

was completely examined; for the title to make the lease being enquired into as a prefatory matter, they thereby collected who was the trespassor; which was the direct point of discussion in this action.

THIS seems to have been the obvious, and was probably the first way in which a title to land was tried by ejectment. It was regular and simple, consistent with the process and proceeding in other actions; but the legal notion of trespass and ejectment was of such latitude, as to leave an opening for fraud and artifice to introduce some singular novelties into the proceeding by ejectment. If the tenant might be considered as a trespassor by continuing in the possession after the lease made to *A.* so might every one of his servants, and every stranger who *casually* came on the land; and these, equally with him, might be made defendants, and put to shew by what authority they were there. The person claiming title would sometimes take advantage of this, and get a friend to come on the premises just after *A.* had been put into possession by sealing the lease. This made him instantly, in law, a trespassor and ejector. He was served afterwards with a writ and declaration, upon which he would give the plaintiff judgment by default; and an *habere facias possessionem* issuing, the tenant in possession was turned out, in order that the plaintiff might have full and clear possession according to his judgment; the tenant being put to the necessity of bringing an ejectment, in his turn, to recover back the land.

A PRACTICE like this, so unfair and unjust, could not long subsist: the courts took it up, and, in order that the tenant might always be in a capacity to defend himself, made it a rule, that execution should not issue, till the ejector, if a stranger, had given notice to the tenant that an action was commenced against him, submitting to him whether he would defend it; upon which the tenant was allowed to defend it, in *his* place. However, not-

C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

withstanding this rule took away all the advantage gained by these clandestine ejectments, the practice of proceeding first against some stranger, called since a *casual ejector*, was still kept on foot; for the judgment obtained in this manner remained still good in law, notwithstanding the tenant was admitted to try his right: it put him under the necessity of defending the action, lest he should be turned out; and, should it be determined by a jury in favour of the plaintiff, execution would issue on that much sooner than could be obtained by judgment on the verdict.

THUS did ejectments receive a new form, owing to circumstances necessarily attending them, and to certain consequences following from the legal properties of a trespass. As there can be little doubt but an ejectment was conducted in the simple manner we have above supposed, when first made use of to this purpose; so there can be little doubt that it became, very soon after, the practice to make a casual ejector, and to proceed as just related; the lessee and casual ejector being real persons, as well as the entry and ejectment real facts. Such the practice continued till the end of queen Elizabeth's reign, and some years after, when the practicers got into the habit of shortening the process very materially; and the judges endeavoured to expedite this useful action by some orders of court; so that, all together, it is now become a very singular and complicated proceeding.

THOUGH it had been adjudged that the term should be recovered in ejectment, it cannot be supposed that damages were not, as formerly, recovered for the injury sustained by losing the intermediate profits; judgment therefore used to be as well for the mesne profits as the term. In after-times, when an ejectment came to be considered rather in the light of a real action, the plaintiff rarely prepared himself to prove the actual damages; upon the ejectment therefore he took only nominal damages,  
and

and afterwards brought a new action of trespass for the mesne profits; of which action there is no mention till some time after the reign of queen Elizabeth.

CHAP.  
XXVII.  
HENRY VII.

As this action of ejectment, in the form of it, is for the trespass, and not for the right; every fresh trespass, which could easily be effected in the way above stated, is a fresh cause of action, and the right will every time be incidentally examined; very different from trying a right in some real action, where a judgment once given was either a final bar, or drove the party to a writ of a higher nature, very often more tedious in its process. From hence it followed, that a title might be tried over and over again between the same parties, though they had each had verdicts against them. The gratification of trying a title more than once, together with the ease with which this action was conducted, contributed to make it a favourite both with our courts and with suitors. This innovation gave the last blow to real actions, which, from the period when ejectments came into practice, went into disuse: a revolution that at once consigned to oblivion at least one-third of the ancient learning of the law.

WHETHER this was a change for the better, has been doubted by lawyers of some knowledge and experience. On the one hand it has been said, that real writs were in their nature so special, and in their application so unaccommodating, that they were very unmanageable instruments in the hands of the practitioner. Some were to be brought in a particular court; some lay only between particular persons; others, for and against those who had only particular estates; with various other circumstances that were requisite, antecedent to the bringing of the action: all these were at once supplied by an ejectment, which requires nothing but a present possession in the defendant, and a right to it in the plaintiff. On the other hand, the precision of the proceeding in real actions, where

the

C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

the matter in question was thoroughly canvassed in pleading, and reduced to a simple point before it was trusted to a jury, is thought to be ill changed for the present course, where the whole question is at once sent in the gross to trial upon the general issue, without any previous attempt to simplify or decide it with less circuitry and expence. As to the length of process, and other delays in real action, they, it is said, might have been easily corrected by act of parliament.

THOUGH the practice had begun of applying ejectments to this purpose<sup>1</sup>, there are no questions arising upon such actions in the Year-book of this reign. Many titles to real property are there debated in trespass and replevin. But the remedies by real action continued still to be the practice of the time, though destined to give place to this new-modelled remedy in the next and succeeding reigns. With real actions fell a great part of the business of the common-pleas; in them that court had possessed an exclusive right of judicature, which now begun to be imparted to other courts. Land, as a subject of action, was now in the same predicament as other matters of contract, and might be decided upon, in an ejectment, in any court in Westminster-Hall. The king's bench, which from a criminal jurisdiction had long before possessed itself of personal actions, by help of fiction and intendment, received its share of this accession; and from thence derived an addition to its civil business, which was greatly increasing from other causes. This was another consideration that contributed to bring this action into vogue. The practicers in the court of king's bench and exchequer saw a medium by which they might partake in the valuable practice of the common-pleas, and of course would give every credit to such a contrivance.

<sup>1</sup> Rest, Ent. 233, 244.



C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

Actions of *assumpsit*.

THE opinions delivered in the reigns of Henry VI. and Edward IV. in favour of actions upon the case, for the non-performance of a promise, were confirmed by the train of decisions in this reign. In 3 Hen. VII. an action was brought against a defendant, who, for a sum of money, had undertaken to procure a lease for a person; but instead thereof, he obtained it for himself, in deceit of the plaintiff. When it was objected, upon the old notion, that nothing having been done, no action would lie; as there was no *misfeasance*, but merely a *non-feasance*; *Brian* demanded, whether if he promised, upon consideration, to make a feoffment to one person, and afterwards made it to another, that would not be a great *misfeasance*? endeavouring in this manner to satisfy the scruples of such as still adhered to the ancient opinion; we are told that the court agreed with him. Conformably with this decision, it was declared in 21 Hen. VII. by the whole court, that an action upon the case would lie as well for a *non-feasance* as for a *mal-feasance*<sup>1</sup>; and this opinion was on another occasion again recognised<sup>m</sup>; at which time it was said, that if a man bargained that another should have his land in fee for such a sum of money, and neglected making an estate accordingly, an action upon the case would lie without any need of suing a *subpœna* in chancery<sup>n</sup>. As the necessity of recurring to a court of equity to establish such agreements was not now so absolute as before, there is no doubt but suits on such questions fell back again into the old channel of the common law. The prodigious advantage of this common-law remedy, to substantiate promises and undertakings, was soon discerned by the legislature, which, on this account, as well as on account of the other applications that were made of this action, in this reign passed an act which gave to the action upon the case the same process as was before in an action of debt.

<sup>1</sup> 3 Hen. VII. 14.<sup>2</sup> 21 Hen. VII. 30.<sup>m</sup> 21 Hen. VII. 41.<sup>n</sup> 21 Hen. VII. 41.

C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

THERE still remained doubts in what particular cases law-wager should be allowed, and in what not. In the reign of Henry VI<sup>o</sup>. it was doubted by *Newton*, *Paston*, and *Aston*, justices, whether in an action of debt brought against an abbot for things sold to his predecessor, with an averment that they came to the use of his house, the defendant should be permitted to wage his law. This point was again agitated in the beginning of this reign, when *Brian* held, that law-wager would not lie; saying, that a defendant could never wage his law, unless where of necessity and by common presumption he must have notice of the cause of action<sup>1</sup>. In the latter part of this reign, the same question came before the court, in the prior of Dunstable's case, when it was held by all the justices, except *Brian*, that law-wager would lie<sup>2</sup>. It was there urged, that a man might wage his law, in many cases, on the contract of another. Thus, a lord, if found, on account with his bailiff, to have received more than was due, in debt by the bailiff to recover such surplus, might wage his law, though a stranger to the contract, which in fact commenced with the auditors; the same if the bailiff had been found before auditors to have been in arrear: so in debt brought on a recovery in a court baron. In like manner, in the case at bar, tho' the prior was a stranger to the contract, yet he was charged by it. It was said, that this is not like the case of executors, who, it is true, are not allowed their law-wager; but that is not for want of privity, but because they are not liable to an action on a contract of the testator. The action against executors is in the *detinet*. This is in the *debet*; for he is not a stranger to the contract, which was made in favour of the house, of which he was the head, but rather a principal party; and if this

<sup>1</sup> 21 Hen. VI. 23.<sup>2</sup> 1 Hen. VII. 25.<sup>2</sup> 1 Hen. VII. 2.

debt had arisen *tempore vacationis*, it was a settled point that the new prior might wage his law<sup>1</sup>. In an action founded on a statute, it was held, that a defendant should not wage his law<sup>2</sup>; though this point of law seems somewhat questioned in another case towards the close of this reign<sup>3</sup>.

THE chancellor continued in the exercise of that equitable jurisdiction, which had been gradually assumed by his predecessors. Besides questions upon uses, the grand subject of discussion in that court, we find the following points were there considered.

THE first case we shall mention is more remarkable for the manner of the judge, than the matter of the inquiry. Two persons were appointed executors, and one released a debt due to the testator, without the assent of his companion. It was suggested in a bill in chancery, brought by the other executor, that the will could not on that account be performed; and therefore a *subpœna* was prayed against the executor, and the person to whom he had made the release. It was there argued, that the plaintiff in equity was without remedy, for every executor has an entire power in himself; and as one could do that which his companion might, the release was good. "But," said the chancellor, "it is against reason, that one executor should have all the goods, and give a release by himself. I know very well that every law should be consistent with the law of God, and that law forbids that an executor should indulge any disposition he may have to waste the goods of the testator; and if he does (says the chancellor with some emphasis), and does not make amends, if he is able, he shall be damned in hell." But, upon the point of equity, he thought there should be a remedy; "for the words of the testa-

<sup>1</sup> 13 Hen. VII. 3.<sup>2</sup> 20 Hen. VII. 18.<sup>3</sup> 25 Hen. VII. 14.

C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

"ment are, *constituo tales esse executores meos, ut ipsi disponant, &c.* Their power is hereby joint, and not several; and therefore if one does an act without the other, he does it without a warrant. Again, it is *pro salute animæ meæ, &c.* and therefore if they dispose it otherwise, they do what they have no authority for. At common law (says he), if a commission of the peace issues, this gives no jurisdiction to try felons; and if a letter of attorney is given to make livery of one acre, a livery of two is without warrant, and void. Now, in this case, the testament is their warrant, and the last declaration of the testator's will; and should they exceed that, there must be some remedy, as I conceive." Thus argued the chancellor; but the case stood over for further consideration<sup>2</sup>.

WHERE a cognisee, in a statute merchant, had extended the land, and the cognisor sold the land and suffered a recovery thereof, it was held, that as he could not falsify the recovery, there should be a remedy by *subpœna* for the tenant. Again, where an obligation was paid without a release, and where one was bound to *J. S.* to the use of *W. N.* and *J. S.* released the debt, in both these cases there was relief by *subpœna*<sup>1</sup>. Respecting the process of the court, it was held, that the penal sum in the *subpœna* was *in terrorem*; and if it was not obeyed, the chancellor might assess a fine upon the party. This assessment being a judgment, it was held a *scire facias* might issue upon it<sup>2</sup>.

WE next proceed to some questions arising in our criminal law. Notwithstanding this king's reign is marked by several state-prosecutions, little is to be found in our books upon the law of treason: the case of *Humphrey Stafford* is the only one that is recorded. It was there re-

<sup>1</sup> 4 Hen. VII. 4. b.<sup>2</sup> 7 Hen. VII. 11.<sup>2</sup> 10 Hen. VII. 5. a.

solved, that privilege of sanctuary could not be claimed in cases of high-treason by prescription, without an original charter before the time of memory, because it so materially touched the king's prerogative; unlike a claim to have waifs, strays, and wreck, which might be prescribed for. In the same manner, where a person claimed the goods of felons, outlaws, and cognisance of pleas, he was obliged to shew a royal charter, and allowance in eyre, after time of memory. On the same occasion the judgment of high-treason was pronounced as follows: that he should be carried back to the Tower of London, should be put on a burdle, and drawn thro' the middle of the city to Tyburn, and there hanged by the neck; before he was dead his heart should be cut out, his head cut off, and his body divided into four parts, to be at the disposal of the king, and *Deus misereatur animæ ejus, &c.*

THE nature of principal and accessory in treason does not seem to have been thoroughly understood, as it was afterwards settled. A man had been attainted of treason in counterfeiting the coin; and another was indicted, *quod scient, &c. illum felonice hospitavit, manutenuit, et confortavit, &c.* and some doubt arising whether he could be feloniously accessory to the treason, the point was adjourned for the opinion of the court. It was then argued by *Brian*, one of the justices, that he might; for counterfeiting the coin was felony at common law, which felony was not done away by the statute making it treason; and the proclamation on the exigent gave notice, that an outlawry impended both for treason and felony. In truth, every treason implied in it a felony; and therefore, said he, it was clear law, that should any one be attainted of treason on stat. 8 Hen. VI. c. 6. <sup>b</sup> for burning houses, after sending a threatening letter, a man might be indicted, *quod felonice illum hospitavit, &c.* because burning houses was a felony

<sup>a</sup> 1 Hen. VII. 24.

<sup>b</sup> Vid. ant. vol. III. 285.

CHAP.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

before. But *Huffey*, the chief-justice, said, that as this was now become treason, there could be no accessory *felonicè*; nor could there be such a thing as accessory *proditoricè*; which seems to have been the better opinion<sup>c</sup>.

THE distinction between lawful and unlawful acts, was always the governing consideration in imputing guilt or innocence, when a death was occasioned without the intention of the slayer. It was laid down by *Fineux*, chief-justice, that where two played together with sword and buckler, or jousted, and one killed the other, it should be accounted felony; because, though these diversions were suffered by law, yet it was not lawful to use them but at the command of the king. It was clear law, that should one man beat another, without any intention to kill him, and the man died, it was felony, on account of the first act being unlawful; which was a very different accident from that, where a man shooting at a bull, or throwing wood from a house, chanced to kill a man; this being homicide *per infortunium*, by our old law<sup>d</sup>. However, in the principal case, it seems that *Fineux* retracted the opinion abovementioned; and in the first year of the next reign laid it down to be felony to kill a man in jousting, or other diversion, notwithstanding the king's command, for such command was illegal<sup>e</sup>. It is obvious that the legal opinions on a question like this must, in a great degree, be governed by political considerations, and the humour of the times.

WHEN it was thus positively laid down that the persons so shooting, or throwing wood, were not guilty of felony, it seems reasonable that those passages should not be construed without some qualification, but should be limited in the manner laid down by Bracton<sup>f</sup>; namely, if it was

<sup>c</sup> 3 Hen. VII. 10.<sup>e</sup> Bro. Coron. 229.<sup>d</sup> 11 Hen. VII. 23. Vid. ant. vol. II. 10.<sup>f</sup> Vid. ant. vol. II. 10.

done in a place not publicly frequented, and the probability was that no danger could ensue: indeed it seems hardly otherwise to be a lawful act<sup>2</sup>.

C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

IT was no unusual course at this time, in cases of death, where the prosecutor thought it would not turn out to be felony, to lay the indictment as for homicide *se defendendo*, or otherwise, as the real case might be. On an indictment of this kind, and an application in chancery for the usual pardon under the statute of Gloucester<sup>b</sup>, some serjeants were of opinion, that there was no need of a pardon, because the justices ought, they said, to discharge the party without an arraignment; and it was only on an indictment for felony, and after the jury had found the defendant guilty *se defendendo*, that the above application was requisite. But the justices thought otherwise; namely, that the indictment should be tried, and on a conviction, a pardon should be had to save the forfeiture<sup>1</sup>.

THERE had been always great tenderness towards infants who had subjected themselves to the penalties of the law by the commission of crimes. The rule, however, that *malitia supplet aetatem*, seemed to be founded on a sensible distinction, and was universally adhered to in after-times. A boy of nine years old had killed another of the same age, and confessed the fact; but it was also found, that when he had committed the murder, he hid the body, and made an excuse for the blood upon him, as if it had followed from some accident to himself. This seemed to come within the construction of the above rule, and the justices were of opinion he should be hanged<sup>c</sup>. In another case, where two boys were keeping sheep, and one, being between ten and twelve years old, killed the other, and hid the body among the corn, and confessed the whole fact, the execution was respited for the opinion of the

<sup>2</sup> 21 Hen. VII. 29.

<sup>b</sup> Ch. 9. Vid. ant. vol. II. 153.

<sup>1</sup> 4 Hen. VII. 2.

<sup>c</sup> 3 Hen. VII. 2.



C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

justices, greater part of whom thought he should be hanged<sup>m</sup>. If a blow was given in one county, and the party died in another, an appeal might be brought in either, and the fact would be tried by a jury of both; but an indictment, in such a case (notwithstanding some *dictums* to the contrary<sup>n</sup>) would lie in neither county, as the jurors have authority only to inquire *pro corpore comitatûs*, and no more<sup>o</sup>.

It has not fallen in our way to say any thing on the crime of arson since the reign of Edward I<sup>p</sup>. It was then said to consist in burning the corn or house of another feloniously. After that there is an intire silence in our books as to the nature of this felony. We find, in this reign, that a man was indicted for that he feloniously in the night had burnt a *barn*; and because the barn was adjoining to a house, this was held a felony at common law, and the offender was hanged<sup>q</sup>.

Larceny.

SOME questions of larceny, similar to the famous one in the time of Edward IV. were again agitated in this reign<sup>r</sup>. It was propounded by *Huffey*, who was then chief-justice, whether, if a shepherd took the sheep, or a butler the plate, under his care, it could be called felony; he himself thought it was, and related the case of a butler who was hanged under such circumstances: to which a similar case was added by *Haugh*, of a goldsmith, who had taken some things that were entrusted to his charge. In answer to these, *Brian* argued that it could not be felony, because neither of these persons could be said to take the things *vi et armis*, while he had them under his care: and of this opinion were the justices<sup>s</sup>. This was giving a blow to the determination in the time of Edward IV. and expressly contradicted some cases that were there taken for settled law,

<sup>m</sup> 3 Hen. VII. 12.<sup>n</sup> 7 Hen. VII. 8.<sup>o</sup> 4 Hen. VII. 13. 6 Hen. VII.

10.

<sup>p</sup> Vid. ant. vol. II. 274.<sup>q</sup> 11 Hen. VII. 1.<sup>r</sup> Vid. ant. vol. III. 410.<sup>s</sup> 3 Hen. VII. 12.

and

CHAP.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

and argued upon as such; especially that of the butler. However, we find this case of the butler was understood otherwise some years after, and a distinction was taken between the possession a butler has while in the master's house, and the possession of a servant entrusted out of the house. It was propounded by serjeant *Pigot*, in the court of king's bench, to serjeant *Cutler*, in this way: If I bail a bag of silver to my servant to keep, and he goes away with it, can this be felony? *Cutler* said yes; for as long as he is in my house, or with me, that which I have delivered to him is adjudged in my possession: thus if my butler, who has my plate in his custody, runs away with it, this is felony; the same if a person having the care of my horse goes off with it; because in both these cases the thing remained all along in my possession. But if I deliver a horse to my servant to ride to market, and he rides away with it, this is no felony; because he came by the lawful possession of the horse, by delivery out of my custody. The same if I give him a bag to carry to London, or to pay away to some one, or to purchase something; if he goes away with these it would not be felony, because they were out of my possession, and he had lawful possession of them himself. To this *Pigot* assented, adding that he might, in all these cases, have an action of detinue or accompt\*; which idea of *possession* is consonant to one of the principles laid down in the case so often alluded to. Another case of *property* and *possession* was also conformable with an opinion delivered in the foregoing period; namely, that a man who retook his own goods in order to charge the baillée, was guilty of felony†.

It was common, in an appeal of mayhem, for the defendant to pray an inspection of the mayhem assigned, either by proper surgeons, or by the justices; and the opinion given by either was peremptory, and finally determined

\* 21 Hen. VII. 14.

† 5 Hen. VII. 18.

C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

the cause<sup>u</sup>. It seems to have rested with the justices, whether they would grant such inspection or not; and if upon examination a doubt arose whether it was a mayhem or not, the justices might compel the party to refer it to the country<sup>x</sup>. \* Upon the occasion of a felon being taken out of the officers' hands as he was leading to execution, it was submitted to the court of king's bench, whether the rescuing a felon was felony or not; and it was held, that such rescuers were principal felons, and not accessories; and it was said to have been so determined in the reign of Edward IV. Such rescue must appear not upon the return of the sheriff, but by an indictment<sup>y</sup>.

THE question which had been so long agitated, how far the accessory should be favoured by the clergy or other privilege of the principal, was brought forward again in this reign. In the exchequer-chamber, before all the justices, it was debated what should be done where the principal and accessory were arraigned, and both found guilty, and the principal demanded a book before judgment given. *Huffey* was of opinion, that the accessory should have no advantage of this; for if a principal was outlawed, the accessory should be put to answer, tho' the principal was not attainted of the felony, but only of the contempt: but it was held by all the justices and serjeants, that in this case the accessory should be dismissed. To this the reporter adds, that where the principal confessed the fact, and demanded a book, the accessory should not be arraigned, because no judgment was passed against the principal<sup>z</sup>. Notwithstanding this, we find in the same year that the common course was to arraign the accessory, and if he was found guilty, he was hanged<sup>a</sup>. This point, therefore, still remained to be settled. It was the opinion of the justices of both benches, that an accessory *before* the fact,

\* 11 Hen. VII. 33. On a former occasion it was thought not to be peremptory. 6 Hen. VII. 1.

<sup>x</sup> Ibid. 40.

<sup>y</sup> 1 Hen. VII. 6.

<sup>z</sup> 3 Hen. VII. 1.

<sup>a</sup> Ibid. 12.

tho' acquitted, yet lost his goods, if he fled, the same as the principal felon; but not the accessory *after* the fact<sup>b</sup>.

It had formerly been made a question<sup>c</sup>, how far an heir of the appellant might have execution against an appellee, when once convicted at the suit of the appellant. There was still a difference of opinion upon this point; some considering it as an ancestral action, of which the heir could not, consistently with the analogy of legal reasoning, be deprived; others again looking on it as a remedy for a personal injury, which *moritur cum persona*. Upon a doubt, as in a former case, whether a *scire facias* on such a record would lie against the heir for allowing a pardon, a decision on the principal point was avoided, by determining that the pardon might be allowed without it<sup>d</sup>. The contrary opinions that had been started at different times, on the admitting a defendant to become a provor, after pleading not guilty, were settled upon the following distinction: that on an indictment he might be admitted, after not guilty, and before verdict, but not on an appeal<sup>e</sup>.

AN alteration took place in the practice of dealing with prisoners who challenged the number of thirty-six jurors. It was the course in the reign of Edward IV. to put such persons to the penance. The same was done in the third year of this king by consent of all the justices, except *Keble*, who said, this being an appeal, was not a case within the statute of Westminster<sup>f</sup>, which only speaks of the king's suit<sup>g</sup>. In the same year it was agreed by the justices of both benches, without any distinction between an appeal and indictment, that a man who challenged thirty-six jurors should be hanged, and not put to the penance; and it was resolved that this should be observed as the practice in their circuits<sup>h</sup>, notwithstanding the contrary usage in former reigns. It was at the same time agreed, that those who

<sup>b</sup> 4 Hen. VII. 18. 110.

<sup>c</sup> Vid. ant. vol. III. 414.

<sup>d</sup> 9 Hen. VII. 5.

<sup>e</sup> 11 Hen. VII. 5.

<sup>f</sup> Vid. ant. vol. II. 134.

<sup>g</sup> 3 Hen. VII. 2.

<sup>h</sup> Ibid. 12.

C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

Sanctuary.

confessed a felony, or were outlawed or abjured, or became provors, should not make their purgation before the ordinary.

WE have before seen what the courts decided respecting sanctuary in cases of treason<sup>1</sup>. If an offender fled to sanctuary, it was not enough to declare that he came there to save his life, but to add that he had committed felony; tho' it was not requisite that he should name the special nature of the felony till the coroner came<sup>2</sup>. If he did not make such a general declaration, he might, without ceremony, be dragged from thence<sup>3</sup>. If he confessed the felony, he would be permitted to remain there forty days, according to the old law. But what is said above seems to be confined to a man taking sanctuary in a *church*: for there were two manner of sanctuaries; private, as *Westminster Knoll*, and the like; and general sanctuaries, as every church. If a man fled to such a sanctuary as the *Westminster Knoll*, he might remain undisturbed for life; but if he chose to abjure within the forty days, the coroner was to appoint him a day to do it. The law of sanctuary is laid down in a *reading* of this period in the following manner; None shall take sanctuary but *in periculo vitæ*, as for treason, felony, or the like, and not for debt; for a grant or prescription to have sanctuary for debt, was against law, and void. But the reading lays down a strange quibble to evade this; for it admits, that if a man's body was in execution, and he escaped, and came to a sanctuary ordained as a refuge and safeguard for a man's life, he should have benefit thereof, *because by long imprisonment his life might be in jeopardy*. If a church was suspended for bloodshed, he who took it as a sanctuary for felony, should still enjoy it for forty days. It was held that abjuration for felony discharged all felonies done before the abjuration. A man could not abjure for petty larceny, but only for such felonies as induced the pain of death<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> Vid. ant. 174, 175.<sup>2</sup> 3 Hen. VII. 12.<sup>3</sup> Bro. Sanct. 11.<sup>4</sup> New Cases, 29.

It was the opinion of the judges, that if the ordinary would not permit a clerk to make his purgation, the king might command it by writ<sup>a</sup>.

C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

HENRY is celebrated by his historian<sup>o</sup> for the many excellent laws he caused to be made. He was not less attentive to the regular execution of his laws when made. In the first year of his reign, at the close of the parliament, he devised an oath, binding all persons who took it to observe the execution of several statutes. We are told, that not only those of the household, but those of the house of commons, came before the king and lords, and took this oath. After these, the peers, both spiritual and temporal, were asked by the chancellor, whether they were willing to take the oath; who all answering that they were ready, it was read to them; and every lord spiritual laying his right hand on his breast, and every temporal lord on the book of gospels, swore to observe and perform the same. The substance of the oath was, not to harbour felons; not to retain any one by indenture or oath, contrary to the statutes of liveries; not to encourage maintenance, nor embracery, nor riots, nor unlawful assemblies; not to prevent the execution of the king's writs; nor let to bail or mainprize any felons<sup>p</sup>.

King and government.

A PRECAUTION like this at once shews the king's solicitude for the due execution of justice, and the protection it needed in times when great men, instead of promoting, had been more used to defeat the effects of it by force and cabal.

It is said, that Henry being entertained at the earl of Oxford's with great state, at his departure expressed astonishment at the number of servants in liveries and badges he saw waiting; but being informed by his host that they were his retainers come to do him honour, he told

<sup>a</sup> 15 Hen VII. 9.

<sup>o</sup> Lord Bacon.

<sup>p</sup> Parl. Hist. vol. II. 419.

C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

him he would not have his laws broke before his face, and that his attorney must speak with him. It is said the earl paid 15,000 marks as a composition for this offence. In every transaction of this king there is a regard shewn by him to the laws. Tho' no prince was more jealous of his prerogative, or took greater pains to gratify his love of money, than Henry; yet in all instances where these two grand interests were concerned, he still proceeded under the sanction of law.

In the seventh year of his reign he revived *benevolences*, invented first by Edward IV.; but, more wary than that prince, he did it by consent of parliament, and raised great sums in that way. He strictly enforced all penal statutes which would contribute any thing towards his exchequer: with the same view he caused prosecutions to be instituted on many old and forgotten laws. These legal oppressions, if they may be so called, were carried to a great height in the latter part of his reign, which rendered him extremely unpopular, if not odious; and subjected his two agents in those prosecutions, Empson and Dudley, to a severe account in the subsequent reign.

THE new-modelling of the star-chamber fell in with Henry's whole plan. It at once served to secure his prerogative, by enforcing among all ranks of people a strict obedience to the laws; and became a source from whence he was always deriving pecuniary supplies. The penalties in that court used to run very high. It is related, that Sir William Capel, an alderman of London, was fined in 2743l. an immense sum in those days! and he was obliged to compound for 1615l. This is mentioned only as one instance of these proceedings. Where criminal prosecutions did not produce fines, the king used to make them turn to account, by remitting corporal pains for pecuniary compensations to be paid to himself.

NOTWITHSTANDING the bad use sometimes made of severe laws, it cannot be denied that the temper and desigus



of Henry contributed to establish the laws in their full force, and to render the administration of justice more regular and effectual. While this had a good influence on the order and peace of society, it levelled all ranks of men under the same submission to lawful authority. The executive magistrate, in the person of Henry, increased in power and distinction; while the nobility sunk below the relative importance they had formerly enjoyed; and the commons continued still in their original imbecility: so that the prerogative of the crown had in this reign an opportunity of aggrandizing itself to a degree much exceeding what it had been in earlier times, though not equal to what it became in the succeeding princes of the line of Tudor.

THERE are no state trials of this reign now extant; and of these occurrences the chroniclers of the time give very unsatisfactory accounts. It is very uncertain what was the charge against Sir William Stanley, who was executed for a conspiracy, as it is related, against the king.

THE method introduced by Richard III. of attainting persons by bill, was pursued by Henry VII. and became henceforward not unfrequently resorted to, where it was thought the common law could not effectually reach an offender.

THE legal monuments of this reign are the statutes, judicial records, and reports. The statutes underwent no further alteration in the manner of forming them in parliament. The records are from this period, almost in a regular series, kept with order, and in good preservation; as may be seen in the repositories of the courts of king's bench and common-pleas, in Westminster-Hall. The reports are the *Year-book*, with some cases in the collectors *Jenkins* and *Benloe*; but more particularly in *Keilway*, who lived at this time, and took them himself. The *Year-book* of this reign, as it goes more into points of law, and such matters of learning as have survived the times  
when

C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

when they were debated, is more deserving attention than the preceding. We find the counsel and judges sometimes quoting cases; and Bracton is once or twice referred to<sup>a</sup>; but this was not common: their determinations were mostly the result of argument and discussion, and these were made precedents for future ages.

THERE are no law-treatises of this reign in print. But there is a famous book, said to be still in manuscript, written by *Marrow*, on the office of a justice of peace; a work which has been quoted by later writers, such as Fitzherbert and Lambard, with great commendation, and seems to have been followed by them on the same subject. Tho' this reign was so barren in original works, they were not backward in putting to the press the productions of former times.

THE art of printing began to give further assistance to the study of the law, than it had in the former period. Caxton went off the stage in 1491: we hear no more of Lettou and Machlinia. Wynkyn de Worde took the lead till 1497; when Richard Pynson entered into competition with him, as did Julian Notary in 1498, William Faques in 1504, and afterwards Henry Pepwell; though the career of the last three did not extend much beyond the present reign, as did that of Pynson and de Worde. Faques, and afterwards Pynson, had attained a distinction which Wynkyn de Worde seems never to have enjoyed; they were successively (and as some think jointly) king's printers. But this appointment seems to have conferred no exclusive right of printing law-books, for the statutes continued to be printed by Wynkyn de Worde, and other printers: this appointment is thought not to have been by patent, but by sign-manual.

THE several statutes passed in this reign were printed soon after they came out by de Worde, by Pynson, and by Faques; and some were repeatedly reprinted by all of

<sup>a</sup> 1 Hen. VII. 6. b.

them. We have before intimated, that some statutes ascribed to Caxton most probably belonged to a later period, and may perhaps have been printed in this reign. There appears a collection of the statutes, under the title of *Nova Statuta*, beginning with 1 Ed. III. and ending with 12 Hen. VII. This is printed by Pynson, and is ascribed to the year 1497, just after the close of that parliament: it is however certain, that it was printed before 19 Hen. VII. as it would otherwise have contained the statutes of that session.

It is remarkable, that the latest and most accurate inquirers into our typographical antiquities do not precisely fix the printing of any Year-book to the reign of this king. We have before taken upon us to say, that certain years of Henry VI. were printed about the years 1480 and 1483. A writer \* of some learning, but famous for misrepresentation, has advanced, that Wynkyn de Worde was the first who began to print the Year-books; and that he and Pynson printed above forty of them, which were to be found among the *libri manuscripti* in Lincoln's-inn library; but upon search, none such have been found. Mr. Ames, a more faithful inquirer, informs us, that he never met with any Year-book bearing the name of Wynkyn de Worde, either alone or in conjunction with Pynson; but that he had seen two, being 17 and 18 Ed. III. without a printer's name or date, which he thought were printed with the same type as Fitzherbert's Abridgment, in 1516; and which he makes no question were printed by de Worde in the subsequent reign. It is agreed that Pynson printed many Year-books; but it is still left to the probability of the thing, whether he, any more than de Worde, printed any during this reign. It seems most probable, that twenty-four years would not be suffered to pass without some

\* Typog. Antiq. 141. 144. 204.  
195. 218. 283.

\* Psalmanazar.  
\* Typog. Antiq. 235.

C H A P.  
XXVII.

HENRY VII.

addition being made to the stock of Year-books, which we have before seen were printed in the time of Edward IV. or Richard III. In general, the time of printing the Year-books seems to be less ascertained than that of most other of our early-printed books, owing to their being mostly printed without a date.

WHATEVER doubt there may be about the time of printing our books of common law, there seems none about those of the ecclesiastical law. The edition of Lyndwood's Provinciale, before-mentioned<sup>1</sup>, is ascribed to Wynkyn de Worde, and is thought to have been printed in 1496. The great demand for this authentic canonist requiring a further supply, the same printer gave another edition in 1499, in octavo; and we find two others in 1505<sup>2</sup>; one of them at Paris, supposed to be printed from an impression made at Oxford.

Miscellaneous  
facts.

WE find another increase in the judges salaries. Sir William Husley, appointed chief-justice of the court of king's bench in the 1 Hen. VII. had the yearly fee of one hundred and forty marks granted to him for his better support: further, he had one hundred and six shillings and eleven-pence farthing and the sixth part of a halfpenny (such is the accuracy of our author and the strangeness of the sum) for his winter robes, and sixty-six shillings and sixpence for his robe at Whitsuntide<sup>3</sup>.

AN act of the Irish parliament made in this reign, as it communicated to that kingdom a participation of our laws in a more full manner than it before enjoyed them, may be considered as an interesting fact in the History of the English Law. Amongst other statutes made under the government of sir Edward Poynings in 10 Hen. VII. and therefore called Poynings' Laws, there is one which enacts<sup>4</sup>, that all acts of parliament made in England be-

<sup>1</sup> Vid. ant. 119.<sup>2</sup> Typog. Antiq. 125, 135, 312.<sup>3</sup> Dudg. Orig. 110.<sup>4</sup> Chap. 22. Irish Statutes.

fore that period shall be in force within the realm of Ireland. The extending of the dominion of the English law by an act of that legislature, contributed to connect these two kingdoms in the strictest bonds of union; that of similar laws, and a similar constitution; the grounds and great outlines of which it was thought would ever be preserved alike in both by the appeal which had long been made from the courts of that country to the courts here, notwithstanding the differences that must by degrees arise from the regulations of a distinct parliament providing for the exigencies of a distinct people.

CHAP.  
XXVII.  
HENRY VII.

THERE was another provision of the Irish parliament, which seemed to promise, that the law of that country would not be permitted to deviate from the model communicated by the parent-state. An act had been made by chap. 4. of the same statute to the following effect: That before a parliament be summoned or holden, the chief governor of Ireland should certify to the king, under the great seal of Ireland, the considerations and causes thereof, and the articles of the acts to be proposed therein: That after the king in his English council should have considered, approved, and altered the said acts, and certified them back under the great seal of England, and given licence to summon and hold a parliament, then the same might be summoned and held; and therein the acts so certified, and no other, should be proposed and received, or rejected. This mode underwent further alteration in after-times.

CHAP.

## C H A P. XXVIII.

## H E N R Y VIII.

*Of Judicature in Wales—and in Counties Palatine—Of Parliament—Of the Ecclesiastical Polity—Fees of Ordinaries—Residence and Pluralities—Submission of the Clergy—Papal Authority abolished—Marriage—Tythes—Of Precedents—The Poor Laws—Of Trade—Terms for Years—Leases of Tenant in Tail—Gifts to superstitious Uses—Devise of Land—Statute of Uses—Jointures—Statute of Wills—Statute of Bankrupts—Court of Wards and Liveries erected—Statute of Jeofail—Statute of Limitations—Trinity Term altered.*

C H A P.  
XXVIII.  
HENRY VIII.

THE modifications made in our law during the reign of Henry VIII. attract our attention in a particular manner. The spirit of reformation which began to prevail at this time, was not confined to our religious worship; it spread to the ecclesiastical judicature, it reached the law of property, and the administration of civil and criminal justice. In every regulation of a juridical nature made in this reign, we perceive a decisive hand. The parliament seemed determined at once to resolve all doubts, and to root out all difficulties, which on former occasions they had been content to soften and palliate according to the exigency of the present moment. Instead of continuing still to ascertain the boundary between the civil and spiritual judicature by new descriptions, provision was made by statute for correcting several irregularities wholly of a clerical nature, and for an intire reform

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

reform of the ecclesiastical law: instead of endeavouring to repress the luxuriancy of uses, by fresh statutes against the pernors of profits, it was intended to destroy the thing itself. The grand object of barring entails, which was accomplished at last by a recovery, was now substantiated by a parliamentary provision in favour of that mode of conveyance; and the construction which had been entertained with difference of opinion respecting the like effect of the statute of fines in the last reign, was now expressly established by the same authority. The devise of lands, which hitherto had been practised under the cover of a use, and had been partially allowed by a late act, was now, by express statute, indulged to every one. The benefit of clergy, which had so long stood in the way of our criminal judicature, was now abolished in the principal and most common felonies. All these were innovations upon the ancient law, which gave it a new turn, and brought these points under consideration in a variety of new appearances. To these may be added, the protection and establishment of leases for years, execution against the effects of bankrupts, the limitation of actions, the locality of trial in felonies.

SUCH were the principal regulations of this reign, which had a lasting influence upon our jurisprudence, and stand, even at this distance of time, among the foremost objects of legal discussion. Others are of less importance, because of shorter duration: such were the poor laws; many of the new courts erected by this king; new treasons and new offences of various kinds, with new-fangled tribunals for their examination; all which were repealed or superseded by statutes in the next or following reigns. Upon viewing the regulations of this active period, whether of the former or latter kind, it appears, that such important changes had not been effected within the same space of time, since the days of Edward I.

THE



CHAP.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

THE statutes which contain the multitude of objects above alluded to, must necessarily fill a considerable space, and would unavoidably require a great portion of the subsequent History. But a stile of composition in framing statutes began now to obtain, which swelled their size beyond all example of former times. This consisted partly in the matter and substance of them, and partly in the manner and form. Every parliamentary regulation was accompanied by a minute detail of particulars, and an accumulation of provisos, exceptions, and qualifications: these were conveyed in a diffuse and redundant language, crouded with synonymous terms and tedious repetitions. A regulation which in the reign of Edward I. would have been comprized in a few lines, was now spun out into as many clauses: so that the statutes of this single reign actually cover as much paper as all those preceding it, up to *Magna Charta*. The same fashion prevailing, those of the two subsequent reigns increased in the like proportion<sup>2</sup>.

WITH this prospect before us, it becomes necessary to adopt some rule by which we may abridge and simplify our materials, without doing any injury to the subject of our History. Many of these statutes are directed to concerns not at all of a juridical kind, and may therefore, as it should seem, be passed over in silence. Those that were of short duration, either because they were soon repealed, or were at first but temporary, may be treated more or less at large, according as they seem to deserve a place in our historical investigation: the remainder are such as had an extensive and permanent effect, and therefore are intitled to be detailed with all the minuteness which the compass of this work will allow. But even these, for the reasons abovementioned, must sometimes, and may in general with great propriety, be somewhat curtailed. It will very

<sup>2</sup> In the edition of the statutes VII. ends at pa. 390; that of that usually goes under the name Henry VIII. at pa. 902; and that of Rastall,—the reign of Henry of Philip and Mary at pa. 1200.

often suffice for the purpose of this inquiry, to state the substance and effect of a statute, without following the identical words, or enumerating every provision it contains. By means of such abbreviation, the legislative acts of this reign may be contracted into a narrative of moderate length, neither impeded nor embarrassed with the irksome formality of the materials from which it is collected. While the historian amuses himself with the one, the other will be left for the more authentic information of the practitioner.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.  
HENRY VIII.

UNDER the controul of the above method we shall now take a view of the statutes of this king, in the following order. Those claim our first notice which tend to give strength to the political system, and vigour to the sovereign power; such are those for regulating the legal polity of Wales, and abrogating all franchises exercised independent of the crown. Next to these should follow such statutes as affected the parliament. The next are such as produced the abolition of papal usurpations, and wrought a reformation in our ecclesiastical constitution: then the laws that concerned the civil state: after these will naturally follow the laws relating to private property, and the administration of justice: and, lastly, those relating to our criminal law. This is the order in which we intend to speak of parliamentary provisions during this reign.

Of the first kind are the statutes concerning Wales. At the time when the parliament came to the resolution of introducing a more complete system of laws and administration of justice into Wales, the judicial establishment there seems to have been of the following kind: From the time of Edward I. the English law had prevailed to a certain degree, and had at length in general obtained the ascendant, in the government of property and the punishment of offenders; but this was always mixed with their local customs: to these they adhered with great predilection; and they were encouraged in this partiality by the number of petty jurisdictions into which the country was

Of judicature  
in Wales.

CHAP.  
XXVIII.  
HENRY VIII.

divided. The administration of justice in a great part of Wales was in the hands of the lords marchers, each of whom exercised a kind of feudal sovereignty within his district, and became accordingly, in the person of his steward, the judge, with a full enjoyment of all the casualties of judicature; such as fees, fines, amercements, and forfeitures. These casualties, probably, the lords possessed in a greater or less extent, according to the nature of their franchise; some being the king's lords marchers; others being lords marchers, in a manner, independent<sup>a</sup>.

BESIDES the variety of laws and usages, that must follow from so many distinct tribunals; they were likewise productive of disorders in the police, from defects of justice, owing to neglect, collusion, or the clashing of different jurisdictions. It was to remedy this, and to strengthen the royal authority over the principality, that a *president and council* had been lately appointed under a commission from the king. These constituted a court, and seem to have maintained a kind of pre-eminence and superintendant authority over the other judicatures of the country; but the whole of their power and authority does not exactly appear. Another support of the king's sovereignty consisted in the justices by him appointed. There was, and had been in very early times, a justice of *Chester*<sup>b</sup>, whose jurisdiction comprehended the county of *Flint*; there was also the king's justice of *North Wales*, whose jurisdiction included *Anglesey*, *Carnarvon*, and *Merioneth*; and the justice of *South Wales*, which included *Carmarthen*, *Pembroke*, *Cardigan*, and *Glamorgan*<sup>c</sup>; the remainder of Wales being then not divided into counties, but under the government of the lords marchers, as before mentioned. Where justice was administered by judges appointed from the king, it cannot be doubted

<sup>a</sup> Vid. ant. vol. H. 94, 95.  
and stat. 26 Hen. VIII. c. 6.  
sec. 1.

<sup>b</sup> Vid. ant. vol. II. 96.  
<sup>c</sup> Stat. 27 Hen. VIII. c. 5.

but the English law was pretty generally known and observed. The judicial arrangement in North Wales seems to have been tolerably well adjusted; for in the course of the settlement now about to be made, That is always referred to as the model by which this institution was to be framed, and the guide by which many of its operations were to be governed. Such was the state of the legal polity in Wales in the 26th year of this king, when the first statute was made for reforming it.

THE statute of 26 Hen. VIII. c. 4. was made to prevent the friends and kindred of criminals from labouring, and suborning jurors. It is thereby directed, that the officer of the court for the due keeping of the jury should not suffer them to eat or drink, or any one to speak to them. There is a very remarkable clause in this act, which ordains, that if the jurors acquitted a felon, *contrary to good and pregnant evidence*, or otherwise misbehaved themselves, the judge might compel them, upon pain of imprisonment, to be bound by recognizance to appear before the president and council, and abide the decision of that tribunal on their conduct. The president and council might imprison or fine them at their discretion; an authority which had been exercised by judges in England <sup>d</sup> without the sanction of an act of parliament, but not without great murmuring; and it was not till long after this that a solemn determination was pronounced against the legality of such procedure <sup>e</sup>.

THIS is followed by an act, in the same sessions <sup>f</sup>, containing provisions very similar to those made in the earlier times of our history; which shews the influence of laws at that time in Wales to have been much what they were in England in the reigns of Henry III. and the beginning of Edward I. After complaining of the great

<sup>d</sup> Vid. ant. vol. III. 105.

<sup>e</sup> In Charles II.'s reign.

<sup>f</sup> Chap. 5.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

disorders prevailing in the principality, owing to the disobedience of the law, it directs all persons, upon monition given, to be attendant at the courts of justice, under certain penalties and forfeitures; none were to appear within two miles of the session or court with any kind of weapon or armour, upon pain of imprisonment and fine; and all courts were to be kept in the *most sure and peaceable place* that could be chosen<sup>a</sup>. To give redress against the oppressions of lords marchers, they and their stewards or officers were made liable to be fined by the president and council, for unjust imprisonment of any one within their district. To be sure of the execution of the law against the worst offenders, it was enacted, that coining, murder, and other felonies, should be tried in the next English county<sup>b</sup>; and an acquittal or *fine-making* in any lordship should be no bar, if the prosecution in the English county was brought within two years<sup>c</sup>. But the justices might discharge such offender, if convicted, upon his finding sureties not to commit any felony, and to be of good behaviour: this was to be with the consent of the president and council; it was to be only once, and then not without paying a fine. This custom of *fine-making* had been very ancient in Wales, being the remains of the old jurisprudence of the country; and the parliament were tender in abrogating an indulgent law, which was supported by the prejudices of the people, when they thought it might be put under some wholesome restriction.

In the same sessions two laws were made for correcting disorders in Wales<sup>d</sup>; one, to punish those who assaulted and beat people, and then took refuge within the boundaries of neighbouring lordships; the<sup>e</sup> other, to introduce there the late regulations concerning clerks convict. In the following sessions some provisions were made of

<sup>a</sup> Sect. 4 and 6.    <sup>b</sup> Sect. 6.    <sup>c</sup> Sect. 7.    <sup>d</sup> Ch. 11.    <sup>e</sup> Ch. 11.

greater importance than any of the preceding : these were by stat. 27 Hen. VIII. c. 5. 7. and 26. The first of these statutes was designed to enforce obedience to the criminal law ; and it authorised the chancellor to appoint justices of the peace, justices of the *quorum*, and justices of gaol-delivery in Chester and Flintshire, and in the counties of North and South Wales, with the same power to execute the law, as the same magistrates had in England. The second was occasioned by some abuses prevailing in forests in Wales ; such as a custom for foresters to exact fines, on various pretences, of persons going through the forest, and other exactions of the like kind ; all which were thereby abolished.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.  
HENRY VIII.

BEFORE we take notice of the third act made in this session concerning Wales, it will be proper to mention one which stands before it in the statute-book, and which made a part of the same plan for rendering the process of law more effectual, by placing every judicature in the kingdom in the hands of the king. This was the act for taking from counties palatine the prerogatives which had long been annexed to them, in derogation of the sovereign authority of the king : it is stat. 27 Hen. VIII. c. 24. and is intitled, "An Act for recontinuing Liberties in the Crown ;" importing, as the preamble states, that "divers of the most ancient prerogatives and authorities of justice appertaining to the imperial crown of the realm had been severed from it by the gift of the king's progenitors, to the detriment of the royal estate, and the delay of justice." For reformation of this, it was thereby ordained, that, for the future, no person shall have authority to pardon offences committed in any part of the realm or in Wales, or the marches thereof ; but that "the king shall have the whole and sole power and authority thereof, united and knit to the imperial crown of this realm, as of good right and equity it appertaineth ;" and none but he alone

Of counties  
palatine.

CHAP.  
XXVIII.  
HENRY VIII.

was ever after to appoint justices in eyre, of assise, of the peace, or of gaol-delivery, in all shires, counties palatine, and all other places in England, Wales, and the marches thereof.

ALL writs and indictments were for the future to alledge facts as done against the king's peace, and were to be in the king's name; only they were to be *tested* in the name of the person who had the county palatine or franchise; and justices appointed in the county palatine of Lancaster were to have their commission under the seal of Lancaster.

THERE was a provision in this act in favour of some of these liberties. The justice of *Chester* and *Flint* was excepted out of every alteration made by this statute<sup>a</sup>, and was therefore to exercise his authority according to the commission he before received. The bishop of Ely and his temporal steward of the isle of Ely, the bishop of Durham and his temporal chancellor of the county palatine of Durham, the archbishop of York and his temporal chancellor of the shire and liberty of Hexam, were to be justices of the peace within their several liberties, with all the authority annexed to the office of such magistrates.

THUS were all the prerogatives enjoyed by these petty sovereigns resumed, and re-annexed to the crown; while the form of their judicial establishment still remains.

AFTER the regulations made by this act, a way was opened for a more complete reformation in the judicature of Wales; which was now undertaken on a larger scale, by chap. 26. of the same statute. It is thereby, in the first place, ordained, that the dominion of Wales should for ever be incorporated with, and annexed to, the

<sup>a</sup> Sect. 18.



realm of England; that persons born there should enjoy all the privileges and laws of this kingdom, as natural-born subjects; that lands should be inherited after the English tenure, without partition; and, finally, that all the laws, ordinances, and statutes of England, and no other, should be executed in Wales, in such manner as directed by that act. To insure the due administration of these new laws, it was enacted, that certain lordships marchers should be annexed to certain English and Welch counties; and that the residue should be divided into counties, under the names of *Monmouth*, *Brecknock*, *Radnor*, *Montgomery*, and *Denbigh*; the first to be taken as an English, the rest as Welch counties<sup>o</sup>; and a commission was to be appointed for dividing these new counties into hundreds, and for settling the divisions of some others. It was further directed, that justice should be administered in these new Welch counties, and in *Carmarthen*, *Pembroke*, *Cardigan*, and *Glamorgan*, according to the English law, by such justices as the king should appoint, and in such form and fashion as it then was and had been used in the three counties of North Wales (namely, *Anglesea*, *Carnarvon*, and *Merioneth*), which we have seen was an old establishment, and was now the original, according to which the new arrangement was adjusted.

It was further directed, that all courts should be proclaimed and kept in the English tongue: all oaths, verdicts, and the like, were to be in English; and no person was to have any office who did not understand English. A saving was made of a moiety of forfeitures and fees to lords marchers, as well as all courts leet, barons, waifs, strays, and other casualties and fruits of seignory. There was a saving of usages and customs then prevailing in North Wales; and notwithstanding what had been declared to the contrary in the former part of the act, it

<sup>o</sup> Sect. 3.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

## HENRY VIII.

was provided, that lands which had been by custom time out of mind partible among the heirs, should so continue<sup>1</sup>. To ascertain what the customs and laws obtaining in Wales were, a commission was to be appointed to make enquiry concerning them; and such as should be thought by the king and his council proper to be retained, were to be continued in full force and effect<sup>2</sup>. In aid of this provision, it was also declared, that the king should have power for three years to suspend, abrogate, or alter this act<sup>3</sup>; and for the next five years, to erect such courts and appoint such justices within the principality as he should think fit: so that a final and perfect establishment of the judicial polity of Wales was reserved for some future settlement, which was then in contemplation.

THIS was accomplished by stat. 34 and 35 Hen. VIII. c. 26. which is intitled, "An Act for certain Ordinances in Wales;" and contains the whole constitution of the principality, its laws and judicature. The provisions of this act are said to have been granted at the humble suit and petition of the king's subjects in Wales. It declares, that Wales, consistent with the late revolution effected there, should consist of twelve counties; the eight ancient counties, that is, *Glamorgan, Carmarthen, Pembroke, Cardigan, Flint, Carnarvon, Anglesea, and Merioneth*; and the four new ones, that is, *Radnor, Brecknock, Montgomery, and Denbigh*; and that the limitations of hundreds, as settled by the commissioners appointed according to the late act, should be observed.

As to the judicature of the country, it directs, that there should continue a president and council, as before; that a sessions, to be called *The King's great sessions in Wales*, shall be held twice a-year in every county<sup>4</sup>; that this court shall hold pleas of the crown in as ample manner as the king's bench; and pleas real, personal, and

<sup>1</sup> Stat. 35.<sup>2</sup> Ibid. 47.<sup>3</sup> Ibid. 36.<sup>4</sup> Ibid. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9.

mixed, as completely as the common-pleas in England<sup>1</sup>. The detail of regulations made by this act may be stated briefly, as follow: The sessions is to last six days. Days are to be given from day to day, and from sessions to sessions, at the discretion of the justices. There is to be an original and judicial seal (for the different circuits), to seal all original and judicial writs and process; the *teste* of every bill and judicial process to be under the names of the justices. All actions, real and mixed, are to be by original. Personal actions above forty shillings may be either by original or by bill; those under are to be always by bill. Original bills are to be sealed with the judicial seal. Fines of land with proclamations levied before justices there, are to be of the same force as fines in the common-pleas<sup>2</sup>. Errors of judgments before the great sessions in pleas real and mixed, are to be brought by writ of error into the king's bench in England; in pleas personal, to be reformed by bills before the president and council<sup>3</sup>. No execution to be stayed by writ of false judgment; but in case the judgment is reversed, restitution is to be made<sup>4</sup>. When there are many personal actions which cannot be tried at the great sessions, it was ordained, that, for dispatch, they may be heard at a petty sessions before the deputy justices there; and further, that no suit shall be prosecuted by bill before the said justices, under twenty shillings<sup>5</sup>. The fees of officers, for the execution of process and drawing the proceedings, were fixed by the statute, with authority, however, to the justices to alter them at their discretion.

EXCEPT the superior magistrates, the president and council, and the justices of sessions, there were to be justices of the peace in every county<sup>6</sup>; their number and qualifications were set forth<sup>7</sup>; and direction was

<sup>1</sup> Sect. 12.<sup>2</sup> Ibid. 33, 34, 35, 41.<sup>3</sup> Ibid. 213.<sup>4</sup> Ibid. 114.<sup>5</sup> Sect. 94.<sup>6</sup> Ibid. 53.<sup>7</sup> Ibid. 55, 56.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

given for the due holding of inferior tribunals, as the sheriff's county court and toun, with courts baron and other courts<sup>c</sup>.

IN addition to the appointment of magistrates, and prescribing the bounds of their jurisdiction, several rules were laid down respecting the law of crimes and of property. It was ordained, that no felon should be permitted to make fine (as they before had done, according to the usage of the country, confirmed in some measure by the late act<sup>d</sup>), but should suffer the law, unless repriced by the judge<sup>e</sup>. The stat. 26 Hen. VIII. c. 6. concerning the trial of crimes and felons in the next English county, was confirmed; but by another clause of this act<sup>f</sup>, it is declared, that if any murder or felony is committed, no one, upon pain of fine and imprisonment, shall make an end or agreement with the offender, unless he make the president or council, or one of the justices, privy thereto; a provision which evidently allowed a continuance of this practice.

It was ordained, that trials in the county, baron, and hundred courts, should be by wager of law, or verdict of six men, at the pleasure of the party who pleaded the plea<sup>g</sup>. In other courts, in actions personal, where nine of the jury were sworn, the sheriff may, upon default of the rest, fill it up by a *tales de circumstantibus*<sup>h</sup>. In foreign pleas triable in another Welch county, a transcript of the record is to be sent thither; but if the matter of such pleas is laid in an English county, it is, nevertheless, to be tried in Wales<sup>i</sup>.

THE following are the provisions relating to property. It was now finally enacted, that lands should not be partible among the heirs<sup>k</sup>, as in *Gavelkind*; but should descend, as in English tenures. No mortgage is to be allowed but

<sup>c</sup> Sect. 73. 75.<sup>d</sup> Vid. ant. 196.<sup>e</sup> Sect. 84.<sup>f</sup> Ibid. 106.<sup>g</sup> Sect. 84.<sup>h</sup> Ibid. 103.<sup>i</sup> Ibid. 88. 89.<sup>k</sup> Ibid. 91.

according

according to the course of the English law<sup>1</sup>; all persons may sell and alien their lands, the same as in England<sup>m</sup>; and lands there are to be subject to statutes staple, and recognisances acknowledged in England<sup>n</sup>. No sale of goods in any fair or market is to change the property<sup>o</sup>; nor is any person to buy live cattle, unless it is proved by witnesses where it was bought; and this is to be observed under pain of a fine.

NOTWITHSTANDING so extensive and complete a judicature was established within the principality, there was a clause in this act which provided for the introduction of process from the superior courts, on certain occasions. It was ordained, that, "for urgent and weighty causes," process should be made and directed into Wales by the special commandment of the chancellor, or any of the king's council, as had been used before<sup>p</sup>. There was a reservation to the king of a power similar to that given by a former statute relating to the administration of justice in Wales, by which he was enabled, in writing under the great seal, to change, add, alter, order, diminish, and reform, all the beforementioned provisions, as it should seem convenient; and from time to time, at his pleasure, to make laws for the government of Wales<sup>q</sup>.

THUS far of those provisions made by parliament for maintaining the political authority of the sovereign. The legislature made some acts respecting its own conduct and constitution. The first of these was passed at the close of a session, with the necessity of such a provision, no doubt, plainly before their eyes. It was enacted by stat. 6 Hen. VIII. c. 16. in consideration of the many weighty matters which were often left to the end of a session, that no member depart nor absent himself till the parliament was fully finished, ended, or prorogued, unless he had licence

Of parliament,

<sup>1</sup> Sect. 92.

<sup>m</sup> Ibid. 93.

<sup>n</sup> Ibid. 94, 95.

<sup>o</sup> Sect. 104.

<sup>p</sup> Ibid. 115.

<sup>q</sup> Ibid. 119.

from

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

from the speaker and commons, which licence was to be entered on record in the book of the clerk of the parliament: if any did otherwise, he was to lose his wages, and the inhabitants of the county, city, or borough, should be discharged thereof.

IN order to communicate to Wales all the privileges enjoyed by English subjects, it was ordained by stat. 27 Hen. VIII. c. 26. that two knights should be chosen for the county, and one burgess for the town of Monmouth; that one knight should be chosen for the county of Brecknock, Radnor, Montgomery, and Denbigh, and for every other county in Wales; and one burgess for every shire town, except Merioneth. These elections were to be as in England, with the same fees and allowances.

THE next statute on this head was for imparting the privilege of being represented in parliament to the county palatine of Chester. The preamble of stat. 34 and 35 Hen. VIII. c. 13. states, that the inhabitants of the county palatine complained of suffering in their property from severe laws, which they attributed to their bearing no part in the making of them. To satisfy the inhabitants, it was therefore enacted, that there should be two knights for the county, and two burgesses for the city, to be elected by process issued by the chancellor of England to the chamberlain of Chester, his lieutenant, or deputy, and from him to the sheriff of the county; which elections and returns by the sheriff were to be the same as those in the county palatine of Lancaster, and the rest of England.

THERE was a statute for better ordering the collection of the wages of knights and burgesses in Wales and

There is another provision in this act, which, though relating to another subject, is worth notice. It was a practice in the county palatine for a person indebted to another to come to the exchequer there, and make oath that he would pay his creditors as soon as he was

able; upon which the officers used, of their own authority, to issue a writ in nature of a protection, and to delay the creditors from making any demand of their debts. It was now ordained, that no such writ should issue without special warrant from the king.

Mon-

Monmouth. It seems that the wages of a knight was now four shillings a-day, that of a burgess two shillings, and it continued from their setting out to their return home, with the costs of their writs, and other fees and charges. There were two writs; one, *de solutione fœdi militis parliamenti*; and another, *de solutione fœdi burgenfis parliamenti*. These used to be sued out by the member; and by this act the sheriff, mayor, or other head-officer, was to make his payment within two months after such writ delivered to him \*.

THE laws relating to the national church, and the ecclesiastical polity, make the most remarkable part of the legislative acts during this reign. The attack upon the papal authority, and the reformation of abuses among the clergy, was carried on by fits, as the king's humour directed him; and they fill, on that account, a multiplicity of statutes. To arrange these in some order, and to preserve, at the same time, a kind of history of this famous revolution in the church, will be attended with difficulties. These acts are of different sorts, and had different objects: some were designed to demolish the ancient fabric; and others to lay the foundation of a new one: some concerned the papal authority solely; others applied to matters of domestic regulation. It follows, that many of these statutes being now *functæ officio*, are sunk into oblivion; while those which furnished the basis of our present establishment in the church, are generally known. Perhaps the most satisfactory way of treating the one, would be to give also a detail of the other. We shall, therefore, take a view of the statutes that relate, in any way whatever, to the church and ecclesiastical law, as nearly in the order in which they were made as the subject will permit. This will form a sort of juridical narrative of the Reformation, interrupted sometimes and retarded by the recital of regulations either directly or incidentally appertaining thereto, and which the nature of this work requires should be somewhat fully enlarged upon.

Of the ecclesiastical polity.

\* Stat. 35 Hen. VIII. c. 11.



CHAP.  
XXVIII.  
HENRY VIII.

AFTER the disgrace of Cardinal Wolsey, and while the affair of the king's marriage was depending, in the 21<sup>st</sup> year of this reign<sup>1</sup>, a parliament was called, when three bills were sent up from the commons, levelled at some of the most exorbitant abuses of the clergy: one was against unreasonable exaction of fees for the probate of wills; another was for regulation of mortuaries; another was to restrain pluralities and non-residence, and to forbid the clergy taking farms.

Fees of ordinaries.

WE have before seen what provision had been made by parliament, and by provincial constitutions, for preventing extortion and imposition in the article of fees for probate and administration. Abuses, however, seem still to have maintained their ground<sup>2</sup>. The stat. 21 Hen. VIII. c. 5. complains of the impositions practised by ordinaries, notwithstanding two former statutes made to ascertain their fees, namely, stat. 31 Ed. III. stat. 1. c. 4. and stat. 3 Hen. V. c. 8.<sup>3</sup>; and it enacts; that nothing shall be taken for probate of a will, and making inventories, where the goods do not exceed five pounds, except 6d. to the clerk; where they do not exceed 40l. not more than 3s. 6d.; and where they exceed that, 5s. Thus far of testaments: It ordains, with more precision than the statute of Edward III. who shall be intitled to the administration, in case of intestacy: it directs, that it shall be granted *to the widow of the deceased, or to the next of his kin, or to both*, as the ordinary in his discretion shall think good. The same rule is to be observed where executors refuse to prove the will, and the execution of it is to be committed to the relations. The ordinary is also empowered to make his election between two or more who are of equal degree of kindred<sup>4</sup>; nor is any thing to be taken for such administration by the ordinary, unless the goods amount to more

<sup>1</sup> cAn. 1529.

<sup>2</sup> Vid. ant. 67, 68.

<sup>3</sup> Vid. ant. vol. II. 386; and

vol. III. 256.

<sup>4</sup> Scat. 3, 4.

than 5l.; and then if they do not exceed 40l. he is to take only 2s. 6d.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

EXECUTORS and administrators are to give security for a due administration<sup>1</sup>; and, taking two of the legatees, or two creditors, or, in default of them, two of the next of kin, they are to make an inventory of the effects. All persons offending are to forfeit as much as the money taken contrary to this act; and, besides, 10l. half to the king, and half to the party aggrieved. The act further ordains, that lands being devised to be sold, the money thence arising, or the profits of the lands, shall not be considered among the goods and chattels of the deceased. So that many persons who had property of some value, might in those days, when personalty was in general not large, come within the privileges above allowed to those who had not 5l. in goods.

MORTUARIES, or *corse-presents*, were a customary due claimed by the parson in many places upon the death of any-body. Stat. 21 Hen. VIII. c. 6. puts some restraint upon these demands; and ordains, that none shall be taken where the moveable goods of the deceased are under ten marks; and where they are under 30l. after all debts paid, not more than 3s. 4d. is to be taken, and in no case more than 10s. Mortuaries were hereafter not to be taken on the death of a way-faring man, feme-covert, child, or person not keeping house; and they were entirely abolished in Wales.

THESE provisions had a more general effect than those that follow; for while they restrained the clergy from raising sums on the people in certain fees and dues, the following act only confines the clergy to a due discharge of their function, by forbidding, under penalties, all other avocations. The stat. 21 Hen. VIII. c. 13. was made, as it says, among other reasons, for "the increase of devotion and good opinion of the lay fee toward the spiritual

<sup>1</sup> Sect. 4.

persons."

C H A P.  
XXVIII.  
HENRY VIII.

persons." It accordingly ordains, in the first place, that no spiritual persons shall take lands to farm under pain of forfeiting 10l. per month. This, however, does not extend to the farming of any temporalities during the vacation of bishoprics, or collegiate or cathedral churches; and any persons <sup>a</sup> not having sufficient glebe, may rent lands for the mere expences of their household. Moreover, no spiritual persons are to buy or sell for profit any kind of merchandize, upon pain of forfeiting the thing so bargained, and of the contract being void; with an exception where they sell the overplus that remains of any corn, cattle, or the like, after the supply of their families. No spiritual person is to keep a tan or brewhouse, under the penalty of forfeiting 10l. per month <sup>b</sup>.

Residence and  
pluralities.

THESE regulations were designed to remove the clergy from mean and gainful occupations, and to fix their attention solely to their spiritual calling. That this might be discharged with faithfulness, the next step was to put pluralities and non-residence under some restraint. Repeated provisions had been made by councils, and by our own provincial synods, to prevent plurality of benefices; but the force of these had been weakened by the interposition of papal dispensations <sup>c</sup>. In confirmation of the design of such provisions, and to shorten the hand of the pope, it was now enacted, that if any one having a benefice with cure of 8l. per ann. or above, accept of another with cure, and be instituted and inducted, the first shall be adjudged vacant, and the patron intitled to present. All dispensations from Rome, or elsewhere, contrary to this act, are declared void, and the procurers thereof subjected to the penalty of 20l. Only such spiritual men as are of the king's council may purchase a dispensation to hold three benefices; and the following persons to hold two: the chaplains of the king, queen, prince, or princeps, or any of the king's children,

<sup>a</sup> Sect. 7, 8.

<sup>b</sup> Ibid. 32.

<sup>c</sup> Lynd. lib. 3. tit. 5. c. 2. Ayl. Parerg. Jur. Can. 414.

brothers,

brothers, uncles, or aunts; of all lords spiritual and temporal, and peeresses; the chaplains to the chancellor, treasurer, and comptroller, secretary of state, dean of the chapel, almoner, master of the rolls, the chief justice of the king's bench, the warden of the cinque ports; as also, the brothers and sons of temporal lords and knights, doctors and batchelors of divinity and law, being admitted such by the university, and not by grace only.

THE papal canon law and our own constitutions had not been more strict on the article of pluralities, than that of residence; but the interposition of the legislature was deemed as necessary in the latter case as in the former. The present statute directs, that every one promoted to an archdeaconry, deanry, or dignity, in any cathedral or collegiate church, or beneficed with a parsonage or vicarage, shall be personally resident on one of them at least; and if he absent himself for one month together, or two months at several times in one year, he shall forfeit 10*l.* for every default, with a penalty on those who procured dispensations from Rome, as in the case of pluralities: a similar exception was made in favour of the following persons: those in the king's service beyond sea; scholars residing at any university for study; chaplains to the king or any of the royal family, and lords spiritual and temporal and peeresses; the chaplain of the chancellor; treasurer of England; the chamberlain and steward of the household, treasurer and comptroller; knights of the garter; chief justice of the king's bench (and by stat. 25 Hen. VIII. c. 16. all the judges of that court, and of the common-pleas, the chancellor and chief baron of the exchequer, and the attorney and solicitor-general; and by stat. 33 Hen. VIII. c. 28. the chancellor of the duchy of Lancaster<sup>d</sup>, and the groom of the stole); warden of the ports;

<sup>d</sup> By the same act this privilege was given to some great officers of the courts erected since the former acts, namely, the chancellor of the court of augmentations, the chancellor of the court of first-fruits and

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

master of the rolls; secretary; dean of the chapel; almoner; such chaplains being attending and dwelling without fraud or covin in the households of the above personages. The following persons were also excepted, being in those days usually ecclesiastics: the master of the rolls; dean of the arches; the chancellor or commissary of any bishop; such of the twelve masters in chancery, and twelve advocates of the arches, as were spiritual men. The king is permitted to give licence of non-residence to his chaplains; which seems to be only a confirmation of the common law; for the king's clerks were never bound to residence, and there was an old writ *de non residentiâ clerici regis*.\*

THERE was a clause that forbid a beneficed person taking a parsonage or vicarage in farm, or any profit or rent out of it, upon pain of forfeiting 40s. for every week, and ten times the value of the profit or rent; and the like penalty of 40s. for every week that he received any stipend or salary to sing for a departed soul†.

THE temporal lords were earnest in passing these three bills, and the spirituality as strongly opposed them. It was said, that complaints of abuses and pretended reformations were set on foot only to disgrace the clergy, and were the ordinary beginnings of heresy. The clergy without doors were equally clamorous against them; while the acts were, on the other hand, secretly promoted by the king.

THO' the latter statute affected the pope's authority in matter of pluralities, non-residence, and dispensations; yet this, and the whole of these three acts were rather regulations of a domestic nature, than such as could be considered in the light of attacks on the papal jurisdiction. However, they

tenth; the master of the wards and liveries; each of the general surveyors of the king's lands; the treasurer of the court of augmentations. The chaplains of persons mentioned in this act were to go twice a-year

to their benefice, and there reside eight days, or forfeit forty shillings for every neglect.

\* Vid. ant. vol. II. 293.

† Sect. 30.

shewed

shewed the pope what the king meant to do, if he went on to offend him, and how readily the parliament would give their concurrence. The clergy suffered a severe blow by these laws; for they not only felt an immediate restraint, and lost a present profit, but a door was opened for the many mortifying regulations which soon followed\*.

THESE began to appear in two years; for, after stat. 23 Hen. VIII. c. 9. which provided against citing any person out of the diocese where he resided, except in cases of prerogative-administration in the archbishop's court, and in cases of heresy, the foundation of the breach that afterwards followed with the see of Rome was laid, by an act for restraining the payment of first-fruits to that court, upon the accession to any bishoprick. This act is chap. 20. of the same statute. It was thereby provided, that if any bishop presented to the pope was delayed on the above or any other account from his bishoprick, he should be consecrated by the archbishop, and if an archbishop, by two bishops, and then installed, in the same manner and to the same effect as if the pope had concurred. That the court of Rome might have no just cause of complaint, in respect of making out bulls for such bishops and archbishops, they were allowed to pay for them 5*l.* in the hundred of the clear profits of their sees.

THE king did not intend that things should be hurried to extremities at once; and this bill was only designed as a temperate measure to bring the pope to terms. It did not therefore receive the royal assent; but was to be held forth as a provisional regulation, till the king had compounded this claim of first-fruits with his holiness, or prevailed upon him entirely to renounce it. In the mean time, the whole conduct and direction of this transaction was to be left to the king, who was empowered to declare, within a certain period, whether this bill should be in force or not. Some

\* Burn. Ref. vol. I. 80.

C. H. A. P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

months after, on the 9th of July, 1533, the act was finally ratified by letters patent.

THE breach with the see of Rome was much forwarded by stat. 24 Hen. VIII. c. 12. against appeals. It declares, in maintenance of the ancient law of the land, and the statutes<sup>b</sup> often made to support it, that all causes testamentary, causes of matrimony, divorces, rights of tithes, oblations, and obventions, shall be heard and finally determined within the king's jurisdiction and authority, and not elsewhere; notwithstanding any inhibitions, appeals, or other process, from the see of Rome, or elsewhere; and it is declared, that all sacraments and religious ceremonies shall be performed, notwithstanding any excommunication or interdict that might be issued<sup>1</sup>. The penalty of a *præmunire* was denounced on those who sued at Rome in defiance of the regulations of this act. The order of appeal was directed to be in the following manner: from the archdeacon or his official to the bishop; from the bishop or his commissary to the archbishop of the province; from the archdeacon of an archbishop to the court of arches or audience; and from thence to the archbishop himself: all these respective appeals were to be within fifteen days after judgment or sentence, and such as were before the archbishop, were to be determined without appeal. It was further added, that all causes of the above kind, in which the king had any interest, should, if any appeal was brought by the party grieved, be finally determined by the spiritual prelates and other abbots and priors of the upper house, assembled by the king's writ in convocation: a provision which had a view to the king's divorce then depending. There is added, a saving of all prerogatives heretofore enjoyed by the archbishop of Canterbury in all cases of appeal.

<sup>a</sup> Meaning the statutes of Edward I. and III. those of Rich. II. and Henry IV. against foreign jurif-

diction. Vid. ant. vol. II. 157. 376. 379. and vol. III. 162. 164.

<sup>1</sup> Sect. 2.



At length, in the 25th year of this king<sup>k</sup>, the pope's authority was totally destroyed by three statutes, ch. 19, 20, 21.; for ch. 14. of this statute, which repealed stat. 2 Hen. IV. c. 15. and confirmed stat. 5 Rich. II. stat. 2. c. 5. and 2 Hen. V. stat. 1. c. 7. concerning the punishing of heretics, had another object; except in one clause, which declares it shall not be heresy to speak against the see of Rome, as some ignorant people imagined it was. However, some restraint was by that act imposed on the arbitrary proceedings of the spiritual courts in cases of heresy; and, as it so far put an effectual limitation on the ecclesiastical power, this act must be considered as favourable to the Reformation<sup>l</sup>.

Submission of  
the clergy.

THE first of those three laws abovementioned is the *submission of the clergy*, then sitting in convocation; and it was now to be passed in parliament. The clergy thereby acknowledged, that all convocations had been, and ought to be, summoned by the king's writ; and they promised *in verbo sacerdotii*, that they would never make nor execute any new canons or constitutions without the royal assent to them. As many canons had been received which were found prejudicial to the king's prerogative, contrary to the laws, and heavy to the subject; it was ordained, that a committee should be appointed of thirty-two persons, sixteen of the two houses, and as many of the clergy, to be named by the king, who should make enquiry and have full power to abrogate and confirm such canons as they thought it expedient, with the king's assent. Appeals to Rome were once more condemned by parliament; and all appeals are directed to be made according to stat. 24 Hen. VIII. c. 12. just mentioned; only an appeal is by this act given from the archbishop's court, and from places exempt, to the king in chancery; upon which a commission is to be directed to such persons as the king shall name,

<sup>k</sup> An. 1534.

<sup>l</sup> Burn, Ref. vol. I. 141.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

as in cases of appeals from the admirals court. This court of appeal has been since called the *Court of Delegates*.

TILL the reformation of the ecclesiastical law was made, it was declared, that such canons, constitutions, ordinances, and synodals provincial, being already made, and not repugnant to the laws, statutes, and customs of the realm, nor to the hurt of the king's prerogative, should be used and executed as before<sup>m</sup>: upon which saving, and the former usage of the kingdom, depends the present practice of the ecclesiastical courts; as the designed reformation of that law has never been effected. We shall, however, have occasion hereafter to make some observations on the steps taken for bringing about this reformation.

THE second of these acts is chap. 20. which confirms the former statute concerning the non-payment of first-fruits: and further enacts, that bishops shall no longer be presented to the see of Rome, nor shall sue out any more bulls there; but that all bishops should be presented to the archbishop, and an archbishop to the other archbishop, or to any four bishops whom the king should name. When any see is vacant, the king is to grant a licence, or *congé d'élire*, to the dean and chapter to proceed to a new election, and therewith to send a letter missive containing the name of the person whom they are to elect; and if they delay the election for twelve days, the king is to nominate by letters patent. The person elected or nominated is to swear fealty to the king, and a commission is to issue for consecrating and investing him; after this he is to do homage to the king, and to be put in possession of the spiritualities and temporalities. The dean and chapter, or the bishop or archbishop, neglecting for twenty days to perform their parts, as prescribed by this act, are subjected to the penalty of a *præmunire*.

NEXT follows the famous act for discharging the subject from all dependence on the papal see<sup>n</sup>. The preamble

Papal authority  
abolished.

<sup>m</sup> Stat. 7.

<sup>n</sup> Chap. 21.

complains

complains of the intolerable exactions for Peter-pence, pensions, impositions, and bulls, which were contrary to the laws of the kingdom, and grounded on the usurpations and abuses of the pope in granting dispensations; whereas it stood, says the act, with natural equity and reason, that the king and parliament only should have power to dispense with laws, or to authorize some elect person to exercise that supreme authority. And forasmuch as the Convocation had recognized the king as supreme head of the church of England, therefore it was enacted, that all payments made to the apostolic chamber, and all papal provisions, bulls, and dispensations, should from thenceforth cease; and, for the future, all dispensations or licences for things not contrary to God's law, but only to the law of the land, should be granted within the realm; that is, by the archbishop of Canterbury, who is to grant them, in such cases as had been formerly used: and further, all dispensations which used to be taxed at Rome at or above 4*l.* were to be confirmed under the great seal. When the archbishop refused to grant such dispensations, the party might have a writ out of chancery for him to shew cause for his refusal; upon which his reasons were to be examined, and justice done in the case<sup>a</sup>. There is a clause in this act which declares, that it was not intended to decline from the catholic faith of Christendom<sup>b</sup>. The exemptions of monasteries was confirmed; and they were declared not to be subject to any visitation from the archbishop; but they were brought under the king's jurisdiction, who might grant a commission to visit them. Power was given to the king and council to reform all indulgences and privileges which had been granted by the see of Rome. The offenders against this act were subjected to a *præmunire*.

THIS law, by cutting off the trade of indulgences about divine laws, which had been so gainful to the church, gave

<sup>a</sup> Sect. 17.<sup>b</sup> Ibid. 19.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

great ease to the people. Not only the pope's power was hereby rooted out, but the religious houses now saw themselves within the king's power. This was aggrandized still more by the authority this act gave him of abrogating all its provisions by his letters patent, if he so pleased.

BUT the king's supremacy was not directly established by parliament till the following year, when it was enacted by stat. 26 Hen. VIII. c. 1. that the king shall be taken as "the only supreme head in earth of the church of England, "called *Anglicana Ecclesia*; and shall have all authority "thereto annexed, to reform and correct all errors, heresies, "and abuses, which may be amended by any spiritual jurisdiction whatsoever."

WHEN the king had been invested with this entire sovereignty over the church, it seemed like bringing things only into their old course, to give to him the first-fruits and tenths, which had been so lately taken from the pope: this was done by stat. 26 Hen. VIII. c. 3. It was in order to promote the good government of the church, that the primitive institution of suffragan bishops was provided for by stat. 26 Hen. VIII. c. 14. Every bishop was to present two persons to the king, who was to nominate one to be suffragan. The towns to which suffragans were to be appointed, with their duty and privileges, are mentioned in the act<sup>1</sup>.

HENRY may now be considered as having completed the whole of his plan against the bishop of Rome; the remaining statutes on this subject being rather in aid of those already passed, than introductory of any thing entirely new. By stat. 27 Hen. VIII. c. 15. the commission of thirty-two persons to revise the canon law, was intended to be maintained. Ch. 28 of the same sessions, for dissolving the lesser monasteries, may be considered as a continuation of the scheme for humbling the clergy, and seems to have

<sup>1</sup> Vid. Burn: Ref. vol. I. 151.

been foreboded by the late acts; that against dispensations, and that to establish the king's supremacy. The monasteries dissolved by this act, were those of only 200l. per ann. and under.

CHAP.  
XXVIII.  
HENRY VIII.

AN amendment was made by stat. 28 Hen. VIII. c. 13. in the late law of non-residence. Many persons had availed themselves of the privilege allowed to students in the universities; and, under that character, lived there in idleness, instead of residing at their livings. This act declares, that persons above forty years old shall not be intitled to the privilege of that exemption; unless they are some of the heads and governing part of the university or colleges, or readers in divinity. Those under forty years must be such as attend at the ordinary lectures, disputations, and exercises, of the place; unless they are readers in some of the liberal sciences. The last stroke at the papal power seems to have been made by stat. 28 Hen. VIII. c. 16. which declares all bulls, briefs, faculties, and dispensations, of what kind soever, heretofore granted from the see of Rome, to be void, and of no effect. As this act was only levelled at the many jurisdictions, privileges, and exemptions, that were claimed in different parts of the kingdom under the sanction of papal grants, it was necessary, in order to avoid much confusion, to add provisos to this sweeping clause: there is accordingly a saving of all marriages, appointments of bishops, ordinations, and the like; and further, of all such cases as might legally be dispensed with by the archbishop of Canterbury; which, however, were to be confirmed under the great seal: so that all these matters, instead of papal authority, would henceforth subsist only by virtue of this statute.

THE remaining statutes relating to the Reformation are the following, which may be passed over in a short way, without any great loss to the historical lawyer. By stat. 31 Hen. VIII. c. 9. the king was empowered to create bishops by letters patent; by chap. 13. of the same session, all the  
remaining

CHAP.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

remaining monasteries were dissolved; and by chap. 14. was passed the law of the six articles, which, being an ordinance of a very penal nature, will more properly be considered hereafter, as well as some other statutes relating to heretics and offenders on the score of religion. By stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 26. the king was empowered to appoint a commission of bishops and some clergy to agree on a form of religion, for the observance of the whole nation; and by stat. 34 and 35 Hen. VIII. c. 1. some provisions were made about *Tindal's* books; which also being of a penal nature, will come under that division of the statutes of this reign.

THUS have we traced the progress of those measures by which this great revolution in our ecclesiastical polity was effected. The many struggles between the spiritual and temporal jurisdiction, in former periods, had ended most commonly to the advantage of the clergy; but, in this reign, a new light was let in upon the nation, and the people, under the auspices of this spirited prince, were at length delivered from the yoke of blind and implicit obedience. The church was declared an entire and perfect body within itself, with authority to decree and regulate all things without dependance on any foreign power; and the supremacy thereof was annexed to, and united with, the imperial crown of the realm; so that a way was opened for all that followed in the next reign, to complete the reformation of religion. In the mean time, the ecclesiastical courts continued to possess all the detail of jurisdiction which they exercised in former times, except that they now had before their eyes the injunction of the late statute, to abstain from such doctrines as were repugnant to the law, statutes, and customs of the realm, and the king's prerogative.

BEFORE we take leave of ecclesiastical matters, it will be proper to notice what alterations were made by parliament respecting some articles which were of a spiritual concern;

concern: these are, marriage, the collecting of first-fruits and tenths, and the payment of tythes.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

IT had been the ancient custom of the chancery, that the six clerks, curfitors, and all others, except the clerk of the crown, should be unmarried, being originally all real clerks; and upon their marriage, they forfeited their places. This custom had gone out of use as to all, except the six clerks, who were still bound to the old rule. There was therefore an act made on purpose, namely, stat. 14 and 15 Hen. VIII. c. 8. to enable them to marry, without prejudice of forfeiture. The clergy, who had the entire government of the ecclesiastical courts till this reign, had preserved the rule there inviolate, and they allowed no person who was lay or married to exercise any ecclesiastical jurisdiction: but now when all spiritual jurisdiction was declared to flow from the crown, as supreme head, and it was rather wished that the administration of justice there should not be in the hands of persons entirely devoted to the church, it was enacted, by stat. 37 Hen. VIII. c. 17. that all persons, as well lay as married, being doctors of the civil law, might be chancellor, vicar-general, commissary, official, scribe, or register, and exercise all jurisdiction ecclesiastical, as any others, being spiritual persons, might do.

THE other statutes concerning marriage regard pre-contracts, and the degrees within which persons might marry. When Henry had married Anna Boleyn, and the act of succession, stat. 25 Hen. VIII. c. 22. was passed to settle the crown upon the descendants of this new union, it was thought prudent by every possible means to stigmatize the marriage with Catharine as unlawful. Accordingly, a clause was inserted<sup>†</sup>, which declared all marriages within the degrees there mentioned (all which were both Levitical and canonical, and that with a brother's wife is one) to be

<sup>†</sup> Sect. 3, 4.

unlawful,



C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

unlawful, and that persons so related might be separated by the sentence of the ordinary. When this act was repealed by the second act of succession, stat. 28 Hen. VIII. c. 7. and the crown settled in another manner, this same prohibition was re-enacted, as the law of God, which no human power could dispense with. It was moreover declared, that the meaning of these prohibitions was, that if it chanced for any man to *know carnally* any woman, then all persons related to such woman within the above degrees, should be adjudged within the prohibitions, which was a refinement of the canon law upon this subject, and has been mentioned in a former place<sup>a</sup>.

THE legislature had in this case given parliamentary sanction to the doctrines of the canon law, respecting some of the prohibitions to marriage from consanguinity or affinity; but in stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 38. they took another course, and made a solemn declaration against the whole of the pontifical law upon this head, and also upon that of pre-contracts. The preamble of that act complains, that upon pretence of former contracts not consummate by carnal copulation, marriages consummated were dissolved and children bastardised: further, that marriage was prohibited by other impediments which had been invented only to be dispensed with; as kindred or affinity between cousins-german, and so to the fourth and fifth degree<sup>\*</sup>; carnal knowledge of any of the same kin  
or

<sup>a</sup> Sect. 11, 12. The degrees of marriage prohibited by this act are these: "The son to marry his mother or step-mother carnally known by his father; the brother his sister; the father his son's daughter or his daughter's daughter; the son to marry the daughter of his father procreated and born of his step-mother; the son to marry his aunt, being his father's or mother's sister; to marry his uncle's wife, carnally known by his uncle;

the father to marry his son's wife, carnally known by his son; the brother to marry his brother's wife, carnally known by his brother; any man married, and carnally knowing his wife, to marry his wife's daughter, or his wife's son's daughter, or his wife's daughter's daughter, or his wife's sister."

<sup>b</sup> Vid. ant. 58.

<sup>\*</sup> There seems to have been a variety in the canon law upon this point. By a constitution of archbishop

or affinity before in such outward degrees: all which the act pronounces to be contrary to God's law, and tending to great scandal, as persons were continually hunting after some pre-contract, kindred and alliance, or carnal knowledge, to make void a marriage that they were tired of. To correct this, it was now declared, by stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 38. that all marriages contracted between lawful persons, that is, persons not prohibited by God's law to marry, being solemnized in the face of the church, and consummate with bodily knowledge, or fruit of children, shall be judged good and indissoluble, notwithstanding any pre-contract not consummate with bodily knowledge. It was moreover declared, that no prohibition, God's law excepted, should impeach any marriage without the Levitical degrees. Considering what had passed before, the provision in this act against pre-contracts is very remarkable, and at that time gave occasion to much censure upon the proceedings against Anna Boleyn; for that which was now so much condemned, was then made the pretence for dissolving the marriage with her. Some endeavoured to reconcile this inconsistency by a wish in the king, indirectly to take away the impediment to the succession of her daughter Elizabeth. The other branch of the statute was to make way for the king's marriage with Catharine Howard, who was cousin-german to Anna Boleyn; this being one of the prohibited degrees by the canon law, but not by the Levitical degrees. The preamble states, as a reason for the act, that "what sparks remained of the papal legislation might kindle hereafter

bishop Lanfranc, none was to marry his own kin, or that of a deceased wife, or the widow of a deceased kinsman, within the seventh degree. He rests this on a decree of Gregory the Great; but that pope, in his precept to St. Augustus, allowed marriage in any degree beyond the fourth. A decree of that pope,

to the effect Lanfranc intimates, is to be found in the Decretum; but is by later canonists pronounced to be an error of Gratian\*. There is a canon which allows those in the fifth degree to marry, and forbids those in the fourth to be separated, if married: this canon is attributed to Theodora of Canterbury†.

\* Cauf. 35. Quest. II. and III. c. 16.; and Johnson's Canons.

† Ibid. c. 20.; also vid. ant. 58.

"a great

C H A P.  
XXVIII

HENRY VIII.

“a great flame; and, at least, while they remained,  
“might shew that the pope’s power was not entirely  
“extinct.”

WHEN first-fruits and tenths of spiritual preferments were given to the crown, by stat. 26 Hen. VIII. c. 3. several regulations were made for the due payment and ordering of this new revenue. These were succeeded by many other provisions, as ch. 17. of the same statute, stat. 27 Hen. VIII. c. 8. 28 Hen. VIII. c. 11. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 22. and 34, 35 Hen. VIII. c. 17. all which go into a particular detail of arrangement, much of which has been superseded by later statutes, and on that account, as well as the minuteness of the subject, is little worthy of attention.

Tythes.

It was endeavoured to render the payment of tythes more regular, by assisting the ecclesiastical process. By stat. 27 Hen. VIII. c. 20. if any one disobeyed the process of the spiritual court, and the ordinary made application to one of the king’s council, or to two justices of the peace, they have power to commit the party, till he find security to obey the sentence of the court. It was again enacted, by stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 7. that all persons shall duly set out their tythes and offerings; and if they omit, they may be convened in the spiritual court, and ordered to pay costs; and two justices may, as in the former case, commit the party to gaol, till he give security to perform the definitive sentence. As tythes, and other spiritual dues, since the dissolution of monasteries, had come more into lay hands than before, it was thought proper to give the like common-law remedies as were in use for lay fees. It was therefore ordained, that any parsonage, vicarage, portion, pension, tythes, oblations, or other ecclesiastical or spiritual profits in lay hands, might become a subject of controversy, in a *præcipe quòd reddat, assise of novel disseisin, mortmaincester, quòd id deforceat*, writ of dower, and other writs original, as the case might require;

require; and that fines and other common-law assurances might be made of them. But it was provided, that suits for subtraction of tythes or offerings should still be in the ecclesiastical court, as before. By stat. 37 Hen. VIII. c. 12. a number of regulations were devised respecting the payment of tythes in London. It seems a decree had been made by the archbishop of Canterbury, the chancellor Audley, and others of the council, to settle a course for the due payment of tythes in the city: upon this there issued letters-patent, and a proclamation directed to the citizens to enforce the execution of it; and by stat. 27 Hen. VIII. c. 21. all tythes and dues were directed to be paid in pursuance of this decree, until further order should be made therein by the king and the thirty-two persons who were to be employed in reforming the ecclesiastical law. After this, several disputes arose on the construction of this decree; and the parsons and citizens had agreed to submit their differences to the archbishop, the chancellor Wryothesley, and several others of the council: these parsons made another decree, which is inserted in the present act, and is thereby required to be observed.

SUCH was the history of this famous revolution in our ecclesiastical polity. Having disposed of this, we are at liberty to proceed to the provisions which were made for the better ordering of the civil state. Of these, the first regard is due to a regulation for marshalling the higher ranks of society according to their respective dignities. We have hitherto met with no example in our statute-book of any direction upon this head. The first is by stat. 31 Hen. VIII. c. 10. "For placing of the lords." It was a part of the king's prerogative to give such honour, reputation, and place to his counsellors, and others, his subjects, as seemed best to his wisdom; and such prerogative is asserted in these very words in the preamble of this statute; but

Precedence.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

the king was content that order should now be taken in his high court of parliament for ascertaining the degrees of precedence. It was therefore enacted, that no person, except the king's children, should sit at any side of the cloth of state in the parliament chamber, whether the king was present or not. And because, says the act, the king is supreme head in earth of the church of England; and for the good exercise of the said most royal dignity and office, he had appointed Thomas Lord Cromwell his vicegerent; he and all persons having that office should be placed on the right side of the parliament chamber, on the same form with the archbishop of Canterbury, and before him, and should always have voice to assent or dissent, as the lords of parliament\*. Next to him was the archbishop of Canterbury, then York, then London, Durham, Winchester, and then the other bishops; all on the same side, according to their ancienties, as had been accustomed. It seems that no order had before been made for assigning any place to the following officers in respect of their office; but they were now placed in this way: The lord chancellor, lord treasurer, lord president of the king's council, and lord privy-seal, being of the degree of barons or above, were to sit on the left side of the parliament chamber, on the higher part of the form, above all dukes, except such as were the king's son, brother, uncle, nephew, or brother's or sister's sons: the great chamberlain, the constable, the marshal, the lord admiral. The great master, or lord steward, and the king's chamberlain, were to sit in this order: after the lord privy-seal, above all persons of the same state or degree; the king's chief secretary, being a baron, was to sit above all barons; and if a bishop, before all bishops, not having any of the be-

\* The appointment of Cromwell was intirely upon principles of canonical regulation. We have seen what was the office of *vicar-general*; and that he might be appointed by

bishops or popes. Considering the king's present title of Head of the English church, his vicar-general could not but be placed before the archbishop of Canterbury. Vid. ant. c. fore

forementioned offices. All dukes, not beforementioned, marquisses, earls, viscounts, and barons, not having such offices, were to be placed according to their ancienty, as had been accustomed. If the lord chancellor, lord treasurer, lord president of the king's council, lord privy seal, or chief secretary, were under the degree of a baron, they were to be placed at the uppermost part of the sacks, in the midst of the parliament chamber, either there to sit on one form, or upon the uppermost sack, in the order beforementioned.

THIS order of precedence was to take place not only in the parliament chamber, but also in the trials of peers; and in the star-chamber, and other assemblies of council, the chancellor, treasurer, president, privy-seal, the great chamberlain, the constable, marshal, lord admiral, grand master, or lord steward, the king's chamberlain and chief secretary were to observe the rank hereby given.

WE proceed now from the highest to the lowest; from the different degrees of honour, to the various descriptions of poverty and wretchedness. We have seen what regulations had been made in former reigns for the suppression of beggars and vagabonds<sup>b</sup>. The increase of these evils is evidenced by various statutes made in this reign for the correction of them. It has been a favourite opinion, that the provision for the poor had never become an object of necessity, till the abolition of religious houses had deprived many persons of a support from the donations there regularly made; but the first statute we shall mention, was passed before that event, and is nothing more than a continuance of the policy which had been begun many years before. It was enacted, by stat. 22 Hen. VIII. c. 12. that justices of the peace, mayors, sheriffs, bailiffs, and other officers of counties, cities and towns, should divide themselves, and make diligent search within their divisions, for all aged, poor, and impotent

The poor laws.

<sup>b</sup> Vid. ant. vol. III. 171.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

persons, who were of necessity compelled to live by alms; and such persons they were to authorize to beg within a certain hundred, city, town, parish, or other limit, as it should seem best to them in their discretion. The names of such persons were to be put in a roll, a copy to be certified to the justices in sessions, and a letter was to be delivered to such beggars, under seal, signifying they had authority to beg. Any person begging without such licence, and beyond his limit, was to be whipped, or set in the stocks three days and three nights, during which he was to be kept on bread and water. This was to be by order of a justice or high constable, who was after that to limit him a place where he might beg, and give him a licence.

Thus far of impotent persons. It was further provided, that if any person *being whole and mighty in body*, and able to labour, was taken begging, or vagrant, and could give no reckoning how he lawfully got his living, he might be brought before a justice of peace, high constable, mayor, or other officer of the place, who might direct him to be whipped out of the place at the end of a cart, till his body was bloody: and he was to take an oath to return to the place where he was born, or where he last dwelt before the punishment for the space of three years, and there labour as a true man ought to do. He was to have a letter testifying the time and place of his punishment, whither he was going, and what time was limited for such journey, within which time he was permitted to beg by the way. As often as he violated the terms of this letter he was to be whipped, till he arrived at the appointed place and betook himself to labour. If the person so whipped was idle, and no common beggar, he was to give security, should the officer think proper, for repairing to his place of birth or residence as beforementioned.

To secure the execution of this regulation, justices of the peace were, in their session, to enquire of the defaults

of



of towns in suffering begging, and impose fines on the inhabitants.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

BUT no provision was made for the treatment of vagrants when they arrived at the place of settlement, nor for charging the inhabitants with the maintenance of the poor and impotent, or setting to work the valiant vagabonds. To remedy this, it was ordained by stat. 27 Hen. VIII. c. 25. that the public officers of such places should take order for the reception and support of such as were unable to labour, and for the putting to work such as were, under penalty of forty shillings for every month they should neglect. For this purpose they were to gather alms with boxes every Sunday, holiday, and other festival, or otherwise among themselves. All persons passed away in the above manner were allowed at every ten miles to call on the constable of the place to provide them meat, drink, and lodging, for one night.

SUCH public officers were to take up all children in every parish within their limits under fourteen and above five years of age; that were found begging and in idleness, and appoint them to masters of husbandry, or other crafts or labours, to be taught, and so be enabled to get their living; and they were to give them some of the charitable contributions to equip them for such service. Such as refused to go to service, or who departed from it without reasonable cause, being above twelve and under sixteen years, were to be publicly whipped.

ONCE in every month the above public officers were to cause privy search to be made, by night or by day, as they thought proper, for vagabonds and suspected persons, and all persons were to assist in such search. Those found a second time in a state of vagrancy were not only to be whipped, but to have the upper part of the gristle of the right ear clean cut off; which was to be performed by the constable, with the assistance of a substantial inhabitant of the parish. For a third offence he was to be committed

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

ted to prison by a justice, and then indicted for wandering and loitering; and if found guilty, he was to suffer death as a felon and enemy of the commonwealth.

ALL common doles were forbid, and no person was to give alms in that or any other way, but only to the common boxes and gatherings before directed to be made. Bodies corporate, who by their institution were to distribute alms out of their revenue, were now required to give it, at the usual times, in money to such common boxes and gatherings. The churchwardens in every parish, calling to them six or four of their honest neighbours, had authority once a quarter to call such collector before them to give an account of money collected, and in what manner it was employed: and if any was embezzled or misapplied, he was to be committed to prison by a justice, mayor, or other officer of the place, till he restored the said sum. An account of all such receipts and disbursements was to be kept yearly by the minister or some other honest man of the parish; the book to be in the custody of the constables and churchwardens, two or three of them, or some other indifferent man, and not the minister. There was a penalty of twenty shillings upon parishes that neglected to promote public collections. The act contains several other clauses, some of which were designed for turning the course of private charities, whether of monasteries or well-disposed persons, to these public boxes and gatherings; some were to save mendicant friars from incurring the penalties inflicted on beggars, and religious persons from any restraint on giving to poor almsmen established in their houses, or to casual travellers, or to shipwrecked mariners, or other distressed objects; in short, that they might give alms in such manner as would not encourage common begging and vagrancy.

SUCH were the regulations made in the reign of this king for the disposal of beggars and vagrants. These seemed particularly to deserve our attention, because they contain  
the

the outline of the more enlarged system for the government of the poor, which was so much improved in the next reigns, and finally settled in that of Elizabeth. The laws made in this reign relating to the other branches of the inferior orders of society, the labouring part, such as *journeymen*, *apprentices*, and *artificers*, need not engage so much of our time; because most of them were not of a general import, but were calculated for particular trades and employments under particular circumstances. The wages of servants in husbandry, artificers, and labourers, were prescribed by stat. 6 Hen. VIII. c. 3. a penalty was imposed on those who took more; the hours of work and of meals were also settled. No master was to compel his apprentice to engage by oath or bond not to open a shop. The exaction of high fees for the admission of apprentices to their freedom was guarded against<sup>b</sup>. These with various other provisions of a more partial nature were made by parliament.

Of trade.

NUMBERLESS are the provisions made in this king's reign for the protection and advancement of domestic trade and manufactures. Instead of encouraging foreigners, as heretofore, it was now endeavoured to collect all mercantile employment into the hands of natural-born subjects. Upon this principle various obstructions were placed in the way of foreigners who carried on any trade; and regulations were devised for better qualifying the rising generation. By stat. 14 and 15 Hen. VIII. c. 2. no stranger born out of the king's obedience, whether denizen or not, and using any handicraft, was to have any apprentice, nor more than two journeymen, unless natural-born subjects. Strangers and their wares were to be subject to the inspection of the wardens and fellowships of handicrafts in the city. Upon a petition of the tradesmen of London complaining of foreign artificers, a decree was made in the star-chamber in 20 Hen. VIII. and was confirmed by stat. 21 Hen. VIII.

<sup>b</sup> Stat. 28 Hen. VIII. c. 5.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

c. 16. By this, among other things, it was directed, that no stranger artificer should have more than two stranger servants; but being a householder, he might have as many English servants and apprentices as he pleased. Those not being householders, nor keeping a shop at the time of the act, were forbid to keep any house, shop, or chamber, to exercise their craft or mystery; which was only a revival of a regulation made in the reign of Rich. III.<sup>c</sup>. This provision was again renewed by stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 16. which likewise makes void all leases of a dwelling-house or shop to any stranger artificer not being a denizen; nor was such a person thenceforward to take any lease on pain of forfeiture; and both lessor and lessee was to pay 100 shillings. It is remarkable among those jealous provisions against strangers, it was over and over ordained, that such persons, not being denizens, should be bound by all the laws and statutes of the realm. Among the laws respecting trade may be reckoned several statutes for regulating the dress of all ranks of persons: these were frequently repealed and new ones made, as experience successively demonstrated the difficulty of subjecting such matters to parliamentary restrictions<sup>d</sup>. Many other statutes were made for the conducting of different manufactures, of which, as well as of the other acts of this and the following reigns, it may be observed in general, that they had a tendency to give preferences to corporations and fraternities, and to encourage a spirit of monopoly.

THE policy set on foot in the last reign, of turning the attention of farmers from pasturage to agriculture, was promoted by several statutes in this reign. If any one suffered a house of husbandry to go to decay, or converted tillage into pasture, the immediate lord of the fee was intitled to seize a moiety of the offender's land till the offence was reformed<sup>e</sup>.

<sup>c</sup> Stat. 1 Rich. III. c. 9.<sup>d</sup> Stat. 6 Hen. VIII. c. 1. 7 Hen. VIII. c. 6. 24 Hen. VIII. c. 13.<sup>e</sup> Stat. 7 Hen. VIII. c. 1. and vide stat. 25 Hen. VIII. c. 13.

BEFORE we enter upon the laws relating to private property, we shall briefly speak of one or two provisions that principally respected tenures. The abuses, probably, introduced under the late administration of Empson and Dudley, made it necessary to add to the number of those acts that had already been passed for the taking of inquisitions by escheators and commissioners<sup>f</sup>. The complaint now was, that sometimes untrue offices were found, sometimes offices were changed, and sometimes such were returned as had never been found. To remedy this it was enacted, in the first year of this reign<sup>g</sup>, that no escheator or commissioner should return an inquisition or office concerning lands or hereditaments, unless found or presented by twelve men under their seals and indented, under penalty of 100*l*. All escheators and commissioners were to have lands of forty marks per ann. Inquisitions were to be taken in an open place, according to the statutes, and every one was to be at liberty to give evidence openly before the inquest. The jurors were to have a qualification of estate of forty shillings annually. A counterpart of the inquisition sealed and indented was to remain with the foreman. The inquisition, when found, must be received by the escheator; the clerk of the petty bag, or officer of the exchequer, if returnable there, was required to receive the return from him; and the clerk of the petty bag was to certify a transcript of all inquisitions into the exchequer. No one was to be escheator for more than a year. All these qualifications were enforced with penalties.

THE time of traversing an inquisition, which was confined by a former statute<sup>h</sup> to a month after the return, was enlarged to three months, and all grants made previous to that time were to be void<sup>i</sup>. By an act of the same session, all inquisitions found in the last reign by procurement of

<sup>f</sup> Vid. ant. vol. II. 373. and vol. III. 273.

<sup>g</sup> Stat. 1 Hen. VIII. c. 8.

<sup>h</sup> Stat. 8 Hen. VI. c. 10.

<sup>i</sup> Stat. 1 Hen. VIII. c. 10.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

Empson and Dudley, intitling the king to tenures *in capite*, were allowed to be traversed, notwithstanding the parties might have sued livery; and it was declared such livery should not conclude them\*.

THE law of private rights was affected by several statutes during this reign, many of which were not less important than those we have been relating. The statutes upon this head either regard the properties and incidents of estates, or the modes by which they may be conveyed. Of these the former claim our first attention. We shall begin with some statutes which ever since have had very extensive influence upon leases of lands.

Terms for  
years.

IT was in consequence of the new practice of suffering recoveries, that it was now thought necessary to enlarge a provision made in the reign of Edward I\*. to protect termors. As that act speaks of a lessee having an action of covenant, it was concluded that no lessees but those by deed could be protected by it. The new statute includes both leases by indenture, and those without writing. As a lease for years was an interest issuing out of, and dependent on, the inheritance and freehold of the lessor, it derived all its strength from the goodness of that title, and by it must stand or fall. Thus a term lay at the mercy of the freeholder, who now had a method by which he could destroy his own estate, namely, by a fictitious recovery, and so destroy the interest of his lessee. This device was often practised to get rid of tenants who had excited envy by the great improvements they had made, or had any way disoblged their landlord. To prevent an injustice of this kind, and at once give stability to these contracts, and insure to the cultivator of the soil the reward of his toil and expence, was an object worthy the attention of parliament. It was accordingly ordained, by stat. 21 Hen. VIII. c. 15. that all lessees for years should be enabled to falsify these re-

\* Stat. 1 Hen. VIII. c. 12.

\* Vid. ant. vol. II. 150.

coveries,

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

coveries, and maintain their leases against the recoverors, as against their lessors. It was further provided, that no statute merchant, statute staple, nor execution by *elegit*, should be made void by any feigned recovery.

AFTER this, a term for years became a permanent and certain interest; the value of which might be estimated by the period of time for which it was created, without calculating the contingency of an untimely dissolution, to which it was before subject. Long terms, as they could now be purchased with safety, became more common. They were soon after this converted to the purpose of raising portions for children by mortgage, or otherwise, in family-settlements; and by the aid of the statute of uses, became the object of much of that artificial stile of conveyancing which began about this time to gain ground.

Leases of tenant in tail.

WHEN the interest of lessees was protected against the feigned recovery of the freeholder, it was thought equally expedient to give them some security against the issue of a tenant in tail, who claiming by a title paramount the ancestor, could avoid any leases made by him for longer term than his life. A like inconvenience was felt in other instances of leases made by persons who had only a life-estate. To remedy all this, the following provision was made by stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 28.: All leases, says that statute, made by indenture for years, or for life, by any person seised in fee, or in tail, in his own right, or in the right of his church or wife, or jointly with his wife, shall be good and effectual in law, the same as if the lessor was seised in fee-simple, provided they are made under the following circumstances; if they are not made to any lessee having an old lease (unless the old lease be expired, or surrendered within a year next after the making of the new one); and if they are not made in reversion. It was also required, that the land should have been most commonly letten to farm, or occupied for the last twenty years; that the lease be not made without impeachment of waste, nor be for

above



CHAP.  
XXVIII.  
HENRY VIII.

above twenty-one years, or three lives; and that there be reserved yearly so much rent as had been most accustomedly paid for the last twenty years. Moreover, where the inheritance belongs to the wife, she must be a party, and the rent reserved to the husband and wife and *her* heirs, according to the estate she has; and the husband is not in any case to alien such rent any longer than during the coverture, otherwise than by fine<sup>1</sup>. No act of the husband during the coverture shall cause a discontinuance, or be any wise prejudicial to the wife, or her heirs. It was provided<sup>2</sup>, that this act should not be construed as giving a power to any parson or vicar to make leases of his land, tythes, or other profits belonging to his church, otherwise than he could at common law.

THIS is the substance of what has since been called the *Enabling Statute*; because it empowered certain persons to make such leases as they could not before make: and it is so called in contradistinction to some acts passed in the reign of queen Elizabeth, which imposed certain restraints on church leases; and are thence called the *Restraining Statutes*.

THE interest of lessors and lessees came under the contemplation of the legislature in another point of view. This was occasioned by the dissolution of religious houses; which gave rise to a provision calculated not only to remove an inconvenience which was particularly felt at that time, but such as would increase daily, while a disposition and power to alien land prevailed. Covenants in leases, like other covenants, could only operate between the parties and their privies; that is, those who were heirs or executors to the covenantors or the covenantees; so that grantees of reversions of lands held of religious houses, who were now a very considerable body among the landholders of the kingdom, could not avail themselves of the

<sup>1</sup> Sect. 6.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid. 4.

benefit of covenants in leases granted to their tenants ; and tenants, on the other hand, were deprived of advantages stipulated by their former landlords. The first provision on this head was stat. 31 Hen. VIII. c. 13. which gave to the king all advantage, whether of covenants, conditions, or the like, as the lessor would have had. By stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 34. this was extended to the grantees of the king ; and further, to make this equitable remedy universal, mutual redress is given, in all cases of landlord and tenant, where the former grants his reversion to another.

A DEFECT of the old law respecting executors was supplied in the same sessions by stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 37. The executors of persons seised of rents could not, any more than their heirs, recover the arrearages which had accrued in the testator's life-time. This act provides, that the executors and administrators of any person seised in fee-simple, in tail, or for life, of rents or fee-farms, may have an action of debt, or distrain for all arrears due at the death of his testator, or intestate ; as may a husband, seised as aforesaid in right of his wife, after the death of his wife, for the like rents or fee-farms due and unpaid in her life ; and a person seised during the life of another, after the death of *cessui que vie*, and so may his executors or administrators.

AN alteration made respecting jointenancy and tenancy in common seems dictated by the necessities of a commercial people. The idea of property possessed by two or more persons, where each has in him the *entire whole*, and *every parcel* of that whole, as joint tenants are said to have, is a subtilty in jurisprudence which favours much of the age in which it was conceived. That every parcel of this undivided whole should survive to the longest liver, was, perhaps, no more than a consequence of the original refinement. But this consequence was found very prejudicial to credit ; as property, that should satisfy demands

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

demands on the estate of the deceased, was absorbed by a stranger, whose only merit was the fortune of having survived his joint owners. The unity of possession between tenants in common, likewise, though not subject to the doctrine of survivorship, had, however, its inconveniencies, to which a clear and several estate was not liable. These estates we have seen were divisible only by the consent of the parties<sup>a</sup>; and it was now thought desirable, that means should be provided for compelling those who might unreasonably withhold their consent. It was accordingly enacted, by stat. 31 Hen. VIII. c. 1. that joint tenants, and tenants in common, of any estate of inheritance, in their own right, or in right of their wives, may be compelled to make partition, by writ *de partitione faciendâ*, to be devised in the chancery, in like manner as coparceners are compellable by the common law. As this act was confined to estates of inheritance, the provision of it is extended, by stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 32, to tenants for life and years; and also where one person has an estate for life or years jointly, or in common with another who has an estate of inheritance or freehold.

A PIECE of old law relating to entry on land, and the tolling of entry by a discent<sup>\*</sup>, underwent an alteration which has prevented some of the injustice which used to follow from that notion. It was hard that a disseisor, because he died seised, should thereby intirely deprive the injured person from making his entry, and pursuing his legal remedy upon it; but oblige him to resort to a more tedious proceeding. It was therefore enacted, by stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 33. that the dying seised of such disseisor shall not be deemed a discent in law, so as to toll the entry of the disseisee, or his heirs, unless the disseisor

<sup>a</sup> Vid. ant. vol. III. 349.<sup>\*</sup> Vid. ant. vol. III. 19.

had been in peaceable possession for five years after the disseisin.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

SOME changes were made in the law of estates, by the two following acts. By stat. 31 Hen. VIII. c. 3. it was ordained, that certain lands in Kent \*, which descended according to the custom of gavelkind, should thenceforward be descendible as common-law estates; the other was, an act which put some further restraint upon gifts to superstitious uses.

THERE were some gifts of land, which, not being within the statutes of mortmain, had very much increased. It was thought expedient to restrain these alienations, as equally prejudicial to the community with those in mortmain. This was done by stat. 23 Hen. VIII. c. 10. which makes void all dispositions of land to the use of parish-churches, chapels, church-wardens, guilds, fraternities, commonalties, companies, or brotherhoods, erected for devotion, or by common assent, without incorporation; and also dispositions to the intent to have *obits* perpetual, or service of a priest for ever, or for sixty or eighty years. As the age became enlightened, gifts of this kind were viewed with a less favourable eye. These sentiments concurring with the designs of the enterprising prince upon the throne, contributed towards the general attack which was soon after made on one branch of such institutions, the religious houses. These repositories, where so much wealth had been accumulating for ages, were broken open, in pursuance of stat. 27 Hen. VIII. c. and stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. and, happily, their contents were once more permitted to mix in the national circulation.

Gifts to superstitious uses.

NEXT to the laws respecting the nature and properties of estates, we proceed to those which related to the conveyance of them. Several regulations were made for the confirmation of fines and recoveries, as assurances of

\* Vid. ant. vol. II. 310.

land;

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

Of common  
recoveries.

land; and some of a very particular kind were made for the better ordering of uses and wills.

THE application which had lately been made of common recoveries, rendered them a very interesting object of parliamentary notice. As they were become a common assurance of estates, they began to deserve every support which could be given them. It was common to suffer recoveries of land for the performance of wills, for surety of jointures, and other estates; and as such recoveries were had by mere consent and agreement, as a conveyance of the title, it was held, that as the recoverers came in merely under the estate of the recoverees, they had no power by law to compel the attornment of the tenants, nor could they obtain their rents and services by distress or action; and where an advowson was appendant, and an avoidance fell, they had no remedy for a disturbance. They are therefore enabled by stat. 7 Hen. VIII. c. 4. to distrain, and make avowries for rents, services, and customs, and bring a *quare impedit*, as those persons, against whom the recovery was had, might have done. This act was confined to distresses and avowries for rents, services, and customs. As a recoverer could not, upon this statute, have an action of debt against a lessee for years, nor *writ* against a tenant for life, or years, these defects are cured by stat. 21 Hen. VIII. c. 15. and such actions are given in the same manner to the recoverer as the lessor might have had them.

THIS provision as to avowries, was of use where the tenant was known; but it often happened, that, by secret conveyances to uses, lords were at a loss on whom to make their avowries; the ownership of the land often passing without any notice, or sign of alienation. It was for this reason enacted, by stat. 21 Hen. VIII. c. 19. that lords distraining *may* avow on the land, as in land within their fee, or seignior, without naming or making  
avowry

avowry on any person in certain. As the statute uses the word *may*, lords have their election to avow according to the statute, or, as they before did, at common law <sup>P.</sup>

To return to the provisions made respecting common recoveries. It was declared by stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 31. that a recovery of land had by assent of parties against a tenant by curtesy, tenant in tail after possibility of issue extinct, or tenant for life, should be void, with regard to those in reversion or remainder, unless it was by good title, or assent of those in reversion or remainder. In this manner, by obviating the abuse of it, did the parliament tacitly acknowledge and ratify the application of a recovery as an assurance of land. So intirely were recoveries considered as a common assurance and conveyance, that where they were suffered of lands held of the king, a fine was required by a clause in the statute of wills, stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 1. to be paid for the writ of entry in the chancery, the same as for alienation, by fine or feoffment.

SOME doubts had arisen, whether the indirect and general wording of the statute of fines passed in the last reign, might not bear a construction which would make a fine to be taken as a bar to an estate tail. In the 19th year of the king, this question was solemnly argued at Serjeant's-inn; when it was held by three justices, that the issue were not barred; and by five, that they were<sup>a</sup>. The former insisted that the issue were aided by the second saving clause; the issue being neither party nor privy, but as strangers claiming by the donor. The latter denied this; holding the issue clearly to be privy to the fine levied by their ancestor. However, if any doubt remained, an act was now made to remove it; and it was declared by stat. 32 Hen. VIII. c. 36. that a fine levied by a tenant in tail of full age, according to stat. 4 Hen. VII. should be a sufficient bar to

<sup>P</sup> 1 Inst. 268. b.

<sup>a</sup> 19 Hen. VIII. 6. 2. and also Dyer, 2. 1.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

himself and his heirs claiming by force of such entail. There was in this act an exception in favour of the inheritance of a husband, protected by stat. 11 Hen. VII. c. 20\*. and of lands where the reversion was in the king; so that a fine of such land, levied by tenant in tail, remains intirely on the construction of stat. 4 Hen. VII. The parliament took the same care of the king's interest in the case of recoveries, as in the case of fines; for it was declared by stat. 34 and 35 Hen. VIII. c. 20. that no reversion in the king should be barred by a recovery. This was not before the point had been agitated in court. In the 29th of the king, it had been held by the judges, that a recovery suffered by tenant in tail, though a bar to the issue, should be no discontinuance of the tail, and so no bar of the king's reversion; but *Shelley* doubted<sup>†</sup>. Among the provisions for giving stability to these securities, we may reckon stat. 37 Hen. VIII. c. 19. As none of the statutes of fines extended to the county palatine of Lancaster, it was thereby ordained, that fines levied before the justices of assize there, proclaimed three several days the sessions they were ingrossed, and three several days the two next sessions, should have the same force as fines levied in the court of common-pleas.

THE principal provisions upon the nature of conveyances were such as concerned uses and wills; two subjects which were very intimately connected in their circumstances, and now went hand in hand in the regulations the parliament thought proper to devise respecting them. We shall therefore, conformably with the idea that prevailed at the time, take a view of these statutes together, whether they relate to uses or wills, in the order in which they were made, as the most natural one for illustrating this important branch of our law of real property.

Devise of land.

IN the last reign some relaxation had been given to the general law which restrained a devise of lands. We have

\* Vid. apt. 140.

† 25 and 29 Hen. VIII. Dyer, 32. 1.



seen what indulgence had been given to persons in the king's wars to make feoffments to the use of their wills, without licence. Again, in this reign, by stat. 3 Hen. VIII. c. 4. a permission was given to tenants *in capite* to alien without licence, and if they left a son within age, their executors, feoffees, or assigns, were to have the ward and marriage towards the performance of the will. By stat. 14 and 15 Hen. VIII. c. 14. those in the king's service, in the wars, might alien their lands for the performance of their wills, without a fine for alienation, with the same indulgence as to ward and marriage. These were partial regulations, calculated for the benefit of persons under very particular circumstances.

A DISPOSITION to favour devises of land discovered itself afterwards in a more general way, in stat. 21 Hen. VIII. c. 4. Land devisable by custom was very often left for executors to sell; and in such case, if one of them refused to join in the sale, the sale could not be legally perfected by the others\*. It was enacted by this act, that in such circumstances, where any of the executors refused to take upon them the burthen of the administration, a sale by those who did act, should be good and valid. Though the letter of this act extends only to executors who have a *power to sell*, yet, being a beneficial law, it has received a liberal construction, and has been considered as taking in cases where land is *devised to* executors to sell; that is, where they have not barely a power, but a power coupled with an interest.

HITHERTO we see the power of devising land received some favour from the parliament; but this matter was taken up again in a very different way: the power of devising the use of lands, carried the general power of transferring property by will much further than was consistent with the nature of tenures. It tended to deprive lords of their

\* Vid. ant. vol. I. § 1.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

wards, marriages, and reliefs, and the king of his *primer seifins* and livery, with other casualties, which constituted a great part of the old revenue of the crown. We have seen in the former reign, that the statutes which were made to protect the lord's claims upon a descent on the death of *cestui que use*, contained an exception of cases where a will was declared<sup>1</sup>. This excited a great jealousy, both in the king and other great landholders. The king, in the 22d year of his reign, caused a bill to be drawn, which was to moderate the consequences of this innovation: it was intended by this bill, that every one should have free liberty to dispose of half of his lands, in the way of devise; and he told the parliament, if they would not take a reasonable thing, when offered, he would seek out the extremity of the law, and would not offer so much again. This act passed the lords, but was rejected by the commons. The king, disappointed by this failure, consulted the judges and eminent lawyers, and the question was solemnly argued in chancery, where, we are told, it was decided, that a man could not bequeath any part of his land in prejudice of his heir<sup>2</sup>; a proposition, of which there could be little doubt, if it is to be understood of the devise of *land*, and which, notwithstanding, left the question, as to declarations of uses, where it was. The only remedy was to destroy uses entirely; and it was with that view that the statute of uses was procured some few years after.

Statute of uses.

THE mischiefs arising from uses were not confined to the single object of feudal claims on land, but infected almost every transaction concerning landed property. These mischiefs were not subdued by the remedies the legislature had already, at different times, applied. Indeed one of those remedies, the statute of Richard III. had somewhat increased the perplexity before complained of, that of divers claims to the same land. That statute had enabled the

<sup>1</sup> Vid. *int.* 139.<sup>2</sup> Burn. Ref. vol. I. 112.

*cestui que use* to make feoffments and other assurances of his land, while the feoffees still continued their common-law right over it. The consequence of this was, that the *cestui que use* might limit an estate for life, or in tail, or make a lease of the land; and the feoffee, perhaps, might do the same, having each an equal right.

THUS, purchasers were never safe while two persons had the disposal of the same land. But the numerous other inconveniences attending uses, as recited in the statute, made it necessary to apply some fundamental remedy to these disorders. This was meant to be effected by the famous statute of uses, 27 Hen. VIII. c. 10. What the opinion of that age was upon this subject, and what was the design and plan of that statute, cannot be better collected than from the title and preamble of it. It is intitled, "An Act concerning Uses and Wills." And the preamble states, that "Whereas, by the common laws of this realm, lands, tenements, and hereditaments, be not devisable by testament, nor ought to be transferred from one to another, but by solemn livery and seisin, matter of record, writing sufficient made *bonâ fide* without covin or fraud; yet, nevertheless, divers and sundry imaginations, subtle inventions, and practices, have been used, whereby the hereditaments of this realm have been conveyed from one to another by fraudulent feoffments, fines, recoveries, and other assurances craftily made to secret uses, intents, and trusts; and also by wills and testaments, sometime made by *nude parol*s and words, sometime by signs and tokens, and sometime by writing, and for the most part made by such persons as be visited by sickness, in their extreme agonies and pains, or at such time as they have scanty had any good memory or remembrance; at which times, they, being provoked by greedy and covetous persons lying in wait about them, do many times dispose indiscreetly, and unadvisedly, their lands and inheritances; by reason whereof, and by

R. 2

" occasion

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

“ occasion of which fraudulent feoffments, fines, recoveries, and other like assurances to uses, confidences, and trusts, divers and many heirs have been unjustly, at sundry times, disherited, the lords have lost their wards, marriages, reliefs, heriots, escheats, aids *pur faire fits chevalier & pur file marier*, and scanty any persons can be certainly assured of any lands by them purchased, nor know surely against whom they shall use their actions or executions, for their rights, titles, and duties; also men married have lost their tenancies by the courtesy, women their dower; manifest perjuries by trial of such secret wills and uses have been committed; the king’s highness hath lost the profits and advantages of lands of persons attainted, and of the lands craftily put in feoffments to the uses of aliens born, and also the profits of waste for a year and a day of lands of felons attainted; and the lords their escheats thereof; and many other inconveniences have happened, and daily do increase among the king’s subjects, to their great trouble and inquietness, and to the utter subversion of the antient common laws of this realm: for the extirping and extinguishment of all such subtle practised feoffments, fines, recoveries, abuses and errors,” this act was made. Such is the preamble of the act usually called *the statute of uses*; and in all pleadings and deeds, the statute for *transferring uses into possession*, or the statute for *conveying the possession to the use*.

IF one statute of Richard III. had added to the former mischiefs of uses, another furnished a hint for the entire destruction of them. That monarch, while duke of Gloucester, had been infeoffed to uses, either jointly with others, or by himself. To obviate the notion of law, that the king cannot be seised to a use, and the manifest injustice of his holding such lands discharged of the use; it was provided by that act, that where he was joint feoffee, the other feoffees should stand seised to the uses without him; and where

where he was sole seised, the land should be vested in the *cestui que use*, in the same manner as he had the use. In a similar way, the statute of uses enacts, that, when any person shall be seised of lands, or other hereditaments, to the use, confidence, or trust of any other person or body-politic, the person or corporation intitled to the use in fee-simple, fee-tail, for life or years, or otherwise, shall from thenceforth stand and be seised, or possessed of the land, of and in the like estate as they have in the use, trust or confidence; and that the estate of the person so seised to the use, shall be deemed to be in them that have the use, in such quality, manner, form, and condition, as they had before in the use. Thus the statute executes the use, as it is termed; that is, transfers the use into possession; by which means the *cestui que use* becomes completely possessed of the land in law, as he was before in equity.

No form of words could be imagined more simple, and, at the same time, more efficacious for the annihilation of uses, than the purview of this act. By a kind of legal magic, the whole frame of landed property seemed on a sudden to be changed; and every man, who before had only the use of his estate at the mercy (almost) of his feoffees, was made, in an instant, the complete and lawful owner of it. The parliament seem not to have foreseen that any use could survive the operation of this statute, or any new one be created, except only on a bargain and sale; and as soon as that was discerned, an act was made in the same sessions \* to put some guard to these transactions, by requiring them, when they concerned any freehold interest, to be by deed indented and inrolled. They designed, most certainly, that lands should pass no longer by limitation of use, but by formal livery on the land, matter of record, or some other common-law conveyance, as mentioned in the preamble of the statute.

\* Chap. 16.

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

THE courts of law, instead of sending suitors to seek relief in chancery, began now to take cognisance of uses, which were become legal estates; and one would have thought the learning of landed property was, by this statute, once more settled on the pure and simple principles of the common law: how far these expectations were answered, will be seen in the sequel.

THERE are other material articles in this statute. It is provided<sup>1</sup>, that there shall be a saving to all feoffees of such former right, title, entry, interest, possession, rents, customs, services, and actions, as they might have had at common law: upon which saving, much of the reasoning concerning the condition of the feoffees was afterwards founded. Where<sup>2</sup> persons have a use or interest in annual rents issuing out of lands, they are to be adjudged in possession and seisin of the rent in such like estate, as they had in the use, in the same manner as if they had a conveyance from those who were seised to the use.

Jointures.

THERE is another clause in this act, which deserves a more particular notice; that is, the provision respecting *jointures*. When the statute had ordained, that those who had the use of land should be thenceforward considered as *seised* of the freehold and inheritance, it was foreseen that the following case might happen: that, immediately upon passing the act, as every man would become *seised*, there would accrue a title of dower to his wife, who, perhaps, had an estate in jointure made to her on her marriage, and so would now enjoy a double provision, namely, her dower and jointure both. It was thought expedient that this should be prevented; and it was accordingly enacted, that where there are lands settled to a man and his wife and the heirs of the husband, or to the husband and wife and the heirs of their two bodies begotten, or the heirs of one<sup>3</sup> of their bodies begotten; or to the husband and wife for

<sup>1</sup> Stat. 3.<sup>2</sup> Ibid. 5.

their

their joint lives, or the life of the wife; or to any person to the use of the husband and wife, or of the wife solely, for her jointure; that, in all these cases, the wife shall not be intitled to dower. But<sup>a</sup>, should she be evicted of all or any part of her jointure, by lawful entry, or action, or even by the discontinuance of her husband, she shall be recompensed by a proportionate endowment out of the residue of his lands. It was also provided<sup>b</sup>, that where such jointure is made after marriage, the widow may refuse it, and demand her dower. This is the only provision made by statute on the subject of jointures; since which, it has at different times been discussed and resolved, what are the requisites to constitute a perfect jointure, and such a one as will bar dower: the intention of all which seems to be this, that the estate given to the wife in jointure should be equally beneficial with her dower, and that there might be no doubt but it was given in lieu of it.

ANOTHER equitable provision was made by the statute of uses, to prevent some untoward consequences which would ensue from the revolution thereby effected. When the person who had the use became seised of the land, his power of devising was of course gone. Now it might happen, that many persons were under covenants made on marriage, or otherwise, to give some estate by will; which, since the statute, could not be performed. This would produce inconvenience, and often injustice; a saving clause was therefore added, to effectuate wills made before, and within a certain period after, passing the act.

INDEED, the effect that the statute of uses had on the power of devising, was one of the most material consequences which followed it. The king's grand object of preventing the infringement his casualties of tenure suffered by devises, was most effectually attained: Henry

<sup>a</sup> Sect. 7.

<sup>b</sup> Sect. 9.



C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

had made good the threat he had held out a few years before, which intimated a design to take away the power of devising entirely. When this restraint was wrought up to its highest pitch, the inconveniencies of it were felt too sensibly for it to endure long; and in a few years it was found necessary to allow, by express statute, the power of devising land, upon the footing that had been proposed by the king some years before.

Statute of wills.

ACCORDINGLY, an act was passed in the thirty-second year of the king's, which, from the governing idea upon this subject, was intitled, *The act of wills, wards, and primer seifins*, whereby a man may devise two parts of his land. The preamble intimates the grace and goodness of the king towards his subjects, in granting them every thing which he in his benevolence could confer; and states, as a reason for making this act, that persons of landed estates could not conveniently maintain hospitality, nor provide for their families, the education of their children, or payment of their debts, out of their goods and moveables. It ordains therefore, that all persons having any manors, lands, tenements, or hereditaments, may give and dispose of them, as well by last will, or testament in writing, as by any act executed in their life-time, in the following manner: If they held in socage, or of the nature of socage, and held none of the king by knight-service, by socage in chief, nor of the nature of socage in chief, nor of any other person by knight-service; or if they held of the king in socage, or of the nature of socage in chief, or of any other person, and none of the king or any other by knight-service, they might devise the whole; with a saving to the king of his *primer seifin*, and reliefs, and fines for alienation, and all other rights and dues belonging to socage-tenure. If they held of the king in chief by knight-service, or of the nature of knight-

o  
c Chap. 1.

service

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

service in chief, they might devise two parts, or as much as should amount to the yearly value of two parts in three, in certainty, and by special divisions, so as it might be known; saving to the king the custody, wardship, and *primer seisin*, of as much of the lands as amounted to the full yearly value of a third, without any diminution, dower, fraud, covin, or cheat, and also his fine for alienation. The same if they held of the king by knight-service in chief, and had also other land of the king, or of any other by knight-service, or otherwise, with the same saving of custody, wardship, *primer seisin*, and fines for alienation. If they held some lands by knight-service, and some in socage, or of the nature of socage, of any common person, then they might devise two parts of those held by knight-service, and all those held in socage, with the same saving. If they held only of the king by knight-service, and not in chief; or held by knight-service, and not in chief of the king; and also of another by knight-service; and also of another held other lands in socage, or of the nature of socage; then they might devise two parts of those held of the king, and two parts of those held of the others by knight-service, and the whole of those held in socage; saving to the king and the other lords, their respective rights and casualties, as beforementioned.

IN all these cases, if the part coming to the king did not amount to a full third, he might take into his hands as much of the other two parts as would make up a clear yearly value of the full third belonging to the king in title of wardship and *primer seisin*, or the like; and the lord was to have a like advantage respecting his third part, for title of wardship: and all persons were to sue their liveries for possessions, reversions, and remainders, and pay reliefs and heriots as before.

IT was declared, that if two or more persons held lands of the king by knight-service, jointly to them and the heirs of one of them; and he who had the inheritance died,

CHAP.  
XXVIII.  
HENRY VIII.

died, leaving his heir within age, the king should have the ward and marriage of the body, notwithstanding the life of the freeholder. Lastly, there was a saving of dower out of the two parts, and to the king his reversion of tenants in jointure or dower, if they should happen to die during the minority of the king's ward.

SUCH is the substance of the first statute of wills. An innovation like this could not be made without giving occasion to an abundance of questions which could not be foreseen by the makers of it; and the present act does not seem to have been framed with all that circumspection which is so manifest in most of the acts of this period. In the course of three years, many of these difficulties had time to make their appearance, and suggest their own remedies. We find in 34 and 35 Hen. VIII. an act passed for correcting such defects, intitled, "The bill concerning the explanation of wills."

It is remarkable, that of the many clauses in the former statute which gave authority to different persons to devise, only two of them required any particular description of estate in the testator; and then the description was ambiguous: these words were *of estate of inheritance*, and they were now declared to mean only an estate in fee-simple. The act goes on to supply the defect of the former act, and declares, that all persons having a sole estate in fee-simple, or being seised in fee-simple, in coparcenary or in common, of manors, lands, tenements, rents, or other hereditaments, in possession, reversion, or remainder, or rents, or services, incident to any reversion or remainder, and having no lands held of the king, or any other by knight-service, might devise the whole. If they held them of the king in chief by knight-service, or of the nature of knight-service in chief, they might devise two parts. To explain a doubt which *Montague*, afterwards chief-justice, confesses he, among many, entertained, that

C H A P.  
XXVIII.

HENRY VIII.

that a will of more than the two parts would<sup>d</sup> be void; it was declared, that a will should be good at least for two parts, although it was made of the whole, or of more than the two parts. The division of the two parts, if not made by the testator, was to be made by commission, granted out of the court of wards and liveries; a court which was newly erected, and will be mentioned hereafter. This was to be executed by the oaths of twelve men, and a return and certificate to be made to the master of the wards and liveries, who was to decide the matter.

If any held of the king by knight-service, and not in chief, or held of any other by knight-service (not being bodies politic and corporate), they might devise two parts; and any will should be good for the two parts, though made for the whole, and for all other lands not held of the king, nor of any other, by knight-service, nor in chief; the division to be made, as in the former case, for as much of the lands as belonged to the king; and for as much as belonged to any other person, by commission issuing out of chancery.

In explanation of the savings, made in the former act, of custodies, *primer seifins*, and the like, respecting the third part, it was declared to apply to such lands and tenements as came by descent, as well in fee-tail as fee-simple, or in fee-tail only, to the heir of the testator, immediately after his death; and any will was to be good, notwithstanding it devised all the fee-simple lands: and if such lands descending either in fee-simple or fee-tail, amounted not to a third, the king, or other lord, was to have as much of the others as would make up the clear yearly value of the third, in respect of his title of wardship, *primer seifin*, and the like. If the king, or other lord, was evicted of his third part, he was to have the deficiency made up to him out of the other two parts.

<sup>d</sup> Plowd.