, is derived from the crown; and that the exercise thereof in the ministers or judges, to whom it is so delegated by the crown, is in right of the crown and by virtue of a delegation from it. And it were a thing scarce consistent with the monarchical government, that those sentences judgments or decrees, which are pronounced and given by the king's authority and commission, should be examined by an original jurisdiction lodged in the house of lords without especial authority given by the king by writ commission or endorsement. This were to make the basis of the government aristocratical; since the last divolution of appeals would be, from the king and the judgments given by his authority, unto the lords.

2. I HAVE at large shewed, in the * Chapter, that the review or reversal of judgments given in the king's courts is *inter casus reservatos*, and cannot be put in ure *sine speciali mandato*. And therefore judgments given in the lowest courts cannot be reformed without a writ of false judgment, and judgments in the king's courts

* See before, CHAP. XXIII. p. 153. — F. H.

are not to be examined in the lords house without a petition to the king and a bill signed or writ of error under the great seal. And the same reason holds in decrees in chancery; for (as by use and long custom the same has been practised and settled) those decrees are made by the chancellor by the king's authority, and in his right, and as the ordinary judge in causes of equity thereunto deputed by the king, and therefore not to be examined or shaken without the king's consent. For it were an effort to set a superintendency of the jurisdiction of the lords house above the jurisdiction of the crown in cases of appeal: for it carries over the dernier resort in cases of this nature singly to the house of lords.

3. SINCE there can be no jurisdiction in this kingdom, but what is by charter, or by commission from the king, or by usage or prescription, which always implies a tacit derivation; and since there is nothing of such jurisdiction given expressly to the lords, for the writ whereby they are summoned is *ad tractandum nobiscum super arduis negotiis regni*; it remains, that they, that will assert this jurisdiction in the lords house in cases of appeals without any particular commission or authority by bill signed, must make it out by proofs of record of unquestionable authority and good antiquity; which can never be done; but the contrary thereof will appear, when we come to answer the reasons asserting this jurisdiction.

Now as to the reasons of the affirming assertion.

1. As to the first, it is certainly most just and reasonable, that there should be by law appointed some means for examining and reforming errors in decrees. The law itself and the government were lame and defective without it. This therefore is not the question. But the question is, whether the house of lords have a radical and inherent power to do it without a special commission from the king; for of all hands it is agreed it may be done by special

cial commission either under the great seal or by the king's endorsement of a parliamentary petition to that purpose.

2. AND the same answer is to the second reason. Though the court of parliament of the lords house were the highest ordinary court; yet that doth not therefore enable them to reverse judgments or decrees without a special commission by letters patent bill signed or writ of the king enabling them thereunto. The king's bench out of parliament is the highest court of ordinary justice; yet they cannot reverse judgments in inferior courts without a writ of error under the great seal.

BUT by the way, though the court of the lords house in parliament be higher than other courts, yet we must not take it to be the supreme court; for such only is the supreme court of parliament, consisting of the king as the head and the two houses of parliament, constituting all together a sovereign court*.

* The precedents, which make the third reason for the house of lords, are the subject of the next Chapter. — F. H.

C H A P. XXXIII.

CONCERNING THE PRECEDENTS OF THE EXERCISE OF JURISDICTION IN THE LORDS HOUSE, IN REVERSALS OF DECREES IN CHANCERY IN CAUSES OF EQUITY.

IF the lords could give us good evidence of record, of their ancient and common practice of reversal of decrees in chancery by an inherent original jurisdiction residing in that house without commission or delegation from the king, it would be of great moment for the asserting of their jurisdiction in this particular.

BUT upon a strict search and inquiry, we shall find a great defect in the proof of the fact.

It is true, there hath been since 1. *Car.* 1. some instances, and since 16. *Car.* 1. many more in the long parliament, of such reversals of decrees. And this practice had its rise upon these three occasions.

1. THE lord Verulam being chancellor made many decrees upon most gross bribery and corruption, for which he was deeply censured in the parliament of 18. *Jac.* And this gave such a discredit and brand to the decrees thus obtained, that they were easily set aside, and made way in the parliament of 3. *Car.* for the like attempts against decrees made by other chancellors.

2. MR. SELDEN, being a man of great learning, was employed by the lords in parliament 18. *Jac.* to collect the privileges of the

* In the original it is two. But what follows apparently requires, that three should be substituted.—F. H.

lords; which was done and presented to the lords, and by them ordered to be bound up and preserved as a kind of standing evidence of their jurisdiction and privileges; as appears by the Journal of that parliament, viz. 30. Novemb. 1621. and 15. Dec. 1621. which book is still reserved among their archives and is printed. And this book gave the lords occasion of looking into the *Placita Parliamenti tempore E. 1.* which they applied singly to the house of lords; and thereupon began in the parliament following, viz. 21. Jac. to enlarge their jurisdiction, not only to causes of appeals, but almost to all kind of jurisdiction in the first instance: so that there was little wanting, but that they had gotten it to be a settled court by petition to themselves in all causes as well civil as criminal. But this held not long.

3. AGAIN, when the long parliament came after intermission of parliaments, and the grievances of the subjects by the reason thereof were very many and importunate, such a throng of complainants pressed into parliament, especially into the lords house, as transported proceedings in that house beyond the known ancient and regular bounds thereof. Complaints of decrees sentences and judgments came in apace, and were promiscuously heard. And indeed it would be too hard a task for any person to justify all proceedings of that time to be consonant to the ancient and regular proceedings of parliament.

THESE then were the reasons that let the lords into this exercise of jurisdiction of appeals, as supposed to be radically inherent in the house. And I could never yet see any precedent of greater antiquity than 3. Car. 1. nay scarce before 16. Car. 1. of any such proceeding in the lords house.

BUT I shall now shew, what was the first attempt of setting up this jurisdiction in the lords house, and what success it had.

BEFORE the parliament of 18. *Jac.* wherein the lord chancellor Bacon was censured for corruption, the course for reversal of decrees was,—either by petition to the king, and thereupon a commission issued to examine the decree and proceedings, whereof there are some precedents;—or else to set it aside by act of parliament; and such was the proceeding of 26. *Maii* 21. *Jac.* for reversing a decree for the felt-makers and some others about that time.

BUT, even in these latter parliaments in king James's time, the reversal of decrees by the inherent power of the lords house was either not known, or so new that it was scarce adventured upon by the lords.

IN the parliament of 18. *Jac.* viz. Journal of 3. Dec. 1621. sir John Bouchier petitioned the lords against a decree by the then lord keeper in nature of an appeal, because his witnesses were not read; and prayed the lords, that they would rehear the cause upon the proofs. The lords referred the business to a committee to examine and report what had been done in like cases.—10th December the lords referees report, that they could find but one of that nature against Michael de Pole lord chancellor, and that upon bribery and corruption. The lords thereupon examined parties, whether the proofs were refused to be read in sir John Bouchier's case; and finding upon examination, that all material proofs that were desired by sir John were read, they caused sir John Bouchier for the scandal put upon the keeper to ask his pardon; but would never proceed to rehear the cause upon the merits thereof, as desired by the petition.

IN the parliament of 21. *Jac.* the case of Mathews, as it is reported by the Journal of the lords house, is very signal, and expressly against this radical inherent jurisdiction in the lords house.

8. MAY 1624. William Mathew preferred a petition to the lords in parliament against a decree made in chancery for his brother George Mathew in discharge of a debt of 5260l.—After several hearings by the lords committees for petitions, the lords committees 28. May 1624. report their opinion to the house; which was in effect to reverse the decree, and to charge the lands of George Mathew with the debt, and that the execution hereof be referred to the chancery. The same 28. *Maii* in the afternoon George Mathew prefers his petition to the lords, setting forth, that the decree had been long since submitted to; that to hear a cause after a submission, no corruption appearing, would be a dangerous precedent; and that it had not been the course of this house to reverse decrees by petition, but by bill legally exhibited, especially no corruption appearing*. He prays, that he may have the liberty of a *subpœna*, and that he may not be concluded, nor a decree submitted unto overthrown, nor his inheritance taken from him by this honourable house only upon a petition. Thereupon four lords were appointed to set down an order in this cause, viz. the earl of Montgomery, the bishop of Lincoln, lord Say, and lord Denny.—29. *Maii* 1624. these lords report their order, viz. that the cause depending between William and George Mathew be reviewed in chancery by the lord keeper, assisted by such of the lords in parliament as shall be nominated by the house and by any two of the judges whom the lord keeper shall name, for which end the lord keeper is to be an humble suitor to his majesty from the house, for a commission unto himself and the lords that shall be named by the house, for the said review and final determination, of the cause, as to them shall appear just and reasonable; and that the lords desire may be done with all convenient speed. The which order being read, the house approved thereof. And these lords were named by the house to be joined in

* The petition also stated, that the decree was made in the life of the petitioner George Mathew's father, and that George Mathew himself was never party to the suit, and that there was not any suit depending.—F. H.

commission with the lord keeper, viz. the lord chamberlain, the earl of Montgomery, the earl of Bridgewater, the lords bishops of Durham and Rochester, the lords Denny and Haughton: and the house ordered the cause to be heard and determined the beginning of next term.—And note this parliament continued by several adjournments from 29. *Maii* to 2. *Novembris*, and thence till 16. *Februarii*.

Now here was the true and regular way of reviewing a decree; namely, by commission. And this done, not upon a sudden, but after several hearings and a report from the lords committees of petitions for vacating the decree. Yet after all this the lords themselves put it into a commissiary way; which is an instance of greater weight against the inherent jurisdiction of the lords, than a cart-load of precedents since that time in affirming of their jurisdiction. And so much the rather, because this method of reversing of decrees in chancery holds an analogy with the reversal of judgments in parliament, wherein the king's commission, either by writ of error, or by indorsement or answer of a petition of error, or both, always precedes the lords proceeding to reverse judgments for error; and holds analogy with the statute of 14. *E. 3.* touching delays in judgment, wherein there is directed, that a commission issue under the great seal to the lords and judges appointed for that purpose; and agrees with the constant law of this kingdom, that lodges the original of jurisdiction primitively in the crown, whence it is derived by charter commission or writ to the courts of justice.

INDEED afterwards, in the parliament of 1. *Car.* the lords, finding that no commission issued, blamed the lord keeper Williams for not effectual prosecuting that order. The lord keeper excused it; because the king absolutely refused to issue any commission but by his own mandate. Yet to give a countenance to their jurisdiction, 23. *Marrii* he is brought to a public acknowledgment in the lords house, that those orders were just, and to ask pardon from the house.

Where

Where yet by the way observe, that every affirmation imposed by the lords is an affirmation, that the reversal of decrees ought to be by commission under the great seal; for that was the order of 21. Jac.

So that upon the whole matter, if the question be *de vero* or *de jure*, there is no such radical inherent jurisdiction in the house of lords, without a special authority derived to them, either by the king's commission, or by indorsement of a petition of review or reversal, to examine errors in decrees in the chancery.

AND thus far touching the question *veri* or *juris* of the lords jurisdiction in this case.

C H A P. XXXIV.

TOUCHING THE QUESTION *DE BONO*; AND WHAT EXPEDIENTS
MAY BE THOUGHT OF, FOR ACCOMMODATION OF THIS DIF-
FERENCE, WITH A DUE SAVING OF THE KING'S RIGHT, THE
INTEREST OF THE PEOPLE, AND THE HONOUR OF THE
PARLIAMENT.

IT hath been said, that the method of reversal of decrees in chan-
cery by the house of lords, upon the account of their own inherent
radical jurisdiction and as justices of the last resort, is most safe and
convenient for the people. For what if the king will grant no such
commission to examine an erroneous decree, shall the subject be
without remedy?—Again, we know, the king by his commission
may name whom he please, that may be persons unindifferent. Nay,
if it should be placed in the judges; yet the judges are all made by
the king's commission, and may be such as may be at the king's
pleasure removed, and are under greater danger of being overbiased.
Whereas the lords are *judices nati*, fixed, perpetual: and though
their honours be derived from the crown; yet being once so derived,
are hereditary in their blood, and so they are the less capable to be
overborne or overpowered by other influences.

To this I say, that,—1. This kind of reasoning seems as strongly
to conclude, that all jurisdiction should be exercised by the lords.
Whereas we know, that by the settled laws of this kingdom all
the judges of Westminster-hall, justices of gaol delivery *eyes & ter-
miner* and peace, commissioners of sewers, &c. are all constituted by
the king; and so have always been; and yet the administration of
justice in all ages performed by persons thus commissioned. And
to say the truth, it is of greatest concernment to the crown, that such
com-

commissioners and justices should be appointed as are both learned and just; for otherwise the damage will be of greatest moment to the crown. And besides, as his own interest and the interests of his subjects oblige him to be highly careful in substituting such judges and commissioners as may best perform that employment; so he is under the solemn obligation of his coronation oath to be highly careful in this business, that justice be duly administered. Otherwise the best strength of government will be lost or shaken.—But 2. Who constitutes new lords, who constitutes the lords spiritual? Yet these have their voice in the reversal or affirmation of judgments. And yet the same objection may be made against their suffrage in this case.—Again, 3. The same kind of reasoning would give the lords an inherent radical jurisdiction, without writ bill signed or commission, to reverse judgments at law; which yet are not examinable by them without the king's writ, or at least a petition to the king indorsed or answered to bring the record into parliament.

BUT on the other side, if we look upon inconveniences, we shall find it highly inconvenient, that there should be such a radical jurisdiction in the house of lords, especially in relation to decrees in equity.

1. It is true, the lords are of a noble extraction and education; they may be experienced in politics, in military affairs. Yet no one will say, that *eo nomine* that they are lords they are all competent judges of cases of law or equity. How many young lords are there, that are not thirty years old? How many are unacquainted utterly with the proceedings or rules of law or equity? Yet one of these may have the odd casting voice, which shall overturn judgments or decrees made with greatest deliberation of most learned chancellors or judges.

2. AGAIN, as to matters of equity, they are governed in a great measure by circumstances, and are not under such exact rules, as the

the common law courts or causes are. And therefore, without a very great advenience and attention, the true equity of a cause is not so easily discerned: and therefore it is, that there daily happens great diversity of opinions among learned men, when they come to particular cases of equity. What kind of uncertainty shall we then find, when an hundred or more unexperienced men shall be judges of causes of equity? The antient rule is a certain truth. Better a mischief in a particular case, than a common inconvenience. It were far better, there were no relief at all in causes of equity, than to have every cause under the various sentiments of a hundred judges.

3. AGAIN, we daily observe, that in particular cases, when they come before a multitude of judges, especially that are great men and therefore not easily controulable, persons concerned in suits meet with some, that are their kindred friends favourers landlords tenants or relations. And it is grown a fashion in the lords house, for lords to patronize petitions: a course, that, if it were used by the judges of Westminster-hall, would be looked upon, even by the parliament itself, as undecent, and carrying a probable imputation or temptation at least to partiality. Such addresses as these are undecent and unsafe, and indeed intolerable to be found among judges, who must not know persons in judgment, nor be sweetened by such kind of applications. Yet I leave it to any observing person to consider, whether he think it possible, or at least probable, that these applications can be avoided to so many and so great persons and of such extensive relationship.

THESE and many more inconveniences attend this judicature at large in the lords house.

AND now therefore to bring this business and this book to a conclusion, I shall adventure to propound something, that may prevent and remedy these and the like inconveniences; and that may pre-

serve the just rights of the crown, the safety and security of the subject, and the honour and dignity of parliament. Which is this.

1. THAT the appointment of tryers of petitions, which is always done by the king the first day of a session, may not be a piece only of name and formality, as it is now used; but that a select number of the most judicious lords spiritual and temporal, and that not in too excessive a number, together with the judges, be appointed, and these to be commissioned under the great seal for that purpose, to whom as occasion requires petitions for reversals of decrees may be referred. And the like commission for examining of judgments in writs of error. Only the judges of that court, out of which the record is removed, to be omitted in that commission; and only to be present if occasion require to hear the reasons of their judgments, as in error out of the exchequer chamber before the treasurer and chancellor.

2 THAT, according to the antient course, all petitions of reversals of decrees in chancery preferred in parliament be directed to the king or the king and his council, and delivered to the receivers of petitions; and the king and his council to be attended by the receivers of petitions, and endorsements to be thereupon made according as the case shall require. *Soit cette petition bayle a tryers de petitions &c. a oyer et terminer selonc droit et raison; et eux, ou ascuns &c. d'eux, quorum &c.* And because it may not be determined in that session, then a special commission to the tryers, whereof some of the *quorum* to examine and determine the errors in the decree; and so in writs of error.

THIS course,

1. PRESERVES the king's right as the fountain of jurisdiction; and as the decrees are passed by the king's authority, so by the same authority they are avoided, if there be cause; and not by a kind of primitive

primitive superintendant inherent jurisdiction in the lords house; which some may possibly think favours too much of an aristocracy, giving an appeal from the king to the lords by an inherent right of a dernier resort, which seems not agreeable to the constitution of the English government.

2. THIS method is most suitable to the method that the parliament hath chalked out in cases of a like nature, as any man that attentively reads the statute of 14. *Eliz. cap. 5.* for reformation of delays in judgments may easily observe.

3. THIS method suits with the antient form of reversal of judgments in the lords house; which, as hath been at large shewn, was antiently by a select number of lords thertunto appointed by the king, and no bill or writ of error in parliament without a previous petition to the king and a bill signed for its allowance.

4. THIS prevents the many mischiefs and inconveniences, that happen upon promiscuous determining of such causes, by the super-numerary vote possibly of one person, and he possibly not so competent a judge in such cases.

5. THIS preserves a handsome decorum and dignity in the lords house, wherein some of their members are always in commission upon this occasion.

6. THIS is the means to have stability and firmness in proceedings. Men's decrees shall not be broken, nor reversed, without just cause and due examination by persons experienced and learned in matters of this nature; for the judges here are not only to be assistants to advise, but commissioners to assent or dissent, as they are by the statute of 14. *E. 3. cap. 3.*

7. THIS is a proceeding regular, consonant to law and the true interest of justice; and such as even the lords themselves, in the parliament of 2. *Jacobi*, owned as the safe and regular method of proceeding for reversal of decrees in equity.

8. THIS is a great means, as on the one hand, to keep the chancellor or keeper and judges under a just care that their decrees and judgments be well grounded; for there is a due and regular method of appeal: so on the other hand is a good security provided for such as have now run it may be a long and expensive suit for the obtaining of a decree or judgment (and possibly all the substance of himself and his family or some purchaser for valuable consideration are laid upon it) that it shall not be lightly or loosely thrown off by persons unacquainted with proceedings of this nature, and yea and possibly by the vote of such as never heard the cause (if proxies be allowed, which I know not whether they are or not) or possibly by the vote of one that never observed or heard one half of the cause, or if he heard it yet never heard a cause before.

THIS method of proceeding, as well in writs of error upon judgments as in appeals from decrees, would render the proceedings of the lords much more regular and orderly, much more agreeable to the laws of the kingdom and the king's just right, much more safe for the people and consonant to the true and antient stile and order of parliament, with less expence. And businesses of this nature would receive their determination before the commissioners, though the parliament should be prorogued adjourned or dissolved, without forcing the complainant to begin all anew.

For a conclusion of this discourse, I must needs take notice of some extravagant assertions, that have been used by some in their asserting the lords jurisdiction.

THEY

THEY tell us, it is the supreme court; a court, from which no appeal lies; that it hath a primitive inherent jurisdiction; that it is the place of jurisdiction unto which is the last appeal, the dernier resort:—which expressions, as they are very untrue, so they are very unwarily used by them; and I dare say, they either do not understand or do not consider the consequence of them.

THE regiment of England is monarchical, and the king is, the supreme head thereof. This is the chief article of the oath of supremacy.

BUT it is true, that in some points of supreme government this monarchical regiment is qualified. The king cannot make laws, nor impose taxes, without the advice or assent of both his houses of parliament. But when laws are so made, yet they are the king's laws, though not to be altered or abrogated without the like consent by which they are made.

AND the supreme court of this kingdom is neither the house of lords alone, nor the house of commons alone; no, nor both houses without the king.—The high court of parliament, consisting of the king and both houses, is the supreme and only supreme court of this kingdom, from which there is no appeal. Wherever the dernier resort is, there must needs be the sovereignty; and so this word is constantly used and joined with it.

WHEN in 36. E. 3. king E. 3. gave the principality of Aquitaine to the Black Prince, they forgot to insert or well express the sovereignty that the king intended to reserve to himself, the *jus summi imperii*. Thereupon there was a declaration made (which you may read *Seld. Titles of Honor*, page 461 *) by the king, *que le direct seigniorie, toute la souverainete, et le resort, soient et demurent a toujours*

* In the edition of 1631, it is in page 487.—P. H.

a nous et a nostre majestee. And in pursuance thereof the king made his delegates there or his judges *de souverainety et du resort*, that heard all causes upon appeal from the prince's jurisdiction.

THE great usurpation of the pope upon the king's authority was, that he reserved and practised the dernier resort and appeal to himself: which by the statutes of 24. H. 8. c. 12. & 28. H. 8. c. 10. was resumed to the crown, and the last divolution of appeals to the king, and declared by that former* statute that the king within this kingdom is the feat of jurisdiction as well ecclesiastical as civil, and that the dernier resort was to be to him and not to any foreign power.

AND now let any man consider the rashness of the beforementioned assertion, that the dernier resort in all cases is radically in the house of lords; which certainly is one of the greatest points of sovereignty that can be and is coincident with it.

AGAIN, if this should be, that the supreme jurisdiction without appeal the dernier resort, were to the house of lords, then is the legislative power virtually and consequentially there also; or at least that power lodged in the king and both houses were insignificant. For what if the lords will give judgment against an act of parliament, or declare it null and void? If they have the dernier resort, this declaration or judgment must be observed and obeyed, and submitted unto irremediably; for no appeal lies from their judgment, if they be the supreme court. And if it be said, this shall not be presumed they will do: I say, if this position were true, they may if they will; and the laws of this kingdom have better provided for the preservation both of the king's rights and the people's, than to put them and all the laws of the kingdom into the power of the lords, though otherwise their justice should be unquestionable.

* The word *former* not in the original; but added to make the passage more correct. — F. H.

THE truth is, it is utterly inconsistent with the very frame of a government, that the supreme power of making of laws should be in the king, with the advice of both his houses of parliament, and judgment should be in one of the houses without the king and the other. A supreme power of making laws should be thus in the king, and monarchical; and the supreme decisive power or jurisdiction and dernier resort should be radically in the lords, and so aristocratical. Therefore it is not only *de facto* true in our government, but it is most necessary, that the supreme decisive power or jurisdiction and the dernier resort must be where the legislative power is. And it is impossible it should be otherwise, unless we wholly dissolve the legislative power of the whole body of the parliament, king lords and commons, and put it into the house of lords; who, by their supreme decisive power without appeal, and as the dernier resort originally radicated in them, may at their pleasure render the legislative power idle vain and insignificant.

AND by this, which has been said, any man with half an eye may see the great inconvenience of lodging any judicatory at all in the house of lords singly, except touching their privileges: and upon what great reason this jurisdiction came to be disused by their noble ancestors, whose sense of the common good of the king and kingdom, yea and of their own posterity, did, at last relinquish the exercise of jurisdiction singly for some hundreds of years. — And it is this.

THE high court of parliament consisting of the king and both the houses of parliament are certainly the only supreme court of this kingdom, to whom the divolution of the last appeal or dernier resort doth belong. And the lords are a constituent part of this supreme court; without which as no law can be made, so no final unappealable judgment can be given. Though it cannot finally and without appeal be given by them, so it cannot be given without them. If therefore the lords should have a power of jurisdiction, an
appeal

an appeal must necessarily be to the whole parliament, king lords and commons. And yet the lords, without whom such judgments cannot be repealed, should, if they should have or exercise such a judicial jurisdiction, be prejudicated necessarily by their own judgment, and an anticipation of that determination, which (as parts of the true supreme power) they must now reverse. And so the true interest of the subject to have his last appeal, his dernier resort, to the true supreme court, the high court of parliament consisting of king lords and commons, is lost, or must necessarily be fruitless; because the lords, who as part of the parliament must have voice in that appeal, are already prejudicated by their own judgment and anticipated by it.

AND all this inconvenience would be remedied, if, according to the antient course in writs of error, and according to the method propounded as well in writs of error as appeals from decrees, a certain select number of the lords with the judges were commissioned by the king to examine hear and determine errors in judgments and decrees. For that would not engage the whole house; but they would be free to give their judgment upon appeals to the whole parliament.

T H E E N D



IMPO00041299ENG