# SOME ASPECTS OF THE EARLIEST SOCIAL HISTORY OF INDIA

(PRE-BUDDHISTIC AGES)

# S. C. SARKĀR,

M.A. (Cal.), D. Phil. (Oxon.): Diplomee in Education, Oxford. Reader in Education. Patna University. 1925-26. Sometime Vice-Principal, Training College, Patna, and Offs. Principal, Training College. Cuttack. Professor of Indian, History, Patna College. Patna.

LONDON:
HUMPHREY MILFORD
OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
1928

## OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

London, Edinburgh, Glasgow, Copenhagen, New York, Toronto, Melbourne, Cape Town, Bombay, Calcutta, Madras, Shanghai, Humphrey Milford, Publisher to the University.



PRINTED IN INDIA BY LALA SHADE RAM MONDA AT \* \* MRSSBS, LAL CRAND & BORS, 76, LOWER CIRCULAR ROAD, CALCUTTA

### AUTHOR'S PREFACE

THE publication of this dissertation for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the Oxford University has been delayed for more than five years, owing partially to pressure of my official duties and press troubles, but much more to lack of funds.

I take this opportunity of gratefully remembering the late Mr. Pargiter for the invaluable training in research work that I have had under his supervision at Oxford, and thanking Professors Macdonell, Barnett and Winternitz, Dr. Morison and Sir G. A. Grierson, for much valuable advice and criticism from time to time; and also of expressing my appreciation of the consideration shown by the Convocation of the Oxford University in permitting me to take my degree in person, before the dissertation could be placed with a press and publisher.

No pains have been spared to verify the references and make them full and accurate; but in a work like this some errors and misprints are almost inevitable; and I shall be grateful to scholars for pointing out any mistakes of reference, etc., that may strike them during perusal.

Mr. Pargiter's Ancient Indian Historical Tradition (Oxford University Press, 1922), which seeks to determine the political history of India from the earliest times to the 7th century B.C., and the present work (nearing completion in MS. while the former was in the Press), which attempts an accurate picture of some aspects of the social history of India for the same period, may be regarded as companion volumes, which have to be read together for a fuller understanding of Vedic History than has hitherto been possible.

I need hardly add what must strike every reader that many of my inferences and suggestions (of 1920—'22) have been amply justified by subsequent archeological excavations in different parts of India, and the time is not distant when it will be acknowledged that Vedic and pre-Aryan civilization originated in the Lower Gangetic regions and travelled westwards.

S. C. SARKAR.

PATNA, INDIA: March, 1928.

### FOREWORD

### [F. E. PARGITER]

This book is the Thesis on "Some Aspects of the Earliest Social History of India," by which Dr. Subimal Chandra Sarkār gained the degree of D. Phil. here. It is the outcome of extensive research, not only in the Vedic and other brāhmanic literature, but also in the Epics and Purāṇas. He has dealt with it in a fresh manner, independent of preconceived ideas and accepted views, and has brought together a great quantity of new evidence regarding the social conditions of ancient India, that has been hitherto neglected, presenting it generally in new connections and a new light. There can be no doubt that the Mahābhārata and the older Purāṇas, which are largely secular literature, disclose many real features of the ancient society that cannot be discarded or belittled, though they find no place in the priestly literature and differ from the brāhmanic presentment, for in any case the existence and preservation of such different notices must be accounted for.

One inference that such independent research appears to elicit is that the Aryans, when they entered India, found in places a degree of civilization as high as their own, if not higher, especially in Oudh and North Bihar; and there need be nothing surprising in that, because it has happened more than once in the history of the world that a more virile tribe has overcome and entered into a higher civilization, and has afterwards carried that on to further excellence.

This book is therefore well worth study, and should help to revise views that may now be held on insufficient grounds.

F. E. PARGITER.

Oxforp: December, 1924.

### AN INTRODUCTORY AND CRITICAL NOTE

### [M. WINTERNITZ]

On my way to Nalanda in September 1923, I spent two pleasant days at Pātnā, - Pātaliputra of Buddhist fame, now one of the principal seats of learning in India, -under the hospitable roof of Dr. S. C. Sarkar. We had many an interesting conversation on problems of Indian literature, and amongst other things he showed me the Manuscript of his Doctor's Dissertation on the Earliest Social History of India. The subject was of the greatest interest to me. Glancing over it I could see that it touched on some subjects which I had myself dealt with several times during the last thirty years,the first time in my paper on Ancient Indian Marriage Ritual in 1892, and the last time in my essays on Woman in Brahmanism (1920). There was no time to read the dissertation then and there. But Dr. Sarkar kindly gave me a type-written copy of it that I might read it at leisure during my voyage home. This was made impossible by a prolonged illness which befell me after the completion of my happy pilgrimage to and through India, even before I reached the shores of Italy. Thus it was not until Easter 1924 that I could read the dissertation. Now I read it with delighted interest, though in many details I could not agree with the author, and I read it even more than once, in order to re-examine his arguments, where I differed from hon. But from the very beginning I highly appreciated the scholarly instinct with which he has extracted from the Vedic texts every little detail that had even the least bearing on social life.

Thus, in the first chapter, on Building of Houses, etc., he is not content with arranging all the passages referring to architectural details, but he collects at the same time everything that can in any way elucidate the economical conditions. and the social and political condition of Ancient India. In the chapter on Household Furniture, and again in that on Dress and Costumes, we find many references to marriage customs and married life, and even to ethnical and racial distinctions. Here he touches, for instance, the vexed question of the Vratyas, whom he takes to be Easterners and "non-Ailas" (non-Aryans), adopting the terminology of Pargiter. In a paper on the Vratyas that has just been published (in the Zeitschrift für Buddhismus VI, 1924-25, p. 48 ff.), I have, like Dr. Sarkar, also come to the conclusion that the Vratvas were neither wandering Sadhus nor Saiva mendicants, as some scholars have tried to prove. but certain tribes, living outside the pale of Brahmanism, and that there are some indications of their having been Easterners. I do not think, however, that

it is possible to decide whether they were Aryans or non-

Aryans.

But the most interesting chapters of the dissertation are doubtless those on Sex-relations and the Status of Women in Ancient India. There are many things in these chapters to

which I would take exception.

Thus I certainly should not conclude from the Vedic myths that the Risis of old did not see anything wrong in such connexions as that of Prajāpati with his daughter, or of Pūṣan with his mother and sister. Surely the ancient Greeks did not approve of fathers eating up their children, because according to the Greek myth Kronos devoured his children. I am sure Dr. Sarkār himself would not believe that the Risi who said that Agni, as soon as born, eats his mothers or parents (jayamāno mūtarā garbho atti: Rv. X. 79, 4), approved of children eating up their parents.<sup>2</sup>

If am glad that the learned professor has raised these points, for it would serve to illustrate how it is sometimes difficult even for deep and critical scholarship to completely overcome the subtle influences of ancient prejudices and traditional or preconceived interpretations. I hope however that the footnotes I have ventured to add here may lead to a subsequent modification of the views of a scholar in whose soundness and fairness of judgment I have a very great faith indeed.—Authon.]

It will be noted that my conclusion is not based on any one Vedic myth or two; and one of these so-called myths (viz., that of Prajāpati and his daughter) I have shown to be a brābnanancal version of a secular dynastic detail. The basis of my inferences is not only these two references to Pūṣan's or Prajāpath's conduct, but a number of other more distinct alusions in priestly as well as secular historical literature. Incestious connexions and cannibalism are not analogous or parallel features in the history of civilization; the former may be discovered even in comparatively recent history as an established feature, while the latter, so far as the history of the more civilised races is concerned, can only be inferred from faint echoes in folklore and myths. It cannot however be defined that some ancient Hellenic traditions and myths are echoes of a remote period of barbarism, witchcraft, human sacrifices and perhaps even of cannolalism. A scientific historian is surely justified in surmising from the Vedic (or rather pre-Vedic) Agui legends, not that the Vedic rois were cannibals, but that these are relies of a forgotten barbarous age, when the Indian tribes amongst whom fire worship arose (and I have shown them to have been pre-Aryan and Gangetic) still retained racial memories of the well-known primitive practice of eating up the old members of the tribe either after (sacrificial) slaughter or exposure and death. So also it is very likely that the ancient Hellenes found traditions of such a primitive practice lingering amongst the earlier Mediterranean people, which quite naturally found their way into the mixed Greek mythology. Finally it will also be remembered that parental incests were not unknown amongst ancient Greeks and Persians, whose cultural affinities with ancient Indo-Aryans are clear enough. In investigating all such details we should steer clear of the perfectly natural tendency, on the part of native and foreign admirers of Indian civilization in general, of explaining away or ignoring facts no

The stories told in the late Jātaka commentary, not in the old Jātaka gāthās, about Rāma and Sītā, cannot prove that Sītā was common wife of Rāma and Lakṣmaṇa, nor that Sītā was their sister as well as wife. Generally speaking, though the existence of incestuous marriages must be admitted for Ancient India, as it is found among other ancient peoples, I do not believe that it existed to such an extent as it would appear from the statements made in this dissertation. In my opinion it never was, even in primitive times, a general popular custom, but limited to ruling families or dynasties.<sup>1</sup>

Nor can I approve of the author's explanations of the Gandharva in the wedding mantra: "Somah prathamo vivide Gandharvo vivida uttarah, tritiyo Agnis te patis turiyas te manusyajāh." The exact nature of the Gandharvas is certainly still one of the unsolved problems of Indian mythology and folklore. Still it is clear enough that Soma, the Moon, is considered as the 'husband' of the maiden on account of his regulating the menstruation, and that Agni was called the 'husband' of the bride from whom the mortal husband received her, on account of the time-honoured custom of leading the bride around the fire at the wedding ritual. In the same way the Gandharva Viśvāvasu must somehow be related to the sexual life of woman (the Buddhist Assalāyana Sutta shows that he was connected with conception; see also Rv. X. 85,

Here again, Sītā's consanguinity and biandry (or potentia. polyandry) has not been inferred from only one reference in the Jātakas, but also from many other corroborative allusions there as well as in Epic-Purāne therature, taken together with contemporary Vedic evidence on the subject. Occurrence of incestuous marriages "among other ancient peoples" is not however the soundest reason for inferring their existence in ancient India, though of course this has its confirmatory value; it is the first-hand evidence of the priestly and secular historical literatures that I have relied upon "The statements made in the dissertation" are not fanciful, and references have been given for all statements, which will have to be taken for what they are worth irrespective of the attractiveness or otherwise of the conclusion.—It rather puzzles me that while the equally late and much tampered with Kāvya version of the really ancient Rāmāyanic traditions is passed by scholars, the Jātaka or Buddhist version, which from the standpoint of historical critician is a much sounder source, should be viewed with unmitigated scepticism.—I have not jumped to a conclusion that consanguinous marriages and polyandry were "general popular customs"; I have only suggested that the evidence available points to a frequent occurrence amounting to a custom of such connections amongst the chief ruling as well as priestly families of the Vedic (= Epic) age.—Author.]

21 f., and Av. 1V, 37 f.), and had certainly nothing to do with the higher education of girls.<sup>1</sup>

But I must not enter into further details. The book will oubtless meet with sharp criticism and arouse strong opposition. Some of the conclusions arrived at by the author will be ccepted as true, others will have to be rejected. But errors re not only unavoidable, they are more often than not even eccessary stages on the way to the discovery of truth, if only the search after truth is carried on in a truly scientific spirit. And even the opponents will admit that this is the case in Dr. Sarkār's dissertation.

Though we may hesitate to ascribe to the traditional genealogies and legends of the Purānas so much historical value as our author, a faithful disciple of Mr. Pargiter, ascribes to them, yet as an historian he is fully justified in trying to find out what light the Epic and Purānic traditions might throw on the history of the Vedic period. In our days, when some scholars hold that there is no real tradition at all connecting the hymns of the Rgveda,—which are believed to have been composed somewhere in Irān, if not still farther West,—with

Here the only difference between Dr. Winternitz and myself is that he takes Gandharva to be connected with the scausal life of women, while I take it to be connected with some pre-marital part of woman's life. The Gandharva Visvāvasu is certainly of a sexual character, but he is also a 'Muse'; besides Višvāvasu is not named in the mantra in question. That Gandharva is not always a sex-spirit is shown by Vedic references to 'gandharva grlitā' maideus and lady-teachers. There is no real conflict between the two interpretations, for the sexual character of spirits is very closely related in ancient (or even modern) thought with their artistic character. Soma's connection with menstruation would apply equally well, perhaps better to my view of this wedding mantra: this interpretation of Soma's significance would make the education of girls in music and arts begin with adolescence.—the most suitable age for it; moral discipline or ritual purification (represented by Agni's 'husband-dom') would naturally come after it, leading to real and perfected wifebood. Agni can hardly have been regarded as a husband of the bride simply because the marriage ceremony included going round the fire; the fire was only the divine witness; from the 'sex' point of view the stone, on which the Vedic bride mounted for the husband status in the mantra. Agni is very prominently connected with the 'banharcarya' of poys; why then not of girls, who, as the Av. says, could get properly married only by passing through 'brahmacarya' or is period of education of some sort! If 'gandharva' in the wedding mantra is taken to be a 'conception' spirit, then the absurd result would follow that Vedic society credited every bride with one or more previous conceptions before being led to the fire-altar,—unless it can be shown that this particular mantra (in isolation from the rest) was originally intended for legalising illegitimate connexions with issue thereof, Agni's function being 'suddhi.—Author.]

the later Indian literature, it is worth something to have shown that there are after all some threads that lead from the Rgveda to the Brāhmaṇas, and from these to the Epics and the Purāṇas.

Dr. Sarkār, has derived from the Purāṇas many startling facts and suggestions, specially as regards the sexual morality of the highest classes of society in ancient times. How far the suggestions will stand the test of criticism and become 'facts' remains to be seen. I am myself rather sceptical about some of these suggestions; yet I cannot help admiring the absolutely unprejudiced and truly historical spirit in which the whole investigation is carried on by the author. And therefore I have great pleasure in recommending the book to all scholars who are interested in the history of Ancient India.

It only remains for me to express the hope that Dr. Sarkār may not be prevented by his official duties from devoting himself to scholarly work and continuing the researches which he has so happily begun.

PRAGUE: November 9, 1924.

M. WINTERNITZ.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS.

# PART I.

| E22122313321 5:   |         |
|---|---------|
| SECTION A.  | Pages   |
| BUILDING ACTIVITIES (HOUSES, ETC.)-   | 1-46    |
| Settled life—house property—terms for the dwelling-house;<br>their uses—significance of some house-names derived<br>from features of construction   | 1—5     |
| Types of building-construction associated with pastoral and agricultural life—with social life (domestic and public)—with political life—with educational life  | 5—13    |
| Roads-causeways-bridges-fords and rest-houses   | 13-15   |
| Building activities connected with Kings and lesser chieftens   | 15—27   |
| Kings, nobles and vassals: castles—the forts and<br>defences of the Däsas—of the Aryans   | 15-22   |
| 73 (16.)  | 22-24   |
| Royal establishments—palaces, etc   | 24-27   |
| Constructive activities in the village  | 27-28   |
| Features and plan of the Atharva-vedic dwelling-house, (hay, reeds, bamboo, clay, bricks, etc.; wings, verandahs, etc.)—  | 21-20   |
| Identical with the Lower Gangetic "bungalow" style —representing an Eastern, Deltaic, and Brähmanoal style of architecture—ancient, indigenous, and with Dravidian affinities   | 2832    |
| Indications of a style of timber architecture-  |         |
| Characterized by doorways, pillars, etc.—associated use of metals in house-construction—a Middle-Himalayan and submontane style, with Aryan and Mongoloid affinities  | . 32—35 |
| Indications of a structural style characterized by use of burnt bricks—   |         |
| altar-construction—varieties of bricks—cement—altar<br>models and sizes—tradition re invention of bricks<br>(Istaka)—the brick-style an advanced and ancient<br>one—traditionally Brahmanical, Lower Gangetic, and<br>with Dravidian affinities   | 35—38   |
| Indications of a stone architectural style-   |         |
| Eastern (heterodox) and Midland (orthodox) styles of 'śmaśāna' construction—the Round and Stone style—the Square and Brick style—prototypes of the Buddhist (Stūpa) and Brāhmanical architectures—the Round Stone style belongs to Magadha-Prāci—great antiquity of the 'śmaśāna' structures of Round and Square types—and of the 3 varieties of 'śmaśāna' structures: reliquaries (round or square), memorial buildings, memorial pillars—the prototypes of Buddhistic structures: 'stūpas,' dedicatory buildings, monoliblic pillars—the true explanation of the Mauryan stone-architecture—the stone style is Magadhan, non- |         |
| Brahmanical, proto-Buddhistic, and very ancient   | 3B46    |

| SECTION A.—(Contd.)   | Pagos |
|---|-------|
| Summary—  |       |
| Three well-marked structural styles in the Vedic Age,<br>corresponding to clear ethnic and regional divisions,<br>and agreeing with facts of traditional history  | 46    |
| SECTION B.  |       |
| FURNITURE, ETC  | 47-56 |
| Nature of references to furniture: ritualistic, brāhmaņical and indigenous  | 47    |
| Primitive types of seuts in ritual  | 47    |
| More advanced types of seats—riparian materials—the<br>products of indigenous industries of the Lower Gangetic<br>country   | 48-49 |
| 4200707 150 2500  |       |
| Bedsteads, couches, and 'secular' seats; of timber, etc.—<br>sometimes modified with riparian materials in conformity<br>with ritual tradition  | 49—50 |
| Varieties of bed-steads, couches and settees—chiefly con-<br>nected with women  | 50—52 |
| The aristocratic sents: 'asandi' and 'paryanka'-  |       |
| Vratya and Eastern origin—adopted by Midland ruling families—ritual modifications—summary of descriptions, bringing out the general type of these scats, their different uses, modifications, dimensions and materials  | 5256  |
| SECTION C.  |       |
| DRESS AND COSTUMES-   | 57-73 |
| (a) Variety in materials and manners, due to different<br>regional conditions and tribal peculiarities  | 57    |
| Skine-  |       |
| Varieties—their use is brähmanical, Vritya, and abori-<br>ginal—use of geatskins primarily and mainly (not<br>sheepskins, etc.),—traces of the ritual use of primitive<br>riparian clothing-materials—these materials indigenous<br>and brähmanical—not Aryan                     | 57—58 |
| Wool—   |       |
| Varieties of wouldens—use of sheep's wool or woollen stuff not ritual, ancient and Eastern, but secular, later and North-Western (regional peculiarity and industrial traditions)—undigenous use of 'silk-cotton' wool, goat's-hair, etc. (the earlier substitutes of 'woollens') | 58-60 |
| Bilk—   |       |
| Frequenter use of silk (indigenous in N. E. Deccan and adjacent Gangetic country) in ritual—rare use of barken stuff (NW. Himalayas)—significance   | 60—61 |

|   |  | -                                   |                                      |                         |                  |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| SECTION C (Contd.)  |  |                                     |                                      |                         | Pages.           |
| Cotton-weaving  |  |                                     |                                      |                         |                  |
| Fully developed and lovery prominent in eaces and products s Gangetic cotton manu 'vāsas' and its part the traditional 'dhot of borders, fringes, | rliest bri<br>same as<br>facturers<br>s, describ<br>ti' and  | those of (Dravidia bed and 'sāri')— | texts—app<br>the well-k              | plian-<br>nown<br>) the | 6164             |
| Manner of wearing the   | ' vāsas '-   | _                                   |                                      |                         |                  |
| The various styles of meaning of 'nivi'— later parallels  |  |                                     | ivi ''—the<br>women's s              | true<br>tyle—           | 6466             |
| Upper garments, over-g<br>Varieties and uses of<br>bust-bodices, etc.,—<br>'pesss' and 'ssmuly<br>digenous—adoptively                             | scarfs, voiced and section in the se | eils, cloak<br>and id<br>ally brāh  | s, tight ja<br>entified—'            | ckets                   | 66—68            |
| The turban-   |  |                                     |                                      |                         |                  |
| Non-brāhmanical—Vrāt<br>—ritual modificati<br>women's head-bands-<br>Foot-wear—   | ons-brak   | manical                             | head-ba                              | classes<br>nds—         | 6869             |
| Early use in martial of shoes in ritual-cluded by foot-ornar Prevalent fashions of h  | apparent   | ly non-b                            | āhmaņical                            | (ex-                    | 69-70            |
| Naturally excluding<br>feature—brahman cl<br>distinctive styles of<br>affected by women—<br>specially feminine—c                                  | ans (and<br>hair-dre<br>styles con   | indigenessing—the<br>mon to h       | ous gods)<br>e various<br>oth sexes- | with<br>styles<br>those | 70 <b>—</b> 73   |
| SECTION A.  |  |                                     |                                      |                         | _                |
| Evidence of priestly litera   | toro es  |                                     |                                      |                         | Pagea            |
| (i) Traces of primitive   |  |                                     |                                      |                         | 74112            |
| (a) Brother-sister m  |  | sing s                              |                                      |                         | 74—90<br>74—75 : |
| (a) Diouger-gister in   | erriego  | ***                                 | •••                                  | •••                     | 76-78            |
| (b) Parental incest   |  | ***                                 | 7.0                                  | •••                     | . 75—76          |
| (c) Polyandry   | •••  | •••                                 | •••                                  | •••                     | 78—81            |
| (d) 'Niyoga' (levin   | rate)  | •••                                 | •••                                  | •••                     | 78-79            |
| (e) Widow-burning   | and Wide   | w-remarti                           | age                                  | ***                     | 82-83;<br>83-84  |
| (f) Polygamy  | •••  |                                     | •••                                  | •••                     | 84—90            |
|   |  |                                     |                                      |                         |                  |

| SECTION A. (Contd.)  | Pages.  |
|--|---------|
| (ii) Features of the normal marriage-forms   | 91—102  |
| Child-marriage unknown—stages of its subsequent development  | 91      |
| Evidence re marriageable age and free love-matches bet. grown up parties   | 91—94   |
| Opportunities for pre-marital loves-social freedom   | 9496    |
| Extent of parental centrol: limits and exceptional cases   | 97—98   |
| A great variety of normal forms of marriage—tribal customs, etc.,—the so-called 'Vedic' marriage does not describe any one type  | 9899    |
| The variety also due to manners of settling a match, and exceptional conditions  | 99—101  |
| Marriages not restricted to specified groups-consanguinity   |         |
| no har—subsequent restrictions—'intercaste' marriages frequent—hyporgamy not the main form   | 101-102 |
| (iii) Social position and relations of women   | 103—112 |
| Significance of Vedic terms denoting woman-indicative of her status and relations  | 103—104 |
| Attitude towards female births-no infanticide  | 104—105 |
| Relation between daughters and parents: honour, appreciation, concern for marriage, domestic work, leisure and fiberty for social enjoyments, consideration for old maids, property and dowry, 'rich' daughters, better legal position | 106—108 |
| Personal and social freedom of the daughter-initiative   |         |
| in love affairs—some fraternal control—social equality in sex-relations  | 108—109 |
| Admission of women to highest education—indications of regular systems of schooling for girls—unmarried  |         |
| women teachers   | 109—110 |
| The allegory of the 'life-stages' of a woman-ever in a state of marriage   | 111—112 |
| SECTION B.   |         |
| Evidence of 'bardic fradition' re primitive sex-relations and special customs  | 113—225 |
| (a) Introductory—  |         |
| General agreement with evidence of priestly lit.—explanation of the nature of traditional evidence, its value and the proper standpoint from which to view it  | 113—115 |

| SECTION I | 3.—(Contd.)               |               |             |       | Pages   |
|-----------|---------------------------|---------------|-------------|-------|---------|
|           | nic illustrations, and co | ritical estim | uates of th | e two | 116225  |
| (i)       | Brother-sister marriage   |               |             |       | 116-135 |
| (ii)      | Parental incest           | ***           | 2000        |       | 136—14  |
| (iii)     | Polyandry                 |               |             | •••   | 145-169 |
| (iv)      | 'Niyoga' (levirate)       | ***           |             | •••   | 163—18  |
| (v)       | Widow-remarriage and      | Widow-but     | rning       |       | 18619   |
| (vi)      | Polygamy                  |               |             | ***   | 198-22  |

### BUILDING ACTIVITIES:

### (Houses, etc.)

THE Vedic Aryans very early ceased to be wandering tribes: the Rgveda shows them indeed still conquering, but they have already begun internecine wars and struggles for overlordships1; and fighting does not involve constant shiftings of abode. Permanent settlements, of the nature of marks.2 are normal in the Rgveda, being the 'ksitir dhruva 's or the fixed secure abode of the clans; such were the 'vis' (in its special sense), the 'stha's (inferable in the early 'gostha, '6 or the later 'sthapati'), the 'yrjanas's and the 'yrājas.'s It is a settled life that could give the home its appropriate epithet of ' pratistha '10 or establishment, standing, fixed abode: so also, one desiring to lead a settled home-life of his own is called 'pratistha-kama.'11 Such a settled home is already the nucleus round which the Vedic society and polity develops. Religion, law and custom was thus based on home-life, and the individualistic tendency of the Indo-Aryan found expression in, and grew out of the importance he attached to the home.

Already in the early Vedic times, houses were not simply unit family abodes, but were also individual private properties, which could be acquired12; and sometimes a ready-made house could be purchased for a considerable price13; a well-to-do person possessed several houses; thus a rich householder is pastyāvant,'14 and some poet-singers are described as ' puru-dama '15; so also (later on) fields and ' avatanes '16 are

given as examples of prosperity.

The great variety of Vedic words denoting a dwellinghouse is a reflection of its importance to the Vedic Indians, and shows that they were long settled, with a tradition of housebuilding. 'Gaya' is a common word!' in the Rgveda for the house or household, inclusive of the inmates and their belong-

```
As the Puranic tradition amply shows.
              Cf. Roth: Dict., s.v. 'vrjans.'
Cf. Rv. I, 73, 4; VII, 88, 7; Zimmer: Alt. Leb. 142.
E.g. where 'grha' is contrasted with 'vis': Rv. X, 91, 2; cf. VII,
               Cf. the Germanic 'Stadt.'
              Vide infra.
Cf. Kät. Sr. Süt. I, 1, 12; Weber: Ind. Stud. 10, 13.
Rv. I, 51, 15; 73, 2.4; 91, 21; 105, 19; 128, 7; 165, 15; 166, 14; etc.; VII, 32, 427; X, 42, 10; etc.
Rv. X. 179, 2-Av. VII, 72, 2.
Av. VI, 32, 3-VIII, 8, 21-Sänkh. Aran. XII, 14. (Zimmer: Alt. Leb. 181, sees in it a legal term. but cf. St. Pet. Dict.).
Taitt. Sam. II, 1, 3.4; Panc. Brä. XXIII, 19, 1; etc.
Cf. 'vidatha'; also n. 4, p. 4.
Av. IX, 3, 15.
Rv. I, 151, 2; IX, 97, 18 (prob.).
Av. VII, 73, 1.
Chānd. Upan. VII, 24, 2.
Rv. I, 74, 2; V, 10, 3; 44, 7; VI, 2, 8, etc.; Av. VI, 3, 3 Vāj. Sam. XXVII, 3.
                 Vide infra.
11
12
13
15
```

16 XXVII. 3.

ings; so is 'dama'l (and 'dam') meaning house or home, implying an idea of control, or possibly of building; dhaman, another word for a dwelling or house, also signifies on the one hand 'the inmates of the house," and on the other ' law or ordinance,'6 showing the connexion in the Vedic mind between the house and all conceptions of law and order.7 'Sarma's is a house as a comfortable place, 'mahi' (big) and 'smat' (fine), within the 'vis' or 'vrjana.' 'Grha,'9 the family home is contrasted with 'jana' and 'vis', just as the family sacrifice is contrasted with the sacrifice of the ' jana ' or 'vis,' the individualism of the home being clearly recognized. 'Kula' in the compound 'kulapa' (used of the house-protector or family-chief,12 and the home-staying13 old maid) conveyed the sense of the dwelling-house of a small individual family, a sense which also occurs in the post-Vedic use14 of the word singly: though later on the word acquired an added special meaning of 'sanctuary or temple.'15 'Vasati '16 and ' nivesana, '17 seem on the other hand to have been terms without special significance: the former probably remained so all along,18 but the latter is used in the Epic and the Puranas in the sense of a flourishing or fresh 'colonial settlement."18 and in the Sutras in a curious optional sense of 'resting-place or stall for cattle, as opposed to the 'grha' used by men.20

- Rv. I, 1, 8; 61. 9; 75. 5; 143, 4; II, 1, 2; etc.; Vaj. Sam VIII, 24
  Cf. Roth: St. Pet. Dict. s.v. 'dama.'
  Rv. I, 144, 1; II, 3. 2; III, 55, 10; VIII, 61, 4; 87, 2; X, 15, 1;
  etc.; Av. IV, 25, 7; VII, 68, 1; XII, 1, 52; Väj. Sem. IV, 34;
  Taitt. Sam. II, 7, 2.
  Rv. VIII, 101, 6; IX, 36, 14; X, 82, 3; Av. II, 14, 6; (cf. St. Pet. Dict. x.v., c.).
  Rv. IV, 55, 2; VI, 21, 3; VII, 63, 3; VIII, 41, 10; X, 48, 11.
  Which also comes out in the Raycetic expression 'rta-dhāman' (Rv. I.
- Which also comes out in the Rgvedic expression 'rta-dhaman.' (Rv. 1,
- 123, 9; IV, 7, 7; VII, 36, 5; X, 124, 3). Rv. VII, 82, 1; I, 51, 15.
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- Rv. VII, 82, I; I, 51, 15.

  See also infra, for other uses of this term.

  Rv. X. 91, 8.

  Rv. X. 91, 8.

  Rv. X, 179, 2.

  Av. I, 14, 3; etc.

  Sat. Brā. I, 1, 2, 22; II, 1, 4, 4; 4, 1, 14; XI, 5, 3, 11; 8, 1, 3; XIII,

  4, 2, 7; Brhad. Upan. I, 5, 32; Chānd. Upan. III, 13 6, etc.

  E.g. in 'deva-kula'; cf. 'guru-kula.' But cf. 'kula vadhū' and 14
- 15 cognate forms.
- 16
- cognate forms.

  Rv. I. 31. 15; V. 2, ; Vēj. Sam. XVIII, 15; Taitt. Brā. II, 3, 5, 4;
  III, 7, 3, 3; etc.

  Rv. IV, 19, 9; VII, 19. 5; (sense of colonial settlement possible—"after destroying 99 cities. Indra entered the 100th for 17 ' nivesana ' '').
- But in Mark. Pur. XLIX, 49-50, 'vasati' is given the technical sense of mart or trading settlement or quarter of a town. Cf. Eastern vern. 'vesäti=mart, merchandise, etc. Cf. also Rgvedic 'vasna' and vern. 'vasaa.' 18
- This however may have been equally a Vedic sense (vide n. 17 above); and the 'Sūra' sense could be derived from it owing to the connection of cattle-stalls with fresh colonial settlements.
- Asval. Grh. Sut. IV, 6; etc.

'Pastya' (f)1 or 'pastya' (n),2 occurring singly, or in the compounds 'pastyā-vant,'3 'pastya-vant '3 and 'pastya-sad,'4 are other terms denoting a house or dwelling, and hence family, while in the feminine form even the goddess of the homestead may be so designated.5 ' Pastya' was occasionally also applied to the 'stall for horses,' the whole being used for a part, e.g.. in 'aśva-pastya '6 and 'pastyā-vant marya '7; but it had usually, along with 'harmya,'8 a special significance of 'the home with all its adjuncts and surroundings,' 'the family settlement,' apparently a nobleman's abode (having stables, etc.). 'Vastu' seems to mean simply 'dwelling-house' or ' settlements generally '9 in the compound epithet ' su-vastu '10; but in 'vastos-pati'll it approaches the later (even modern) and more special meaning of 'the site of a house'12; these imports of 'a group of houses' on 'settlement,' and of 'a site presided over by some deity, are also conveyed by 'pastya' in several passages.13 'Mana' is a house as being a measured structure, wherein the house-builder saw a spirit 'manasya patni,' mistress of the house-structure.14 'Avatana,' enclosure,' had an earlier general sense of 'abode' or 'home.'15 but later on was specialized in use, like 'kula,' and referred to some sacred structure within such enclosure.15 'Vis' is a term which gradually narrowed in significance, from 'settlement' 16 to 'the assembly-hall of the settlement,' and then to 'any house,' as is shown by the uses of the

Rv. I, 25. 10; 40, 7; 164, 30; IV, 1. 11; VI, 48, 9; VII, 97, 5; IX, 65, 23; X. 46, 6; (also corresponding passages in Vv.). Rv. X, 96, 10.11; cf. VIII, 39, 8; VI, 58, 2; IX, 98, 12; V, 50, 4. Rv. I, 151, 2; IX, 97, 18; II, 11, 16; IV, 54, 5; VIII, 7, 29; (IV. 55, 3; VIII, 27, 5).

Rv. VI, 51, 9; Roth, St. Pet, Dic., s.v.; Pischel: Ved. Stud. 2, 211, Rv. IV, 55, 3; VIII, 27, 5. Rv. IX, 86, 41; Av. VI, 77, 1; XIX, 55, 1.

10

Rv. IX, 86. 41; Av. VI, 77. 1; XIX, 55, 1.

Rv. IX, 97, 18; prob. I, 91, 13.

Cl. VI., I, 229; 30; Rv. VII, 56, 16; cl. X, 106, 5.

Cl. the similar use of vern. 'vasti' (from 'vasati').

Rv. VIII, 19, 17; (Nir. IV, 15).

E.g. in Taitt. Sam. III. 4, 10; cf. Macdonell: Ved. Myth., 138;

Zimmer: Alt. Leb., 236.

As opposed to the 'ksetra,' holding, also presided over by a deity; cf. Rv. IV, 37, 1, 2; etc., Av. II, 12, 1; etc.

E.g. in Rv. VIII, 7, 29; VIII. 27, 5; IV, 55, 3; respectively; cf. Pischel's explanation of 'pastyâ'=a river, having groups of houses on its hanks. 13

14

Puschel's explanation of 'pastya' = a river, having groups of houses on its banks.

Av. IX, 3; III, 12; cf. the later structural technical terms: 'vāstumāna' (in Pur.). 'māna-sāra' (the treatise).

E.g. in Chānd. Upan. VII, 24. 2; so also in the Epic; it is applied anbsequently to temples and monasteries enclosed by walls.

Rv. IV. 4. 3; 37. 1: V. 3, 5; VI. 21, 4; 48, 8; VIL, 56, 22; 61, 3; 70, 3; 104, 18; X, 91, 2; etc. (But in some of these the sense of 'dwelling-house' may also suit.)

compounds 'vis-pati' and 'vis-patni. A cognate term 'vesman' denotes 'house as the place where one is settled.' House or holding in its aspect of acquired property,4 is designated by 'vidatha'; but its specialized derivative meanings are quite early and manifold, amongst which may be noted those of 'asylum,'5 'family assembly or sacrifice,'5 'a smaller or secular assembly, '8 'a rich or royal establishment like palaces.'9

A few common house-names were derived from ordinary features of building construction, such as 'ayatana,'10 referring to the enclosing walls, railings or fencings; or 'durona,'" 'gateway,' secondarily implying a house as characterized by such a feature. Another structural term is 'sala,' primarily a thatch of 'paddy-straw,'12 for shelter of men or their cattle and stores, then the 'homestead inclusive of such stalls and sheds,"15 and finally 'house' generally, as in 'śālāpati," ' house-holder,'14 or even a section or a single room of a house. as in 'patnī-sāla '15 or 'agni-sālā '15; apparently it came to be quite early used of flourishing and wealthy residences as well,

- J. Am. Or. S., 19. 12ff.; cf. Rv. I, 117, 25; II, 1, 6; X, 85, 26.27; Av. XVIII, 3, 70.
- Ludwig: Trans. Rv. 3, 261; cf. Sat. Brā. V, 3, 1, 13, and Kāt. Sr. Sūt. XV, 3, 35; cf. also Rv. I, 31, 6; V, 62, 6; Ait. Brā. I, 30, 27.28.
- I, 30, 27.28.

  According to Bloomfield and V.I.; cf. connexion of women chiefly with this, but rarely with the 'sabhā'; cf. also Rv. X, 85, 26.27; Av. VII, 38, 4; Mait. Sam. IV. 7, 4.

  Zimmer: Alt. Leb., 177; Rv. II, 27, 12.

  According to Roth; Rv. II, 1, 4; 27, 12.17; III, 38, 5.6; V, 63, 2; VII, 66, 10; VIII, 39, 1; X, 12, 7; Av. I, 13, 4; XVII, 1, 15.

  Rv. IV, 27, 2; cf. I, 91, 20; 167, 3; Av. XX, 128, 1.

  Vide n. 15, p. 3.

  Vide p. 32, n. 4—5, and p. 23, n. 1—4; 'dur,' 'durya' and 'duryona' also have a similar secondary sense. - 6

- also have a similar secondary sense.
- also have a similar secondary sense.

  As 'śalā' is a term practically confined to the Av. (vide infra.), it is highly probable that it represents some indigenous word, presumably the same as the Eastern vern. 'cālā,' of equivalent form, and of exactly the same significances ('cālā' and 'cāl' also having a common figurative sense of house, room, etc.); E. vern. 'cāl' (rice) corresponds to Sans. 'śsli'; cf. 'vicāli' straw, i.e. 'taken out of śāli or paddy plante'; for E. vern. 'c'=Sans. 'ś,' cf. infra.,—'kaśipu'=Tamil 'kacci-pā.'

  Av. III, 12, 1ff; V, 5l., 5; VI, 106, 5; VIII, 6, 10; IX, 5, 1ff; XIV, 1, 65; Taitt. Brā. I, 2, 3, 1; Sat. Brā. III, 1, 1, 6; etc. Av. IX, 3. 12.

  Vide pp. 50-31. 19
- 15

<sup>1</sup> Rv. I, 12, 2; 26, 7; 164, 1; II, 1. 8; III, 2, 10; 40, 3; VII, 39, 2; IX, 108, 10; X. 4, 4; 135, 1; etc.; cf. also VIII, 55, 5=Av. IV, 5, 6.

Taitt. Sam. III. 1, 11, 4. Rv. X, 107, 10; 146,3; Av. V, 17. 13; IX, 6. 30; Ait. Brā. VIII,

as indicated by names of princes and noted priests, like 'Mahā-sāla' ('big-housed') 'Prācīsa-śāla' ('ancient-housed')¹.

It is significant that 'sala,' etc., do not occur even once in the Rv., while almost all the references to them belong to the Av., which applies this term also to a particular type of 'straw and bamboo' house whose construction it describes. On the other hand 'durona,' etc., are specially Revedic terms, while 'ayatana' belongs to the Upanisads and the Epic. In the comparatively drier and hotter Upper Gangetic regions, the 'entrance' and 'enclosure' aspects of the dwelling-house must have been naturally more prominent4 than the protective covering overhead; and the references to these features and their figurative use, accordingly, occur in texts that were mainly of Midlandic origin; again, it is only in the rain-flooded Lower Gangetic country that the roof is naturally all-important, and has to be built carefully5: and accordingly, the 'sala'. (thatch) is prominent, and means the house itself, in texts that were largely of Eastern Gangetic (and indigenous) origin. (So also in subsequent developments of Indian architecture, these two main styles may be recognized: one characterized by various modifications of the 'enclosure' and the 'gateway', another by those in roofings; and it is remarkable that 'roof' architecture throughout the greater part of India (and in all periods) bears a distinct stamp of the Lower Gangetic 'cālā' (śālā), whether we look to the dome of the 'stūpa,"10 the convex ' saiva '11 roof with projecting eaves, or the curved and tapering 'vaisnava'11 'sikhara.'x

It is quite in accordance with the pre-eminently agricultural and pastoral character of early Vedic life that the house is at first very closely associated with the stalls for domestic animals. Thus the cowstall, the wagon and the

Vide infra., p. 22ff.

As it is even to day.

As the modern 'P.W.D.' knows very well.

E.g. in Mauryan and post-Mauryan examples.

10

<sup>1</sup> E.g. Sat. Brā. X, 3, 3, 1; 6, 1, 1; Chānd. Upan. V, 11, 1; Mund. Upan. I, 3, 5; cf. the early royal names 'Mahā-sāla' and 'Vi-sāla' in the Purāņic dynastic lists.

Cf. the sense of 'encloaure' in 'vriana' and 'vraja,' which is also described as 'sārgala' and 'saparisraya' (with gate and palisade); vide infra.; these terms also are specially Rgvedic.

E.g. the timber palisades or stone railings.
E.g. the famous 'torana,' a form comparable to 'durona,' which

E.g. the famous 'toraph,' a form comparable to 'durona,' which may have been the prototype,—an ornamental gateway, instead of an ordinary 'dvār (a).'

E.g. the so-called 'barrel-shaped' tops of monasteries, etc. In Buddhist-i.e. Magadhan styles.

Miscalled 'Bravidian' and 'Indo-Aryan' respectively by Fergusson; really they are both developments from the same Beegal thatch or 'cālā,' sdapted to local conditions (vide Havell's works for £ 31 proper interpretation).

house are mentioned together! in the same breath as it were. 'Sālā '2 and ' pastya(ā) '3 imply accommodation of some sort for both men and their beasts. ' Gotra ' and ' vra(ā)ja,' all originally arrangements for accommodation of cattle, were so intimately connected with the ordinary life of their possessors. that these names came to be employed equally or almost at the same time with reference to men. Thus 'viāja,'5 pen, also denotes a pastoral settlement (under a chief)6 including many 'kulas' and 'vrajas' (in the narrower sense); in subsequent literature also (classical and modern), 'vraja' (possibly also the representative of the older 'vriana') has the regular sense of a closely organized pastoral settlement with the human and bovine elements equally prominent.8 What the 'vraja' originally was, does not clearly appear: Geldner derives it from 'vra,' to go, giving it the primary meaning of pasture, while Roth prefers the derivation from 'vrj,' which gives the primary meaning of enclosure or pen; probably both senses are mixed up in the passages where it occurs; the later (vernacular) use of 'vraja' 10 agrees with this view: the frequently occurring sense of penu or stall12 cannot be derived from 'vraj,' to go, but the sense of 'pasture' is possible from 'vrj' to enclose; for a common pasture may very well have been an enclosure with a hedge, fence or palisade; it seems that such a defensible 'enclosure' with palisade and gateway,14 rather than a 'pen ' with fence and latch, is referred to in 'sargala' and 'sapariśraya' 'vraja'15: the sense of a protected pastoral settlement can easily evolve out of this. The 'vraja,' when a cowstall is meant by it, was made of Asvattha16 wood, well built to make it warm,17 and had doors whose wide sweep18 suggested conceptions like that of the dawn opening wide the doors of the 'vraja' of darkness, or Death being 'vrāja-bālm.'19 '(Gotra' is supposed by Geldner

```
Av. 11, 14, 2.
Cf. n. 13, p. 4.
Cf. n. 5, and n. 7, p. 3.
Specially horses in the latter case.
Kaus. Brā. 11, 9 (in the sense of 'pen,' the other form 'vraja'
                                 is much more common in earlier Vedic lit.).
                  Rv. X, 179. 2; Av. VII, 72. 2.
Cf. n. 8. p. 1.
E.g. in all literature dealing with Krsna episodes.
St. Pet. Dict., s.v.
  B
                St. Pet. Dict., s.v.

As a pastoral yet compact and organized settlement.

Av. III, II, 15; IV, 38, 7; Sankh. Aran. II, 16; probably Rv. X, 97, 10; 101, 8.

Rv. X, 4, 2; cf. IV, 51, 2; Taitt. Brā. III, 8, 12, 2; Vāj. Sam. I, 25.

Probably in Rv. II, 38, 8; X, 26, 3; (cf. the derivative sense of berd in other passages cited in n. 4, V.I., II, 340).

Cf. 'gomati' 'purs' or forts, infra.

Brhad. Upan. (Mādb.). VI. 4, 22. (These may have been the original models of the Jaina and Buddhist 'pinjrapoles,' which represent such 'vrajas' rather closely).

Taitt. Brā. III, 8, 12, 2; (cf. Vāja. Sam. I, 25).

Rv. X, 4, 2.

Rv. IV, 51, 2.

Kaus. Brā. II, 9.
10
11
12
13
```

14 15

19

Kaus. Bra. II, 9.

<sup>16</sup> 

<sup>17</sup> 18

to have the primary meaning of 'herd,' which alone he thinks would explain its later use as 'family' or 'clan.' Dut Roth's interpretation of it as 'cowstall' as a structure is better: firstly, as the suffix 'tra' is also indicative of place; secondly, as the sense of a whole clan can easily be derived from the sense of a cowstall, common and spacious, where a whole clan kept their cattle4; and thirdly, as t gostha '5 lis similarly used of the Bharata clan, and 'gosthi '5 later on, by a similar transition, comes to mean a social circle. Geldner thinks that in all passages where 'gostha' occurs, the sense of 'grazing ground' is better and suits all. But here again, Whitney's and Bloomfield's rendering of stall or stable8 is more appropriate, as the 'stha' points to some sort of a standing structure, a stand or stall, and cannot, evidently, refer to 'grazing ': so that 'gotsha' would mean literally the standing place for cows. It is significant that even in modern vernacular 'gotha' is always contrasted with 'matha' (meadow), with which it is combined to form a phrase. (The use of 'gotsha' in Ait. Bra. is interesting: the cows of the Bharatas are there said to be in the 'gostha' at evening and in the 'sangavinī' at mid-day: Sāyana adds in explanation (not very clear in itself) that their milch-cows were kept at night in 'śālās,' but the rest of the cattle in the 'gostha.' Here 'gostha' cannot mean open pasturage; and 'saṃgavinī' also seems to be some sort of an open shed where the noon-tide milking was done; 'gostha' and 'samgavinī' therefore would mean cowstalls and cattle-sheds attached to the clan-abodes and set up in the fields, respectively, while the 'salas' may have been special sheds for milch-cows with isolated compartments or each such cow may have been isolated in its separate ' śālā.'10 It would also appear that the 'gostha' belonged to the whole clan, e.g., of the Bharatas," and not to the

Geldner: Ved. Stud. 2, 275-276.

Cf. Chând. Upan. IV, 4, 1; Sānkh. Sr. Sūt. I, 4, 16; etc.; Aéval. Grh. Sūt. IV, 4; etc.; Kaus. Brā. XXV, 15; etc. (It is to be noted that Purānic tradition places the rise of noted 'rsi gotrus' (clans) much earlier than the period indicated by these references. Thus the 'clan' sense is not a late one.)

Vide infra. 4; VI, 28, 1; VIII. 43, 17=Av. III, 14, 1.6.6; II, 26, 2=Všja. Sam. III, 21: V, 17.—Kāth. Sam. VIII. 7: Mait. Sam. IV, 2, 11=Ait. Brā. III, 18, 4; Sat. Brā. XI, 8, 3, 2,; etc. Sp. in Av. III, 14.

St. Pet. Dict., s.v.

The suitability of such interpretation is evident in Rv. I, 51, 3;
II, 17, 1; 23, 18; III, 39, 4; 43, 7; VIII, 74, 5; X, 48, 2; 103, 7.

In Av. op. cit. Ait. Brš. III, 18, 14. Cf. 'śālā,' aute, p. 4, n. 13.

<sup>11 (</sup>Examples of ruling and influential priestly families possessing large herds of cattle (often with special structures for these) are well-known in Epic-Puranic tradition, and the Bharatas are actually amongst them.

individual houses or holdings; and it is thus very probable that the gradually more and more specialized social association and unit of the 'gosthi,' often mentioned later, in Buddhist and classical literature.1 grew out of the merry clan-gatherings at the 'gostha' in the evening after the day's toil and adventures in the fields and pastures.2

Just as the later 'club-house' (gosthi) was developed out of the common cattle-stand, so also some other types of associations and their suitable structures were closely connected with ordinary domestic conditions. 'Vidatha' must be derived from 'vid,' to acquire, rather than from 'vid,' to know, which gives the plausible meaning of something like the Witan to the 'vidatha,' but which can account for only a few of its many senses; 'vidatha' therefore originally meant holding or house4; but it is very often used in wider senses, involving the ideas of a larger structure and some sort of Thus in different passages Ludwig sees the assemblage. sense of a sanctuary or asylum.5 and Zimmer that of a smaller assembly than the 'samiti's; where a 'Samrat' is spoken of as 'vidathya,'7 the 'vidatha' must have been a royal establishment, a court or audience-hall; where women are connected with the 'vidatha's (but not usually with the 'sabhā'), it may mean a household assembly, social or religious, and the accommodation for such an assembly; while Roth makes out a reference to some secular wider type of assembly in many other passages.3 'Vidatha' accordingly stands for quite a variety of building structures, from probably the quadrangle or large hall of a homestead to specialized structures suitable for public use or court life.

2 The traditional picture of Krsua's early life (in some of the Puranas also) is an illustration of how this development may have actually happened.)

actually happened.)

This is the special sense in Buddhist and post-Mauryan literature (e.g. in Vats. Kā. Sūt.).

J. Am. Or. S., 19, 12ff.; cf. Rv. X, 85, 26.27; I, 117, 25; II, 1, 6; Av. XVIII, 3, 70.

Ludwig: Trana. Rv. 3, 261; cf. Sat. Brā. V, 3, 1, 13; Kāt. Sr. Sūt. XV, 5, 35; also Rv. I, 31, 6; V, 62, 6; Ait. Brā. I, 30, 27.28.

Zummer. Alt. Leb. 177; Rv. II, 27, 12.

Rv. IV, 27, 2; cf. I. 91, 20; 167, 3; AV, XX, 128, 1.

Av. VII, 33, 4; Mait. Sam. IV, 7, 4; cf. Rv. X, 85, 26.27 (=Av. XIV, I, 20.25).

Rv. II, 1, 4; 27, 12.17; III, 38, 5.6; V, 63, 2; VII, 66, 10; VIII, 39, 1; X, 12, 7; Av. I, 13, 4; XVII, 1, 15.

Various aspects of the 'gosthi,' economic and social, are indicated and detailed in the early Pāli texts. Kautilya, and Vāts. Kā. Sūtra; the term has subsequently degenerated into the colloquial verracular 'gusth(t)i.

The well-known & sabhā ') is no less ambiguous in significance: the usually accepted view is that it denotes the 'assembly ' of the Vedic Indians as well as the 'hall ' where it met; Hillebrandt however thinks that the 'sabha' designates primarily the 'house of assembly 'while 'samiti (also frequently occurring in Vedic literature) stands for the 'assembly 'I itself; but it is noteworthy that while the 'sabha has a number of functions and aspects2 ascribed to it in the Vedic literature, the most particular detail available about the ' samiti ' is that kings and princes frequented them's: hence the 'samiti' was more a political institution than the 'sabha, and of a select character, though the 'vis' are associated with both.4 According to this view the 'sabha' would be the hall of the widest assembly of a community and the 'vidatha' the quadrangle or hall of the unit family assembly; and 'samiti' would have to be placed between these two types. In fact the 'vidatha' does develop into the 'samiti' type: for in some passages the 'vidatha' may have the developed sense of a public sanctuary or asylum, and in some others the 'samrat' is 'vidathya' or 'holding court,' in of course a suitable place: this latter use would correspond to the 'samiti' associated chiefly with princes. In the Av. the 'sabha' and the 'samiti' are frequently mentioned together," as equally ancient institutions8 (where prepared speeches were made),9 which were to be found even in villages10; while both were mainly composed of tribesmen and followed the King, the former was associated with the army, and the latter with ' strong drink '11; and the 'sabhā,' 'samiti,' and 'āmantraṇa ' are mentioned as assembly-houses in order of increasing limitation.12 On the whole therefore the 'samiti' seems to have been a narrower institution. But there are other difficulties: there seem to have been several types of the 'sabha' itself. Though

Hillebrandt: Ved. Myth., 2, 124, note 6.

Vide infra. II, 430—1. Vide V.I., III, 430—1. Av. III, 19, 1; IX, 7, 9; XV, 9, 2.5. Vide ante.

Vide following notes. Cf. similar association in the modern vernacular phrase 'sabbā-samiti,' and its use in the sense of 'wider assemblies and smaller committees.' (So also the vernacular expression 'gosthi-gotra' affords a clue to the relation between these two parallel early institutions: 'gotra' referring to the smaller unit of a family or 'kin,' and 'gosthi' to the whole tribe or clan; in Buddhist and Maurya periods, the 'gosthi' is specially associated with the 'gana,' which was wider than the 'gotra.').

Av. XII, 12, 1 (2 dirs. of Prajāpati, etc.).

Av. XII, 1, 56; cf. VII, 12, 1.

Av. XII, 1, 56.

Av. XV, 9, 2.5: (the context world the 'gana') Vide ante.

10

Av. XV, 9, 2.3; (the context would show that the Av. regarded these institutions as originally derived from the 'Vratya' Kingship of Magadha).

Av. VHI, 10, 5.6; cf. Rv. I, 91, 20, where a fit son is 'sadanya,' 'widathya' and 'sabheya' in incressing order of eminonee. 13

it is possible to conclude that all the multifold functions attributed to the 'sabha' in different contexts were performed in one and the same institution and structure called 'sabhā,' a state of affairs natural in primitive polity<sup>1</sup> (cf. Hellenic parallels), yet it is reasonable to suppose that increasing complexity of functions very soon (even before the age of the later Samhitas) led to a division into several correlated institutions also called 'sabhās.' Thus, for example, the increase of gambling, so closely associated with the 'sabha' from the very beginning, would in all likelihood lead to the growth of a type of special gambling halls, where this would not interfere with other more serious functions of the 'sabhā'; the everpresent and expert gamblers, the 'sabhā-sthānus' would then leave the assessors, the 'sabhasads' undisturbed in their judicial dignity; the two sets cannot very well be posited of the same hall at the same time. So also, we hear of the 'sabhāvin,'3 the keeper of the gambling hall, as distinct from the 'sabhapala,'4 the warden of the assembly-hall; and of the 'gramvavādin,'5 the village judge or town-reeve, in his 'sabhā,' or court, which is here apparently separate from the gambling hall. Then again, certain other early uses of the word ' sabha would necessitate either a supposition that it was evolved out of domestic or individual household conditions, or one that we have in these instances a particular domestic use of the word. Thus when 'Agni' of the 'sabha' is specially designated ' viśpati,' or master of the dwelling,6 there is an evident reference to domestic conditions. In some passages in the later Samhitas (and subsequently) the 'sabha' evidently refers to the 'society-room' in a private dwelling-house'; and earlier still, 'sabheya '8 and 'sabhāvān rayih '9 seem to have been used domestically; while in 'sabhāvatī yoṣā,'10 of the Rv.,

So also in Mbh. II, 56—71, (the same gathering (in the same hall) of gambling princes and others watching the game, is subsequently appealed to as a court of justice with its 'full bench.' But in the same period, at the Matsya capital the 'sabhā' (where dice is played and a council of war is held) has an offshoot, the music-and dancing-hall.)

Vāja. Sam. XXX, 18; Taitt. Brā. III, 4, 16, 1.

Taitt. Brā. III, 4, 16. 1.

Taitt. Brā. III, 7, 4. 5.

Taitt. Sam. II, 3, 1, 3; Kāṭh. Sam. XI, 4; Mait. Sam. II, 2, 1.

Vide ante, note 1, page 4.

Av. XIX, 55, 6 ('my sabhā'); Taitt. Sam. III, 4, 8, 6 (a man's 'sabhā'); Taitt. Brā. I, 10, 3; probably Chānd. Upan. VIII, 14 (Prajāpati's abode and sabhā).

Rv. II, 24, 15; I, 91, 20 (probable); Av. XX, 123, 1; Vāja. Sam. XXII, 22.

Rv. IV, 2, 5.

Rv. I, 167, 3. Cf. Rv. X, 85, 26.27: Av. XIV, I, 20.21 (where the bride, either in advanced age. or earlier if she comes to 1 So also in Mbh. II, 56-71, the same gathering (in the same hall)

<sup>10</sup> the bride, either in advanced age, or earlier if she comes to control her home, is expected to 'speak unto the council').

though it is equally permissible to see in it a reference to the presence of women in the greater assemblies, the use is probably a domestic one, meaning something like ' the lady in the drawing-room.' It would thus appear that, whichever be the earlier model, the sitting-room of a private home had much in common with the wider assembly hall, and that the structure and equipment of the 'sabhā,' domestic or public, was of one and the same type originally. So also, both the central hall of a dwelling-house and the assembly hall had their fire-altars.1 the prototypes of the later 'worship-room' ('thakur-ghar') in private houses, of the nave ('caitya') in the Buddhist congregation halls, and of the sacred antechamber ('thākur-dālān') in assembly halls of all descriptions (e.g. the 'nāṭya-śālā' or ' nat-mandir'): the difference being probably only in the size and type of the altar or other sacred symbol and in the number and variety of the 'sthanus' or pillars. The 'sabha' in its wider sense must have been a large edifice with some pretensions to architecture; apart from the altar2 and pillars,3 there must have been more or less suitable structural arrangements for the transaction of judicial, commercial and political business, and reception of courtly, well-born, wealthy persons and kings; and the complexity of the structure must have been greater where the same building was used for the other sabha ic functions,—gambling, merriment, social intercourse, debates and contests.4 Probably when the social and festive branch of the 'sabha' became separated it merged with the natural clan-gatherings at the 'gostha,' and led to the formation of the later 'gosthi,' whose functions were preeminently social and pleasurable.

Associations of learned men called 'parisads' were in existence in the later Vedic period,5 and the origin of this institution may well be referred to the earlier epochs; at any rate these ' parisads' were early converted into administrative institutions (councils of judges and ministers), and it is very probable that the 'parisad' either held its sittings in the tradi-tional' sabhā,'' or came to possess a special habitation of its

Rv. III, 23. 4; V, 3, 11; VII, 7, 5; Av. VIII, 10, 1-5; XIX, 55, 6. (This led to a metaphorical use of 'vispati'; so also, apparently, the priest prayed at the 'sabha' altar while the King fought: V.I., II, 5].

Vide note 1 above.

Vide ante, n 2, p, 10; so also in the Epic, pillars are the main features of sathlas, while there are various adjuncts according to special needs and circumstances.

ing to special needs and circumstances.

For references for these several functions of the 'aabhā,' vide V.I., II, 425-427.

Temp. Brhad. Upan. Jaim. Upan. Brš. and Gobh. Gr. Sūt.; vide details in VI., I, 497.

† This institution also was apparently originally of a pastoral character; the 'parisad.' rich in kine; is said to have been made by the ancient fathers (Abgirssas, etc.) for men: Av. XVIII, 3, 22; cf. Rv. IV, 2, 17.

Which had its indicial side.

Which had its judicial side.

As the 'parisads' were mainly sittings around of 'ācāryas,' specialists in law and custom, sacred and secular, and as these 'ācāryas' had their 'kulas' which were commodious enough for resident students and their own families it would be quite natural for the sessions to have been held in some block of these 'kulas' ordinarily. These 'ācāryakulas' were not1 merely one or two wretched buts , (like their declining and impoverished modern representatives, the 'tols'). It seems probable that youths of all the classes of society were required2 to, and even girls optionally could,3 reside for a certain period in 'brahmacarya,' though the period of such discipline may well have varied from class to class, and much of the course been optional or unnecessary for the non-brahman and girl pupils.4 These 'kulas' then must have been quite capacious and complex in plan.<sup>5</sup> A teacher might admit quite a number of pupils,6 and Vedic as well as Epic-Puranic traditions refer to more or less specified numbers of resident students7 particular establishments. in 'ācārva' was to teach everything to at least those staying on with him for a year,8 while many students would stay on in their teacher's house for twelve to thirty-two years, even after the Vedas were done.9 Hence the teacher of the later Vedic period must have had in his 'kula' sufficient accommodation of a permanent nature to provide for such prolonged stays and no doubt also frequent migrations 10: such provision must have been possible largely through the voluntary fees of sons of

1 Thus in Epic-Puranic tradition these are fully prosperous establishments, where princes are entertained sumptuously, and are quite comfortable places for them to be in residence for instruction.

tion.

Re. probability of this system, vide V.I., II, 75.

As the application of 'brahmacarya' to unmarried girls (who thus become fit for marriage) in the Av. shows, together with a number of actual cases known to Epic-Puranic tradition.

Buddhist Burmah still retains a trace, in its system of education, of this ancient Indian theory and practice.

The Epic-Puranic accounts always depict them as such; cf. the description in Mbh. Sakuntaiopo.

Taitt. Arap. VII, 3; cf. Taitt. Upan. I, 4 (students flocking from all sides.).

Cf. the quite reasonable numbers of residents said to have been killed in some rei aeramas by the Kalakeya raids of tradition. (That the Väsistha teachers of an earlier period had full classes' is shown by the famous 'frog hymn' in Rv.). In the

Classes is shown by the famous 'frog-hymn' in Rv.). In the
Jātaka tradition the average number of students resident with
renowned professors is 500.)

Sab. Brā. XIV., 1, 1, 26.27; cf. Ait. Aran. V, 3, 3.

Chānd. Upan. IV. 10, 1; cf. III, 11, 5; Taitt. Upan. I, 11, 1; etc.

For some left before completing a year, and migrations from
teacher to teacher were froquent, specially in the cases of
students who wanted solutions of special difficulties.)

nobles and princes,1 about which the Epic and other ancient traditions say a good deal. What the general plan of these 'kulas' were, we may gather from the terms ante-vāsin '2 and 'ācārya-kula-vāsin '3 used of the resident student: he dwelt near by, but in the outskirts as it were, yet it was all within the teacher's family home or establishment; i.e., the pupils' quarters were in separate blocks a little apart, which were still part of the same structural unit. We might discover in these 'ācārya-kulas' of the earlier epoch (residence in brahmacarya being known as early as the Atharva-veda4) the same general plan which characterizes the later monastic establishments,5 Buddhist or otherwise, -a quadrangular structure with cells on all sides and the shrine and abbot's cell in the centre of the quad, or with the cells on three sides and the East-facing block set apart for the abbot and the shrine. The 'parisads' of learned men, therefore (and the similar but mainly theological associations of the 'upanisads,' or sacred and 'secret' sessions to discuss the mysteries of theology), together with the 'ācārya-kulas' (of which they were probably special developments), may be looked upon as the later (or even early) Vedic beginnings, out of which the pre-Buddhistic and Buddhistic centres of learning of the 'residential university' type were evolved.

So also we find the prototypes of the Buddhistic trunk-roads and travellers' rest houses in Vedic conditions. ( Road-making indeed proceeded side by side7 with the Aryan settlement : with reference to the extension of settlements in the new land, the clearing of forests, and making of roads, gods like Agni and Pūṣan, and 'ṛṣis' (like the Roman 'pontifices') are called 'pathi-kṛṭ,' the path-makers.<sup>8</sup> The Vedic builders were not long content with forest-tracks or village-paths; for even in the Rgveda (and later Samhitas) we find the 'prapatha' or long journey by (broad) road, and the Atharva-veda refers to

1 (Cf. the teacher's prayer in Taitt. Upan. I, 4, for material prosperity along with influx of large numbers of students.)
2 Vide n. 9, p. 12; also, Sat Brā. V, 1, 5, 17; Brhad. Upan. VI, 3, 7; Taitt Upan. I, 3, 3; cf. Ait. Arap. III, 2, 6; Sānkh. Arap. VIII, 1, 1.
3 Chānd. Upan. II, 23, 2 (settling long therein). Cf. note 4 below. Brahmacaryena vas ': Av. VII, 109, 7; Ait. Brā. V, 14; cf. Av. XI, 5 (re the 'student').
5 Kg avernyelented in the sculptures of the 3rd and 2nd centuries B.O.

E.g. as represented in the sculptures of the 3rd and 2nd centuries B.O. 6 (Traces of whose elaborate structural arrangements are now being unearthed at the sites of Takşa-Silā and Nālanda.)

unearthed at the sites of Takşa-silā and Nālands. )

(If indeed roads were not there already; the cross-country roads feeding the sncient S.W. seaports, may have been much older than Arvan settlement. [The Sindh-Punjab excavations of 1924 seem to prove existence of such ports in the pre-Aryan India of the 3rd millennium B.C.] )

Vide refs. in VI., I, 489-490.

B.V. X., 17, 4.5; 55, 16; (cf. Ait. Brā. VII, 15). Kāth. Sam.

the 'parirathya' or road suitable for chariots.1 > 'Seta' is found from the Rg- and Yajur-vedas onwards,2 but the precise sense does not come out clearly. It has been held that a causeway of an ordinary type, merely a raised bank for crossing inundated land is meant, and that its use is probably metaphorical in Vedic literature; but a metaphorical use of a term can hardly come into existence unless there has been previous simple use of it, and the sort of structure indicated here would be quite natural to and characteristic of the Gangetic delta, but can hardly be referred to the pre-eminently Vedic regions (or Madhyadeśa): besides, there is no inconsistency in ascribing to the 'setu' the sense of a causeway of some 'special' structure, a dam or a bridge (more of use in the Vedic regions proper), when we find long road-journeys performed and drives constructed.3 (Later on (in the Brāhmanus) villages are connected with 'mahā-pathas' or high roads'; and causeways ('badvan') firmer than an ordinary road are known.5 A much earlier reference, to well-made pleasant cart-roads, on a higher level than adjoining fields, forests and other village-tracks, with great trees planted beside, passing through villages or towns, and with occasional pairs of pillars (i.e., gateways, evidently near the approaches of some town), is made in the Av., where bridal processions pass through such routes. 'Prapatha' in the Vajur-veda has also the sense of a 'broad road '7; while in Rv. itself 'prapathas' are also rest-houses, apparently on the 'prapatha' or high road, for the travellers, where 'khādi' or food may be obtained8; so also in the Av., where every 'tīrtha' along the bridal route is said to be well provided with drink, the

1 Av. VIII, 8, 22. Whitney translates 'rim'; but 'road' gives a better sense from the context, where a secrifice is likened to a chariot journey. Cf. Av. XII, 1, 47 (many roads, for people to go upon, 'vartmans' for chariots, and for the going of the cart, by which men good or had go about, free from enemies and robbers, v. 45 refers to mean constitutionally.

cart, by which men good or had go about, free from enemies and robbers; v. 45 refers to many countries with people of different speech and customs).

Rv. IX. 41, 2; Taitt. Sam. III, 2. 2, 1: VI, 1. 4, 9; 5, 3, 5; VII. 5. 8, 5; Kāth. Sam. XXVII, 4; Ait. Brā. III, 35; Taitt. Brā. II, 4. 2. 6: Sat. Brā. XIII, 2, 10, 1; Brhad. Upon. IV, 4, 4; Chând. Upan. VIII, 4, 1, 2; etc. Vide n. 8, p. 13, and n. 1 above.

Ait. Brā. IV, 17, 3; Chānd. Upan. VIII, 6, 2; (this agrees fully with early Buddhist references to such roads; vide also n. 6 below).

below).

Pañc' Brā, I, 1. 4; cf. Lāt. Sr. Sūt. I. 1. 23.

Av. XIV, 1, 63 and XIV, 2, 6. 7. 8. 9. 12. Such a road is 'ascended' from the village roads; it is possible that the 'pillar standing in the way' may refer to harrier posts, for the levying of toll or octroi on the trade routes.

Kāth. Sam. XXXVII, 14.

Rv. I, 166. 9 (Wilson; Trans. Rv. 2, 151). The reading 'prapadesu' is not necessary, as the connection between 'prapatha' the high road and 'prapatha' the rest-house is quite clear.

'tīrthas' are something like these 'prapathas' being rest-houses on the fords. The Av., and some Brahmanas and Sutras, mention the 'avasatha,' which, though literally meaning dwelling, is not used in the general sense of abode till much later,2 but which is used there in a special sense,3 a structure of some sort for the reception of guests, specially of brahmans and others on the occasion of feasts and sacrifices; it may have been something like the later 'dharma-śālās' or guest- and rest-houses,—though not necessarily on the high road. (Travelling indeed seems to have been quite common: dwelling abroad and residence in foreign countries is mentioned in the Rv. itself,4 and the Av. has got its ceremonies for return from 'pravāsa' (along with the Grhya Sūtras),5 and vividly describes the weary merchant's homecoming; while the Yv. Samhitās know of 'vāyāvaras' or travelling mendicants, probably the predecessors of the itinerant monks of the 7th and 6th centuries B.C.. The appellation 'Prapathin' given to a Yadava prince in the Rv.7 may probably indicate that princes of those times, like their successors a few centuries later, were already makers of long roads and philanthropic rest-houses.

Building-activities, indeed, developed in Vedic times not only through the needs of social and corporate life, as in the case of the 'gosthi,' the 'vidatha,' the 'sabha,' and the like, but also through the kings and lesser chieftains.8 (In speaking of ancient Indian polity it is still customary to call up a vision of a sole monarch towering above a dead level of agricultural population; but evidence for the Vedic and Buddhistic periods does not point to such Chaldaean simplicity. It rather appears

Av. XIV, 2, 6. E.g. Ait. Upan. III, 12.

Av. VII, 60, 1-6; cf. Aéval. Grh. Sūt. 1, 15; Sankh./Grp. Sut. II, 17; etc.
Taitt. Sam. V, 2. 1, 7; Kāth. Sam. XIX. 12. ((The Epic tradition also assigna 'yāyāvara' sects, to which Jarat-Kāru belonged, to the period immediately after the close of the Bgvedic.)
Rv. VIII, 1, 30 (the prince lauded for his superior weapons, horses and 'prapathas'). It is noteworthy that the name is given to a 'Yādava' prince, Asanga, who may be placed at the close of the Rgvedic period (being apparently the same as Asanga, the son or grandson of Satrājit and a near relative of Kṛṣṇa); tradition ascribes (cf. Mbh., Hariv. & Br.) much building activity in S.W. Iudia to the Yādavas of the Rgvedic period, and all that is known of ancient commercial activities, points to the early development of communications in those regions.
It would be most unusual, if they were not so developed. (Even the

It would be most musual, if they were not so developed. (Even the potty Phiokla and other princes landed in the Rv. were evidently spalent, and there were greeter and more famous kings than these).

<sup>Av. IX. 6, 5 (entertaining brāhmans); Taitt. Brā. I, 1, 10, 6; III, 7, 4, 6; Sat. Brā. XII. 4, 4, 6; Chānd. Upau. IV, 1, 1; details in the Sūtras: Apast. Sr. Sūt. V. 9, 3; Apast. Dh. Sūt. II, 9, 25, 4.
Rv. VIII. 29, 8.
Av. VII. 60, 1-6; cf. Aśval. Grh. Sūt. 1, 15; Sāńkh/Grh. Sūt.</sup> 

that between the King and the common people there were intermediate ranks of a fighting nobility, analogous to the medieval knighthood of Europe or Rājput India. We must assume, for the Vedic (even Buddhistic) period, some such significance attaching to the well-known terms 'rajanya' and 'kşatriya' (and other cognate words). Apart from this, it would appear that such a class is referred to in the 'ibhyas.' rich lords (in fact 'ibhya' later on' becomes a synonym for rich and noble), possessing retainers or elephants (privileges traditionally indicating lordliness),2-whom the King is said to devour as fire the forest.3 That the 'ibhyas' were nobles is quite clear, but what 'ibha' means is not equally so: Pischel and Geldner follow Sayana and Mahidhara's comments on the word in some passages in making it equivalent to elephants4; but though this meaning is common later on, it is not so as we go back; for the Nirukta gives both elephant and retainer as equally good meanings,6 while the Asokan inscriptions have it in the sense of 'vaisya' or subordinate.6 This latter use is significant for it shows that ' ibha ' really had a special political or constitutional meaning. Hence, in the Revedic and Yajurvedic passages where it occurs, oit is better to take it in the sense of retainers and vassals, with Roth, Ludwig and Zimmer8; this entourage8 may well have included, besides servants and dependents, members of the 'ibhya's' own family, and young cadels from subordinate families of chieftains (specially in the case of princes).10 The existence of such lords is indicated also by the use of 'vesa' in all the

1 Chand. Upan. I, 10, 1, 2; etc. (Vide V. I., p. 80, for other refs.). Even here the sense may be 'grama' belonging to an 'ibhya' or nobleman, and hence 'having retainers and elephants.' i.e., 'rich.'

The Greek writers noted this for India of their time; cf. the epic story of King Dhytarashra of the Kurus remonstrating with a brahmana for possessing an elephant.

Rv. I, 65, 4. (This relationship is a commonplace in 'Rajadharma'

3 tradition)

tradition).

Sty. and Mahi. on Taitt. Sam. I. 2, 14, 1 and Vāja. Sam. XIII, 9; with Pischel and Geldner: Ved. Stud. I, xv—xvi.

Nir. VI, 12.

Cf. Bühler: Z.D.M.G., 37, 279, on Edict. No. 5.

Rv. I, 84, 17; IV, 4, 1; IX, 57, 3; VI, 20, 8 (the Velic proper name or title 'Smad-ibha' or Great Bran), Taitt. Sam. name or title 'Smad-ibba' or Great prau, 1ave.
1, 2, 14, 1; Vāja, Sam. XIII, 9.
Roth: Dict., s.v.; Ludwig: Trans. Rv. 3, 246-7; Zimmer: Alt.

Leb. 167.

Leb. 167.

Leb. 168.

Leb. 168.

Leb. 169.

m Of. voung princes of petty states in the entourage of the bigger King Javadraths, who serve him as standard-bearers, messengers, etc.,-in Mbh.

Samhitasl in the sense of vassal tenant or dependent neighbour: Geldner<sup>2</sup> is content with the meaning of a neighbour or member of the same village community; but this view is not tenable, as 'vesya' in Rv. is used definitely in the sense of dependence, and 'vaisya' in Taitt. Sam. plainly means servitude (besides other derivatives used in the Samhitas with similar significance); again, the sense of neighbour belongs not to 'vesa' by itself but to 'prati-vesa,' (also used in the Samhitas), literally 'fellow-vassal,' hence a neighbour, the earlier word for it being 'nahus,' of Indo-germanic origin. That vassalhood to a lord was not uncommon is indicated by expressions (in the Atharva-veda and some Brahmanas) like 'nātha-kāma' or 'nātha-vid, '7 referring to men seeking the protection of lords, probably much as the protection of Anglo-Saxon earls and Norman barons was sought by the ordinary freeholder or cultivator.8

Now it follows from all this, that from the early Vedic times onwards there existed something like a feudal military baronage, connected with kings on the one hand and dependent vassals on the other, wealthy enough to excite the cupidity of the former and enjoy princely prerogatives, and powerful enough to protect the vassals who sought them. All this however would be impossible without something like baronial strongholds or other similar specialized structure. Evidently these are to be found in some at least of the Vedic ' purs.' According to this view the invocation of the king (in the Rājasūya) as ' purām bhettā '9 gains appreciably in significance : an anti-baronial king fighting for suzerainty and order would certainly be better fitted for such eulogy than a simple ' breaker or sacker of cities,' which would be more to Assyrian taste. So also this view gives a better meaning to 'pur-pati' fof the Rv.) 10 than that of a 'a regular official, like "gramani."

a Rv. IV, 3, 13; V, 85, 7; X, 49, 5 (prob.); Vāj. Sam. (Kān); II, 5, 7; Mait. Sam. I, 4, 8; II, 3, 7; IV, 1, 13; AV. II, 32, 5; vide also notes 3-6 below.

Geldner: Ved. Stnd. 3, 136, note 4.

Rv. IV, 26, 3; VI, 61, 14.

Taitt. Sam. II, 3, 7, 1.

'Vedas' and 'parivedas' in the sense of chief and subordinate

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Vetas' and 'parivetas' in the sense of chief and subordinate tenants of the King, as opposed to 'Ksellakas' or petty proprietors, in Av. II, 32, 5; 'vetstva' in Kāth. Sam. XII, 5. (Cf. St. Pet. Dict., s.v. 'vets' and 'vetstva').

Bv. X, 66, 13; Taitt, Sam. II., 6, 97; Vāj. Sam. XI, 76; Kāth. Sam. XXXVL, 9; Sat. Brā. IV, 1, 5, 2; Taitt. Upan. I, 4, 3.

Av. XIII, 2, 37; XI, 1, 15; (cf. Pafic. Brā. XIV, 11, 23); Av. IV, 20, 9; IX, 2, 17; XVIII, 1, 13; Taitt. Brā. I, 6, 4, 1.

Cf. the Epic case of a robbed cattle-owner approaching Arisma for protection; and the epic maxim that first a 'rajan' is to be selected or chosen, then a house may be established,—where 'rajan' is subther such a haron than the 'great king.'

Vide V.I., II, 219, for refs. to 'caja-adys' passages.

Bur. L 173, 30; (cf. Ludwig: Trans. Ev. 2, 204; and V.L. E. 13-14.)

in charge of a permanently fortified settlement, or 'a temporary commander of a temporary fort or garrison '(which latter is held to be more probable), -viz., 'lord of a castle,' an 'ibhya' or 'natha.' Such a view is further supported by the fact that some of the 'purs' had names ascribed to them, such as Patharu, Urjayanti, or Narmini, while some of these names were derived from those of chieftains possessing them, e.g. from Narmin(a),5 or Sambara (his forts being called 'Sambaras ' in neuter plural).6

('Pars' were owned as often by the chiefs of the earlier population as by the new-coming Vedic Aryans; Pipru of the black brood 'possessed many forts," and we hear of the castles of Cumuri, Dhuni and others, in all probability Dasa chiefs, while to Sambara the Dasa hero are ascribed 90, 99 or 100 'purs.' The real existence of the Dasas as a distinct people<sup>16</sup> in the Rgvedic times seems to be beyond doubt. The Dāsas have their ' visah,' and are classed as a ' varna '11; they were often dwellers in the mountainous regions12; they had great wealth themselves,13 and wealthy Aryan chiefs were those who had 'dasa-prayarga rayih' or wealth consisting of troops of 'dasa' slaves14; and the women of the Dasas are found as slave-girls and concubines.15 It is thus quite unnecessary to take Pipru, Sambara and others as other than real aboriginal but civilized Dasa chieftains, whom the Vedic immigrants had found it not easy to dislodge from their numerous strongholds in the country. As however they were being ousted step by step, their forts would naturally pass into Aryan hands, and become Aryan baronial strongholds, whence the 'nathas' pur-patis' might protect the 'vesas.' Sometimes 'purs' may have formed parts of the 'gramas' themselves16; in these

Belonging to Narmars, a prince (Ludwig) or a demon (Roth: St. Pet. Dict. s.v.); Rv. II, 13, 8 (Ludwig: Trans. Rv. 3, 152).

Rv. I, 149, 3 (Ludwig: Trans. Rv., 3, 204).

Vide n. 4 above, and St. Pet Dict. s.v.; (Oldenberg: Rv. noten, I, 148; SBE. 46, 177).

V.L., II, 356. Rv. I, 51, 5: VI, 20, 7. Rv. I, 51, 5: VI, 20, 7.

Rv. VI, 18, 8 (cf. VI, 20, 15; 26, 6; IV, 30, 21; II, 13, 9; X, 113, 9; II, 15, 9; VII, 19, 4).

Rv. I, 120, 7; II, 19, 6; IL, 14, 6; II, 24, 2.

Rc Sambara as a real Dāss, cf. Rv. 1, 130, 7; IV, 30, 14; VI, 26, 5.

For references vide V.I., I., 356-358.

Rv. II, 12, 11; IV, 30, 14; VII, 26, 5.

Rv. I, 176, 4; IV, 30, 13; VIII, 40, 6; X, 69, 5; Av. VII, 90, 2.

Rv. I, 92, 8; cf. I, 158, 5 (Geldner: Rv.-glossar: 82).

Vide other notes rc 'dast.'

Va consequence by Zimmer: Alt. Leb. 142, 143 (cf. 'grāma-durga's in

11

13

14

As conjectured by Zimmer: Alt. Leb. 142, 148 (of. 'grama-durga's in Puranic tradition).

The rarity of the word does not necessarily prove the temporary character of the command; it is equally accounted for by the fact that naturally the rais would be less familiar with the 'pur-pati' than with the 'grāmaql).
 Rv. I, 112, 7 (Luwdig: Trans. Rv. 3, 304). (Sāyana takes it as a man's name).

cases a whole clan or band of Aryans instead of mighty chiefs may have overpowered and entered into possession of some minor Dasa stronghold, and then made it the basis of their 'grame' settlement. On the whole the view of Zimmer, and others after him,1 that Vedic India knew of nothing more solid and complex than the hamlet, like the early Germans and Slave who had no castle-structures and town-life, is an extreme one; for it is now being realized more and more as a basic fact that the Vedic Indians, like the Iranians, Hellenes and Italians, were superimposed upon an earlier civilization, in all probability of the same type (and maybe of cognate origins) as in the other three cases, and were similarly affected as regards religion, arts and crafts.3 The Germanic parallels therefore should not be carried too far. Thus it becomes quite reasonable to find in 'pṛthvī,'5' 'urvī,'5' 'śatabhuji,'6' 'aśmamayī,'7 or 'āyasī'8' 'purs,' jor the massive. extensive, hundred-walled, stone-built, or iron-protected forts, vivid descriptions of new and wonderful things the Vedic heroes actually saw; and the rather forced explanations discovering in them mysteries of myths and fancies of metaphor become unnecessary. The main difference, originally, between the Dasa and the Aryan purs must have lain in the materials used (which depended on the nature of the country they were familiar with), large sections of the former being acquainted with the Vindhyan and Central Indian granites and metal ores,10 the latter with timber-work mainly. But adaptations from one another seem to have occurred quite early: Susna, apparently a Dasa enemy, used 'pur carisnu,' or small

Summarized in V.I., I, 538-540. [Extensive remains of this earlier Indian civilisation (cir. 5,000 to 2,000 B.C.) have very recently been discovered in the Punjab and Sindh. Many of the suggestions and inferences in this work, based upon literary evidence chiefly, will be found to be remarkably corroborated by these archeological discoveries. These also make it almost certain that the W. Asiatic or Minoan civilisations had much in common with this earliest Indian civilization which was their source both racially and culturally. This field of investigation promises to be most fruitful for Puranic scholars and epigraphists.]

Thus it is demonstrable from traditional accounts that Vedic Brahmanism itself was originally non-Aryan (cf. Pargiter: AIRT).

There is really very little of common conditions.

There is really very little of common constant.

Rv. I, 183, 2.

Rv. I, 186, 8; VII, 15, 14.

Rv. IV, 36, 30.

Rv. IV, 36, 30.

Rv. IV, 36, 30.

Rv. IV, 58, 8; II, 10, 18; 20, 8; IV, 27, 1; VII, 5, 7; 15, 4; 85, 1;

K, 101, 8 (cf. Muir. Sans. T. 22; 578ff.).

Ci. n. 12, p. 18; (the hill-tracts referred to would appear to be mainly Vindhyān, if the traditions regarding the distribution of pre-Ails races are taken along with it; so also according to these traditions the Ailas came through North Himalayan regions into the plains just below, an area still famous for timber art and architecture.

Iron and copper smelting by using surface-coal is almost a pre-limitoric achievement of the Dravido-Kolárian races al N. E. Deccan.

moveable forts,1 evidently constructed of timber; it could only have been either erected on trucks with four or more wheels to be drawn by horses or elephants, or composed of adjusted arts easily dismentled or put together, a sort of camp-tower's; so also the Aryans had their 'pasya' parts easily or stone-bulwarks, but the use of this word to denote also the stone slabs for pressing 'soma,'s shows that such defences were a later acquisition. 'Dehi,' a defensive construction of some sort, is used specially of non-Aryan defences, though not invariably; it might mean either hasty defences thrown up against an enemy, or more permanent earthworks and dykes, or rubble rampart and trench going together, -which last is the most suitable sense. It is likely that these 'dehis' are the 'saradi' or 'autumnal' forts ascribed apparently to the Dasass; these may have been more or less temporary earthworks, ramparts or trenches, constructed every autumn to meet fresh campaigns of the Aryans'; but in the course of time 'dehis' found to be of strategical service would come to be permanently used.10

Rv. VIII, 1, 2-8 (cf. Hillebrandt: Ved. Myth. 1, 300 n.; 3, 289 n.). Like the later 'rathas,' e.g. as represented at Kopārak. Cf. temporary residences, like 'rathas,' built for kings in Rājasūya temporary residences, like sacrificial area,-in Mbh.

e construction may have been suggested by the 'ratha-vāhanas' in common use in the Vedic age, which were moveable stands for chariots, probably drawn by horses ('ratha-vāhana-vāhas') into the battle-field, where the chariots were then used in action. With this may be compared the many-wheeled stands used in the same way even in the present day for the 'divine' rathas'; these 'rathas' on stands indicate what the 'pur carianu' mast have been like. This makes it probable that the references to more than 4 wheels for a chariot are not mythical in every case. Thus something like a many-wheeled 'pur carianu' seems to have been thought of in Av. X. 2. 25ff, where the 'pur' of 'Brahman' is described as 8-wheeled and 9-doored. For 'ratha-vāhana.' vide:—Rv. VI, 75, 8; Av. III., 17, 5; Taitt. Sam. IV, 2, 5, 5=Kāth. Sam. XVI, 11=Mait. Sam. II, 7, 12=Vād. Dh. Sūt. II, 34, 35. Cf. also. Kāth. Sam. XXI, 10; Taitt. Brā. I, 7, 9, 6; Sat. Brā. V, 4. 3, 25ff. For 'ratha-vāhana-vāha,' wide:—Taitt. Sam. I, 8, 20, 1; Taitt. Brā. I, 8, 4, 3; Kāth. Sam. XV, 9; Mait. Sam. II, 2, 1. The construction may have been suggested by the 'ratha-vahanas' in

vanama-valus, vice:—Italtt. Sam. I, 8, 20, 1; Taitt. Brš. I, 8, 4, 5; Kāth. Sam. XV, 9; Mait. Sam. II, 2, 1.
Rv. I, 56, 6.
Rv. IX, 102. 2 Macdonell: J.R.A.S. 1893, 457—458).
Rv. VI, 47, 2; VII, 6, 5 (cf. Schrader: Preh. Ant. 344; Zimmer: Alt. Leb. 143).
Rv. I, 131, 4; 174, 2; VI, 20, 10.
Rv. I, 103, 3; III, 12, 6; IV, 32, 10.
It may be possible to connect 'dehi' with 'dih,' to smear or plaster, and thus to take it as a mud wall; but it is floteworthy that 'd(d)ihi' 'd(d)ih,' 'dah' or 'ds,' are quite common-place names in Bengal, Bihār and Chotānāgpur (regions where indipenous non-Āryan elements are often clearly traceable), have a nimilar implication of trench and ramparte, or a defensible area of high rugged sround (cf. the E. vern. expr. 'dah padā,' to get a wound like 'ditch and wall'). Probably the asciant place-name 'Vi-deha ('gha)' in to be traced from a 'dehi' fort; cf. 'dilā' in 'Vi-dala.' 'Val-dala' or 'Vi-skla', in the same region.
Thus giving rise to place-names with 'dehi' or its cognate words (and possibly even with 'pur').

Autumn indeed has always been the traditional season for military ventures in India, when the rains cease and the country becomes fit for marches, and the tradition probably goes back to pre-Aryan experience; it is difficult to see the point of the usual explanation that these structures were intended to afford shelter from the 'autumnal inundations' and were therefore of the nature of dykes. On the other hand the 'purs' which might, like fort Patharu, be saved by rain-storms from being set on fire,3 or in the siege of which fire was used, or again, which were full of kine ('gomati'), were evidently timber-built and characteristically Aryan. The Vedic 'gomati purs' are the prototypes (or paratypes) of the Epic 'go-grhas,' or fortified, extensive, cowstalls, the scenes of many knightly ventures,—and possibly the 'go-puras' of later architecture' are to be traced to this origin. The 'gomatī purs' must have originally been protected merely by earthen ramparts, with timber palisade and ditch. In some cases the palisade of an Aryan 'pur,' may have been only a hedge of thorn or a row of stakes fixed vertically and horizontally, serving to make the approach difficult for enemies: the Rgvedic 'durga' 10 may have primarily meant some such 'pur,' with thorn-hedge, stakes and ditches as hindrances to approach, but the meaning of a regular fort or stronghold may suit the passages equally well.11 'Vapra,' so frequent later on, occurs in the Av. in the sense of rampart,12

E.g. in V.I.

For, firstly, no floods usually occur in the autumn; secondly, these floods are not formidable in Madhyadesa.

Rv. I, 112, 7. Rv. VII, 5, 3. Av. VIII, 6, 23

Cf. the Epic (Bharatan) 'go-grhas,' and the arrangements for the cattle of the Bharats clan (in Ait, Brā.; vide antc.).

The association of the 'divine bull' with later 'go-puras' may not

be accidental.

Cf. Rv. X, 101, 8 (Zimmer: Alt. Leb. 143-145); also Bv. VIII, 53, 5 (Roth: Z. D. M. G., 48, 109).

55. 5 (Roth: Z. D. M. G., 48, 109).

This earlier fencing is represented in the later 'sala-protected' cities known to the Upanisads, and in the massiva Mauryan timber-palisades and stone railings.

Rv. V, 34, 7; VII, 25, 2.

In Rv. X, 85, 32=Av. XIV, 1, 64, 'durga' (difficult of approach and reached or passed by 'suga' ways) is used in a manner that indicates acquaintance with campaigns amidst hill-forts. Ancient place-names with 'durga' ('durg' or 'dray') are found chiefly in Central India and S. W. Deccan, and these are of strong rock-fortresses; this might throw some light on the type of forts meant by the Vedic 'durga.' Probably the epithets 'durgaha' (unapproachable) and 'girikgit' (rock-reader or rock-dweller) given to Mändhät; or other princes of his lime) refer to such forts, sp. as acc. to Par. tradition, Pareakutsa and his brothers etc., are connected with Deccan expeditions, and Mändhät also came into does contact with the S. W. Tädawas stc. (cf. also the Insvaku kingdom in the Narmada region, and the place-name Mändhät '= ano. Mählematt).

Av. VII, 71, I (Whitney: Trans. Av. 435-436).

but the reading is somewhat doubtful; while the equally familiar 'prakara' occurs only in the Sutras, and is used to denote a walled mound supporting either a platform and gallery

for spectators, or a palace ('prāsāda').
'Pur' and 'pura' in Vedic literature are probably not identical, as they are usually taken to be. 'Pura' in 'tripura' and 'mahāpura,' occurring in the Yv. Samhitās and Brahmanas, is evidently something much bigger: the reference is to cities with three 'purs' or three rows of fortifications and to great fortified cities, rather than to an ordinary 'pur' or fort with three concentric walls, and to a big fort only. This form 'pura,' again, occurs from the time of the Yv. onwards, when capital cities like Kāmpīla, had become familiar to brahmans; it is probable, however, that we have this form earlier still in the Rv., in the proper names 'Purandhi 'and 'Puraya, 5 which, like the name 'Nagarin' in the Brahmanas,6 may indirectly point to the existence of such 'puras' or cities' in the earlier period. (On the ground

1 Sanklı. Sr Süt. XVI, 18, 14. (These stages may however only Sānki. Sr. Sūt. XVI. 18, 14. (These stages may however only indicate the gradually growing familiarity of brālmaus as a whole with a pre-existent-court and city life;—which was clearly a late Vedic feature).
Taitt. Sam. VI. 2, 3; Kāth. Sam. XXIV, 10; etc. Sat. Brā. VI, 3, 3, 25; Ait. Brā. II, 11; Kaus. Brā. (in Ind. Stud. 2, 510).
Taitt. Sam. VI. 2, 3, 1; Kāth. Sam. XXIV, 10; Mait. Sam. III, 8, 1; Ait. Brā. I, 23, 2; Gop. Brā. II, 2, 7.
Tripura' is actually the name of a N. W. Deccān city in Purtradition; so is 'Satpura' in the same region: both connected with much fighting and romantic tales regarding the Yādavas

with much fighting and romantic tales regarding the Yadavas and their hostile neighbours, (cf. 'Dasa-pura,' also in the same

region.).
Rv. I, 115, 15; VI, 63, 9; ('puramdhi' occurs in other senses in Av. XIV, 56; Rv. I, 134, 5; Taitt. Sam. VII, 5, 18; etc.; vide

Av. XIV, 50; Rv. I, 134, 5; Taitt. Sam. VII, 5, 18; etc.; vide infra.).

Av. XIV, 50; Rv. I, 134, 5; Taitt. Sam. VII, 5, 18; etc.; vide infra.).

Ait. Brā. V, 30; Jaim. Upan. Brā. III, 40, 2.

Puramdhi' is explained by Sāyapa, as 'of great dhī'(!), and he takes 'vadhrimati' as a proper name (which is unlikely); as a princess is referred to, 'pura' in 'puramdhi' may appropriately be taken to mean 'city'; so also with 'pura' in 'puraya,' the name of a king (who gives away horses, slaves, cars, and 'pakva,' or brick-built houses). Proper names with 'pura' are not uncommon in the Pur. dynastic lists. For the form 'puramdhi,' cf. the later 'puramdhi!' 'Puramdhi' seems to have meant 'residing within a 'pura' or fortified capital,' i.e. a noblewoman or princess, such as 'Vadhrimati' was; for this sort of designation cf. 'Subhadrā Kāmpilavāsini' of Yv. and 'Subhadrā Dvārakāvāsini' of the Epic., Keith translates 'puramdhi' in Taitt. Sam. VII, 5, 18, by 'prolific woman'; but as the prayer shere is for 'this kingdom,' where the birth of a prince, an archer, a hero, a 'rathi' and a 'sabheya' youth, is also desired,— puramdhi in this group must correspond to 'sabheya' and mean what was later called 'nāgarikā'; cf. Rv. I, 134, 3, where a 'puramdhi' maiden is awakened at night by her lover's visit. In the Av. (XIV, l. 50) where a 'Puramdhi' is invoked in the marriage rites, the sense of 'prolific woman 'night suit, but it is more probable that it means there the guardian female desity of the 'pura,' and as auch (like Jarā-devi of the Magadhan capital in the Epic) a ferbility goddess. the Epic) a fertility goddess.

of the late occurrence of 'nagara' it has been held that citylife was not developed in Vedic period, and that possibly there were no towns. But 'nagara,' city, occurs definitely in an Aranyaka, which means a good deal, as it implies that the fame of the 'nagara' was wide and longstanding enough to have awakened interest even among the brahmans in the aranyas '3; then again, it is quite clear from the occurrence of 'Nagarin,' resident of a 'nagara' or capital city, as a proper name, and of 'Kauśāmbeya' (native of Kauśāmbī city) as an epithet,5 that cities were in existence in the earlier Brahmana period.) But at this point we lose sight of the 'nagara.' At the same time, from the Brahmanas backwards up to the Yv. Samhitas, we find a substitute, the 'pura,'s while we also get well-known names of cities for the period.7 Going further back, the city is no longer to be distinguished as such, but still there is the 'pur,' 'durgs,' and other cognate settlements involving many different structural types and grades. The inevitable conclusion is that the 'pur' is the prototype, the 'purs' is the developed city, and the 'nagara' is the fullfledged capital city. (It is to be noted that the sense of any ordinary town for 'nagara " is quite a modern one; even in classical literature 'nagara' always stands for the imperial capital, at any rate one claiming such status or traditions. This makes it quite probable that the first occurrence of ' nagara ' in the Brāhmana and Āranyaka age does not mean the first coming into existence of towns, but simply marks a stage in the history of Indian cities and of the struggle for overlordship among the principalities and peoples of Northern India following Vedic settlement, the principal 'pura' of the paramount tribe or state being designated 'nagara,' like naga or rocks,10 by way of pre-eminence in strength, or probably by way of reference to its stone walls or towers. The references in the Upanisads to 11- or 9-gated

Vide V.L., I, 538-540. Taitt. Arap. I, 11, 18; 31, 4. Cf. the brahmanical notice of Ayodhya as a 'grama.'

Vide ante. Sat. Brā. XII, 2, 2, 13; Gop. Brā. I, 2, 24.

Vide ante. E.g. Kāmpila, Asendīvant; Varanāvatī (Av. IV, 7, 1), or Kaušāmbi

above.

8 Also a wider class; 'purs' existed in the time of Bra.s and Upan.s also; e.g. Taitt. Bra. I, 7, 7, 5; Ait. Bra. I, 23; II, 11; 6at. Bra. III, 4, 4, 3; VI, 3, 3, 25; XI, 1, 1, 2-3; Chand. Upan. VIII. 5, 3; etc.

9 (Compare the account given in Mark. Pur. (xix, 41%) of the development of civilization. Here the 'pura' (big fortified town) is regarded as succeeding 'tortressee' in time, and preceding the royal capital 'nagra.')

16at Capital cities and royal castles (e.g. destr. of Indeapeastha) are always compared to rocks and peaks in the Egic.

citade's thus reveals a new appropriateness, in the compariof the corporate tribe; it becomes unnecessary to see in such pures' mere forts, and then to hold that 9 or 11 gateways ere fanciful, their number depending on the nature of the body which is compared?; no doubt only one gate in a city is mentioned in a Brahmana, but a comparison with 9- or 11-gated cities could hardly have occurred to people who had never seen more than one gate to a city; they may not have seen precisely 9 or 11 gates, but any other number, say 8 or 12, which is more probable, as the earliest references to town plans, e.g., in the Manasara, Megasthenes, or actual remains, -while they are all subsequent to the period in question,-all point to the number of gateways being 4, 8, or multiples of 4 even up to 64.5)

(The capital city, 'pura' or 'nagara' must have belonged to some king or ruling family; and we should expect to find ample references to the special edifices connected with them; but such allusions are rather general and meagre, until we come to the close of the Vedic period. It is not that court and city life did not exist in the Vedic age, while it did in the Epic; it is rather a superficial appearance due to the fact that the By. and other priestly literature had much less to do with court life than the epics and the Ksatriya traditions had; thus when we come to special sections of the Yv. Samhitas,7 which have some bearing on things regal, some more details do come forth. The Rv. knows of such a thing as a King's palace, and Verups has one.8 The 'harmya,' primarily denoting the Vedic house as a unity, including stables, etc., 10 very soon

was the number for Pāţaliputra; 4 gates were a corollary to the very ancient Indian plan, of cross-roads running in cardinal directions: and this would be the minimum, which could be embelhahed in multiplea.)

The only occasions of contact being bestowal of gifts, and even that contact was not with the greater kings known to tradition, but mostly with petty local chieftains. It is to be noted that as soon as Vedic priests come into intimate contact with flourishing Knowledge courts analysemently they mention Knowledge. Kuru-Pancala courts,—subsequently,—they mention Kampila and Asandivant and various other court details (vide infra).

Asabdivant and various other court details (wide infra).

R.g. in connection with the Rajasūya; vide infra.

Rv. II, 41, 5; VII, 83, 5.

Probably 'harmya' denotes a hig man's establishment from the begruning. Cr. its association with the stabling, senses or walls, and 'visiah' who are its immates (Rv. I, 121, 1).

Rv. I, 165, 4; IX, 71, 4; 78, 5; X, 43, 5; 73, 10; etc.; stabling stc.,—Rv. VII, 56, 16; cf. X, 106, 5.

Kath. Upan. V, 1 (11); Svetas. Upan. III, 18 (9); (cf. Schrader: Preh. Aut. 412; Muir: Sans. T. 5, 451; Weber: Ind. Stud. I, 229; Ludwig: Trans. Rv. 3, 203).

Keith: Ait. Arap. 185.
Sat. Brā. XI, 1, 1, 2, 3.

The point of the comparison lies in the odd numbers 9 and 11,—for the real 'puras' had gates of even number,—i.e. 4 or multiples of 4.

added on the qualification of being protected by a palisade or wall'; and in the Rv. itself we find a 'harmyesthah' prince standing probably on the roof, or rather the balcony, of his palace, ijust as any later Indian king would do to please his people. When the Av. thinks of a residence for Yama, it is a 'harmya.' The specialized structure of 'prasada' is however, explicitly referred to rather late in the post-Vedic literature.4 But it is clearly indicated in the earlier occurrence of 'ekavesman,'5 the towering prominent abode of the king as contrasted with the numerous houses of the people.

A quadrangular style of palace-structure (comparable with the old town-plan of 4 roads and gateways or multiples of them) is known as a main primary type in the Puranas (which appear to have got their technical information in common with the 'Silpa-sastras' from some earlier special treatise, and whose compilers, the Sūtas, were also specialist builders to kings). -and this is termed 'vairaja.'7 It is perhaps pertinent to see in 'varaja' a reference to the sort of 'harmyas' or residences the early Vedic chiefs raised for themselves on attainment of 'vairājya' or paramountcy of some sort; 'virāj' is a royal title in the Rv. and Av.,8 and is well recognized in Puranic tradition; but in Ait. Bra. it is said at that time to have been used in Uttara-Kuru and Uttara-Madra only9; hence, either the 'vairāja' type of palace-construction (known to the Purānas) was introduced into Indian Midlands (in the 8th century B.C.) from these Himālayān regions (whence the model form of Sanskrit speech also was derived in that age), or the style

- Rv. VII, 55, 6.
- 2 Rv. VII, 56, 16 (Geldner: Ved. Stud. 2, 278, n. 2; Alt. Leb. 149).
- 3 Av. XVIII, 4, 55.
- Adbhūta Brā., in Ind. Stud. 1, 40; cf. 'prākāra,' and 'prāsāda' rising on it : Sankh. Sr. Sut XVL, 18, 14.
- Sat. Bra., I, 3, 2, 14.
- Thus the chief architect to Janamejaya III (the Great) was a Pauranika Süta (Mbh.). It probably indicates that palacearchitecture and fortifications were pre-eminently a Magadhan development.
- Gar. Pur. XLVII, 19ff. (re palaces).
- 8 For refs. vide V.I., II, 304.
- Ait. Brå. VIII, 14, 5; this particular asems to be historically significant, as in the time of the Ait. Brå. (vide Pargiter: AIHT, 256, etc.) the (Southern) Kurus and Madwas had coased to exist as kingdoms, the former uniting with the Päncalas and ever retreating eastwards, the latter being lost altogather; the Kuru-Päncala Kings used the simple title of 'rāja,' as compared with the Eastern rulers, showing that they had decayed considerably.

was a more ancient one, continued even after the passing away of 'virāt'-ships of the early Vedic and Epic period.

Some details regarding the Vedic Kings' palace occur incidentally in connection with the court ceremonial of Rājasūya.1 During this the 'ratna-havis' rite was performed at the houses of the King's 'Ratnins,'-something like a cabinet of King's Friends, including the chief Queen and the Household Officers.2 These Ratnins' houses must have been round about or adjacent to the King's palace,3 being in the same royal and sacrificial area; and the separate houses of the sacrificing King's 'mahisī,' 'vāvātā,' and 'parivṛktī,' indicate the existence of a complex palace of the harem type. It is noteworthy that both these particulars are borne out by the details of the Mahabharatan court, traditionally assigned to about the same period as the compilation of the Yv. Samhitas. 4 Of the different offices a 'Ksattr' at the royal court might fill,5 the Satapatha names that of 'antahpuradhyaksa' or 'harem-superintendent' (which might be polished into ' chamberlain'), thus implying a full-fledged palace establishment. This is also indicated by the other alternative functions of the Ksattr, who might be the 'gate-keeper' (of the palace), with assistants called 'anuksattr,' or the 'distributor of the King's gifts, etc. ' Here also the epic accounts agree as to the functions of the Keattr, and the elaborate court-life implied.7 Thus it may reasonably be concluded that what is hinted at in the meagre references of the priestly texts is only given in full in the Epic, quite naturally. It is also noteworthy that chiefly those details re royal establishments are given in the former, with which a sacrificial priesthood would be most

Taitt. Sam. 1, 8, 9, 1ff; Taitt. Brâ. I, 7, 31ff; Sat. Brā. V, 3, 1, 1ff.—Mait. Sam. II, 6, 5; IV, 3, 8; Kāth. Sam. XV, 4.

<sup>2</sup> This group of King's Ratnas is practically the same in Keatriya tradition also. Cf. Vāyu. 57, 70.

<sup>3</sup> The plan is fully traditional; so also in Mughal imperial seats, where many early Hindu plans and symbolisms were adapted (vide Havell), the houses of the chief adviets and nobles were blocks in the same palace area, along with the separate establishments of the chief queens and princesses.

<sup>4</sup> Vide Pargiter: AIHT, pp. 318, '20, '21, '23, '24, etc.

<sup>5</sup> Vide details of refs. in V.I. I, 201; the function of 'disburser,' in Rv., Av., etc.; that of 'gate-keeper,' in Yv. and early Bra.s.

<sup>8</sup> Vaja Sam. XXX, 11; 13.

<sup>7</sup> Of the case of Vidura, who filled the first and the last offices at the Kuru and Pandava courts from time to time.

acquainted; thus, again, Janamejaya-Pārikṣita's capital is called by the general epithet of 'Asandīvant' (possessing the throne), instead of the famous Hāstinepura, showing that these brāhmans were usually shown into a 'throne'-room or audience-half of the King, and that was all that they saw of the court; the other things striking them being, the awful 'gate-keeper' with his staff, the royal disburser of gifts which they appreciated, and the 'harem-superintendent' who conveyed to them reverence and presents from the court ladies.

One of the King's 'council' of 'Ratnins' was a 'Grāmaṇī' which post was the highest ambition of the prosperous 'Vaiśyas'; he may have been elected or nominated from the many 'grāmaṇīs' of the state. This makes it quite possible that through these selected and aspiring 'grāmaṇīs' imitations of the royal court, and its style and structures spread into their respective 'grāmas' and 'mahāgrāmas' (the bigger villages or townships). Thus a 'grāma' also diad its 'sabhā,' where the 'grāmyá-vādin' held court; some 'grāmas' may also have had 'purs,' where the 'pur-pati,' a 'nātha' or 'ibhya,' would play the king.

Apart from these, the 'gramas' must have had other constructive activities (individual or joint), of maintaining

- Ait. Brā. VIII, 21; Sat. Brā. XIII, 5, 4, 2; cf. Sānkh. Sr. Sūt. XVI, 9, 1.
- 2 These points are copiously illustrated in all traditional stor. A regarding the connections between brahmans or rais and the courts.
- Taitt. Sam. II, 5, 4, 4; Mait. Sam. I, 6, 5; cf. Weber: Ind. Stud. 10, 20, n, 2; also Sat. Brā. V. 3, 1, 5. For references to the post of 'grāmani' in Rv., Yv., Av. and Bra.s, vide V.I., I, 247, n. 25-28 and 31.
- 4 It is however possible that the 'grameqi' here is the 'mayor of the capital city'; if Ayodhyā could be called a 'grama,' a city official also could be called a 'gramani' by retired rais knowing no better.
- 5 Jaim. Upan. Bra. III, 13, 4.
- The idea of introducing styles of the capital city into other towns and villages is quite ancient, being referred to in the Vats, Ka-Sütra as one of the primary functions of the metropolitan 'goşthis' (a much earlier institution).
- 7 Also 'samiti' and 'amantrana:' vide ante.
- The anciest Kastriya ballads (e.g. re Prthu) in the Par mention "grains-durgas" as maneoussary or disappearing under a strong ideal king; these would thus seem to have been assisting like "adolterine carties."

the interconnecting1 roads,2 or setting up grain-stores.3 References to structural forms in the Vedic village are in fact fairly numerous,4 though nowhere described systematically, 'khala's (floor of the granary), 'upanasa's and 'urdara'? (granary)' indicate grain-storing arrangements in the village for the earlier period also. The village well ('avata,' for the earlier period also. 'kūpa '9) had already its mechanism of water-wheels, 10 etc.; and dams 11 ('vartra') 12 were constructed to form tanks. 13 These structures could not have been of a rude primitive type, as the Aryans must have found these agricultural and irrigational arrangements already fully developed in the Dravidian village communities.14

The house construction outlined in the Atharvaveda15 evidently refers to the ordinary type of dwelling-house in a village settlement, such as a brahman would either himself possess, to or consecrate with mantras for the villagers under his ministration. Such a house was apparently characterized by these features: -(1) 'Upamit's 'pratimit's and 'parimit's: which seem to mean timber pillars and beams, in various

Chand. Upan. VIII, 6, 2,

In the Jatakas irrigation works are the joint concern of more than one settlement (e.g. of Sakyas and Kolyas).

Byhad. Upan. VI, 3, 13. These grain-stores must have been (as they are even now sometimes) quite large and complex structures, they are even now sometimes) quite large and complex structures, of timber and bamboo, plastered walls and raised platform or stone bases, cylindrical, and with round dome-shaped top; a late medieval brick and stone model of such a capacious 'golā' ('round') is the famous imposing 'gol-ghar' of Pāṭnā; there may have been other masonry 'golās' in sarlier times also; it is very likely that one of the sources of the 'stūpa' style is this village grain-store (with 'precious deposits') guarded with fencing, which was translated into stone. This might account for the 'Yakṣa' and 'Srī' sculptures in the early Stūpa architecture. (Srī as a goddess is known to Sat. Brā.). de ante for explanation.

- architecture. (Sri as a goddess is known to Sat. Bra.).
  Vide ante for explanation.
  Rv. X, 48, 7 (Nir. III, 10); cf. Av. XI, 3, 9; VIII, 6, 15; Mait.
  Sam. II, 9, 6.
  Av. II, 14, 2; cf. Rv. X, 105, 4; the sense is a probable one; (cf.
  'mahanasa').
  Rv. II, 14, 11 (Sāyaṇa).
  Vide V.I., I, 39-40.

Un Bv. and onwards; vide V.I., I, 177.

Cf. 'kūcakra' (so taken by Zimmer: Alt. Leb. 157): Rv. X, 102, 11. 'Sūda' (in Rv. VII, 56, 5; IX, 97, 4; and in 'sūda-dohas,' VIII, 69, 3) may be-well. 'Paršu' in Rv. I, 105, 8; X, 35, 2) may mean the masonry sides of a 'kūpa' with metal fittings, or ribbed, so as to resemble sickles. Similar ancient burnt clay pipes for shielding the sides of wells may be seen in the Paina Museum.

Cf. 'sūzmi' (in Rv.) = water-pipes, and dug out water channels for 11 irrigation.

Or 'varta.' Av. L, 3, 7; Taitt. Brs. I, 6, 9, 1.
'Vār,' from Rv. onwards; 'vesants' (and variants) from Av. onwards; vide V.I., II, 287 and 326, respectively.
For the Drawidian basis of Aryan villages, vide Raden-Powell. 13

Av. IX, 3; III, 12.

It seems that purchase of such a house "with an 'udara' of greasures" is referred to in Av. IX, 3, 15.

positions, vertical, horizontal and slanting.1 (2) 'Vamés's: entire bamboos, probably used mainly for the framework of the roofing, the central horizontal bamboo, supported on the 'sthuna' or main pillar, being pre-eminently the 'vamsa. (3) 'Aksu': either, the wicker-work or split-bamboo lining, over which the thatch was laid, and to which the description of 'thousand-eyed' could aptly be applied; or, a net, spread over the 'visuvant,' to keep the straw-bundles of the thatch intact during stormy weather.4 (4) 'Palada's and 'trna': bundles of hay, straw, or long reedy grass, for the 'chadis' (thatch), and probably for filling in or lining the walls. (5) 'Visuvant': the ridge on the top of the roofing, looking like parted hair. (6) Various 'ties' joining the parts together, which evidently refer to bamboo and cane or rope work; and ' sikva '8: suspensory arrangements (like slings of strong net,

This is more probable than bamboo posts and props, as 'vamas' is separately mentioned; so also in Rv. I, 59, 1 and IV, 5, 1, 'upamit'=pillar, probably of timber. Cf. the similar feature

in the Bengal 'stcala.'

This term became early a technical one, denoting the main beam or Inis term became early a technical one, denoting the main peam or ridge of any structure: e.g. the architectural sections of some Puranas know of the 'yamas' of a fort or palace, where it cannot mean bamboo. Cf. the sense of 'beam' in Sankh. Aran. VIII, 1 and in 'sala-vamas,': Ait Aran III, 2, 1.

This is better than 'thatched covering' or 'pole with countless boles'; it corresponds to the 'catai' and 'jali' of modern structures; cf. the current description 'cokh-cokh' ('with many eyes') of such wicker-work linings.

Thousand and 'would analy countly to such covering not which

'Thousand eyed' would apply equally to such covering net, which may have been of ropes or split-cane; this sense is perhaps better, as 'akau' is said to the stretched as 'opsa' on the 'visuvant,' so that the net would correspond to the finer net

'vişuvant,' so that the net would correspond to the finer net used to hold together the coiffure and stray curlsth 'palada' and the cognate forms 'palali (='yava' -straw:
Av. II, 8, 3), 'palava' (Av. XII, 3, 19; Jaim. Upan. Brš. I,
54, 1), and 'palala' (= straw: Kaus. Sut. LXXX, 27), may
be compared the Eastern vernacular 'powal,' siso a term specially
used in house-building. A long grass, 'sirki' is atill used in
N. Bibar for such protective linings.

5 (As it actually does even now, the cut ends of the bundles of hay along the top being turned inside down and bound, so that the slong the top being tarred inside down and bound, so that the loose ends fall on either side. For the simile, cf. the 'akgu' spread over the roof is like an 'opasa' (woman's coiffure); the house itself is likened to a 'vadhü' (and carried like her, on waggons probably, when dismantled); it (i.e. its spirit) is addressed as 'mānasys patnī,' and is 'clothed' in grass, etc.; and the wife enters the new house first. (So also in subsequent thought the wife is 'grhin!' as well as 'grha.' Of, 'the nest upon nest and vessel upon vessel' of the Av. verse in connection

with this house). )
E.g. 'samdamsa,' 'prānāha,' etc.
The modern 'sike' (Beng.), suspended from the roof to hold vessels The modern 'sike' (Beng.), suspended from the roof to hold vessels and gourds, oct.; they are sometimes made of women came and ornamental designs. This may well have been the origin of the 'cenamental hangings' of later classical styles, as illustrated in Ajantā cave temples, "just as the whole of this type of dwelling. Fouch is the source of many later stone-architectural features."

Griffith's Ajantā Plates, No. 6, 10, 13; sad Kessow's Karphramafifari, n. 289, referred to in Whitney and Lancasse 1 Av. p. 635, where 'sikya' is taken as such 'ornamental hangings.' etc.). (7) 'Ita'i which must be fine clay or unbaked bricks, rather than 'reedwork,' used to finish off the walls, or floor or basement. (8) Several side-rooms with a central halls (as indicated by 'paksas,' 'wings,'s 'agnisals,' the hall of

With this 'ita,' of. the Eastern vern. forms 'át' (W. Beng.), 'itā' (E. Beng. and Bihār, etc.), 'itāwā' (S. Bihār and Ch. Nāgpur). That 'it' originally meant 'clay,' is shown by the expressions 'kāñcā' it' and 'pākkā it' (= 'pakva'). and the term 'etel' or 'aitla' used of fine river clay, suitable for bricks. Unburnt bricks and such clay are still used to finish and line the reed-walls or wattle. 'Ita' occurs in only another passage of Av. VI, I4, 3." In both the Av. passages, 'ita'=clay (or unbaked brick in IX, 3) suits better than the usual rendering of bulrush or reeds; in VI, 14, 3. it would mean the river-clay or silt washed away every year, and in the other passage it would mean the clay-plastering or 'kādcā-iṭ'-facing, which had to be dismantled while the doors were taken off (cf. the application of the verse in Kaus. Süt., -66, 24). It is evident that this 'iṭa' 'iṭ,' etc.) has been sanskritised into 'iṣtaka' by analogy; the original word seems to have been pre-Aryan. with an r or l associated with the t, the relic of which may be seen in 'etel' and 'āitlā' (and place-names like 'Itli' (Beng.) or 'Iţārsi' (C. P.) ). So also, in Tāmil (in the mod. form of which 't' is pronounced 'd'), 'it(d)a,' + means to 'dig or dig out'; and 'ia-pu' and 'ia-van' (with which cf. 'iṭawā' above, the place-names 'Iṭāwā' (south U. P.) and 'Iḍāvā' (Travancore), and Tāmil 'adam' = site, house) mean 'clod of earth.' The Tāmil for brick is 'ittikā'; probably this is the original of 'istakā'; cf. the curious question in Sat. Brā. X, 5, 1, 5, as to the (fem.) form 'istakā,' and its fanciful answer: the real explanation is the original Dravidian form 'ittika' (or the like,-the ending representing the Tam. suffix 'vaka'). The use of clay and bricks therefore would seem to have been a Dravidian feature (of the Gangetic country) early introduced amongst the Vedic Aryans (This is confirmed by the curious Atharvavedic invocation of the dwelling-house as 'Idā' in the marriage ritual (Av. XIV, 2, 19); this 'Ida' of course corresponds to the Dravidian forms meaning dwelling-house, traces of which may be found in the very ancient place-names of Mithila (=Mithi+ila), Kampilla (=Kampi+illu; cf. Mavella), or (the (wide ante); (cf. 'vi-deha ('gha) ' and ' Vai-dai; (vide ante); cf. also Tāmil ' illu '=house, 'ida '=royal seat, ' ida-vakā '=principality, parish or abode, 'ida til '=in the 'seat' or homestead, eta.)

The Rgwedic proper name ' Ita' may well be derived from 'it,'

to wander, and would properly apply to a 'yāyāvara' rai: Rv. X, 171, 1; cf. 'Itant Kāvya': Kauş. Brā. VII, 4; Pañc. Brā.

XIV, 9, 16.

Is this connected with 'ida-sacrifice, which involved digging out? cf. 'utkara' - the waste earth thrown up by such sacrificial digging, and the detailed digging 'mantras' in the Yv. sacrificial ritual.

The features noticed here are characteristic of the famous 'Bangla'

(bungalow) style.

Ci. the 'paksa's of a 'sala' in: Kath. Sam. XXX, 5; Taitt. Bra. I, 2, 5, 1; such a side-room was probably the 'agara; ' Av. IV, the fire-altar,1 ' havirdhana, ' the (sacrificial) store-room, and 'patninam sadana,' women's apartments ('site and sest'), and with a covered 'verandah' running all around the house,2 at the four corners of which were four thick-set pillars, probably of clay and rubble, or bricks3; altogether a 'brhacchandas '4 house, on a large scale and of ample proportions, covered by a 'many-winged '5 roofing. The prominence of bamboo, wicker-work, straw, and various 'ties' in the construction, and other peculiarities noted above,6 clearly point to the lower Gangetic origin of this style. It is very remarkable that the Atharvaveda which describes it, is pre-eminently a book of the Angirasas, who are definitely located in and associated with the very same lower Gangetic provinces in Puranic tradition. Thus the Av. style of housing is Eastern

1 This must have been the central, room (cf. Agni as embryo within the many-winged house), to escape dangers of fire,—and also the front room which would be first entered (as is shown by many incidental references, e.g., in the Epics); it was also the Vedic sitting room, from the connection of the fire-alter with the sabha. The 'havirdham' would be either the adjoining back-room, or one of the smaller side-rooms, where the 'soma vehicle' could be dragged up and housed.

At least along the front and back, if a 2-'winged' house.

The 'verandah' and 4 thick pillars are inferable from the description in Av. IX, 5, 17:—'covered with 'trps' and olothed in 'palada,' the 'nivesan' is like a she-elephant with feet'; here the reference is evidently to the elephant with its fringed trappings and stout thick set logs, always clay-covered and clay-hued; the pillars could not have been of timber, for heavy timber work is incompatible with the 'bamboo' style; thus it is better to take them as rubble or raw-brick pillars; they cannot have been the 'upamils,' for these along with the slanting beams and the resultant angles would be filled in by walls (wattle or clarity or that they would be updating the beautiful the resultant angles would be resultant angles would and the resultant angres would be index in by walls (wattle or clay), so that they would be undistinguishable as four thick legs; thus these 'legs' were independent corner-pillars, which, being under the same thatch (=the elephant's body, whose very earne of the back is like the ridge of such a house), necessarily implies the 'verandah' border, a characteristic feature of the

implies the 'verandāh' border, a characteristic ressure or saw
Gangetic style.

'Chandas' here mey be compared with 'chānd' (Beng.), a parallel
structural term, implying the ideas of proportion, scale, or
measurement, which is also the sense of 'chandas' as applied
to proceedy; besides, as grains and cattle, as well as men are
included within this 'bphacchandas' house, 'proportions' would
suit better than 'roof,' 'of. also the 'atichandas' and other
'chandas' bricks of Yv. alter construction.

2, 4, 6, 8, or 10 'wings' (implying as many 'rooms'); cf. the
division of structures in Bengal according to 'roofing'; 'do-cālā'
's neor man's house): 'can-cālā (a thriving villager's house),

(a poor man's house); 'can-cala (a thriving villager's house), and 'at-cala' (a big open hall, used as the village 'sabha').

Vide foot-notes above.

7 It is not necessary to go to the Nilgiri Todas for the Vedic type of dwelling-house or for the originals of cave architecture (cf.

The significance of this point has been illustrated in several other sections of this dissertation.

This may be the significance of the 'first bomage to the greatness' of the house' being said from the 'priors' qualities (Av. IX. 8, 25).

(i.e. Deltaic) and Angirasa (brāhmanic); but it may have been very early introduced into other parts of N. India,1 with the westward progress of the Angirasas and other Eastern groups.2 Accordingly, references to some of its features are not rare in other parts of the Vedic literature as well.3

But this type of dwelling-houses cannot have been the only one in the Vedic ages, and other varieties must have developed according to regional conditions, etc. This is indicated by references to the use of materials other than the characteristically deltaic, for various structural purposes, e.g., of timber, burnt bricks, stone or metal; and by mentions of other ' parts' of houses, not named or prominent in the sketch of the above type. Thus doors and pillars do not form a special feature in this, but they are very frequently referred to in Vedic literature, and lead to various figurative uses. ' Dur.'4 the earlier and commoner word for door,5 has an

According to Chinese accounts (Ssu-ma-chien's Hist, of Chang-kien's career and embassies), hamboos were imported from the Gangetic Delta as far up as the outlying North Western region of Tā-biā

career and embnassies), hamboos were imported from the Gangetic Delta as far up as the outlying North Western region of Tā-biā (Bactria), as early as the 2nd century B.C., when it was regarded as a very ancient trade. The source of this overland and maritime trade in bamboos, etc. was S. China and adjacent Indo-Chinas. (This agrees with the affinity between East Indian and Indo-Chinese types of house-structure, and the fact that Further-Indian bamboos (being more solid) are still used in house structures in some parts of Bengal).

Vide Pargiter: AIHT., p. 219ff.

E.g.—"Vamsa': bamboo rafters or beam (Rv. I, 10, 1; Mait. Sam. IV, 8, 10, etc.). 'Aksu': (Rv. I, 180, 5 (prob.)). 'Sikya': (apart from Av. XIII, 4, 8, in) Taitt. Sam. V, 2, 4, 2, 3; 6, 9, 1; etc.; Sat. Brā. V, 5, 4, 28; VI, 7, 1, 16. Also 'chadis' (covering) and 'upamit,'—rather more general terms, not restrictable to the above type. ('Chadis': Taitt. Sam. VI, 2, 9, 4; 10, 5, 7; Vāj. Sam. V, 28; Ait. Brā. I, 29. Sat. Brā. III, 5, 3, 9, etc. Cf. 'chadis' of bridal wagon: Rv. 85, 10. 'Upamit': Rv. I, 59, 1; IV. 5, 1). But 'ita' and 'palada, 'etc., do not occur elsewhere. 'Atā' may be a primitive Aryan word, but the fact that it is used of "the doors of the sky," shows that the Vedic poet had in mind not a rectangular timber framework of bamboo (of. the style represented at the entrances to caves and cave temples), such as would properly belong to the above style ('Atā:' Rv. I, 56, 5; 113, 14; III, 45, 6; IX, 5, 5; Vājs. Sam. XXIX, 5). The door-fittings indicated by 'sydman' ('door-strap': Rv. III, 6, 1, 4), and 'dvāra-pidhāma' ('d

two to tech.

Rv. I, 68, 10; 113. 4; 121, 4; 188, 5; etc.

Der' in Rv. I, 13. 6; Av. VIII, 3, 29; XIV, 1, 65; Vāja. Sam.

XXX. 10; Sat. Brā. Xi. 1, 1, 2; etc.; 'dwāra' in Av. X, 8,

43 (nava-dvāra); and Ait. Brā. onwards.

implied sense of the whole house,1 and 'durya' (doorposts),2 'duryona 's and 'durona,' all signify the house itself; such use is an indication that much was thought of the timber doorway, on which was probably lavished all the skill of the Vedic carpenter and carver.51 'Skambha,' pillar (of timber),6 is often used figuratively; the somewhat later 'stambha' was probably sometimes a brick or stone one; 'sthanu's (the prominence of which is indicated in the use of 'sabhasthanu') and 'sthuna 's are other quite common and early names for pillars (of houses or other structures), made of timber as well as other materials10; and the 'sthuna-raja '11 must belong to a biggish complicated structure. Smaller timber posts were 'svaru 's12 and 'yūpa 's,15 used as

1 Thus 'dur-ya' (in masc. pl.) = 'belonging to the door, or to the house': Rv. I, 91, 19; X, 40, 12; Taitt. Sam. 1, 6, 3, 1; Vāj. Sam. I, 11.

8 (In fem. pl.) 'durya' = dwelling or doorposts: Rv. IV, 1, 9. 18; 2, 12; VII, 1, 11.

5 Rv. I, 174, 7; V. 29, 10; 32, 8.

8 Rv. II, 174, 7; V. 29, 10; 32, 8.

8 Rv. III, 1, 18; 25, 5; IV, 13, 1; V, 76, 4; etc. Av. VII, 17, 3; Vāj. Sam. XXXIII, 72, etc.

5 For such skilled artisans, cf. 'takṣan's: Rv. X, 86, 5; Av. XIX, 49, 8; cf. Rv. I, 161, 9; III, 60, 2; 'tvaṣṭr': Av. XII, 3, 35; also 'taṣṭr' in Rv. (vide V.I., I, 302). These artisans could make decorated and inlaid (pis) bowls like the starry night (Av. XIX, 49, 8), or the lotus (the 'puṣkara' bowl of ritual), and could produce 'ripam sukṛtam' (sculptured designs and friezes!) with their chisels, and bowls had such carvings in relief of gods, etc. (Av. XII, 3, 35). Cf. 'priyā taṣṭāni vi-aktā' of Rv. X, 86, 5. J

The 'takṣans' are respectable in the Rv. but have become low castes in the Buddhistic age (see V.I., II, 266); the best explanation would be that these "wood-carvers" naturally enough amalgamated with the Magadhan indigenous "stone-workers" (vide infra.), and though as a result the crafts were much improved e.g. by renderings of wood-carvers' maturally enough amalgamated with the Magadhan indigenous "stone-workers" (vide infra.), and though as a result the crafts were much improved e.g. by renderings of wood-carvers in stone (as in the Buddhistic period), the craftsmen themselves suffered in status.)

6 Rv. J. 34, 2; IV, 3, 5; that it was originally a timber pillar is shown by the vern. 'khāmbā' sapecially an entire 'śāla' tronk; cf. the expr. 'lāṭhā-khāmbā' (an arrangement for drawing well-water), where 'khāmbā' sapecially an entire 'śāla' tronk; cf. the expr. 'lāṭhā-khāmbā' (an arrangement for drawing well-water), where 'khāmbā' has that sense; (it is to be noted that the later monoliths are also called 'lāṭh's; e.g. 'Jarāsandha ki lāṭh'.).

ki lath '.).

ki lāṭh'.).

Kāṭh. Sam. XXX, 9; XXXI, 1; and often in Sūtras. For the implication of brick or stone material, cf. the vern. use of 'tham,' 'thāmbā.' as comp red with 'khāmbā.'

Rv. X, 40, 13; Av. X, 4, 1; XIV. 2, 48; XIX, 49, 10; etc.

Rv. I, 59, 1; V, 45, 2; 62, 7; VIII, 17, 14. Av. XIV, 1, 63; Sab.

Brā. XIV, 1, 3, 7; etc.

Eg. 'ayahathūṇa' or 'the sthūṇā on the grave (Rv. X, 18, 13), which may have been of clay or brick. So also the 'sthūṇā-rāis' may occasionally have been of bricks, etc.

Sat. Brā. HZ, 1, 1, 11; S, 1, 1.

Rv. I, 32, 5; 162, 9, III, 8, 6, etc; Av. IV, 24, 4; XII, 1, 15; etc.

15 Rv. 1, 51, 14.

Thus 'dar-ya' (in masc. pl.) = belonging to the door, or to the

door-frames, etc., and 'methi 's1 posts for palisades. Apart from these varieties, used chiefly in houses, other pillars of different uses are indicated by 'sanku'2 (of timber as well as stone) and 'drupada,' with which latter may be compared 'ekambha' and 'vanaspati '4 (a pole or pillar,, evidently a dressed and entire pine or 'sāla' trunk). This great variety of names for pillars and posts, and the importance of these and doors, shows that they were a marked feature of at least one other type of house-building. Thus, as compared with the 'Deltaic,' there would seem to have existed a Middle-Himālayan (and submontane) style also, characterized by skilled, heavy and profuse timber-work; of which, again, the later and modern parallel is equally striking.5

To this timber architecture would naturally belong the references to the use of metals in house-construction, such as the 'avalishuna's (copper, bronze or iron pillars) and ' parigha's (metal bolts); and they must have been very wellknown and prominent features to be used early as proper names." There is no improbability involved in this, as in the early Vedic age 'ayas' was widely used. 10 and smelting11 and

With variants 'medhi,' 'methi,' or 'methi':—Av. VIII, 5, 20; XIV, 1, 40; Taitt. Sam. V1, 2, 9, 4; Kāth. Sam. XXXV, 8; Ait. Brā. I, 29, 22; Sat. Brā. III, 5, 3, 21; Pañc. Brā. XIII, 9, 17; Jaim. Brā. I, 19, 1;—for use in palisades, cf. Rv. VIII, 53, 5.
 'Sanku' usually=wooden post, peg, or even pin. (in Rv. and Brā.sat. Brā. XIII, 8, 4, 1 (cf. ibid. IV, 2, 5, 15, and scholiast on Kāt. Sr. Sāt. XXI, 3, 31).
 Rv. I, 24, 13; IV, 32, 23; Av. VI, 63, 3; 115, 2; XIX, 47, 9; Vāja. Sam. XX, 20; for use as posts for victims and offenders: cf. Rv. I, 24, 13, and Av. XIX, 47, 9 above, and Av. XIX, 50, 1; VI, 84, 4 (=65, 3 above); also Rv. VII, 96, 5.
 Thus the rich carved wood-work and timber structures of the lower hills and slopes of the Southern Himālayas (from Kāšmīr and Yamunā sources to Esstern Nepāl, and the submostane plains (e.g. Shaharannur and other districta) have all along been famous and characteriatic of those sarts. (Cf. the place-names: 'Kāth-maṇdu' and 'Kāth-godām').
 So also excellence in metal work is found side by side with that in

'Kāth-mandu' and 'Kāth-godām').

So also, excellence in metal work is found aide by side with that in wood work in the above region (cf. the well-known artistic products in this line of Nepāl, Moradabad, etc.).

Ry. V. 62, 7, 8.

Chând, Upan, II, 24, 6, 10, 15; (and often later).

'Ayahathūṇa': Sat. Bra. XI, 4, 2, 17 (the name bellongs to an earlier ago): 'Parigha': a king's name in the Purānic genealogy (Yādava), step No. 42.

In arrows, kettles, cups, etc. (in Ry.).

'Dhmātā' smelter; 'dhmātat,' smelting furance: Bv. V. 9, 5; smelting: Rv. IV, 2, 17; feather-bellows: Rv. IX, 112, 2; smelting ores (asman): Sat. Brā. VI, 1, 3, 5.

besten1 'ayas'2 are referred to; the 'ayabsthuna's and 'ayasi pur's would thus imply the strengthening of timber pillars, palisades or walls, by copper or steel-plating and sundry metal fittings. This would constitute a necessary earlier st of architecture to account for the elaborate gold-plated and intaid timber-pillars of the fourth century Mauryan palace.

The first explicit mention of the use of burnt bricks ('pakva') for structural purposes occurs rather late, in the Satapatha (6th-7th cent. B.C.); but even there, this 'pakva' and the 'istaka,' which is used throughout, are taken as identical; and as the reference is to the building of sacrificial fire-alters, it is clear that this use of 'burnt' bricks was more or less traditional.5 and not a recent innovation6; besides, various well-known personages are stated to have erected such firealters,7 some of whom can be approximately fixed in time with the help of 'traditional' chronology: so that such construc-tions would go back to the earlier Vedic period. 'Istaka' is indeed the traditional material for building the fire-altar even in the Yv. Samhitas10; and though not specially called 'burnt,' these bricks were almost certainly so: for it is often stated

For 'soma' vessels: Rv. IX, 1, 2.

The use of 'sheet' iron is more probable than cast iron, though the antiquity of ore-smelting (probably pre-Aryan) and the quite early occurrence (cir. 300 A.D.) of massive and highly finished foundry products, may indicate an earlier long standing use of cast iron posts and rods for structural purposes.

Indian steel was well-known in the far Western countries in the fath of the cents. RC and was a much writed by the Greeks.

oth and 5th cents. B.C., and was as much prized by the Greeks in the 4th as tributes of precious gems. It is quite likely, therefore, that 'steel' should have been variously used for strength-

- therefore, that 'steel' should have been variously used for strengthening defences within India itself, before its fame spread abroad.

  Sat. Brā. VI, 1, 2, 22; VII, 2, 1, 7; in the former passage it is
  said that the 'pakva' is called 'iştakā' because it is 'işta,'
  offered to the fire (the derivation being a late etymological
  fiction; cf. the fanciful explanation of the form 'iştakā' rather
  than 'oa' or 'oam': ibid. X, 5, 1, 5; also vide ante, re
  'ita'); in the latter, a special 'black' 'pakva' is made by
  baking the brick in 'rice-husk' fire. 'Pakva' in Rv., Av.,
  and Brā., means simply 'baked,' or 'cooked food' (vide V.I.,
  s.v.); in Rv. VI, 63, 9, however, the sense of baked bricks, or
  a 'house of baked bricks' (a 'puccā' house), may suit quite
  well (as horses, slaves, chariots, etc., are given away by certain
  Kings, Puraya, etc., to the priest, along with 'pakva').
  (N.B.—The substantives are all understood in this passage')
  I.s., representing Yajurvedic (Vājasaneya) tradition of a much
  sarlier age.

  Of. the conservatism of the Satapatha regarding proposed changes
  in Reyedic texts (and to a less extent in Yv. texts).
  E.g. Tura-Kāvaspeya: 9st. Brā. IX, 5, 2, 15; Syšparna-Sāyakāyana:
  ibid. VI, 3, 1, 39; IX, 5, 2, 1.

- E.g. Tura-Kāvaşeya: Sat. Brā. IX, 5, 2, 10; syspana.

  E.g. Tura-Kāvaşeya: Sat. Brā. IX, 5, 2, 10; syspana.

  ibid. VI, 2, 1, 39; IX, 5, 2, 1.

  E.g. Tura-Kāvaşeya, temp. Janamejaya-Pārikṣita I, cir. 20 steps.

  above the close of the Rayedio period.

  Cf. 'iştaka-cit': Taitt. Sam. I, 5, 8, etc.

  E.g. the IVth and Vth books of the Taitt. Sam. (mantras and explanatory matters re 'aguicaysna'). The details regarding lifar construction in these are practically the same as in the Sat. Brā., thus showing that the mae of bricks was traditional and almost co-satistent with bribmanism.

there by way of explanation, that bricks were invented apparently by the Angirasas) to save Earth from being excessively burnt by the sacrificial fire; their supporting strength and capacity of resisting the waters are often specified; and amongst the many types of bricks used, were the 'svaymatrana's or 'naturally perforated' bricks, and bricks of all colours,'6 the former being a characteristic product of the kiln, and the latter probably referring either to the various shades of red in the brick-piles, or to enamelled bricks, while mortar ('purisa') that could be compared to flesh adhering to bones, had probably an admixture of pounded red bricks. The art of brick-laying was an old and developed one in the Yajurvedic age, judging from the great variety of names and forms of the alter-bricks, amongst which may be mentioned the 'circular bricks' ('mandalestaka')10 the 'earless' or corner-less bevelled bricks ('vikarni'), he 'crest' or conical bricks ('codā'), he 'gold-headed' bricks ('vāmabhrt'), the shaped 'pot'-bricks ('kumbheştakū'), and other bricks with various linear markings and of different sizes.16 Mortar (of mud and rubble, sand or

Taitt. Sam. V, 2, 10; 5, 2, etc.

It is indeed only natural that the use of the baked bricks should have early suggested itself for sacrificial atructures, for the properties of burnt clay would be evident to any fire-worshipper; besides, with the growing ritual importance and significance of the altar, square or rectangular bricks must have

significance of the altar, square or rectaigular bricks must have been invented or adapted, and these, if unbaked at first would ason suggest the burnt brick.

E.g. the 'asadha brick of thousandfold strength,: Taitt. Sam. IV, 2. 9; 'the brick that quaketh not' ('svayamatrpna'): ibid. IV, 3, 6; V, 3, 2; 'Brhaspati saw in bricks the support of sacrifice': ibid. V, 3, 5; 'brick-altar' representing 'the firm earth in the midst of waters: ibid. V, 6, 4.

E.g. Taitt. Sam., V, 6, 4 (in n. 3 above): 'bricks keeping the altar from being swent away by waters': ibid. V × 3.

from being awept away by waters': ibid V. 3, 10.

Taitt. Sam. IV, 2, 9; 3, 2; 3, 6; 4, 10; V, 2, 8; 3, 2; etc.

Taitt. Sam. IV, 7, 8.

This is called 'jhāmā' in vern., meaning perforated (cf. the cognate words 'jhānjrā,' and 'jhānjhāri,' of same signification).

Enamelled earthenware and tiles have long been a speciality of the

lower Middle-Gangetic districts (Eastern U. P.).

Taitt. Sam. V, 2, 3.

Taitt. Sam. IV, 4, 5; V, 3, 9; etc.

Taitt. Sam. V, 3, 7; etc. (These were always placed topmost, and over the 'nākasad' or 'wault-sitter' bricks: apparently by way of ornamentation).

Taitt. Sam. IV, 4, 5 (also placed, like 'vikarni' bricks, on the top, over the 'vault-sitters'); V. 3, 7; etc.
Taitt. Sam. IV, 2, 9; V, 5, 3; 5, 5; 7, 6; 7, 9; etc. [Cf. enamelled bricks of the 3rd millennium B.C. at the recently excavated

14

bricks of the 3rd millennium B.C. at the recently excavated Sindh-Punjah sites.]

Taitt. Sam. V, 6, 1; stc.

Taitt. Sam. V, 2, 3; 2, 10 (cf. S.B.E. zliii, p. 21,, n. 1, re lines on square and rectangular bricks); of the various types of linear markings named in Apast. Sütra, at least one is known to Taitt. Sam.: cf. ibid. V, 7, 8.

The Sütras have 4 traditional sizes for the square brick: measuring 'pāda,' aratni,' urvasthi,' and 'angles'; the garious shapes and allows of courses involve different discrete.

noted above of course involve different sizes,

pounded bricks) was freely used in "making bricks firm,"2 cementing successive layers of bricks, and in plastering over; such adhesive plasters must have been essential in the construction of the alternative forms of the altar, like the 'bird'-styles (representing the 'syena,' kanka 'or 'alaja'), or the 'bowl' or 'granary' ('drona'), 'chariot-wheel,' circle,' 'cemetery' (smasana), and 'triangle' models. Large numbers of bricks were used for these altars: the measurements of one altar is given as 36 feet along the centre, E. to W., and 30 and 24 feet across at the back and front respectively, and it is said the outer limits of the measurements of the altar depends on what area the builder thinks he could very well use"; the first, second and third pilings are to be made of one, two and three thousand bricks respectively<sup>8</sup>; and the bricks ready before an altar-builder (who wishes those became his cows) are roundly estimated at hundreds of thousands. The rites performed on leaving a homestead, with a view to re-establishment elsewhere, show that in the ordinary household also the altar was brick-built, and apparently these bricks were dismantled, carried to, and refitted in the new ' vāstu.'10. It would be extraordinary if bricks were not used for the secular house-buildings as well, while altars (household or special) and cemeteries11 were brick-built. It is remarkable that throughout the "brick" -mantras, reference is made to "the manner in which Angiras placed12 the bricks firmly,"13 or invented them,14 or used them for better building of the

E.g. in Taitt. Sam. I, 2, 12; II, 6, 4.

E.g. in Taitt. Sam. V, 2, 5.

E.g. in Taitt. Sam. V, 5, 10. Cf. 'seasonal' bricks being "the internal cement of the layers"; ibid. V, 4, 2.

Just as bone is covered with flesh': Taitt. Sam. V, 2, 3.

Taitt. Sam. V, 4, 11. (The structural peculiarities of some of these types, according to the Sütra comment, were: a round-topped block (the head) for the 'kanka'; curved 'wings,' for the 'syena'; 'caturasra' or 'parimapdala' (square or round) blocks for the 'granary' ('dropa'); and square or round form for the 'smasāna').

Cf. similar large numbers in the Sat. Brā. 'agnicayana' directions: e.g. 756 bricks: Sat. Brā. X, 5, 4, 5.

10

Cf. similar large numbers in the Sat. Brā. 'agnicayana' directions:
e.g. 755 bricks: Sat. Brā. X, 5, 4, 5.
Taitt. Sam. VI, 2, 4.
Taitt. Sam. V, 5, 7; (the height of altars being up to knee, navel, and neck, respectively).
Taitt. Sam. IV, 4, 11 ("these bricks ...... hundred ...... hundred thousand millions"). Cf. ibid. V, 4, 2.
Taitt. Sam. IV, 4, 10. Cf. the dismantling of 'ita' etc., and carrying of them in the Atharva-vedic mantras (vide ante.).
The direction that brick-altars could be erected after the model of (round or square) simadanas, shown that these latter were also brick structures by the time of the Vv. Samhitās.
Taitt. Sam. IV, 2, 9; 4, 3; etc.
So also in Sat. Brā. the expression is repeated: e.g. X, 5, 1, 5 ('sādana, settling of the brick, may be the original for the brick. may be the original for the brit.
Trift. Sam. V, 5, 2; cf. V, 2, 10; so also bricks are said to have been "fashioned by the toils of sears like matres": V, 3, 5. 11

. 14

fire-altar1: sometimes Brhaspati (also an Angirasa) is introduced; and the brick (Istaka) is addressed and wor-shipped as a goddess ('devi'). All this is strikingly similar to the expressions and notions of the architectural sections of the Puranas, where the laving of bricks and other stages of house-construction are accompanied by references to the Angirasas and their deified 'daughters.' In view of what has Angirasas and their deified 'daughters.' already been said about the ordinary 'brahmanic' dwelling-houses of the Gangetic type (as described in the 'Angirasa Veda') and the use of clay and unburnt bricks ('ita') in them, and of the fact that the dwelling-house is addressed in the same Veda as 'Ida' (which also is evidently connected with the Dravidian roots and words meaning digging, bricks, and house), 5—the inference becomes irresistible, that this consistent association of the Angirasas with the invention and use of 'istaka,' in Vedic as well as Puranic tradition, is but another indication of a fact of cultural history that the civilization of 'brahmanism,' with its sacrificial cult and symbolism, its building activities and material achievements and equipments, was originally Gangetic, Eastern and non-In any case, it is quite clear that a third structural style, characterized by the use of clay, plasters, and bricks, dried or baked (of diverse moulds and probably even enamelled sometimes) was already in existence in the 10th century B.C.,7 being referred to in the Brahmanas and the later Samhitas, and is implied for the earlier Rgvedic period8; and here too, the conclusion agrees with the regional indications of the references: for this style can only have arisen in the riparian districts along the north of the Ganges (middle and lower).

(It is in the Satapatha again, that the first clear mention of stone structures of a recognizable type is made, but in a way that would indicate a well-formed, distinct and traditional

this may indicate the taking up of brahmanical sacrificial cult and connected brick-building by the Ailas in that period).

Taitt. Sam. IV. 2, 9: cf. the house goddess 'mānasya patni' fixed by the gods in the beginning (Av. III, 12, 5), and Brhaspati first putting together the house (Av. IX, 3, 2-3). (Vide p. 31, and notes 7 and 8 in it.)

Cf. the 'vastu-mana' sections of Agui, Garuda, and Matsya.

Vide ante.

Cl. the same indication in the evidence about 'furniture' etc., infra. Ci. the same indication in the synchron count furniture set, intra-[Evidences of a highly developed art of making bricks, glass and glased pottery of various sorts, dating from cir. 3000 B.C., have been discovered very recently in the upper and lower Indus-plains. It is thus quite probable that the literary evidence with regard to the use of bricks, etc., in the Gangetic plains is

trustworthy.] For the Yajurvedic alter and bricks must have been known to the sacrificial hymns of Rv.; of. also the occurrence and sense of 'pakva' in Rv. VI, 63, 9, and the Brahmans allusions to Rgvedic brick altar builders (vide ante.).

Taitt. Sam. V, 3, 5.
Vide n. 14, page 37. (Some special forms of altar-bricks or manner of laying are associated with Visvamitra and his contemporaries:

style. Its remarks on the erection of 'smasanas' (over burnt or buried bodies) are significant. They show a marked difference in the contemporary modes of building these funeral and memorial structures. The 'Pracya' mode of erecting tombs is strongly disapproved? (from the point of view of the Kuru-Pāncāla and Videha brāhman). Apart from minor differences within the approved range as regards special forms for the several orders,4 the structural type that is regarded as unorthodox is described clearly as round and dome-shaped (' parimandala ')5; that whereby the Easterners make the ' smasana' " separate from the earth " unlike good people, is described by the usual Vedic word for a large hemispherical bowl," camu, ' which must here refer to something like a vault or dome of solid stone or bricks6; the structure is then 'enclosed by an indefinite number of enclosing stones 'e; and

Sat. Brā. XIII, 8, 2, 1. Ibid. XIII, 8, 1, 5; 2, 1; cs. IX, 5, 1, 64. The preference for north-inclined and saline soil points to a Videbs

origin of these views. Ibid. XIII, 8, 2, 6-12; 3, 11. Ibid. XIII, 8, 1. Ibid. XIII, 8, 2, 1.

For pouring Soma; also = mortar for 'Soma' pressing, which would he of stone; probably the bowl was occasinally of stone, just as there were soma cups of 'ayas'; (for the 'hemispherical vessel,' cf. vern. 'jam(b)-bāṭi' of same chape). For the meta-

phorical use of came' in Rv. to mean vault or dome, vide infra.

Of. 6.B.E. 44, 430, n. 1.

Act. Brä. XIII, 8, 2, 2; as in the case of the fire hearth, and set up with formule. This stone enclosure might also belong 40 the orthodox style, but the context would rather give it to the other style. 'Stones' or 'bricks' are, however, alternative materials (without any preference for one or the other) in the Sütra applications (vide Whitney Av., pp. 886-7) of Av. XVIII, 4, 55 (building a 'harmya' for the dead), where the left side of the piled mound is finally beaten over ('kuṭṣay'), i.e. made 'guoca', with a number of sila' or 'iṣṭakā' (the variant 'dalākā' is pointless;' of the frequent phrase 'yisaka' it the later Sauhitās (vide p. 37, n. 5, and p. 42) it would appear that the antagonism to round and stone structures displayed by the Satspatha is a later development in the 7th century B.C., very likely due to the growing strangement between Prācya and Midland religious and philosophical doctrines which ultimately found expression in the Buddhistic reformation."

'So also in the application of AV. XVIII, 3, 50-51 (earth covering us like mother with 'nio' and wife with cloth), in Kaud. 86, 10, it is evidently silk and intakt that are placed and not 'dalaks.'

\*\* For recognition of round forms, cf. also Taitt. Here. IV, 5, 2 and 3 (arrangement of bricks in a circle); IV, 4, 10 (plecing of 'naksasta bricks in a circle); and the 'mandalestaka' (noted above).

stones are used instead of the square bricks in the case of nonfire-worshippers.1 The orthodox style of 'smasana' is stated to be square or quadrilateral,3 ' not separate from the earth,'3 (i.e., not prominent and towering4 like the banned type, and of 'earth and earthen' materials,-clay and bricks,-as opposed to stone), and bricks one foot square are used in its construction5; and a memorial mound like a fire-altar6 is prescribed for builders of the same.8 (It is evident that the former is the prototype of the Buddhistic. Eastern and heretical. 'stupa' architecture of the very next epoch, -and through it of the 'Saiva' temple styles of subsequent ages'; and that the latter is a specially 'brāhmanical' style, associated with sacrificial altars10 and the middle Gangetic country, and thus with bricks10 and rectilineal figures,-strikingly paralleled by the similar sacrificial and geometric style of squares and bricks in ancient Babylonia, and represented recognizably in some later forms of 'brahmanical' temple architecture.")

Sat. Brš. XIII, 8, 4, 11. Ibid. XIII, 8, 1, 1ff. Ibid. XIII, 8, 2, 1.

Ibid. XIII, 8, 2, 1.

The Satapatha insists repeatedly on the 'smasana' being not too large or high: e.g. XIII, 8, 1, 18 (an ordinary altar's size); 8, 2, 6—12 (generally and preferably to be knee-high, though structures as high as the thigh, hip, mouth and upstretched arm, night be allowed for vasidyas, women, brahmaps and kyatriyas, respectively;—note Ksatriya superiority).

It is noteworthy that along X continues later-bricks.

kṣatriyas, respectively;—note Kṣatriya superiority).

1 bid. XIII, 8, 4, 11; not 'marked' like altar-bricks.

(It is noteworthy that about 3 centuries later, Alexander used firealtars as 'memorials,' apparently according to the Indian custom; to impress the Indians he is said to have built on the Beās (cf. the custom of building fire-altars on river-banks, indicated in Sat. Bri. and earlier as far back as the Rv.) stupendous and sculptured fire-altars of stone, which Candragupts later on utilized for sacrificial purposes.

8at. Bri. XIII, 8, 1, 1ff; sometimes 'without wings and tail,' i.e., in the form of a simple cubical altar, without the 3 adjacent cubes; the special recommendation of the Satapatha is an irregular quadrilateral with sides joining at S. shorter than those at N.; but this may refer to the area enclosed by cords, within which the altar-like smassan is raised.

This may imply that those, on the other hand, who built (and worshipped at) the round stapas, were similarly homoured by round funeral memorials. It may be noted here that worship of the funeral mound is implied in Av. XVIII, 4, 38 (it is thought to bestow boons on worshippere), and that the 'previous Buddhas' also had their 'stapas.'

Characterized by the round dome; it has been designated 'Dravidian' by Fergusson, but Havell rightly traces it to Buddhistic round forms and symboliam; ethnically of course the sources of this style may have been Dravidian (but Fergusson did not use it in this sense).

Cf. the Southern style of Madura Thinges ster also in analysis.

Vide ante. Cf. the Southern style of Madura, Tanjore, etc.; also in earlier 11 monasteries of several stories, built pyramidically.

The 'Pracyas' referred to here cannot be those deltaic and riperian Easterners, to whom the Atharva-vedic style of housebuilding must be attributed; the passages in the Satapathanay be taken to mean "the Asurya section of the Pracyas," i.e., either the unorthodox Magadhan Pracyas or the Pracyas who follow Asuri's tenets,-the proto-Buddhistic creeds (the association of round stone structures with them, in the latter case, being historically sound); the very allusion to solid stone or brick vaults, stone enclosures, and stones as substitutes for bricks, shows that the region meant is Magadha, known as Praci pre-eminently, in the 4th century B.C. [Magadha and Kikata are looked down upon in early as well as later Vedic literature'; and it is precisely these regions which have an ancient tradition of stone masonry and ware; so also, when the cars of the Pracyas, the 'vipathas,' are disapproved by Midlanders, it is evidently the rough country of Kikata-

Of Vaisālī, Anga, Vanga, etc.; vide ante. Sat. Brā. XIII, 8, 1; 8, 2, 1. It is noteworthy that so far the earliest known remains of vaulted and polished caves, of stone enclosures, walls or pillars, are in

Magadha or of Magadhan origin.

E.g. in Vāja. Sam. XXX, 5, 22; or Kāt. Sr. Sūt. XXII, 4, 22; vide also note 6 below; Cf. also the famous Rv. reference, "Kim to krnvanti Kikatesu, etc." In Purāņic tradition (cf. Vāyu. te krovanti Kikatesu, etc." In Puranic tradition (cf. Vayu. 78, 21—22) the land of Trišauku, bet. Kaikata and the Mahānadi, is avoided by orthodox people. Kikata and Gayā are almost identical in Vā. 105—112. So also, the benighted region where Trišaiku is banished seems from epic indications as well (cf. e.g. all that is said about Višvāmitra, Matseiga and his tirtha: Mbh. I, 71, 2925—23 with Hariv. V, 717ff.; III, 87, 8321 (in the East); III, 84, 8079; III, 85, 8159; XIII, 27—29 (Gayā); XIII, 3, 189 (in the South); cf. Varāha. V and VIII (conn. with Mithilä and Orissa) to have been no other than Kikata (cf. also popular traditions re Rhotasgarh and R. Karmanāšā). And if the Kicakas of Mbh., whose country the Pāņdavas passed just before coming to Ekacakrā, and who cremated their lusty chiefs with their women, are the same as the Kikatas their lusty chiefs with their women, are the same as the Kikatas

randavas passed just before coming to Ekacakrā, and who cremated their lusty chiefs with their women, are the same as the Kukatak (vide infra. sec. re widow-burning),—it is another trace (even) in the epic literature of the low estimation of these Pracyas.

Now represented by Gayā and Cunār; also similar regions westwards sloug the Vindhyan borderland, Jubbalpur, Gwalior and Jaipur, representing ancient Cedi and Matsya, very closely connected with Magadha in the Purāvic tradition.

Panc. Brā. XVII, 1 (a very old passage); Lat. Sr. Sūt. VIII, 6, 9. The difference between Magadha-Praci and the Midlands in styles of living and housing is apparently also indicated by sundry statements in the Vedic literature like these;—Dwelling-houses are sometimes specifically called 'Arya' (Rv. IX, 63, 14), which would be unnecessary if extra-Aryan types were not known or adapted from; the 'Vrātya' chieftain with-his attendant 'Māgadha' (Av. KV, 2) is described and glorifich. 'Vrātya' here evidently meaning Magadhan; an Aryan was required to reside sir a Nigada settlement (S. E. of Madhyadefs, i.e., Klikata-Magadha) before performing the Višvajit sacrifice (Kaus, Brā. XXV, 15; Pañc. Brā. XVI. 6, 8); and villinges were close togother and frequent in the East, but there were long stretches of forests in the West (Ait. Brā. III, 44), thus showing that architectural styles must have been largely of Bastern' origin.

Magadhal that is referred to.] 'Smadana' structures of the two types distinguished by the Satapatha were evidently known in the earlier Ysjurvedic period. Thus a fire-alter and a 'smasana' are similarly piled, so that the former has to be differentiated by burying a 'living' tortoise in its; again, certain alters are piled in the form of 'smassnas,' which, according to the Sutra comment on the directions, are of two well-known types, round or square, just as the 'drona's or grain-stores,' which also supply the models for other types of altar, were round or square structures.4 In the Av. and Rv. also, it may be a round type of 'smasana' that is set up, with "Swell thou up (ucchaficasva) . . . let the earth remain swelling up . . . let a thousand props support it "5; while the funeral structure that is said to be 'cayanena citam's is obviously of the same type as the square altar. Knowledge of big round structures like the 'stupas' (or 'camu's of the Pracyas) is suggested by the metaphorical use of 'camva' in Rv. to denote the vault of heaven placed on the earth?; so also the Rgevdic use of the word 'stupa' itself clearly shows that it was a structural term as well: thus Agni on the altar "extends up to the sun's disc with 'stupa's of flames," and "Varuna upholds the 'stupa' of light on the baseless firmament."

The Satapatha classifies 'smasana' structures into the ordinary 'vāstu' or reliquary of bones, etc., 'grhān' and

rdinary 'vāstu' or reliquary of bones, etc., 'grhān' and

1 Where the 'sagad' and the 'ekkā' are still characteristic
conveyances evoking much comment (for a humowrous satire of
the mod. Beng. ballad 'Vighore Vihāre cadinu ekkā,' etc.).

The 'sagad' is characterized by solid timber or stone wheels,
'sāla-'timber body and a peculiar dowsy iong-drawn squeak
heard from great distance (cf. 'sakata' in the 'araqyānl' hymn
in Rv.; cf. also the peculiar construction of the traditional
toy-cart, 'mt-sakatjta'); it is comparatively low-built and drawn
by buffalces, and can be drawn over all sorts of rough tracks and
regions. The (one-hoffsed) 'ekkā' is probably alluded to in
Vedio passages where conveyances with a single horse are
deprecated; (generally, in contrast with those with 2 or more
horses: Rv. X. 151, 3; Taitt. Brā. 1, 8, 2, 4; HI, 8, 21, 5;
Pañc. Brā. XVI, 13, 12; XVIII, 9, 7; Ait. Brā. V, 30, 6; Sat.
Brā. XIII, 3, 5, 9; etc.; poor people content with one-horsed
car: Rv. X, 101, 11; VI, 15, 19; Pañc. Brā. XXI, 13, 8; etc.).
The 'ekkā' also is suited for rough country use, and might
well be called 'vipatha.'

Taitt. Sam. V, 2, 8, 5 (cf. also Kāṭh. Sam. III, 4, 7, re śmaśāna).
Taitt. Sam. V, 4, 11, 5.
Taitt. Sam. V, 4, 11; the Sūtra ascription of 'caturasra' and
'parimangala' styles to both funeral tumuli and grain-stores
is interesting; vide ante re connection between 'stupas' and

grain-stores.

grain-stores.

Av. XVIII, 3, 50-51=Rv. X, \$8, 11-12.

Av. XVIII, 3, 50-51=Rv. X, \$8, 11-12.

Av. III, 55, 20.

Rv. VII, 2, 1.

Rv. I, 24, 7; 'stūpa' in Av. VI, 60, 1, is used of the round coil of hair on Aryaman's head; the Rigurstive use here and elsewhere (vide V.I., a.v. 'stūpa' may well be compared with the comparison of the house-top with 'opada' and parting of the hair (vide auta) hair (vide ante).

pramaman.'1 The first is evidently the tumplus, round of square, which forms the subject of so much comment in that Brāhmana. The term 'grhān 'used of a special type of 'smasāna' is particularly interesting: properly it means a dwelling flouse with many chambers; applied to a 'smasāna' it would signify that the funeral structure was either an actual house (mausoleum) with many rooms, erected over or beside the grave in memory of the deceased, and for the benefit of his soul dedicated to some religious order, or philanthropic use," or that these 'grhan' are the chambers and vaults of subterranean or rock-cut caves.3 'Grhan,' however, is nothing new in the later Brahmana age, for the Av. (as well as the Rv.) mentions it frequently : thus referring to a funeral structure it says,—" let these 'grhāsah' be a refuge for him for ever "5; elsewhere, " make ye, grha's for him according

Sat. Brā. XIII, 8, 1; (cf. also comm. on it). For 'vastu' in this sense, cf. Kapila-visatu, where the sense must be "the stemoorial 'stupa' of Kapila' rather than the 'abode of Kapila,' as usually taken. Kapila lived in the middle of the 8th century B.C. according to Puranie evidence (vide Pargiter: AHT, pp. 330—332); hence, it is evident that the styles referred to in Sat. Brā.° were at least two centuries earlier than itself,—an important point.

All this is characteristic of Buddhism in the very next epoch, and traces of Buddhistic features can only be expected in the later

Vedic literature.

This also would be a Buddhistic feature; relics were deposited in rock-cut caves in historical times; in the Epic the rock-cut caves rock-cut caves in historical times; in the Epic the rock-cut caves of Grirvraja are used for condemned prisoners or human victims, and other caves are also said to be similarly used; the Epic also knows of ascetics in subterranean caves; the Barbar caves may have been intended as memorial 'smassana' of some Maurya emperora, presumably Asoka, etc. The Roman catacombs and Egyptian cave-graves offer instructive parallels. Another remarkably Magadhan and Buddhistic feature found in the 'smassana' of the Satapatha is the regulation "let there be 'citras' on the back of the 'Smassana,' "for 'citras' mean offspring." (The comm. takes it as=natural scenery; this is absurd, specially as natural scenery is suggested as an alternative in the following lines). In the case of the brick-built tumuli, these 'citras' would be 'paintings' on suitable plaster, but in the case of the stone-built round 'camū' of the Easterners the most suitable 'citras' would be sculptured figures in relief; the nature of these 'citras' is indicated by the reason given: the figures painted or carved were of women and children, and possibly couples of men and women. It is interesting to compare the account in the Epic of the representation of the fertility goddess Jarā (or Jaṭā; cf. the traditional village spirit, Jaṭā-budi) on the palace walls of the King of Girivraja, of a plump woman with children all around, and also the panels of Semale figures, amorous couples, etc., in the later 'wtips' and 'whara' architecture (cf. the Orissa temple sculptures).

Besides, 'smassa' and 'sadman' (house) are often spoken of as 'parallel thinger: e.g. Av. V. 21, 8; X, 1, 12; so also by burying a five terforiose are after becomes a 'vassavya' and not a 'ministen' and 'vasta' hare).

Av. XVIII, 3, 51=Bv. X, 18, 12. of Girivraja are used for condemned prisoners or human victims,

to his kindred "1; again, "as the 5 clans ('manava') implanted a 'harmya' for Yama, so I implant a 'harmya' that there may be many of fine." It would be too much of a forced explanation to take 'grhan' as a metaphorical expression throughout, specially beside the technical sense given to it by the Satapatha; even in the Rgvedic description of the grave as a 'mṛṇmaya grha'4 into which one goes down, though there is an element of figure, yet the use of 'grha seems significant: it is possible that the phrase unconsciously refers to subterranean burial chambers or vaults. The 'prajnanem' of the Satapatha (beside the 'reliquary' and the 'chambers') can only mean some sort of a memorial monument, like a pillar. A pillar (sthūṇā) indeed is set up on the Rgvedic grave<sup>5</sup> (in the Av. also); and a 'loga' (pole) is erected after the earth is piled up ('ut-stabh') from about the grave'; and on the 'sthuna' 'maintained by the Fathers' 'Yama makes seats for the departed '7; and it is probably such memorial pillars (on which the spirits 'sit') that are referred to, where 'the bride-beholding fathers' are asked to be propitious to the bride as the marriage-procession passes a cemetery.8 These 'prajnana' pillars may have been of timber originally; but as bricks or stone came to be used for the

1 Av. XVIII, 4, 37. The qualification 'according to his kindred, evidently means that the size and excellence of the 'grhap' depended on the number, position and means of the kinsmen of the deceased (cf. its exemplification in actual Buddhistic dedication structural.

of the deceased (cf. its exemplification in actual Budanaste dedicatory structures).

Av. XVIII, 4, 55. The use of 'harmya' is significant, as in early Vedic literature 'harmya' has the sense of a big establishment, with many apartments and adjuncts, and is used also of kings' residences. The motive of building a funeral 'harmya' as given above is noteworthy: it foreshadows the dedicatory buildings and parts of them in the subsequent Buddhistic age.

Cf. the sense of a big structure involved in the city-name 'Rajagrha.'

Rv. VII, 89, 1.

Rv. X 18, 5=Av. XVIII, 3, 52.

Av. XVIII, 3, 52=Rv. X, 18, 5; 'loga' here is usually rendered 'clod'; but it seems in the next passage to be identified with 'sthingā,' and 'loga' elsewhere means a pole (stuck into the bottom of the waters, in marriage ritual; vide, Kaus. 75, 14, applying Av. XIV, 1, 37-38); cf. vern. 'lagi,' a bamboo or wooden pole, chiefly used by boatmen.

Av. XVIII, 3, 52=Rv. X, 18, 3. The reference to 'seats' on the pillar world indicate accomments of a capital, in this views a 'lignocapital'.

Av. XVIII., 3, 52-EV. X, 18, 5. The reference to 'seats' on the pillar would indicate some sort of a capital; in this view, a 'iton-capital' would signify a memorial pillar in honour of a late king.

Av. XIV. 2, 73. The phrase 'bride-beholding fathers' would be particularly appropriate if the reference were to sculptured timber pillars bearing effigies of the deceased; (for wood sculpture vide Av. XII, 3, 33); grave-posts with effigies and ruede representations of face or eyes are not unknown amongst reinfilled. primitive races.

Occasionally hodies were buried in hollowed-out tree-trunks ('vanaspati'), apparently a more primitive arrangement, a combined grave and a memorial pillar: Av. XVIII, 5, 70; cf. Rv. V, 78, 5; ('vṛṣṣa' in Av. XVIII, 2, 25, seems to mean a regular coffin which is buried in the earth; Saysna takes 'vanaspati' also in the same sense).

funeral tumuli,1 these also would be of the same materials by and by; thus in the time of the Satspatha a stone-pillar (' śańku')2 was set up along with 3 timber ones at the four corners of the 'smasana.'3 (The Buddhistic monolithic pillar, erected beside the relic-stupes and on the highways and public thoroughfares. is probably the developed form of such memorial 'sankus' and the civic and sacrificial Vedic 'drupades's (symbolical of royale and divine power?) to which offenders and sacrificial victims were bound: as the symbolism of the ' smasana ' structures developed with and under Buddhistic and proto-Buddhistic thought,8 and as offenders ceased to be punished so brutally, and sacrifices fell into disuse, these 'sanku' and 'drupada' pillars would be used for ethical purposes and 'dhamma' edicts (just as the traditional royal hunt was transformed into missionary tours).97 This is

Apart from the clear instances of the use of stone for the 'smalinas' noted above, an earlier use of stone is rendered possible in view of Reyedic references to stone-built bulwarks and forts (vide ante). The very word 'émasana' (possibly from 'asma-sayana,' according to Weber) would suggest that stone was all along the chief material in its construction; so that the origin of this special type of funeral stancture would be Magadhan and non-stancial and whole stances. brahmanical, and when other materials are used, this would be due to brahmanical adaptation of the 'smasana,' characterized by opposition to use of stone and adherence to their own traditional bricks (vide ante). This view would also agree with the fact that the Sat. Bra. does not give details of the 'graan,' prajnanam' and 'round' forms of the 'smasansa,' and that whereas the symbolism of the altar is specially brahmanical, that

whereas the symbolism of the attain is specially beautifully of the 'smassan' is Buddhistic.

Made of 'vytra'=stone, see, to comm; the timber pillars are made of 'palasa,' 'sami' and 'varana'; Sat. Brā. XIII, 8. 4, 1; (cf. Kāt. Sr. Sūt. XXI, 5, 51, and Sat. Brā. IV, 2, 5, 15, with S.B.E. 44, 437, n. 1). 'Sanku' being associated with a tapering that form, the stone-' sanku' would have a gradually narrowing shaft

(like an obelisk).

Cf. the 4 pillars adjacent to the 'stupa,' and later on to medieval mausoleums.

4 Boadside pillars and gateways are referred to very much earlier in the Av. (XIV. 1, 63) where marriage processions pass along the well-made road through 2 pillars (asked not to injure the bride: well-made road through 2 pillars (asked not to injure the bride; hence high and heavy); an arch or 'torana' is evidently implied; these pillars (athona) may well have been of bricks or stone. Of. Av. XIV. 2, 6, "pillar standing in the way," which however might refer to a row of posts barring the road.

Vide n. 3, p. 34.

Cf. the royal name 'Drupada,' beside 'Danda,' Danda-dhāra,' etc., found in Parasite and Price lister of also the amoient name.

found in Puranic and Epic lists; cf. also the sucient name Tri-sadku

Cf. the symbolism of 'danda' and 'skambha.'

The keynote of Buddhistic (and Saiva) architecture is this 'smadana' symbolism, just as the 'altar' symbolism is associated with brahmanical structures: it is probable that in Taskt, Sam. V. 2. 8, 5 (p. 42, n. 2), these two ancient groups of symbolism are hinted at.

Asokan inscriptions refer to widely distributed pre-existing mosolithic pillars, on which he ordered his edicts to be inscribed (of Remudragopts); vide end of Miu, R. Ed. I, Rup. Text; end of PHI. Ed. VII; as opp, to fresh erection of such pillars, e.g., Runming. Pill. Inscrip.

sufficient explanation of the Asokan pillars, and a theory of their Persepolitan origin is unnecessary. 1001

From all this it may reasonably be concluded that a stone structural style with round forms, the immediate source of the Buddhistic architecture, was early developed in non-brahmanical areas, particularly in Magadha; traces of which may be discovered in the earlier Vedic literature (cir. 10th cent. B.C. at least), and which was definitely flourishing in the 7th cent. B.C.

Bumming up the evidence on structural forms, it seems probable that there were three main sources from which the early and later Vedic styles, the prototypes of subsequent well-known ones, were derived: the Lower Gangetic regions (including the delta), the Deccan borderland (including Magadha), and the Middle Himālayas (with submontane areas). These regions quite naturally gave rise to building styles characterized by bamboo and brick, stone, and timber, respectively. The first is associated with Angirasas, brahmanism, and what may be called Manva regions; the second with the Vrātyas and Māgadhas (Prācyas), occupying an area assigned by tradition to a stock different from the Manyas and Ailas but with superimposed layers of Ailas; the third would be brought by the Ailas into the plains from the Mongoloid mountainous areas they passed through and came in contact with. The ethnic and historical significance of such indications in the Vedic literature cannot be over-estimated, being also in agreement with the facts of Puranic tradition.2 w

eing also in agreement with the facts of Purāṇic tradition. Leasems probable that Mauryan monolithic pillars had their origin from the indigenous toddy-palm. Magadha is thickly set with palm-groves, the prehistoric prototypes of ancient village halls with palm posts and of the Mauryan 1000-pillared halls (at first of timber). The palm leaf is of course the prehistoric material for writing in the Gangetic valley or the littoral; and the regular lines and spaces on the stem of the palm tree afford ready surface for inscriptions or public and royal orders in writing (at first with paints), this being suggested by the common use of palm leaf for writing. The palm develops a tapering monolith-like stem, crowned by a tuft of fans (some branches being often out away for toddy) ('tāli'-drawing), resembling lions manes at twilight, and thus suggesting a four-faced lion-capital, while the streaked toddy-vessel hung up aloft would suggest the so called 'bell'-capital. Probably criminals were hanged on pound to the palm-trees by royal order (cf. the Vedic and Epic 'drupads'); 'tāli' is again' 'vāruni,' belonging to Varuna, the god of justice, chastisement and kingly power; and the toddy-drawer is as much a 'Pāšī as Varuna himself; his caste being so named from the use of a peculiar 'pāša' (of palm-fibre, with the help of which he climba up the tail slender trees); with such a 'pāšī,' doubtless, the criminals of old were bound to or hanged from the palm trees (a folk tradition which seems to be responsible for various apparently unmeaning newsey rhymes about fearful spies and chastisers on palm trees, and for the 'folk-fear' that ghosts and spirits dwell on them and fall upon persons venturing to rest under them).

Vide Pargiter AIHT, chapa, XXIV, XXV, and XXVI.