

married with his father's approbation could be no longer sold as a slave. By another law of the same king, which has been preserved, and affords a curious specimen of the ancient Latin language, a concubine was forbidden to marry, unless in an habit of repentance with her hair dishevelled she offered a propitiatory sacrifice of a female lamb to Juno, the goddess of chaste and wedded love. After the abrogation of the monarchy the same objects were attended to by the senate and people. Early proofs are to be found of the privileges granted to those who had children. It was a part of the

* Plut. Numa, p. 155. Ed. Bry.

* *Pellex* a*sam Junonis ne tagito, sei tagit, Junonei crenebus dimissis acnom feminam cædito.* Festus, voce *Pellex*. In after times, this word acquired a peculiar signification. It was then equivalent to *Amica*. L. 144. ff. *De Verb. Sig.*

* Liv. l. 2. c. 9.

The law, made in honor of the curiati, and which subsisted in the time of Dionysius Halicarnassensis, that they who had three children at a birth should be maintained at the expence of the public, may be referred likewise to this head. Ed. Hudf. p. 154.

censorial

cenforial office to prevent celibacy, by a diftribution of rewards and punifhments, and the fine which was impofed, under the name of *Aes Uxorium*, ferved at once to enforce the laws and to enrich the treafury *. "When *Quintus Metellus* filled that high ftation ", he propofed a law that every man fhould be compelled to marry. The event of this attempt has not been handed down to us, but a part of the oration which he delivered upon the occafion is ftill extant amongft the valuable fragments of antiquity, and was repeated by *Augustus* upon a fimilar occafion *.

The Romans
averse from
marriage.

The rea-
fon.

Notwithftanding thefe regulations, an averfion from marriage was an inherent and unconquerable defect in the manners and ideas of the Romans. It is afcribed to the general profligacy of the men, the pride, extravagance, and wantonnefs of the ma-

Cælibes efle prohibento. Cic. de Leg. A. Gel. lib. 4. c. 20. *Plut. Cannill.* p. 286. * A. U. C. 622.

* *Epit. Liv.* l. 59. *A. Gell.* lib. 1. c. 6.

INTRODUCTORY ESSAY.

trons, and the uncommon attentions and honours which were paid to those who were rich and childless. These might be the immediate causes, and they existed as early as the second Punic war[†]; but we may perceive that the real foundation was laid much deeper, in the laws themselves. The matrimonial yoke, in the solemn marriages which were first in use, was too freight and galling; in the less ceremonious kind, which gradually superceded them, it was too lax. Originally, the husband purchased his wife of her father for a valuable consideration, and she was transferred, in full right of property[‡], like any other domestic animal. Such a state of slavery was intompabile with the notions of a polished people, and the matrimonial connexion of an easier nature was introduced. It was an equal contract, between two independent persons, and

[†] In Plautus, *Mil. Glorios.* Act. 3. Sc. 1. Periplectomenes, a benevolent, but somewhat loquacious, old man, gives a lively description of the Roman wives, and the interested kindness of his relations.

[‡] *Res mancipi.*

bore much resemblance to an ordinary partnership. Either party might dissolve it at pleasure, and whilst it continued the union was very imperfect. Each had their distinct property; the husband acquired nothing but what was expressly defined by agreement; even of the marriage portion he was allowed only the usufruct, and in case of death, or separation, was rigidly accountable for the substance. All the laws looked rather to the possibility of a dissolution, than of the continuance of the connexion; and, in that view, married persons, to prevent the advantages which might be taken of an unguarded affection in the fond hours of conjugal dalliance, were even incapable of making valid donations to each other, which might prove injurious to themselves or families.

Such loose bands were not likely to contribute to the happiness of the married state. A lady, who had brought with her, and retained, a large fortune, which she could transfer to any other object her inclinations

might lead her to prefer, was not likely to make a very dutiful or obedient wife. A husband who had married a rich woman, who might divorce him when she pleased, must have been in a state of submission and slavery not much to be envied. The satyrists, comic writers, and epigrammatists, have raised both our indignation and our mirth, at the many horrid and ludicrous scenes which such absurd situations must necessarily have given rise to. It is from this independence of the Roman wives, that we may easily deduce that impropriety of conduct, which was the great obstacle to marriage.

Two thirds of the citizens of Rome had perished in the contests for power which ensanguined the latter days of the republic^a. This exhausted condition of the state, which appeared upon the census made by Julius Cæsar, suggested to that great man the necessity of employing new expedients to en-

Regulations by Julius Cæsar.

^a In the year of Rome 682, the number of citizens was 450,000. (Epic. Liv. lib. 98.); in 708, they were reduced to 150,000. (Id. lib. 115.)

courage

courage marriage and population^b. The particulars of his regulations are not extant, except that he divided the Campanian territory amongst twenty thousand citizens, who had three children or more^c; and prohibited women, who were unmarried or childless, and under forty-five years of age, from the use of litters, purple garments, and pearls^d.

By Augustus.
Lex Julia, and Poppæa.
The history of these laws.

His successor Augustus pursued with vigour and perseverance the same principles of policy. The history of his celebrated laws upon this subject, the Lex Julia and the Lex Pappia Poppæa, affords some curious circumstances, highly illustrative of the spirit and the manners of the times. The artful conduct of that able prince to conceal his power, by preserving the ancient forms of the republic, is well known. Those forms required that every law should be ap-

Dio. lib. 43. p. 256. Ἐπειδὴ δὲ τὴν ἀλιτρίαν, ἕνεκα τοῦ τῶν ἀπολαύσεων πλεονεξίης, &c. ἀπολαύσεως οὐδὲν ἐπέδρα...

Suet. c. 20. * Id. c. 43. and Eusebius there quoted in the note of the learned editors.

proved

proposed by the senate, before it was proposed in the assembly of the people. When the question was brought forwards, it met with violent opposition from the senators, they complained much of the profligacy of both sexes, which was the great impediment to matrimony, and hinted in pretty broad terms at Augustus himself, who was certainly not the purest example of conjugal chastity. The emperor replied, "that no-
" thing more was in his power than to
" provide such laws as were most beneficial
" to the state; but that the correction and
" amendment of the private morals of
" wives depended more upon the conduct
" of husbands themselves, whose duty it
" was to restrain their improper behaviour
" by salutary precepts and admonitions, as
" he had never failed to do in his own fa-
" mily." His opponents were curious to
know what were the lessons which he had
instilled unto his Livia.—" They related to
" her dress, her frequenting public places
" and the general modesty of her beha-
" viour;"

“viour;” and they who were unacquainted with the character of the empress and the private life of their sovereign might have given credit to his assertions. With great difficulty, however, he procured a decree of the senate*; but when it was submitted to the assembly of the people it was rejected with great vehemence. It was proposed again however twenty years afterwards, and with some mitigation of the penalties, and a clause that it should not take effect till after three, which was afterwards extended to five, years, and after great opposition, it received the full sanction of a law, under the title of Lex Julia de maritandis ordinibus. This law was always odious, particularly to the equestrian order, and great tumults were excited at the public games exhibited after the Pannonian and Dalmatic victories to procure a repeal. At the expiration of the five years a new law was passed, comprehending, with some alterations, all the former,

* To this Horace alludes,—*Diva, producas sobolem*, &c.
A. U. C. 736.

regulations, and providing more particularly for the improvement of the revenues^f.

This law, the *Lex Papia Poppæa*, derives its name from the consuls of the year κ . It is no longer extant in the mass, but the law itself, or the many commentaries of the ancient lawyers of authority who wrote upon it, are quoted in one hundred and ninety-nine places in the Code and Digest: From these fragments, and the numerous references and allusions which are made to it, in the historians and other writers, the substance at least of perhaps the whole has been restored, with tolerable correctness, by the successive industry, learning, and ingenuity of James Gothofred^b and Heineccius^l.

*Lex Papia
Poppæa*

^f The history of these laws is fully related in Dion. Cassius. Lib. 54 and 56. together with Gellius. Lib. 11 c. 6. Liv. Epit. Lib. 59. Suet. Aug. c. 89. Rejection by the people. Suet. Aug. C. 34, 35.

^b Marcus Papius Mutilus and Quintus Poppæus Secundus.

^l *Fontes Quatuor Juris Civilis*. This was a juvenile and imperfect performance of that celebrated lawyer.

^l *Ad Legem Juliam et Papiam Poppæam Commentarius*.

Its con-
tents.

Penalties
upon Celi-
bacy.

This law ^k, whose professed object it was to encourage marriage, to punish celibacy, and to enrich the treasury, consisted of two corresponding parts, and extended to many different subjects, some of which had little relation to the only point of view under which it is now considered. All persons, who were capable of performing the conjugal duties, were bound to marry, and they who neglected to comply with this injunction, whether males or females, whether in a state of virginity, widowhood, or divorce, were declared incapable of taking any property by will, except what was bequeathed to them by a relation within the sixth degree. Their right of inheritance, in the case of intestacy, was not affected, and, after a legacy became due, they were allowed an hundred days for deliberation, within which time they might remove the disqualification, or otherwise what was so left, in defiance of the laws, was confiscated to the service of the state.

^k Tacit. Ann. L. 3. 27.

Eunuchs, infants under the age of puberty, men beyond sixty, and women who exceeded fifty years¹, were the only persons exempted from this penalty. After the death or divorce of his wife, the man was allowed no interval of privilege^m, but a woman could not exceed two years from her widowhood, or one year and an half from a divorce, without being again liable to the incapacities of a single state. Espousals in almost every part of the Roman Law were considered as equivalent to marriage.

Who were
liable to
them.

A condition of celibacy or widowhood annexed to a bequest was void; as was an oath entered into by a slave to that effect, as the terms upon which he was manumittedⁿ.

Other re-
gulations.

¹ Though men did not marry before sixty, or women before fifty, after that age they were free from the penalties of celibacy by the Papian Poppæan law. But by the Per-
nican Senatus consultum, in the reign of Tiberius, they were still liable to them. By that of Claudius, a man of sixty might avoid them by marrying a woman under fifty; though, on the other hand, a woman above fifty was not discharged by marrying a younger man. Ulpian. tit. 16.

^m See note ¹ post.

ⁿ L. 6. ff. § 4. De Juré Patron.

If parents, without reason, prohibited their children from marrying, their consent might be supplied by the magistrate^o; and, to prevent evasion, no man could entitle himself to the benefit of the law, by espousing a girl under puberty, or by any espousals which were not carried into effect within two years.

Penalties removed in part by marriage.

Where there was such an universal corruption of manners, the purpose of these regulations would have been defeated by nominal marriages, by the horrid arts of abortion, and the destruction of children. These penalties therefore were only removed in part, by entering into matrimony, and married persons between the respective ages of twenty and fifty, if females, or between twenty-five and sixty, if males, who had no issue, were intitled only to one half of the bequest of strangers. They could likewise only leave to each other one tenth, and the usufruct of one third, part

^o By a subsequent constitution of Severus and Antoninus, the magistrate might assign them a reasonable portion, if their parents refused. L. 19. ff. De Rit. Nupt.

of their property, unless they were related within the sixth degree, or the husband was absent in the service of his country, and for one year after P.

If their efforts proved fortunate in the production of citizens, these incapacities were gradually removed.

Gradually
and totally
removed
by chil-
dren.

As to bequests between husband and wife; for every child of a former marriage that was living, they could add another tenth; of the children by the subsisting marriage, one, or two, who survived to the ninth day from their birth, but not otherwise, enabled them to bequeath so many more tenths. And one common child, who was in being at the death of the party, three which had died at nine days old, two, after the age of three years, or one which had survived the period of puberty, gave them a power of making mutual bequests to any amount⁹. And

⁸ Vide note 1. post.

⁹ Ulpian. tit. 16, 17. These laws, relating to the capacity of taking by will between husband and wife, were called *Leges Decimariæ*.

every disqualification was totally taken away, with respect to the father of a single living child, to a free-born female citizen who had three, and a libertine, that is, a freed woman, or manumitted slave, who was the mother of four children; who were discharged likewise from the restraint of their perpetual tutor, whilst that office subsisted.

Rewards
for chil-
dren.

Besides the exemption from the penalties of celibacy, other privileges and honours awaited the father of a family. A man who had three children born at Rome, four in Italy, or five in the provinces, was excused from all those burdensome and unprofitable offices in the state, which were merely personal, that is, such as were executed, without expence, by the exertions only of the mind or body, though not from such as were patrimonial or mixed^r. In the competition for public honours, he who was blessed with the most numerous progeny was preferred to his rival candidates, and every child deducted a year from the legal age of qualifi-

^r For the distinction see L. 18. ff. De Muner.

cation of his parent. The same circumstance determined the precedence between the consuls in bearing the fasces, and in the choice of provinces⁴, and in the colleges of decurions gave the priority in voting⁵.

The condition of libertines or freed men was improved likewise by their fertility. By a certain number of children they were dis-

To libertines, female patrons, slaves, and those who had the *jus latinum*.

⁴ Tacit. An. Lib. 15. c. 19. But it does not appear whether this privilege was given by the Papian Poppæan law.

⁵ L. 6. § 5. ff. De Decuri. In the abstract of this law which Montesquieu has given, Liv. 23. ch. 21. three errors may be observed. 1. He says, that husbands, as well as wives, were allowed an interval of time, after their marriage was dissolved, by death, or divorce. But all that is known of this clause, is contained in a fragment of Ulpian, title 14. who mentions only the wife, *Feminae lex, &c. tribuit vacationem*. 2dly, He has been misled by Gothofred to state, that if an husband absented himself from his wife for any other cause than the business of the republic, he could not be her heir. Ulpian (tit. 16.) says no such thing; and the error has long since been confuted by Schulting, *Juris. Ante-Jus. Heineccius, &c. &c.* 3dly, "The senator who had most children was enrolled first in the catalogue, and voted first." The law, to which he refers, does not speak of the *senate*, but of the colleges of decurions. L. 6. § 5. ff. De Decur.

charged from their services to their patron; a female libertine who married with his consent was entitled to the same privilege; the succession to their property was reduced to a certain proportion only, or entirely abolished, in proportion to the circumstances. The rights of female patrons, which in general were inferior to those of male patrons, were enlarged, and even a custom prevailed that a female slave, by three parturitions, acquired an exemption from the severities of labour, and, by more, her liberty. A child who lived to be a year old, bestowed on his father, who had the imperfect rights of latinity, the full privileges of a Roman citizen^u.

Other subsequent privileges.

Of the Tertullian decree of the senate, passed in the reign of Hadrian, by which a free-born mother of three, and a libertine who had four children, succeeded to the inheritance of their children, there will be occasion to

^u For the authorities upon which this account of the contents of the Papien-Poppæan law are founded, and the order in which the different clauses were there arranged, I must refer to the learned and satisfactory commentary of Heineccius.

Speak hereafter. Some other privileges, in subsequent times, may be gleaned from the books of the civil law; but they are either comprehended under these more general regulations, related only to some particular offices or classes of men, or were of the nature of voluntary benefactions or private grants and not binding in other cases^w.

But the laws had reserved to themselves a power of supplying the defects of nature; the privileges resulting from children might be granted to those whose endeavours to contribute their proportion to the number of citizens had not been crowned with success,

The Jus
Libero-
rum.

^w Such as L. 1. C. Qui num. lib. se excus. L. 2. § 2. ff. De Excusat. L. 1. C. De Legation. L. 132. C. Theod. De Decur. L. ult. C. De Decur. Suet. August. c. 46.—Id. Claud. c. 21. L. 8. ff. de Vacat. Muner. L. 103. C. Th. De Decur. L. 1. C. De Sumpt. Recup. L. 3. 4. De Vacat. L. 5. C. De his qui num. lib. L. 55. C. Th. De Decur. L. 24. C. cod. L. 5. § 2. ff. De Jur. immun. L. 3. § quamvis. L. 6. § quæmunera ff. De muner.

By whom
granted.

The right of conferring this privilege was first vested in the senate, and afterwards usurped by the prince. The senate had always exercised a power of dispensing with the laws in particular cases; and though, in the earliest times of the republic, it was necessary that the decree should be confirmed in the assembly of the people, this ratification soon grew out of use. A law was proposed by Caius Cornelius, the tribune (A. U. C. 686.) to restore this right to the people. It was however rejected; and the power continued therefore to be exercised by the senate, in the instances of Brutus, Pompey, and Julius Cæsar, and subsisted in the reign of Augustus; but it was too important a part of the sovereignty to be administered by subjects, and was completely annexed to the imperial authority before the time of Domitian*. Married persons, who had obtained the Jus Liberorum might make each other their

What it
was.

* Martial. II. 91.

heirs,

heirs, to the full extent of their property; they might take likewise under the testaments of strangers, and the wife could transact her legal business without the intervention of her tutor. It had however been the custom to grant this privilege only where the ages of the parties amounted together to one hundred years, but by a constitution of Arcadius and Honorius, persons of all ages were capable of it ^y. It was allowed without an express grant, to builders of merchant ships, by Claudius ^z, to soldiers ^a, sailors ^b, and decurions, by Constantine and Theodosius the Great.

Extended.

Persons privileged.

The simplicity of christianity had been considerably corrupted, when it became the predominant religion of the empire, and in nothing more than in the monastic institutions. *The penalties which attached upon celibacy were totally adverse to the superstitious veneration at that time entertained for

Changes made in this law.

Penalties of celibacy abolished by Constantine.

^y L. 1. C. Theod. De Jure Lib. ^z Suer. Claud. c. 20.

^a L. 13. L. 16. ff. De Castrenf. Pecul.

^b L. 7. C. Th. De Naviculariis.

the supposed merits, and sanctity of a virgin state, and they were therefore abolished by Constantine, together with the incapacities as to taking by the wills of strangers, which affected those married persons who had no children^{e d.}

Jus liberorum granted to all, by Theodosius.

But he did not remove their incapacities as to the power of bequeathing to each other, nor abolish the rewards of marriage^{e.} These remaining restrictions were finally swept away by Theodosius the younger, who expressly repealed those heads of the Papian-Poppæan law, which related to this subject^{f.}

^e L. 1. C. Theod. De Inf. Pæn. Cælib.

^d Justinian even gave encouragement to widows and widowers not to marry again. Nov. 127. c. 3.

^e Verum hujus beneficii maritis et uxoribus inter se usurpatione non patebit, quorum fallaces plerumque blanditiæ vix etiam opposito juris rigore cohibentur. C. Theod. De inf. pæn. cæl.

^f L. 2. 3. C. Th. De Jur. Lib. L. unde his qui num. lib. excuf. L. 1. C. De Jur. Lib. L. 2. C. de Inf. pæn. cæl. et orb. et dec. subl. Decernimus inter virum et uxorem rationem cessare ex lege Papiæ decimarum.

All penalties upon celibacy, and all distinction between single and married persons, and whether they had issue or not, were therefore entirely removed before the time of Justinian, as to the capacity of taking by will. But other differences, and the privileges which were enjoyed by those who had the number of children required by law, still continued; and those privileges might still be communicated by a grant of the *jus liberorum* &c.

The next head of inquiry, into the means employed amongst the Romans to promote marriage, by discouraging every other con-

2. Laws to discourage other connexions.

z This is clear from L. 2. C. De Jur. Lib. A. D. 528.

A mother could not succeed under the *senatus consultum Tertullianum*, unless she had three (or four) children. That restriction, therefore, was not removed by the law of Theodosius, since this constitution was issued by Justinian for that purpose. And mention is made of the *jus liberorum* in other places as still subsisting. The term *jus liberorum* was used in the civil law to denote the privileges of those persons who really had children, as well as of those who obtained them by grant; I have employed it in the latter sense only.

nexion

nexion between the sexes, will lead us to consider the laws relating to concubines, and illegitimate children.

Who were bastards.
 i. The children of marriages prohibited by law.
 What marriages were prohibited.

All children who were not born in lawful matrimony were bastards. But the ~~part~~ of legitimate wedlock was confined to narrow bounds. Originally it extended only to the union of two Roman citizens, of the respective ages of puberty, not within the prohibited degrees of consanguinity, or affinity, who were joined, by the requisite ceremonies, with their own consent, and that of their parents, tutors, or relations, according to the circumstances of the case.

The law of the twelve tables, that patricians and plebeians should not intermarry was soon repealed, but many other restrictions were introduced from time to time. The Papian-Poppæan law permitted the marriage of free-born citizens with freed women^a, which was before prohibited by law,

^a L. 23. ff. De Ritu Nuptiarum. Against a mode of referring to the civil law lately introduced, by the number only

law, or custom ; whilst, on the other hand, the dignity of the senatorial order was consulted by forbidding persons of that rank, and their children, to marry freed women or actresses^b. The same law excluded from the nuptial bed of all free-born citizens, prostitutes, procureesses, and slaves who had been manumitted by persons of that description ; women who had been convicted upon a popular accusation, who had exhibited

only of the book, title, and law, I must enter my protest in favour of the old way of quoting the beginning of the law, or of the title itself. For, 1. Perpetual blunders are committed by printers, transcribers, and even authors themselves, in references of mere figures ; words can never be mistaken. 2. Figures alone are not easily remembered, but the first words of the titles or laws, which, from the short and pithy language in which they are expressed, usually contain much of their subject matter, necessarily impress themselves upon the mind. 3. They who have much acquaintance with the civil law soon learn to refer to the principal titles, from knowing the arrangement and method of the Digest and Code ; and strangers to the country will be amply rewarded for the additional trouble of turning to the table, by the certainty that they are not hunting upon a wrong scent.

^b L. 44. ff. De Rit. Nupt.

themselves upon the stage, or had been detected in adultery^c. In the reign of Tiberius, men above sixty, and women beyond fifty years of age, were forbidden to marry; though Claudius permitted men of that age to unite themselves with younger women, because there was a possibility of issue^d. Other prohibitions succeeded in after times; that of the marriage of the president, or other persons in authority under his government, with any woman of the province that was entrusted to his care^e; of a tutor and curator, or their son, with his ward, till he had passed his accounts, and she was twenty-five years of age^f; of a ravisher with the unfortunate object of his violence^g; of an adulterer with the woman whom he had cohabited with^h; and lastly, from a similitude to the natural relation, of a godfather with his godchildⁱ.

^c L. 43. cod. Ulp. tit. 13. * * * Ulp. tit. 16.

^e L. 38. ff. cod. L. 57. L. 63.

^f L. 59. 64. 66. ff. cod. Code, title De interdicto mat. int. pup. et tut. ^g L. un. C. De Rapt. Virg.

^h L. 13. ff. De His quæ ut indig. Nov. 134. c. 12.

ⁱ L. 26. C. De Nupt.

But marriages contracted contrary to these provisions were not at first absolutely void, and they had even many of the effects of a legal connexion. Donations and bequests between the parties were not valid^k; and if the wife proved unfaithful, the husband was intitled to his action for adultery^l. Though they acquired none of the other rights of husband and wife^m, and were liable to all the penalties and incapacities of celibacy, the children were illegitimateⁿ, and the marriage portion of the wife was confiscated to the treasury at her death^o. By a decree of Antoninus and Commodus the prohibited marriages of the senatorial order were expressly annulled^p; and it has usually been understood that the same change was

Not void
till Anto-
nius.

^k L. 3. § 1. De Donat. int. Vir. et Ux. Ulp. Frag. tit. 16. § 2.

^l L. 13. Ad. leg. Jul. de Adul.

^m Inst. tit. 10. § 12.

ⁿ Jul. Paul. Recept. Sent. lib. 4. tit. 8. § 4.

^o L. 61. ff. De Rit. Nupt.

^p L. 16. ff. de Sponsal. l. 16. pr. ff. De Rit. Nup. l. 42. cod.

effected in the law relating to all the other prohibited marriages.

Changes
in the laws
of mar-
riage.

Many alterations took place in subsequent periods. The capability of contracting lawful marriage was communicated, with the other privileges of citizenship, to all the inhabitants of the Roman world, by the constitution of Caracalla⁹. Though Barbarians were afterwards excepted^r. But so indispensable was that quality, that if a man and his wife were made prisoners by the enemy, a child born during their captivity, if they all three returned to their own country, was legitimate by a postliminium; but if the mother and child only returned, the child was a bastard; because the father had lost his rights as a Roman citizen by captivity, and had not recovered them by a postliminium^s.

The salutary restrictions to prevent improper marriages gradually became obsolete

⁹ L. 17. ff. De Statu.

^r L. un. C. Th. de Nupt Gen.

^s L. 25. De Capt. et Post.

as the distinctions of the different orders began to be disregarded, and the meanest of men were admitted into the senate, and to every honour in the state. Those which related to persons of rank were again revived, extended, and enforced under severer penalties by Constantine¹. The decrepid Justin was instigated by Justinian to repeal the law which prohibited persons of senatorial rank from uniting themselves with actresses, to prepare the way for his marriage with Theodora, the most public and abandoned of prostitutes²; and after he succeeded to the empire all the restrictions of the Papian Poppean law, and of Constantine, were swept away by a series of constitutions³. He decreed likewise that age should be no impediment to matrimony⁴, but the unnatural marriage of eunuchs still continued to be practised even under the Christian emperors, till it was totally prohibited by Leo⁵.

It

¹ L. 1. C. De Nat. Lib. ² L. 23. C. De Nupt. Procop. Anecd. p. 45. ³ Novel. 89. c. 15. 78. c. 3. 117. c. 4. 6. ⁴ L. 27. C. De Nupt.

⁵ Juvenal. Quum tener uxorem ducat spado.

a. The children of concubines.
Concubines permitted by Law.

It was the professed object of marriage to supply the state with citizens, and these restrictions were calculated to preserve the purity of the Roman blood, and the distinctions of rank and family. But it was thought expedient to make some allowance for the frailty of human nature; many persons might be desirous of enjoying the consolation and domestic cares of an amiable female, who were unwilling to hazard

Claud. in Eutrop. 1. 2. 88.

Si quid portentis creditur, uxor

- Mulcebat matres epulis, et more pudicæ
- Conjugis eunuchi celebrabat vota mariti.
- Hanc amat, &c.

Nov. Leon. 98. This constitution affords a true example of the argumentative and declamatory style which false taste introduced into the laws of the lower empire; so different from the concise and elegant simplicity of the earlier periods. Amongst a multiplicity of reasons against the marriage of eunuchs is the following curious comparison: Εἴτε καὶ χωραὶ μῆνι, εἴ ἢς αἰ τις ἕτερος κερσῶν δρεψαίτο, εἰ τις σφῆνη διαλυμαίνομενος καὶ πρὸς τὸ ἀκροῖς, (probably a corrupt reading for ἀχρηστῶς, the word having been written contractedly ἀχρηστῶ, or according to the conjectures of H. Stephens and Agylæus, ἀχρηστῶς), αὐτῆ χωραίτος, ὡς ποικίλον καὶ ἀμετακίνητον ἰσθηματικῶν καὶ εἰ δυνατοῖς ἐξηγήσῃ αὐτῆ τῆς προαιρέσει' τῶν δ' εἰ τις εἴς ἢς ὁ λογικὸς ἀναβλάσκει γὰρ χωραὶ ἐξηγήσει καὶ ἀχρηστῶς δεικνύσει, τῶν ὡς μηδὲν αἰδικῶν ὄντων παραχωρησῆται;

the

the expence and burden of a solemn engagement, and concubinage was therefore acknowledged, and allowed, as an intermediate state between the dignity of marriage, and the profligacy of meretricious amours^a.

This connexion every unmarried man was at liberty to form with one single woman^a, and no other restraints were imposed than those which were founded in nature, and the disapprobation of polygamy. No one was allowed to take a mistress, who was related to him within the degrees of consanguinity^b which constituted incest, or during the immature period antecedent to puberty^c. Upon the same principles, sons were forbidden to take to wife the concubine of their father^d. It has been thought that married men were first prohibited from keeping concubines by Constantine^e; but it appears from a passage

Laws relating to them.

^a L. 49. § 4. ff. De Legat. 3. l. 5. C. ad S. C. Orphil. l. 144. De Verb. Sig.

^a Nov. 18. c. 5.—89. c. 12. § 5.

^b L. 1. § 3. ff. De Concub. l. 56. ff. De Rit. Nupt.

^c L. 1. § 4. ff. De Concub. • ^d L. 4. C. De Nupt.

^e L. un. C. De Concub. L. ex ea. 121. § 1. Mulier. ff. De. Verb. Obl.

- in Julius Paulus^f to have been the established law in the reign of Severus. With only these exceptions, a man was at liberty to take as his concubine any of those persons with whom he was forbidden to marry^g.

A doubt respecting an opinion of Heineccius.

It is expressly said, in the Digest and Code, that concubinage was known to and established by the laws^h; but I am by no means satisfied with the supposition of the learned Heinecciusⁱ, that it was introduced and rendered legal by the Papian Poppæan law. 1. It seems hardly probable that a law, which was intended even to compel marriage, should have directly promoted a practice which had the strongest tendency to encourage celibacy. 2. The general words,

^f Recept. Sen. 11. 20. Eo tempore quo quis uxorem habet, concubinam habere non potest. L. 3. C. Com. de Man. Ulpian in l. 11. § 2. ff. De Divortiis.

^g The whole title, ff. De Concub. l. 16. De his quæ ut indig. § 38. p. ff. De Reb. auct. Jud. poss.

^h L. 3. § 1. ff. De Concub. Quia concubinitus per leges nomen assumpsit; extra legis pœnam est, l. 5. C. Ad. Sen. Orfit. Licita consuetudo.

ⁱ Ad. Leg. Pap. Pop. lib. 11. cap. 4.

per leges, do not necessarily refer to that law in every case, though they possibly may be so understood in many passages, which immediately relate to the subject of marriage.

3. Neither does it follow from this, that Ulpian and Paulus have treated upon concubinage, in their commentaries upon that law; unless it can be proved that commentators never digress from the subject which they are professedly writing upon to other topics of a kindred, or even of a dissimilar nature. In the discussion of a multifarious law relating to marriage, many questions arising out of the collateral state of concubinage would unavoidably present themselves for consideration; and there is no passage in the only two remaining fragments relating to that head, in those treatises^k, from which it can be directly inferred that there were any provisions of that kind in the law itself.

4. The existence of such a clause escaped the researches and conjectures of Gothofred and Gravina, though they were familiar

^k L. 1. & L. 2. ff. De Concubinis.

with the quotations which are adduced in proof of it.

Their
rights.

But by whatever laws this state was established, they must have been merely of a negative or permissive nature, for it was followed by no legal consequences, and created no new rights. With whatever respect the name of a concubine might be treated, she acquired none of the advantages or disadvantages of a wife. The intimate union of property, and the participation in the sacred rites of the husband's family, indeed, were confined solely to the solemn marriages by co-emption, confarreation, and prescription, but she had none of the other privileges of the more usual kinds of matrimony. If she embezzled the goods of her keeper, she was liable to an action of theft, from which a wife was exempt¹. Donations were valid between them to any extent^m. She retained her proper domicil

¹ L. Si Concubina, 17. ff. De Action. Rer. Amot.

^m L. 31. ff. De Donat.

and

and forum, whereas a wife was transferred to those of her husbandⁿ. None of the laws relating to marriage portions applied to them, and the connexion might be dissolved at pleasure, even without the easy forms of a divorce. If indeed the union was of such an honourable nature, that the woman did not forfeit the respectable title of a matron, as where a freed-woman was concubine to her patron, in case of her infidelity, he might institute an accusation of adultery, upon the Julian Law^o; not indeed *jure mariti*, but *jure extranei*. But the only case mentioned in the law, in which this took place, was that of a patron and his freed-woman, which seems to have been favoured beyond every other kind of concubinage. And Giannone is not supported by the passage which he quotes, when he asserts generally that adultery might be committed by concubines, as well as wives, and that the only difference consisted in the mode of

ⁿ L. 22. § 1. L. 37. § 2. ff. Ad Municipal. L. 65. ff. De Judic.

^o L. 13. ff. Ad Leg. Jul. de Adul.

accusation ^p. So if a slave was retained in that capacity by her master, she acquired her liberty at his death without manumission ^q; and it appears by a fragment of Ulpian, which has not found its way into the digest, that in his time, when a man's goods were sold under the sentence of a court, his concubine and natural children were excepted ^r.

Concubinage abolished by Leo.

Concubinage maintained its ground during the republic, the heathen emperors, and for a long period after the establishment of Christianity. It was finally abolished, in the Eastern empire, by the emperor Leo the sixth, in the ninth century, as an offence not only inconsistent with religion, but contrary to nature. "Why," says the philosophic monarch, "should a man prefer

^p Non meno nelle mogli, che nelle concubine, poteva considerarsi adulterio, ma la differenza consisteva nel modo di accusarsi, i. e. either, jure mariti, or jure extranei, p. 168.

^q L. ult. C. Commun. de Manumis.

^r Bonis venditis, excipiuntur concubina et liberi naturales, lib. 1. tit. 13.

“muddy water when he may satisfy his
“thirst from the pure stream?” How
far his conduct was conformable to his
laws and doctrines, may be seen in the
historians of his life.

The offspring then of all these different
conjunctiōns, of illegal marriages, concubi-
nage, or promiscuous amours, were com-
prehended under the general appellation of
bastards, *spurii*, or *nothi*. Different names
or epithets distinguished the concubinage,
the prostitution, the incest, or other modes
of illegal union of the parents, but I apprehend
that the children, before the time of
Constantine, had all, without distinction,
the same general rights and incapacities¹.

Rights
and inca-
pacities
of bas-
tards.

To ascertain these rights and incapacities,
it is necessary to distinguish their condition

¹ Novel. Leon. 91. Οὐδὲν, εἰς τὴν καθάρτην ἀπακρίνωμαι τὰ μαιλάρι, ὡς
ἀποκρίσεις τῶν βαρβάρων;

¹ It is to be inferred from l. 6. ff. De Decur. Hotman. de
Spuriis, p. 500. *Quinque spuriorum genera æque omnia*
probabantur veteri jure.

before

before the time of Constantine, the alterations which then took place, and afterwards, till the law was finally settled by Justinian.

Before
Constantine.

The legal condition of bastards during the first period flowed naturally from two principles: first, that the law did not recognize any connection whatever between the father and the child; but, in the second place, the relation subsisting between the mother and her offspring was acknowledged as perfectly as in the case of legitimate children^o.

1st Principle.
No connexion
with the
father.

The ground of the first principle arose, not only from the general policy of encouraging marriage, but likewise from the uncertainty of the person. He only could be considered as the father, who was demonstrated to be so by the rites of matrimony. When an inheritance was bequeathed to Claudius, an illegitimate child, if he could prove himself to be the son of the testator,

^o Inst. lib. 3. tit. 5. § 4. L. 19. 23. 24. ff. De Statu, L. 2. 4. 8. ff. Unde Cog. &c. &c.

Paulus was of opinion that the bequest was void, because the condition was impossible to be performed ^w.

From hence it followed that the very extraordinary paternal power, which was peculiar to Roman fathers, did not extend to their illegitimate children. If they enjoyed, or exercised any authority, it arose from natural affection, and the influence of a dependant situation.

The father was not compellable to endow his natural daughter ^x. If he died intestate, his natural children could inherit no part of his property. He had no power of appointing guardians for them, because that right depended upon the paternal autho-

^w L. 83. ff. De Condit. et Demonst. Lucius Titius ita testamentum fecit, "Aurelius Claudius natus ex illa muliere si filium meum se esse judici probaverit, hæres mihi esto." Paulus respondit, filium de quo quæreretur, non sub ea conditione institutum videri, quæ in potestate ejus est, et ideo testamentum nullius esse momenti.

^x Inferred from L. 41. § 11. ff. De Legat. 3.

rity^γ. Neither could the agnates, or the relations on the father's side, be entitled to the legal guardianship^α; because, the first link failing, the chain of consanguinity was entirely broken, and no agnation subsisted. But the Atilian law, which directed the proper magistrates, where there was no testamentary, or legal guardian, to appoint one, and the further regulations upon that head extended no doubt to natural children^β. With respect to marriage the laws of nature, and decency were observed, and the prohibition of incest comprehended the illegitimate as well as the legitimate relations within the same degrees^δ.

But these incapacities were carried no further. The free right of disposing of his

^γ Inst. l. 1. § 3. De Tutelis. L. 1. ff. De Testamentaria tutela. L. 40. fin. ff. De Adm. Tut. L. 7. id. De Confirmando Tutore.

^α L. 3. C. Quando Mulier. in fin. Legitima tutela evanescit. L. 4. ff. Unde Cogn. Inst. 3. 5. § 4.

^β Inst. 1. 20. § 6. L. Ult. C. qui pet. tut.

^δ L. 54. l. 14. § 2. ff. De Ritu Nupt.

property, which every citizen enjoyed by the law of the twelve tables, was not infringed upon in this case. He was at liberty to provide for them or his concubine, either in his life time, or by will to any extent, with no other restrictions than those which applied to all strangers to his blood in general.

On the contrary the relationship to the mother was perfect and complete in every respect.

ad Principle.
Connexion with the mother perfect.

She communicated to them her condition or state in society^d. If the unfortunate offspring of a female slave were the property of her master, the children of a free woman, whoever might be the father, or however disapproved, or even prohibited the connexion, inherited from her all the rights and prerogatives of a Roman citizen^e. No infamy or legal blemish attached to the ille-

As to her condition.

^c This is clear from L. 45. Lucius. ff. De Vulg. Substit.

^d L. 24. ff. De Statu.

^e Inst. l. 1. tit. 4. L. 5. L. 19. ff. De Statu.

gitimacy of their birth, they were capable of taking by will ^f, and were not even disqualified for holding the most honourable offices in the state ^g, though in the competition between the candidates they who could boast a more virtuous origin were intitled to the preference ^h. For it was humanely observed that they who were sufferers by the fault of others were deserving of compassion rather than punishment.

Her property.

As to the succession to her property they were precisely upon the same footing with legitimate children, and succeeded equally with them.

^f L. 45. ff. De Vulg. Sub. L. 17. § 1. ff. Quæ in Fraud. Cred.

^g Amongst Gruter's Inscriptions, p. 434. is a monument to the memory of C. Mamercius Januarius, who is styled sine patre filius, and filius naturalis, and yet successively enjoyed the honors of Quæstor, Ædile, Prætor, and Duumvir in his colony.

^h L. 6. L. 9. L. 3. § 2. ff. De Decurion. L. 14. § 3. ff. De Muner. et Honor.

By

By the laws of the twelve tables all succession to the estate of intestates was confined to the narrow limits of such children only as were under the power of the parent, from whom they claimed an inheritance, and of agnates, or such kindred as were related in the male line only. It followed that mothers and their children could not reciprocally succeed to each others property, or the children to their relations on the mothers side. A remedy, however, was applied by the Prætor, whose office it was to relax the harsh rules of strict law by the milder principles of equity. He could not indeed vest in them the legal estate, which the law had denied, but he admitted them to the *possessio bonorum*, a sort of possessory right, which in effect was equally beneficial, and which he extended to illegitimate as well as lawful children¹. In succeeding times, this Prætorian was converted into a legal title by the Tertullian and Orphitian decrees of the senate, in the reigns of Hadrian and Antoninus, and by other laws

¹ L. 2. 4. 8. ff. Unde Cogn. Inst. lib. 2. tit. 5. § 4.

and constitutions, in which illegitimate children were likewise included ^k.

In other respects.

So if their mother omitted them in her will, or disinherited them without just cause, and did not leave them a legitime portion, they might institute a suit to annul the inofficious testament ^l. She was obliged to apply to the magistrate for the appointment of a tutor to her children, in the same manner as if they were legitimate ^m, and they were equally bound by the reciprocal duties of maintenance ⁿ. Except in very particular cases, of which the Prætor was to judge, it was thought inconsistent with the duty of a child to bring an action against its parents. The same reverence was enforced, and the same prohibition was extended to the natural mother, though perhaps not to the father ^o.

^k L. 5. C. Ad S. C. Orphit. in fin. L. 1. § 2. ff. Ad Sen. Tertyll. L. 2. L. 18. ff. De Bon. Libert.

^l L. 29. § 1. ff. De Inoff. Test.

^m L. Ult. C. Qui pet. tut.

ⁿ L. 5. § 4. De Agn. lib.

^o L. 4, 5, 6. ff. De In Jus. Vocat.

If it be asked how far the consent of parents was required to the marriage of bastards, a little consideration of the law, in that respect, concerning legitimate children, will enable us to give a satisfactory answer. In these, a distinction must be made between ~~sons~~ and daughters. As to the first, the necessity of the father's consent being solely founded upon his paternal power^p, it was only commensurate with it. And his approbation was dispensed with after three years captivity, or absence without being heard of, and might be supplied by the magistrate, in case of madness, or even of an unreasonable refusal^q. When the paternal power was dissolved, by emancipation, or other means, the son became perfectly his own master, the arbiter of his own destiny in the matrimonial connexion^r. After the father's death, the consent of the tutor was quite out of the question, as to the validity

How far the consent of parents was required to their marriage.

^p Inst. De Nupt. L. 2. ff. De Rit. Nupt.

^q L. 25. C. De Nupt. L. 9, 10, 11. ff. De R. N. L. 19. ff. eod.

^r L. 25. ff. eod.

of a contract which could not be entered into till the age of puberty, when his authority became totally extinct; nor was that of curators required, whose office might then commence, since their power was limited to the care of the minor's property only, and did not affect his personal liberty. The mother was not at all consulted either during the life, or after the death, of the father.

The

* L. 8. C. De Nupt. L. 20. ff. De Rit. Nupt.

† 10 Inst. De Adopt. I cannot help noticing a passage in Sir William Blackstone's Commentaries, book the first, chapter the seventeenth, in which he gives an account of the civil law relating to tutors and curators. He says, "The guardian with us performs the office both of the *tutor* and *curator* of the Roman laws; the former of which had the charge of the maintenance and education of the minor, the latter the care of his fortune; or, according to the language of the court of chancery, the *tutor* was the committee of the person, the *curator* the committee of the estate. But this office was frequently united in the civil law; as it always is in our law with regard to minors." But it is perfectly clear; first, that the authority of a tutor necessarily expired when the infant arrived at years of puberty; that of a curator might then commence, and continued till twenty-five.

The amiable facility of the female sex required a longer continuance of the parental care. Amongst the laws indeed upon this head, as they now stand in the Code of Justinian, there are some contradictions, which have occasioned considerable perplexity amongst the commentators. The difficulty has arisen from a supposition that, at whatever periods the respective constitutions there introduced may have been originally promulgated,

five. "Pupilli cum puberes esse cæperint a tutela liberantur. " L. 1. C. Quando tut. vel cur. esse desinunt." *Secondly*, that the tutor had the entire management of the infant's property, as well as of his person. See all the titles relating to this subject, from which these laws may be selected. "Datus tutor ad universum patrimonium datus est. L. 21. " ff. De Excusat. Tutor domini loco haberi debet. L. 27. " ff. De Administ. Tut. Cum tutor non rebus duntaxat sed " etiam inoribus pupilli præponatur. L. 12. § 3. De Adm. " Tut. A tutoribus, &c. pupillorum eadem diligentia exigenda est circa administrationem rerum pupillorum quam " paterfamilias rebus suis præstare debet. L. 33. eod. tit. " Inventario facto res ei traduntur. L. Ult. § 1. C. Arbit. " Tut." *Thirdly*, that no curator was ever appointed whilst there was an effective tutor; and only in the cases of his incapacity, absence reipublicæ causa, when he was excused,

mulgated, they all acquired a new, equal, contemporary authority from this republication. The evident opposition between them has been accounted for, by taking a distinction between advice, and positive injunction, and by supposing that the consent of certain persons, required by one law, which another declares to be perfectly needless, was directed to be obtained, for the sake of decency only, and not as of legal necessity. But this interpretation can scarcely be admitted, because it is the office and language of laws not to counsel, but to

suspected, removed, banished, or the property was too extensive to be managed by one person. "Officium tutorum curatoribus constitutis finem accipit. L. 19. ff. De Auct. Tut. Curator pupillo non datur si tutor eorum affuerit. L. 11. ff. De Tutor. et Curat. Interdum pupilli curatores accipiunt, ut puta, si legitimus tutor non sit idoneus. Inst. § 5. De Curat." The other cases are, under the title, *In quibus casibus*, Code. It is incorrect, therefore, to represent the two offices as subsisting at the same time, with powers contradistinguished and compatible with each other; nor could they have ever been united, unless it were possible that the same person could be under the age of puberty, and above it at the same time.

command; and the knot perhaps may be better unravelled by attending to the dates of the constitutions, and admitting the principle, that prior laws are repealed by those which are enacted later^u. This rule must necessarily be understood to apply to many parts of that collection; and where a former constitution is inserted, which is evidently repealed in the whole, or in part, by another subsequent law, the compilers must be taken to have given it no further validity than is consistent with such total, or partial, abrogation; valeat qua valeat. In one instance this principle is expressly allowed by the legislator himself. A constitution of Anastasius, which forms the sixth law under the title concerning natural children, was completely repealed by a constitution of Justin, which composes the law next following. They are both thus inserted into the Code, but that the first did not thereby revive, and acquire an equal authority with the latter, but continued as

^u Αἱ μεταγενέστεραι διατάξεις ἀρχαιότερας τῶν ἀπο αὐτῶν ἴσται.

MODESTINUS.

an abrogated and obsolete law, was expressly declared long afterwards in the eighty-ninth novel^w; which assigns a reason why they were both suffered to remain notwithstanding, which was, that many families founded their legitimacy upon the effects of that law whilst it continued in force.

It should seem then, that, by the elder laws^x, as in the case of sons, no other consent was necessary to the marriage of daughters, than that of the father, and that only whilst the child was under his power; and it was expressly declared, that the consent of relations was in no case necessary. But, under subsequent constitutions^y, besides the regular paternal authority, a girl, under twenty-five years of age, who was

^w Cap. 7.

^x Paulus, L. 2. ff. De Rit. Nupt. in the time of Alexander Severus, about A. D. 222.—L. 8. C. De Nupt. of Gordian, about A. D. 238.

^y Of Valentinian, Valens, and Gratian, A. D. 371. L. 18. C. cod. and L. 1. C. Theod. de Nupt. Of Honorius and Theodosius, about A. D. 408. L. 20. C. cod.

emancipated, could not marry without her father's consent, even if she was in a state of widowhood; unless, indeed, in that situation she had obtained the *jus liberorum*; till that age, likewise, if the father was dead, the consent of the mother², and of the nearest relations, was requisite. In case neither parent was in existence, the advice of those relations seems to have been called in; and if they were unable to decide upon the claims and merits of the rival competitors for the fair hand of a minor, who was too modest to declare her own par-

² During the father's life the mother had no power of dissenting; in these lines, therefore,

At tu ne pugna cum tali conjuge, virgo;
 Non æquum est pugnare, pater cui tradidit ipse,
 Ipse pater cum matre, quibus parere necesse est.
 Virginitas non tota tua est, ex parte parentum est,
 Tertia pars matri data, pars tertia patri,
 Tertia sola tua est—————

the poet Catullus must be supposed to refer to a natural, not a legal, authority, agreeably to the opinion of Modestinus. L. 42. ff. De R. N. Semper in conjunctionibus non solum quid liceat considerandum est, sed et quid honestum sit.

• tialities,

tialities, the choice was referred to the magistrate ^a.

Whilst the office of perpetual tutor was in use, his consent was, of course, necessary at every age; that of the ordinary tutor was required to the validity of the espousals of his ward, who was necessarily under the years of puberty ^b; but the female, as well as the male pupil, was always free from any authority of her curator, upon this head ^c.

It follows therefore that the consent of neither father nor mother was necessary to the marriage of their illegitimate sons, because they were not under the paternal power, which was the only ground of that restriction. But though daughters were totally independent of their natural fathers, yet by analogy to the laws relating to legi-

^a Si inter honestos competitores matrimonii oriatur forte certamen, &c. si puella cultu verecundiæ propriam noluerit voluntatem depromere, &c.

^b L. 1. C. eod.

^c L. 20. ff. De R. N. L. 8. C. De Nupt.