LETTERS

O F

ACITIZEN

ON

INDIA AFFAIRS.

LONDON:

Printed by GILBERT and PLUMMER, CREE-CRURCH-LANE, LEADERHALL-STREET. 1781.

PREFACE.

HEN I wrote the following Letters, I had not the most distant idea of collecting them together; but some of my triends at the London Tavern and the Queen's-Arms, have assured me that they have been savorably received by the public, and have therefore advised me to publish them.—This I do, in justice to my triend the Proprietor, whose sentiments on India Assars, as contained in some of the letters, may perhaps afford information to those, who may have been missed by the infinuations in the Ninth and Tenth Reports.

A I was

PREFACE.

I was also induced to collect these letters. sogether, from having feen the Ninth Report advertised for sale, and earnestly recommended to all captains of thips and others, who were defirous that their friends in India. should be thoroughly and authentically informed of the state of the Company's Affairs. I do think it the duty of every honest man, to detect and expose, as far as in his power, the fallities contained in that groß libel, which was printed fome time ago as a painphiet, and is still publickly fold under the title of "the Ninth Report of the Select Committee," and has been repeatedly advertised as containing an account of the Hon. Warren Haftings, Efq.

A CITIZEN.

Bread-Street, Sept. 10, 1783,

LETTERS, &c.

LETTER L

Mr. Editor,

I HAVE lately read two letters, addressed to Mr. Burke, by a Major John Scott, and I find, upon enquiry, that this person is the agent of Mr. Hastings; and that Mr. Hastings has hitherto bassed every attempt made by Parliament, by Ministers, and by the Court of Directors, to remove him from the government of Bengal. I must confess to you, Mr. Editor, that I looked up to Mr. Burke, for many years, as to a superior being—His eloquence, his learning, his philanthropy, and his disinterestedness, were unquestionable with a great majority of the nation, as well as with myself. His conduct in office

last year, was not, however, quite upon a par with his former professions, and the eagetness with which he has pursued his own interest, and the interest of his relations, since the memorable and disgraceful coalition took place, has induced me to believe that Mr. Burke is at least as frail a mortal as myself.

I know nothing of Mr. Hastings, and I believed Mr. Burke was actuated by the purest motives, in opposing that gentleman. He represented him as the author of the Maratta war; the cause of the invasion of the Carnaric; and, of all the subsequent miseries and distresses, to which that unhappy country has so long been subject. I was present too. in the gallery of the House of Commons, when he to folermly pledged himfelf to God, the House of Commons, and his country, to prove Mr. Haftings a most notorious delinquent; and when he laid the world would be aftonished at a Report he was soon to bring forwards. I was so much affected, Mr.Editor. with Mr. Burke's eloqueot declamation, that I really wondered how any fee of men could be so mad as to protect, for a moment, such a shocking character as this Mr. Haltings appeared to be. I watched with imparience the publication of Mr. Burke's Report; I read it with attention, but without finding proof of Mr. Hastings' delinquency; shough, so be sure, it did appear to me that he had carried the power of patronage to an unwarrantable extent, in one instance, as Mr. Burke stated it. The appendix I had not then an apportunity of seeing, as it was not published.

When this Mr. Scott's letters came out, I fent immediately to Sewell's for the pamphlet; I read them, and I must declare to you, Mr. Editor, if what Major Scott advances is founded in truth, he has most completely exculpated Mr. Haftings; but if he has milrepresented any circumstance, I hope he will be purished with the utmost severity of the law. One point I can vouch for, that his quotations from the Appendix, which I have lately read, are very exact; and I wish, Mr. Editor, the conduct of his Majesty's ministers would, at all times, bear so severe a ferutiny as the conduct of Mr. Hastings has done, particularly in the article of the expendisure of public money.

I really conceived, Mr. Editor, that Mr. Haftings had sent Mr. Scott to England, with a view of securing him in the possession of the government of Bengal, by management, a word of extensive signification; but I find, upon enquiry, that Mr. Hastings has invariably pressed for a decision, without expressing much solicitude as to what it may be i and that Mr. Scott's sole object has been to defend the character of Mr. Hastings from the ungenerous attacks of men, who are eagerly waiting for appointments to the Supreme Council of India. I find too, upon enquiry, Mr. Editor, that fuch is the opinion Mr. Hastings's constituents have of his abilities, integrity, and honor, (and the Proprietors of India Stock are as independent men as any in this kingdom) that although the late ministers were against him; although the Rockingham party, (formerly his firmest friends) and thirteen Directors, including the Chairman and his Deputy, were against him, yet their united and strenuous endeavours, aided by Treasury letters, could only produce seventy-five.votes, out of five hundred and four, for his dismission; four hundred and twenty-eight voting for his continuance. A majority

majority so considerable in his favor, must pecessarily have had great weight, and will, I dare say, induce every Member of the House of Commons to examine and judge for himself in future; and not, as I am atraid was the case last year, depend upon the judgment, the integrity, and the impartiality of Mr. Edmund Burke. Let me again observe to you, Mr. Editor, that if Major Scott has dared to misrepresent a single circumstance in his letters, he ought to be prosecuted with the utmost severity of the law; if he has not, what reparation can the author of the Ninth Report make, for wantonly traducing the character of an absent man?

Broad-street, July 19, 1783.

A CITIZEN.

LETTER H.

Mr. EDITOR,

THANK you for your speedy insertion of my letter of the 29th ult.—and I feel so well pleafed with being in print, for the first time these fifty years, that I may perhaps trouble you in future. I affure you. Mr. Editor, my attention has been very strongly drawn to the Ninth Report of the Select Committee. Our Parliamentary orators have represented East Indians as little better than Devils upon earth, and I expected to find some proofs of the delinquency of the man Mr. Burke describes, as the first and most notorious of these plunderers: I mean Mr. Hastings. There is but one accusation against him in the Ninth Report, which appeared to bear hard upon him; and that is what I hinted at in my last letter,—giving a contract to the son of Mr. Sulivan, the late Chairman of the Court of Directors, upon improper terms.—To be fure, Mr. Editor, it this could have been proved, it would have funk Mr. Haftings in the opinion of every honest man; but, to my furprise and satisfaction, I find this transaction to fully explained, so completely justified from authentic records (unless the Appendix decrives me) that every honest man on our side Termple Bar, will pronounce Mr. Hastings exculpated from the charge of wasting the public money for private purposes.

I have a very great respect, Mr. Editor. for our most excellent Constitution. - But I do think it a misfortune, that it should be strictly constitutional for a Committee of the House of Commons to represent a man in high office, as a very base and unworthy character, and then to let the matter drop altogether; -for in this Ninth Report it is obferved, " That the Committee do not bring " charges, though their Reports may furnish " matter for charges." And further, "That " they are not obliged to report all they hear " or know upon a subject."-" That it is at " the diferetion of the party accused, to re-" ply, or not, hereafter."-Why, what a doctrine B

doctrine is this, Mr. Editor? What honest man, in future, can sleep in peace in his bed. who has had any transactions with the public? He may be abused and scandalized, his-character may be attacked, to answer a private purpose, as was really the case with Mr. Sulivan and Sir William James; -and, after all, a Secretary of State may get up in the House, and fay, the determination of his innocence must be postponed to a future day; by these means leaving the malicious part of mankind to draw conclusions of the guilt of gentlemen who were ready and eager to prove their innocence. Now in the case of Mr. Hastings. to be fure, any man who reads the Ninth Report, will think him guilty: but let the same man read the Appendix, and Mr. Scott's letters, and he will pronounce, as I do, that Mr. Hastings has been basely, and scandaloufly treated.

I have seen, Mr. Editor, in several of your papers, and indeed in other papers too, an account of the appointment of one William Burke, Esq; to the office of Receiver of the Balances due from the Company to the Crownin India. You have been so accurate as to

thate the different orders that were iffued from thence, and the periods at which they were iffued. Still, however, this affair appeared to me fo extraordinary, that if could not give credit to it. That a man, who like Mr. Edmund Burke, had talked for many years of the necessity of public acconomy; who had even attacked the Civil Lift; who interdered in the domestic arrangements of our most gracious Sovereign, God bleis him 1 who had brought in a bill to abolish sundry offices, by which very many worthy families are reduced to beggary and want: That fuch a man, Mr. Editor, should have created an useless office for his cousin, just to put three thousand pounds a year into his pocket, and to take so much from the state, was, to me, abiolutely incredible! I spoke to a brother citizen yesterday, a very honest, worthy man, who is in the Direction. I asked him if it was true, that William Burke, Etg., was appointed Mr. Edmund Burke's Deputy in India; and if it was true that no tuch appointment did exist, in the time of that protuse Minister, Lord North, as Mr. Burke formerly described him? He told me, "It cer-" tainly is to; no fuch appointment did exist

"in Lord North's time. I have examined the Records of the Company, and I find that William Burke, Esq; was appointed, by the Lords of the Treasury, Deputy to Edmund Burke, Esq; at the recommendation of the said Edmund Burke, Esq; and that this appointment was notified to us by Richard Burke, Esq; a few days before the death of the Marquis of Rockingham; and I can further tell you, my friend, that the appointment is worse than useless—it is mischievous."—Really, Mr. Editor, I can find no instance like this, of a waste of public money for private purposes, by Mr. Hastings,

Broad-firset,

A CITIZEN.

LETTER III.

Mr. EDITOR.

A Serious and attentive perufal of some late publications, excited my curiosity in a very great degree, to be fully informed of the conduct of our great men towards Mr. Hastings. Amongst us old fashioned folks in the city, he is a man, whose extraordinary and persevering character has attracted our particular attention. All people allow him to be a despiler of money. I never heard of him foliciting a Peerage, or even the title of a Baronet of Great-Britain from any Minister. He has neither family nor parliamentary interest, nor has his agent Mr. Scott, attempted to force himself into the Lower House, that he may meet Mr. Burke upon equal terms. Our city oracles say, that Mr. Haftings possesses very great abilities, with uncommon application to business; and my worthy

worthy friend the Director, tells me, that even his enemies in the India-House, allow him to be a found politician, an able statesman, and a skilful financier. He added, even the croakers, who would persuade us all was loft, have held down their heads abashed and ashamed, since we received the accounts of our late successes, and the Marrata peace. I lately asked a friend of mine, who has four votes, and great interest in the Proprietary, what would have been the consequence had Mr. Hastings been recalled in 1782? We should have lost India, he replied; a new Governor could not have raifed the supplies, and our negociations with the Marratas must have been suspended. As you wish to be acquainted with our politics in Leadenhallstreet, I will give you a short history of them. When Lord North laid violent hands upon the Company, in 1773, Mr. Hastings was the Governor of Bengal; it was thought prudent to continue him, but two Gentlemen powerfully connected, were fent out in the Council, and upon the breaking out of the disputes in Bengal, Lord North and his friends determined to remove Mr. Haltings; they procured a majority of one vote amonest

amongst the Directors, several of whom enjoved Government contracts, to second their views; but the Proprietors overfet the attempts of the Ministry, and in this virtuous struggle, were even assisted by the Duke of Richmond, and all the good men of the Rockingham party, who used to say in those days, that the East-India Company ought not to be managed by John Robinson. You and I, my feend, have lived to see strange alterations. The two powerful men, General Clavering and Colonel Monson died; then it was that Lord North thewed a defire to fupport Mr. Hastings; and tho' he had taken much pains to remove him, in 1776, yet in #780, and 1781, he was the very man who proposed him to be continued at the head of the Government. See, my friend, how mateers are carried on in this filly country; for though Mr. Haftings had committed no crime, yet his former friends, the Rockinghams, deserted him the moment Lord Northgook him up! Thus matters went on till March, 1782. You realember with what advantages the Rockingham people then came in, and in how light a light many of us in the city held Edmund Burke, the pariegyrift of that party. We were tired of the American war; we heard of nothing but defeats in all quarters. Many of our friends were for far imposed upon, and led away by the inflammatory speeches of Mr. Fox and Mr. Burke, that we believed Lord North to be the most extravagant, abandoned, and flagitious Minister that this country had ever been cursed with. To be fure in those days, we never thought these three men could kiss and be friends in less than a year; so ignorant we citizens are of high life! -- We gave the new men credit for every thing they did. and every thing they said; even Lord Redney's recal and Mr. Burke's attack upon him, did not excite the popular refentment; what then could the friends of Mr Hastings expect? An absent man; no family or parliamentary interest; the salary of his office twenty-five thousand pounds a year; a profpect opening of further removals; for Mr. Hastings once disposed of, Mr. Hornby, Mr. Wheler, and Mr. Macpherson would soon have followed: The falaries of these Gentlemen amounting to fixty one thousand pounds a year, independent of the great power and patronage

patronage annexed to their offices. Think my friend, what a temptation to the many needy dependents of our great men, who were themselves, most of them, at least, in the greatest distress, and in debt to every one that would trust them! Such a prospect was, indeed, enough to allure almost every gambler at Brooks's, to the standard of the Minister. Two Committees fitting, the virtuous Edmund Burke, and the immaculate General Richard Smith, the leading members of one of them. Popular prejudices strong; what then had the Ministry to fear? Victory was secure; they had only to six the mode of attack. To be fure there were tome members of the cabinet, to their eternal honor be it spoken, who thought the long and faithful services of Mr. Hastings, his spirit, and decision during the war, his relief of the Carnatic, and his wonderful exertions in every part, descrived a better return than a disgraceful and ignominious removal; but they were borne down by the weight of the Rockingham party, and compelled to submit. The temerity and prefumption of Mr. Haftings's enemies did, what his great merits would not otherwise have enabled him to do it lest him -

C

to fave India. The Ministers ordered the Directors to do, what the Proprietors in the end would not permit them to perform. If a Bill had been produced in May, 1782, Mr. Burke and his friends might have hurried it through in a month; but they had so completely filenced Lord North, who fearcely appeared, except in defence of Mr. Rigby, that they did not conceive any body of men would be hardy enough to dispute their pleasure, when it came forth in the form of a vote of the House of Commons. When this vote did pals, though the fate of India depended upon the wildom of it, there were fewer Members present, as our Epsom friend tells me, than generally attend a common Turnpike Bill. Mr. Johnstone told them then, that the vote would be nugatory if the Company differed from the House in opinion, as to the merits of Mr. Haftings; but Mr Fox, and Mr. Burke, in the height of their power and popularly, treated this wholeforne hint with difregard. " Who dare dispute a vote " of this House?" was the laconic reply, and the ministerial fiat was fent to the India House, where thirteen Directors, including the Chairs, vere obedient to the mandate. But now was the time, my friend, for Mr. For and Mr. Burke to find, that they were not quite so powerful on this side Temple-bar as at Westminster. The independent Proprietors who owed Mr. Hastings protection and support, in return for long service, tried sidelity, and sound integrity, in difficult and tempting situations, were determined to judge for themselves. The result you know, and in your next letter ask Mr. box, Mr. Burke, and the thirteen Directors of their party, it they do not think the Proprietors performed goo firvice to their country and the East India Company, when they preserved Mr. Hastings in the government of Bengal.

These, Mr. Editor, are my neighbour's sentiments, and his words, as nearly as I can recollect them. You shall have my opinion in another letter.

Broad firer, Augo 4, 1"53

A CITIZEN

LETTER IV.

MR. EDITOR,

TN reading Mr. Scott's preface to his letters, I was a good deal struck, by the account he has given of Mr. Buske's moving for papers of fo old a date as 1776, to be laid before the House of Commons, with a view of making the world suppole that Mr. Hastings had about that time been quity of fome act of delinquency, or at least that a discovery of former mildeeds had then been made. We all of us know, Mr. Editor, how stundily Mr. Burke stood up in the House of Commons, in defence of two men, whom four great lawyers had thought proper objects of a public profecution, and whom the late miniftry had folemnly difmissed from their offices. The reasons assigned by Mr. Burke, for restoring them to their stations were " because delinquency had not been proved against

gainst them," and " that it would be hard to punish men unconvicted of any crimes:"-Now, Mr. Editor, it was nitural for me to enquire particularly what prounds Mr. Burke had for supposing Mr. Hastings to be a delinquent, nay, for afferting that he was one? Either thele grounds must be very strong, I faid to myself, or Mr. Burke must be a very bad man - for in one instance he restores men to responsible offices, against whom there were the strongest suspicions of mal practices, and in another case, he politively pronounces a Gentleman in high office, a notorious delinguent, p evious to his even calling for the proofs. Indeed, Mr. Ed tor, the proofs ought to be very strong to justify Mr. Burke, in using such language. Mr. Scott has already detected the falle statement of the opinion of the feveral lawyers who were confulted, and he has proved from their own words, that inflead of adviling a profecution, as the Ninth Report states, they actually did the very re-In looking over the appendix, I was much thruck with the opinion of John Smith of Drapers Hall, the Company's Solicitor, a shrewd, sensible, long-headed man; and if he, Mr. Editor, gave such an opinion in 1776,

as I now copy from the Appendix to the Ninth Report, No. 111, A. what, I ask you, and through your means I defire to ask Mr. Burke, can any Member of Parliament make of these charges in the Autumn or Winter of 1783?

"Upon the whole of this evidence, I canso not bring myself to think, that there is " sufficient ground for the Company to com-" mence a fuit against Mr. Haltings, for re-46 covery of those sums to which my obser-" vations are confined; I mean all the fums " flated, except the lack and an half upon " which the opinion of counsel has been " taken. The proof is exceedingly con-" fuled; but when I confider the eagernels " the majority of the council have shewn to " establish those charges; the extraordinary " measures they purjued for the purpose; the " very easy mode of proving the facts if "true; the very flender proof (if any that " is given, the observation arising upon the " face of the proof, and the flat contra-" diction of Muny Begum; these various " circumstances, on my mind, amount al-" most to an absolute conviction, that the story ii camnot te count be true. If the fact had been true, " the persons mentioned by Nundcomar, as " those through whose hands the first four " articles were paid, might have been ex-" armned, and they must have proved the " facts; but it does not appear, that any one " of them was called upon, although most 44 of them were resident in Calcutta. This " proof would have been easy and certain: " if any thing had been given for procuring " the Naibship for Goordass, he must have " known it; but he was not asked a question " upon that subject: The only witnesses " that attempt any proof are Nundcomar. ed and his fon in-law Goordafs. As to Nund-" comar, if his bid character was not too well established, not to deferve credit, the " manner in which he tells this flory would " deftroy his credit. In the outlet, he avows ** making those charges against Mr. Hastings, only because he feared complaints would " be made ag unit his felf, and because he " was anory at Mr. Haftings thewing diffe-" spect to him, and favour to others. * states the mosey all to have been paid " in August, September, October, and Nowember 1772; but the letter produced by " him

** him, in confirmation of this story, is not pretended to be received till 1773, long after the payments are pretended to be made; yet the letter imports the request of a loan, to make a payment of One Hundred Thousand Rupees. If Nundcomar had either paid or engaged to pay such large sums for Muny Begum, no doubt in the letter, he is supposed to have written to her, he would have informed her of it. I cannot help thinking that this letter was forgued.

Here, Mr. Editor, I have given you John Smith's opinion. The fentiments of the counsellors were equally honorable for Mr. Hastings. The matter dropped; yet at this distance of time, Mr. Burke revives it. Indeed, indeed, Mr. Editor, these are shameful proceedings. Is this to be one of the blessed effects of the coalition, that Lord North shall assist Mr. Burke in the persecution of so great a character as Mr. Hastings? I cannot think so favorably of Lord North's conduct as Mr. Scott thoes; but I venture to prophecy, Mr. Editor, that Edmund Burke will be as unsuccessful in attacking the character.

racter and honest fame of Mr. Hastings, as he was in defending the men whom he reflored some time ago to their offices.

When I fee such scandalous doings going forward, I cannot forbear speaking out. If I go to 'Change, to the London Tavern, or the Queen's Arms, I meet nothing but long faces: that we are a ruined nation all men agree, and if Lord North, by his measures, has not brought us to this fad pass, Mr. Fox and Mr. Burke, by their opposition, have done it. Who would have thought, Mr. Editor. that after such bitter enmity, these men could have joined, for no other purpose than to share amongst themselves, and their dependants, the little that is left! Here we fee Lord North with places for himfelf, his fons, cousins, and others, to a great amount. Then again we behold Mr. Burke with places of old flanding, or newly created, in the poffession of himself, his son, brother, and coufins, to the enormous amount, as I have feen in print, of 25,500l. a year. Then again Mr. Fox with his connections at the Treasury. Admiralty, &c .- Thus dividing the patronage of England amongst them, and not con-D

tented

tensed with that, we have seen Mr. Burke attacking in a shameful manner, (as every man in the city allows, even the sew friends that are lest to Edmund Burke allow it) a man, who amidst all the struggle for places and power in this abandoned country, has proceeded in a spirited and honorable discharge of his duty, and has had the glory to save India before he knew of the peace in Europe: That Mr. Burke from interest, passion, envy, and disappointment, should behave as he has done, it is not to be wondered at; but that Lord North should act the part of Noll Bluff to this Sir Joseph, is indeed most extraordinary!

A CITIZEN.

Broad-fireet, 6th Augist 1783.

LETTER V

MR. EDITOR.

Direct yefterday with a friend in Surry, who has a feat in parliament, and in his parlour window lay the 10th, report of the Select Committee. " What the duce!" exclaimed I-" another report from that in-" dustrious, impartial, and indeferigable bo-" dy !"-" Yes," replied the Member, " and " a very severe one too."-" I think quite " the contrary," faid my friend, the Proprictor, who was present: "I have read nt with attention, but can find nothing in it to the disadvantage of Mr. Hastings: ic will doubtless be completely answered; but as I have some little knewledge of India matters, picked up by a constant attendance at General Courts, reading all India pamphlets, and having, for a Bengul EOB.

correspondent, a very intelligent young man, my nephew William; I could not read the Tenth Report without putting the reflections, that occurred at the time, upon paper, and they are at the service of my friend the Citizen, if he chuses to make them the subject of his two next letters."—To be sure, Mr. Editor, I readily accepted his offer, and I hope the following account will be as acceptable to your numerous readers, as, I confess to you, it was to me.

Mr. Hastings has said, and the truth of the observation will strike every man who attentively reads the Tenth Report, That there is no proposition which the wit of man can devise, which the wit of man cannot find plausible, and perhaps even just cause of censure, by a sale and partial review of it; and I, of all men, may be allowed to dread this treatment, after having invariably experienced it in every instance of my public life.

The Tenth Report is in fact the sprech made by General Richard Smith, at a Court of Proprietors, on the 24th of October last, enlarged enlarged and improved. I remember the-General told us then, that though we would not hear him, he would take care to be heard in another place; and I was prefent when he made his complaint in Parliament, that he was interrupted by clamour by the Proprietors, though urluckily he forgot to flate that he was heard for upwards of an hour with great attention; it is true, the Court would not patiently attend to a fecond Philippic from him, on the same day. The Report states what the General then said, that Mr. Haftings went up to Benares, with a view of getting fifty lacks of rupees, for the Company, from Chevt Sing; but being difappointed, he perfuaded the Vizier to seize the treasures of his mother, for the Company's service, under the pretence of his having levied troops for Cheyt Sing at the time of his revolt, through her eunuchs, Jewar Ally Cawn, and Behar Ally Cawn." The General ludicroully compared these men, and their efforts, to Pachierotti and Tenducci, exciting a revolt in London --- This is the outline of General Richard Smith's speech on that day of triumph for Mr. Haltings, and it is the outline of the Tenth Report too. In the

Report, as in the speech, there are many artful appeals to the passions and prejudices of the moment; but the suspected compiler of it has at last talked himself out of all credit. Indeed his professions and his actions are proved to have been so far at variance, that men will, in future, examine for themselves, and not take for matter of fact, the sublime rapsodies of the person who protected two public defaulters, and profecuted Lord Rodney and Mr. Hastings; -- who from being the calumnistor, is become the panygerist of Lord North,-and who earnestly recommending, economy when out of place, was the person to folicit the establishment of a finecure office, when in place, for the emolument of a near relation. The people of England having, by fad misfortune, recovered their fober senses, and seeing how miserably they were disappointed when they trusted to the flowery professions of Mr. Burke; perhaps the following plain narration of authentic facts may now be opposed, with success, to the splendid misrepresentations contained in the Tenth Report. I find, by my nephew William's letters, that the late Vizier died in the month of January.

January, 1775, and that he wasfuppored to leave behind him above two million flerling, in specie and jewels, besides other valuable effects. These, as is the custom of the East, were lodged for security in the Zenana, or womens aparaments; and by that means fell into the hands of the Begum, the wite of the Vizier. When Affolph ul Dowlsk fucceeded his father, he found a large army greatly in arrears, clamprous, and mucinous for want of pay, and he himfelf deprived of his father's treasures, which of right belonged to him, was unable to latisfy their just demands. The presence of the English army faved his life more than once. ---- Frequent applications were made to the old woman, the mother of Affolph ul Dowlah, for his father's treasures, but without success. In October, 1775, Mr. John Bristow went to Pyzabad, and he writes to the Supreme Council. Appendix, No. 14" " that in explaining of particularly to the Begum, in writing, * how impossible it was for the Nabob to e conduct his government without further " affiftance. I further infinuated to her, that " the treasures she possessed, were the trea-" fores of the flats, as the had not fucceeded

" to them by any legal right, and that they
had been hoarded up to provide against an
mergency."

After some negociation, the old woman consented to pay thirty lacks of rupees, on condition Mr. Briftow would engage, on the part of the Company, that no further demands should be made upon her.-This he was obliged to confent to without waiting for instructions from the Supreme Council; and they approved the measure, since it was absolutely necessary. There were several disputes between the Begum's Eunuchs and the Vizier's Minister, relative to the nature of the effects which were paid in part of the thirty lacks; and the Begum herself wrote a very violent letter to Mr. Hastings, on part of which Mr. Francis makes the following obervation, " I cannot conceive the (the Be-" Nabob's government. In a country where women are not allowed a free agency, in the most trifling domestic affairs, it feems " extraordinary that this lady should presume " to talk of appointing Ministers, and go-" verning kingdoms. Upon the whole, I " look

look upon the letter as not of her writing,
who probably cannot read, but as the composition of some of her servants; perhaps
of the Eusuch who brings it."

The Begum's complaints were fent to Mr. Bristow, and his observations upon them with perhaps throw as much light upon the real character of the Begum, and her Eunuchs, as the committee's reflections on Leutenant Colonel Harpur's evidence, who quitted Bengat ten years ago, and before the death of Sujah Dowlah, which event made the Eunuchs of consequence in Oude.

Mr. Bristow says, "In making this complaint, the Begum forgets the improper conduct of her own servants, who have him therto preserved a setal independence of the Nabob's authority, heat the officers of his government, and refused obedience to his Perecuannabi.—The Begum's Eunuchs did industriously spread reports of Murteza Cawn's ill intentions, to break into the Zenana, and se ze all the effects and money that could be sound,—The Begum had great interest in the late Vizier's time.

the fole management in the hands of Murteza Cawn, which disgusted both her and
ther adherents, particularly their Eunuchs,
who have their views in keeping the wealth in
the Begum's possession. The principal, Bahar
Ally Cawn enjoys her entire considence." Mr.
Bristow sends the Supreme Council, with these
remarks, a letter from the Begum to him,
which concludes thus, "Cause the 56 lacks
to be restored to me; do not you then take
any part in the affair, and then let Assolph
ul Dowla, and Musteza Cawn, in whatever
manner they are able, take sums of money from
me. They will then see the consequences."

You shall have the remainder of my triend's remarks, Mr. Editor, in another letter.

Broad-fireet, Ang. 10, 178;

A CITIZEN.

LETTER VI.

Mr. EDITOR,

Now fend you the remainder of my friend the Proprietor's account.

"The agreement between the Vizier and his mother, to which Mr. Bristow, on the part of the Company, was guarantee, was executed on the 15th of October, 1775, but it was not until the 7th of July, 1776, that she paid the balance, or gave assignments, and then the Vizier was obliged to submit to a considerable deduction from the sum specified, in the original treaty. And Mr. Bristow observed to the supreme Council, "the Be"gum can make no great claim on the Company for protection, when she berself has infringed the conditions of the treaty, of which
they were the guarantees." In the same let-

ter, dated ad of January, 1776, Mr. Bristow says, "How far she (the Begum) may be " better affected to the English than the Na-"bob, I leave to the confideration of the Honorable Board, from the following fact. 46 On the conclusion of the treaty between " the Company and the Nabob, the Begum 48 blamed his Excellency very highly, and " insisted on his not ceding Benares, offering " of berself a sum of money in lieu of it."-Mr. Briftow writes to the Begum in reply to a letter of complaint from her. "With re-" spect to your Highness jaghiers, the Nabob " agrees to one method, which is, that you " give them up entirely, and initead thereof receive a monthly stipend, through the et channel of any person you choose to fix on; er for the Nabob observed to me, that two " rulers were too much for one country " this proposal, the Nabob is definues of pro-" moting your Highnels' quiet, tranquillity, " and fatisfaction. The Nabob fays that in ** this case you will have no vexation, and " will conftantly receive your ftipend with-" out trouble."

This extract proves that the idea of refurning the Begum's jaghier was entertained as early as 1776 by the Vizier, and not, as is infinuated in the report, mentioned to him, for the first time in 1781, by Mr. Hastings.

The Vizier however could not procure his mother's confent, to accept an annual fura in lieu of her jaghier, and her Eunuchs were in possession of very great power and influence, till the time of Cheyt Sing's revolt. Heractivity in his behalf, is proved beyond the possibility of a doubt-I ler disaffection, and the intrigues of her Eunuchs were equally well proved. Was not Mr. Hastlings, under such circumstances, strictly justifiable in withdrawing our guarantee, and by that means enabling the Vizier to possels himself of those treasures which were his undoubted right. and which were to be applied to the prefting exigencies of the East-India Company? However pathetically Mr. Edmund Burke may talk of these matters, his pretended humanity will no longer deceive in the City ; and my nephew, William, affored me in one of his last letters, that we owe the preserva-

tion of India, to the confiderable fum of feven hundred thousand pounds, which we received from the Vizier in February 1782: that he could not have paid this money, execept from the hoarded treasures of his deceased father, and those ought to have been in his possession many years ago, since the Begum, had not the smallest right to retain them. This is the true state of a transaction, which the ingenuity of the compiler of the tenth report, has turned and twifted for as to bewilder a man of common understand. ing. An old lady immured by custom in a feraglio for life, was permitted by us, to retain a large treasure, the property of her fon; The employs this money, and her exteninfluence, in opposition to the British government-She is compelled in confequence to relinquish the treasure - no further violence is offered, nor are her Eunuchs ill treated, though well deferving an exemplary punishment. Least the term Eunuch should inspire my worthy fellow citizens with the idea of a poor, miserable, squeaking, Italian ballad finger, I will copy an account of a diffurbance excited by an Eunuch in Oude,

as it was fent to me by my nephew William, in 1776.

Cojec Bussaun a complete Eunuch, was the favorite general of Sujah Dowlah, and very well known to General Smith and Sir Robert Barker. His influence at the court of Oude was confiderably lessened by the death of Sulah Dowlah, though he was continued by his fuccessor at the head of a large body of his forces, He was jealous of Murteza Cawn, the favorite minister of Assolph ul Dowlah, and was supposed to have entered into engagements with Saudut Ally and the Begum, for the deposition of the Nabob, and the destruction of his minister. In December, 1775, Cojee Bullaun, according to a preconcerted plan, invited the minister, Murteza Cawn, to an etertainment with feveral of his principal friends. The Company drank hard, the dancing girls were called in, and, after a little time, Murteza Cawn, the prime minifter, was carried in a state of intoxication into another room, and there inhumanly murdered .- After perpetrating this shocking act, Cojee Baffaun, with his tword drawn, rushed into the presence of the Vizier, and was advancing

vancing awards his person, either to seize him, or to put him to death. - Buffaun had drank hard himfelf, and betrayed fuch strong thanks of confusion in his countenance, that the Vizier with great presence of mind called out " Will no one rid me of this regitor?".... twenty fwords were drawn, and in an inflant Cojee Buffaun was cut to pieces. Saudus Ally fled with a few of his confidential sttendants, nor were the parties concerned in the plot ever discovered; so far is clear, Murteza Cawn, the Vizier's prime minister was murdered by the Eunuch, Coice Buffaun, who was himself put to death by the Vizier's attendants.- I relate this fact to prove that Euruchs in India are bold, intriguing, and enterprising men, nor was it right in General-Smith to attempt to millead us, by comparing them to Pathioretti and Tenducci; he knew better, though some of us perhaps did dut.

In the tenth report there are some remarks, upon a transaction, which I thought could not be related to the discredit of Mr. Haltings. He received a present of ten lacks of rupees from the Vizier and his ministers, and told

sold the Company of it. He received other prefence, 10 the amount of nine lacks more, making in all one handred and ninery charter land pounds sterling. This large fum he has paid into the Company's treasury. He does, mus even touch a farthing of the interest of it, instead of retaining the principal, which would have enabled him to vye with the gamblers at Brooks's, to be ranked as a companion for princes of the blood royal of France, and to have procured himself and a few of his criends, lears in a certain affembly. at the next general elettion, by brivery. The compiler of the tenth report has had wit and ingenuity enough to find out that " when " thele facts become kaywn ip ludie, it is to 46 be feared that the fervants of the Company " will be inclined to lessen their reverence 44 and respect to those acts of parliagrens " which were made to refligin them in put-" lust of wealth; and that they will be apt " to reconcile to their own minds, any deviaet tion from a strict ubed,ence, by quoting " the example of the Governor-General, as ." a rule by which they may guide their gwo " conduct i"

I conceive it impossible that a transaction so honorable to Mr. Hattings in every point of view, can operate to the disadvantage of the state, unless indeed, the servants of the Company feeing that a man who has ferved faithfully and honeftly for above three and thirty years, and when he has every reason to believe his service is drawing towards a close. candidly declares that he has received prefents to the amount of one hundred and ninety thousand pounds, and accounts to the public treasury for every shilling of the principal and interest, if such a man, instead of receiving from his constituents a part of this sum, a life interest in a part of it, or even the most trifling mark of approbation, shall have the mortification to be abused for to singular an act of difinterestedness and integrity; if this transaction shall be milrepresented without doors, and be the subject of a parliamentary Report; if that Report shall be presented to the House of Commons by a man who served in Bengal four years and seven months only, in a station inferior to Mr. Hastings, but who was permitted by the Court of Directors to retain a prefent to the amount of thirty-fix thousand

thousand pounds, although he actually made the Company pay for all the prefents he made in his public character, to the amount of above fixteen thousand pounds, and retained in his own hands those he received in return; if, I say, the servants of the Company observe, how unequally rewards, and commendations are conferred upon different men, as the spirit of party, rather than that of justice prevails; then, and then only, can this honorable act of the Governor-General operate to the disadvantage of the state, by inducing the Company's fervants in India to believe, that no rectitude of conduct can forcen them from the malignant invectives of party malice, patriotic spleen, and interested milrepresentation."

These, Mr. Editor, are my friend's remarks, I will give you my homely sentiments in another Letter.

Bredd-ftreet, August 11, 1783.

A CITIZEN.

LETTER VIC

Mr. Editor,

Figord friend and neighbour, the Proprietor, called upon me last night, with some further remarks upon the Tench Report, which I now transcribe, not doubting but that you and your Readers will be amused and instructed by them.

"I cannot help noticing a very curious affertion contained in the Tenth Report:
"That the decision of the Court of Directors, as to the ten lacks of Rupees given by the Vizier and his Minister to Mr. Histings was very unjust." It appears to me that these sive or six virtuous Reporters (not positissing among them a shilling of property in India-stock) would persuade the Court of Directors to return this money, because they represent the Vizier to be a vassal of the Company's

pany's. Surely neither the Chairman, Sir Henry Fletcher, nor any of the Directors, will permit one or two men behind the curtain to manage the affairs of the East-India Comouny. If any person should propose, either in a Court of Directors, or in a Committee of Correspondence, to fend orders to the Governor-General and Council, to pay back these ten lacks of Rupees to the Visier, we thould be at no loss to guess from what quarher that person, bringing forward such a propolition, was advited and directed; but should a fingle Director adopt the ideas of the Select Committe, after having given orders feveral months ago upon the subject, which were highly proper (because they do not preclude the East-India Company from rewarding hereafter the important fervices of Mr. Haftings) I hope there will be firmnels enough in a majority of the Directors to refift the propolition. If we are to adope this wild idea of Mr. Burke, let us act confiftentby. That gentleman's humanity is so fub-Pervient to his party views, that from him partial justice only can be expedied: but let ine alk General Richard Smith, if the Emperor Shaw Allum, the King of the world,

was not a vaffal of theCompany, and as poor as any king upon earth, when the General accepted presents from him? Why not require the General to return them all? I believe the eash would be very acceptable to his Majesty, for my nephew William, writes me, that from the time he quitted the English. he has suffered the greatest distress. Why not order the Company to pay his arrears of tribute, for we have some patriots amongst us, who fay we had no right to withhold it? Why not pay back to the poor diffressed unhappy Nabob of Arcot, some of the money that the Company has taken from him? and why not call upon many English gentlemen to refund the prefents they have received from him? Let us, for God's sake, be amused with no more of these rapsodies Mr. Hastings is not a man to make use of unfair means to procure presents to himself a he has too much spirit, and too great a disregard for money to obtain it by improper means; if the Company thinks proper to retain every shilling of the money received, amounting to 190,000 for their own use, let them do it; they have a right to it; but let not the ingenuity of Edmund Burke perfuede

Grade the Chairman or any other Director of his parry, that we shall be disgraced, if we do not pay to the Vizier and his Minister, the sen lacks of Rupces which they gave to Mr. Haftings in S-prember, 178. I believe there is not a more diffressed Prince in India, than the Raja of Tanjore; why not call upon the family of the Burkes to refund all that they have received from him? and, to complete with equity, this fystem of reformation, let us fend transports from this country, let us embark every Englishman in India, and lee us in future appear there in the character of traders only. If we are not already fick of the Utopian schemes of Edmund Burke, let us give the world a fure proof of our moderation. Having loft America, let us abandon India. I remember the time when General Richard Smith possessed a very large property in India-stock, and when he wished to be thought the protector of the rights of the Fast-India Company. He has now fold out even his fingle vote, has declared we are not folvent, and has exerted himself by every possible means in his power, both in and out of Parliament, to remove Mr. Haftings .-Surely

Surely, neither Mr. Fox, or any other Minister will think of desiring the Directors to employ a person in suture, who has been so hostile to us."

Here, Mr. Editor, I have given you mr friend's account at length.—I am a blunt citizen, but in my opinion it is very curious that the Select Committee should fix upon Mr. Hastings's presents as the only ones that ought to be returned. To be fure, Mr. Editor, it is very generous of Mr. Burke and General Smith, to dispose of other people's money as they do, I wish I could see them give up a little of their own with all my heart: a plain man like me must wonder how this money business can be a proper object of enquiry for a Committee instructed to enquire into the state of the judicature in Bengal, and how the British possession India may be best governed."——I he Court of Proprietors are much obliged to these gentlemen for their laudable zeal, and I hope, in return, they will appoint William Burke, Esq; the Tanjore agent, a Supreme Counsellor; and General Smith, to the gavernment of Bombay.

As you may not hear from me again for a month or two, Mr. Editor, I cannot avoid copying, for the edification of your readers, a few lines from a very extraordinary book just published, entitled, The Life of Mr. Fox,-" But the public was peculiarly charmed and " ftruck with admiration, by the generous " and difinterested patriotism of Mr. Burke. " &c. &c. &c."-I will not furfeit you by a longer extract, but I wish to know if Edmund Burke's coalition with Lord North. and fecuring to himself and his family 25,500l. a year, are proofs either of difinterestedness or patriotism?--- If by the Public, the writer means the Citizens of London, or the People of England, I believe, Mr. Editor, they deem all Patriots in the Ministry, men of words and not of deeds, having been fo grofsly duped and deceived by the very best of them.

Bresa-frect, Aug. 18, 1783.

A CITIZEN.



राष्ट्रीय पुरसकाराय, कोशकारा National Library, Kolken