A N

Ecclesiastical History,

ANTIENT AND MODERN,

FROM

THE BIRTH OF CHRIST,

TÒ THE

BEGINNING OF THE PRESENT CENTURY,

VOL. I.

Imp105578



Ecclesiastical History,

ANTIENT AND MODERN,

FROM

HE BIRTH OF CHRIST,

TO THE

BEGINNING OF THE PRESENT CENTURY:

IN WHICH.

The Rife, Progress, and Variations of Church Power

ARE CONSIDERED .

In their Connexion with the State of LEARNING and PHILOSOPHY, and the POLITICAL HISTORY of EUROPE during that Period.

By the law learned

JOHN LAWRENCE MOSHEIM, D.D.

And Chancellor of the University of Gottingen.

Practiated from the ORIGINAL LATIN,

And accompanied with North and Chronomorens Tables,

BY ARCHIBALD MACLAINE, D.D.

IN SIX VOLUMES.

To the whole is added AN AUGUPATE INDEX.

A NEW EDITION

· VOL. 1.

LONDON:

FRINTED FOR T. CADELL, IN THE STRAND.

XV.d.7

ADVERTISEMENT

TO THE

SECOND EDITION.

THE favourable reception which the first edition of this work met with, has encouraged the Translator to employ his utmost care in rendering the fecond still less unworthy of the acceptance of the Public. He has corrected a paffage erroneoufly translated in the second volume, at the 574th page of the quarto edition; and he has revised the whole with a degree of attention, which he hopes will fecure him against the charge of any other inadvertency. He takes this opportunity of acknowledging the goodness of the learned and worthy Dr. NEVE of Middleton Stoney, who favoured him with feveral Notes, and with fome hundreds of Additional Articles

[vi]

and Corrections for the INDEX. Many of these are inserted in this edition, and an N. subjoined to each, to distinguish them from those of the Translator.

HIS MOST SERENE HIGHNESS

WILLIAM V,

PRINCE OF ORANGE AND NASSAU,
HEREDITARY STADTHOLDER,
CAPTAIN-GENERAL AND ADMIRAL
OF THE UNITED PROVINCES, &c.

ŞΙR,

I cannot but esteem it the highest honour to be permitted to give your Serene Highness a public testimony of my homage and respect, by laying the following work at your seet. Testimonies, indeed, of this kind, when offered to Princes, are generally attended with such high strains of panegyric, as have contributed to render the credibility of Dedications more than dubious. The abuse of a custom, originally designed to pay a just tribute of applause to the great and good, to the patrons of religion, virtue, liberty, and letters, has been so common, and the prostitution of it so notorious, that, if the language of Dedications

tions were alone to be consulted, it would be difficult to distinguish a Nero from a Trajan, a Philip the Second from a PRINCE OF ORANGE.

IT is certain, SIR, that we live in an age in which the merit of Princes is not appreciated by the reports of an oracle, whose decisions are so often found to be false and delusive; but by the luftre of their domestic virtues, the wisdom of their public measures, and the general tenor of their conduct and actions: and I know, MOST SERENE PRINCE, that, in the high Sphere of action to which Providence is leading you with fuch diftinguished marks of protection and favour, you defire to be judged by no other Criterion. I know, that both precept and example have pointed out to you the path to true glory; that your virtuous heart has relished their lessons; and that the love of fame. which even Heroes have acknowledged as the fole spring of their conduct, will be, with you, but a fubordinate motive to reduce these lessons to practice.

WERE I capable of drawing with the strictest truth the Portrait of your SERENE HIGHNESS, I should scarcely think it expedient to place it here, for the reasons already mentioned. Besides, those (if any such there be) who are yet unacquainted with the joyful and general hopes this Republic entertains in the prospect of your approaching

approaching Government, would look upon the truth as exaggeration, and, not knowing the obscure person that pronounced it, perhaps as flattery; while those judges of true merit, who have the honour to approach your Se-RENE HIGHNESS, would certainly find the Portrait desective.

BUT while I with-hold, Most Serenz PRINCE, that tribute of praise that is due to your early merit, and which, I am perfuaded. your virtuous ambition defires rather to deferve still farther than to receive at present, there are certain effusions of the heart, which neither my character as a Christian minister. nor as a citizen of this Republic, will permit me to suppress on this occasion. I cannot conceal those feelings, which so naturally arise from a view of the goodness of the Divine Providence to this Nation, in the maintenance of your Illustrious House, the preservation of your precious days, and the education your SERENE HIGHNESS has received under the tender and wife inspection of that great and good Prince, who bears with such dignity the glorious name of BRUNSWICK. It is with inexpressible joy, that we fee this respectable guide pointing out to you the Heroes that you are more peculiarly called to imitate, in that glorious line of anceltors who have

DEDICATION.

gone before you to immortality, and performage ing with a truly parental affection that important task, that was for so short a space intrusted with those illustrious Parents, of whom you were deprived in the very dawn of life. But it is with singular feelings of satisfaction and delight, that we behold in your Serene Highness the fruits of an excellent education growing to a happy maturity, and promising a new period of prosperity and glory to a Republic, founded by a Prince of Orange, whose venerable name and heroic deeds will shine in the annals of public liberty and public virtue, as long as the facred names of liberty and virtue shall be known among men.

THE work I here humbly present to your SERENE HIGHNESS, is the History of the Christian Religion; that Divine Religion, which you, SIR, have studied with uncommon application, judgment, and success. It is upon good grounds that I make this declaration, as I, myfelf, had the honour of being a witness to your remarkable progress in religious knowledge on that memorable day, when, before an illustrious affembly of the States of this Nation. you gave publicly a reason of the faith that is in you, and excited the admiration of those that were present on this solemn occasion. not, therefore, without a certain degree of propriety. 2

priety, that I address to your SERENE HIGH-NESS the translation of an important work, which has the History of Religion for its object. It is true, the language of the original is perfectly familiar to your SERENE HIGHNESS; I would, nevertheless, hope that the Translation may not be entirely unworthy of your attention, if my zealous endeavours to render it still more interesting than the original have not been utterly unsuccessful.

IF your Serene Highness deigns to peruse the following work, which is certainly composed with more judgment and freedom than any Ecclesiassical History that has hitherto appeared, you will find in it a great variety of objects, all instructive, though not, indeed, equally pleafing. You will fee, on the one hand, the religion of Jesus rifing upon a benighted world, striking conviction into the hearts of mortals by the irrefiflible luftre of its divine truths, conquering the passions and prejudices of men, confounding the opposition of Nations and Empires, furnishing new sufports to civil and focial virtue, and unfolding those sublime doctrines and maxims that tend to the perfection of human nature, and the happinels of human lociety. But you will allo observe, on the other hand, the lamentable changes that have been introduced into the charch:

church, in confequence of the corruption of men, the ambition of a licentious and despotic priesthood, and the bigotry and tyranny of ignorant and wicked fovereigns. Your SERENE HIGHNESS will also see, in the following work, the happy events that have arisen, at certain periods, from the efforts of a wife, pious, learned, and moderate clergy, feconded by the influence and authority of religious Princes, whose counsels and examples have always a commanding power, that enables them to do good with a facility peculiar to them alone. This part of Ecclesiastical History, I am persuaded, SIR, you will read with pleasure, and not without a noble spirit of emulation. But your Serene Highness will observe, with a generous concern, that these efforts have not been sufficient to extinguish that unbappy spirit of Fanaticism, of whose deplorable effects the learned author has exhibited such a striking picture; and you will find, in all ages of the church, enthusiastical facts firiking out new forms of religion, by working on the passions of the ignorant and unwary, and deriving their rules of faith and manners from the fallacious fuggestions of a warm imagination, rather than from the clear and infailible dictates of the word of God. Your SRRENE HIGHNESS will fee, in the course of this History, various abuses; which the true and judicious. judicious friends of Christianity will seriously thy to heart. You will fee one fet of men covering religion with a tawdry habit of type and allegory; another converting it into an instrument of diffension and discord: and your discerning mind will easily observe the unhappy consequences of departing from the divine simplicity of the Gospel, and loading its pure and heavenly doctrines with the inventions and commandments of men. Finally, SIR, you will fee in the following work, the tendency of true religion to strengthen the springs of government, by purifying the motives, and animating the zeal of those that govern, to promote those virtues that exalt a nation, by rendering its inhabitants good subjects and true patriots, and by confirming all the respectable bonds and obligations of civil soci-Here more particularly, your SERENE HIGHNESS will be fixed in the belief of one important truth, which no wife and good Prince will ever lose fight of, that the enemies of religion are the enemies of mankind; and that it is the natural tendency of infidelity and licentiousness to dissolve the most sacred obligations, to remove the most powerful motives to virtue, and, by corrupting the principles of individuals, to poison the sources of public order and public prosperity.

As you are called, SIR, by the voice of Divine Providence, to watch over the prosperity of these United Provinces, to be the guardian of their privileges, and the ornament and defender of their pure and holy Religion, these considerations must naturally make a deep impression on your mind. They will certainly influence the measures your SERENE HIGHNESS will, one day, employ for promoting the happiness of the state, increasing the purity and lustre of the church, and advancing the interests of the Redeemer's kingdom, which is a kingdom of rightcousness, charity, and truth.

MAY the God, by whom Kings reign and Princes decree justice, strengthen your hands for performing with dignity this important task! May he prolong your days, and render them ever precious to the people of this land! May you be the illustrious instrument of his wildom and power, for restraining the growth of impiety, and covering licentiousness and vice with that infamy and confusion which are their just and natural reward! May religion and virsue flourish under your influence, and derive a commanding authority from your pious example! May public spirit, with the arts and sciences, acquire new vigour under your auspicious protection! And when, after a long courfe

course of days, crowned with public prosperity and domestic happiness, you shall be called from the transitory scene of human grandeur, to a kingdom which shall never be moved, may our children's children say to their posterity, with tears of grateful forrow in their eyes, When the ear heard him, then it blessed him; when the eye saw him, it gave witness to him—because he put on righteousness and it clothed him, and because judgment and equity were his robe and diadem!

THESE, ILLUSTRIOUS PRINCE, are the devout wishes of an affectionate people; and they shall never cease to be the ardent prayer of,

SIR.

Your Screne Highness's

most dutiful,

most obedient, and

most devoted Servant,

ARCHIBALD MACLAINE.

TRANSLATOR's

PREFACE

CANNOT persuade myself, that the complaints we hear frequently of the frivolous nature of the public tafte in matters of literature, are so far to be relied on, as to make me despair of a favourable reception of the following work. A History of the Christian Church, composed with judgment, tafte, and candour, drawn, with uncommon differnment and industry, from the best fources, enriched with much uleful learning and feveral important discoveries, and connected with the History of Arts, Philosophy, and Civil Government, is an object that will very probably attract the attention of many, and must undoubtedly excite the curiofity of the judicious and the wife. A work of this nature will be confidered by the Philesepher as an important branch of the History of the Human Mind, and I need not mention a multitude of reasons that render it peculiarly interesting to the Christian. Besides; there has not hitherto appeared, in English, any complete History of the Church, that represents its revolutions, its divisions, and doctrines, with impartiality and truth, exposes the delutions of popific legends, breathes a spirit it moderation and freedom, and, keeping perpensity in the view of the reader the true nature and delign of Vol. I.

the Christian religion, points out the deviations from its beautiful simplicity, that have been too frequent among all orders of men and in all ages of the world.

The following work has the best claim, of any I **know**, to these characters [a]; and its peculiar merit is pointed out, as far as modelly would permit, in the enfuing Preface of its justly celebrated author. The reputation of this great man is very well known. His noble birth feemed to open to his ambition a fair path to civil promotion; but his zeal for the interests of religion, his infatiable thirst after knowledge, and more especially his predominant taste for facred literature, induced him to confecrate his admirable talents to the fervice of the church. The German universities loaded him with literary honours. The king of DENMARK invited him to fettle at Copenbagen. The duke of BRUNSWICK called him from thence to Helmstadt, where he received the marks of diffinction due to his eminent abilities, filled, with applause, the academical chair

[a] Some time after I had undertaken this translation, I was honoured with a letter from the learned bishop of GLOUCES-TER, in which he was so good as to testify his approbation of my delign, and to speak of the work I here ofter to the public in un English dress, in the following manner: Mosheim's Compendium is excellent, the method admirable; in short, the only one deferving the name of an Ecclefiaffical History. It descrives, and meds, frequent notes. ... I hope this eminent prelate will not take amils my placing here a tellimony that was not designed to be produced in this public manner. It is, however, so adapted to give those who examine recommendations with discernment a favourable notion of the following work, that I could not think of suppressing it. It is usual, in publishing certain ancient authors, to prefix to them the encomiums they have been honoured with by those whose authority is respected in the republic of letters. I adopt this custom so far as to mention one refimony; -- more would be unnecessary; the testimony of a Wareverou is abundantly fufficient to aniver my purpose, and will be justly looked upon as equivalent to a multitude.

of divinity; was honoured with the character of ecclefiaftical counfellor to that respectable court; and prefided over the feminaries of learning in the dutchy of Wolfembuttle and the principality of Blackenburg. When the late king formed the defign of giving an uncommon degree of luftre to the University of Gottingen, by filling it with men of the first rank in the literary world, such as a HALLER, a Gesner, and a Michaelis, Dr. Mosheim was deemed worthy to appear at the head of that famous feat of learning, in the quality of chancellor; and here he died, univerfally lamented, in the year 1755, and in the fixty-first year of his age. In depth of judgment, in extent of learning, in the powers of a noble and masculine eloquence, in purity of taste, and in a laborious application to all the various branches of erudition and philosophy, he had certainly very few superiors. His Latin translation of the celebrated Dr. Cupworth's Intellectual System of the Universe, enriched with large annotations, discovered such a profound acquaintance with ancient philolophy and erudition, as justly excited the admiration of the learned world. His ingenious. illustrations of the facred writings, his fuccessful labours in the defence of Christianity, and the light he cast upon the history of religion and philosophy by his uninterrupted refearches, appear in a multitude of volumes, which are defervedly placed among the most valuable treasures of sacred and profane literature; and the loarned and judicious work, that is here presented to the public, will undoubtedly render his name illustrious in the records of religion and letters.

How far justice has been done to this excellent work, in the following translation, is a point that must be left to the decision of those who shall think proper to peruse it with attention. I can say, with

TRANSLATOR'S PREFACE.

the strictest truth, that I have spared no pains to render it worthy of their gracious acceptance; and this confideration gives me some claim to their candour and indulgence, for any defects they may find I have endeavoured to render my translation faithful, but never proposed to render it entirely The style of the original is by no means a model to imitate, in a work defigned for general use. Dr. Mosheim affected brevity, and laboured to crowd many things into few words; thus his diction, though pure and correct, became fententious and harsh, without that harmony which pleases the ear, and those transitions which make a narration flow with eafe. This being the case, I have sometimes taken confiderable liberties with my author, and followed the *spirit* of his narrative without adhering Itrictly to the letter. Where, indeed, the Latin phrase appeared to me elegant, expressive, and compatible with the English idiom, I have constantly followed it; in all other cases, I have departed from it, and have often added a few fentences, to render an observation more striking, a fact more clear, a portrait more finished. Had I been translating Cicero or Tacitus, I should not have thought such freedom pardonable. The translation of a classic author, like the copy of a capital picture, must exhibit not only the subject, but also the manner of the original; this rule, however, is not applicable to the work*now under confideration.

The reader will easily distinguish the additional Notes of the Translator from the original ones of the Author; the references to the latter being included in crotebets, while those that indicate the former are marked with a hand, thus (1).

When I entered upon this undertaking, I proposed rendering the additional notes more numerous and ample, than the reader will find them. I soon perceived perceived that the profecution of my original plan would render this work too voluminous; and this induced me to after my purpose. The notes I have given, are not, however, inconsiderable in number; I wish I could say as much with respect to their merit and importance.—I would only hope, that some of them will be looked upon as not altogether unnecessary.

Hague, Dec. 4, 1764.

AUTHOR'S PREFACE.

THE different editions of the Elements of the Christian History [a] met with such a savourable reception from the public, and the demand for them was fo great, that they were, in a little time, out of print. Upon this occasion, the worthy perion, at whose expence they had been presented to the public, defired earnestly to give a new edition of the same work improved and enlarged, and thus Itill more worthy of its gracious acceptance. The other occupations in which I was engaged, and a predent confideration of the labour I must undergo in the correction and augmentation of a work in which I myself perceived so many impersections, prevented my yielding, for a long time, to his earnest solicitations. The importunities of my friends at length prevailed upon me to undertake this difficult work; and I have employed affiduously my hours of leifure, during the space of two years, in bringing it up to as high a degree of perfection as I am capable of giving it. So that now these Elements of Ecclefiaftical History appear under a new form, and the changes they have undergone are certainly advantageous in every respect. I have retained still the division of the whole into certain periods; for though a continued natration would have been more agreeable to my own talte, and had also several circumstances to recommend it, yet the counfels of forme learned men, who have ex-

[[]a] A small work published by Dr. Mosman, many years ago, in two volumes, same.

perienced the great advantages of this division, engaged me to prefer it to every other method. And, indeed, when we examine this matter with due attention, we shall find, that the author, who proposes comprehending in one work all that variety of observations and facts that are necessary to an acquaintance with the state of Christianity in the different ages of the church, will find it impossible to execute this design, without adopting certain general divisions of time, and others of a more particular kind, which the variety of objects, that demand a place in his History, naturally points out.

And as this was my defign in the following work, I have left its primitive form entire, and made it my principal business to correct, improve, and augment it in such a manger, as to render it more in-

structive and entertaining to the reader.

. My principal care has been employed in oftablishing upon the most folid foundations, and confirming by the most respectable authority, the credit of the facts related in this Hiltory. For this purpose, I have drawn from the fountain head, and have gone to those genuine sources from whence the pure and uncorrupted streams of evidence flow. I have consulted the best authors of every age, and chiefly those who were contemporary with the events they relate, or lived near the periods in which they happened; and I have endeavoured to report their contents with brevity, perspicuity, and precision. Abbreviators, generally speaking, do little more than reduce to a short and narrow compals, those large bodies of history, that have been compiled from original authors; this method may be, in some measure, justified by several reasons, and therefore is not to be entirely disapproved. From hence nevertheless it happens, that the errors, which almost always abound in large and voluminous

voluminous productions, are propagated with facility, and passing from one book into many, are unhappily handed down from age to age. This 1 had formerly observed in several abridgments; and I had lately the mortification to find fome inflances of this in my own work, when I examined it by the pure lamp of antiquity, and compared it with those original records that are considered as the genuine fources of facred history. It was then, that I perceived the danger of confiding implicitly even in those who are the most generally esteemed on account of their fidelity, penetration, and diligence; and it was then also, that I became senfible of the necessity of adding, suppressing, changing, and correcting feveral things in the small work which I formerly published, and which has been already mentioned. In the execution of this necessary task, I can affirm with truth, that I have not been wanting in perfeverance, industry, or attention; and yet, with all thefe, it is extremely difficult to avoid mistakes of every kind, as those who are acquainted with the nature of historical refearches abundantly know. How far I have approached to that inaccessible degree of exactness. which is chargeable with no error, must be left to the decision of those whose extensive knowledge of the Christian history entitles them to pronounce judgment in this matter. That fuch may judge with the more facility, I have mentioned the authors who have been my guides; and, if I have in any respect misrepresented their accounts or their sentiments. I must confess, that I am much more inexculable than fome other historians, who have met with and deferved the fame reproach, fince I have perused with attention and compared with each other the various authors to whose testimony I appeal, having formed a resolution of trusting to no authority inferior to that of the original fources of historical truth.

In order to execute, with some degree of success, the delign I formed of rendering my abridgment more perfect, and of giving the history of the church as it stands in the most authentic records, and in the writings of those whose authority is most respeciable, I sound myself obliged to make many changes and additions. These will be visible through the whole of the following work, but more especially in the THIRD BOOK, which comprehends the history of the Christian, and particularly of the Latin or Western church, from Charlemagne to the rife of LUTHER and the commencement of the Reformation. This period of Ecclefiaffical History, though it abound with shining examples; though it be unspeakably useful as a key to the knowledge of the political, as well as religious, state of Europe; though it be fingularly adapted to unfold the origin and explain the reasons of many modern transactions, has nevertheless been hitherto treated with lefs perspicuity, solidity, and elegance, than any other branch of the history of the church. The number of writers that have attempted to throw light upon this interesting period is considerable, but few of them are in the hands of the public. The barbarous style of one part of them, the profound ignorance of another, and the partial and factious spirit of a third, are such as tender them by no means inviting; and the enormous bulk and excellive price of the productions of some of the best of these writers must necessarily render them fearce. It is further to be observed, that some of the most valuable records that belong to the peried of Ecclefishies Hiltory now under confideration, lie yet in manuscript in the collections of the curious (or the obulent, who are willing to pall for fuck), and are thus concealed from public view. Theft

Those who consider these circumstances will no longer be surprised, that in this part of Ecclesiastical History, the most learned and laborious writers have omitted many things of consequence, and treated others without success. Among these, the annalists and other historians, so highly celebrated by the church of Rome, such as Baronius, Raynaldus, Bzovius, Manriques, and Waddient manuscripts and records, have nevertheless committed more faults, and fallen into errors of greater consequence, than other writers, who were by far their inferiors in learning and credit, and had much less access to original records than they were savoured with.

These considerations induce me to hope, that the work I here present to the public will neither appear superfluous nor useless. For as I have employed many years in the most laborious refearches, in order to acquire a thorough acquaintance with the history of Christianity from the eighth century downwards, and as I flatter myfelf that, by the affiftance of books and manuferipts too little confulted, I have arrived at a more certain and fatisfactory knowledge of that period than is to be found in the generality of writers, I cannot but think, that it will be doing real service to Ecclesiastical History to produce fome of these discoveries, as this may encourage the learned and industrious to pursue the plan that I have thus begun, and to complete the history of the Latin church, by dispelling the darkness of what is called the Middle Age. And indeed I may venture to affirm, that I have brought to light several things hitherto generally unknown, corrected from records of undoubted authority accounts of other things known but imperfectly and expressed with much perplexity and confusion, and expoked

exposed the fabulous nature of many events that deform the annals of facred history. I here perhaps carry too far that felf-praise, which the candour and indulgence of the public are disposed either to overlook as the infirmity, or to regard as the privilege, of old age. Those, however, who are curious to know how far this felf-applause is just and well-grounded, have only to cast an eye on the illustrations I have given on the subject of Con-STANTINE'S Donation, as also with respect to the Cathari and Albigenses, the Begbards and Beguines, the. Brethren and Sifters of the Free Spirit (whose pestilential fanaticism was a public nussance to many countries in Europe during the space of sour hundred years), the Fratricelli, or Little Bretbren, the controversies between the Franciscans and the Roman Pontifs, the history of Berenger and the Lollards, and other matters. When my illustrations on these subjects and points of history are compared with what we find concerning them in other writers, it will perhaps appear, that my pretentions to the merit of some interesting discoveries are not entirely without foundation.

These accessions to Ecclesiastical History could not be exhibited with the same brevity which I have observed in treating other subjects, that have already been amply enlarged upon by others; for this would have been incompatible with the information of the curious, who would have received but imperfect and confused notions of these subjects, and would have made me, perhaps, pass for a fabulous writer, who advanced novelties, without mentioning either my guides or my authorities. I have, therefore, not only explained all those points of history which carry with them in appearance of novelty, or recede considerably from the notions commonly received, but have also confirmed them by a sufficient

number

number of observations and testimonies to establish their credibility on a solid soundation. The illustrations and chlargements, which, generally speaking, carry an air of disproportion and superfluity in an historical abridgment, were absolutely necessary in the present case.

These reasons engaged me to change the plan laid down in my former work, and one peculiar confideration induced me to render the prefent history more ample and voluminous. The Elements, fo often mentioned, were defigned principally for the use of those who are appointed to instruct the studious youth in the hiltory and viciflitudes of the Christian church, and who stand in need of a compendious text to give a certain order and method to their prelections. In this view I treated each subject with the utmost brevity, and left, as was natural and fitting, much to the learning and abilities of those who should think proper to make use of these Elements in their course of instruction. But, in reviewing this compendious work with a defign to offer it anew to the public, I imagined it might be rendered more acceptable to many, by such improvements and additions as might adapt it not only to the use of those who teach others, but also of those who are defirous of acquiring, by their own application, a general knowledge of Ecclefiaftical History. It was with this view that I made confiderable additions to my former work, illustrated many things that had been there obscurely expressed for the take of brevity, and reduced to a regular and perspicuous order a variety of facts, the recital of which had been more or less attended with perplexity and confusion. Hence it is, that, in the following work, the hiftory of the calamities, in which the Christians of the first ages were involved, and the origin and progress of the sects and heresies which

AUTHOR'S PREFACE.

25.

which troubled the church, are exhibited with an uncommon degree of accuracy and precision. Hence the various forms of refigion, which have forung from the excessive love of novelty, are represented without prejudice or partiality, and with all possible perspicuity and truth. It is also in confequence of this change of my original defign, that I have taken the utmost pains to state more clearly religious controversies, to estimate their respective moment and importance, and to exhibit the arguments alleged on both fides: nor must I omit mentioning the care and labour I have employed in giving an exact narration of the transactions, wars, and enterprising measures, of the Roman pontifs, from the reign of CHARLEMAGNE down to the prefent Limes.

Those, therefore, who are prevented from applying themselves to a regular study of Ecclesiastical History through want of leisure, or by not having at hand the sources of instruction, and are nevertheless destrous of acquiring a distinct knowledge of certain events, doctrines, or religious rites, may consult the following work, in which they will find the information they want; and those who are inclined to push their inquiries still further, will see the course they must pursue, and the authors mentioned whom it will be proper for them to peruse.

It would betray an unpardonable prefumption in me to imagine, that in a work, whose plan is so extensive, and whose contents are so various, I have never fallen into any mistakes, or let any thing drop from my pen, which stands in need of correction. But as I am conscious to myself of having conducted this undertaking with the most upright intentions, and of having employed all those means that are generally looked upon as the

best preservatives against the seduction of error, I would hope that the mistakes I may have committed are neither so frequent nor so momentous as to be productive of any pernicious effects.

I might add more; but nothing more is necessary to enable those to judge of this work, who judge with knowledge, impartiality and candour. I therefore conclude, by offering the just tribute of my gratitude to Almighty God, who, amidst the infirmities of my advanced years and other pressures under which I have laboured, has supplied me with strength to bring this difficult work to a conclusion.

Gottingen, March 23, 1755.

INTRODUCTION.

I. To Colesiastical History is a clear and Delables faithful narration of the transactions, re- view Hillonvolutions, and events, that relate to that large in community, which bears the mame of Jasus CHRIST, and is vulgarly known under the denomination of the Church. It comprehends both the external and internal condition of this community, and fo connects each event with the causes from which it proceeds, and the instruments which have been concerned in its production, that the attentive reader may be led to observe the displays of providential wildom and goodness in the preservation of the church, and thus find his piety im-

proved, as well as his knowledge.

II. The church, founded by the ministry and Division of Ecclesialia death of Christ, cannot be represented with sal History more perspicuity and propriety than under the isto Entunotion of a fociety subjected to a lawful dominion, and governed by certain laws and institutions, mostly of a moral and spiritual tendency; To fuch a fociety many external events must happen, which will advance or oppose its interests. and accelerate or retard its progress towards perfection, in confequence of its unavoidable connexion with the course and revolutions of human affairs. Moreover, as nothing is stable and uniform where the imperfections of humanity takes place, this religious fociety, besides the vicifitudes to which it must be exposed from the influence of external events, must be liable to various changes in its internal conflicution. In this view of things then it appears, that the history of the church, like that of the Stines may be divided, with propriety, into two govern Yor. 1. branches,

branches, which we may call its External and

Internal history.

The Exterwbicb کمی lateds the profperous trois events that hapabusch.

III. The External History of the church comprehends all the changes, viciflitudes, and events. that have diversified the external state and condiand culture tion of this facred community. And as all public focieties have their periods of lustre and decay, person to the and are exposed to revolutions both of a happy and calamitous nature, so this first branch of Ecclefiaftical History may be subdivided into two, comprehending respectively, the prosperous and calamitous events that have happened to the church.

Professous events.

IV. The prosperous events that have contributed to extend the limits, or to augment the influence, of the Christian church, have proceeded either from its rulers and leaders, or from the fubordinate members of this great community. Under the former class, we rank its public rulers, fuch as princes, magistrates, and pontiffs, who, by their authority and laws, their liberality, and even their arms, have maintained its cause and extended its borders; as also its more private leaders, its learned and pious doctors, whose wise counsels, pious exploits, eminent examples, and distinguished abilities, have contributed most to promote its true prosperity and lustre. Under the latter class, we may comprehend the advantages, which the cause of Christianity has derived, from the active faith, the invincible constancy, the forcent piety, and extensive charity of its gesuine professors, who, by the attractive fustre of these amiable virtues, have led many into the way of truth, and engaged them to submit them-Selves to the empire of the Messian.

Calemiters

V. Under the calamitans events that have happened to the church, may be comprehended the injuries it has received from the vices and paffitus of its friends, and the bitter opposition and infi-

dious

dious stratagems of its enemies. The professors of Christianity, and more especially the doctors and rulers of the church, have done unspeakable detriment to the cause of religion, by their ignorance and floth, their luxtury and ambition, their uncharitable zeal, animolities and contentions, of which many shocking examples will be exhibited in the course of this history. Christianity had public enemies to encounter, even princes and magnitrates, who opposed its progress by penal laws, and blood-thirfty perfecution; it had also private and inveterate adversaries in a certain-set of philosophers, or rather sophists, who, enslaved to superstition or abandoned to atheism; endeavoured to blast the rising church by their perfidious acculations and their virtilent writings.

VI. Such then are the events that are exhibited History, to our view in the external hiltory of the church. which a Its Internal History comprehends the changes and prehease, viciffitudes that have happened in its inward conflitution, in that system of discipline and doctrine by which it stands distinguished from all other religious focieties. This branch may be properly termed the History of the Christian Religion. causes of these internal changes are to be sought for principally in the conduct and measures of those who have presided and borne rule in the church. It has been too frequently their practice to interpret the truths and precepts of religion in a manner accommodated to their particular fystems, nay, to their private interest; and, while. they have found in some implicit obedience, they have met with warm opposition from others. Hence have proceeded theological troils and civil commotions, in which the cause of religion has often been defended at the expence both of justice and humanity. All these things must be observed with the firstess attention by an teaclefightical histories.

INTRODUCTION.

First, the history of the Christian doctors.

VII. The first thing, therefore, that should be naturally treated in the Internal History of the church, is the hiltory of its ministers, rulers, and form of government. When we look back to the commencement of the Christian church, we find its government administered jointly by the pastors and the people. But, in process of time, the scene changes, and we see these pastors affecting an air of pre-eminence and superiority, trampling upon the rights and privileges of the community, and affurning to themselves a supreme authority both in civil and religious matters. This invafion of the rights of the people was at length carried to fuch a height, that a fingle man administered, or at least pretended a right to administer, the affairs of the whole church with an unlimited fway.-Among the doctors of these early times, there were some who acquired, by their learned labours, a shining reputation and an universal influence; they were regarded as oracles; their decilions were handed down to posterity as sacred rules of faith and practice; and they thus deferve to be mentioned, with particular diffinction, among the governors of the church, though no part of its public administration was actually in their hands [a].

Secondly, the ministry of the distrines and laws of the church, VIII. After giving an account of the rulers and doctors of the church, the ecclesiastical historian proceeds to exhibit a view of the laws that are peculiar to this sacred community, that form, as it were, its center of union, and distinguish it from all other religious societies. These laws are of two kinds. The first are properly called divine, because they are immediately enacted by God himself, and are con-

to [a] By these our author means the Fathers, whose writings form fill a rule of faith in the Romish church, while in the Frotestant churches their authority diminishes from day to dy.

tained in those sacred books, which carry the most striking marks of a divine origin. They confift of those declirines that are the objects of faith and reason, and those precepts that are addressed to the heart and the affections. To the fecond kind belong those laws that are merely of human institution, and derive their authority only from the injunctions of the rulers of the church.

IX. In that part of the facred history which Rules nerelates to the doctrines of Christianity, it is ne- chierved in ceffary, above all things, to inquire particularly grants his into the degree of authority that has been attri- define of buted to the facred writings in all the different the Christiperiods of the church, and also into the manner in which the divine doctrines they contain, have been explained and illustrated. For the true Itate of religion in every age can only be learned. from the point of view in which thefe celestial oracles were confidered, and from the manner in which they were expounded to the people. long as they were the only rule of faith, religion preferred its native purity; and in proportion as their decisions were either neglected or postponed to the inventions of men, it degenerated from its primitive and divine fimplicity. It is further neceffary to shew under this head, what was the fate of the pure laws and doctrines of Christianicyhow they were interpreted and explained-how they were defended against the enemies of the Golpel-how they were corrupted and adulterated by the ignorance and licentiquiness of men. And, finally, it will be proper to enquire here, how far the lives and manners of Christians have been conformable to the dictates of these sacred laws. and the influence that these sublime doctrines ought to have upon the hearts of men; as also to examine the rules of discipline prescribed by the spiritual governors of the church, in order to correct

correct and restrain the vices and irregularities of its members.

Thirdly the hickory of ica ceremonies

X. The Human Laws, that constitute a part of eccleliaftical government, confift in precepts and worthing concerning the external worthin of the Deity, and, in certain rites, either confirmed by custom or introduced by positive and express authority. Rites and ceremonies regard religion either directly or indirectly, by the former, we understand those that are used in the immediate worthip of the Supreme Being, whether in public or in private; by the latter, fuch pious and decent institutions as, belides direct acts of worthip, have obtained in the church. This part of facred history is of a valt extent, both on account of the great diverfity of these ceremonies, and the frequent changes and modifications through which they have paffed. This confideration will justify our treating them with brevity, in a work which is only defigned as a compendious view of ecclefialtical history.

Pourthly. the hillory of the herefies that have divided ite

XI. As bodies politic are fometimes distracted with wars and feditions, so has the Christian church, though defigned to be the mansion of charity and concord, been unhappily perplexed by intelline divisions, occasioned fomerimes by points of doctrine, at others by a variety of fentiments about certain rites and ceremonies. principal authors of these divisions have been stigmatized with the title of Heretics, and their peculiar opinions of confequence diffinguished by the appellation of Herefies [b]. The nature therefore and progress of these intestine divisions or berefies are to be carefully unfolded; and, if this be done with judgment and impartiality, it must prove pfeful and interesting in the highest degree.

though

[[]b] A term innocent in its primitive fignification, though become edious by the enormity of some errors, to which it has been applied, and also by the use that has been made of it, so yent the malignity of enthulialis and bigots.

though at the same time it must be observed. that no branch of ecclefialtical history is so painful and difficult, on account of the fagacity, candour, and application that it requires, in order to its being treated in a fatisfactory manner. The difficulty of arriving at the truth, in refearches of this nature, is extreme, on account of the injurious treatment that has been shewn to the heads of religious fects, and the unfair representations that have been given of their tenets and opinions; and this difficulty has been confiderably augmented by this particular circumstance, that the greatest part of the writings of those who were branded with the name of heretics have not reached our times. It is therefore the duty of a candid historian to avoid extaching to this term the invidious fense in which it is too often used, fince it is the invective of all contending parties, and is employed against truth as frequently as against error. The wisest method here is to take the word Heretic in its general fignification, as denoting a person, who, either directly or indirectly, has been the occasion of exciting divisions and diffentions among Christians.

XII. After thus confidering what conflictutes in treating the matter of Ecclesiastical History, it will be pro- eal History, per to beltow a few thoughts on the manner of even sereto treating it, as this is a point of too much importance not to deferve a moment's attention. And person with here we may observe, that in order to reader both the External and Internal History of the Church truly interesting and useful, it is absolutely necessary to trace effects to their causes. and to connect events with the circumstances, views, principles, and inftruments that have contributed to their existence. A bare recital of facts can at best but engich the memory, and furnith a certain degree, of amulements, but the historian; who enters into the secret springs that

INTRODUCTION.

direct the course of outward events, and views things in their various relations, connexions, and tendencies, gives thus a proper exercise to the judgment of the reader, and administers, on many occasions, the most useful lessons of wisdoms and prudence. It is true, a high degree of caution is to be observed here, lest, in disclosing the tecret springs of public events, we substitute imaginary causes in the place of real, and attribute the actions of men to principles they never prosessed.

General method of lovelings... ing the fiangle crufes of things.

XIII. In order to discover the secret causes of public events, some general succours are to be derived from the History of the times in which they happened, and the Testimonies of the authors by whom they are recorded. But besides these. a considerable acquaintance with human nature, founded on long observation and experience, is singularly useful in researches of this kind. historian, who has acquired a competent knowledge of the views that occupy the generality of men, who has studied a great variety of characters, and attentively observed the force and violence of human passions, together with the infirmities and contradictions they produce in the conduct of life, will find, in this knowledge, a key to the fecret reasons and motives which gave rise to many of the most important events of ancient times. A knowledge also of the manners and opiviews of the persons concerned in the events that are related, will contribute much to lead us to the true origin of things.

More particular rules for coming to this handwished the terinered billion of the thanks a XIV. These are, however, belides these general views, particular considerations, which will affift us still further in tracing up to their true causes the various events of facred history. We must, for example, in the External history of the church, attend carefully to two things: first, so the political state of these kingdoms and nations in which the Christian religion has been example.

bracks

braced or rejected; and, fecondly, to their religious state, i. e., the opinions they have entertained concerning the divine nature, and the worship that is to be addressed to him. For we shall then perceive, with more certainty and less difficulty, I the reasons of the different reception Christianity has met with in different nations, when we are acquainted with the respective forms of civil government, the political maxims, and the public forms of religion that prevailed in those countries and in those periods of time in which the Gospel received encouragement, or met with

oppolition.

XV. With respect to the Internal History of the and in the Church, nothing is more adapted to lay open to Internal Hillory. view the hidden springs of various changes, than an acquaintance with the History of learning and philosophy in the times of old. For it is certain, that human learning and philosophy have, in all times, pretended to modify the doctrines of Christianity; and that these pretentions have extended further than belongs to the province of philosophy on the one hand, or is confistent with the purity and simplicity of the Gospel on the other. It may also be observed, that a knowledge of the forms of civil government, and of the superstituous rites and institutions of antient times, is not only ulcful, as we remarked above, to illustrate several things in the External History of the church, but also to render a satisfactory account of its Internal variations both in point of doctrine and worthip. For the genius of human laws and the maxims of civil rulers have undoubtedly had a great influence in farming the conflitution of the church; and even its spiritual leaders have, in too many infrances, from an illjudged prudence, modelled its discipline and work Compasser the ancient funerititions.

elefisftical Hiftory mul he desired.

The sources . XVI. We cannot be at any loss to know the fources from whence this important knowledge is to be derived. The best writers of every age, who make mention of ecclefiaftical affairs, and particularly those who were contemporary with the events they relate, are to be carefully confulted; fince it is from credible testimonies and respectable authorities that history derives a solid and permanent foundation. Our effeem for those writers, who may be confidered as the fources of historical knowledge, ought not however to lead us to treat with neglect the historians and annalists, who have already made use of these original records; since it betrays a foolish fort of vanity to reject the advantages that may be derived from the fuccours and labours of those who have preceded us in their endeavours to cast light upon matters that have been for many ages covered with obscurity [e],

The effenof an Becle-Bary.

XVII. From all this we shall easily discern the and qualifications that are effential to a good writer of Served His Ecclefiaftical History. His knowledge of human affairs must be considerable, and his learning extensive. He must be endowed with a spirit of observation and fagacity; a habit of reasoning with evidence and facility; a faithful memory; and a judgment matured by experience, and strengthened by exercise. Such are the intellectual endowments that are required in the character of a good historian; and the moral qualities that are necessary to complete it, are, a perfevering and inflexible attachment to truth and virtue, a freedom from the fervitude of prejudice and passion, and a laborious and patient turn of mind.

[[]c] The various writers of ecclefialtical history are enumesmed by Savar. Walt. Stuterys in his Proplem Historia Christiana, published at Lumburg is 410. in the year 1696; and by Case. Sagittakins, in his Introductional Historian Sidefinfticum, fingulafque ejus partes. XVIII.

KVIII. Those who undertake to write the An histohistory of the Christian church are exposed to re- free from a ceive a bias from three different sources, from services. times, persons, and opinsons. The times, in which times, men, we live, have often to great an influence on our and opinion manner of judging, as to make us confider the events, which happen in our days, as a rule by which we are to estimate the probability or evidence of those that are recorded in the history of past ages. The persons, on whose testimonies we think we have reason to depend, acquire an imperceptible authority over our tentiments, that too frequently feduces us to adopt their errors, especially if these persons have been distinguished by eminent degrees of fanctity and virtue. And an attachment to favourite opinions leads authors fometimes to pervert, or, at least, to modify, facts in favour of those who have embraced these opinions, or to the disadvantage of such as have opposed them. These kinds of seduction are so much the more dangerous, as those whom they deceive are, in innumerable cases, insensible of their delution, and of the false representations of things to which it leads them. It is not necesfary to observe the solemn obligations that bind an historian to guard against these three sources of error with the most delicate circumspection, and the most scrupulous attention.

XIX. It is well known nevertheless how far ec- The differclesiastical historians, in all ages, have departed that an electrical historians, in the from these rules, and from others of equal evidence witter of and importance. For, not to mention those who gory. lay claim to a high rank among the writers of history in consequence of a happy memory, loaded with an ample heap of materials, nor those whose pens are rather guided by fordid views of interest than by a generous love of truth, it is but too. evident, how few in number the unprejudiced and impartial historians are, whom neither the in-

fluence of the fect to which they belong, nor the venerable and imposing names of antiquity, nor the spirit of the times and the torrent of prevailing opinion, can turn aside from the obstinate purfuit of truth alone. In the present age, more especially, the foirit of the times and the influence of predominant opinions, have gained with many an incredible ascendant. Hence we find frequently in the writings even of learned men fuch wretched arguments as these: Such an opinion is true; therefore it must of necessity have been adopted by the primaive Christians—Christ has commanded us to live in Juch a manner; therefore it is undoubtedly certain, that the Christians of ancient times lived fo .- A certain custom does not take place now, therefore it did not prevail in former Times.

The advanfages that . refuti fr m Recleffaft; col Hillory, General.

XX. If those who apply themselves to the compolition of Ecclesiastical History be careful to the fluor of avoid the fources of error mentioned above, their labours will be emmently useful to mankind, and more especially to those who are called to the important office of instructing others in the sacred truths and duties of Christianity. The history of the church presents to our view a variety of objects that are every way adapted to confirm our faith. When we contemplate here the discouraging obstacles, the united efforts of kingdoms and empires, and the dreadful calamities which Chriflianity, in its very infancy, was obliged to encounter, and over which it gained an immortal victory, this will be fufficient to fortify its true and zealous professors against all the threats, cavils, and stratagems of profane and impious men. The great and shining examples also, which display their luftre, more or lris, in every period of the Christian history, must have an admirable tendency to inflame our piety, and to excite, even in the coldeste and most insensible hearts, the love of God and virtue. Those amazing revolutions

and events that diftinguished every age of the church, and often feemed to arife from small beginnings and causes of little consequence, proclaim, with a folemn and respectable voice, the empire of Providence, and also the inconstancy and vanity of human things. And, among the many advantages that arise from the study of Ecclesiastical History, it is none of the least, that we shall see therein the origin and occasions of those ridiculous rites, absurd opinions, foolish superstitions, and pernicious errors, with which Christianity is yet disfigured in too many parts of the world. This knowledge will naturally lead us to a view of the truth in its beautiful fimplicity, will engage us to love it, and render us zealous in its defence; not to mention the pleafure and fatisfaction that we must seel in researches and discoveries of fuch an interesting kind.

XXI. They, more especially, who are ap- and partipointed to instruct the youth in the public uni- culus. versities, as also such as are set apart for the service of the church, will derive from this fludy the most useful lessons of wisdom and prudence, to duect them in the discharge of their respective offices. On the one hand, the inconfiderate zeal and temerity of others, and the pernicious confequences with which they have been attended, will teach circumspection; and in the mistakes into which even men of eminent merit and abilities have fallen, they will often fee the things they are obliged to avoid, and the facrifices it will be prudent to make, in order to maintain peace and concord in the church; on the other, illustrious examples and falutary measures will hold forth to them a rule of conduct, a lamp to thew them the paths they must pursue. It may be further obferved, that, if we except the arms which fcripture and reason furnish against superstition and error, there is nothing that will enable us to com-

bat them with more efficacy than the view of their deplorable effects, as they are represented to us in the history of the church. It would be endless to enumerate all the advantages that refult from the study of Ecclesiastical History; experience adone can display these in all their extent; nor shall we mention the benefits that may be derived from it by those who have turned their views to other feiences than that of theology, and its more peculiar utility to fuch as are engaged in the fludy of the civil law. All this would lead us too far from our prefent defign.

The method of treating Teclefieftiin its Errerand bas tee ter nal Lauches.

XXII. As the hiltory of the church is External or Internal, so the manner of treating it must be eal History, switted to that division. As to the first, when the narration is long, and the thread of the history runs through a great number of ages, it is proper to divide it into certain periods, which will give the reader time to breathe, affift memory, and also introduce a certain method and order into the work. In the following history the usual division into centuries is adopted preferably to all others, because most generally liked; though it be attended with difficulties and inconveniences.

> XXIII. A confiderable part of these inconveniences will be however removed, if, belides this finaller divition into centuries, we adopt a larger one, and divide the space of time that elapsed between the birth of Christ and our days into certain grand periods, that are diffinguished by fignal revolutions or remarkable events. on this account that we have judged it expedient to comprehend the following History in Four Books, that will take in four remarkable periods: the First will be employed in exhibiting the trate and viciflitudes of the Christian church, from its commencement to the time of Constan-TIME the Great. The Second will comprehend the period, that extends from the reign of Con-

STANTINE

STANTINE to that of CHARLEMAGNE, which produced such a remarkable change in the sace of Europe. The THIRD will contain the History of the Church, from the time of CHARLEMAGNE to the memorable period when LUTHER arose in Germany, to oppose the tyranny of Rome, and to deliver divine truth from the darkness that covered it. And the FOURTH will carry down the same history, from the rise of LUTHER to the present times.

XXIV. We have feen above, that the sphere of Ecclefiaftical Hiftory is extensive, that it comprehends a great variety of objects, and embraces political as well as religious matters, fo far as the former are related to the latter, either as causes or effects. But, however great the diversity of these objects may be, they are closely connected; and it is the particular business of an ecclesiastical historian to observe a method that will shew this connexion in the most conspicuous point of view, and form into one regular whole a variety of parts that feem heterogeneous and discordant. Different writers have followed here different methods, according to the diverfity of their views and their peculiar manner of thinking. The order I have observed will be seen above in that part of this Introduction, which treats of the subject-matter of Ecclesiastical History; the mention of it is therefore omitted here, to avoid unnecessary repetitions.

AN

ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY.

BOOK THE FIRST.

CONTAINING THE

HISTORY OF THE CHURCH,

FROM

THE BIRTH OF CHRIST

T O

CONSTANTINE THE GREAT.

AN

ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY.

воок і.

Containing the HISTORY of the CHRISTIAN
CHURCH from its first rise to the time of
CONSTANTINE the GREAT.

PART I.

Comprehending the External History of the Church.

CHAPTER I.

Concerning the civil and religious flate of the world at the birth of CHRIST.

I. A GREAT part of the world was become CENT. Subject to the Roman empire, when JESUS CHRIST made his appearance upon earth. The remoter nations, which had the Roman fubmitted to the yoke of this mighty empire, were ruled, either by Roman governors, invested with temporary commissions, or by their own princes and laws, in subordination to the republic, whose sovereignty was to be acknowledged, and from which the conquered kings that were continued in their dominions, derived their borrowed majesty. At the same time the Roman people and their venerable senate, though they had not lost all shadow of liberty, were yet, in reality,

CENT. reduced to a state of servile submission to Augus-TUS CASAR, who, by artifice, perfidy, and bloodshed, had proceeded to an enormous degree of power, and united in his own person the pompous titles of Emperor, Sovereign, Pontiff, Cenfor, Tribune of the people, Proconful; in a word, all the great offices of the state [a].

The incon-TENICACES. which propreded form the corrupt edminifiranon of its magiffrature

II. The Roman government, confidered both with respect to its form, and its laws, was certainly mild and equitable [b]. But the injuffice and avarice of the Prætors and Proconfuls, and the ambitious luft of conquest and dominion, which was the predominant passion of the Roman people, together with the rapacious proceedings of the Publicans, by whom the taxes of the empire were levied, were the occasions of perpetual tumults and unsupportable grievances. And among the many evils that arose from thence we justly reckon the formidable armies, that were necesfary to support these extortions in the provinces, and the civil wars which frequently broke out between the oppressed nations and their haughty conquerors.

The edvenmole from jes sumai.

III. It must, at the same time, be acknowteges which ledged, that this supreme dominion of one people, or rather of one man, over fo many kingdoms, was attended with many confiderable advantages to mankind in general, and to the propagation and advancement of Christianity in particular. For, by the means of this almost universal empire, many pations, different in their

> [4] See for this purpose the learned work of Augustin CAMPIANUS, entitled, De officio el potestate Magistratura Romanorum et jurifullione, lib. i. cap. i. p. 3, 4, &c. Geneve, 1725, in Quarto.

> [b] See Mort. 2's Essay on the Constitution of the Ruman Gocomment, in the pollhumous works of that author, vol. i. p. 1-48. er allo Sotr. Marraet Ferena illuficata, lib. ii. p. 65.

language and in their manners, were united can r. more intimately, together in focial intercourse, PART I. Hence a passage was opened to the remotest countries, by the communications which the Romans formed between the conquered provinces [c]. Hence also the nations, whose manners were favage and barbarous, were civilized by the laws and commerce of the Romans. And by this, in short, the benign influence of letters and philofophy was spread abroad in countries which had lain, before, under the darkest ignorance. this contributed, no doubt, in a fingular manner, to facilitate the progress of the Gospel, and to crown the labours of its first ministers and heralds with fuccess $\lceil d \rceil$.

IV. The Roman empire, at the birth of The Roman CHRIST, was less agitated by wars and turnules copy peace. than it had been for many years before. For, though I cannot affent to the opinion of those, who, following the account of Orosius, maintain, that the temple of Janus was then shut, and that wars and discords absolutely ceased throughout the world [e]; yet it is certain, that the period, in which our Saviour descended upon earth, may be justly styled the Pacific Age, if we compare it with the preceding times. And indeed, the tranquillity, that then reigned, was necessary to enable the ministers of Christ to execute, with fuccess, their sublime commission to the human race.

[e] See So. Massons Templum Jani, Christo nascquie, restinavan. Roterodami, 1706.

[[]c] See, for a further illustration of this matter, Neffoire des grands chemins de l'Empire Romain, par Nicol. Bencien, printed to the year 1728. See also the very learned EVERARD Отто, De intela viarum publicarum, part II. p. 314

[[]d] Oxices, among others, makes particular mention of this, in the second book of his answer to Census, p. 79. of the Cambridge edition.

The flate of the other Dations.

V. The want of ancient records renders it im-PARTI possible to say any thing satisfactory or certain. concerning the state of those nations, who did not receive the Roman yoke: nor indeed is their history effential to our present purpose. It is fufficient to observe, with respect to them, that those who inhabited the eastern regions were strangers to the sweets of liberty, and grouned under the burthen of an oppressive yoke. This, their foftness and efferningcy, both in point of manners and bodily conflictution, contributed to make them support with an unmanly patience; and even the religion they professed rivetted their chains. On the contrary, the northern nations enjoyed, in their frozen dwellings, the bleffings of facred freedom, which their government, elicir religion, a robust and vigorous frame of body and spirit, derived from the inclemency and severity of their climate, all united to preserve and maintain [f].

All fonk in Apei Attion;

VI. All these nations lived in the practice of the most abominable superstitions. For though the notion of one Supreme Being was not entirely effaced in the human mind, but shewed ittelf frequently, even through the darkness of the groffest idolatry; yet all nations, except that of the Jews, acknowledged a number of governing powers whom they called Gods, and one or more of which they supposed to preside over each particular province or people. They worthipped their fictitious, deities with various rites; they confidered them as widely different from each other in fex, and power, in their nature, and also in

[[]f] Fere itaque imperia (lays Senzon) penes eus fuere populas, qui littere celo utuntur : un frigora, feftentrionemque vergentibus ...manssuta ingenia sent, us air poeta, suoque simillima conto. Senaca De tra, lib. ii. cap. xvi. tom. i. Opp. Edic. Grenovii.

their respective offices, and they appealed them CENT. by a multiplicity of ceremonies and offerings, in Part 4. order to obtain their protection and favour. So that, however different the degrees of enormity might be, with which this abfurd and impious theology appeared in different countries; yet there was no nation, whose sacred rites and whose religious worship did not discover a manifest abuse of reason, and very striking marks of extravagance and folly.

VII. Every nation then had its respective gods, but not of over which prefided one more excellent than the the fame rest; yet in such a manner, that this supreme deity was himself controlled by the rigid empire of the fates, or what the philosophers called Eternal necessity. The gods of the east were different from those of the Gauls, the Germans, and-the The Grecian divinities other northern nations. differed widely from those of the Egyptians, who deified plants, animals, and a great variety of the productions both of nature and art [g]. Each people also had their own particular manner of worshipping and appealing their respective deities, entirely different from the facred rites of other countries. In process of time, however, the Greeks and Romans grew as ambitious in their religious pretentions, as in their political claims. They maintained that their gods, though under different names, were the objects of religious worship in all nations, and therefore they gave the names of their deities to those of other countries [b]. This pretention, whether supported bγ

[g] See the difcourse of ATHANASIUS, entitled Oratio contra Gentes, in the first volume of his works,

to [b] This fact renders a latisfactory account of the walk number of gods who bore the name of Jupiter, and the multitudes that passed under those of Mercury, Venus, Hercules, Jac, Sc. The Greeks, when they found, in other counes, datties that referabled their own, perfunded the wor-

OENT. by ignorance or other means, introduced inexpressible darkness and perplexity, into the history
of the antient superstitions, and has been also the
occasion of innumerable errors in the writings of
the learned.

No wars not diffentions occasioned by this vatiety of religions. VIII. One thing, indeed, which, at first sight, appears very remarkable, is, that this variety of religions and of gods neither produced wars nor differitions among the different nations, the Egyptians excepted [i]. Nor is it, perhaps, necessary to except even them, since their wars undertaken for their gods cannot be looked upon, with propriety, as wholly of a religious nature [k]. Each nation

shippers of these foreign gods, that their deities were the same that were honoured in Greece, and were, indeed, convinced themselves that this was the case. In consequence of this, the Greeks gave the names of their gods to those of other nations, and the Romans, in this, followed their example. Hence we find the names of Jupiter, Mars, Mercury, Venus, &c. frequently mentioned in the more recent monuments and inferiptions which have been found among the Gauls and Germans, though the antient inhabitants of those countries worshipped no gods under fuch denominations. I cannot think that this method of the Greeks and Romans has introduced fo much confusion into mythology as Dr. Moshern here imagines. If indeed there was no refemblance between the Greek and Roman deities, and those of other nations, and if the names of the deities of the former had been given to those of the latter in an arbitrary and undistinguishing manner, the reflexion of our historian would be undentably true. But it has been alleged by many learned men, and that with a high degree of probability, that the principal deities of all nations refembled each other extremely in their effential characters; and, if so, their receiving the same names could not introduce much confution into mythology, fince they were probably derived from one common fource. If the Thor of the antient Celts was the fame in dignity, character, and attributes, with the Jupiter of the Greeks and Romans, where was the impropriety of giving the fame name?

[4] There are ingenious things to be found upon this head in the Emploise Menjo Ifinese of Piquenauva, p. 41.

to [4] The retigious wars of the Egyptians were not undertaken to compel others to adopt their worthip, but to awange the flaughter that was made of their gods, one. Cro-

nation suffered its neighbours to sollow their own c E w T. method of worthip, to adore their own gods, to PART I. emjoy their own rites and ceremonies, and difcovered no fort of displeasure at their diversity of fentiments in religious matters. There is, however, little wonderful in this spirit of mutual toleration, when we consider, that they all looked upon the world as one great empire, divided into various provinces, over every one of which 2 certain order of divinities prefided; and that, therefore, none could behold with contempt the gods of other nations, or force strangers to pay homage to theirs. The Romans exercised this toleration in the amplest manner. For, though they would not allow any changes to be made in the religions that were publicly professed in the empire, not any new form of worship to be openly introduced; yet they granted to their citizens a full liberty of observing, in private, the facred rites of other nations, and of honouring foreign deities (whose worship contained nothing inconfiftent with the interests and laws of the republic) with feafts, temples, confecrated groves, and such like testimonies of homage and respect [1].

IX. The deities of almost all nations were Mot of either antient heroes, renowned for noble exploits were deporte and worthy deeds, or kings and generals who had so become founded empires, or women become illustrious by remarkable actions or useful inventions. merit of these distinguished and eminent persons, contemplated by their posterity with an enthu-

codiles, &c. by the neighbouring nations. They were not offended at their neighbours for ferving other divinities, but could not bear that they should put theirs to death.

[1] See concerning this interesting subject a very curious and searned treatife of the famous BYNCKERSHORCE, enticled, Defectacio de cultu peregrina religionis apud Romanos. This differenties is to be found in the Opufculo of that excelless eather, which were published at Leydy & Quarto, in the year 1710.

fiaffic

E B N T. Staffic gratitude, was the reason of their being exalted to celestial honours. The natural world furnished another kind of deities, that were added to thefe by some nations. And as the sun, moon, and stars shine forth with a lustre superior to that of all other material beings; fo it is certain that they particularly attracted the attention of mankind, and received religious homage from almost all the nations of the world [m]. From these beings of a nobler kind, idolatry descended into an enormous multiplication of inferior powers, fo that in many countries, mountains, trees, and rivers, the earth, the sea, and the winds, nay, even virtues, vices, and difeafes, had their flitines attended by devout and zealous worshippers $\lceil n \rceil$.

The worfi 10 paid to thek gestiess

X. These deities were honoured with rites and facrifices of various kinds, according to their respective nature and offices [o]. The rites used in

[m] The ingenious editor of the Ruins of Balbechae given us, in the preface to that noble work, a very curious account of the origin of the religious worthsp that was offered to the heavenly bodies by the Syrians and Arabians. In those uncomfortable defarts, where the day prefents nothing to the view, but the uniform, tedious, and melancholy prospect of barren fands, the night discloses a most delightful and magnibeent spectacle, and appears arrayed with charms of the most attractive kind. For the most part unclouded and ferene, it exhibits to the wondering eye the Hoft of beaven, in all their amazing variety and glory. In the view of this flupendous fceae, the transition from admiration to idolatry was too easy to uninftructed minds; and a people, whose climate offered no beauties to contemplate but those of the firmament, would naturally look thither for the objects of their worthip. The form of idolatry, in Greece, was different from that of the Syrians; and Mr. Wood ingeniously attributes this to that fmiling and wariegated scene of mountains, vallies, rivers, groves, woods, and fountains, which the transported imagination, in the midft of its pleasing aftenishment, supposed to be the seats of invisible deities. See a further account of this matter in the elegant work above mentioned.

[#] See the learned work of J. G. Vossive, De idolelastia. [o] See J. Shubbbtus, Defectifein weterem. Log. Bet,

1699.

their worthip were abfurd and ridiculous, and free c B w T. quently cruel and obscene. Most nations offered PART animals, and fome proceeded to the enormity of human facrifices. As to their prayers, they were void of piety and fense, both with respect to their matter and their form [p]. Pontiffs, priests, and ministers, distributed into several classes, prefided in this strange worship, and were appointed to prevent disorder in the performance of the facred rites. This order, which was supposed to be diffinguished by an immediate intercourse and friendship with the gods, abused their authority in the basest manner, to deceive an ignorant and wretched people.

XI. The religious worship we have now been confined to confidering, was confined to stated times and stated times places. The statues and other representations of the gods were placed in the temples $\lceil q \rceil$, and tupposed to be animated in an incomprehensible manner. For the votaries of these sichtious deities, however destitute they might be of reason in other respects, avoided carefully the imputation of worthipping inanimate beings, fuch as brafs, wood, and stone, and therefore pretended that the divinity, represented by the statue, was really present in it, if the dedication was doly and properly made [r].

XII. But, beside the public worship of the Mideries. gods, to which all without exception were admitted, there were certain religious inflitutions and

and places.

^[] See M. BROUERIUS a NIPDECK, De adoremonibus wetorum popularum, printed at Utreebt, in 840, in the year 1781.

g Some nations were without temples, fuch as the Perfians, Gauls, Germans, and Bretons, who performed their religious worthip in the open air, or in the shady retreats of confectated groves.

^{. [}r] See Aanontus Do. Gentes, lib. vi. p. 254. according to the edition of Heraldus. See also Augustin De avitate Dei, lib. vii. cap. xxxiii; and the Mefopogen of the emperor Ju-LLAM, p. 361, according to the edition of Spanheim.

O E N T. rites celebrated in secret by the Greeks and seve-* L ral eaftern nations, to which a very small number - were allowed access. These were commonly called mysteries; and the persons who desired to be initiated therein, were obliged previously to exhibit fatisfactory proofs of their fidelity and patience, by passing through various trials and ceremonies of the most disagreeable kind. The secret of these institutions was kept in the strictest manner, as the initiated could not reveal any thing that paffed in them without exposing their lives to the most imminent danger [3]; and that is the reason why, at this time, we are so little acquainted with the true nature and the real defign of these hidden rites. It is, however, well known, that, in forme of those mysteries, many things were transacted that were contrary both to real modesty and outward decency. And, indeed, from the whole of the Pagan rites, the intelligent few might eafily learn, that the divinities generally worshipped, were rather men famous for their vices, than diftinguished by virtuous and worthy deeds [t].

Matendency in Paganlim CO PTOTOLS TUIL US.

XIII. It is, at least, certain, that this religion had not the least influence towards the exciting or nourishing folid and true virtue in the minds of men. For the gods and goddeffes, to whom public homage was paid, exhibited to their worthippers rather examples of egregious crimes, than of useful and illustrious virtues [u]. gods,

^[1] See CLARKSON on the Liturgies, § iv. p. 36. as also MEURSIUS, De mysterne Eleufinis.

[[]t] See Cround Diffest Tufculan. lib. ii. cap. xlii.

⁽a) There is a very remarkable passage to this purpose in the Triftia of Ovin, book the second, beginning at line 287. " Quis locus est templis augustion? hac quoque vitet,"

[&]quot; In culpam fi que est ingeniosa suam.

[&]quot; Cum steterit Jovis ade: Jovis succurret in ade,

[&]quot; Quam multas matres fecerit ille Deus.

[&]quot; Proxima

gods, moreover, were effected superior to men e E N T. in power and immortality; but, in every thing PART L elfe, they were confidered as their equals. priests were little folicitous to animate the people to a virtuous conduct, either by their precepts or their example; nay, they plainly enough declared, that all that was effential to the true worship of the gods, was contained only in the rites and inflitutions which the people had received by tradition from their ancestors [w]. And as to what regarded the rewards of virtue and the punishment of vice after this prefent life, the general notions were partly uncertain, partly licentious, and often more proper to administer indulgence to vice, than encouragement to virtue. Hence, the wifer part of mankind, about the time of Christ's birth, looked upon this whole system of religion as a just object of ridicule and contempt.

XIV. The confequences of this wretched theo- authorize logy were a universal corruption of manners, moted corwhich discovered itself in the impunity of the ruption of most stagitious crimes [x]. Juvenal and Per-manners. stus among the Latins, and Lucian among the Greeks, bear testimony to the justice of this heavy accusation. It is also well known, that no public law prohibited the fports of the gladiators, the exercise of unnatural lusts, the licentiousness

" Proxima adoranti Junonia templa fubibit, " Pellicibus multis hanc doluisse Deam.

" Pallade conspecta, natum de crimine virgo " Suftulerit quare, quæret Ericthonium."

[w] See BARBEYRAC's Preface to his French trapflation of Purferdory's System of the Law of Nature and Nations, & vi. p. 21. of the last edition.

[x] The corrupt manners of those who lay in the darkness of idolacty are described, in an ample and affecting manner, in the first of Cyparama's epistes. See also on this subject Connell. Annul Exercutatio de malis Remanorum ante praedieationem Evengelii moribus. This is the fifth discourse of a collection published by that learned writer at Growingen, 1712, ia Quarto,

ern r, of divorce, the custom of exposing infants, and PART I. of procuring abortions, nor the frontless atrocity of confectating publicly stews and brothels to certain divinities [7].

The arguments of the prefisja deganilm.

XV. Such as were not funk in an unaccountable and brutish stupidity, perceived the deforfence of Pa- mity of these religious systems. To these the crafty priefts addressed two considerations, to prevent their incredulity and to dispel their doubts. The first was drawn from the miracles and prodigies which, they pretended, were daily wrought in the temples, before the statues of the gods and heroes that were placed there; and the fecond was deduced from oracles and divination, by which they maintained that the fecrets of futurity were unfolded through the interpolition of the gods. In both these points the cunning of the priefts imposed miserably upon the ignorance of the people; and if the differning few faw the cheat, they were obliged, from a regard to their own fafety, to laugh with caution, fince the priefts were even ready to accuse, before a raging and superstitious multitude, those who discovered their religious frauds, as rebels against the majesty of the immortal gods.

The mi gion of the Oreeks and Romanu

XVI. At the time of CHRIST's appearance upon earth, the religion of the Romans, as well as their arms, had extended itself through a great part of the world. This religion must be known to those who are acquainted with the Grecian superstitions [2]. In some things, indeed, it differs from them; for the Romans, belides the institutions which NUMA and others had invented with

[m] See Diorysius Haltgarn, Antiq. Rom. lib. vii.

cap. lxxii, p. 460. tom. i. Edit. Hudfon.

^[7] See Dr. John Leland's excellent account of the reliposs fenuments, moral conduct, and future prospects of the Pagans, in his large work entitled, The Advantage and Necessary of the Christian Revelation.

political views, added several Italic and Hetrurian CENT. fictions to the Gracian fables, and gave also to the PART I. Egyptian deities a place among their own [4].

XVII. In the provinces subjected to the Ro- The Roman government, there arose a new kind of reli-mans introgion, formed by a mixture of the antient rites of the mes the conquered nations with those of the Romans. among those of the con-These nations, who, before their subjection, had quered notheir own gods, and their own particular religious institutions, were persuaded, by degrees, to admit into their worship a great number of the sacred rites and cultoms of their conquerors. The view of the Romans, in this change, was not only to confirm their authority by the powerful aid of religion, but also to abolish the inhuman sites which were performed by many of the barbarous nations who had received their yoke; and this change was effected partly by the prudence of the victors, partly by the levity of the vanquished, and by their ambition to pleafe their new mafters.

XVIII. When, from the facred rites of the an- Systems of tient Romans, we pass to a review of the other terent from religions that prevailed in the world, we thall find, that of the that the most remarkable may be properly divided into two classes, of which the one will comprehend the religious systems which owe their existence to political views; and the other, those which frem to have been formed for military purposes, In the former class may be ranked the religions of most of the eastern nations, especially of the Perfians, Egyptians, and Indians, which appear to have been folely calculated for the prefervation of the state, the supporting of the royal authority and grandeur, the maintenance of public peace, and the advancement of civil virtues. Under the military class may be comprehended the religious lystem of the northern nations; since all the tra-

[a] See Pretit ad leger Attiens, lib. i. tit. i. p. 71.

e E N T. ditions that we find among the Germans, the Bre-PART 1, tons, the Celts, and the Goths, concerning their divinities, have a manifest tendency to excite and nourish fortitude and ferocity, an insensibility of danger, and a contempt of life. An attentive enquity into the religions of these respective nations. will abundantly verify what is here afferted.

The wifer among the beathens could not remedy their evils.

XIX. None of these nations, indeed, ever arrived at fuch an excess of universal barbarity and ignorance, as not to have fome discerning men among them, who were fenfible of the extravagance of all these religions. But of these sagaclous observers, some were destitute of the weight and authority that were necessary to remedy these over-grown evils; and others wanted the will to exert themselves in such a glorious cause. And the truth is, none of them had wisdom equal to fuch a folemn and arduous enterprize. This appears manifeltly from the laborious, but useless efforts of some of the Greek and Roman philosophers against the vulgar superstitions. These venerable fages delivered, in their writings, many fublime things concerning the nature of God, and the duties incumbent upon men; they disputed with fagacity against the popular religion; but to all this they added such chimerical notions, and such absurd subtilities of their own, as may serve to convince us, that it belongs to God alone, and not to man, to reveal the truth without any mixture of impurity or error.

Two kinds of phile fobir prevail. ed at the tions of List).

XX. About the time of Christ's appearance upon earth, there were two kinds of philosophy which prevailed among the civilized nations. One was the philosophy of the Greeks, adopted also by the Romans; and the other, that of the Orientals, which had a great number of votaries in Perfia, Syria, Chaldea, Egypt, and even among the Jews. The former was distinguished by the Simple title of philosophy. The latter was honoured

noured with the more pampous appellation of CENT. science, or knowledge [b], fince those who embraced PART Is this latter fect pretended to be the restorers of the knowledge of God, which was loft in the world [c]. The followers of both these systems. in consequence of vehement disputes and dissenfions about feveral points, subdivided themselves into a variety of fects. It is, however, to be observed, that all the sects of the oriental philosophy deduced their various tenets from one fundamental principle, which they, held in common; whereas the Greeks were much divided even about the first principles of science.

As we shall have occasion hereafter to speak of the oriental philosophy, we shall confine ourselves here to the doctrines taught by the Grecian fages, and shall give some account of the various sects.

into which they were divided.

XXI. Among the Grecian fects, there were some of the fome which declared openly against all religion, Gred in life and others, who, though they acknowledged a reffice of all deity, and admitted a religion, yet cast a cloud piety. over the truth, instead of exhibiting it in its genuine beauty and lustre.

Of the former kind were the Epicureans and Academics. The Epicureans maintained, "That " the world arose from chance; that the gods " (whose existence they did not dare to deny) " neither did, nor could, extend their providen-"tial care to human affairs; that the foul was " mortal; that pleasure [d] was to be regarded as

13 [b] Truose (grafis) in the Greek fignifies science, or knowudge, and from hence came the title of Gnostics, which this prefumptuous fect claimed as due to their superior light and penetration in divine things. * •

[c] St. Pani mentious and condemns both these kinds of philosophy; the Greek, in the Epifle to the Colofficus, ii. 8. and the Oriental, or Grooks, in the First Epifle to Camulty, vi. 20.

[4] The ambiguity of the word pleasure has produced many disputes in the explication of the Epicurean system. Vol. I.

CENT. " the ultimate end of man; and that virtue was PART I, " neither worthy of efteem nor choice, but with a " view to its attainment." The Academics afferted the impossibility of arriving at truth, and held it uncertain, "Whether the gods existed or " not; whether the foul was mortal or immor-" tal; whether virtue were preferable to vice, " or vice to virtue." These two sects, though they struck at the foundations of all religion, were the most numerous of all others at the birth of Christ, and were particularly encouraged by the liberality of the rich, and the protection of those in power [e].

Others core supted the truth. The Ariftotelians.

XXII. We observed in the preceding section, that there was another kind of philosophy, in which religion was admitted, but which was, at the fame time, deficient by the obscurity it cast upon truth. Under the philosophers of this class, may be reckoned the Platonists, the Stoics, and the followers of ARISTOTLE, whole fubtile disputations concerning God, religion, and the focial duties, were of little folid use to mankind. The nature of God, as it is explained by Aristotle, is something like the principle that gives motion

If by pleasure, be understood only sensual gratifications, the tenet here advanced is indisputably monstrous. But if it be taken in a lafger fense, and extended to intellectual and moral objects; in what does the scheme of Epicurus, with respect to virtue, differ from the opinions of those Christian philosophers, who maintain that felf-love is the only fpring of all human affections and actions?

- [e] That of the Epicureans was, however, the most numerous of the two, as appears from the teltimony of Cicero, D_{τ} finibus, &cc. lib. i. cap. vii. lib. ii. cap. xiv. Disput, Tusculan. lib. v. cap. x. Hence the complaint, which Juveral makes in his xrreth Satire, of the Atheism that prevailed at Rame, in those excellent words:
 - " Sant in fortune qui cafibus omnia ponunt,
 - " Et nullo credunt mundom rectore moveri,
 - " Natura volvente vices et lucis et anni;
 - " Atque ideo intrepidi quacunque altaria tangunt,"

to a machine; it is a nature happy in the con- C B N T. templation of ilfelf, and entirely regardless of PART I human affairs; and such a divinity, who differs but little from the god of Epicurus, cannot reafonably be the object either of love or fear. With respect to the doctrine of this philosopher concerning the human foul, it is uncertain, to fay no more, whether he believed its immortality or not [f]. What then could be expected from fuch a philosophy? could any thing folid and fatisfactory, in favour of piety and virtue, be hoped for from a fystem which excluded from the universe a divine Providence, and infinuated the mortality of the human foul?

XXIII. The god of the Stoics has somewhat The Stoice. more majesty, than the divinity of ARISTOTLE; nor is he represented by those philosophers as six-. ting above the starry heavens in a supine indolence, and a perfect inattention to the affairs of the universe. Yet he is described as a corporeal being, united to matter by a necessary connexion, and subject to the determinations of an immutable fate, so that neither rewards nor punishments can properly proceed from him [g]. The learned alfo

[f] See the notes upon Cunwon Th's Intellectual System of the Universe, which Dr. Mosherm subjoined to his Latin translation of that learned work, vol. i. p. 66. 500. vol. ii. p. 1171. See also upon the same subject Mourgur's Plan Theologique du Pythagorisme, tom. i. p. 79.

(g) Thus is the florcal doctrine of face generally reprefented; but not more generally than unjustly. Their fetum, when carefully and attentively examined, feems to have fignified no more, in the intention of the wifest of that sect, than the plan of government formed originally in the divine mind, a plan all wife and perfect; and from which, of confequence, the Supreme Being, morally speaking, can hever depart. So that when Jupiter is faid by the Stoics to be subject to immutable fate, this means no more than that he is subject to the wildom of his own counsels, and acts ever in conformity with his fupreme perfections. The following remarkable passage of SINECA, drawn from the Vth chapter of his book De Provi-D 2

CENT. also know that, in the philosophy of this sect, the PART L existence of the soul was confined to a certain period of time. Now it is manifest, that these tenets remove, at once, the strongest motives to virtue, and the most powerful restraints upon vice; and, therefore, the stoical system may be considered as a body of specious and pompous doctrine, but, at the fame time, as a body without nerves, or any principles of confiltence and vigour.

The Plate. pics.

XXIV. PLATO is generally looked upon as fuperior to all the other philosophers in wisdom; and this eminent rank does not feem to have been undeferredly conferred upon him. He taught that the universe was governed by a being, glorious in power and wisdom, and possessed of a perfect liberty and independence. He extended also the views of mortals beyond the grave, and fliewed them, in futurity, prospects adapted to excite their hopes, and to work upon their fears. His doctrine, however, besides the weakness of the foundations on which it rests, and the obscurity with which it is often expressed, has likewise many other confiderable defects. It reprefents the Supreme Creator of the world as destitute of many perfections [b], and confined to a certain determinate portion of space. Its decisions, with

dentia, is sufficient to confirm the explication we have here given of the floreal fate. " Ille iple omnium conditor et rector scripsit quidem fata, fed sequitur. Semper pareta " femal jussit."

[b] This accusation seems to be carried too far by Dr. Mosneys. It is not firifully true, that the doctrine of PLATO represents the Supreme Being as destitute of many persections. On the contrary, all the divine perfections are frequently acknowledged by that philosopher. What probably gave occasion to this animadversion of our learned author, was the erroneous notion of PLATO, concerning the invincible malignity and corruption of matter, which the divine power had not been fufficient to reduce entirely to order. Though this notion is, indeed, injurious to the omnipotence of God, yet it is not fufficient to justify the centure now under confideration.

respect

respect to the squi, and demons, are too much CENT. adapted to beget and nourish superstition. Nor PART will the moral philosophy of Plato appear worthy of fuch a high degree of admiration, if we attentively examine and compare together its various parts, and reduce them to their principles [i].

XXV. As then, in these different sects, there Ededica. were many things maintained that were highly unreasonable and absurd; and as a contentious spirit of opposition and dispute prevailed among them all; certain men of true discernment, and of moderate characters, were of opinion, that none of these sects were to be adhered to in all matters. but that it was rather wife to chuse and extract out of each of them fuch tenets and doctrines as were good and reasonable, and to abandon and reject the rest. This gave rise to a new form of philosophy in Egypt, and principally at Alexandria, which was called the Eclettic, whose founder, according to some, was Potamon, an Alexandrian, though this opinion is not without its difficulties. It appears manifeltly from the testimony of Philip the Jew, who was himself one of this fect, that this philosophy was in a flourishing state at Alexandria, when our Saviour was upon the earth. The Felectics held PLATO in the highest effects, though they made no foruple to join with his doctrines, whatever they thought conformable to reason in the tenets and opinions of the other philosophers [k].

XXVI. The attentive reader will eafily con- The use of clude, from the short view that we have here ing chapters given of the miserable state of the world at the

[4] See Godor. Olbarius, De Philipphia Ecledica, Jac.

BRUCKER, and others.

^[1] There is an ample account of the defects of the Platonic philosophy in a work entitled, Defenses des Peres accuses de Platonifine, par FRANC. BALTUS: but there is more learning than accuracy in that performance.

The Jews

Hered the

Great.

ENT. birth of CHRIST, that mankind, in this period of Rand darkness and corruption, stood highly in need of - fome divine teacher to convey to the mind true and certain principles of religion and wildom, and to recal wandering mortals to the sublime paths of piety and virtue. The confideration of this wretched condition of mankind will be also singularly useful to those who are not sufficiently acquainted with the advantages, the comforts, and the support, which the sublime doctrines of Christianity are so proper to administer in every state. relation, and circumstance of life. A fet of miferable and unthinking creatures treat with negligence, may fometimes with contempt, the religion of Lisus, not confidering that they are indebted to it for all the good things which they so ungratefully enjoy.

CHAP. II.

Concerning the civil and religious State of the Jewish NATION at the birth of CHRIST.

I. THE state of the Jews was not much better governed by than that of the other nations at the time of Christ's appearance in the world. They were governed by Herod, who was himself a tributary to the Roman people. This prince was furnamed the GREAT (furely from no other circumstance than the greatness of his vices), and his government was a yoke of the most vexatious and opprefive kind. By a cruel, suspicious, and overbearing temper, he drew upon himself the aversion of all, not excepting those who lived upon his bounty. By a mad luxury and an affectation of magnificence far above his fortune, together with the most profuse and immoderate dargestes, he exhausted the treasures of that miferable

ferable nation. Under his administration, and CENT. by his means, the Roman luxury was received in PART L Palestine, accompanied with the worst vices of that licentious people [1]. In a word, Juden, governed by HEROD, groaned under all that corruption, which might be expected from the authority and the example of a prince, who, though a Jew in outward profession, was, in point of morals and practice, a contemner of all laws human and divine.

II. After the death of this tyrant, the Romans The flave of divided the government of Palestive between his it e drach of fons. In this division the one half of Judica was Hered. given to Anchelaus, with the title of Exarch; and the other was divided between his two brothers, Antipas and Pailip. Archelaus was a corrupt and wicked prince, and followed the example of his father's crimes in such a manner, that the Jews, grown weary of his iniquitous administration, laid their complaints and grievances before Augustus, who delivered them from their oppressor, by banishing him from his dominions about ten years after the death of Herop the GREAT. The kingdom of this dethroned prince was reduced to the form of a province, and added to the jurisdiction of the governor of Syria, to the great detriment of the Jews, whose heaviest calamities were owing to this change, and whose final destruction was its undoubted effect in the appointment of Providence.

III. However severe the authority was, which The colothe Romans exercised over the Jews, yet it did m ties that

the Tewif nation,

[1] See on this subject, Christ. Nouvil Historia Idunaa, which is annexed to HAVERCAMP's edition of Josephus, vol. ii. p. 333. See also Basnaor, Histoire des Juifs, tom. i. part 1. p. 27. Norts, Centaph. Pifan. Petdeaux, History of the Janus; CELLARIUS, his Hifteria Heroduse, in the first part of his Academical Differnations, p. 207; and above all, Jose-PHUS the Jewith Historian .

Ø

CENT. not extend to the entire suppression of all their PART I civil and religious privileges. The Jews were, in some measure, governed by their own laws, and they were permitted the enjoyment of the religion they had received from the glorious founder of their church and state. The administration of religious ceremonies was committed, as before. to the high-priest, and to the sanhedrim; to the former of whom the order of the priests and levites was in the usual subordination; and the form of outward worthip, except in a very few points, had suffered no visible change. But, on the other hand, it is impossible to express the inquietude and difgust, the calamities and vexations, which this unhappy nation suffered from the presence of the Romans, whom their religion obliged them to look upon as a polluted and idolatrous people, and, in a more particular manner, from the avarice and cruelty of the Prætors, and the frauds and extortions of the Publicans. So that, all things confidered, their condition, who lived under the government of the other fons of Heron, was much more supportable than the state of those, who were immediately

Their calamitties increated by the priens and rours of the fewith mation.

IV. It was not, however, from the Romans alone, that the calamities of this miferable people proceeded. Their own rulers multiplied their vexations, and hindered them from enjoying any little comforts that were left to them by the Roman magistrates. The leaders of the people, and the chief priests, were, according to the account of Josephus, profligate wretches, who had purchased their places by bribes, or by acts of iniquity, and who maintained their ill-acquired authority by the most flagitious and abominable crimes. The subordinate and inferior members were infected with the corruption of the head; the priests, and those who possessed any shadow

subject to the Roman jurisdiction.

of authority, were become diffolute and aban- C E N T. doned to the highest degree; while the multi- PART I. tude, set on by these corrupt examples, ran headlong into every fort of iniquity, and by their endless seditions, robberies, and extortions, armed against them both the justice of God, and the vengeance of men.

V. Two religions flourished at this time in The Jewith Palestine, viz. the Jewish and the Samatitan, much corwhole respective followers beheld those of the represeopposite sect with the utmost aversion. lewish religion stands exposed to our view in the books of the Old Testament; but at the time of Christ's appearance, in had loft much of its original nature, and of its primitive afpect. Errors of a very pernicious kind had infected the whole body of the people, and the more learned part of the nation were divided upon points of the highest consequence. All looked for a deliverer, but not for such a one as God had promifed. Inflead of a meek and spiritual Saviour, they expected a formidable and warlike prince, to break off their chains, and let them at liberty from the Roman yoke. All regarded the whole of religion, as confishing in the rites appointed by Moses, and in the performance of some external acts of duty towards the Gentiles. They were all horribly unanimous in excluding from the hopes of eternal life all the other nations of the world; and, as a consequence of this odious system, they treated them with the utmost rigout and inhumanity, when any occasion was offered them. And besides these corrupt and vicious principles, there prevailed among them feveral abfurd and superstitious notions concerning the divine nature, invitible powers, magic, &. which they had partly brought with them from the Babylonian captivity, and partly derived from

ENT. the Egyptians, Syrians, and Arabians, who lived

And also among the dofter, who were divided in a vatious softe.

VI. Religion had not a better fate among the learned than among the multitude. percilious doctors, who vaunted their profound knowledge of the law, and their deep science in spiritual and divine things, were constantly shewing their fallibility and their ignorance by their religious differences, and were divided into a great variety of fects. Of these sects three have. in a great measure, eclipsed the rest, both by the number of their adherents, and also by the weight and authority which they acquired. These were the Pharifees, the Saddwcees, and the Effenes [m]. There is frequent mention made of the two former in the facred writings; but the knowledge of the rites and doctrines of the latter, is to be derived from Josephus, Philo, and other historians. These three illustrious sects agreed in the fundamental principles of the Jewish religion, while, at the same time, they were involved in endless disputes upon points of the highest importance, and about matters in which the falvation of mankind was directly concerned; and their controversies could not but be highly detrimental to the rude and illiterate multitude, as every one must passly perceive.

The three famous fewsim fects diwided upon warrous poems s VII. It may not be improper to mention here forme of the principal matters that were debated among these famous sects. One of the main points of controversy was: Whether the WRITTEN LAW alone, was of divine authority. The Phari-

[[]m] Besides these more illustrious setts, there were several of inferior note, which prevailed among the Jews at the time of Christ's appearance. The Herodians are mentioned by the facred writers, the Gaulonites by Josephus, and others by Eriphanius and Hegesippus in Eugenus; nor is it resional to look upon these setts as sections.

fees added to this law another, which had been C B H T. received by oral tradition. This the Sadducees PART I and Essenes rejected as of no authority, and ad- -hered to the written law as the only divine rule of obedience. They differed also in their opinions concerning the true fense of the law. while the Pharifees attributed to the facred text a double fense, one of which was obvious, regarding only the words, and another mysterious, relating to the intimate nature of the things expressed; and while the Sadducees maintained that nothing further was delivered by the law. than that which was contained in the fignification of the words; the Effenes, at least the greatest part of that feet, entertained an opinion different from both of these. They afferted, in their jargon, that the words of the law were abiolotely void of all power, and that the things expressed by them, were the images of holy and celestial objects. These litigious subtilties and unintelligible wranglings, about the nature and fense of the divine word, were succeeded by a controverly of the greatest moment, concerning the rewards and punishments of the law, particularly with respect to their extent. The Pharisees were of opinion, that these rewards and punishments extended both to the foul and body, and that their duration was prolonged beyond the limits of this transitory state. The Sadducees assigned to them the fame period that concludes this mortal life. The Effenes differed from both: and maintained that future rewards and punishments extended to the foul alone, and not to the body, which they confidered as a mass of malignant matter, and as the prison of the immortal spirit.

·VIII. These differences, in matters of such But emervast consequence, between the three famous sects proced toleabove mentioned, produced none of those inju- ration to-

TIOUS other.

CENT. rious and malignant effects, which are too often PART I feen to arise from religious controversies. But fuch, as have any acquaintance with the history of these times, will not be so far deceived by this specious appearance of moderation, as to attribute it to noble or generous principles. will look through the fair outfide, and fee that their mutual fears of each other were the latent reason of this apparent charity and mutual for-The Sadducees enjoyed the favour bearance. and protection of the great. The Pharifees, on the other hand, were extremely high in the efteem of the multitude. And hence they were both fecured against the attempts of each other. and lived in peace, notwithstanding the diversity of their religious fentiments. The government of the Romans contributed also to the maintenance of this mutual toleration and tranquillity, as they were ever ready to suppress and punish whatever had the appearance of tomult and fedition. We may add to all this, that the Sadducean principles rendered that fect naturally averse to all forts of altercation and tumult. Libertinism has for its objects eafe and pleafure, and chuses rather to flumber in the arms of a fallacious feeurity, than to expose itself to the painful activity, which is required both in the fearch and in the defence of truth.

Effenes.

IX. The Essenes had little occasion to quarrel with the other fects, as they dwelt generally in a rural folitude, far removed from the view and commerce of men. This fingular feet, which was foread abroad through Syria, Egypt, and the neighbouring countries, maintained, that religion confifted wholly in contemplation and filence. By a rigorous abstinence also, and a variety of penitential exercises and mortifications, which they feem to have borrowed from the Egyptians,

tians [n], they endeavoured to arrive at still higher C E N T. degrees of perfection in virtue. There prevailed, PART I. however, among the members of this fect, a confiderable difference both in point of opinion and discipline. Some passed their lives in a state of celibacy, and employed their time in educating and instructing the children of others. Others embraced the state of matrimony, which they confidered as lawful, when entered into with the fole defign of propagating the species, and not to fatisfy the demands of luft. Those of the Essens. who dwelt in Syria, held the possibility of appeafing the deity by facrifices, though in a manner quite different from that of the Jews; by which, however, it appears that they had not utterly rejected the literal fense of the Mosaic law. But those who wandered in the defarts of Egypt were of very different fentiments: they maintained, that no offering was acceptable to God but that of a ference and composed mind, addicted to the contemplation of divine things; and it is manifest from hence, that they looked upon the law of Moses as an allegorical system of spiritual and mysterious truths, and renounced in its explication all regard to the outward letter [0].

X. The Therapeutæ, of whom Philo the Jew The Themakes particular mention in his treatife concerning Contemplative Life, are supposed to have been a branch of this feet. From this notion arose the division of the Essenes into theoretical and practical. The former of these were wholly devoted to contemplation, and are the same with the Therapeutæ; while the latter employed a part of their time in the performance of the du-

[7] See the annotations of Holstenius to Porphyry's Life of Pythagoras, p. 11. of the edition published by Kuller.

^[0] See Mosuziu's observations on a small treatise of the learned Cubworth's, concerning the true notion of the Lord's supper, p. 4.

CENT. ties of active life. Whether this division be PART 1, accurate or not, is a matter which I will not take upon me to determine. But I fee nothing in the laws or manners of the Therapeutæ, that should lead us to consider them as a branch of the Esfenes; nor indeed has Philo afferted any fuch thing. There may have been, furely, many other fanatical tribes among the Jews, besides that of the Essens; nor should a resemblance of principles always induce us to make a coalition of It is however, certain, that the Thesapeutæ were neither Christians nor Egyptians, as some have erroneously imagined. They were undoubtedly Jews; nay, they gloried in that title, and styled themselves, with particular affectation, the true disciples of Moses, though their manner of life was equally repugnant to the inflitutions of that great lawgiver and to the dictates of right reason, and shewed them to be a tribe of melancholy and wrong-headed Enthufiasts [p].

The moral doctine of their lette. XI. None of these sects, indeed, seemed to have the interests of real and true piety at heart; nor were their principles and discipline at all adapted to the advancement of pure and substantial virtue. The Pharisees courted popular applause by a vain osternation of pretended sanctity, and an austere method of living, while, in reality, they were strangers to true holiness, and were inwardly desiled with the most criminal dispositions, with which our Saviour frequently reproaches them. They also treated with more veneration the commandments and traditions of men, than the facred precepts and laws of

^[9] The principal writers, who have given accounts of the Therapeute, are mentioned by Jo. ALBERT FABRICIUS in the ivih chapter of his Lan Salutaris Evangelia toto orbe expense, p. 55.

God [q]. The \$adducees, by denying a future CENT. state of rewards and punishments, removed, at PART L once, the most powerful incentives to virtue, and the most effectual restraints upon vice, and thus gave new vigour to every finful paffion, and a full encouragement to the indulgence of every irregular defire. As to the Essenes, they were a fanatical and superstitious tribe, who placed religion in a certain fort of feraphic indolence, and, looking upon piety to God as incompatible with any focial attachment to men, diffolved, by this pernicious doctrine, all the great bonds of human fociety.

XII. While then such darkness, such errors The multiand diffensions prevailed among those, who al- toperstituon, fumed the character and authority of persons and corrupdiffinguished by their superior fanctity and wifdom, it will not be difficult to imagine, how totally corrupt the religion and morals of the multitude must have been. They were, accordingly, funk in the most deplorable ignorance of God, and of divine things; and had no notion of any other way of rendering themselves acceptable to the Supreme Being, than by facrifices, washings, and the other external rites and ceremonies of the Molaic law. Hence proceeded that diffolution of manners, and that profligate wickedness, which prevailed among the Jews, during Christ's ministry upon earth. hence the Divine Saviour compares that people to a flock of sheep, which wandered without a shepherd; and their doctors to men, who, though deprived themselves of fight, yet pretended to shew the way to others [r].

XIII. To all these corruptions, both in point The Casof doctrine and practice, which reigned among force of

many er-FOUR AROUND the Jewa,

[[]q] Matt. xxiii. 13, 14, &c. [n] Matt. x. 6. xv. 24, 25. John, ix. 39.

CENT. the Jews at the time of CHRIST's coming, we PART I may add the attachment which many of them discovered to the tenets of the oriental philofophy concerning the origin of the world, and to the doctrine of the CABBALA, which was undoubtedly derived from thence. That considerable numbers of the Jews had imbibed the errors of this fantaffic system, appears evidently, both from the books of the New Testament, and from the ancient history of the Christian Church [s]: and it is also certain, that many of the Gnostic seeds were founded by Jews. Those among that degenerate people, who adopted this chimerical philosophy, must have differed vastly from the rest in their opinions concerning the God of the Old Testament, the origin of the world, the character and doctrine of Moses, and the nature and mystery of the Messian; since they maintained, that the creator of this world was a being different from the Supreme God, and that his dominion over the human race was to be destroyed by the Messian. Every one must see that this enormous system was fruitful of errors, deltructive of the very foundations of Iudaism.

The ertermai worthip of Ond cor-Fupced alto by ween retes and boman faventione.

XIV. If any part of the Jewish religion was less disfigured and corrupted than the rest, it was, certainly, the form of external worthip, which was established by the law of Moses. And yet many learned men have observed, that a great variety of rites were introduced into the fervice of the temple, of which no traces are to be found in the facred writings. The institution of these additional ceremonies was manifestly Owing to those changes and revolutions, which rendered the Jews more conversant with the na-

[[]s] See Jon. Cha. Wolf. Biblioth. Ebraica, vol. ii., lib. Tin cap. 1. 11x. p. 206.

tions round about them, than they had formerly c z x T. been. For when they faw the facred rites of the PART Greeks and Romans, they were taken with feveral of the ceremonies that were used in the worthip of the heathen deities, and did not hefitate to adopt them in the service of the true God, and add them as an ornament to the rites which they had received by divine appointment [t].

XV. But whence fuch enormous degrees of The causes corruption in that very nation which Goo had, in of the corruption, in a peculiar manner, separated from an idolatrous doctrine and world to be the depository of divine truth ? Va= morals, that rious caules may be affigned, in order to give a mong the fatisfactory account of this matter. First, It is certain, that the ancestors of those Jews, who lived in the time of our Saviour, had brought from Chaldea, and the neighbouring countries, many extravagant and idle fancies, which were utterly unknown to the original founders of the nation [u]. The conquest of Afia, by ALEXANDER the Great, was, also, an eyent from which we may clate a new accession of errors to the lewish fystem; since, in consequence of that revolution, the manners and opinions of the Greeks began to spread themselves among the Persians, Syrians, Arabians, and likewife among the Jews, who, before that period, were entirely unacquainted with letters and philosophy. We may, further, rank among the causes that contributed to corrupt the religion and manners of the Jews, their voyages into the adjacent countries, espeeially Egypt and Phanicia, in purfuit of wealth.

[w] See Galb's observations on Jamblichus, De mysterits Egyptierum, p. 206. Josephus acknowledges the fame-thing in his Jewifb Antiquities, book iii. ch. vii. § 2. Vol. I: E For.

^[1] See the learned work of Spences, De legibus Hobrarorum, in the ivih book of which he treats expressly of those Hebrew rites which were borrowed from the Gentile worthip, vol. ii. p. 1086, edition of Cambridge.

CENT. For, with the treasures of these corrupt and fu-PART 1 perfectious nations, they brought home also their. pernicious errors, and their idle fictions, which were imperceptibly blended with their religious lystem. Nor ought we to omit, in this enumeration, the pelblential influence of the wicked reigns of Henon and his sons, and the enormous instances of idolatry, error, and licentiousness, which this unhappy people had constantly before their eyes in the religion and manners of the Roman governors and foldiers, which, no doubt, contributed much to the progress of their national fuperstition and corruption of manners. might add here many more facts and circumstances, to illustrate further the matter under confideration; but these will be readily suggested to fuch as have the least acquaintance with the Jewish history from the time of the Maccabees.

AmidA this menetel cotreption, forme remains of pisty were

XVI. It is indeed worthy of observation, that, corrupted as the Jews were with the errors and funeratitions of the neighbouring nations, they still preferved a zealous attachment to the law of Moses, and were extremely careful that it should not fuffer any diminution of its credit, or lose any the least degree of that veneration, that was due to its divine authority. Hence fynagogues were erected throughout the province of Judea, in which the people affembled for the purposes of divine worthip, and to hear their doctors interpret and explain the holy scriptures. There were, besides, in the more populous towns, public schools, in which learned men were appointed to instruct the youth in the know, ledge of divine things, and also in other branches of science [w], . And it is beyond all doubt, that these institutions contributed to

[[]w] See Camp. Vitrimaa, De fragge verme, Eb. fi. cap. v. p. 667. and lib. i. cap. v. p. 433. vii. p. 156. maintain

maintain the law in its primitive authority, and C E W T.

to ftern the torrent of abounding iniquity.

Past I.

XVII. The Samaritans, who celebrated divine worship in the temple that was built on mount The Sena-Gerizim, lay under the burthen of the same evila that oppressed the Jews, with whom they lived in the bitterest ensurty, and were also, like them, highly instrumental in increasing their own calamities. We learn from the most authoritic histories of these times, that the Samaritan's suffered as much as the Jews, from troubles and divisions fomented by the intrigues of factious spirits. though their religious fects were yet less numerous than those of the latter. Their religion, alfo, was much more corrupted than that of the Jews, as CHRIST himself declares in his converfation with the woman of Sampria; though it appears, at the fame time, that their notions concerning the offices and ministry of the Mzs-SIAH, were much more just and conformable to truth, than those which were entertained at 74rusalem [x]. Upon the whole it is certain, that the Samaritans mixed the profane errors of the Gentiles, with the facred doctrines of the Jewa-

🖙 [#] Christ infinuates on the contrary, in the firongest manner, the superiority of the Jewish worship to that of the Samaritans, John iv. 22. See allo, on this head, a Kingu xvii. 29. The passage to which Dr. Mosas: m refers, as & proof that the Samaritans had juster notions of the Massian than the Jews, is the 25th verse of the chapter of \$t. John, already cited, where the woman of Samaria fays to Japus, I know that Messeam comet which is called Chaire : what he is come, he will tell us all things. But this pullage forms attach too vague to justify the conclusion of our learned hittee rian. Benden, the otherstion of one person, who may pole fibly base had fome fingular and extraordinary advantages. is not a proof, that the nations in general entertained the fame fentiments, especially fince we know that the Samaritans had corrupted the fervice of God by a profine mixture of the großest iciobaries.

The Ame of

the jewsout

of Paleftina.

C E N T. and were excessively corrupted by the idolatrous RAST 1. customs of the Pagan nations [y]

XVIII. The Jews multiplied fo prodigiously, that the narrow bounds of Palestine were no longer sufficient to contain them. They poured, therefore, their increasing numbers into the neighbouring countries, and that with fuch rapidity, that, at the time of Christ's birth, there was fearcely province in the empire, where they were not found carrying on commerce, and exercifing other fucrative arts. They were maintained, in foreign countries, against injurious treatment and violence, by the special edicks and protection of the magistrate [2]; and this, indeed, was absolutely necessary, since, in most places, the remarkable difference of their religion and manners, from those of the other nations, exposed them to the hatred and indignation of the ignorant and bigoted multitude. All this appears to have been most singularly and wifely directed by the adorable hand of an interpoling providence, to the end that this people, which was the tole depolitary of the true religion, and of the knowledge of one Supreme God, being ipread abroad through the whole earth, might be every where, by their example, a reproach to superflition, contribute in some measure to check it, and thus prepare the way for that yet fuller discovery of divine truth, which was to shine upon the world from the ministry and gospel of the Sen of God.

[[y] Those who defire an exact account of the principal authors that have written concerning the Samaritans, will find it in the Tearbed work of Ju. Genilon Carryovius, entitled, Ceit en S. Vat. Toftem part II. cap. iv. p. 595.

[2] See the account, published at Leyden 1712, by JAMES GRONOVIUS, of the Roman and Afiatic edicts in favour of the Jews, allowing them the free and fecure exercise of their religion, throughout all the cities of the Leffer Afia.

CHAP. III.

Concerning the life and actions of Jesus Christ.

I. THE errors and diforders that we have now ct n t. been confidering, required fornething far PART I. above human wildom and power to difpel and remove them, and to deliver mankind from the Thebirth of miserable state to which they were aduced by them. Therefore, towards the condusion of the reign of Heron the Great, the Son of God descended upon earth, and, taking upon him the human nature, appeared to men under the fublime characters of an infullible teacher, an allfufficient mediator, and a spiritual and immortal king. The place of his birth was Bethlebem, in the land of *Paleftine*. The year, in which it happened, has not hitherto been fixed with certainty, notwithstanding the deep and laborious researches of the learned on that matter. There is nothing furprising in this, when we consider that the field Christians laboured under the same difficulties, and were divided in their opinions, concerning the time of Christ's birth [a]. That which appears most probable, is, that it happened about a year and fix months before the death of Herop, in the year of Rome 748 or 749 [b]. The uncertainty, however, of this point is of no fort of confequence. We know that the Sun of Righteousness has shone upon the world. And, though we cannot fix the precife period in which he arose, this will not hin-

[[]a] The learned John Albert Fabricius has collected all the opinions of the learned, concerning the year of CHRIST's birth, in his Bibliograph. Antiquar. cap. vii. § ix. p: 187.

^[6] Matt. iii. 2, &c. John i. 22, &c.

C E N T. der us from enjoying the direction and influence PART I of his vital and falutary beams.

The se-COUNTS &iven of CHRIST during his mooth.

II. Four inspired writers, who have transmitted to us an account of the life and actions of JESUS CHRIST, mention particularly his birth. his lineage, his family, and his parents; but they infacey and fay very little concerning his infancy and his earlier youth. Not long after his birth, he was conducted by his parents into Egypt, that he might be there out of the reach of HEROD's cruelty [c]. When he was but twelve years old, he disputed, in the temple, with the most learned of the Jewish doctors, concerning the sublime truths of religion. And the rest of his life, until the hirtieth year of his age, was spent in the obscurity of a private condition, and confectated to the duties of filial obedience [d]. This is all that the wifdom of God has permitted us to know, with certainty, of CHRIST, before he entered upon his public ministry; nor is the story of his having followed the trade of his adopted father Toseph built upon any fure foundstion. There have been, indeed, feveral writers, who, either through the levity of a wanton imagination, or with a defign to attract the admiration of the multitude, have invented a feries of the most extrayagant and ridiculous fables, in order to give an account of this obscure part of the Saviour's life [e].

John, the fore-runner of the Mai-4.4

III. Jesus began his public ministry in the thirtieth year of his age; and to render it more solemn and affecting to the Jews, a man, whose name was John, the fon of a Jewish priest, a

perfor

[[]c] Matt. ii. 15. [4] Luke ii. 5ī, 52. [s] See the account, which the abovementioned ALBERT PARAICTUS has given of these remantic triflers, in his Coder Apocryphus N. T. tom. i.

person of great gravity also, and much respected e B H T. on account of the auftere dignity of his life and PART manners, was commanded by God to proclaim to the people the coming of the Massiau, that had been promifed to their fathers. This extraordinary man called himfelf the fore-runner of the Messian. Filled with a holy zeel and a divine fervour, he cried aloud to the Jewish nation to depart from their transgressions, and to purify their hearts, that they might thus partalle of the bleffings, which the Son of God was now come to The exhortations of this offer to the world. respectable messenger were not without effects and those who, moved by his solemn admonitions, had formed the resolution of correcting their evil dispositions and amending their lives, were initiated into the kingdom of the Redeemer by the ceremony of immersion, or baptism [f.]. CHRIST himself, before he began his ministry, defired to be folemnly baptized by John in the waters of Jordan, that he might not, in any point, neglect to answer the demands of the Jewish law.

IV. It is not necessary to enter here into a The life of particular detail of the life and actions of Jesus CHRIST. All Christians must be perfectly well acquainted with them. They must know, that, during the space of three years, and amidst the deepest trials of affliction and distress, he instructed the Jewish nation in the will and counsels of the Most High, and omitted nothing, in the course of his ministry, that could contribute either to gain the multitude, or to charm the wife. Every one knows, that his life was a continued feene of the most perfect functity, and the purest and most active virtue; not only without spot, but also beyond the reach of suspicion. And it is also well known, that by miracles of the most

[/] Matt. iii. 6. John i. 22.

CENT. Stupendous kind, and not more stupendous than PART I falutary and beneficent, he displayed to the universe the truth of that religion which he brought with him from above, and demonstrated the reality of his divine commission in the most illustrious manner.

The election of the apolites, and of the cxx disciples.

V. As this divine religion was to be propagated ro the utmost ends of the earth, it was necessary that CHRIST should chuse a certain number of persons, to accompany him constantly through the whole course of his ministry; that thus they might be faithful and respectable witnesses of the fanctity of his life and the grandeur of his miracles, to the remotest nations; and also transmit to the larest posterity a genuine account of his Sublime doctrines, and of the nature and end of the gospel-dispensation. Therefore Jesus chose, out of the multitude that attended his discourses, twelve persons, whom he separated from the rest by the name of Apostles. These men were illiterate, poor, and of mean extraction, and fuch alone were truly proper to answer the views of the divine Saviour. He avoided making use of the numitty of persons endowed with the advantages of fortune or birth, or enriched with the treatures of eloquence and learning, left the fruits of this embaffy, and the progress of the gospel, should be attributed to human and natural causes [g]. These apostles were sent but once to preach to the Jews during the life of Christ [b]. He chose to keep them about his own person, that they might be thoroughly instructed in the That the multitude, affairs of his kingdom. however, might not be deflitute of teachers to enlighten them with the knowledge of the truth, CHRIST appointed LXX disciples to preach the glad tidings of life eternal throughout the whole province of Judga [i].

[[]b] Matt. x. 7. [g] 1 Cor. i. 21, [1] Luke x. 1. VI.

VI. The refearthes of the learned have been cant. employed to find out the reason of Christ's PART L fixing the number of the apostles to twelve, and . that of the disciples to feventy; and various con- Why the jectures have been applied to the foliation of this the spotties But fince it is manifest, from the words was fixed to x11, and of our Saviour himself [], that he intended the that of the number of the xII apostles as an allusion to that of disciples to the tribes of Israel; it can scarcely be doubted, that he was willing to infinuate by this appointment, that he was the supreme lord authigh-priest of these twelve tribes, into which the Jewish nation was divided. And as the number of disciples anfwers evidently to that of the fenators, of whom the council of the people, or the fanhedrim, was composed, there is a high degree of probability in the conjecture of those, who think, that CHRIST, by the choice of the feventy, defigued to admonish the Jews, that the authority of their fanhedrim was now at an end, and that all power, with respect to religious matters, was vested in him-alone.

VII. The ministry of the divine Saviour was Course to confined to the Jews; nor, while he remained father exupon earth, did he permit his apostles or disci- your yeples to extend, their labours beyond this diffinguished nation [1]. At the same time, if we confider the illustrious acts of mercy and omniputence, that were performed by CHRIST, it will be natural to conclude that his fame must have been very foon spread abroad in other countries. We learn from writers of no fmall note, that ABGARUS, king of Edella, being seized with a fevere and dangerous illnefs, wrote to our bleffed Lord to implore his affiftance, and that I sus not only fent him a gracious answer, but also accompanied it with his picture, is a mark of

^[4] Matt. xix. 28. Loke xxii. 30. [/] Matt. x. 5, 6. xv. 24.

C B N T. his effects for that pious prince [m]. These let
PART I. ters are itill extant. But they are justly looked upon as sictitious by most writers, who also go yet farther, and treat the whole story of ABGARUS as entirely fabulous, and unworthy of credit [n]. I will not pretend to affert the genuineness of these letters; but I see no reason of sufficient weight to destroy the credibility of the whole story, which is supposed to have given occasion to them

[m] Edsen. Hift, Eccl. lib. i. cap. xiii. p. 21. Jo. AL-BERT FABRIC. Coden Apocryphus N. T. tom. i. p. 317.

[N] See BASNAGE, Histoire des Juss, vol. i. cap. xviv. p. 500. At also Theoph. Sigr. Bayenus, Historia Edessenat Officina, lib. iii. p. 104. Jos. Simon Assemanus, Biblioth. Oriental, Clement. Vaticana, tom i. p. 554.

[0] There is no author who has discussed this question (concerning the authenticity of the letters of CHRIST and ABGARUS, and the truth of the whole story) with fuch learning and judgment, as the late Mr. lowes, in the fecond volume of his excellent work, entitled, A new and fill method of settling the canonical authority of the New Testament. Notwithflanding the opinions of fuch celebrated names as PAR-KER, CAVE, and GRABE, in favour of thefe letters, and the history to which they relate, Mr. Jones has offered reasons to prove the whole fictitious, which feem unanswerable, independent of the authorities of RIVET, CHEMNITIUS, WAL-THER, SIMON, DU PIN, WAKE, SPANHEIM, FADRICIUS, and LE CLERC, which he opposes to the three above mentioned. It is remarkable that this flory is not mentioned by any writer before Eusebius; that it is but little taken notice of by fucceeding writers; that the whole affair was unknown to CHRIST'S Apostles, and to the Christians, their contemporaries, as is manifest from the early disputes about the method of receiving Gentile converts into the church, which this flory, had it been true, must have entirely decided. As to the letters, no doubt can be made of their spuriousness; finge, if CHRIST had written a letter to ARGARUS, it would have been a part of Sacred Scriptore, and would have been placed at the head of all the books of the New Testament. See LARDNER's Collection of Ancient Jennife and Heatben Testimanies, vol. i. p. 197, &c. It must be observed in behalf of Euskarus, that he relates this flory, as drawn from the archives of Engage.

VIII. A great number of the Jews, struck with CENT. those illustrious marks of a divine authority and PART I. power, that shone forth in the ministry and actions of CHRIST, regarded him as the Son of God, The to the true Messian. The rulers of the people, Carret's and more especially the chief priests and Pharifees, whose licentiousness and hypocrisy he cenfured with a noble and generous freedom, laboured with success, by the help of their passions, to extinguish in their breasts the convigion of his celestial mission; or, at least, to hippress the effects it was adapted to produce upon their conduct. Fearing also lest the ministry of CHRIST should tend to diminish their credit, and to deprive them of the advantages they derived from the impious abuse of their authority in religious matters; they laid fnares for his life, which, for a confiderable time, were without effect. fucceeded, at length, by the infernal treason of an apostate disciple, by the treachery of Judas, who discovered the retreat which his divine master had chosen for the purposes of meditation and repose, and thus delivered him into the merciless hands of a brutal foldiery.

IX. In confequence of this, Jesus was first Death of brought before the Jewish high-priest and sanhedrim, before whom he was accused of having violated the law, and blasphemed the majesty of God. Dragged from thence to the tribunal of PILATE the Roman prætor, he was there charged with feditious enterprises, and with treason against CASAR. Both these accusations were so evidently false, and destigute even of every appearance of truth, that they must have been rejected by any judge, who acted upon the principles of common equity. But the clamours of an enraged populace, fet on by the impious infligations of their priefts and rulers, intimidated PILATE, and engaged him, though with the utmost reluctance,

I

C E N T. and in opposition to the dictates of his conscience. PART I to pronounce a capital sentence against Christ. - The divine Saviour behaved with inexpressible dignity under this heavy trial. As the end of his miffion was to make expiation for the fins of men, so when all things were ready, and when he had finished the work of his glorious ministry, he placially submitted to the death of the cross, and, with a ferene and voluntary refignation, committed his spirit into the hands of the Father.

Mis refuraction,

X. After Jesus had remained three days in the fepulchre, he refumed that life which he had voluntarily laid down; and, rifing from the dead, declared to the universe, by that triumphant act, that the divine justice was satisfied, and the paths of falvation and immortality rendered accessible to the human race. He converfed with his difciples during forty days after his refurrection, and employed that time in instructing them more fully concerning the nature of his kingdom. Many wife and important reasons prevented his shewing himself publicly at Jerusalem, to confound the malignity and unbelief of his enemies. He contented himself with manifesting the certainty of his glorious refurrection, to a sufficient number of faithful and credible witheffes: forefeeing. perhaps, that if he appeared in public, those malicious unbelievers, who had formerly attributed his miracles to the power of magic, would now represent his refurrection, as a phantom, or vision, produced by the influence of infernal After having remained upon earth powers. during the space of time above mentioned, and given to his disciples a divine commission to preach the glad tidings of falvation and immortality to the human race, he atcended into heaven, in their prefence, and refumed the enjoyment of that glory which he was possessed of before the worlds were created.

CHAP IV.

Concerning the prosperous events that happened to the church during this century.

I. TESUS, being ascended into lieaven, soon cent. I shewed his afflicted disciples, that, though PART I. invisible to mortal eyes, he was still their omnipotent protector and their benevolent guide. The spotter About fifty days after his departure from them, the Holy he gave them the first proof of that majesty and Ghoth power to which he was exalted, by the effusion of the Holy Ghost upon them according to his promife [p]. The confequences of this grand event were furprising and glorious, infinitely honourable to the Christian religion and the divine mission of its triumphant author. For no sooner had the apostles received this precious gift, this celestial guide, than their ignorance was turned into light, their doubts into certainty, their fears anto a firm and invincible fortitude, and their former backwardness into an ardent and inexunguishable zeal, which led them to undertake their facred office with the utmost intrepidity and alacrity of mind. This marvellous event was attended with a variety of gifts; particularly the gift of tongues, fo indispensably necessary to qualify the apostles to preach the gospel to the different nations. These holy apostles were also filled with a perfect perfusiion, founded on Christ's express promise, that the divine presence would perpetually accompany them, and shew itself by miraculous interpolitions, as often as the fuccels of their ministry should render this necessary.

11. Relying upon these celestial succours, the Presente apostles began their glorious ministry, by preach- gospet first ing the gospel, according to CHRIST's positive and be-

CENT. command, first to the lews, and by endeavour-PART I ing to bring that deluded people to the know-- ledge of the truth [q]. Nor were their labours unfuccessful, since, in a very short time, many thousands were converted, by the influence of their ministry, to the Christian faith [r]. From the Jews they paffed to the Samaritans, to whom they preached with such efficacy, that great numbers of that nation acknowledged the Mes-SIAH [5]. And, after that they had exercised their ministry, during several years, at Jerusalem, and brought to a fufficient degree of confishence and maturity the Christian churches which were founded in *Palestine* and the adjacent countries, they extended their views further, carried the divine lamp of the golpel to all the nations of the world, and faw their labours crowned, almost every where, with the most abundant fruits.

The elecgion of a new apolition

IIL No fooner was Christ exalted on high, than the apollies determined to render their number complete, as it had been fixed by their divine mafter, and accordingly to chuse, in the place of Judas, who had desperately perished by his own hands, a man endowed with fuch degrees of fanctity and wisdom, as were necessary in a station of such vast importance. Having therefore gathered together the small assembly of Christians which had then been formed at Jerusalem, two men, remarkable for their piety and faith, were proposed as the most worthy to stand candidates for this secred office. These men were MATTHIAS and BARNABAS, the former of whom was, either by lot (which is the most general opinion), or by a plurality of voices of the affembly there prefent, choice to the dignity of an apolitic [1].

[r] A&s

L Ace

[[]q] Luke zziv. 47. Ads i. 8. ziii. 46. ii.,41. iv. 4. [1] Ads i. 8; viii. 14. i. 26.

IV. All these apostles were men without edu- CENT. cation, and absolutely ignorant of letters and phi- PART 5. losophy; and yet in the infancy of the Christian church, it was necessary that there should be, at to be an least, some one defender of the gospel, who, versed aposts. in the learned arts, might be able to combat the Iewish doctors and the Pagan philosophers with their own arms. For this purpose, Jesus himself. by an extraordinary voice from heaven, called to his fervice a thirteenth apostle, whose name was SAUL (afterwards PAUL), and whose acquaintance both with Jewish and Grecian learning was very confiderable [4]. This extraordinary man, who had been one of the most virulent enemies of the Christians, became their most glorious and triumphant defender. Independent of the miraculous gifts with which he was enriched, he was naturally poffeffed of an invincible courage, an amazing force of genius, and a spirit of patience, which no fatigue could overcome, and which no fufferings or trials could exhauft. To these the cause of the gospel, under the divine appointment. owed a confiderable part of its rapid progress and furprising success, as the Atts of the Apostles, and the Epiftles of St. PAUL, abundantly testify.

V. The first Christian church, founded by the Theelouth apostles, was that of Jerusalem, which was the of Jerusalem, model of all those that were afterwards erected during this first century. This church was, how to the ever, governed by the apoftles themselves, to ""tod. whom both the elders, and those who were entrusted with the care of the poor, even the deatons, were fubject. The people, though they had not abandoned the Jewish worship, held, however, separate affemblies, in which they were instructed by the apostles and elders, prayed rogether, celebrated the holy supper in remembrance of CHRIST,

CENT. of his death and sufferings, and the salvation of-PART I fered to mankind through him; and, at the conclusion of these meetings, they testified their mutual love, partly by their liberality to the poor. and partly by fober and friendly repaits [w], which from thence were called feafts of charity. Among the virtues which diftinguished the rifing church in this its infancy, that of charity to the poor and needy shone in the first rank, and with the brightest lustre. The rich supplied the wants of their indigent brethren with fuch liberality and readiness, that, as St. Luke tells us, among the primitive disciples of Christ, all things were in common [x]. This expression has, however, been greatly abused, and has been made to signify a community of rights, goods, or possessions, than which interpretation nothing is more groundless, nothing more false. For from a multitude of reafons, as well as from the express words of St. PETER [y], it is abundantly manifest that the community, which is implied in mutual u/e and mutual liberality, is the only thing intended in this passage [2].

Many churches founded by the aposites in different places.

VI. The apostles, having finished their work at Jerufalem, went from thence to employ their labours in other nations, travelled, with this view, over a great part of the known world, and in a short time planted a vast number of churches among the Gentiles. Several of these are mentioned in the sacred writings, particularly in the

[no] Acts ii. 42. [y] Acts v. iv. [x] Acla ii. 44. iv. 32

MOSHEIM, in a differentiation concerning the true nature of that community of goods, which is faid to have taken place in the church of Jerujalem. This learned discourse is to be found in the second volume of our author's incomparable work, entitled, Differentiance ad Historiam Ecclesiastican pertinents.

Alls of the Apolies [4]; though these are, un. CENT. doubtedly, but a finall part of the churches, PART I. which were founded either by the apostles themfelves, or by their disciples under their immediate direction. The distance of time, and the want of records, leave us at a lofs with respect to many interesting circumstances of the peregrinations of the apostles; nor have we any certain or precise accounts of the limits of their voyages, of the particular countries where they fojourned, nor of the times and places in which they finished their glorious courfe. The stories that are told concerning their arrival and exploits among the Gauls, the English, the Spaniards, the Germans, the Americans, the Chinese, the Indians, and the Russians, are too romantic in their nature, and of too recent a date, to be received by an impartial enquirer after truth. The greatest part of these fables were forged after the time of CHARLE-MAGNE, when most of the Christian churches contended about the antiquity of their origin, with as much vehemence as the Arcadians, Egyptians, and Greeks, disputed formerly about their feniority and precedence.

VII. At the fame time, the beauty and excel- Characterlence of the Christian religion excited the admira-mong the tion of the thinking part of mankind, wherever Gentiles, the apostles directed their course. Many, who were not willing to adopt the whole of its doctrines, were, nevertheless, as appears from undoubted records, so struck with the account of Chaist's life and actions, and fo charmed with the fublime purity of his precepts, that they ranked him in the number of the greatest heroes,

[[]a] The names of the churches, planted by the apostles in the different nations, are specified in a work of PHIL. JAMES HARTMAN, De rebus gestis Christianorum sub apostolis, cap. vii. p. 107; and also in that of F. ALBERT FARRICIUS, entitled, Lux Evangelii soci orbi exorieni, cap. v. p. 83, &c.

CENT. nay, even of the gods themselves. Great num-PART I, bers kept, with the utmost care, in their houses, pictures or images of the divine Saviour and his apostles, which they treated with the highest marks of veneration and respect [b]. And so illustrious was the fame of Christ's power grown, after his refurrection from the dead, and the miraculous gifts shed from on high upon his apostles, that the emperor TIBERIUS is faid to have proposed his being enrolled among the gods of Rome, which the opposition of the senate hindered from taking effect. Many have doubted of the truth of this flory: there are, however, feveral authors of the first note who have declared, that the reasons alleged for the truth of this fact are fuch as have removed their doubts, and appeared to them fatisfactory and conclusive [c].

VIII.

[b] This is particularly mentioned by Eusebres H.f. Feel. lib. vii. cap. xviii. p. 265. and by IRBNAUS, lib. i. c. XXV.

[c] See Theon. Haskus, De decreto Tiberu, quo Chr.flum referre voluit in numerum Deorum; as also a very learned letter written, in defence of the truth of this fact, by the celebrated CHRISTOPHER ISELLUS, and published in the Bitlisthe par Germanique, tom. xxxii. p. 147. and tom. xxxiii. p. 12. [We may add to this note of Dr. Mosheim, that the late learned professor Alemann published at Bern, in the year 1755, an ingenious pamphlet upon this subject, entitled Difquifitio Historico-critica de Epificia Pontii Pilati ad Tiberium, qua CHRISTI miracula, mors, et reforelles recenfebantur. This author makes it appear, that though the letter, which some have attributed to PILATE, and which is extant in feveral authors, be manifeltly spurious, yet it is no less certain, that PILATE sent to TIBERIUS an account of the death and refurrection of CHRIST. See the Biblioth, des ferences et des beaux arts, published at the L'ague, tom. vi. p. 360. This matter has been examined anew with his usual diligence and accuracy by the learned Dr. LARDNER, in the third volume of his Collection of Jourgh and Hearben Teffemonies to the truth of the Christian Religion, &c. p. 310, &c. He thinks that the testimonies of Justin MAR-TYR and TERTULLIAN, who, in apologies for Christianity, that were prefented, or at least addressed to the emperor and fenate of Rome, or to magistrates of high authority in the empire, affirm, that PILATE fent to TIBERIUS an account of the

VIII. When we consider the rapid progress of CENT. Christianity among the Gentile nations, and the PART I. poor and feeble instruments by which this great and amazing event was immediately effected, we The coule must naturally have recourse to an omnipotent propagation and invisible hand, as its true and proper cause. of the po-For unless we suppose here a divine interposition, how was it possible that men, destitute of all human aid, without credit or riches, learning or eloquence, could, in fo fhort a time, perfuade a confiderable part of mankind to abandon the religion of their ancestors? How was it possible. that an handful of apostles, who, as fishermen and publicans, must have been contemned by their own nation, and as Jews, must have been odious to all others, could engage the learned and the mighty, as well as the simple and those of low degree, to forfake their favourite prejudices, and to embrace a new religion which was an enemy to their corrupt passions? And, indeed, there were undoubtedly marks of a celettial power perpetually attending their ministry. There was, in their very language, an incredible energy, an amazing power of fending light into the understanding, and conviction into the heart. To this were added, the commanding influence of flupendous miracles, the foretelling of future events, the power of discerning the secret thoughts and intentions of the heart, a magnanimity superior to all difficulties, a contempt of riches and honours, a ferene tranquillity in the face of death. and an invincible patience under torments still more dreadful than death itself; and all this accompanied with lives free from all stain, and

death and refurrection of CHRIST, deferve some regard; though some writers, and particularly Onosius, have made alterations and additions in the original narration of TERTUL. Llaw, that are too much adapted to duninith the credibility of the whole.]

CENT. adorned with the constant practice of sublime vir-PART I tue. Thus were the Mellengers of the divine Saviour, the heralds of his spiritual and immortal kingdom, furnished for their glorious work, as the unanimous voice of ancient history so loudly The event sufficiently declares this; for testifies. without these remarkable and extraordinary circumftances, no rational account can be given of the rapid propagation of the gospel throughout the world.

Miraculous gifts communicated by the apoftles,

IX. What indeed contributed still further to this glorious event, was, the power veiled in the apolities of transmitting to their disciples these miraculous gifts. For many of the first Christians were no fooner baptized according to Christ's appointment, and dedicated to the fervice of God by folemn prayer, and the imposition of hands, than they tooke languages they had never known or learned before, foretold future events, healed the fick by pronouncing the name of Jusus, restored the dead to life, and performed many things above the reach of human power [d]. And it is no wonder if men, who had the power of communiceting to others these marvellous gifts, appeared great and respectable, wherever they exerrifed their glorious ministry.

The progress of the golpel atter-buted to abtota caules.

X. Such then were the true causes of that amazing rapidity with which the Christian religion spread itself upon earth; and those who pretend to affign other reasons of this surprising event, indulge themselves in idle sictions, which must disgust every attentive observer of men and things. In vain, therefore, have some imagined, that the extraordinary liberality of the Christians to their poor, was a temptation to the more indolent and corrupt part of the multitude to em-

[[]d] See PRANKER's learned treatife, De charifmatibus five deuts miraculojis antiqua ecclefia, published at Francfort, 1683.

brace the gospel. Such malignant and superficial CENT. reasoners do not consider that those who em- Part L braced this divine religion exposed their lives to the most imminent danger; nor have they attention enough to recollect, that neither lazy nor vicious members were fuffered to remain in the fociety of Christians. Equally vain is the invention of those, who imagine that the profligate lives of the Heathen priefts was an occasion of the conversion of many to Christianity. though this in ght indeed give them a difgust at the religion of these unworthy minuters, yet itcould not, alone, attach them to that of Justis, which offered them from the world no other prospecies, than those of poverty, infamy, and death. The person, who could embrace the gospel, folely, from the motive now mentioned, must have reafoned in this tenfelefs and extravagant manner: " The ministers of that religion which I have " profeiled from my infancy, lead profligate " lives: therefore, I will become a Christian, " join myfelf to that body of men who are con-" demned by the laws of the state, and thus ex-" pole my life and fortune to the most imminent " danger."

CHAP. V.

Concerning the calamitous events that happened to the church.

I. THE innocence and virtue that distinguished The Jews fo eminently the lives of Christ's fervants, perfecute the Chiland the sporless purity of the doctrine they taught, tions were not sufficient to desend them against the virulence and malignity of the Jews. The priefts and rulers of that abandoned people, not only loaded with injuries and reproach the apostles of

CENT. Jesus, and their disciples, but condemned as PART I. many of them, as they could, to death, and executed in the most irregular and barbarous manner their sanguinary decrees. The murder of Stephen, of James the son of Zebedee, and of James, surnamed the Just, bishop of Jerusalem, surnish dreadful examples of the truth of what we here advance [a]. This odious malignity of the Jewish doctors, against the heralds of the gospel, was undoubtedly owing to a secret apprehension, that the progress of Christianity would destroy the credit of Judusm, and bring on the ruin of their

pompous ceremonies.

And also by these in soreign countries.

II. The Jews who lived out of Palestine, in the Roman provinces, did not yield to those of Jerufalem in point of cruelty to the innocent disciples of Chrise. We learn from the history of the Acts of the Apostles, and other records of unquestionable authority, that they spared no labour, but zealoufly feized every occasion of animating the magistrates against the Christians, and fetting on the multitude to demand their destruc-The high-priest of the nation, and the Jews, who dwelt in Palestine, were instrumental in exciting the rage of these foreign Jews against the infant church, by fending messengers to exhort them not only to avoid all intercourse with the Christians, but also to persecute them in the most vehement manner [f]. For this inhuman order, they endeavoured to find out the most plausible pretexts; and, therefore, they gave out, that the Christians were enemies to the Roman emperor,

[f] See the Dialogue of Justin Martyr with Trypuo

the Jew, p. 51, 52, 53, 100, 138, 318.

[[]s] The martyrdom of STEPHEN is recorded in the Adls of the Apoflus, vir. 55.; and that of James the fon of Zebedee, Acts xii. 1. 2; that of James the Juft, bithop of Jerujalam, is mentioned by Josephus, in his Jerush Antiquities, book ex. ch. viii, and by Euseblus, in his Ecclef. History, book it. chap. xxiii.

lince they acknowledged the authority of a cer- CENT. tain person whose name was Jesus, whom Pi- PART I. LATE had punished capitally as a malefactor by a most righteous sentence, and on whom, neverthelets, they conferred the royal dignity. perfidious infinuations had the intended effect, and the rage of the Jews against the Christians was conveyed from father to fon, from age to age; fo that the church of Christ had, in no period of time, more bitter and desperate enemies than that very people, to whom the immortal Saviour was more especially sent.

III. The Supreme Judge of the world did not The Jews let the barbarous conduct of this perfidious nation nifed for go unpunished. The most signal marks of divine their treatjustice pursued them, and the cruelties they had carrier exercised upon Christ, and his disciples, were and his disdreadfully avenged. The God, who had for fo many ages protected the Jews with an outstretched arm, withdrew his aid. He permitted Jerujalem, with its famous temple, to be destroyed by VESPASIAN and his fon TITUS, an innumerable multitude of this devoted people to perish by the fword, and the greatest part of those that remained to grown under the yoke of a severe bond-Nothing can be more affecting than the account of this terrible event, and the circumstantial description of the tremendous calamities which attended it, as they are given by Josephus, himself a Jew, and also a spectator of this horrid From this period the Jews experienced, in every place, the hatted and contempt of the Gentile nations, still more than they had formerly done. And in these their calamities the predictions of Christ were amply fulfilled, and his divine mission further illustrated.

IV. However virulent the Jews were against The ten the Christians, yet, upon many occasions, they ecutions. wanted power to execute their cruel purpofes.

This

C E N T. This was not the case with the Heathen nations; PART L and therefore from them the Christians suffered the severest calamities. The Romans are said to have purfued the Christians with the utmost violence in ten perfecutions [g], but this number is not verified by the ancient history of the church. For if, by these persecutions, such only are meant as were fingularly severe and universal throughout the empire, then it is certain, that thefe amount not to the number above mentioned. And, if we take the provincial and less remarkable perfecutions into the account, they far exceed In the fifth century, certain Christians were led by fome pallages of the holy feriptures, and by one especially in the Revelutions [b], to imagine that the church was to fuffer ten calamities of a most grievous nature. To this notion, therefore, they endeavoured, though not all in the fame way, to accommodate the language of history, even against the tellimony of those ancient records, from whence alone hillory can speak with authority (i].

Laws made againfi the Christians.

V. Nexo was the first emperor who enacted laws against the Christians. In this he was followed by DOMITIAN, MARCUS ANTONINUS the philosopher, SEVERUS, and the other emperors, who indulged the prejudices they had imbibed against the difciples of Jases. All the edicts of these different princes were not, however, equally unjust, nor made with the fame views, and for the fame reafons. Were they now extant, as they were collested by the celebrated lawyer Domities, in his book concerning the duty of a Proconful, they

[[]g] The learned J. ALBERT FABRICIUS has given us a lift of the authors that have written concerning these persecutions, in his Lux Evangelis orbi universo exoriens, cap. vii. p. 133. [b] Revel. xvii. 14.

of See Sulpitius Sevenus, book ii. ch. xxxiii. As alio Austin, De coutaie Dei, book avin, ch. Li.

would undoubtedly cast a great light upon the CENT. history of the church, under the persecuting em- PARTA perors [k]. At prefent we must, in many cases, be fatisfied with probable conjectures for want of more certain evidence.

VI. Before we proceed further in this part of The confee our history, a very natural curiofity calls us to fecution of enquire, how it happened, that the Romans, who the Childians by the were troubleforne to no nation on account of their Romanie religion, and who fuffered even the Jews to live under their own laws, and follow their own method of worship, treated the Christians alone with fuch feverist? This important question seems still more difficult to be folved, when we confider that the excellent nature of the Christian religion, and its admirable tendency to promote both the public welfare of the flate, and the private felicity of the individual, entitled it, in a fingular manner, to the favour and protection of the reigning powers, One of the principal reasons of the severity, with which the Romans perfecuted the Christians, notwithstanding these considerations, seems to have been the abhorrence and contempt with which the latter regarded the religion of the empire, which was for intimately connected with the form, and, indeed, with the very effence of its, political constitution. For, though the Romans gave an unlimited toleration to all religions, which had nothing in their tenets dangerous to the commonwealth, yet they would not permit that of their ancestors, which was established by the laws of the state, to be turned into derisson, nor the

^[4] The Collection of the imperial edicts against the Chriflians, made by Domitius, and now loft, is mentioned by LACTANTIUS, in his Divine Inflitutes, book v. chap. xi. Such of these edicts, as have escaped the roins of time, are learnedly illustrated by PRANC. BARDUSNUS, in a small treetife, entitled, Commentarium ad edida weterum principum Romanorum de Christianis. Of which a second edition was published by Mr. Gundling, at Hall, 1727.

PART L to it.

C Z N T. people to be drawn away from their attachmene These, however, were the two things · which the Christians were charged with, and that justly, though to their honour. They dared to ridicule the abfurdities of the Pagan superstition, and they were ardent and affiduous in gaining proselvtes to the truth. Nor did they only attack the religion of Rome, but also all the different shapes and forms under which superstition appeared in the various countries where they exercised their ministry. From hence the Romans concluded, that the Christian sect was not only unsupportably daring and arrogant, but, moreover, an enemy to the public tranquillity, and every way proper to excite civil wars and commotions in the empire. It is, probably, on this account, that TACITUS reproaches them with the odious character of baters of mankind [1], and styles the religion of Jesus a destructive superstition; and that Sueto-NIUS speaks of the Christians, and their doctrine, in terms of the fame kind [m].

Other coufes of these per-Meutions.

VII. Another circumstance that irritated the Romans against the Christians, was the simplicity of their worship, which resembled in nothing the facred rites of any other people. The Christians had neither facrifices, nor temples, nor images, nor oracles, nor facerdotal orders; and this was fufficient to bring upon them the reproaches of an ignorant multitude, who imagined that there

fons possessed with a mortal hatred of all the human race.

(l) Annal. lib. xv. cap. xliv.

^[48] In Nerone, cap. xvi. These odious epithets, which TACITUS gives to the Christians and their religion, as likewife the language of Surtokius, who calls Christianity a porkmous or malignant superstition (malefica superstitio), are founded upon the same reasons. A sect, which not only could not endure, but even laboured to abolish, the religious systems of the Romans, and also those of all the other nations of the universe, appeared to the short-sighted and superficial obfervers of religious matters, as enemies of mankind, and per-

could be no religion without these. Thus they C B N. T. were looked upon as a fort of Atheifts; and, by PART ! the Roman laws, those who were chargeable with Atheilin were declared the pefts of human fociety. But this was not all: the fordid interests of a multitude of lazy and felfish priests were immediately connected with the ruin and oppression of the Christian cause. The public worship of such an immenfe number of deities was a fource of fubfiftence, and even of riches, to the whole rabble of priefts and augurs, and also to a multitude of merchants and artifts. And as the progress of the gospel threatened the ruin of this religious traffic. and the profits it produced, this raised up new enemies to the Christians, and armed the rage of mercenary superstition against their lives and their caule [n].

VIII. To accomplish more speedily the ruin of The most the Christians, those, whose interests were incom- june in patible with the progress of the gospel, loaded spread and broad a them with the most opprobrious calumnies, which good the were too eafily received as truth, by the credulous Christians and unthinking multitude, among whom they were dispersed with the utmost industry. We find a large account of these perfidious and illgrounded reproaches in the writings of the first defenders of the Christian cause [0]. And these,

[m] This observation is verified by the flory of DEMETRIUS the filver-smith, Acts xix. 25. and by the following passage in the grin letter of the xth book of PLINY's epifles: " The " temples, which were almost deferted, begin to be frequented " again; and the facred rites, which have been long ne-" glected, are again performed .- The victims, which have " had hitherto few purchasers, begin to come again to the " market," Ec.

[0] See the laborious work of Christ. Kurthalt, entitled, Paganus obtrestator, fen de calumnits Gentslium in Chrifliances; to which may be added, Jo. JAC. HULDRECUS, De caleman: Geneilium in Christianes, published at Zurich, in 8vo. in the year 1744.

indeed.

OF T. indeed, were the only arms they had to oppose party is the truth; fince the excellence of the gospel, and the virtue of its ministers and followers, left its enemies no refources but calumny and perfecution. Nothing can be imagined, in point of virulence and fory, that they did not employ for the rum of the Christians. They even went so far as to perfuade the multitude, that all the calamities, wars, tempelts, and diseases, that afflicted mankind, were judgments fent down by the angry gods, because the Christians, who contemned their authority, were fufficied in the empire [p]

The punishments and judicial form-used again⁴ the Christians.

IX. The various kinds of punishments, both capital and corrective, which were employed against the Christians, are particularly described by learned men who have written profesfedly upon that subject [q]. The forms of proceeding, aifed in their condemnation, may be feen in the Alls of the Martyrs, in the letters of Priny and TRAJAN, and other ancient monuments [r]. These judicial forms were very different, at different times, and changed naturally according to the mildness or severity of the laws enacted by the different emperors against the Christians. Thus, at one time, we see the most diligent search made after the followers of Christ, at another, all perquifition fulpended, and politive acculation and information only allowed. Under one reign we fee them, upon their being proved Christians, or their confessing themselves such, immediately dragged away to execution, unless they prevent their punishment by apostasy; under another, we

[[]p] See Arnobius Contra gentes.

[[]q] See for this purpose Ant. Gallonius and Gasp. Sa-OITTARIUS, De cruciatibus martyrum.

[[]r] See Bonmen, Juru Ecclef. Protestant. tom. iv. lib. v. Decreral, tit. 1. § 32. p. 617.

fee inhuman magistrates endeavouring to compel c z n T. them, by all forts of tortures, to renounce their Past profession.

X. They who, in the perilous times of the Margarand church, fell by the hand of bloody perfecution, and expired in the cause of the divine Saviour, were called *martyrs*; a term borrowed from the facred writings, which fignifies witheles, and thus expresses the glorious testimony which these magnanimous believers bore to the truth. The title of confessors was given to such, as, in the sace of death, and at the expense of honours, fortune, and all the other advantages of the world, had confessed with fortitude, before the Roman tribunals, their firm attachment to the religion of lesus. The veneration that was paid to both martyrs and confessors is hardly credible. The distinguishing honours and privileges they enjoyed, the authority with which their counsels and decisions were attended, would furnish ample matter for a history apart; and such an undertaking might be highly uteful in many respects. There was, no doubr, as much wifdom as juffice in treating with fuch respect, and investing with such privileges, these Christian heroes; since nothing was more adapted to encourage others to fuffer with cheerfulness in the cause of Christ. But, as the best and wifest institutions are generally perverted, by the weakness or corruption of men, from their original purpole; fo the authority and privileges granted, in the beginning, to martyrs and conteffors, became, in process of time, a support to superstition, an incentive to enthusiasin, and a fource of innumerable evils and abufes.

XI. The first three or four ages of the church Their overwere stained with the blood of martyrs, who suffered for the name of Jesus. The greatness of their number is acknowledged by all, who have a competent acquaintance with ancient history, and who

C E N T. who have examined that matter with any degree PART I, of impartiality. It is true, the learned Dodwell has endeavoured to invalidate this unanimous decision of the ancient historians [s], and to diminish considerably the number of those that suffered death for the gospel. And after him, several writers have maintained his opinion, and afferted. that whatever may have been the calamities that the Christians, in general, suffered for their attachment to the gospel, very few were put to death on that account. This hypothesis has been warmh popposed, as derogating from that divine power which enabled Christians to be faithful even unto death, and a contrary one embraced, which augments prodigiously the number of these heroic fufferers. Here, no doubt, it will be wife to avoid both thefe extremes, and to hold the middle path, which certainly leads nearest to the truth. The martyrs were less in number than feveral of the ancient and modern writers have supposed them to be; but much more numerous than Dodwell and his followers are willing to believe. And this medium will be eafily admitted by fuch, as have learned from the ancient writers. that, in the darkest and most calamitous times of the church, all Christians were not equally nor promiseuously disturbed, nor called before the public tribunals. Those who were of the lowest rank of the people, escaped the best; their obscurity, in some measure, skreened them from the fury of perfecution. The learned and eloquent, the doctors and ministers, and chiefly the rich, after the confiscation of whose fortunes a rapacious magistracy were perpetually gaping, these were the persons the most exposed to the dangers of the times.

^[1] See Dodwell's differention, De paucitate martyrum, in his Differentiants Cyprianica.

XII. The actions and fayings of these holy CENT. martyrs, from the moment of their imprisonment PART to their last gasp, were carefully recorded, in order to be read on certain days, and thus proposed as models to future ages. But few, however, of these ancient acts are come down to our times [1]; the greatest part of them having been destroyed during that dreadful persecution which Drocke-FIAN carried on ten years, with such sury, against the Christians. For a most diligent search was then made after all their books and papers; and all of them that were found were committed to. the flames. From the eighth century downwards, feveral Greek and Latin writers endeavoured to make up this lofs, by compiling, with vaft labour, accounts of the lives and actions of the ancient martyrs. Bet the most of them have given us little else than a series of sables, adorned with profusion of rhetorical flowers, and striking images, as the wifer, even among the Romish doctors, frankly acknowledge. Nor are those records, that pass under the name of martyrology, worthy of superior credit, since they bear the most evident marks both of ignorance and falsehood. that, upon the whole, this part of Ecclefiastical History, for want of ancient and authentic monuments, is extremely imperfect, and necessarily attended with much obscurity.

XIII. It would have been furprifing, if, under The perfefuch a montter of cruelty as Nero, the Christians cution and der Nero. had enjoyed the fweets of tranquillity and freedom. But this was far from being the cafe; for this perfidious tyrant accused them of having set fire to the city of Rome, that horrid crime, which

[t] Such of those acts as are worthy of credit have been collefted by the learned Ruinkantus, into one volume in folio, of a moderate fize, entitled, Selecta et fincera martyrum ada, Amítelod. 1713. The hypothesis of Doowell is amply refuted in a laboured preface which the author has prefixed to this work.

CENT. he himself had committed with a barbarous plea-PART 1. fure. In avenging this crime upon the innocent Christians, he ordered matters so, that the punishment should bear some resemblance to the offence. He, therefore, wrapped up some of them in combustible garments, and ordered fire to be fet to them when the darkness came on, that thus, like torches, they might dispel the obscurity of the night; while others were fastened to crosses, or torn to pieces by wild beafts, or put to death in fome such dreadful manner. This horrid persecution-was fet on foot in the month of November [4], in the 64th year of Christ, and in it, according to fome ancient accounts, St. Paut and St. Peren suffered martyrdom; though this latter fact is contested by many, as being absolutely irreconcileable with chronology [w]. The death of Nero, who perished milerably in the year 68, but an end to the calamities of this first perfecution, under which, during the space of four years, the Christians suffered every fort of

The extent of this perfeature. XIV. Learned men are not entirely agreed concerning the extent of this perfecution under Nero. Some confine it to the city of Rome, while others represent it as having raged throughout the whole empire. The latter opinion, which is also the most ancient [x], is undoubtedly to be preferred;

torment and affliction, which the ingenious cruelty

of their enemies could invent.

[w] See, for a further illustration of this point of chronology, two French differentians of the very learned Alfraonse de Vignoles, concerning the cause and the commencement of the perfocution under Nero, which are printed in Masson's Hydrone critique de la republique des lettres, tom. viii. p. 74—117. tom. ix. p. 172—186. See also Toinard, Ad La Santium de martibus persegunt. p. 298.

[w] See Tillemont, Histoire des empereurs, tom. i. p. 564. BARATER, De juccessione Romanor. Pontif. cap. v. p. 60.

[8] This opinion was first desended by FRANC. BALDUIN, in his Comm. ad edition imperator. in Christianus, p. 27, 28.

26

as it is certain, that the laws enacted against the CENT-Christians, were enacted against the whole body, PART I. and not against particular churches, and were confequently in force in the remotest provinces. The authority of TERTULLIAN confirms this, who tells us, that NERO and DOMITIAN had enacted laws against the Christians, of which TRAJAN had, in part, taken away the force, and rendered them, in some measure, without effect [7]. We shall not have recourse for a further confirmation of this opinion, to that famous Portuguese or Spanish infeription, in which Nero is praifed for having purged that province from the new superstition; since that infeription is jullly suspected to be a mere forgery, and the belt Spanish authors consider it as fuch [2]. But we may, however, make one observation, which will tend to illustrate the point in question, and that is, that, since the Christians were condemned by Nero, not to much on ac-

After him Launouus maintained the same opinion in his Deffert, quá Sulptus Severi locus de prima marirenm Gailta epotha sindicatur, § 1. p. 139, 140. tom. ii. part 1. opp. This opinion, however, is still more acutely and learnedly defended by Dodwell, in the xith of his Defectationes Cyptanica.

[y] Apologet, cap. iv. p. 46. according to the edition of

HIVER CAMP.

[2] This celebrated infeription is published by the learned GRUTERUS, in the first volume of his inscriptions, p. ccxxxviii. n. 9. It must, however, be observed, that the hest Spanish writers date not venture to defend the genuinenels and authoriv of this infeription, as it has not been feen by any of them, and was first produced by Cyriae of Ancona, a person univerfally known to be atterly unworthy of the least credit. We shall add here the judgment which the excellent historian of Spain, Jo. DE FERRERAS, has given of this inscription, in his Histoire générale d'Espagne, tom. i. p. 192. " Je ne puis " m'empêcher (fays he) d'observer que Cyriae d'Ancone " fut le premier qui publia cette inscription, et que c'est de lui " que les autres l'ont tirée : mais comme la foi de cet Ecri-" vain est suspect au jugement de tous les savans, que d'ail-" leurs il n'y a mi vostige, ni souvenir, de cette inscription dans " les places où l'on dit qu'elle s'est trouvée, et qu'on ne sçait " où la prendre à present, châcun peut en porter le jugement " qu'il voudra."

Vol. I. G count

PART I. crime of burning the city [a], it is fearcely to be imagined, that he would leave unmolested, even beyond the bounds of Rome, a sect whose members were accused of such an abominable deed.

The perfecution onder Domi-Uan.

XV. Though, immediately after the death of Nero, the rage of this first persecution against the Christians ceased, yet the flame broke out a-new in the year ninety-three or ninety-four, under Do-MITIAN, a prince little inferior to Nero in all forts of wickedness [b]. This persecution was occalioned, if we may give credit to IILGESIPPUS, by the fears that Domirian was under of losing the empire [c]; for he had been informed, that, among the relations of Christ, a man should arife, who, possessed of a turbulent and ambitious spirit, was to excite commotions in the state, and aim at supreme dominion. However that may have been, the perfecution renewed by this unworthy prince was extremely violent, though his untimely death put a flop to it not long after it commenced. FLAVIUS CLEMENS, a man of confular dignity, and FLAVIA DOMITILLA his niece, or, as some say, his wife, were the principal martyrs that fuffered in this perfecution, in which also the apostle John was banished to the isle of Patmos., TERTULLIAN and other writers inform us, that, before his banishment, he was thrown into a caldron of boiling oil, from whence he came forth not only living, but even unhurt. This story, however, is not attested in such a manner, as to leave no remaining doubt about its certainty $\lceil d \rceil$.

[[]a] See Theon. Rushart. Praf. ad alla martyrum fincera et filedia, f. 31, &c.

[[]b] Idem, Prof. ad alla martyrum, &c. f. 33. Thom. Itti-Giv., Sciedio Histor. Eccl. Capit. Suc. i. cap. vi. § 11. p. 331.

[[]c] Euseb. Hiff. Eccl. lib. iii. cap. xix, xx. [d] See Mosherm's Syntagma differt, ad bifferiam ecclef. pertimentium, p. 497—546.

PÁRT II.

The Internal History of the Church.

C'HAPTER I.

Containing an account of the flate of LEARNING and PRILOSOPHY.

I. IF we had any certain or fatisfactory account C E N T. I of the doctrines, which were received among PARTIL the wifer of the eaftern nations, when the light of The flate of the golpel first rose upon the world, this would philotephy contribute to illustrate many important points in in the cast the ancient history of the church. But the case not toffe is quite otherwise: the fragments of the ancient known. oriental philosophy that are come down to us, are, as every one knows, few in number; and fuch as they are, they yet require the diligence, crudition, and fagacity of fome learned man, to collect them into a body, to arrange them with method, and to explain them with peripicuity [e].

II. The doctrine of the magi, who believed the The philouniverse to be governed by two principles, the one Persians, good, and the other evil, flourished in Persia. Their Chaldeans, followers, however, were not all agreed concern-

[c] The hillory of the oriental philosophy by Mr. STAKLEY, though it is not void of all kind of merit, is yet extremely defective. That learned author is so far from having exhausted his fubject, that he has left it, on the contrary, in many places wholly untouched. The history of philosophy, published in Germany, by the very learned Mr. BRUCKER, is vaftly preferable to Mr. STABLEY's work; and the German author, indeed, much superjor to the English one, both in point of genius and of erudition:

CENT. ing the nature of these principles [f]; but this PART II. did not prevent the propagation of the main doctrine, which was received throughout a confiderable part of Afin and Africa, especially among the Chaldwans, Affyrians, Syrians, and Egyp. tians, though with different modifications, and had even infected the Jews themselves [g]. The Arabians at that time, and even afterwards, were more remarkable for firength and courage than for genius and fagacity; por do they feem, according to their own confession $\{u\}$, to have -acquited any great reputation for wildom and philosophy before the time of \mathbf{M}_{ABOMLT} .

The wildom of the Indiane and Egyptiens,

III. From the earliest times the Indians were diffinguished by their tails for fablime knowledge and wifdom. We might, perhaps, be able to form a judgment of their philosophical tenets, if that most ancient book, which they looked upon as particularly facred, and which they call veda, or the law, were brought to light and translated into some known language. But the accounts which are given of this remarkable book, by those who have been in the Indies, are fo various and irreconcileable with each other, that we must yet wait for further satisfaction on this head [i]. As to the I gyptians, they were divided.

[f] See Hype's HA sych the religion of stransient Perfrans, a work full of crudition and diforder, and interspersed with conjectures of the most improbable kind

[g] See a treatife of Jo. Char norm. Worn, published at Hamburg, in 1707, under the title of Man be mus ante Manumera. See also Moshirim's Objet cutions upon Cupwort H's Intellectual Sittem of the Universe, p. 328, 423.

[b] See ABULPHARAIUS, De Moribus Arabum, published by Pocock.

[.] I have I stely heard that this most important, and longexpected book has been acquired by fome French Jefuits, who are missionaries in the Indies, and who have font it over to the King of France's library. . It is also said, that it is already translated, or will be so immediately. See Lettre du P.

divided, as every one knows, into a multitude of CENT fects and opinions [k]; so that their labour feems PARTH. exceeding fruitless, who endeavour to reduce the -

philosophy of this people to one system.

IV. But of all the different systems of philoso- The orienphy that were received in Afra and Africa about phy, pro. the time of our Saviour, none was so detrimental perly to to the Christian religion, as that which was styled gnofis, or science, i. e. the way to the true knowtedge of the deity, and which we have above called the oriental dollrine, in order to diffinguish it from the Grecian philosophy. It was from the bosons of this pretended oriental wildom, that the chiefs of those sects, which in the three first centuries perplexed and afflicted the Christian church, originally iffued forth. Thele-fupercilious doctors, endeavouring to accommodate to the tenets of their fantaftic philosophy, the pure, the fimple, and fublime doctrines of the Son of viod, brought forth, as the refult of this juring composition, a multitude of idle dreams and fictions, and imposed upon their followers a fiften of opinions, which were partly ludicious, and partly peoplexed with intricate fubtilties, and covered over with impenetrable obfourity. The ancient doctors, both Greek and Latin, who opposed these sects, confidered them as to many branches that derived their origin from the Platonic philosophy. But this was pure illusion: an apparent resemblance between certain opinions of Plato, and fome of the tenets of the eaftern fehools, deceived these good men, who had no knowledge but of the Grecian philosophy, and were absolutely ignorant of the oriental doctrines. Whoever com-

Calmette à M. de Cartigny, dans les Lettres edifinites et curienses as Miffins Etrangeres, xxi. Recuil, p. 455. 28 also Recueil XXIII. p. 161.

[k] See Mosneim's Observations on the Intellectual System, &c. in his Latin translation of that work, tom. i. p. 415.

PART II ther, will easily perceive the wide difference that there is between them.

The first principles of this philosophy.

V. The first principles of the oriental philosophy feem perfectly confiftent with the dictates of reason; for its first founder must undoubtedly have argued in the following manner: "There " are many evils in this world, and men feem " impelled by a natural inflinct to the practice of " those things which reason condemns; but that " eternal mind, from which all fpicits derive " their existence, must be inaccessible to all kinds " of evil, and also of a most perfect and benefi-" cent nature; therefore the origin of those evils, " with which the universe abounds, must be " fought fomewhere elfe than in the Deity. . " cannot relide in him who is all perfection; and " therefore it must be without him. *" is nothing without or beyond the Deity, but " matter; therefore matter is the centre and " fource of all evil, of all vice." Having taken for granted these principles, they proceeded further, and affirmed, that matter was eternal, and derived its present form, not from the will of the Supreme God, but from the creating power of fome inferior intelligence, to whom the world and its inhabitants owed their existence. proof of this affertion, they alleged that it was incredible, that the Supreme Deity, perfectly good, and infinitely removed from all evil, should either create or modify matter, which is malignant and corrupt, or bestow effentially upon it, in any degree, the riches of his wildom and liberality. They were, however, aware of the insuperable difficulties that lay against their fystem; for when they were called to explain, in an accurate and fatisfactory manner, how this rude and corrupt matter came to be arranged into fuch a regular and harmonious frame as that of

of the universe, and, particularly, how celestial OFN T. foirits were joined to bodies formed out of its PARTIL malignant mass, they were sadly embarrassed, and found that the plainest dictates of reason declared their system incapable of desence. this perplexity, they had recourse to wild fictions and romantic fables, in order to give an account of the formation of the world, and the origin of mankind.

VI. Those who, by mere dint of fancy and Theoriesinvention, endeavour to cast a light upon obscure pheradivipoints, or to folve great and intricate difficulties, ded in their are feldom agreed about the methods of proceeding; and, by a necessary consequence, separate into different feets. Such was the case of the oriental philosophers, when they set themselves to explain the difficulties mentioned Some imagined two eternal principles from whence: all things proceeded, the one prefiding over light, and the other over matter, and by their perpetual conflict, explained the mixture of good and evil, that appears in the universe. Others maintained, that the being, which prefided over matter, was not an eternal principle, but a subordinate intelligence, one of those whom the Supreme God produced from himfelf. They supposed that this being was moved, by a fudden impulse, to reduce to order the rude mass of matter, which lay excluded from the mansions of the Deity, and also to create the human race. A third fort fell upon a fyshem different from the two preceding, and formed to themselves the notion of a triumvirate of beings, in which the Supreme Deity was diffinguished both from the material, evil principle, and from the creator of this fublunary world. These, then, were the three leading sects of the oriental philosophy, which were subdivided into various factions, by the disputes that arose, when they came to explain more fully their re-G 4 spective

E E N T. spective opinions, and to pursue them into all PART II. their monstrous consequences. These multiplied divisions were the natural and necessary confequences of a system which had no folid foundation, and was no more, indeed, than an airy phantom, blown up by the wanton fancies of And that these divisions did felf-fufficient men. really subsist, the history of the Christian sects, that embraced this philosophy, abundantly testifies.

Their opinsons cone erroing the delty.

VII. It is, however, to be observed, that, as all these sects were sounded upon one common principle, their divisions did not prevent their holding, in common, certain opinions concerning the deity, the universe, the human race, and feveral other fubjects. They were all, therefore, unanimous in acknowledging the existence of an eternal nature, in whom dwelt the fulness of wildom, goodnets, and all other perfections, and of whom no mortal was able to form a complete idea. This great being was confidered by them as a most pure and radiant light, diffused through the immensity of space, which they called pleroma, a Greek word, which fignifies fulness; and they taught concerning him, and his operations, the following things: " The eternal nature, infinitely " perfect and infinitely happy, having dwelt " from exerlafting in a profound folitude, and in a bleffed tranquillity, produced, at length, " from it/elf, two minds of a different fex, which " resembled their supreme parent in the most " perfect manner. From the prolific union of " thefe two beings others arose, which were also " followed by succeeding generations; so that, " in process of time, a celestial family was formed " in the pleroma [1]. This divine progeny, being " immu-

[/] It appears highly probable, that the apostle Paus had an eye to this fantastic mythology, when, in the first chapter of his First Episte to Timethy, ver. 4. he exhorts him er immutable in its nature, and above the power C IN T. " of mortality, was called, by the philosophers, PART II. " con [m]," a term which fignifies, in the Greek language, an eternal nature. How many in number these aons were, was a point much controverted among the oriental fages.

not to give beed to fables and endleft GENBALOGIES; which mimirer questions, &c.

[7 [m] The word rior, or con, is commonly used by the Greek writers, but in different fenses. It, fignification in the Gnoslic system is not extremely evident, and several learned men have despaired of finding out its true meaning: 202, or non, among the ancients, was used to fignify the age of man, or the duration of human life. In after-times it was employed by philosophers to express the duration of spiritual and invitible beings. These philosophers used the word xion, as the measure of corporeal and changing objects; and aici, as the measure of such as were immutable and eternal. And as God is the chief of those immutable beings which are spiritual, and confequently not to be perceived by our outward scoses, his infinite and eternal duration was expressed by the term ais, or aron, and that is the fense in which that word is now commonly understood. It was, however, afterwards attributed to other frictical and invisible beings; and the oriental philotophers, who lived about the time of Christ's appearance upon earth, and made ule of the Greek language, understood by it the distation of eternal and immutable things. the space or period of time, in which they exist. Nor did the variations, through which this word passed, end here; from expressing only the duration of beings, it was by a metonomy employed to fignify the beings themselves. Thus the Supreme Being was called aim, or non; and the angels diffinguished also by the title of arms. All this will lead us to the true meaning of that word among the Gnostics. They had formed to themselves the notion of an invisible and spiritual world, composed of entities or wirenes, proceeding from the Supreme Being, and succeeding each other at certain intervals of time. to as to form an evernal chain, of which our world was the terminating link; a notion of eternity very different from that of the Platonitts, who represented it as stable, permanent, and void of succession. To the beings that formed this eternal chain, the Gnostics assigned a certain term of duration and a certain iphere of action. Their terms of duration were, at first, called, atomic, and they themselves were afterwards materymically diffinguished by that title.

the origin of this world.

VIII. "Beyond the mansions of light, where PARTIL " dwells the desty with his celestial offspring, " there lies a rude and unwieldy mais of matter, " agitated by innate, turbulent, and irregular " motions. One of the celeftial natures descend-" ing from the pleroma, either by a fortuitous " impulse, or in consequence of a divine com-" mission, reduced to order this unseemly mass, " adorned it with a rich variety of gifts, created " men, and inferior animals of different kinds, to " store it with inhabitants, and corrected its ma-" lignity by mixing with it a certain portion of " light, and also of a matter celestial and di-" vine. This creator of the world is diftinguished " from the Supreme Deity by the name of de-" miurge. His character is a compound of " shining qualities, and insupportable arrogance; " and his excessive lust of empire esfaces his " talents and his virtues. He claims dominion " over the new world he has formed, as his " fovereign right, and, excluding totally the ", supreme deity from all concernment in it, he " demands from mankind, for himself and his " affociates, divine honours."

Concerning deffination of homes San Le.

IX. " Man is a compound of a terrestrial the flete and e and corrupt body, and a foul which is of " celeftial origin, and, in fome meafure, an " emanation from the divinity. This nobler " part is miferably weighed down and encum-"bered by the body, which is the feat of all " irregular lusts and impure defires. It is this " body that feduces the foul from the pursuit of " truth, and not only turns it from the contem-" plation and worship of the Supreme Being, to " as to confine its homage and veneration to the " creator of this world; but also attaches it to " terrestrial objects, and to the immoderate pur-" fuit of fenfual pleafures, by which its nature ", is totally polluted. The fovereign mind em-" ploys

" ploys various means to deliver his offspring C B N T. " from this deplorable fervitude, especially the PART II. a ministry of divine messengers, whom he sends -" to enlighten, to admonish, and to reform the " human race. In the mean time, the impe-" rious demiurge exerts his power in apposition to " the merciful purpose of the Supreme Being, " relists the influence of those folcom invitations " by which he exhorts mankind to return to him. " and labours to efface the knowledge of God in " the minds of intelligent beings. In this con-" flict, fuch fouls, as, throwing off the yoke of " the creators and rulers of this world, rife to " their Supreme Parent, and subdue the turbu-" lent and finful motions, which corrupt matter " excites within them, shall, at the diffolution " of their mortal bodies, ascend directly to the " pleroina. Those, on the contrary, who remain " in the bondage of fervile superstition, and cor-" rupt matter, shall, at the end of this life, pais " into new bodies, until they awake from their " finful letharty, In the end, however, " Supreme God shall come forth victorious, tri-" umph over all opposition, and, having deli-" vered from their fervitude the greatest part of "those souls that are imprisoned in mortal " bodies, shall dissolve the frame of this visible " world, and involve it in a general rdin. After " this folemn period, primitive tranquillity shall " be restored in the universe, and God shall reign " with happy spirits, in undisturbed felicity, " through the everlasting ages."

X. Such were the principal tenets of the orien- of the Irw. tal philosophy. The state of letters and philoso- in philosophy among the Jews comes next, under confideration; and of this we may form some idea from what has been faid already concerning that nation. It is chiefly to be observed, that the

E E N T. dark and hidden science, which they called the PART II, kabbala, was at this time taught and inculcated by many among that superstitious people [n]. This science, in many things, bears a strong refemblance to the oriental philosophy; or, to fpeak more accurately, it is indeed that fame philosophy accommodated to the Jewish religion, and tempered with a certain mixture of truth. Nor were the doctrines of the Grecian fages unknown to the Jews at the period now before us; fince, from the time of ALEXANDER the GREAT, fome-of them had been admitted, even into the Mosaic religion. We shall say nothing concerning the opinions which they adopted from the philosophical and theological systems of the Chaldæans, Egyptians, and Syrians [0].

The flate of learning in . Grace.

XI. The Greeks, in the opinion of most writers, were yet in possession of the first rank among the nations that cultivated letters and philosophy. In many places, and especially at Athens, there were a considerable number of men distinguished by their learning, acuteness, and eloquence; philosophers of all sects, who taught the doctrines of Plato, Aristotie, Zeno, and Epicurus; rhetoricians also and men of genius, who instructed the youth in the rules of eloquence, and formed their taste for the lineral arts. So that those who had a passion for the study of oratory, resorted in multitudes to the Grecian schools, in order to persect themselves in that noble science. Alexandria, in Egypt, was also

[[]n] See Jo. Franc. Budder Introduction in Historian Philof. Hebracrum; and also the authors which B. Wolf mentions, with encomiums, in his Bibliotheca Hebracca, tom. iii.

^[0] See Jo. FRANC. BUDDET Introductio in Historium Philoj. Hebraerum; as also the authors recommended by Wolv in his Bibliogheca Hebraica, tom. iii.

much frequented for the same purpose, as a great C E N.T. number of the Grecian philosophers and rheto- PART IL ficians dwelt in that city.

XII. The Romans also, at this time, made a At Rome. Maing figure among the polified and learned nations. All the sciences flourished at Rome. The youth of a higher rank were early instructed in the Greek language and eloquence. thence they proceeded to the fludy of philosophy, and the laws of their country; and they finished their education by a voyage into Greece, where they not only gave the last degree of persection to their philosophical studies, but also acquired that refined wit and elegance of tafle, that ferved to fet off their more solid attainments in the most advantageous manner [p]. None of the philofophical fects were more in vogue among the Romans than the Epicureans and the Academics, which were peculiarly favoured by the great, who, foothed by their doctrines into a false security, indulged their passions without remorfe, and continued in their vicious purfuits without terror. During the reign of Augustus, the culture of polite learning and of the fine arts was held in great honour, and those that contributed with zeal and foccess to this, were eminently diffinguished by that prince. But after his death, learning languished without encouragement, and was neglected, because the succeeding emperors were more intent upon the arts of war and rapine, than thole more amiable arts and inventions that are the fruits of leifure and peace.

XIII. With respect to the other nations, such in the other as the Germans, Celts, and Bretons, it is certain, national that they were not destitute of learned and inge-

[[]p] See PAGANANI GAUDENTII Libr de Philosophia apud Romanos imitio et progressu, in Ter 110 Fasciculo Nova Collectionis Variorum Scriptorum. Hale, 1717.

CENT. pious men. Among the Gauls, the possible of PART II. Marfeilles had long acquired a finning required for their progress in the sciences [q]; and there is no doubt, but that the neighbouring countries received the benefit of their instructions. Amoun the Celts, their deuids, priests, philosophers, and legiflators were highly remarkable for their wifdom; but their writings, at least such as are yet extant, are not sufficient to inform us of the nature of their philosophy [r]. The Romans, indeed, introduced letters and philosophy into all the provinces which submitted to their victorious arms, in order to foften the gough manners of the favage nations, and form in them; imperceptibly, the fentiments and feelings of humanity [s].

CHAP: H.

Concerning the Dostors and Ministers of the Church, and ats form of government.

Saty of publie teachers.

The neces- I. THE great end of Christ's mission was to form an universal church, gathered out of all the nations of the world, and to extend the limits of this great fociety from age to age. But in order to this, it was necessary, first, to appoint extraordinary teachers, who, converting the Jews and Gentiles to the truth, should erect, every where, Christian assemblies; and then, to establish ordinary ministers, and interpreters of the

[r] JAC. MARTIN, R 12 ion des Gaulois, livr. i. cap. xxi.

[1] JUVENAL, Saur. XV. Ver. 110.

^[9] See the Histoine Literaire de la France par des Raligieux Benedictins. Differt. Prelim. p. 42, &c.

[&]quot; Nunc totus Graizs nothraique habet orbis Athenas,

[«] Gallia Caulidicos docum facunda Britannos,

divine will, who should enforce and repeat the CENT. doctrines delivered by the former, and maintain PART IL the people in their holy profession, and in the practice of the Christian virtues. For the best fullem of religion must necessarily either dwindle to nothing, or be egregiously corrupted, if it is not perpetually inculcated and explained by a regular and standing ministry.

II. The extraordinary teachers, whom Christ Extraodiemployed to lay the foundations of his everlafting "rate etc." kingdom, were the xii apostles, and the LXX disciples, of whom mention has been made above. To these the Evangelists are to be added. by which ritle those were distinguished whom the apostles sent to instruct the nations, or who, of their own accord, abandoned every worldly attachment, and confecrated themselves to the facred office of propagating the gospel [1]. In this rank, also, we must place those, to whom, in the infancy of the church, the marvellous power of speaking in foreign languages which they had never learned, was communicated from above. For the person to whom the divine omnipotence and liberality had imparted the gift of tongues, might conclude, with the utmost affurance, from the gift itself, (which a wife being would not bestow in vain,) that he was appointed by God to minister unto the truth, and to employ his talents in the fervice of Christianity [u].

III. Many have undertaken to write the hillory The miles of the apostles [w], a history, which we find my of the spostles. loaded with fables, doubts, and difficulties, when

[[]t] See St. PAUL's Epifle to the Ephefians, iv. 11. As also Rusen. Hift. Ecclef. lib. iu. cap. xxxvu.

^{[2] 1} Cor. xiv. 22.

[[]w] The authors who have written concerning the apostles, are enumerated by Sagith arius in his Introduction to Ecclifiafical History, ch. i. p. z. and also by Buddave, in his treatile, De Ecclefia Apostalica, p. 674.

CENT. we pursue it further than the books of the New PART H. Testament, and the most ancient writers in the Christian church. In order to have a just idea of the nature, privileges; and authority of the apostolic function, we must consider an apostle as a perion who was honoured with a divine commission, invested with the power of making laws, of controlling and restraining the wicked, when that was expedient, and of working miracles, when necessary; and fent to mankind, to unfold to them the divine will, to open to them the paths of falvation and immortality, and to separate from the multitude, ard unite in the lands of one facted faciety, those who were attentive and obedient to the voice of God addreffed to men by their ministry [x].

The tra dikipke,

IV. The accounts we have of the LXX disciples are still more obscure than those of the apostles: fince the former are only once mentioned in the New Testament (Luke x. 1). The illustrations that we have yet remaining, relative to their charafter and office, are certainly composed by the more modern Greeks, and, therefore, can have but little authority or credit [y]. Their commission extended no further than the Jewish nations as appears from the express words of St. Luke; though it is highly probable, that, after Christ's afcenfion, they performed the function of Evangelists, and declated the glad tidings of falvation, and

[[]x] See Fren. Spannem. De apoficilis et apoficiatu, tom. ii. app. p. 289. It is not without weighty reasons, and without having confidered the matter attentively, that I have supposed the apostles invested with the power of enacting laws. I am sensible that some very learned men among the moderns have denied this power, but I apprehend they duffer from me rather in words than in any thing elfe.

^[1] These accounts are to be seen at the end of three books, concerning the life and death of Moses, which were discovered and illuterated by Gilb. Gaulminus, and republished by Jo. ALBERT FARRICIUS, in his Biblioth. Grac. p. 474-

the means of obtaining it, through different na- C B,N T.

tions and provinces.

V. Neither Christ himself, nor his holy aposties, have commanded any thing clearly or The xterexpressly concerning the external form of the the church church, and the precise method, according to not deterwhich it should be governed [2]. From this we Chair,

[2] Those who imagine that CHRIST himself, or the apolles by his direction and authority, appointed a certain fixed form of church-government, are not agreed what that form was. The principal opinions that have been adopted upon this head may be reduced to the four following: The first is, that of the Roman Catholics, who maintain, " That "CHRIST's intention and appointment was, that his follow-" ers should be collected into one sacred empire, subjected to " the government of St. PRTER and his successors, and divid-" ed, like the kingdoms of this world, into feveral provinces; " that, in confequence thereof, PETFR aved the feat of " ecclefialtical dominion at Rome, but afterwards, to alleviate. " the burthen of his office, divided the church into three " greater provinces, according to the division of the world at " that time, and appointed a perion to prelide in each, who " was dignified with the title of patriarch; that the European " patriaich resided at Rome, the Asiatic at Annoch, and the " African at Alexandria; that the bishops of each province, " among whom also there were various ranks, were to re-" verence the authority of their respective patriarchs, and " that both bishops and patriarchs were to be passively sub-" ject to the supreme dominion of the Roman pontiff "." This romantic account scarcely deserves a serious resutation. The fecond opinion, concerning the government of the church, makes no mention of a supreme bead, or of pairiarchs, conflicted by divine authority, but supposes that the aposities divided the Roman empire into as many ecclesiastical provinces as there were fecular, or civil ones: that the metropolitan bishop, i. e. the prelate, who resided in the capital city of each province, prefided over the clergy of that province, and that the other bishops were subject to his authority. This opinion has been adopted by some of the most learned of the Romish church +, and has also been

ed 🚣 aarrji, toea. L p. 29. Voz. I.

Ber Luon Allation, De perferna cantenf Recief. Orbeit, a Oscident. Blo. i. cop. ii. Monimus, Ramebrat, Esclefieft. Itb. f. Barr. i. † Putud de Manca, De comped. facerdet. as imperit, lib. vi. cup. l. Monimus, Errer. Eccl. iib. i. Ev. uviii. Page Graces in grand. Barreil.

PATIL fome measure, to be accommodated to the time, and

favoured by same of the most eminent British divines 1. Some Protestant writers of note have endeavoured to prove that it is not supported by sufficient evidence 5. The third opinion is, that of those who acknowledge, that, when the Christians began to multiply exceedingly, metropolitans, parriarchs, and archbishops were, indeed, created, but only by human appointment and authority; though they confess, at the same time, that it is conforant to the orders and intention of CHREAT and his apostles, that, in every Christian church, there should be one person invested with the highest authority, and clothed with certain rights and privileges above the other doctors of that affembly. This opinion has been embraced by many English divines of the first rank in the learned world, and also by many in other countries and communions. The fourth and last opinion is, that of the Presbyterians, who assim that CHRIST's intention was, that the Christian doctors and ministers should all enjoy the same rank and authority, without any fort of pre-emmence or fubordination, any diffinction of rights and privileges. The reader will find an ample account of these four different opinions with respect to churchgovernment in Dr. Mosh Elm's Larger history of the first cenrupy. This learned and impartial writer, who condemns with reason the faurth opinion, as it is explained by those bigoted Puritans, who look upon all subordination, and variety of grank among the doctors of the church, as condemnable and anti-christian, observes, however, with equal reason, that this opinion may be explained and modified to, as to reconcile the moderate abettors of the episcopal discipline with the less rigid Presbyterians. The opinion modified by Dr. Mositur amounts to this: " That the Christian doctors are " renal in this sense; that CHRIST has left no positive and " special decree which constitutes a distinction among them, " nor any devine commandment by which those who, in con-" fequence of the appointments of human wildom, are in the " higher ranks, can demand, by a divine right, the obedience " and fubmiffion of the inferior doctors, &c. their abilianing " from the exercise of certain functions," Ge.

The truth of the matter is, that CRRIST, by leaving this matter undetermined, has, of confequence, left Christian focieties a discretionary power of modelling the government of

6 Bannaus, H.f. de l'Eglife, tom 1. live, i cap olit. Münnunsa. Com, ad Parem de Marca ac pusculla facerd, et împerii, p. 143. tho

[?] Hammond, Diff. de Epifeq. Buvunuu, Cad Canon. Fee. Ecclaf. Finde. ib. il. cop. v vom. ii. Farr. Apaft. Usun, De Origine E, ifrop. at. Mare. al. p 20.

and left to the wildom and prudence of the chief c z'w r. rulers, both of the flate and of the church. however, it is true, that the aposles acted by . divine inspiration, and in conformity with the commands of their bleffed Mafter (and this no Christian can call in question), then it follows, that that form of government which the primitive The form churches borrowed from that of Jerusalem, the of he fire church at first Christian assembly established by the apostles Jerusaless. themselves, must be esteemed as of divine institution. But from this it would be wrong to conclude that such a form is immutable, and ought to be invariably observed; for this a great variety of events may render impossible. In those early times, every Christian church confifted of the people, their leaders, and the ministers, or deacons, and these, indeed, belong essentially to every religious fociety. The people were, undoubtedly, the first in authority; for the apostles shewed, by their own example, that nothing of moment was to be carried on or determined without the confent of the affembly [a]. and fuch a method of proceeding was both prudent and necessary in those critical times.

VI. It was, therefore, the affembly of the Therithm people, which chose their own rulers and teachers, or received them, by a free and authoritative confent, when recommended by others. same people rejected or confirmed, by their fuffrages, the laws, that were proposed by their rulers to the affembly; excommunicated profligate and unworthy members of the church, "restored the penitent to their forfeited privileges,

the church in fach a menner, as the circumstantial reasons of times, places, &c. may require; and therefore the wifest government of the church, is the best and the most divine; and every Christian society has a right to make laws for highly, provided that these laws are confident with charity and Peace, and with the fundamental doctrines and principles of Christianity.

[a] A8s i. 15. vi. 3. xv. 4. xxi. 22.

PART II. controverfy and diffension, that arose in their community, examined and decided the disputes which happened between the elders and deacons; and, in a word, exercised all that authority which belongs to such as are invested with the sovereign

power,

Their oblations. The people, indeed, had, in some measure, purchased these privileges by administering to the support of their rulers, ministers, and poor, and by offering large and generous contributions, when the safety or interests of the community rendered them necessary. In these supplies each one bore a part proportioned to his circumstances; and the various gifts which were thus brought into the public assemblies, were called oblations.

A perfect equality amone the primitize Christians.

VII. There reigned among the members of the Christian church, however distinguished they were by worldly rank and titles, not only an amiable harmony, but also a perfect equality. This appeared by the feasts of charity, in which all were indifcrimenately affembled; by the • names of breibren and fifters, with which they mutually faluted each other; and by feveral circumstances of a like nature. Nor, in this first century, was the diffinction made between Christians of a more or less perfect order, which took place afterwards. Whoever acknowledged Christ as the Saviour of mankind, and made a folemn profession of his confidence in him, was immediately baptized and received into the church. But, in process of time, when the church began to flourish, and its members to increase, it was thought prudent and necessary to divide Christians into two orders, diftinguished by the names of believers and catechumens. The former were those, who had been solemnly admitted into the bharch by baptifm, and in consequence thereof, were instructed in all the mysteries of religion,

Believers and carechargens. had access to all the parts of divine worship, and C E N T. were authorized to vote in the ecclefialtical aftem- PARTIL The latter were fuch, as had not yet been dedicated to God and Chair by baptism, and were, therefore, admitted neither to the public prayers, nor to the holy communion, nor to the eccleBaffical affemblies.

VIII. The rulers of the church were called The rulen either presbyters [b], or bishops, which two titles of the church. are, in the New Festament, undoubtedly applied to the fame order of men [c]. These were perfons of eminent gravity, and fuch as had diftinguilbed themselves by their superior fanctity and ment [d]. Their particular functions were not Prehyten always the fame; for while fome of them con- or b shopes fined their labours to the instruction of the people, others contributed in different ways to the edification of the church. Hence the diftinction between teaching and ruling preflyters has been adopted by certain learned men. But, if ever this diffinction existed, which I neither affirm nor deny, it certainly did not continue long; fince it is manifest, that St. PAUL requires that all bishops or presbyters be qualified and. ready to teach and instruct [e].

IX. Among the first professors of Christianity, The prothere were but few men of learning; few, who phens

(b) The word prefixer, or elder, is taken from the lewish institution, and figures rather the venerable prudence and wildom of old age, than age itself.

[4] Acts xx. 17. 28. Phil. i. t. Tit. i. 5. 7. 1 Tim. iii. t. [d] 1 Tim. iii. t. Tit. i. 5.

had

[[]c] 1 Tim. 11. 2, &c. See, concerning the word profigure. the illustrations given by the learned VITRINGA, De fraggers setere, hb. in. part I. cap. i. p. 609; and hy the venerable Jo. BENED. CARPZONIUS, in his Exerc. in Epift. ad Hebraus ex Philone, p. 499. As to the preflyters themselves, and the nature of their office, the reader will receive much fatisfaction from the accounts that are given of that order by Bud as us, De Ecclesia Apostelica, cap. vi. p. 719. and by the most learned Praverus, De originibus juris ecclef. p. 49.

CENT. had capacity enough to infinuate into the minds Part II. of a gross and ignorant multirade, the know-- ledge of divine things. God therefore, in his infinite wildom, judged it necessary to raile up, in many churches, extraordinary teachers, who were to discourse, in the public assemblies, upon the various points of the Christian doctrine, and to treat with the people, in the name of God, as guided by his direction, and clothed with his authority. Such were the Prophets of the New Testament [f], an order of men, whose commisfion is too much limited by the writers, who confine it to the interpretation of the books of the Old Testament, and especially the Prophecies [g.] For it is certain, that they, who claimed the rank of Prophets, were invested with the power of centuring publicly fuch as had been guilty of any irregularity. But, to prevent the abuses, that designing men might make of this institution, by pretending to this extraordinary character in order to execute unworthy ends, there were always present, in the public auditories, judges, divinely appointed, who, by certain and infallible marks, were able to diffinguish the false prophets from the true. This order of praphets ceafed, when the want of teachers, which gave rife to it, was abundantly supplied.

Dectare of the church שונשיום שו

X. The church was, undoubtedly, provided from the beginning with inferior ministers or No fociety can be without its fervants, and still less such societies as those of the first Christians were. And it appears not only probable, but evident, that the young men, who car-

[[]f] Rom, xiii: 6. 1 Car. xii. 28. xiv. 3. 29. Eph. iv.

^[2] See Moseurin's differtation De illis qui Propurte Owners in Nove Faders, which is to be found in the fecond Yolume of his Sympema Differtationen ad Historian Eccles. pertincalium.

ried away the dead Todies of Ananias and CENT. SAPPHIRA, were the subordinate ministers, or PARTIL deacons, of the church of Jerusalem, who attended the apostles to execute their orders [6]. Thefe

[b] Acts v. 6. 10.

Those who may be surprised at my affirming, that the veung men, mentioned in the passages here referred to, were the deacens, or ministers of the church of Jerufalen, are defired to confider, that the words savens, name is i. e. roung men, are not always used to determine the age of the persons to whom they are applied, but are frequently employed to point out their offices, or functions, both by the Greek and Latin writers. The same rule of interpretation, that diverfifies the sense of the word presenter, (which, as all know, significa sometimes the age of a person, and, at other times, his function), is manifestly applicable to the word before us. As, therefore, by the title of preflylers, the heads or rulers of a fociety are pointed out, without any regard to their age; fo by the term young men, we are often to understand ministers or for vants, because such are generally in the flower of youth. This interpretation may be confirmed by examples, which are not wanting even in the New Testament. CHRIST himself feems to attribute this fense, to the word married, Luke xxii. 26. 6 miller ir upit, y fote & 6 nett o. Our Saviout explains the term uife, by the word modunes, and it therefore figuifies a preflyter, or ruler: he also substitutes, a little after, i Banow, in the place of moreces, which confirms our interpretation in the most unanswerable manner. So that will are and reference are not here indications of certain ages, but of certain functions, and the precept of Christ amounts to this: " He that performs the office of a profbyter or elder " among you, let him not think himself superior to the " ministers or deacons." The passage of 1 Pet. v. 5, is still more express to our purpose: Ομικες ε ώτιρος, υπολιγοία τοῖς musiciant. It is evident from the preceding verses, that profester here is the name of an office, and points out a ruler or teacher of the church; and that the term warries is also to be interpreted, not some men in point of age, but the ministers, or fervance of the church. St. Parsa, having folemaly exhorted the presbyters, not to abuse the power that was commirted to them, addresses his discourse to the ministers, or dearen of the church: " But likewife, ye younger, i e. mi-" nifters and deacons, despite not the orders of the presbyters " or elders, but perform cheerfully whatfoever they command. " you." In the same sense, does St. Luzz employ this term, Acts v. 6. 10. and his merupe and maneres are, endoubtedly, H 4

CENT first deacens of the church, being chosen from PARTIL among the Jews, who were born in Palestine. · were suspected by the foreign Jews of partiality in distributing the offerings, which were presented for the support of the poor [i]. To remedy, therefore, this disorder, seven other deacons were chosen, by order of the apostles, and employed in the service of that part of the church of 'Terujalem, which was composed of the foreign lews, converted to Christianity. Of these new ministers, fix were foreigners, as appears by their names; the feventh was chosen out of the Profelytes, of whom there were a certain number among the first Christians at Jerujalem, and to whom it was reasonable, that some regard should be shewn, in the election of the deacons, as well as to the foreign Jews. All the other Christian churches followed the example of that of Yerufalem, in whatever related to the choice and office of the deacons. Some, particularly the eaftern churches, elected deaconesses, and chose, for that purpole, matrons or widows of eminent functity, who also ministered to the necessities of the poor, and performed feveral other offices, that rended to the maintenance of order and decency in the church [#].

Middops.

XI. Such was the constitution of the Christian church in its infancy, when its affemblies were

doubtedly, the deacons of the church of Jerusalem, of whom the Greek Jews complain afterwards to the apoftles (Acta vi. 1, &c.) on account of the partial diffribution of the alms, I might confirm this sense of the word young men by numbericle citations from Greek and Roman writers, and a variety of authors facred and profane; but this is not the proper place for demonstrations of this nature.

(i) Acts vi. 1, &c.
[4] For an ample account of the dracons and deaconsfes of the primitive charch, fee ZEIGLEE, De diaconis et diaconific, cup. xix, p. 347. Bashacii Annal. Polit. Ecclef. ad A. xxxv. 800. i. p. 450. Bingham, Orig. Eccles. lib. ii. cap. xx.

neither

neither numerous nor splendid. Three or four CENT. prefbyters, meh of remarkable piety and wifdom, PARTIL ruled these small congregations in perfect harmony, nor did they stand in need of any president or superior to maintain concord and order where no diffentions were known. But the number of the presbyters and deacons increasing with that of the churches, and the facred work of the miniftry growing more painful and weighty, by a number of additional duties, these new circumstances required new regulations. It was then judged necetfary, that one man of diffinguished gravity and wisdom should preside in the council of presbyters, in order to distribute among his colleagues their feveral talks, and to be a center of union to the whole fociety. This person was, at first, styled the angel [/] of the church to which he belonged, but was afterward diffinguished by the name of bifbop, or inspector; a name borrowed from the Greek language, and expressing the principal part of the epifcopal function, which was to inspect into, and superintend, the affairs of the church. It is highly probable, that the church of Jerufalem, grown confiderably numerous and deprived of the ministry of the apostles, who were gone to instruct the other nations, was the first which chose a president or And it is no less probable, that the other churches followed by degrees such a respectable example.

XII. Let none, however, confound the bishops The neture of this primitive and golden period of the church of the epis with those of whom we read in the following ages. It is this For, though they were both distinguished by the farne name, yet they differed extremely, and that in many respects. A bissop, during the first and second century, was a person who had the care of

Paït II.

E R R T. one Christian assembly, which, at that time, was, generally speaking, small enough to be contained in a private houle. In this affembly he acted not to much with the authority of a mafter, as with the zeal and diligence of a faithful /ervant. He instructed the people, performed the several parts of divine worship, attended the fick, and inspected into the circumstances and supplies of the poor. He charged, indeed, the presbyters with the performance of those duties and services. which the multiplicity of his engagements rendered it impossible for him to fulfil; but had not the power to decide or enact any thing without the confent of the prefbyters and people. And, though the episcopal office was both laborious and fingularly dangerous, yet its revenues were extremely small, since the church had no certain income, but depended on the gifts or oblations of the multitude, which were, no doubt, inconfiderable, and were moreover to be divided between the bishops, presbyters, deacons, and poor,

of direction end chareplicopi.

XIII. The power and jurifdiction of the bishops were not long confined to these narrow limits, but foon extended themselves, and that by the following means. The bishops, who lived in the cities, had, either by their own ministry or that of their presbyters, erected new churches in the neighbouring towns and villages. churches, continuing under the infpection and ministry of the bishops, by whose labours and counfels they had been engaged to embrace the gospel, grew imperceptibly into ecclesiastical provinces, which the Greeks afterwards called disceses. But as the bishop of the city could not extend his labours and inspection to all these churches in the country and in the villages, so he appointed certain fuffragans or deputies to govern and to instruct these new societies; and they they were diffinguished by the title of chorepifcapi, C B H T. i. e. country bishops. This order held the mid- PARTILE die rank between bishops and presbyters, being inferior to the former, and superior to the latter.

XIV. The churches, in those early times, Whether were entirely independent; none of them subject meropolis to any foreign jurisdiction, but each one governed time one to by its own rulers and its own laws. For, though the first orathe churches founded by the apostles, had this twoparticular deference shewn them, that they were confulted in difficult and doubtful cases; yet shey had no juridical authority, no fort of supremacy over the others, nor the least right to enact laws for them. Nothing, on the contrary, is more evident than the perfect equality that reigned among the primitive churches; nor does there even appear, in this first century, the smallest trace of that affociation of provincial churches, from which councils and metropolitans derive their origin. It was only in the fecond century that the custom of holding councils commenced in Greece, from whence it foon spread through the other provinces [m].

XV. The principal place among the Chris-The principal tian doctors, and among those also, who by their the apostes writings were instrumental in the progress of the and their truth, is due to the apostles and certain of their disciples, who were set apart and inspired by God, to record the actions of Christ and his apostles.

[m] The meeting of the church of Jerufalem, mentioned in the xvth chapter of the Acts, is commonly confidered as the first Christian council. But this notion arises from a manifett abuse of the word council. That meeting was only of one church; and, if foch a meeting be called a council, it will follow that there were innumerable councils in the primitive times. But every one knows, that a council is an affembly of deputies or commissioners sent from several churches alsotiated by certain bonds in a general body, and therefore the supposition above mentioned falls to the ground.

The

rity, must consult the learned authors who have

part II. The writings of these holy men, which are comprehended in the books of the New Testament, are in the hands of all who profess themselves Christians. Those who are desirous of particular information with respect to the history of these facred books, and the arguments which prove their divine authority, their genuineness, and pu-

written professedly upon that matter [n].

The time when the canon was

XVI. The opinions, or rather the conjectures, of the learned, concerning the time when the books of the New Testament were collected into one volume, as also about the authors of that collection, are extremely different. portant question is attended with great and almost insuperable difficulties to us in these latter times [0]. It is, however, sufficient for us to know, that, before the middle of the fecond cencury, the greatest past of the books of the New Testament were read in every Christian society throughout the world, and received as a divine rule of faith and manners. Hence it appears, that these sacred writings were carefully separated from feveral human compositions upon the same fubject, either by some of the apostles themselves, who lived to long, or by their disciples and fuccessors, who were spread abroad through all nations [p]. We are well affured [q], that the

[4] See FRICKIUS, De cura Veteru Ecclesia circa Canona.

[[]n] For the history of the books of the New Testament, see particularly Jo. Ann. Fannicius, Billioth. Gree. Ib. iv. cap. v. p. 122—227. The same learned author has given an accurate list of the writers, who have defended the divinity of these facred books, in his Delettus Argumentorum et Syllabus Scriptorum pro verst. raing. Christiana, cap. xxxi. p. 502.

^[6] See Jo. Ens, Biblioth. a S. feu Diatriba de librorum N. T. Canone, published at Antiendam in 1710; as also Jo. MILL. Prologemen. ad Nov. Tefl. § 1. p. 23.

^[4] This is empressly affirmed by Euszalus, in the axivita

four gospels were collected during the life of St. C E N T. IOHN, and that the three first received the approx PART IL bation of this divine apollie. And why may we. not suppose that the other books of the New Testament were gathered together at the same time ?

XVII. What renders this highly probable is, Appenrated that the most urgent necessity required its being wrulage, done. For, not long after Christ's afcention into heaven, several histories of his life and doctrines, full of pious frauds and fabulous wonders, were composed, by persons whose intentions, perhaps, were not bad, but whose writings discovered the greatest superstition and ignorance. Nor was this all: productions appeared which were imposed on the world by fraudulent men, as the writings of the holy apostles [r]. These apocryphal and spurious writings must have produced a fad confusion, and rendered both the hillory and the doctrine of Christ uncertain, had not the rulers of the church used all possible care and diligence in feparating the books that were truly apostolical and divine from all that spurious trash, and conveying them down to posterity in one volume.

XVIII. The writer, whose fame surpassed that Clement, of all others in this century, the apostles excepted, Rome, was CLEMENS bishop of Rome. The accounts which remain of his life, actions, and death, are for the most part uncertain [s]. Two Epifles to

[1] After Tillemont, Cotalierus and Ghase bave given fome accounts of this great man. And all that has

[[]r] Such of these writings as are yet extant have been carefully collected by the learned FABRICIUS, in his Codex Apocryphus Nova Teftamenti, which work is published in two volumes. Many ingenious and learned observations have been made on these spurious books by the celebrated BEAUSOBRE, in his Histoire Critique des dogmes de Manichée, live. n. p. 337, &c.

e an T. the Corintbians [1], written in Greek, have been attributed to him, of which the fecond has been looked upon as spurious, and the first as genuine, by many learned writers [u]. But even this latter seems to have been corrupted and interpolated by some ignorant and presumptuous author, who appears to have been displeased at observing a defect of learning and genius in the writings of

fo great a man as CLEMENS [w].

The writings falfely attributed to him. XIX. The learned are now unanimous in regarding the other writings which bear the name of Clemens, viz. the Apostolic Canons, the Apostolic Constitutions, the Recognitions of Clemens and Clementina [x], as spurious productions ascribed by

been faid concerning him by the best and most credible writers, has been collected by RONDININI, in the first of two books published at Rome, in the year 1706, under the following title, Libri duo de S. Clemente, Papa, et Martyre, ejusque Basilica in urbe Roma.

[1] J. A. FABRICIUS, in the vth chapter of the fourth book of his Bibliotheca Graca, mentions the editions that have been given of St. Clement's epifles. To this account we must add the edition published at Cambridge, in 1718, which is preferable to the preceding ones in many respects.

* ** [x] See the ample account that is given of these two Greek epistles of CLEMERS by the learned Dr. LARDNER, in the first volume of the second part of his valuable work, en-

titled, The Gredibility of the Goffel Hiftery, &c. &c.

[av] See J. BAPT. COTELERIS Paires Apoll. tom. i. p. 133. and BRENARDI Administration in Chauseum, in the last edition of these fashers published by LE CLBEC. The learned WOTTON has endeavoured, though without success, in his observations on the epistles of CLEMENS, to resute the annotations above mentioned.

may recken Two Spifles which the learned Wetstein found in a Syriac version of the New Tellament, which he took the pains to translate from Syriac into Lann, and has subjoined both the original and the translation on his famous edition of the Greek Tellament, published at Anglerdam in two volumes in folio, in the years 1751 and 1752. The title prefixed to these epishes is as follows: Dung Epistoum S. Chamesis Romans, Discipali Patri Appleli, quas ex Codici Manuscripto Manus Test. Syriaci mane primum crutas, cum versione Latins adposits

by some impostor to this venerable prelate, in CENT. order to procure them a high degree of autho- PART IL rity [y]. The Apostolical Canons, which consist of exxxv ecclesiastical laws, contain a view of the church government and discipline received among the Greek and Oriental Christians in the second and third century. The viii books of Apoftolical Constitutions are the work of some austere and melancholy author, who, having taken it into his head to reform the Christian worship, which he looked upon as degenerated from its original purity, made no scruple to prefix to his rules the names of the apostles, that thus they might be more speedily and favourably received [z]. The Recognitions of CLEMENS, which differ very little from the CLEMENTINA, are the witty and agreeable production of an Alexandrian Jew, well versed in philosophy. They

adposita edidit Jo. Jacobus Wetsterius. The manuscript of the Syriac version, from whence these epistes were taken, was procured by the good offices of Sir James Poatra, a judicious patron of literature and men of letters, who, at that time, was British ambassador at Constantinople. The authenticity of these epistes is boldly maintained by Wetstein, and learnedly opposed by Dr. Lardber, in a Differentian upon the ravo Epistes ascribed to Clement of Rome, lately published by Mr. Wetstein, &c. The celebrated Professor Published by Mr. Wetstein, &c. The celebrated Professor Century with Wetstein on that subject, in the Bibliothique des Sciences et des Beaux Arts, tom. ii. p. 51. &c. p. 311.

[y] For an account of the face of these writings, and the editions that have been given of them, it will be proper to consult two differentions of the learned ITTIOIUS; the one De Patribus Apostolicis, which he has prefixed to his Bibliothica Patrium Apostolicis, which he has subjoined to the Appendix of his book De Haveforchis and Apostolici. See also Fabricius's Bibliothica Graca, lib. v. cap. i. p. 31, &c., and lib. vi. cap. j. p. 4.

(2) BUDEUS has collected the various opinions of the learned concerning the Applehical Causes and Conflictions, in his Varygeau Theologian, par. II. ch. v. p. 745.

CENT. Were written in the third century, with a delign PART II. to answer, in a new manner, the objections of the Iews, Philosophers, and Gnostics, against the Christian religion; and the careful perusal of them will be extremely useful to such as are curious of information with respect to the state of the Christian church in the primitive times [a].

Ignation, his 6 p of Anescb.

XX. Ignatius, bishop of Antioch, succeeds CLEMENS in the lift of the Apostolic Fathers, among whom were placed fuch Christian doctors as had converfed with the apostles themselves, or their disciples. This pious and venerable man, who was the disciple and familiar friend of the apostles, was, by the order of TRAJAN, brought to Rome, and exposed to wild beafts in the public theatre, where he fuffered martyrdom with the utmost constancy $\{b\}$. There are yet extant feveral epiftles, attributed to him, concerning the authenticity of which there have been, however, tedious and warm disputes among the learned, which still subsist. Of these epistles, seven are said to have been written by this eminent martyr, during his journey from Antioch to Rome; and these the most of learned men acknowledge to be genuine, as they fland in the edition that was published in the last century from a manuscript in the Medicean library. The others are generally rejected as spurious. As to my own fentiments of this matter, though I am willing to adopt this opinion as preferable to any other, yet I cannot help looking upon the

🚮 Son Tabangun's Membires pour favour à l'Histoire de Marije, som ii. par. II. p. 42-80.

[[]a]. See, for a full account of this work, Mosnesm's dif-Sertation. De turbetà per recentiores Platonicos Ecclesia, § 34. p. 174. D This differention is in the first volume of that learned work, which our author published fome years ago under the title of Syntagma Differentionem ad Historian Ecclefiaf-

authenticity of the Epifle to POLYCARP as ex- CENT. tremely dubious, on account of the difference of PARTILL to the epifles of St. IGNATIUS in general, feems to me to labour under much obscurity, and to be embarrassed with many difficulties [c].

XXI. The Epifile to the Philippians, which is Polycarp. afcribed to Polycare bishop of Smyrna, who, in the middle of the second century, suffered martyrdom in a venerable and advanced age, is looked upon by fome as genuine; by others, as fourious; and it is no easy matter to determine this question [d]. The Epiftle of BARNABAS was the pro- Barnabase duction of some Jew, who, most probably, lived in this century, and whose mean abilities and superstitious attachment to Jewish sables shew, notwithstanding the oprightness of his intentions, that he must have been a very different person from the true BARNABAS, who was St. Paul's compamon [e]. The work, which is entitled, The Shepberd of HERMAS, because the angel, who bears the principal part in it, is represented in the form and habit of a shepherd, was composed in the fecond century by HERMAS, who was brother to Pius bishop of Rome [f]. This whimsical and

[c] For an account of this controverly, concerning the genuineness of the epistles of IGNATIUS, it will be proper to consult the Bibliotheca Graca of FARRICIUS, lib. v. cap. i.

p. 38-47.
[d] For an account of this martyr, and of the epittle attributed to him, fee Tillemont's Memores, &c. vol. ii. par. II. p. 287; as also Fabricis Biblioth. Graca, lib. v. cap. i. p. 47.

[e] See Tillamont's Memoires, &c. vol. i. par. III. p. 1043. Ittigues's Select. Heft. Ecclef. Capita, § 1. cap. i. § 14. p. 173. and lib. v. cap. i. § 4. p. 4.

[/] This now appears with the utmost evidence from a very ancient fragment of a small book, concerning the canon of the Holy Scriptures, which the learned Lub. As you. Mu-RATORI published some years ago from an ancient manuscript in the library at Milan, and which is to be found in the library at Milan, and which is to be found in the library. Italicar. medi: evi, tom. iii. diff. zliii. p. 853.

Vol. I. I vitionary

The Internal HISTORY of the CHURCE.

CENT. visionary writer has taken the liberty to invent PART II. feveral dialogues or conversations between God - and the angels, in order to infinuate, in a more easy and agreeable manner, the precepts which he thought useful and falutary, into the minds of his readers. But indeed the discourse, which he puts into the mouths of those celestial beings, is more infipid and fenfelels, than what we commonly hear among the meanest of the multitude [g].

The general ebaracter of fathers.

E14

XXII. We may here remark in general, that the apostulic thefe apostolic fathers, and the other writers, who, in the infancy of the church, employed their pens in the cause of Christianty, were neither remarkable for their learning nor their eloquence. On the contrary, they express the most pious and admirable fentiments in the plainest and most illiterate flyle [b]. This, indeed, is rather a matter of honour than of reproach to the Christian cause; fince we see, from the conversion of a great part of mankind to the gospel by the ministry of weak and illiterate men, that the progress of Christianity is not to be attributed to human means, but to a divine power.

> [g] We are indebted for the best edition of the Shepherd of HERMAS, to FABRICIUS, who has added it to the third volume of his East v Apperyphut N. Teflaments. We find also some account of this writer in the Biblioth. Grava, of the same learned author, book v. chap. ix. § q. p. 7, and also in itticius's

> differtation, De Patribus Apoficites, § 55. p. 184, &c.
> [b] All the writers mentioned in this chapter are usually called apollolic fathers. Of these writers, [o. BAPT. COTELEnius, and after him Lc Cienc, have published a collection in two volumes, accompanied both with their pown annotations and the remarks of other learned men.

CHAP. III.

Concerning the doctrine of the Christian church in shis century.

1. THE whole of the Christian religion is com- The nature prehended in two great points, of which the the charges the first regards what we are to believe, and the religion. other relates to our conduct and actions; or, to expicis the matter more briefly, the gospel presents to us objects of faith and rules of prattice. The former are expressed by the apostles by the term my/tery or the truth; and the latter by that of godlines or piety [1]. The rule and standard of both are those books which contain the Revelation, that God made of his will to perfons chosen for that purpose, whether before or after the birth of And these divine books are usually CHRIST. called The Old and New Tellament.

II. The apostles and their disciples took all Method of possible care, and that in the earliest times of the interpreting church, that thefe facied books might be in the comhands of all Christians, that they might be read. and explained in the affemblies of the faithful, and thus contribute, both in private and in public, to excite and nourish in the minds of Christians a fervent zeal for the truth, and a firm attachment to the ways of piety and virtue. Those who performed the office of interpreters, studied above all things plainness and perspecuity. At the same time it must be acknowleded, that, even in this century, several Christians adopted that absurd and corrupt cultom, used among the Jews, of darkening the plain words of the Holy Scriptures by infipid and forced aflegories, and of drawing them violently from their proper and natural fig-

[r] 1 Tim. iii. g. vi. q. Tit. i. 1.

CENT. nification, in order to extort from them certain PART II. mysterious and hidden significations. For a proof of this, we need go no further than the Epifile of BARNABAS, which is yet extant.

Of teaching religion.

III. The method of teaching the facred doctrines of religion, was, at this time, most simple, far removed from all the fubtile rules of philosophy, and all the precepts of human art. This appears abundantly, not only in the writings of the apostles, but also in all those of the second century, which have furvived the ruins of time. Neither did the apostles, or their disciples, ever think of collecting into a regular lystem the principal doctrines of the Christian religion, or of demonstrating them in a scientific and geometrical order. The beautiful and candid fumplicity of these early ages rendered such philosophical niceties unnecessary; and the great study of those who embraced the gospel was rather to express its divine influence in their difpolitions and actions. than to examine its doctrines with an excessive curiofity, or to explain them by the rules of human wildom.

The Apofties Creed.

IV. There is indeed extant, a brief formmary of the principal doctrines of Christianity in that form, which bears the name of the Apolles Creed, and which from the fourth century downwards, was almost generally considered as a production of the apostles. All, however, who have the least knowledge of antiquity, look upon this opinion as entirely falle and destitute of all soundation [k]. There is much more reason and judgment in the opinion of those, who think that this Creed was not all composed at once, but from small beginnings was imperceptibly augmented in proportion to the

^[4] See Bud Rus's Ifagoge ad Theologiam, lib. i. cap. ii. 2. p. 441; 22 allo WALCHEL Introductio in Librar Symbolicar, hb. i. cap. ii. p. \$7.

growth of herefy, and according to the exigencies C E N T. and circumstances of the church, from whence PART II. it was defigned to banish the errors that daily arole [1].

V. In the earliest times of the church, all who The diffineprofessed firmly to believe that Jesus was the only donbetween Redeemer of the world, and who, in confequence mede and of this profession, promised to live in a manner believers. conformable to the purity of his holy religion, were immediately received among the disciples of CHRIST. This was all the preparation for baptifm then required; and a more accurate instruction in the doctrines of Christianity was to be administered to them after their receiving that sacrament. But when Christianity had acquired more confishence, and churches rose to the true God and his eternal Son almost in every nation, this cultom was changed for the wifelt and most folid reasons. Then none were admitted to baptiss, but fuch as had been previously instructed in the principal points of Christianity, and had also given fatisfactory proofs of pious dispositions and upright intentions. Hence arose the distinction between catechumens, who were in a state of probation, and under the instruction of persons appointed for that purpose; and believers, who were confecrated by baptism, and thus initiated into all the mysteries of the Christian saith.

VI. The methods of instructing the catechu- Theese mens differed according to their various capacities. enumers Those, in whom the natural force of reason was introduction. fmall, were taught no more than the fundamental

[1] This opinion is confirmed in the most learned and ingenious manner by Sir PETER KING, in his Hellory of the Apoller Creed. Such, however, as read this valuable work with pleafure, and with a certain degree of prepostession, would do well to consider, that its learned author, upon several occasions, has given us conjectures instead of proofs, and also that his conjectures are not always to happy, as justly to command our affent.

CENT. principles and truths, which are, as it were, the PART II. basis of Christianity. Those, on the contrary, whom their instructors judged capable of comprehending, in some measure, the whole fistem of divine truth, were furnished with superior degrees of knowledge; and nothing was concealed from them, which could have any tendency to render them firm in their profession, and to affift them in arriving at Christian persection. care of instructing such was committed to persons who were diffinguished by their gravity and wifdom, and also by their learning and judgment. And from hence it comes, that the ancient doctors generally divide their flock into two claffes; the one comprehending fuch as were folidly and thoroughly inflructed; the other, those who were acquainted with little more than the full principles of religion; nor do they deny that the methods of inflruction applied to thefe two forts of persons were extremely different.

The care of the first Christians in the edu-Catte m of

VII. The Christians took all possible care to accustom their children to the study of the scriptures, and to instruct them in the doctrines of their routh, their holy religion; and schools were every where erected for this purpose, even from the very commencement of the Christian church. We must not, however, confound the febools defigned only for children, with the gymnafia, or academies of the ancient Christians, creeted in several large cities, in which perfons of riper years, especially fuch as afpired to be public teachers, were inftructed in the different branches both of human learning and of facred erudition. We may, undoubtedly, attribute to the apostles themselves, and their injunctions to their disciples, the exceltent establishments, in which the youth destined to the holy ministry received an education suitable to the soleton office they were to undertake [m],

St. John erected a school of this kind at Epbesus, CENT. and one of the same nature was founded by Poly- PART II. CARP at Smyrna [n]. But none of these were in a greater repute than that which was established at Alexandria [0], which was commonly called the catechetical school, and is generally supposed to have been erected by St. MARK [p]:

VIII. The ancient Christians are supposed by The ferret many to have had a fecret dostrine; and if by this what it copbe meant, that they did not teach all in the same filted. manner, or reveal all at once, and to all indiferiminately, the fublime mysteries of religion, there is nothing in this that may not be fully justified. It would have been improper, for example, to propose to those, who were yet to be converted to Christianity, the more difficult doctrines of the gospel, which surpass the comprehension of imperfect mortals. Such were, therefore, first inftructed in those points which are more obvious and plain, until they became capable of higher and more difficult attainments in religious knowledge. Nay, more; even those who were already admitted into the fociety of Christians, were, in

[n] IRENAUS, adv. Haref. lib. ii. cap. xxii. p. 148. ed.

[p] See the differtation of SCHMIDIUS, De Schola Catechetica Alexandrina; as also Aulistus, Delle Scuole Sacre, book ii. ch. i. ii. p. 5-17. and ch. xxi. p. 92. The curious reader will find a learned account of the more famous Christian ichools in the eastern parts, at Edeffa, Nifibis, and Seleucia, and, indeed, of the ancient schools in general, in Assama-Nus's Biblioth. Oriental. Clement. Vaticane, tom. iii. par. 11.

P. 914-919.

Mulliuet. Eusenius. Hift. Ecclef. lib. v. cap. xx. p. 188. tion of learned doctors, as we find by the accounts of Euse-BIUS and St. JEROM; for, after St. MARK, PARTMENUS, CLEMENS ALEXANDRINUS, ORIGER, and many others, taught in it the doctrines of the gospel, and rendered it a famous feminary for Christian philosophy and religious knowledge. There were also at Rune, Antioch, Cafarea, Edeffa, and in feveral other places, schools of the same nature, though not all of equal reputation.

CENT. point of instruction, differently dealt with accord-FART II. ing to their respective capacities confider the fecret dostrine of this century in any other light, or give to it a greater extent than what we have here attributed to it, confound the superstitious practices of the following ages, with the simplicity of the discipline which prevailed at the time of which we write $\lceil q \rceil$.

The lives and manmars of the fire Christians.

IX. The lives and manners of the Christians in this century are highly celebrated by most authors, and recommended to succeeding generations as unspotted models of piety and virtue. And if these encomiums be confined to the greatest part of those, who embraced Christianity in the infancy of the church, they are certainly diftributed with justice. But many run into extremes upon this head, and estimating the lives and manners of all by the illustrious examples of fome eminent faints, or the fublime precepts and exhortations of certain pious doctors, fondly imagine that every appearance of vice and diforder was banished from the first Christian societies. The greatest part of those authors, who have written concerning the innocence and fancitity of the primitive Christians, have fallen into this agreeable error. And a gross error indeed it is, as the strongest testimonies too evidently prove.

Premme-Micalium.

X. One of the circumstances which contributed chiefly to preferve, at leaft, an external appearance of fanctity in the Christian church, was the right of excluding from thence, and from all participation of the facred rites and ordinances of the gospel, such as had been guilty of enormous transgressions, and to whom repeated exhortations to repentance and amendment had been admini-

^[4] May learned observations upon the facest discipline have been collected by the celebrated Chaistoph. MATT. PPAFrivs, in his Differe, poster, de Prajudiciis Theolog. 🛊 13. p. 149, he. in Primitili Tubingenfibus. flered

ftered in vain. This right was vefted in the CEWT. church, from the earliest period of its existence, PART by the apostles themselves, and was exercised by each Christian affembly upon its respective members. The rulers or doctors denounced the perfons whom they thought unworthy of the privileges of church-communion, and the people, freely approving or rejecting their judgment, pionounced the decifive fentence. It was not. however, irrevocable; for fuch as gave undoubted figns of their fincere repentance, and declared their folemn refolutions of future reformation, were re-admitted into the church, however enormous their crimes had been; but, in case of a relaple, their fecond exclusion became absolutely irreversible [r].

XI. It will eafily be imagined, that unity and Controverpeace could not reign long in the church, fince it Chimines. was composed of Jews and Gentiles, who regarded each other with the bitterest aversion. Besides, as the converts to Christianity could not extirpate radically the prejudices which had been formed in their minds by education, and confirmed by time, they brought with them into the bosom of the church more or less of the errors of their former religions. Thus the feeds of discord and controverfy were early fown, and could not fail to fpring up foon into animolities and diffensions, which accordingly broke out and divided the church. The first of these controversies, which was set on foot in the church of Antioch, regarded the neceffity of observing the law of Moses, and its issue is mentioned by St. Luke in The Asts of the Apostles [s]. This controverly was followed by many others, either with the Jews, who were violently attached to the worthip of their ancel-

[[]r] See Mortuus, Comm. de Difciplina Paraitentia, lib. ix. **ca**p. xix. p. 670. [1] Chap. xv.

C E N T. tors, or with the votaries of a wild and fanatical PARTIL fort of philosophy, or with fuch as, mistaking the true genius of the Christian religion, abused it monstrously, to the encouragement of their vices, and the indulgence of their appetites and passions [1]. St. Paul and the other Apostles have, in feveral places of their writings, mentioned these controversies, but with such brevity. that it is difficult, at this distance of time, to come at the true state of the question in these various difoutes.

Controves Ly mbout the . sems of acceptance and Calvation.

XII. The most weighty and important of all these controversies was that, which certain Jewish doctors raised at Rome, and in other Christian churches, concerning the means of justification and acceptance with, God, and the method of falvation pointed out in the word of God. apostles, wherever they exercised their ministry, had constantly declared all hopes of acceptance and falvation delutive, except fuch as were founded on Jasus the Redeemer, and his all-fufficient merits, while the Jewish doctors maintained the works of the law to be the true efficient cause of the foul's eternal falvation and felicity. This latter fentiment not only led to many other errors extremely prejudicial to Christianity, but was also injurious to the glory of the divine Saviour. those who looked upon a course of life conformable to the law, as a meritorious title to eternal happinefs, could not confider Christ as the fon of God, and the Saviour of mankind, but only as an eminent prophet, or a divine messenger sent from above to enlighten and instruct a darkened world. It is not, therefore, furprising, that St. PAUL took formuch pains in his Epiftle to the Re-

^[1] See, for an illustration of these points, Witsius's Miscettanea Sacra, tom. ii. Exercit. xx, xxi, xxii. p. 668. As also Camp. Vitainga, Objeto. Saire, lib. iv. cap. ix, x, xi, P. 952.

mans, and in his other writings, to extirpate such c E N T.

a pernicious and capital error.

PART II.

XIII. The controverly that had been raised concerning the necessity of observing the ceremo- Judicina mes of the Molaic law, was determined by the apothes in the wifelt and most prudent manper [u]. Their authority, however, respectable as it was, had not its full effect. For the prejudices, which the Jews, especially those who lived in Palestine, entertained in favour of the Mosaic law, and their ancient worship, were so deeply rooted in their minds, that they could not be thoroughly removed. The force of these prejudices was indeed formewhat diminished after the destruction of Jerufalem, and the ruin of the temple, but not entirely defluoyed. And hence, as we shall see in its place, a part of the judaizing Chridians separated themselves from the rest, and formed a particular feet, diftinguished by their adherence to the law of Mosts.

CHAP. IV.

Concerning the rites and ceremonies used in the church during this century.

I. THE Christian religion was singularly com- Bootism and mendable on account of its beautiful and the Lord's divine simplicity, which appears from the two stood by great and fundamental principles on which it was CHOIST. built, viz. faith-und charity. This function was not, however, incompatible with certain external rights, and politive inflitutions, which, indeed, are necessary, in this imperfect state, to keen alive a fense of religion in the minds of men. The rites instituted by CHRIST himself were only

C E W T. two in number, and these designed to continue to PART II. the end of the church here below, without any variation. These rites were baptism and the boly Supper, which are not to be confidered as mere ceremonies, nor yet as symbolic representations only, but also as ordinances accompanied with a fanctifying influence upon the heart and the affections of true Christians. And we cannot help observing here, that, since the divine Saviour thought fit to appoint no more than two plain institutions in his church, this shews us that a number of ceremonies is not effential to his religion, and that he left it to the free and prudent choice of Christians to establish such rites as the circumstances of the times, or the exigencies of the church, might require.

Riter inftituted by the spolles.

II. There are several circumstances which incline us to think that the friends and apostles of our bleffed Lord, either tolerated through neceffity, or appointed for wife reasons, many other external rites in various places. At the fame time we are not to imagine that they ever conferred upon any person a perpetual, indelible, pontifical authority, or that they enjoined the fame rites in all churches. We learn, on the contrary, from authentic records, that the Christian worship was, from the beginning, celebrated in a different manner in different places, and that, no doubt, by the orders, or at least with the approbation, of the apostles and their disciples. In these early times it was both wife and necessary, to thew, in the establishment of outward forms of worship, some indulgence to the ancient opinions, manners, and laws, of the respective nations to whom the gofpel was preached.

The Jewith elus retain-

III. From hence it follows, that the opinion diskured of those who maintain that the Jewish rites were adopted every where, in the Christian churches, by order of the apollies, or their disciples, is deftitute of all foundation. In those Christian so- CBNT. cieties, which were totally or principally com- PART HE posed of Jewish converts, it was natural to retain as much of the Jewish ritual as the genius of Christianity would suffer, and a multitude of examples teftify that this was actually done. that the fame translation of Jewish rites should take place in Christian churches, where there were no Jews, or a very imall and inconfiderable number, is utterly incredible, because such an event was morally impossible. In a word, the external forms of worship used in the times of old, must neceffarily have been regulated and modified according to the character, genius, and manners, of the different nations on which the light of the gospel arose.

IV. Since then there was Tuch a variety in the Publicatritual and discipline of the primitive churches, it Christians, must be very difficult to give such an account of the worship, manners, and institutions, of the ancient Christians, as will agree with what was practifed in all those countries where the gospel flourished. There are, notwithstanding, certain laws, whose authority and obligation were universal and indispensable among all Christians, and of these we shall here give a brief account. All Christians were unanimous in setting apart the first day of the week, on which the triumphant Saviour arose from the dead, for the solemn celebration of public worthip. This pious custom, which was derived from the example of the church of Jerufalem, was founded upon the express appointment of the apostles, who consecrated that day to the fame facred purpole, and was observed universally throughout all the Christian churches, as appears from the united testimonies of the most credible writers [10]. The feventh day of the week was

[40] PHIL. JAC. HARTMANKUS, De robus geffis Christians. "um jub Apoftelis, cap. av. p. 387. Just. Hann. Bonman, Differt.

C B N T. also observed as a sestival [x], not by the Christ-PART II. ians in general, but by fuch churches only as were principally composed of Jewish converts, nor did the other Christians censure this custom as criminal and unlawful. It appears, moreover, that all the Christian churches observed two great anniversary festivals; the one in memory of Christ's glorious refurrection; and the other to commemorate the defcent of the Holy Ghost upon the apostles [y]. To these we may add the days on which the bleffed martyrs laid down their lives for the truth, which days were probably dignified with particular folemnities and marks of veneration from the earliest times.

> V. The places in which the first Christians asfembled to celebrate divine worthip, were, no doubt, the houses of private persons. But in procels of time it became necessary, that these facted affemblies should be confined to one fixed place,

> Differt. i. Juris Ecclif. Antique de fluto die Christianer. p. 20.

[1] STIPH. CURCILLIUS, Diatrila de eju Sanginais, Oficrum I beolog. p. 958. GAB. ALBASPINAUS, Obfirvat. Eccles. lib. i. Objero. xin. p. 51. It is in vain that many learned men have laboured to prove, that in all the primitive churches, both the first and last day of the week were observed as festivals. The churches of Bethana, of which PLINY speaks in his letter to TRAJAN, had only one stated day, for the celebration of public worthip; and that was, undoubtedly, the first day of the week, or what we call the Lord's Day.

[y] There are, it is true, learned men, who look upon it as a doubtful matter, whether or no the day of Pentecoft was celebrated as a feftival to early as the first century. See Bing-HAM's Antiquities of the Christian Church, book xx. ch. vi. p. 120. But notwithstanding this, there are many weighty reasons for believing that fellival as ancient as that of Easter, which was celebrated, as all agree, from the very first rise of the church. It is also probable, that Friday, the day of CHREST's crucifixion, was early diffinguished by particular honours from the other days of the week. See Jac. Gopo-PRED, in Codicem Theodofi, tom. i. p. 138. Asseman. Biblimb. Oricatal. Vatican. tom. i. p. 217. 237. MARTERE, Thefaur. Anecdot. tom. v. p. 66.

in

in which the books, tables, and defks, required CENT. in divine service, might be constantly kept, and PART II. the dangers avoided, which, in those perilous times, attended their transportation from one place to another. And then, probably, the places of meeting, that had formerly belonged to private persons, became the property of the whole Christian community [z]. These sew remarks are, in my opinion, fufficient to determine that question. which has been fo long and fo tedioufly debated, viz. whether the first Christians had churches, or not [a]? Since if any are pleased to give the name of a church to a house, or the part of a house, which, though appointed as the place of religious worship, was neither separated from common use, nor confidered as holy in the opinion of the people, it will be readily granted that the most ancient Christians had churches.

VI. In these assemblies the holy scriptures were The man publicly read, and for that purpose were divided ner of coainto certain portions or lessons. This part of di- public worvine service was followed by a brief exhortation assemblies. to the people, in which eloquence and art gave place to the natural and fervent expression of zeal and charity. If any declared themselves extraordinarily animated by the Holy Spirit, they were permitted to explain fuccessively the divine will, while the other prophets who were prefent, decided how much weight and authority was to be attributed to what they faid [b]. The prayers, which made a confiderable part of the public worthip, came in at the conclution of these discourses,

[2] See Camp. Vitringa, De fragoga weine, lib. i.

par. III. cap. i. p. 432. [a] See Blondel, De Episcopis et Presbyteris, 3 3. p. 216. 243. 246. Just. Henn. Bonmer, Deffert. ii. Juris Erclef. Antiqui, de Antelucanis Christianorum Catibus, § 4. p. 39. BINGHAM's Antiquities of the Christian Church, book vin. ch.i. § 3, 4, 5, 6. [6] 1 Car. xiv. 6.

C & N T. and were repeated by the people after the biffigo or PART II. prefbyter, who prefided in the fervice [c]. To thefe were added certain hymns, which were fung, not by the whole affembly, but by persons appointed for that purpose, during the celebration of the Lord's supper, and the feasts of charity. Such were the effential parts of divine worship, which were observed in all Christian churches, though perhaps the method and order, in which they were performed, were not the same in all $\lceil d \rceil$.

The Loid's fopper, and gbashy,

VII. The prayers of the first Christians were the feestern followed by oblations of bread, wine, and other things; and hence both the ministers of the church, and the poor, derived their subsistence. Every Christian, who was in an opulent condition, and indeed every one, according to their circumstances, brought with them their gifts, and offered them, as it were, unto the Lord [e]. Of the bread and wine, prefented in these offerings, fuch a quantity was separated from the rest, as was required in the administration of the Lord's fupper; this was confecrated by certain prayers pronounced by the bishop alone, to which the people affented by faying Amen [f]. The holy supper was distributed by the deacens; and this facred institution was followed by sober repasts, which, from the excellent end they were defigned

> [c] See Justin Martyr, his fecond Apology, p. 98, &c. [d] This must be understood of churches well established, and regulated by fixed and certain laws. For in the first Christian assemblies, which were yet in an impersect and fluctuating state, one or other of these circumstances of divine worship may possibly have been omitted.

> [] See the differnations of the venerable and learned PFAFF. De oblatione et consecratione Eucharifica, which are contained in his Syntagma Differention. Theologic, published at Stutgard, in 8vo. in the year \$720.

> [f] Justin Marty a Apologia fecunda, p. 98. The feveand anthors who have written concerning the manner of celebrating the Lord's supper, are mentioned by Jo. Alb. Fabricius, in Ma Bibliegraph. Antiquar. cap. ri. p. 395, &c.

to promote, were called agapa, or feafis of cha. C I N T. rity [g]. Many accompts have been made to fix PARTIL precisely the nature of these social seasts. But here it must be again considered, that the rites and customs of the primitive Christians were very different in different countries, and that confequently these feasts, like other institutions, were not every where celebrated in the fame manner. This is the true and only way of explaining all the difficulties that can arife upon this jubject.

VIII. The factament of baptifm was admini- negtionstered in this century, without the public affemblies, in places appointed and prepared for that purpole, and was performed by immersion of the whole body in the baptismal font [b]. At first it was usual for all who laboured in the propagation of the gospel, to be present at that foleran ceremony; and it was also customary, that the converts should be baptized and received into the church by those under whose ministry they had embraced the Christian doctrine. But this custom was foon changed. When the Christian churches were well established and governed by a system of fixed laws, then the right of baptizing the Christian converts was veited in the bithop alone. This right, indeed, he conferred upon the presbyters and chorepifcopi, or country bishops, when the bounds of the church were still further enlarged, referving, however, to himself, the confirmation of the baptism, which was administered by a presby-

[g] The authors who have written concerning the Agapa, or Feafts of charry, are mentioned by ITTIGIUS, in his Selecta Historia Eccles. Capita, Sæc. ii. cap. iii. p. 180. and also by

^[6] See the learned differtation of Jo. GERARD VOSATUS concerning baptifus, Diff. i. Thy. vi. p. 31, Arc. The reader will also find in the with chapter and xxvet fection of the Bibliogr. Astiquer. of the celebrated PARRICIVE, In account of the authors who have written upon this fubject.

C B N T. ter [i]. There were, doubtless, several circum. PART II. Stantial rites and ceremonies observed, in the administration of this facrament, for the take of order and decency. Of these, however, it is not easy, nor, perhaps, possible, to give a certain or fatisfactory account; fince, upon this subject, we are too much exposed to the illusion, which arises from confounding the customs of the primitive times with those of succeeding ages.

The fick encinted.

IX. Those who were visited with violent or dangerous disorders, sentumeccording to the apostle's direction [k], for the rulers of the church, and, after confessing their fins, were recommended by them to the divine mercy in prayers full of piety and fervour, and were also anointed with oil. This rite has occasioned many debates, and, indeed, they must be endless, since the filence of the ancient writers, upon that head, renders it impossible to decide the matter with any The anointing the fick is degree of certainty. very rarely mentioned in the ancient records of the church, though there is no reason to doubt of its having been an univerfal custom among Christians [7].

Fulling intraduced.

X. Neither CHRIST nor his apostles enacted any law concerning fasting. A custom, however, prevailed among many Christians of joining abiti-

^[1] These observations will illustrate and perhaps decide the question, concerning the right of administering haptism, which has been to long debated among the learned, and with such ardour and vehemence. See BOHMER, Differt. xi. Juru Ecclef. p. 500, as also Le Clerc Biblioth. Universelle et Historique, tom. iv. p. 93.

[[]A] James, v. 14-[f] The accounts which the ancient authors have given of this cuttom, are, the most of them, collected in a treasse published by Launoius, De focramentis unitimis infirmerum, cap. i. p. 444. in the first volume of his works. Among thefe accounts there are very few drawn from the writers of the first ages, and forme passages applicable to this subject have been omitted by that learned author.

nence with their prayers, especially when they CENT. were engaged in affairs of extraordinary moment PART IL and importance [m]. As this custom was authorized by no public law, the time that was to be employed in these acts of abstinence was left to every one's private judgment, nor were those looked upon as criminal, who contented themfelves with observing the rules of a strict temperance, without going any further [*]. In the most ancient times we find no mention of any public and folern fasts, except upon the anniversary of CHRIST'S crucifixion. But, in process of time, days of fasting were gradually introduced, first by cultom, and afterwards by positive appointment; though it is not certain what those days were, nor whether they were observed in the first century. Those, notwithstanding, who affirm that, in the time of the aposties, or foon after, the fourth and fixth days of the week were observed as sasts, are not, it must be acknowledged, destitute of specious arguments in favour of their opinion [0].

CHAP. V.

Concerning the divisions and berefies which troubled the church during this century.

I. THE Christian church was scarcely formed, Sectioned when, in different places, there started up the time of certain pretended reformers, who, not farisfied the species, with the fimplicity of that religion which was taught by the aposthes, meditated changes of doc-

[#] 1 Cor. vii. 5. [n] See the Shepberd of Hormas, book iii. Similitud. v. p. 031..

^{935.} edition of PARRICIUS.
[9] See BRURRS OR'S Vinification of the Canas, in the focund volume of his edition of the Applets Fathers, p 166. trine

CENT. trine and worship, and set up a new religion PARTIL drawn from their own licentious imaginations. This we learn from the writings of the apostles, and particularly from the epithles of St. PAUL, where we find that fome were for forcing the doctrines of Christianity into a conformity with the philosophical systems they had adopted [p], while others were as studious to blend with these doctrines the opinions, customs, and traditions, of the Jews. Several of these are mentioned by the apostles, such as Hymenæus, Alexander, Phi-LETES, HERMOGENES, DEMAS, and DIOTREPHES; though the four last are rather to be considered as apostates from the truth, than as corrupters of it [g].

wirts bear imperce pribly.

11. The influence of thefe new teachers was but inconfiderable at first. During the lives of the apostles, their attempts towards the perversion of Christianity were attended with little success, and the number of their followers was exceeding fmall. They, however, acquired credit and strength by degrees; and even, from the first dawn of the gofpel, laid, imperceptibly, the foundations of those fects, whose animolities and disputes produced afterwards fuch trouble and perplexity in the Christian church. The true state of these divifions is more involved in darkness than any other part of ecclefiastical history; and this obscurity proceeds partly from the want of ancient records, partly from the abstruce and unintelligible nature of the doctrines that diffinguished these various fects; and, finally, from the ignorance and prejudices of those, who have transmitted to us the ac-

[[]p] 1 Tim. yi. 20. 1 Tim. i. 3,4. Tit. iii. 9. Col. ii. 8. [4] 2 Tim. ii. 18. and in other places. See also the accurate accounts given of these men by VITRINGA, Observ. Sacr. lib: iv. cap. ix. p. 952. ITTTOIUS, Dr borefierebis and Apollol. § 1. cap. viii. p. 84. Buddaws, De Beelgie Apolloièm, cap. v. p. 292, érc.

counts of them, which are yet extant. Of one to B N T. thing indeed we are certain, and that is, that the PART H. most of these doctrines were chimerical and extravagant in the highest degree; and so far from containing any thing that could recommend them to a lover of truth, that they rather deferve to occupy a place in the history of human delusion and folly [r].

III. Among the various feets that troubled the The #40 of tranquility of the Christian church, the leading the Goodice, one was that of the Gnostics. These enthusiastic and felf-fufficient philosophers boafted of their being able to reftore mankind to the knowledge (gnosis) of the true and supreme Being, which had been lost in the world. They also foretold the approaching defeat of the evil principle, to whom they attributed the creation of this globe, and declared, in the most pompous terms, the destruction of his affociates, and the rum of his empire. An opinion has prevailed, derived from the authority of CLEMENS the Alexandrian, that' the fiell rife of the Gnostic sect is to be dated after the death of the apostles, and placed under the reign of the emperor ADRIAN; and it is also al-

[r] Certain authors have written professedly concerning the fects that divided the church in this and the following century, such as ITTIGIUS in his treatise, De bærefiarchis eus Apostolici et Apoptolico proximi, printed at Lupfick in 1600, and also in the Appendix to the fame work, published in 1696. Rexa-TUS MASSUET, in his Differentions prefixed to IR an Rus, and TILLEMONT, in his Memoires pour fervir à l'Histoire de l'Egliste. But these authors, and others whom we shall not mention, have rather collected the materials, from which an history of the ancient feels may be composed, than written their hillory. Hincrelman, Thomseivs, Dodwall, Horaius, and BASHAGE, have some of them promised, others of them attempted, such a history; but none of them have finished this useful delign. It is therefore to be wifted, that some eminent writer, who, with a competent knowledge of ancient philosophy and literature, is also possessed of a penetrating and unbiasted judgment, would undertake this difficult, but interesting, work.

C E N T. leged, that, before this time, the church enjoyed PART II. a perfect tranquillity, undiffurbed by diffentions or feets of any kind. But the smallest degree of attention to the language of the Holy Scriptures, not to mention the authority of other ancient records, will prevent our adopting this groundless notion. For, from feveral passages of the facred writings [s], it evidently appears, that, even in the first century, the general meeting of Christians was deferted, and separate affemblies formed in several places, by persons insected with the Gnostic herefy; though, at the same time, it must be acknowledged, that this pernicious feet was not conspicuous, either for its number or its reputation, before the time of ADRIAN. It is proper just to observe here, that under the general appellation of Gnostics are comprehended all those who, in the first ages of Christianity, corrupted the doctrine of the gospel by a profane mixture of the tenets of the oriental philosophy (concerning the origin of evil and the creation of the world) with its divine truths.

Sprang from the oriental philosophy,

IV. It was from this oriental philosophy, of which the leading principles have been already mentioned, that the Christian Gnostics derived their origin. If it was one of the chief teners of this philosophy, that rational souls were imprifoned in corrupt matter, contrary to the will of the Supreme Deity; there were however, in this fame fystem, other doctrines which promised a deliverance from this deplorable state of servitude and darkness. The oriental sages expected the atrival of an extraordinary messenger of the Most High upon earth; a messenger invested with a divine authority, endowed with the most eminent fanotity and wifdom, and peculiarly appointed to enlighten, with the knowledge of the Supreme

[[]s] i John ii. 18. i Tim. vi. sc. Col. ii. 8.

Being, the darkened minds of miferable mortals, C X H T. and to deliver them from the chains of the ty- PART IL rants and usurpers of this world. When, therefore, some of these philosophers perceived that CHRIST and his followers wrought miracles of the most amazing kind, and also of the most salutary nature to mankind, they were easily induced to believe that he was the great mellenger expected from above, to deliver men from the power of the malignant genii, or spirits, to which, according to their doctrine, the world was subjected, and to free their fouls from the dominion of corrupt matter. This supposition once admitted, they interpreted, or rather corrupted, all the precepts and doctrines of CHRIST and his apostles, in fuch a manner, as to reconcile-them with their own pernicious tenets.

V. From the false principle above mentioned economic arofe, as it was but natural to expect, a multitude elous upon of fentiments and notions most remote from the communications tenor of the gospel doctrines, and the nature of the terip its precepts. The Gnostic doctrine, concerning wher make the creation of the world by one or more inferior beings of an evil, or, at least, of an imperfect nature, led that feet to deny the divine authority of the books of the Old Testament, whose accounts of the origin of things to palpably contradicted this idle fiction. Through a frantic averfion to these sacred books, they lavished their encomiums upon the ferpent, the first author of fin, and held in veneration fome of the most impious and profligate persons, of whom mention is made in facred history. The pernicious influence of their fundamental principle carried them to all forts of extravagance, filled them with an abhorrence of Moses and the religion he taught, and made them affert, that, in impoling such a system of difagreeable and fevere laws upon the Jows, he was only actuated by the malignant author of this K 4

C.E N T. world, who confulted his own glory and autho-PARTH, rity, and not the real advantage of men. Their - persuasion that evil resided in matter, as its center and fource, prevented their treating the body with that regard that is due to it, rendered them unfavourable to wedlock, as the means by which corporeal beings are multiplied, and led them to reject the doctrine of the refurrection of the body, and its future re-union with the immortal fortic. Their notion, that malevolent genii prefided in nature, and that from them proceeded all difeases and calamities, wars and defolations, induced them to apply themselves to the study of magic, to weaken the powers, or suspend the influences, of these malignant agents. I omit the mention of several other extravagancies in their system, the enumeration of which would be incompatible with the character of a compendious history.

Their oriminns con-C: PRING Cptiet.

. VI. The notions of this feet concerning Jasus Christ were impious and extravagant. though they confidered him as the Son of the Supieme God fent from the pleroma, or habitation of the Everlalling Father, for the happiness of miserable mortals; yet they entertained unworthy ideas both of his person and offices. They denied his deity, looking upon him as the Son of God, and confequently inferior to the Father; and they rejected his humanity, upon the supposition that every thing concrete and corporeal is in itself efsentially and intrinsically evil. From hence the greatest part of the Gnostics denied that CHRIST was cluthed with a real body, or that he suffered really, for the lake of mankind, the pains and forrows which he is faid to have fulfained, in the facred history. They maintained that he came to mortals with no other view, than to deprive the tyrants of this world of their influence upon virtuous and heaven-born fouls, and, destroying the empire of their wicked spirits, to teach man-

kind, how they might separate the divine mind Can a. from the impure body, and render the former pantil worthy of being united to the Father of Spirits.

VII. Their doctrine relating to morals and Their moral practice was of two kinds, and those extremely different from each other. The greatest part of this fect adopted rules of life that were full of autherity, recommended a strict and rigorous abslinence, and prescribed the most severe bodily mortifications, from a notion that they had a happy influence in purifying and enlarging the mind, and in disposing it for the contemplation of celestial things. As they looked upon it to be the unhappiness of the foul to have been affociated, at all, to a malignant, terrestrial, body; so they imagined, that the more that body was extenuated, the less it would corrupt and degrade the mind, or divert it from pursuits of a spiritual and divine nature: all the Gnostics, however, were not so fevere in their moral discipline. Some maintained that there was no moral difference in human actions; and thus, confounding right with wrong, they gave a loofe rein to all the passions, and afferted the innocence of following blindly all their motions, and of living by their tumpltuous dictates [1]. There is nothing furprising or unaccountable in this difference between the Gnottic moralits. For, when we examine the matter with attention, we 'shall find that the fame doctrine may very naturally have given rife to thefe opposite sentiments. As they all in general confidered the body as the center and fource of evil those of that feet, who were of a snorose and auftere disposition, second be hence naturally led to mortify and combat the body as the enemy of the foul; and those who were of a voluptuous, com-

^[1] Bee Clemens Alexandrenya, Strongton, 46. 🕰 CAP. v. p. 529. edit. Potter. might

To might also consider the actions of the body, as PARTH, having no relation, either of congruity or incongruity, to the state of a soul in communion with God.

How their doffrince mate labported.

VIII. Such extraordinary doctrines had certainly need of an undoubted authority to support them; and as this authority was not to be found in the writings of the evangelists or apostles, recourse was had to fables and stratagents. the Gnostics were challenged to produce the fources from whence they had drawn fuch strange tenets, and an authority proper to justify the confidence with which they taught them; fome referred to fictitious writings of Abraham, Zoro-ASTER, CHRIST, and his apostles; others boasted of their having drawn these opinions from certain fecret doctrines of Christ, which were not expoled to vulgar eyes; others affirmed, that they had arrived at these sublime degrees of wisdom by an innate force and vigour of mind; and others afferted, that they were instructed in these mysterious parts of theological fcience by Theudas, a disciple of St. PAUL, and by MATTHIAS, one of the friends of our Lord. As to those among the Gnatics, who did not utterly reject the books of the New Testament, it is proper to observe, that they not only interpreted those facred books most abfurdly, by neglecting the true spirit of the words and the intention of the writers, but also corrupted them, in the most perfidious manner, by curtailing and adding, in order to remove what was unfayourable, or to produce formething conformable, to their pernicious and extravagant system.

IX. It has been already observed, that the Gnoflics were divided in their opinions before they embraced Christianicy. This appears from the account which has been given above of the oriental philosophy; and from hence we may see the reafon, why they were formed into fo many different

લ્લિક

fects after their receiving the Christian faith. For, CENT. as every one endeavoured to force the doctrines of Pakt IL the gospel into a conformity with their particular fentiments and tenets, fo Christianity must have appeared in different forms, among the different members of a fect, which passed, however, under one general name. Another circumstance which also contributed to the diversity of sects among this people was, that some being Jews by birth, (as Cerinthus and others,) could not so easily affume that contempt of Moses, and that aversion to his history, which were so virulently indulged by those who had no attachment to the Jewish nation, nor to its religious institutions. We obferve, in the last place, that the whole religious and philosophical system of the Gnostics was destitute of any fure or folid foundation, and depended. both for its existence and support, upon the airy fuggestions of genius and fancy. This consideration alone is a sufficient key to explain the divifions that reigned in this feet; fince uniformity can never subsist, with affurance, but upon the basis of evident and substantial truth; and variety must naturally introduce itself into those systems and institutions, which are formed and conducted by the fole powers of invention and fancy.

X. As then the Christian religion was, in its Dollhous, first rife, corrupted in several places by the mixture of an impious and chimerical philosophy with its pure and sublime doctrines, it will be proper to mention here the heads of those sects, who, in the first century, cast a cloud upon the lustre of the rifing church. Among thefe, many give the first place to Dosstheus, a Samaritan. It is certain, that about the time of our Saviour, a man. fo named, lived among the Samaritans, and abandoned that fed; but all the accounts we have of him' tend to shew, that he is improperly placed among those called Heretics, and should rather be ranked

BENT ranked among the enemies of Christianity. For PART II. this delirious man fet himfelf up for the MESSIAH. whom God had promifed to the Jews, and difowning, of consequence, the divine mission of CHRIST, could not be said to corrupt his doctrine [#].

Comon Ma. BELL C.

XI. The same observation holds true with reprofession feet to Simon Magus. This impious man is not to be ranked among the number of those, who corrupted, with their errors, the purity and fimplicity of the Christian doctrine; nor is he to be confidered as the parent and chief of the heretical tribe, in which point of light he has been injudictiously viewed by almost all ancient and modern writers. He is rather to be placed in the number of those who were enemies to the progress and advancement of Christianity. For it is manifest from all the records we have concerning him, that, after his defection from the Christians, he retained not the least attachment to CHRIST, but opposed himself openly to the divine Saviour, and affurned to himself blasphemously the title of the supreme power of God [w].

Mis biftery

XII. The accounts, which ancient writers give us of Simon the magician, and of his opinions, feem to different, and indeed to inconfiltent with each other, that certain learned men have confidered them as regarding two different persons, bearing the name of Simon; the one a magician, and an apostate from Christianity; the other a Gnostic philosopher. This opinion, which suppoles a fact without any other proof than a feeming difference in the narration of the ancient historishs, ought not to be too lightly adopted. To depart from the authority of ancient writers in

this

[[]a] Soe Basuade, Hiftaire des Juife, lib. u. cap. xili." Recn. Bruen, Craique de la Biblioibrque des Auteure Eccleffe affigues de Da Pia, tom. iii. cap. xiii. p. 304-[w] Origen adv. Coljun, lib. v. p. 272. edit. Spenceri.

this matter is by no means prudent; nor is it ne- CENT. ceffary to reconcile the different accounts already mentioned, whose inconsistency is not real, but apparent only. Simon was, by birth, a Samazi, tan, or a Jew: when he had studied philosophy at Alexandria [x], he made a public profession of magic, (which was nothing very uncommon at that time,) and perfushed the Samaritans, by fictitious miracles, that he had received from God the power of commanding and restraining those evil beings by which mankind were tormented [y]. Having feen the miracles which PHILIP wrought, by a divine power, he joined himself to this apollle, and embraced the doctrine of Christ, but with no other defign than to receive the power of working miracles, in order to promote a low interest, and to preserve and increase his impious authority over the minds of men. Then St. Pe-TER pointed out to him folemnly the implety of his intentions, and the vanity of his hopes, in that fevere discourse recorded in the vnith chapter of the Alls of the Apostles; then the vile impostor not only returned to his former ways by an entire defection from the Christians, but also opposed, wherever he came, the progress of the gospel, and even travelled into different countries with that odious defign. Many things are recorded of this impostor, of his tragical end, and of the statue erected to him at Rome, which the greatest part of the learned reject as fabulous. They are at least uncertain, and destitute of all probability [2]. XIII.

[x] Clementina Hemil. ii. p. 633. tom. ii. PP. Apoft. [y] Acts viii. 9, ro.

^[2] See BRAUSORRE, Histoire des Manich. p. 203. 395. Van DALE's dissertation, De Staten Semons, subjected to his discourse concerning the ancientoracles. DETLINGIUS, Observat. Secr. lib. i. Observ. XXXVI. p. 140. TILLEMONT, Memoirst pour service à l'Histoire de l'Eglis, rom. i. p. 340. CP The circumstances of SIMON's pragical end, win. his having presentended in My, by a miraculous power, in order to please the

and duc-

WIACK.

XIII. It is beyond all doubt, that Simon was in the class of those philosophers, who not only maintained the eternity of matter, but also the existence of an evil being, who presided, and thus fhared, the empire of the universe, with the fupreme and beneficent Mind. And as there was a good deal of variety in the fentiments of the different members of this feet, it is more than probable that Simon embraced the opinion of those who held, that matter, moved, from eternity, by an intrinsic and necessary activity, had, by its innate force, produced, at a certain period of time, from its own substance, the evil principle which now exercises dominion over it, with all his numerous train of attendants. From this pernicious doctrine, the other errors attributed to him concerning fate, the indifference of human allions, the impurity of the human body, the power of magic, and fuch like extravagancies, flow naturally as from their true and genuine fource [a]. But this odious magician still proceeded to more shocking degrees of enormity in his monttrous fictions; for he pretended, that in his person resided the greatest and

emperor Neko, who was fond of magic; his falling to the ground, and breaking his limbs, in confequence of the prayers of St. PRIER and St. PAUL; and his putting lumfelf to death, through thame and defpair, to have been thus defeated by the fuperior power of the apolities; all thefe romantic fictions have derived their credit from a fet of ecclefiaffical writers, who, on many occasions, prefer the marvellous to the truth, as favourable to a fyficm of religion, or rather supertition, which truth and reason loudly dulown.

[4] The differtation of Horntus, concerning Stmon the magician, which was published not long ago, in the Biblioth. Hanefologica of Voictius, tom. i. par. Ill. p. 511. feems preferable to any thing elfe upon that subject, though it be a juvenile performance, and not sufficiently finished. He follows the steps of his master Thomasius, who, with admirable penetration, discovered the true source of that multitude of erages, with which the Gnother, and particularly Samon, were fo dismulty polluted. Voigtive, in the place above cited, p. 567. gives a hill of the other authors who have made any mention of this impollur.

most powerful of the divine cons; that another CENT. zon of the female fex, the mother of all human PAAT II. fouls, dwelt in the person of his mistress HELE-NA [b], and that he came, by the command of God, upon earth, to abolish the empire of those that had formed this material world, and to deliver HELENA from their power and dominion.

XIV. Another wrong-headed teacher, named Mounter, Menander, a Samaritan also by birth, appeared in this century. He is faid to have been instructed by Simon; though this opinion has no other foundation, than the general notion, that all the various fects of the Gnostics derived their origin from that magician; and this notion is entirely groundless. Be that as it will, Menander should rather be ranked with the lunatics than with the heretics of antiquity, feeing he also took it into his head to exhibit himself to the world as the promised Saviour. For it appears, by the testimonies of IRE-NÆUS, JUSTIN, and TERTULLIAN, that he pretended to be one of the cons fent from the pleroma. or celestial regions, to succour the souls that lay groaning under bodily oppression and servitude, and to maintain them against the violence and stratagems of the demons that hold the reins of empire in this fublunary world. As this doctrine was built upon the fame foundation with that of Simon Magus, therefore the ancient writers looked upon him as the instructor of Menander.

XV. If then we feparate these three persons, Nicolaimon, now fuccessively mentioned, from the heretics of the first century, we may rank among the chief of the Christian sectaries, and particularly of those

^[8] Some very learned men have given an allegorical explication of what the ancient writers fay concerning HELERA the miftress of this magician, and imagine that by the name HELSHA is fignified either maner, or form. But nothing is more easy than to thew upon what flight foundations this oplnion is bush.

THE T. that bear the general name of Gnostics, the Ni-BART II, colaitans, whom CHRIST himself mentions with abhorrence, by the mouth of his apostle [c]. Ic is true, indeed, that the divine Saviour does not reproach them with erroneous opinions concerning the deity, but with the licentiquiness of their practice, and the contempt of that folernn law which the apostles had enacted (Acts xv. 29.) against fornication, and the use of meats offered to idols. It is however certain, that the writers of the fecond and the following centuries, IRENÆUS. TERTULLIAN, CLEMENS, and others, affirm, that the Nicolaitans adopted the fentiments of the Gnostics, concerning the two principles of all things, the cons, and the origin of this terrestrial globe. The authority of these writers would be entirely fatisfactory in this matter, were there not fome reason to imagine, that they consounded, in their narrations, two fects very different from each other; that of the Nicolaitans, mentioned in the Revelations: and another founded, by a certain Nicolaus, in the second century, upon the principles of the Gnostics. But this is a matter of too doubtful a nature to justify a positive decision on either fide.

Ceriathee and tilt Ctrestings,

XVI. There is no fort of doubt, but that CE-RINTHUS may be placed with propriety among the Gnostics, though the learned are not entirely agreed whether he belongs to the heretics of the first or the second century [d]. This man was by birth a Jew, and having applied himself to letters and philosophy at Alexandria [e], attempted, at length, to form a new and lingular lystem of doc-

[[]c] Rev. n. 6.. 14, 15. [af] Sec Sam, Bashage, Amal. Polit. Ecclef. tom. ii. p. 6. Parore, Eclareisfement fur l'Histoire Ecclef. det deux premiert Siedes, cap. v. p. 64. The opinion of these two learned men is appoind by Bundaus, De Ecclef. Appliabea, clip. v. p. 412-[e] Theudoret. Fabel. Harm. ub, ii. cap. iii. p. 219-**1001.** iii. opp.

trine and discipline by a monstrous combination CENT. of the doctrines of Christ, with the opinions and PART IL errors of the Jews and Gnostics. From the latter he borrowed their pleroma, their zons, their demiurge, &c. and so modified and tempered these fictions, as to give them an air of Judaism, which must have considerably favoured the progress of his herefy. He taught "that the creator of this " world, whom he confidered also as the sove-" reign and lawgiver of the Jewish people, was " a being endowed with the greatest virtues, and " derived his birth from the Supreme God; that " this being fell, by degrees, from his native vir-" tue, and his primitive dignity; that the Supreme " God, in consequence of this, determined to de-" stroy his empire, and sent upon earth, for this " purpole, one of the ever-happy and glorious " cons, whose name was Christ; that this Christ " chose for his habitation the person of Jesus, a " man of the most illustrious fanctity and justice, " the fon of Joseph and Mary, and, descending " in the form of a dove, entered into him, while " he was receiving the baptism of John in the " waters of Jordan; that Jesus, after his union " with Christ, opposed himself with vigour to " the God of the Jews, and was, by his instigation, " ferzed and crucified by the Hebrew chiefs; that " when Jusus-was taken captive, Christ afcend-" ed up on high, so that the man Jesus alone was " subjected to the pains of an ignominious death." CERINTHUS required of his followers, that they should worship the father of Christ, even the Supreme God, in conjunction with the fon; that they should abandon the lawgiver of the Jews; whom he looked upon as the creator of the world; that they should retain a part of the law given by Moses, but should, nevertheless, employ their principal attention and care to regulate their lives by the precepts of Christ. To encourage them Vol. 1.

CENT. to this, he promised them the resurrection of this PART II. mortal body, after which was to commence a scene of the most exquisite delights, during CHRIST's earthly reign of a thousand years, which was to be succeeded by an happy and never ending life in the celestial world. For CERINTHUS held, that CHRIST will one day return upon earth, and, renewing his former union with the man Jesus, will reign with his people in the land of Palestine during a thousand years.

The Nezzriget and Ebiantres ong to the f cond century.

XVII. It has been already observed, that the church was troubled with early disputes concerning the law of Moses, and the Jewish rites. Those, however, who considered the observance of the Mosaic rites as necessary to salvation, had not, in this first century, proceeded so far as to break off all communion with fuch as differed from them in this matter. Therefore they were still regarded as brethren, though of the weaker fort. But when, after the fecond destruction of Terusalem, under the emperor Adrian, these zealots for the Jewish rites deserted the ordinary affemblies of Christians, and established separate meetings among themselves, then they were numbered with those sects who had departed from the pure doctrine of CHRIST. Hence the name Nazarenes and Ebionites, by which the judaizing Christians were distinguished from those who looked upon the Mofaic worship and ceremonies as entirely abolished by the appearance of Christ upon earth. We shall only observe further under this head, that though the Nazarenes and Ebionites are generally placed among the fects of the apostolic age, yet they really belong to the second century, which was the earliest period of their existence as a sect.

THE

SECOND CENTURY.

PART I.

The External History of the Church.

CHAPTER I.

Concerning the prosperous events that happened to the church during this century.

1. TN this century the Roman sceptre was, for CENT. 1 the most part, swayed by princes of a mild and moderate turn. TRAJAN, though too eagerly bent upon the pursuit of glory, and not always The Bate of fufficiently attentive to his conduct, nor prudent the require in his measures, was nevertheless endowed with many virtues, and the predominant lines of his character were clemency and benevolence. DRIAN was of a more harsh and untractable temper; yet very far from deferving the reputation of a wicked or unjust prince. He was of a mixed character, chargeable with feveral vices, and estimable on account of many excellent qualities. The Antonines were illustrious models of humanity, goodness, and sublime virtue. Severus himfelf, in whose character and disposition such an unexpected and disadvantageous change was effected, was, in the beginning of his reign, unjust towards none, and even the Christians were treated by him with equity and mildness.

II, This

PART L The proin the Roman em.

pire.

II. This lenity of the emperors was fingularly advantageous to those Christians who lived under the Roman sceptre; it suspended sometimes their fufferings, and alleviated the burthen of their The of Christianity distresses. For, though edicts of a severe nature were issued out against them, and the magistrates, animated by the priests and by the multitude, shed their blood with a cruelty which frequently exceeded even the dictates of the most barbarous laws; yet there was always fome remedy that accompanied these evils, and softened their severity.* TRAJAN, however condemnable in other respects, on account of his conduct towards the Christians, was yet engaged, by the representations that PLINY the younger gave of them, to forbid all fearch to be made after them. He also prohibited all anonymous libels and accufations, by which the Christians had so often been persidiously exposed to the greatest sufferings [a]. Antoninus Pius went so far as to enact penal laws against their accusers [b]. And others, by various acts of beneficence and compassion, defended them from the injurious treatment of the priests and people. Hence it came to pass, that in this century the limits of the church were confiderably enlarged, and the number of converts to Christianity prodigiously augmented. Of the truth of this, we have the most respectable and authentic testimonies in the writings of the ancients; testimonies, whose evidence and authority are every way superior to the vain attempts which fome have made to obscure and weaken them [c].

III. lt

^[4] See Priny's epifles, book x. let. zeviii.

^{6]} Euseneus, Eccl. Hiftor. lib. iv. cap. xiii. p. 126. [c] See Movies's letters concerning the thundering legion, with the remarks which Dr. Mossesses has annexed to his Latin translation of them, published at the end of a work, gaticled, Syntagma Differt, ad Sanctiores Disciplinas pertinent.

III. It is not easy to point out particularly the C k a 7. different countries on which the light of celestial PART L truth first rose in this age. The ancient records that yet remain, do not give us information fuf- What counficient to determine that matter with certainty; enlightened nor is it, indeed, a matter of much importance, with the We are, however, affured by the most unexceptionable testimonies, that Christ was worshipped as God, almost throughout the whole East, as also among the Germans, Spaniards, Celts, Britons, and many other nations [d]; but which of them received the gospel in the first century, and which in the fecond, is a question unanswerable at this distance of time. PANTÆNUS, the head of the Alexandrian school, is said to have conveyed to the Indians the knowledge of Christ [4]. But, after an attentive examination of the account which Eusebius gives of this matter, it will appear, that these Indians were certain Jews, inhabitants of the Happy Arabia, whom BARTHO-LOMEW the apostle had before instructed in the doctrines of Christianity. For, according to the account of St. JEROM, PANTIENUS found among this people the gospel of St. MATTHEW, which they had received from BARTHOLOMEW their first teacher.

IV. The Christian religion, having penetrated Thy conamong the Gauls, feems to have passed from the Gasthence into that part of Germany which was fub. mim. ject to the Romans, and from thence into Britain [f]. Certain German churches, indeed,

are

See also the dialogue between Justin Martyr and Trypho the lew, p. 141.

[d] IREREUS contr. Haref. lib. i. cap. x. Tertullian

adv. Jadaru, cap. vii. p. 212. [e] Eusenius, Hift. Ecclef. book v. c. x. Jenome Carel.

Scriptor. Ecclef. C. xxxvi.

[f] Unsikus, Bushister, and others, have written learnedly concerning the origin of the German churches, which Tra-L 3

The Britons also are willing to believe, upon the authority of Bede, that, in this century, and under the reign of Marcus Antonishus, their king Lucius addressed himself to Eleutherus the Roman Pontif, for doctors to instruct him in the Christian religion, and having obtained his request, embraced the gospel [g]. But, after all, these traditions are extremely doubtful, and are, indeed, rejected by such as have brarning sufficient to weigh the credibility of ancient narrations.

Convertion of the Gaula.

V. It is very possible that the light of Christianity may have reached Transalpine Gaul, now called France, before the conclusion of the apostolic age, either by the ministry of the apostles themselves, or, their immediate successors. But we have no records, that mention, with certainty, the establishment of Christian churches in this part of Europe before the second century. Pothinus, a man of exemplary piety and zeal, set out from Ma in company with IRENÆUS and others, and laboured in the Christian cause with such success among the Gauls, that churches were established at Lyons and Vienne, of which Pothinus himself was the first bishop [b].

VI. The

TULLIAN and IRENAUS mention as erafted in this century. Add to these, the ample illustrations of this subject, which are to be sound in Liron's Singularitis Histor. at Livetom. iv. p. 193. The celebrated Dom. Calimet has judiciously resulted the common and popular accounts of the first Christian Doctors in Germany, in his hist. de la Lorraine, tom. j. Diff. for. his Ensques de Treves, par. III, IV. See also Bollandus, Ad. Sandora p. 922. Honthelm Diff. de Bre Esistes. Trever. tom. i. Hist. Trevir.

Bra Epifcop. Trever. tom. i. Hift. Trevir.

[g: See Ushun Antiq. Ecclef. Britann. cap. i. p. 7; as also Godwin, De convertione Britan. cap. i, p. 7. and Rapin's History of England.

[4] See the epitle of Peters be Manca, concerning the first rule of Christianity in France, published among the different publ

VI. The writers of this century attribute this CENT. rapid progress of Christianity to the power of PART L God, to the energy of divine truth, to the extraordinary gifts, which were imparted to the first trans of the Christians, and the miracles and prodigies that New Tells were wrought in their behalf and at their command; nor do they ascribe almost any part of the amazing fuccess, that attended the preaching of the gospel, to the intervening succours of human means, or fecond causes. But this is carrying the matter too far. The wildom of human counsels, and the useful efforts of learning and prudence, are too inconfiderately excluded from this account of things. For it is beyond all doubt, that the pious diligence and zeal, with which many learned and worthy men recommended the facred writings, and fpread them abroad in translations, which rendered them useful to those who were ignorant of the language in which they were written, contributed much to the fuccess and propagation of the Christian doctrine. Latin vertions of these facred books were multiplied by the pious labours of the learned with particular diligence, because that language was now more universal than any other [i]. Among these versions, that which was distinguished by the name of the Italic obtained universally the preserence, and was followed by the Syriac, Egyptian, and Æthiopic versions, whose dates it is impossible to fix with certainty [k].

fertations of that author; and also by VALEETUS, in his edition of Eusenius's Ecclefiaftical History. See also Historie Lateraire de la France, tom. L. p. 223. Likon's Singularités Hifter. at Literaires, vol. iv.

[1] See Augustin. De dostina Christana, lib. ii. cap. nl.

p. 85. edit. Calixt.
[4] See Jo. Gottlos. Carpzov. Critica facts Vat. Tof. p. 663.

CENT. Chriftians dafended. and heretics refuted.

VII. Among the obstacles that retarded the PART I. progress of Christianity, the impieus calumnies of its enemies were the most considerable. The persons, the characters, and religious fentiments of the first Christians were most unjustly treated, and most perfidiously misrepresented to the credulous multitude [I], who were restrained by this only from embracing the gospel. Those therefore, who, by their apologetic writings in fayour of the Christians, destroyed the poisonous influence of detraction, rendered, no doubt, figurat service to the doctrine of Christ, by removing the chief impediment that retarded its progress. Nor were the writings of such as combated with success the ancient Heretics without their use, especially in the early periods of the church. For the inlipid and extravagant doctrines of these sectaries, and the gross immoradities with which they were chargeable, were extremely prejudicial to the Christian religion, by disgusting many at whatever carried the Christian name. But when it was known, by the writings of those who defended Christianity, that these corrupt heretics were held in aversion, inflead of being patronized by the true followers of CHRIST, then, the clouds that were call over the religion of Jesus were dispersed, and the

^[/] Nothing more injurious can be conceived than the terms of contempt, indiguation, and reproach, which the Heathens employed in expressing their hatred against the Christians, who were called by them atheifs, because they derided the heathen polytheism; magresans, because they swrought miracles; felf-murderers, because they suffered martyrdom chearfully for the truth; baters of the light, because, to avoid the fury of the perfecutions raifed against them, they were forced, at first, to hold their religious assemblies in the night: with a multitude of other ignominious epithets employed against them by TACITUS, SURTONIUS, CELSUS, &c. See Bingnau's Antiquitat of the Christian Church, book in TAP. B. P. C.

PART I.

prejudices that had been raised against it were can t.

fully removed.

VIII. It is easier to conceive than to express, how much, the miraculous powers and extraordinary gifts, which were displayed in the ministry description of the first heralds of the gospel, contributed to enlarge the bounds of the church. These gifts, however, which were given for wife and important reasons, began gradually to diminish in proportion as the reasons ceased for which they were conferred. And accordingly when almost all nations were enlightened with the truth; and the number of Christian churches increased daily in all places, then the miraculous gift of tongues began gradually to decrease. It appears, at the fame time, from unexceptionable testimonies, that the other extraordinary gifts with which the omnipotence and wisdom of the Most High had fo richly endowed the rifing church, were in icveral places continued during this century [m].

IX. We cannot indeed place, with any degree The mireof certainty, among the effects of a miraculous thundering power yet remaining in the church, the story of Irgion. the Christian legion, who, by their prayers, drew from heaven a refreshing shower upon the army of MARCUS ANTONINUS, ready to perish with thirst, when that emperor was at war with the Marcomanni. This remarkable event (which gave to the Christians, to whom it was attributed, the name of the thundering legion, on account of the thunder and lightning that destroyed the enemy, while the shower revived the fainting Romans) has been mentioned by many writers. But whether it was really miraculous or not, has been much difputed among learned men. Some

[m] Pranner, De douis miraculofis. Spencer, Nat. of Orig. contra Celfum, p. 5, 6. Mammachive, Originum at Antiquitat, Christianar, tom, i. p. 363, sec.

think

CENT, think that the Christians, by a pious fort of miss PART 1. take, attributed this unexpected and feafonable. Shower, which faved the Roman army, to a miraculous interpolition; and this opinion is indeed supported by the weightiest reasons, as well as by the most respectable authorities [n].

More than dubagus.

X. Let us diftinguish what is doubtful in this story, from that which is certain. It is certain, that the Roman army, enclosed by the enemy, and reduced to the most deplorable and even desperate condition by the thirst under which they languished in a parched desert, was revived by a fudden and unexpected rain. It is also certain, that both the Heathens and the Christians looked upon this event as extraordinary and miraculous; the former attributing it to JUPITER, MERCURY, or the power of magic; the latter to Christ, interpoling, thus unexpectedly, in confequence of their prayers. It is still fur-

[n] Such readers as are defirous to know what learned men have alleged on both fides of this curious question, may confult Wir sivs's Differtat. de Legione Fulminatrice, which is subjoined to his Egyptiaca, in defence of this miracle; as also what is alleged against it by DAN. LARROQUE, in a discourse upon that subject, subjoined to the Adversaria Sacra of MATTH. LARROQUE, his father. But above all, the controverly between Sir Perer King and Mr. Walter Moyle upon this subject, is worthy of the attention of the curious; and likewise the differnation of the learned ABLONSKI, inserted in the eighth volume of the Miftellanea Leipperfia, p. 417. under the title of Spicilegum de Legione Fulminatrice. This last-mentioned author investigates, with great acuteness, the reasons and motives which induced the Christians to place so Inconfiderately this shower in the lift of miracles.

ET [4] it is by mistake that Dr. Mosneym confounds Sir Prize Read, took chancellor of England, with the person who corried on the controverly with Moyer concerning the thundering legion. Moyer's aboverlay was hir Kene, a clergyman, restor or Tappham, near Executive Market was the place of his nativity, and also of the immoss chancellor's, which was the place of his nativity, and also of the immoss chancellor's, who have his manus. See the Letters addressed to the Reverend Mr. Kenes. in the Politiceness Collection of Louis's Letters, sublished by Collection See also Lanuare's Collection of Cleathen and Jewife Testimonies, Sec. vol. il. Di RANGANI ther

ther beyond all doubt, that a confiderable num- c E.N T. ber of Christians served, at this time, in the PART L Roman army, and it is extremely probable, that in such trying circumstances of calamity and distress, they implored the merciful interposition and fuccours of their God and Saviour. And as the Christians of these times looked upon all extraordinary events as miracles, and afcribed to their prayers all the uncommon and fingular occurrences of an advantageous nature that happened to the Roman empire, it will not appear furprifing, that, upon the present occasion, they attributed the deliverance of Antoninus and his army to a miraculous interpolition which they had obtained from above. But, on the other hand, it must be carefully observed, that it is an invariable maxim, univerfally adopted by the wife and judicious, that no events are to be effeemed miraculous, which may be rationally attributed to natural causes, and accounted for by a recourse to the ordinary dispensations of Providence; and as the unexpected shower, which restored the expiring force of the Romans, may be easily explained without rising beyond the usual and ordinary course of nature, the conclufion is manifest: nor can it be doubtful in what light we are to confider that remarkable event.

XI. The Jews were visited with new calami- Sedition and ties, first under TRAJAN, and then under Apri- the jown. AN, when under the standards of BARCOCHEBA. who gave himself out for the Messian, they rose in rebellion against the Romans. In consequence of this fedition, prodigious numbers of that miferable people were put to the fword, and a new city, called Elia Capitolina, was raised upon the ruins of Terufalem, into which no Jew was permitted to enter [0], This defeat of the Jews

[0] JUSTER MART. Dad. com Tayrnons, p. 49. 278.

tended

C E N T. tended to confirm, in some measure, the exter-PART I, nal tranquillity of the Christian church. For that turbulent and perfidious nation had hitherto oppressed and vexed the Christians, not only by presenting every where to the Roman magistrates complaints and acculations against them, but also by treating them in the most injurious manner in Palestine, and the neighbouring countries, because they refused to succour them against the Romans. But this new calamity, which fell upon that feditious nation, put it out of their power to exercise their malignity against the disciples of Jesus, as they had formerly done.

Philofophere con-**VETLED** 10 Christieaity.

XII. Among other accessions to the splendor and force of the growing church, we may reckon the learned and ingenious labours of those philofophers and literati, who were converted to Christianity in this century. I am sensible that the advantages arising from hence to the cause of true religion will be disputed by many; and, indeed, when the question is thus proposed, whether, upon the whole, the interests of Christianity have gained or loft by the writings of the learned, and the speculations of philosophers, that have been employed in its defence, I confess myself incapable of solving it in a satisfactory manner. For nothing is more manifest than this truth, that the noble simplicity and dignity of religion were fadly corrupted in many places, when the philosophers blended their opinions with its pure doctrines, and were audacious enough to submit that divine system of faith and piery to be ferutinized and modified by the fallible rule of imperfect reason.

CHAP. II.

Concerning the calamitous events which happened to the church in this century.

1. 1N the beginning of this century, there were CENT. no laws in force against the Christians, for pass to the senate had annulled the cruel edicts of Naro, and Nerva had abrogated the fanguinary laws of The perfehis predecessor Domitian. But, notwithstanding der Trajus. this, a horrid custom prevailed of persecuting the Christians, and even of putting them to death, as often as a bloody priesthood, or an outrageous populace, fet on by them, demanded their destruction. Hence it happened, that, even under the reign of the good TRAJAN, popular clamours [p] were raised against the Christians, many of whom fell victims to the rage of a merciless multitude. Such were the riotous proceedings that happened in Bithynia, under the admimiltration of PLINY the younger, who, upon that occasion, wrote to the emperor, to know in what manner he was to conduct himself towards the Christians. The answer which he received from TRAJAN amounted to this, " That the Christian's " were not to be officiously sought after [q], but " that such as were accused and convicted of an ad-" berence to Christianity were to be put to death, " as wicked citizens, if they did not return to the " religion of their ancestors."

II. This edict of TRAJAN, being registered The effects among the public and solemn laws of the Roman of Trajen's empire, fet bounds, indeed, to the fury of those Plicythat perfecuted the Christians, but was, however,

[[]p] Eusenius, Hift. Ecclef. lib. iii. cap. 2014. p. 103. [4] See Pliny's letters, book x. lett. xevii, and xeviii. which have been illustrated by many learned men, such as Vossius, Bonmen, Baldwin, Heuman, and others.

CENT. the occasion of martyrdom to many even under PART I, the best emperors. For, as often as an accuser appeared, and the person accused of an adherence to Christianity confessed the truth of the charge, the only alternative then, was apostasy or death, fince a magnanimous perseverance in the Christian saith was, according to the edict of TRAJAN, a capital crime. And accordingly the venerable and aged Simeon, fon of CLEOPAS, and bishop of Terusalem, was, by this very law, crucified in confequence of an acculation formed against him by the Jews [r]. By the same law also was the great and pious Ignatius, bishop of Antioch, ordered by TRAJAN himself to expire in the Roman theatre, exposed to the rapacity of furious beafts [s]; for as the law denounced fimply death to such as were convicted of an attachment to CHRIST, the kind of punishment was left by the legislator to the choice of the judge.

Perfecusion under Adrian.

III. Such of the Christians as could conceal their profession were indeed sheltered under the law of TRAJAN, which was, therefore, a disagreeable restraint upon the Heathen priests, who breathed nothing but fury against the disciples of Issus. The office of an accuser was also become dangerous, and very few were disposed to undertake it, so that the sacerdotal crast was now inventing new methods to oppress the Christians. The law of TRAJAN was, therefore, artfully evaded under the reign of his successor Adrian. The populace, fet in motion by their priests, demanded of their magistrates, with one voice, during the public games, the destruction of the Christians: and the magistrates, staring that a sedition might be the confequence of despising or

[[]e] Busungus, Hift, Ecclef. lib. in. cap. xxxu. p. 103. [1] See the Alla Martyrii Ignarium, published by RVINART, and also in the Collection of the Applic Fathers.

opposing these popular clamours, were too much a B H T. disposed to indulge them in their request. sing these commotions, Serenus Grantanus. proconful of Afia, represented to the emperor how barbarous and unjust it was to sacrifice to the fury of a lawless multitude, persons who had been convicted of no crime. Nor was his wife and equitable remonstrance without effect; for ADRIAN. by an edict iffued out to these magistrates, prohibited the putting the Christians to death, unless they were regularly accused and convicted of crimes committed against the laws; and this edict appears to have been a folemn renewal of the law of TRAJAN [1]. The moderation of the emperor, in this edict, may, perhaps, have been owing to the admirable apologies of QUADRATUS and ARISTI-DES, in favour of the Christians, which were every way proper to dispel the angry prejudices of a mind that had any sense of equity and humanity But it was not from the Romans alone, that the disciples of Christ were to seel oppresfion: BARCOCHEBAS, the fictitious king of the Jews, whom Adrian afterwards defeated, vented against them all his fury, because they resused to join his standards, and second his rebellion [n].

IV. The law of Adrian, according to its na- The perfetural fense, seemed to cover the Christians from turing unthe fury of their enemies, fince it rendered them our Pin. punishable on no other account than the commission of crimes, and fince the magistrates refused to interpret their religion as the crime mentioned in the imperial edict. Therefore their enemies invented: a new method of attacking them, under the reign of Antoninus Pius, even by accusing them of impiety and atheifm. This calumny was refuted

^[1] Compare Eusantus, Hift. Ecclef. lib. iv. cap. ix. with BALDUINUS ad Edida Princip. in Christianes, p. 73.

IN T. in an apology for the Christians, presented to the PART I, emperor by JUSTIN MARTYR, in confequence of which this equitable prince ordered, that all proceedings against them should be regulated by the law of Adrian [w]. This, however, was not fufficient to suppress the rage of blood-thirsty perfecution; for, fome time after this, on occasion of some earthquakes which happened in Afia, the people renewed their violence against the Christians, whom they confidered as the authors of those calamities, and treated consequently in the most cruel and injurious manner. The emperor. informed of these unjust and barbarous proceedings, addressed an edict to the whole province of Afia, in which he denounced capital punishment against such as should, for the suture, accuse the

The perfeent on under Maicus Actemous. guilty of any crime [x]. · V. This worthy prince was fucceeded by MAR-CUS AURELIUS ANTONINUS the philosopher, whom most writers have celebrated beyond measure on account of his extraordinary wisdom and virtue. It is not, however, in his conduct towards the Christians, that we must look for the reasons of these pompous encomiums; for here, the clemency and justice of that emperor suffer a strange

Christians, without being able to prove them

[w] Euse bius, Heft. Ecclef. lib. iv. cap. xxvi. p. 148. [x] Buskutus, Hift. Ecclef. lib. iv. cap. xiii. p. 126. It is proper to be observed, that the word crime, in several former edicts, had not been fufficiently determined in its figmiscetion; so that we find the enemies of the Christians, and even the Roman magistrates, applying this term to the profestion of Christianity. But the equitable edit of this good emperor, decided that point on the field of humanity and justice, as appears from the letter he addressed to the province of affa, in favour of the perfecuted Christians, and which concludes with the following words: "If any one, for the future, shall molest the Christians, and accuse them merely on account of their religion, let the person thus account be " discharged, though he is found to be a Christian, and the acculer be punished according to the rigour of the law." eclipic.

eclipse. He did not, indeed, revoke the edict CENT. of Antoninus Pius; or abrogate the laws which PART L the preceding emperors had enacted in favour of the Christians; but he did what was equally pernicious to them. Without examining impartially their cause, he lent an easy and attentive ear to all the most virulent infinuations of their enemies, and more especially to the malignant calumnies of the philosophers, who accused them of the most horrid crimes and the most monstrous implety, and charged them with renewing the shocking feath of Thyestes, and the incestuous amours of the Theban prince. So that, if we except that of Nero, there was no reign under which the Christians were more injuriously and cruelly treated, than under that of the wife and virtuous Marcus Aurelius; and yet there was no reign under which fuch numerous and victorious apologies were published in their behalf. Those which Justin Martyr, Athenagoras, and Tatian drew up, upon this occasion, are still extant.

VI. This emperor iffued out against the Christ- Thecolamiians, whom he regarded as a vain, obstinate, and by the vicious fet of men, edicts [y], which, upon the Christian whole, were very unjust; though we do not know, at this distance of time, their particular contents. In confequence of these imperial edicts, the judges and magistrates received the accusations, which even flaves, and the vileft of the perjured rabble, brought against the followers of Jesus. Christians were put to the most cruel tortures, and were condemned to meet death in the most barbarous forms, negwithstanding their perfect innocence, and their persevering and solemn denial of the horrid crimes laid to their charge. The imperial edicts were to politive and express against

[y] See Malito ep. Eusea. Hift. Ecclef. lib. iv. cap. xxvi. P. 147.

Vol. I. M inflicting PART L

CENT. inflicting punishment upon such of the Christians as were guilty of no crime, that the corrupt judges, who, through motives of interest or popularity, defired their destruction, were obliged to suborn faile accusers to charge them with actions that might bring them within the reach of the laws. Hence many fell victims to cruel fuperflition and popular fury, feconded by the corruption of a wicked magistracy, and the connivance of a prince, who, with respect to one set of men, forgot the principles of justice and clemency which directed his conduct towards all others. Among these victims, there were many men of illustrious piety, and some of eminent learning and abilities, such as the holy and venerable Po-LYCARP, bishop of Smyrna, and Justin MARTYR, to defervedly renowned for his erudition and philosophy [z]. Many churches, particularly those of Lyons and Vienne, were almost entirely destroyed, during this violent perfecution, which raged in the year 177, and will be an indelible stain upon the memory of the prince by whose order it was carried on [a].

Their flate mader Commodus and Severos.

VII. During the reign of COMMODUS, the Christians suffered very little in no general perfecution raged against them; and any cruelties they endured were confined to a fmall number, who had newly abandoned the Pagan superstitions [b]. But the scene changed towards the latter end of this century, when Sevenus was declared empe-Then Afia, Egypt, and the other provinces, were died with the blood of martyrs, as appears

zvi. p. 183. cap. zviii. p. 186. cap. xix. p. 187.

[[] a] A full account of their murtyrdom is to be found in the valuable work of Russian, intided, Alla Sincera Martyrum.

[[]a] See the letter of the Christians at Lyon, concerning this ericomion, which is to be found in Euseer us's Reclefication History, book v. ch. ii. as also in Fox's Martyrology, vol. i. [b] Eusentus, Hift. Ecclef. lib. v. cap. xxiv. p. 191. cap.

from the tellimonies of Tertullian, Clemens CE NT. of Alexandria, and other writers. Those, there- PART L fore, are not to be followed, who affirmed, that the Christians suffered nothing under Severus before the beginning of the third century, which was diftinguished by the cruel edicts of this emperor against their lives and fortunes. For, as the imperial laws against the Christians were not abrogated, and the iniquitous edicts of TRAJAN and MAROUS ANTONINUS were still in force, there was a door, of confequence, open to the fury, and injustice of corrupt magistrates, as often as they were pleased to exercise them upon the church. It was this feries of calamities, under which it groaned towards the conclusion of the second eentury, which engaged TERTULLIAN to write his Apology, and several other books, in desence of the Christians.

VIII. It is very eafy to account for the fuffer- The column ings and calamities with which the disciples of ployed to Issus were loaded, when we consider how they render them were blackened and rendered odious by the railings, the calumnies, and libels of the Heathen priefts, and the other defenders of a corrupt and most abominable system of superstition. The injurious imputations, the horrid charges of which we took notice above, are mentioned by all those who have written in defence of the Christians, and ought, indeed, to fland always upon record, as a proof both of the weakness and wickedness of their adversaries. Nothing can be more frivolous and infignificant than the objections which the most famous defenders of Paganism opposed to the truth of Christianity at this time; and such as defire a convencing proof of this affertion, have only to read the arguments of Calsus on that fubject. This philosopher wrote against the Christians during the reign of ADRIAN, and was admirably refuted, in the following century, by ORI-М 2

CENT. OBN, who represents him as an Epicurean (a miss PART I take which has been almost generally followed), - whereas it appears, with the utmost probability, that he was a Platonic philosopher of the sect of Ammonius [c]. Be that as it will, Celsus was a trifling caviller, as is manifest from the answer of Origen; nor do his writings against Christianity serve any other purpose, than to shew his malignant and illiberal turn of mind.

FRONTO the rhetorician, and Crescens the Cynic philosopher, made also some wretched attempts against Christianity. The efforts of the former are only known by the mention that is made of them by Minutius Felix [d]; and the enterprizes of the latter were confined to a vehement zeal for the ruin of the Christians, and a virulent perfecution of Justin Martyr, which ended in the cruel death of that eminent faint [e].

[c] The learned Dr. LARDNER does not think it posfible, that Crisus could have been of the feet of Ammonius; fince the former lived and wrote in the fecond century, whereas the latter did not flourith before the third. And indeed we learn from Outes a himself, that he knew of two only of the name of CELSUS, one who lived in the time of NERO, and the other in the reign of ADRIAN, and afterwards. The latter was the philosopher who wrote against Christiaoity.

[[]d] Öttavins, p. 266. edit. Heraldi. [e] Justin Mart. Apologia fesunda, p. 21. Tarian. Orac. contra Gracos, p. 72. edit. Worthii.

[165]

PART II.

The Internal History of the Church.

CHAPTER I.

Concerning the flate of letters and philosophy during this century.

NDER the reign of TRAJAN, letters and CENT. philosophy came forth from the retreat PART II. where they had languished during the favage tyranny of his predecessors, and, by the auspicious learning, protection of this excellent prince, were in some measure restored to their former lustre [f]. This happy revolution, in the republic of letters, was, indeed, but of a short duration, as it was not supported by the following emperors, who were, for the most part, averse to literary pursuits. Even Marcus Antoninus, who surpassed them all in learning, gave protection and encouragement to the Stoics alone, and, after the example of that supercilious sect, treated the arts and sciences with indifference and contempt [g]. And here we see the true reason why the writers of this century are, in general, so much inferior to those of the former, in point of elegance and purity, eloquence and taile.

II. It must be observed, at the same time, that terms this degeneracy of erudition and taste did not amount to an utter extinction of the one and the other. For, even in this century, there were, both among the Greeks and Romans, men of emi-

nent

[[]f] PLIN. epiff. lib. iii. ep. 18. [g] In the first book of his meditations, § 7. 17.

CINT. nent genius and abilities, who fet off, in the most PART II. advantageous manner, the learning of the times - in which they lived. Among the learned Grecians, the first place is due to PLUTARCH, a man of valt crudition, whose knowledge was various, but indigested, and whose philosophical taste was corrupted by the sceptical tenets of the academics, There were, likewise, in all the more considerable cities of the Roman empire, rhetoricians, fophists, and grammarians, who, by a variety of learned exercises, seemed zealous in forming the youth to their arts of eloquence and declamation, and in rendering them fit, by their talents and their acquisitions, to be useful to their country. instruction acquired in these schools was more specious than folid; and the youth who received their education in them, diffinguished themselves at their entrance upon the active stage of life, more by empty declamation, than by true eloquence; more by pompous erudition, than by wildom and dexterity in the management of public affairs. The consequence of this was, that the rhetoricians and fophists, though, agreeable to the corrupt tafte of the times, which was incapable, generally speaking, of perceiving the native charms of truth, yet fell into contempt among the prudent and the wife, who held in derifion the knowledge and education that were acquired in their auditories. Besides the schools now mentioned, there were two public academies in the empire; the one at Rome founded by ADRIAN, in which all the sciences were taught; and the other at Berytus in Phanicia, which was principally destined for the education of the youth in the science of law [b].

III. Many philosophers of all the different sects flourished at this time, whose names we think it

^[4] See the meditations of M. Autominus, book i. \$7. 10. 13

not necessary to mention [i]. Two, however, c 2 N T. there were of fuch remarkable and thining meric, PART IL as rendered them real ornaments to the Stoic philosophy, which the meditations of Marcus An-TONINUS and the manual of Epicterus abundantly testify. These two great men had more admirers than disciples and followers; for, in this century, the Stoical feet was not in the highest efteem, as the rigour and aufterity of its doctrine were, by no means, fuited to the diffolute manners of the times. The Platonic schools were Plumaken more frequented for feveral reasons, and particularly for these two, that their moral precepts were less rigorous and severe than those of the Stoics, and their doctrines more conformable to, or, rather, less incompatible with," the common opinions concerning the gods. But of all the philo- Epicomea. fophers, the Epicureans enjoyed the greatest reputation, and had undoubtedly the greatest number of followers, because their opinions tended to encourage the indolent fecurity of a voluptuous and efferninate life, and to banish the remorse and terrors that haunt vice, and naturally incommode the wicked in their fenfual purfacts $\{k\}$.

IV. Towards the conclusion of this century, The side of a new fect of philosophers arose of a sudden, spread the new la with amazing rapidity throughout the greatest Eugh. part of the Roman empire, swallowed up almost all the other fects, and was extremely detrimental to the cause of Christianity. Alexandria in Egypt, which had been, for a long time, the feat of learning, and, as it were, the centre of all the liberal arts and sciences, gave birth to this new philosophy. Its votaries chose to be called Platonics; though, far from adhering to all the tenets

[4] LUCIAE Pfeudoment. p. 763. tom. i. opp.

[[]i] Justin Mart. Dialog. cum Tryphone, opp. p. 218, &c. We find also many of these philosophers mentiosed in the meditations of the emperor Manc. Autoninus.

CENT. of PLATO, they collected, from the different feets. PART II. fuch doctrines as they thought conformable to truth, and formed thereof one general system. The reason then, why they distinguished themfelves by the title of Platonics, was, that they thought the sentiments of Plato, concerning that most noble part of philosophy, which has the Deity, and things invisible, for its objects, much more rational and sublime than those of the other

philosophers.

V. What gave to this new philosophy a superior air of reason and dignity, was, the unprejudiced foirit of candour and impartiality on which it feemed to be founded. This recommended it particularly to those real sages, whose iniquisies were accompanied with wildom and moderation, and who were fick of those arrogant and contentious fects, which required an invariable attachment to their particular fystems. And, indeed, nothing could have a more engaging aspect than a fet of men, who, abandoning all cavil, and all prejudices in favour of any party, professed searching after the truth alone, and were ready to adopt, from all the different fystems and feets, such tenets as they thought agreeable to it. From hence also they were called Eclectics. It is, however, to be observed, as we hinted in the former section, that though these philosophers were attached to no particular feet, yet they preferred, as appears from a variety of testimonies, the sublime PLATO to all other fages, and approved of the most of his opinions concerning the Deity, the universe, and •the human foul.

Called allo Zekelice.

Their difte. plane ap. proved by the Chrift-باهفار

VI. This new species of Platonism was embraced by fuch of the Alexandrian Christians as were defirous to retain, with the profession of the gospel, the title, the dignity, and the habit, of philosophers. It is also said to have had the particular approbation of Athenagoras, PantaMUS. CLEMENS the Alexandrian, and all those CENT. who, in this century, were charged with the care PARTE of the public school [1], which the Christians had at Alexandria. These sages were of opinion, that true philosophy, the greatest and most salutary gift of God to mortals, was feattered in various portions through all the different feets; and that it was, confequently, the duty of every wife man, and more especially of every Christian doctor, to gather it from the feveral corners, where it lay dispersed, and to employ it, thus re-united, in the defence of religion, and in destroying the dominion of impiety and vice. The Christian Eclectics had this also in common with the others, that they preferred PLATO to the other philosophers, and looked upon his opinions concerning God, the human foul, and things invisible, as conformable to the spirit and genius of the Christian doctrine.

VII. This philosophical system underwent some The new changes, when Ammonius Saccas, who taught, teaching with the highest applause, in the Alexandrian philosophy school, about the conclusion of this century, laid by ammothe foundations of that feet which was diffinguished by the name of the New Platonics. learned man was born of Christian parents, and never, perhaps, gave up entirely the outward profession of that divine religion in which he had been educated [m]. As his genius was vast and

[1] The title and dignity of philosophers delighted so much these honest men, that, though they were advanced in the church to the rank of preflyters, they would not abandon the philosopher's cloak. See Onican, Epift. ad Eufsbium, com. i. opp. p. 2. edit. de la Rue.

[m] PORPHYRY, in his third book against the Christian. maintains, that Ammorius deferted the Christian religion. and went over to Paganism as foon as he came to that time of life, when the mind is capable of making a wife and judicious choice. Eusepius, on the other hand, denies this affertion; maintains, that Ammonius perfevered conflantly in the pro-

feffion

EXPT. comprehensive, so were his projects bold and PART IL fingular. For he attempted a general reconciliation or coalition of all fects, whether philosophical or religious, and taught a doctrine, which he looked upon as proper to unite them all, the Christians not excepted, in the most perfect har-

> fession of Christianity, and is followed, in this opinion, by VALESTUS, BAYLE, BASNAGE, and others. The learned PARRICIUS is of opinion, that Euserius confounded together two persons, who bore the name of AMMONIUS, one of whom was a Christian writer, and the other a Heathen philosopher. See FABRIC. Biblioto. Graca, lib. iv. cap. xxvi. p. 150. The truth of the matter feems to have been, that Ammonius Sactas was a Chrittian, who adopted with such dexterity the doctrines of the Pagan philosophy, as to appear a Christian to the Christians, and a Pagan to the Pagans. See BRUCKET's Historia Critica Philogophiae, vol. ii. and iii. Since the first edition of this work was published, the learned Dr. LARDNER has maintained, not without a certain degree of asperity, which is unufual in his valuable writings, the opinion of FA-BRICIUS, against Eusebius, and particularly against Dr. Mosherm. See his Collection of Heathen and Jewish Testimowier, vol. iii. p. 195, &c. Dr. Mosherm was once of the same opinion with FABRICIUS, and he maintained it in a differtation De ecclefia turbata per recentiores Platonicos; but he afterwards faw reason to change his mind. These reasons may be feen in his book De rebus Christianorum auto Const. Mig. p. 281, &c. They indeed weigh little with Dr. LARDNER, who however oppoies nothing to them but mere affertions, unsupported by the smallest glimpse of evidence. For the letter of ORIGEN he quotes from Eusebius, is to far from proving that Ammonius was merely a Heathen philosopher, and not a Christian, that it would not be sufficient to demonstrate that there was ever such a person as Ammonios in the world; since he is not fo much as named in that letter. But, allowing with VALESTUS that it is AMMONTUS whom ORIGEN has in view, when he talks of the philosophical master from whom he and HERACLAS received inflraction, it feems very whimfical to conclude from thence, that Ammonius was no Christian. The continion between Platonism and Christianity, in the second and third centuries, is a fact too fully proved to be rendered dubious by mere affirmations. The notion therefore of two perfons bearing the name of Ammontus, the one a Heathen phiholopher, and the other a Christian writer, of which Dr. Landman forms to fond, refly upon little more than an hypothesis formed to remove an imaginary difficulty.

mony. And herein lies the difference between ORN'T. this new feet and the Eclectics, who had, before Pat 14 this time, flourished in Egypt. The Eclectics held, that in every fect there was a mixture of good and bad, of truth and falsehood, and accordingly they chose and adopted out of each of them, fuch tenets as feemed to them conformable to reason and truth, and rejected such as they thought repugnant to both. Ammonius, on the contrary, maintained, that the great principles of all philosophical and religious truth were to be found, equally, in all fects; that they differed from each other, only in their method of expressing them, and in some opinions of little or no importance; and that, by a proper interpretation of their respective sentiments, they might easily be united into one body. It is further to be observaed, that the propensity of Ammonius to singularity and paradox, led him to maintain, that all the Gentile religions, and even the Christian, were to be illustrated and explained by the principles of this universal philosophy; but that, in order to this, the fables of the priefts were to be removed from Paganism, and the comments and interpretations of the disciples of Jesus from Christianity.

VIII. This arduous design, which Ammonius The prinhad formed of bringing about a coalition of all Ammonian the various philosophical fects, and all the differ- or Echain ent systems of religion, that prevailed in the philosophy. world, required many difficult and difagreeable things in order to its execution. Every particular feet and religion must have several of its doctrines curtailed or difforted, before it could enter' into the general mass. The tenets of the philosophers, the superstitions of the Heathen priests, the folemn doctrines of Christianity, were all to fuffer, in this cause, and forced allegories were to be fubtly employed in removing the difficulties with which it was attended. How this vaft pro-

ject

C 1 N T. ject was effected by Ammonius, the writings of PART II. his disciples and followers, that yet remain, abundantly tellify. In order to the accomplishing his purpole, he supposed, that true philosophy derived its origin and its confistence from the eastern nations; that it was taught to the Egyptians by HERMES; that it was brought from them to the Greeks, by whose vain subtilities, and litigious disputes, it was rendered somewhat obscure and deformed; but was, however, preferred in its original purity by PLATO, who was the best interpreter of HERMLS, and of the other oriental fages. He maintained, that all the different religions that prevailed in the world, were, in their original integrity, conformable to the genius of this ancient philotophy; but that it unfortunately happened, that the fymbols and fictions, under which, according to the eaftern manner, the ancients delivered their precepts and their doctrines, were, in process of time, erroneously understood both by priefts and people in a literal fenle; that, in confequence of this, the invisible beings and demons, whom the Supreme Deity had placed in the different parts of the universe as the ministers of his providence, were, by the fuggestions of superstition, converted into gods, and worthipped with a multiplicity of vain ceremonies. He therefore infilted, that all the religions of all nations should be restored to their original purity, and reduced to their primitive standard, viz. " The ancient philosophy of the east;" and he affirmed, that this his project was agreeable to the intentions of Jesus Christ, whose sole view, in descending upon earth, was, to fet bounds to the reigning Superstition, to remove the errors that had crept into the religions of all nations, but not to abolish the ancient theology from whence they were derived.

In chief Micles.

IX. Taking these principles for granted, Am-MONIUS adopted the doctrines which were received in Expet, the place of his birth and education; CENT. concerning the universe and the Deity considered PART IL as constituting one great whole; as also concerning the eternity of the world, the nature of fouls, the empire of providence, and the government of this world by demons. For it is most evident, that the Egyptian philosophy, which was faid to be derived from Hermes, was the basis of that of Ammo-NIUS: or, as it is otherwise called, of modern Platonism; and the book of Jambuschus, concerning the mysteries of the Egyptians, puts the matter beyond difpute. Ammonius, therefore, affociated the fentiments of the Egyptians with the doctrines of Plato, which was callly done by adulterating some of the opinions of the latter, and forcing his expressions from their obvious and natural sense. And, to finish this conciliatory scheme, he so interpreted the doctrines of the other philosophical and religious tects, by the violent succours of art, invention, and allegory, that they feemed, at length, to bear some refemblance of the Egyptian and Platonic fyftems.

X. To this monthrous coalition of heteroge- Tie more! neous doctrines, its fanatical author added a rule description of of life and manness, which carried an afpect of high fanctity and uncommon aufterity. He, indeed, permitted the people to live according to the laws of their country, and the dictates of nature; but a more sublime rule was laid down for They were to raile above all terrestrial things, by the towering efforts of holy contemplation, those souls whose origin was celestial and They were ordered to extenuate, by. hunger, thirst, and other mortifications, the sluggifh body, which confines the activity, and restrains the liberty, of the immortal spirit; that thus, in this life, they might enjoy communion with the Supreme Being, and aftend after death. active and unincumbered, to the universal Parent.

PART II.

e R H T. to live in his presence for ever. As Ammonius was born and educated among the Christians, he fet off, and even gave an air of authority, to thefe injunctions, by expretting them partly in terms borrowed from the facted Scriptures, of which we find a vast number of citations, also, in the writings of his disciples. To this austere discipline, he added the pretended art of fo purging and refining that faculty of the mind, which receives the images of things, as to render it capable of perceiving the demons, and of performing many marvellous things by their affiltance. I his art, which the disciples of Ammonius called theurgy, was not, however, communicated to all the schools of this fanatical philosopher, but only to those of the first rank.

His opi-Bioni concorning Gop and CHRIST,

XI. The extravagant attempts of Ammonius did not cease here. To reconcile the popular religions of different countries, and particularly the Christian, with this new system, he fell upon the following inventions: 1/8, He turned into a mere allegory the whole hiftory of the gods, and maintained that those beings, whom the priests and people dignified with this title, were no more than celestial ministers, to whom a certain kind of worship was due; but a worship inferior to that which was to be referved for the Supreme Deity. edly, He acknowledged Christ to be a most excellent man, the friend of God, the admirable theurge; he denied, however, that Jesus designed to abolish entirely the worthip of demons, and of the other ministers of divine Providence; and affirmed, on the contrary, that his only intention was to purify the ancient religion, and that his followers had manifeltly corrupted the doctrine of their divine matter [#].

XII.

[[]a] What we have here mentioped concerning the declarate and opinions of Ammontus, is gathered from the writings and dilputa-

dentity adopted by ORIGEN and many other Christ- PART IL ians, was extremely prejudicial to the cause of the Tas pendgospel, and to the beautiful simplicity of its celestial doctrines. For hence it was, that the of the pal-Christian doctors began to introduce their subtle hosophy. and obscure erudition into the religion of JESUS, to involve in the darkness of a vain philosophy, some of the principal truths of Christianity, that had been revealed with the utmost plainness, and were indeed obvious to the meanest capacity, and to add, to the divine precepts of our Lord, many of their own, which had no fort of foundation in any part of the facred writings. From the fame fource arose that melancholy set of men, who have been diffinguished by the name of Mystics, whose system, when separated from the Platonic doc-

trine concerning the nature and origin of the foul, is but a lifeless mass, without any vigour, form, or confistence. Nor did the evils, which forung from this Ammonian philosophy, end here. For, under the specious pretext of the necessity of contemplation, it gave occasion to that slothful and indolent course of life, which continues to be led by myriads of monks retired in cells, and fequestered from society, to which they are neither useful by their instructions, nor by their examples. To this philosophy we may trace as to their fource, a multitude of vain and foolish ceremonies, proper only to cast a veil over truth, and to nourish

XII. This new species of philosophy, impro- C B N T.

disputations of his disciples, who are known by the name of the Modern Platonics. This philosopher has left nothing inperieing behind him; nay, he imposed a law upon his disciples not to divulge his doctrine; among the multitude, which law, however, they made no fcruple to neglect and violate. See Porphyr. Vii. Platini, cap. iii. p. 97. edit. Fabricii, iib. in. Biblioth. Graca. At the same time there is no fort of doubt, but that all these inventions belong properly to Ammon: Va, whom all the latter Platonics acknowledge as the founder of their fest, and the author of their philosophy.

fuper-

CENT. Superstition: and which are, for the most part. PART II. religiously observed by many, even in the times in which we live. It would be endless to enumerate all the pernicious consequences that may be justly attributed to this new philosophy, or rather to this monstrous attempt to reconcile falsehood with truth, and light with darkness. Some of its most fatal effects were, its alienating the minds of many, in the following ages, from the Christian religion; and its substituting, in the place of the pure and fublime simplicity of the gospel, an unfeemly mixture of Platonism and Christianity.

The Ante of he-roing amon@ Christians.

XIII. The number of learned men among the Christians, which was very small in the precessing century, grew considerably in this. Among there were few rhetoricians, fophists, or courtors. The most part were philosophers attached to the Eclectic system, though they were not all of the fame fentiments concerning the utility of letters and philosophy. Those, who were themselves initiated into the depths of philosophy, were defirous that others, particularly fuch as aspired to the offices of bishops or doctors, should apply themselves to the study of human wisdom, in order to their being the better qualified for defending the truth with vigour, and instructing the ignorant with fuccels. Others were of a quite different way of thinking upon this subject, and were for banishing all argumentation and philosophy from the limits of the church, from a notion that erudition might prove detrimental to the true spirit of religion. Hence the early beginnings of that unhappy contest between faith and reason, religion and philosophy, piety and genius, which increased in the fucceeding ages, and is prolonged even to our times with a violence, that renders it extremely difficult to be brought to a conclusion. **The maintained** that learning and philosophy were rather advantageous, than detrimental, to the cause

of religion, gained, by degrees, the ascendant; CINT. and, in consequence thereof, laws were enacted, PARTIL which excluded the ignorant and illiterate from the office of public teachers. The opposite side of the queltion was not, however, without defenders; and the defects and vices of learned men and philosophers contributed much to increase their number, as will appear in the progress of this history.

CHAP. II.

Concerning the dostors and ministers of the church, and the form of its government.

I. THE form of ecclefialtical government, The form of whole commencement we have feen in the church golast century, was brought in this, to a greater degree of stability and consistence. One inspector, or bishop, presided over each Christian assembly, to which office he was elected by the voices of the whole people. In this post he was to be watchful and provident, attentive to the wants of the church, and careful to supply them. To assist him in this laborious province, he formed a council of presbyters, which was not confined to any fixed number; and to each of these he distributed his task, and appointed a station, in which he was to promote the interests of the church. To the bishops and presbyters the ministers, or deacons, were subjects and the latter were divided into a variety of classes, as the different exigencies of The church required.

11. During a great part of this century, the Assertation Christian churches were independent on each winted other; nor were they joined together by affocia- churches. tion, confederacy, or any other bonds but those of charity. Each Christian assembly was a little Vol. I. N stace.

C I W T. State, governed by its own laws, which were either PART II. enacted, or, at least, approved by the fociety. But, in process of time, all the Christian churches of a province were formed into one large ecclesaffical body, which, like confederate states, afsembled at certain times, in order to deliberate about the common interests of the whole. This institution had its origin among the Greeks, with whom nothing was more common than this confederacy of independent states, and the regular affemblies which met, in confequence thereof, at fixed times, and were composed of the deputies of each respective state. But these ecclesiastical associations were not long confined to the Greeks; their great utility was no fooner perceived, than they became universal, and were formed in all places where the gospel had been planted [a]. To these assemblies, in which the deputies or commillioners of several churches consulted together, the name of fynods was appropriated by the Greeks, and that of councils by the Latins; and the laws that were enacted, in these general meetings, were called canons, i. e. rules.

Origin of والتومووي

The sutherity of the mented by

III. These councils, of which we find not the fmallest trace before the middle of this century, changed the whole face of the church, and gave it a new form; for by them the ancient privileges of the people were confiderably diminished, and the power and authority of the bishops greatly augmented. The humility, indeed, and prudence of these pious prelates prevented their assuming all at once the power with which they were afterwards invelted. At their first appearance in these general councils, they acknowledged that they were no more than the delegates of their respective churches, and that they acted in the name, and by the appointment, of their people. But they foor

^[6] Tratublian, Lib. & Jointo, cap. xiii. p. 711. changed

changed this humble tone, imperceptibly expent. C F & T. ed the firties of their authority, turned their id- Parcall. fluence into dominion, and their counsels into laws; and openly afferted, at length, that CHRIST had empowered them to preferibe to his people authoritative rules of faith and manners. Another effect of these councils was, the gradual abolition of that perfect equality, which reigned among all bishops in the primitive times. For the order and decency of these assemblies required, that some one of the provincial bishops met in council. should be invested with a superior degree of powerand authority; and hence the rights of Metropo- Melopolilitans derive their origin. In the mean time, the tanks bounds of the church were enlarged; the cultom of holding councils was followed wherever the found of the gospel had reached; and the univerfal church had now the appearance of one vaft republic, formed by a combination of a great number of little states. This occasioned the creation of a new order of ecclefialties, who were appointed, in different parts of the world, as heads of the church, and whose office it was to preferre the confiftence and union of that immente body, whose members were so widely dispersed throughout the nations. Such was the nature and office of the patriarchs, among whom, at length, ambition, being arrived at its most infolest period, formed a new dignity, investing the bishop of Rome, and his fucceffors, with the title and authority of prince of the patriarchs.

IV. The Christian doctors had the good for Anamyl tune to perfuade the people, that the ministers of gardies the Christian church succeeded to the character, twen the rights, and privileges, of the Jewith priesthood, and the and this perfusition was a new fource both of henours and profit to the facted order. This notion was propagated with industry force time after the reign of Adrian, when the second destruction of

Yern/alem

C B M T. Jerufalem had extinguished among the Jews all PART II. hopes of feeing their government reftored to its former luftre, and their country arising out of ruins. And, accordingly, the bishops considered themselves as invested with a rank and character fimilar to those of the bigb-priest among the Jews, while the presbyters represented the priests, and the deacons the Levites. It is, indeed, highly probable, that they, who first introduced this absurd comparison of offices so entirely distinct, did it rather through ignorance and error, than through artifice or delign. The notion, however, once introduced, produced its natural effects; and these effects were pernicious. The errors to which it gave rife were many; and one of its immediate consequences was, the establishing a greater difference between the Christian pastors and their flock, than the genius of the gospel seems to admit.

The principal westere.

V. From the government of the church, let us turn our eyes to those who maintained its cause by their learned and judicious writings. Among these was Justin, a man of eminent piety and confiderable learning, who, from a Pagan philosopher, became a Christian martyr. He had frequented all the different fects of philosophy, in an ardent and impartial purfust of truth; and finding, neither among Stoics nor Peripatetics, neither in the Pythagorean nor Platonic schools, any satisfactory account of the perfections of the Supreme Being, and the nature and destination of the human foul, he embraced Christianity on account of the light which it cast upon these interesting subjects. We have yet remaining his two apologies in beloaf of the Christians, which are most deservedly held in high efteem; notwithstanding that, in some passages of them, he shows himself an unwary disputer, and betrays a want of acquaintance with ancient hiftory.

IRENARUS, bishop of Lyons, a Greek by birth, c z w r. and probably born of Christian parents, a dif- PART II. ciple also of POLYCARP, by whom he was sent to preach the gospel among the Gauls, is another of the writers of this century, whose labours were fingularly useful to the church. He turned his pen against its internal and domestic enemies, by attacking the monstrous errors which were adopted by many of the primitive Christians, as appears by his five books against berefies, which are yet preferred in a Latin translation [p], and are confidered as one of the most precious monuments of ancient erudition.

Athenagoras also deserves a place among the estimable writers of this age. He was a philosopher of no mean reputation, and his apology for the Christians, as well as his treatise upon the refurretion, afford striking proofs of his learning

and genius.

The works of Theophilus, bishop of Antioch, are more remarkable for their erudition, than for their order and method; this, at least, is true of his three books in defence of Christianity, addressed to Autolycus [q]. But the most illustrious writer of this century, and the most justly renowned for his various erudition, and his perfect acquaintance with the ancient fages, was

If [p] The first book is yet extant in the original Greek; of the reft, we have taly a Latin vertion, through the barbamy of which, though excellive, it is easy to differn the eloquence and erudition that reign throughout the original.

See Hift. Litteraire de la France.

[7] THEOPHILUS was the author of leveral works, belide these mentioned by Dr. Mosnatus, particularly of a commentary upon the Proverts, another upon the Four Leastgriffs, and of feveral thort and pathetic discouries, which he published, from time to time, for the use of his flock. He also wrote against Marcion and Hermodence, and, refuting the errors of these hereries, he gootes inversi pushers of the Revolutions.

his excessive attachment to the reigning philosophy led him into a variety of pernicious

c'è n' T. Chemens, the disciple of Pantenus, and the plant III. head of the Alexandrian school, destined for the instruction of the catechumens. His Stromata, Pedagogue,, and Exhortation, addressed to the Greeks, which are yet extant, abundantly shew the extent of his learning, and the force of his genius; though he is neither to be admired for the precision of his ideas, nor for the perspicuity of his style. It is also to be lamented, that

errors.

Hitherto we have made no mention of the Latin writers, who employed their pens in the Christian cause. And, indeed, the only one of any note, we find in this century, is TERTUL-LIAN, by birth a Carthaginian, who, having first embraced the profession of the law, became afterwards a prefbyter of the church, and concluded by adopting the heretical visions of Mon-TANUS. He was a man of extensive learning, of a fine genius, and highly admired for his elocution in the Latin tongue. We have feveral works of his yet remaining, which were defigned to explain and defend the truth, and to nourish pious affections in the hearts of Christians. was, indeed, fuch a mixture in the qualities of this man, that it is difficult to fix his real character, and to determine which of the two were predominant, his virtues, or his defeas. He was endowed with a great genius, but feemed deficient in point of judgment. His piety was warm and vigorous, bur, at the fame time, melancholy and authore. His learning was extensive and profound; and yes his credulity and superstition were first as might have been expected from the darkelt ighorance. And with respect to his reasonings, they had more of that subtility that dazzles the imagiimagination, than of that folidity that brings light c t n T. and conviction to the mind [7],

CHAP, III.

Concerning the dostrine of the Christian church, in this century.

I. THE Christian system, as it was hitherto The Smplitaught, preferved its native and beautiful mine fimplicity, and was comprehended in a small Christianity, number of articles. The public teachers inculcated no other doctrines, than those that are contained in, what is commonly called, the Apostles Creed: and, in the method of illustrating them, all vain subtilties, all mysterious refearches, every thing that was beyond the reach of common capacities, were carefully avoided. This will by no means appear furprising to those who confider, that, at this time, there was not the least controversy about those capital doctrines of Christianity, which were afterwards to keenly debated in the church; and who reflect, that the bishops of these primitive times were, for the most part, plain and illiterate men, remarkable rather for their piety and zeal, than for their learning and eloquence.

II. This venerable simplicity was not, indeed, Akerel by of a long duration; its beauty was gradually degrees. effaced by the laborious efforts of human learn-

[r] It is proper to point out to fach as are defirous of a more particular account of the works, as also of the excellencies and defects of these advient writers, the authors who have professedly written concerning them, and the principal are those who follow: Jo. Alb. Farrierus, in Biblioth. Grac. et Latin. CAVB, Hift. Litter. Scriptor. Ecel. Du Pin et CELLIEE, Biblisth. des Augurs Ecclefiaftiques.

N 4

PART II.

C E N.T. ing, and the dark subtilities of imaginary science. Acute refearches were employed upon feveral religious subjects, concerning which ingenious decisions were pronounced; and, what was worst of all, several tenets of a chimerical philosophy were imprudently incorporated into the Christian fystem. This disadvantageous change, this unhappy alteration of the primitive simplicity of the Christian religion, was chiefly owing to two reasons; the one drawn from pride, and the other from a fort of necessity. The former was the eagerness of certain learned men, to bring about a union between the doctrines of Christiamay, and the opinions of the philosophers; for they thought it a very fine accomplishment, to be able to express the precepts of Christ in the language of philosophers, civilians, and rabbins. The other reason that contributed to alter the simplicity of the Christian religion, was, the necessity of having recourse to logical definitions and nice distinctions, in order to confound the sophistical arguments which the infidel and the heretic employed, the one to overturn the Christian system, and the other to corrupt it. These philosophical arms, in the hands of the judicious and wife, were both honourable and useful to religion; but when they came to be handled by every ignorant and felf-fufficient meddler, as was afterwards the case, they produced nothing but perplexity and confusion, under which genuine Christianity almost disappeared.

III. Many examples might be alleged, which yerify the observations we have now been making: and, if the reader is defirous of a striking one, he has only to take a view of the doctrines which began to be taught in this tentury, concerning the state of the foul after the diffolution of the body. Jesus and his disciples had simply declared, that the fouls of good men were, at their departure departure from their bodies, to be received into C x x x. heaven, while those of the wicked were to be sent PARTEL to hell; and this was sufficient for the first difciples of Christ to know, as they had more piety than curiolity, and were fatisfied with the knowledge of this folemn fact, without any inclination to penetrate its manner, or to pry into its fecret reasons. But this plain doctrine was soon difguifed, when Platonism began to infect Christianity. PLATO had taught, that the fouls of heroes, of illustrious men, and eminent philosophers alone, ascended, after death, into the mansions of light and felicity; while those of the generality, weighed down by their lufts and passions, sunk into the infernal regions, from whence they were not permitted to emerge, before they were purified from their turnitude and corruption [s]. This doctrine was feized with avidity by the Platonic Christians, and applied as a commentary upon that of Jesus. Hence a notion prevailed, that the martyrs only entered upon a state of happiness immediately after death, and that, for the rest, a certain obscure region was affigned, in which they were to be imprifoned until the fecond coming of CHRIST, or, at least, until they were purified from their various This doctrine, enlarged and improved upon by the irregular fancies of injudicious men, became a fource of innumerable errors, vain ceremonies, and monttrous fuperflitions.

IV. But, however the doctrines of the gospel Zui for the may have been abused by the commentaries and turner interpretations of different fects, yet all were unanimous in regarding with veneration the holy

[s] See an ample account of the opinions of the Platonics, and other encient philosophers, upon this subject, in the notes which Dr. Mosnerse has added to his Latin translation of Cupwort*u's levellesteal Syttem, 1*cm. ii. p. 1036. Scriptures,

CENT. Scriptures, as the great rule of faith and man-PART II, ners; and hence that laudable and pious zeal of. adapting them to general use. We have mentioned already the translations that were made of them into different languages, and it will not be improper to fay fomething here concerning those who employed their useful labours in explaining and interpreting them. PANTÆNUS, the head of the Alexandrian school, was probably the first, who enriched the church with a version of the facred writings, which has been loft among the ruins of time. The fame fate attended the commentary of CLEMENS the Alexandrian, upon the canonical epistles; and also another celebrated work [1] of the fame author, in which he is faid to have explained, in a compendious manner, aimost all the sacred writings. The barmony of the Evangelists, composed by TATIAN, is yet extant. But the exposition of the Revelations, by Justin Mariyr, and of the four gospels by THEOPHILUS bishop of Antioch, together with feveral illustrations of the Mosaic history of the creation by other ancient writers, are all loft.

of the anci-

V. The loss of these ancient productions is the less to be regretted, as we know, with tertainty, their vast inferiority to the expositions of the holy Scriptures that appeared in succeeding times. Among the persons already mentioned, there was none who deferved the name of an eminent and judicious interpreter of the facred text. They all attributed a double sense to the words of scrippire; the one obvious and literal, the other bidden and mysterious, which lay concealed, as it were, under the veil of the outward letter. The fornder they treated with the utmost neglect, and turned the whole force of their genius and applicasion to unfold the latter: or, in other words,

they were more fludious to darken the holy Script or n. r. tures with their idle fictions, than to investigate their true and natural sense. Some of them also forced the expressions of facted writ out of their obvious meaning, in order to apply them to the support of their philosophical systems; of which dangerous and pernicious attempts, CLEMENS of Alexandria is faid to have given the first example. With respect to the expositors of the Old Testament in this century, we shall only make this general remark, that their excessive veneration for the Alexandrian version, commonly called the Septuagint, which they regarded almost as of divine authority, confined their views, fettered, as it were, their critical spirit, and hindered them from producing any thing excellent in the way of facred criticism or interpretation.

VI. If this age was not very fertile in facred Of harmacritics, it was still less so in expositors of the doctrinal parts of religion; for hitherto there was no attempt made, at least that is come to our knowledge, of compoling a fyllem, or complete view of the Christian doctrine. treatifes of Arabian, relative to this subject, are indeed 'frientioned; but as they are loft, and feem not to have been much known by any of the writers whose works have survived them. we can form no conclusions concerning them. The books of Papias, concerning the layings of CHRIST and bis apostles, were, according to the accounts which Eusebius gives of them, rather an historical commentary, than a theological system. Melito, bishop of Sardis, is said to have written feveral treatises, one concerning fairb, another on the treation, a third contenting the church, and a fourth concerning truth; but it does not appear from the titles of these waitings. whether they were of a doctrinal or controversial nature.

E R M T. nature [u]. Several of the polemic writers, in-PART II. deed, have been naturally led, in the course of - controverly, to explain amply certain points of religion. But those doctrines, which have not been disputed, are very rarely defined with such accuracy, by the ancient writers, as to point out to us clearly what their opinions concerning them were. And from hence it ought not to appear furprising, that all the different sects of Christtians pretend to find, in the writings of the fathers, decisions savourable to their respective tenets.

The controrestal wei-

VII. The controversial writers, who shone in this century, had three different forts of adverfaries to combat; the Jews, the Pagans, and those, who, in the bosom of Christianity, corrupted its doctrines, and produced various fects and divisions in the church. Justin MARTYR, and TERTULLIAN, embarked in a controversy with the Jews, which it was not possible for them to manage with the highest success and dexterity, as they were very little acquainted with the language, the history, and the learning of the Hebrews, and wrote with more levity and inaccuracy, than was justifiable on such a fubject. Of those who managed the cause of Christianity against the Pagans, some performed this important talk by composing apologies for the Christians; and others by addressing pathetic exhortations to the Gentiles. Among the former were, ATHENA-

[2] MELITO, belides his apology for the Christians, and the treatifes mentioned by Dr. Mosneru here, wrote a discourse upon Baster, and several other differtations, of which we have only forms feattered fragments remaining; but what is worthy of remark here, is, that he is the first Christian union that has given us a catalogue of the books of the Old Testament. His catalogue also is perfectly conformable to that of the Jews, except in this point only, that he has omitted in it the book of Elber.

GORAS, MELITO, QUADRATUS, MILTIADES, ARIS- CENT. TIDES, TATIAN, and JUSTIN MARTYR; and among Part IL. the latter, Tertullian, Clemens, Justin, and THEOPHILUS bishop of Anthoch. All these writers attacked, with judgment, dexterity, and fuccess, the Pagan superstition, and also defended the Christians, in a victorious manner, against all the calumnies and afpersions of their enemies. But they did not succeed so well in unfolding the true nature and genius of Christianity, nor were the arguments they made use of to demonstrate its truth and divinity so full of energy, so striking and irrefiftible, as those by which they overturned the Pagan system. In a word, both their explication and defence of many of the doctrines of Christianity are defective and unsatisfactory in feveral respects. As to those who directed their polemic efforts against the heretics, their number was prodigious, though few of their writings have come down to our times. IRENATUS refuted the whole tribe, in a work destined solely for that purpose. CLEMENS [w], TERTULLIAN [x], and JUSTIN MARTYR, wrote also against all the fectaries; but the work of the last, upon that fubiect, is not extant. It would be endless to mention those who combated particular errors, of whose writings, also, many have disappeared amidst the decays of time, and the revolutions that have happened in the republic of letters.

VIII. If the primitive defenders of Christianity Good and were not always happy in the choice of their ar- of the san guments, yet they discovered more candour and trem difprobity than those of the following ages. artifice of fophistry, and the habit of employing pious frauds in support of the truth, had not, as yet, infected the Christians. And this, indeed,

[[]co] In his work, insitled, Stromata. [x] In his Prafériphouss adverfus barreless.

C B N T. is all that can be said in their behalf; for they PART IL are worthy of little admiration on account of the accuracy or depth of their reasonings. The most of them appear to have been destitute of penetration, learning, order, application, and force. They frequently make use of arguments void of all folidity, and much more proper to dazzle the fancy, than to enlighten and convince the mind. One, laying aside the sacred writings, from whence all the weapons of religious controverly ought to be drawn, refers to the decisions of those bishops who ruled the apostolic churches. Another thinks, that the antiquity of a doctrine is a mark of its truth, and pleads prescription against his adversaries, as if he was maintaining his property before a civil magistrate; than which method of disputing nothing can be more pernicious to the cause of truth. A third imitates those wrong-headed difpurants among the Jews, who, infatuated with their cabalistic jargon, offered, as arguments, the imaginary powers of certain mystic words and chosen numbers [y]. Nor do they seem to err, who are of epinion, that in this century, that vicious method [z] of disputing, which afterwards obtained the name of aconomical, was first introduced [4]:

Morel mnitere.

IX. The principal points of morality were treated by Justin Martur, or, at leaft, by the

[4] Several examples of this fenfelels method of reasoning. are to be found in different priters. See particularly Baskacz,

[a] Rich. Simon, Hiftere Gritique des principaux Generasatture du N. T. cap. n. p. 21.

Histoire des Jusses, tom. iii. p. 660. 694. which the disputants accommodated themselves, as far as was possible, to the take and prejudices of those whom they were endeavouring to gain over to the truth. Some of the first Christians carried this condescention too far, and abused Sc. Parith example (4 Cor. ix. so, 11, 12.) to a degree incomfiftent with the purity and simplicity of the Christian doctrine.

writer of the epifile to Zena and Serenus, which cent. is to be found among the works of that celebrated author. Many other writers confined themselves to particular branches of the moral fyshem, which they handled with much attention and zeal. Thus CLEMENS, of Alexandria, wrote several treatises concerning calumny, patience, continence, and other virtues, which discourses have not reached our times. Those of TERTULLIAN upon chastity, upon flight in the time of persecutions as also upon faffing, shows, female ornaments, and prayer, have survived the walte of time, and might be read with much fruit, were the style, in which they are written, less laboured and difficult, and the spirit they breathe less melancholy and morose.

X. Learned men are not unanimous concerning of the mathe degree of esteem that is due to the authors fathers, as now mentioned, and the other ancient moralists, moral Some represent them as the most excellent guides where in the paths of piety and virtue; while others place them in the very lowest rank of moral writers, confider them as the very worst of all instructors, and treat their precepts and decisions as perfectly infipid, and, in many respects, pernicious. We leave the determination of this point to fuch as are more capable of pronouncing decifively upon it, than we pretend to be [b]. It, however, appears to us incontestable, that, in the

[b] This question was warmly and learnedly debated between the defervedly celebrated BARBEYRAC and CELLIBER Benedictin monk. Budbaus has given us an history of this controversy, with his own judgment of it, in his stageou ad Thelogiam, lib. u. cap. iv. p. 620, &c. BARBRYKAE, how. ever, published after this a particular treatife in defence of the severe sentence he had propounced against the fathers. This ingenious performance was printed at Amperdam in 1720, under the title of Traits fur la Marale des Peres; and is highly worthy of the perulal of those who have a take for this walk interesting branch of literature, though they will find in it some importations cult upon the fathers, against which they may be easily defended. writings

C E M T. writings of the primitive fathers, there are feveral PART II. Sublime sentiments, judicious thoughts, and many things that are naturally adapted to form a religious temper, and to excite pious and virtuous affections; while it must be confessed, on the other hand, that they abound still more with precepts of an excellive and unreasonable austerity. with stoical and academical dictates, vague and indeterminate notions, and, what is yet worfe, with decifions that are absolutely salse, and in evident opposition to the precepts of Christ. Before the question mentioned above, concerning the merit of the ancient fathers, as moralists, be decided, a previous question must be determined, viz. What is meant by a bas director in point of morals? and, if by fuch a person be meant, one who has no determinate notion of the nature and limits of the duties incumbent upon Christians, no clear and distinct ideas of virtue and vice; who has not penetrated the spirit and genius of those sacred books, to which alone we must appeal in every dispute about Christian virtue, and who, in confequence thereof, fluctuates often in uncertainty, or falls into error in explaining the divine laws, though he may frequently administer sublime and pathetic instruction; if, by a bad guide in morals, fuch a person, as we have now delineated, be meant, then it must be confessed, that this title belongs indisputably to many of the fathers.

عطياط

XI. The cause of morality, and, indeed, of Christianity in general, suffered deeply by a capital error which was received in this century; an erfor admitted without any evil defign, but yet with the utmost imprudence, and which, through every period of the church, even until the prefent time, has produced other errors without number, and multiplied the evils under which the gospel has fo often grouned. JESUS CHRIST prescribed

to all his disciples one and the same rule of life e e w T. and manners. But certain Christian doctors, PART IL either through a define of imitating the nations among whom they lived, or in confequence of a natural propensity to a life of authority (which is a disease not uncommon in Syria, Egypt, and other eaftern provinces), were induced to maintain, that CHRIST had established a double rule of janctity and virtue, for two different orders of Christians. Of these rules the one was ordinary. the other extraordinary; the one of a lower dignity, the other more fublime; the one for persons, in the active scenes of life, the other for those, who, in a facred retreat, aspired after the glory of a celestial state. In consequence of this wild fystem, they divided into two parts all those moral doctrines and instructions which they had received either by writing or tradition. One of these divisions they called precepts, and the other counsels. They gave the name of precepts to those laws, that were univerfally obligatory upon all orders of men; and that of counfels to those that related to Christians of a more sublime rank, who proposed to themselves great and glorious ends, and breathed after an intimate communion with the Supreme Being.

XII. This double dostrine produced, all of a Give ... fudden, a new fet of men, who made profession of to the Ale uncommon degrees of fanclity and virtue, and declared their refolution of obeying all the counsels of Christ, in order to their enjoying communion with God here; and also, that, after the dissolution of their mortal bodies, they might afcend to him with the greater facility, and find nothing to retard their approach to the supreme centre of happiness and persection. They looked upon themselves as prohibited the use of things, which it was lewful for other Christians to enjoy, such as Vol. I.

CENT. wine, flesh, matrimony, and commerce [c]. They

PART II, thought it their indispensable duty to extenuate - the body by watchings, abilinence, labour, and hunger. They looked for felicity in folitary retreats, in defert places, where, by severe and affiduous efforts of sublime meditation, they raised the foul above all external objects, and all fenfual pleasures. Both men and women imposed upon themselves the most severe tasks, the most austere discipline; all which, however the fruit of pious intention, was in the iffue, extremely detrimental to Christianity. These persons were called Afcetics, Emidaios Endelloi, and philosophers; nor were they only diftinguished by their title from other Christians, but also by their garb [d]. In this century, indeed, fuch as embraced this austere kind of life, submitted themselves to all these mortifications in private, without breaking afunder their focial bonds, or withdrawing themselves from the concourse of men. But, in process of time, they retired into deferts; and, after the example of the Essenes and Therapeutæ, they formed themfelves into certain companies.

Why certain Cheift. Afetics.

XIII. Nothing is more obvious than the reaians become fons that gave rife to this austere fect. One of the principal was, the ill-judged ambition of the Christians to resemble the Greeks and Romans, many of whose sages and philosophers diffinguished themselves from the generality by their maxims, by their habit, and, indeed, by the whole plan of life and manners which they had formed to themselves, and by which they acquired *a high degree of efteem and authority. also well known, that, of all these philosophers,

^{· [}c] ATHENAGORAS; Apologia pro Christian. cap. xxviii. p. 129. edit. Oxon. [d] Sec Salmas. Comm. in Tertulianum de Pallio, p. 7, 8,

there were none, whose sentiments and discipline CENT. were so well received by the ancient Christians Part It. as those of the Platonics and Pythagoreans, who prescribed in their lessons two rules of conduct; one for the fage, who aspired to the sublimest heights of virtue; and another for the people, involved in the cares and hurry of an active life [e]. The law of moral conduct, which the Platonics prescribed to the philosophers, was as follows: "The foul " of the wife man ought to be removed to the " greatest possible distance from the contagious " influence of the body. And as the depressing " weight of the body, the force of its appetites, " and its connexions with a corrupt world, are " in direct opposition to this facred obligation; therefore all fenfual pleafures are to be care-" fully avoided; the body is to be supported, or " rather extenuated, by a flender diet; folitude is " to be fought as the true mansion of virtue; and " contemplation to be employed as the means of " raising the foul, as far as is possible, to a sub-" lime freedom from all corporeal ties, and to a " noble elevation above all terrestrial things [f]. "The person, who lives in this manner, shall " enjoy, even in the present state, a certain de-" gree of communion with the Deity; and when " the corporeal mass is dissolved, shall imme-

[e] These samous sects made an important distinction between living according to nature, In wha given, and living above noture, Zn. v 13 36 a. The former was the rule preferibed to the vulgar; the latter that which was to direct the conduct of the philotopiers, who aimed at superior degrees of virtue. See ÆBEAS GAZBUS IN Theophraft. p. 29. edig. Barthii.

[1] The reader will find the principles of this fanatical difcipline, in Porray's book ω ρ. ω χτι, i. e. concerning abstrace. That celebrated Platonist has explained at large the respective, duties that belong to active and contemplative life, book i. § 27, and 41.

CENT. "diately ascend to the sublime regions of selicity PARTILL " and perfection, without passing through that ", state of purification and trial, that awaits the " generality of mankind." It is easy to perceive, that this rigorous discipline was a natural confequence of the peculiar opinions which these philofophers, and fome others that refembled them, entertained, concerning the nature of the foul, the influence of matter, the operations of invisible beings or demons, and the formation of the world. And as these opinions were adopted by the more learned among the Christians, it was but natural that they should embrace also the moral discipline which **flowed** from them.

The progrels of this ના લિકે ગાફ.

XIV. There is a particular confideration that will enable us to render a natural account of the origin of those religious severities of which we have been now speaking, and that is drawn from the genius and temper of the people by whom they were first practised. It was in Egypt that this morole discipline had its rife; and it is obfervable, that that country has, in all times, as it were by an immutable law, or disposition of nature, abounded with perfors of a melancholy complexion, and produced, in proportion to its extent, more gloomy spirits than any other part of the world [g]. It was here that the Edlenes and the Therapeutæ, those difinal and gloomy sects, dwelt principally, long before the coming of CHRIST; as also many others of the Asceric tribe, who, led by a certain melancholy turn of mind, and a delutive notion of rendering themselves more acceptable to the Deity by their aufterities, withdrew themselves from human society, and from all the innocent pleasures and comforts of

^[9] See MAILLET, Description de l'Egypte, tom. li. p. 57. edir. in 410. de Paris.

life [b]. From Egypt this four and unfociable CBWT. discipline passed into Syria; and the neighbouring PART II. countries, which also abounded with persons of --the same dismal constitution with that of the Egyptians $\{i\}$; and from thence, in process of time, its infection reached to the European nations. Hence that train of austere and superstitious vows and rites, that yet, in many places, cast a veil over the beauty and simplicity of the Christian religion. Hence the celibacy of the prieftly order, the rigour of unprofitable penances and mortifications, the innumerable fwarms of monks that refused their talents and labours to fociety, and this in the fenfeless persuit of a vifionary fort of perfection. Hence also that distinction between the theoretical and mystical life, and many other fancies of a like nature, which we shall have occasion to mention in the course of this hiftory.

XV. It is generally true, that delutions travel The rife of in a train, and that one militake produces many. among The Christians, who adopted the austere system, Chassiant which has been already mentioned, had certainly made a very false step, and done much injury to their excellent and most reasonable religion. But they did not stop here; another erroneous practice was adopted by them, which, though it was not so universal as the other, was yet extremely pernicious, and proved a fource of numberless evils to the Christian church. The Platonists and Pythagoreans held it as a maxim, that it was * _{**}

[1] Jo. CHARDIN Yoyages en Perfe, tom. iv. p. 197. edit. Amsterd. 1735, 4to.

[[]b] HERODOT. Hifter. lib. ii. p. 104. edit. Gronov. Epi-PRABIUS, Expegit. fider, § 11. tom. ii. opp. p. 1092. TER-TULLIAN, De exhortatione caffitat, cap. xiii. p. 514. edit. Priorii. Athanasius in Vita Antonii, tom. ii. opp. p.

CENT. II. Part II not only lawful, but even praise worthy, to deceive. and even to use the expedient of a lie, in order to advance the cause of truth and piety. The Jews, who lived in Egypt, had learned and received this maxim from them, before the coming of Christ, as appears incontestably from a multitude of ancient records; and the Christians were infected from both these sources with the same pernicious error, as appears from the number of books attributed faifely to great and venerable names, from the Sibytline verses, and several supposititious productions, which were spread abroad in this and the following century. It does not, indeed, feem probable, that all these pious frauds were chargeable upon the professors of real Christianity, upon those who entertained just and rational sentiments of the religion of Jesus. The greatest part of these fictitious writings, undoubtedly, flowed from the fertile invention of the Gnostic sects, though it cannot be affirmed that even true Christians were entirely innocent and irreproachable in this matter.

Of the lives of Chrift-

Breommu-

XVI. As the boundaries of the church were enlarged, the number of vicious and irregular persons, who entered into it, were proportionably increased, as appears from the many complaints and centures that we find in "the writers of this Several methods were made use of to stem the torrent of iniquity. Excommunication was peculiarly employed to present or punish the most heinous and enormous crimes; and the crimes, efteemed fuch, were murder, idolatry, and Adultery, which terms, however, we must here understand in their more full and extensive sense. In some places, the commission of any of these fins cut off irrevocably the criminal from all hopes of restoration to the privileges of churchcommunion: in others, after a long, laborious,

and

and painful course of probation and discipline, CENT, they were re-admitted into the bosom of the PART U.

church [∤].

XVII. It is here to be attentively observed, that Peninstill the form used in the exclusion of heinous offend- among the ers from the society of Christians was, at first, Christians extremely fimple. A small number of plain, yet imperception judicious rules, made up the whole of this folernn by accordinstitution, which, however, was imperceptibly of the Heaaltered, enlarged by an addition of a vast mul- then mystetitude of rites, and new-modelled according to the discipline used in the Heathen mysteries [1]. Those who have any acquaintance with the fingular reasons that obliged the Christians of these ancient times to be careful in restraining the progress of vice, will readily grant, that it was incumbent upon the rulers of the church to perfect their discipline, and to render the restraints upon iniquity more fevere. They will justify the rulers of the primitive church in their refusing to restore excommunicated members to their forfeited privileges, before they had given incontestable marks of the fincerity of their repentance. Yet still it remains to be examined, whether it was expedient to borrow from the enemies of the truth the rules of this falutary discipline, and thus to fanctify, in fome measure, a part of the Heathen superstition. But, however delicate such a question may be, when determined with a view to all the indirect or immediate confequences of the matter in debate, the equitable and candid judge will confider prin-

[1] See Fabricius's Bibliograph. Amigrar, p. 197. and

Moninus, De Pauteuia, lib. 1. cap. xv, xvi, &c.

[[]k] By this distinction, we may easily reconcile the different opinions of the learned concerning the effects of excommunication. See Morinus, De disciplina Paratent. lib. ix. cap. xix. p. 670. Sikmond, Historia Panitentia publica, cap. i. р. 323. tom. iv. opp. As alfo Josean, Augustin. Onei, Dissert, de criminum capitalium per tria priora sacula absolutione, published at Milan, 1730, 4to.

e ENT. class the good intention of those from whom these PART II. ceremonies and inflitutions proceed, and will-over- look the rest from a charitable condescension and indulgence to human weakness,

CHAP. IV.

Of the ceremonies used in the church during this century.

🖚 ji Piphada

Ceremonies I. THERE is no inflicution fo pure and excellent which the corruption and folly of man will not in time alter for the worfe, and load with additions foreign to its nature and original delign. Such, in a particular manner, was the fale of Christianity. In this dentury, many unneceffary rites and ceremonies were added to the Christian worship, the introduction of which was extremely offensive to wife and good men [m]. These changes, while they destroyed the beautiful fimplicity of the golpel, were naturally pleafing to the grofs multitude, who are more delighted with the pomp and splendour of external inflitutions, than with the native charms of rational and folid piety, and who generally give little attention to any objects but those which strike their outward senses [n]. But other reasons

> [m] Tertuliiak, Lib. de Creatione, p. 792. opp. [n] It is not improper to remark here, that this attachment of the vulgar to the pomp of ceremonies, is a circumflance that has always been favourable to the ambitions views of the Romith clergy, since the pomp of religion naturally cafts a part of its glory and emagnificence upon its ministers, and thereby gives them, imperceptibly, a vail afcendant over the minds of the people. The late Lord Bolingbroke, being present at the elevation of the hoft in the cathedral at Paris, expressed to a nobleman, who stood near him, his surprize that the king of France should commit the performance of fach an angust and striking coremomy to any subject. How far am

may be added to this, which, though they Sup- @ B W T. pose no bad intentions, yet manifest a considerable PART II.

degree of precipitation and imprudence.

II. And here we may observe, in the first place, Fint reasons that there is a high degree of probability in the oplication notion of those, who think that the bishops augmented the number of religious rites in the ChristAddition ian worthip, by way of accommodation to the budge the infirmities and prejudices both of Jews and Hea- the church. thens, in order to facilitate thus their conversion to Christianity. Both Jews and Heathens were accultomed to a valt variety of pompous and maginficent ceremonies in their religious fervice. And as they confidered thefe rites as an effential part of religion, it was but natural that they should behold, with indifference, and even with contempt, the simplicity of the Christian worship, which was deflitute of those idle ceremonies that rendered their fervice so specious and striking. To remove then, in some measure, this prejudice against Christianity, the bishops thought it necesfary to increase the number of rites and ceremonies, and thus to render the public worship more striking to the outward fenfes [0].

III.

bition may, in this and the succeeding ages, have contributed to the accumulation of gaudy coromonics, is a question not easy to be determined.

[a] A remarkable passage in the life of GREGORY, surnamed Thaumaturgus, r. c. the wonder-worker, will illustrate this point in the charest manner. The passage is as sollows: " Cum animadvertifiet (GREGORIUS) quod ob corpo-" reas delectationes et voluptates simplex et imperitum vulgus " in fimulacrorum cultus errore permaneret- permitit eis, aut " in memoriam et recordationem fanctorum martyrum fele " oblectarent, & in lactitiam effunderentur, quod fuccella "temporis aliquando futurum effet, ut fua sponte ad ho-" neftiorem et accuratiorem vitæ rationem transfrent." i. e. "When Grecory perceived that the ignorant multitude per-" fifted in their idolatry, on account of the piculares and " feefual gratifications which they enjoyed at the Pagan 4º festivals, he granted them a permission to indulge them-

Become renfor, To refute catumpies and repersecutes.

HI. This addition of external rites was also deligned to remove the opprobrious calumnies, which the Jewish and Pagan priests cast upon the Christians, on account of the simplicity of their worship, esteeming them little better than Atheists, because they had no temples, alters, vittims, priests, nor any thing of that external pomp in which the vulgar are so prone to place the effence of religion. The rulers of the church adopted, therefore, certain external ceremonies, that thus they might captivate the senses of the vulgar, and be able to refute the reproaches of their adversaries. This, it must be confessed, was a very aukward, and, indeed, a very pernicious stratagem; it was obscuring the native lustre of the gospel, in order to extend its influence, and making it lofe, in point of real excellence, what it gained in point of popular effects. Some accommodations to the infirmities of mankind, some prodest instances of condescention to their invincible prejudices, are necessary in ecclesiastical, as well as in civil institutions; but they mult be of fuch a nature, as not to inspire ideas, or encourage prejudices incompatible with just fentiments of the great object of religious worship, and of the fundamental truths which God has imparted by reason and revelation to the human race. How far this rule has been difregarded and violated, will appear too plainly in the progress of this history.

Third reafon, The shafe of Jawih rites. IV. A third cause of the multiplication of rites and ceremonies in the Christian church, may be

"felves in the like pleasures, in celebrating the memory of the holy martyrs, hoping, that, in process of time, they would return, of their own accord, to a more virtuous and regular course of life." There is no fort of doubt, but that, by this permillion, Gascoax allowed the Christians to dance, sport, and feast, at the tombs of the martyrs, upon their respective festivals, and to do every thing which the Pagans were accustomed to do in their temples, during the feasts celebrated in bosour of their gods.

deduced

deduced from the abuse of certain titles that diffin- C B N T. guished the sacerdotal orders among the Jews. PART IL Every one knows, that many terms used in the New Testament, to express the different parts of the Christian doctrine and worship, are borrowed from the Jewish law, or have a certain analogy with the rites and ceremonies instituted by Mo-The Christian doctors did not only imitate this analogical manner of speaking, but they even extended it further than the apostles had done. And, though in this there was nothing worthy of reproach, yet the consequences of this method of, speaking became, through abuse, detrimental to the purity of the gospel. For, in process of time, many afferted, whether through ignorance or artifice, is not easy to determine, that these forms of ineech were not figurative, but highly proper, and exactly fuitable to the nature of the things they were defigned to express. The bishops, by an innocent allusion to the Jewish manner of speaking, had been called chief priests; the elders, or presbyters, had received the title of priests, and the deacons that of Levites. But, in a little time, these titles were abused by an aspiring clergy, who thought proper to claim the same rank and station, the fame rights and privileges, that were conferred with those titles upon the ministers of religion under the Mosaic dispensation. Hence the rise of tithes, first fruits, splendid garments, and many other circumstances of external grandeur, by which ecclesiastics were eminently distinguished. In like manner the comparison of the Christian oblations with the Jewish villims and facrifices, produced. a multitude of unnecessary rites, and was the occation of introducing that erroneous notion of the euchariff, which repretents it as a real facrifice, and not merely as a commemoration of that great offering, that was once made upon the cross for the lins of mortals.

Fourth reafon, The imitation of the Heathen

my Recies.

EENT.

V. The profound respect that was paid to the Greek and Roman mysteries, and the extraordinary fanctity that was attributed to them, was a further circumstance that induced the Christians to give their religion a myflic air, in order to put it upon an equal foot, in point of dignity, with that of the Pagans. For this purpole, they gave the name of mysteries to the inflitutions of the gospel. and decorated particularly the holy facrament with that folemn fitle. They used in that facred institution, as also in that of baptilin, several of the terms employed in the Heathen mysteries; and proceeded to far, at length, as even to adopt some of the rites and ceremonies of which these re**nowned** mysteries consisted [p]. This imitation began in the eaftern provinces; but after the time of Adrian, who first introduced the Mysteries among the Latins [q], it was followed by the Christians, who dwelt in the western parts of the empire. A great part, therefore, of the service of the church, in this cen very, had a certain air of the Heathen mysteries, and resembled them confiderably in many particulars.

Fifth renfun. The fymbolic manner of traceing in the emeng the eaftern nations. VI. It may be yet further observed, that the custom of teaching their religious doctrines by images, actions, figns, and other sensible representations, which prevailed among the Egyptians, and, indeed, in almost all the eastern nations, was another cause of the increase of external rites in the church. As there were many persons of narrow capacities, whose comprehension scarcely extended beyond sensible objects, the Christian doctors thought it adviseable to instruct such in the essential

tial

[[]p] See, for many examples of this, ISAAC CASAUBON. Exercitat. xvi. in Annales Baronit, p. 478, 9. &c edit. Genev. 1654. Tollius, Infigs. squares Italia. Not. p. 151. 163. Spanning Notes to his French translation of Julian's Cafars, p. 132, 134. Clarkson on Lungies, p. 36. 42, 43. Iol Spanning, Hadrian, c. 201. p. xvi edit. of Obrecher.

tial truths of the gospel, by placing these truths, CENT. as it were, before their eyes, under fenfible images. PART II. Thus they administered milk and boney, which was the ordinary food of infants, to such as were newly received into the church, shewing them, by this fign, that by their baptifin they were born again, and were bound to manifest the simplicity and innocence of infants in their lives and conversations. Certain military rites were borrowed to express the new and folemn engagements, by which Christians artached themselves to Christ as their leader and their chief; and the ancient ceremony of manumillion was used to fignity the liberty of which they were made partakers, in confequence of their redemption from the guilt and dominion of fin, and then deliverance from the empire of the prince of da kneß [r].

VII. It is be confidered, in the first place, that stop methe Christians who composed the church, were dies of Jews and Heathens, accustomed, from their birth, convened to various infignificant ceremonies and supersti- Genules. nous rites, and if it be also confidered, that such a long course of custom and education forms preindices that are extremely obfinate and difficult to be conquered, it will then appear, that nothing less than a continued miracle could have totally prevented the entrance of all superstitious mixtures into the Christian worship. A single example will tend to the illustration of this matter. Before the coming of Chrise, all the eaftern nations performed divine worthip with their faces turned to that part of the heavens where the fun displays his rifing beams. This cuftom was founded upon a general opinion, that God, whose effence they looked upon to be light, and whom they confidered as circumscribed within certain limits,

[r] See Lom. Mantante Observat. Ib. iii. exp. iii.

dwelt in that part of the firmament, from whence

CENT. he fends forth the fun, the bright image of his PART II. benignity and glory. They, who embraced the - Christian religion, rejected, indeed, this gross error, but they retained the ancient and universal custom of worshipping towards the east, which sprung from it. Nor is that custom abolished even in our times, but still prevails in a great number of Christian churches. From this same fource arose various rites among the Jews, which many Christians, especially those who live in the eaftern countries, observe religiously at this very day [s].

Of the Christian affemblics.

VIII. We shall take no more than a brief view of these rites and ceremonies, since a particular confideration of them would lead us into endicis discussions, and open a field too vast to be comprehended in such a compendious history as we here give of the Christian church. The first Christians assembled for the purposes of divine worship, in private bouses, in caves, and in vaults, where the dead were buried. Their meetings were on the first day of the week; and, in some places, they affembled also upon the seventb, which was celebrated by the Jews. Many also observed the fourth day of the week, on which CHRIST was betrayed; and the fixth, which was the day of his crucifixion. The hour of the day appointed for holding these religious affemblies, varied according to the different times and circumstances of the church; but it was generally in the evening after fun-fet, or in the morning before the dawn. During these sacred meetings, prayers were repeated [t], the holy scriptures were publicly read,

[1] See Spekcer, De legibus retualibus Hebraerum. Pro-

tegom. p. 9. edit. Cambridge.
[2] There is an excellent account given of these prayers. and of the Christian worthip in general, in Terrutlian's Apology, ch. xxxix, which is one of the most noble productions pof ancient times.

thort discourses, upon the duties of Christians, were C E W T. addressed to the people, hymns were sung, and a PART II. portion of the oblations, presented by the faithful, --was employed in the celebration of the Lord's tupper and the feafts of charity.

IX. The Christians of this century celebrated Disputes anniverfary feltivals in commemoration of the death time of and refurrection of Christ, and of the effution keeping of the Holy Ghost upon the apostles. The day the pished which was observed as the anniversary of Christ's feel, death, was called the paschal day, or passover, because it was looked upon to be the same with that on which the Tews celebrated the feast of that In the manner, however, of observing this folemn day, the Christians of the Lesser Asia differed much from the reft, and in a more especial manner from those of Rome. They both, indeed, fasted during the great week (so that was called in which CHRIST died), and afterwards celebrated, like the Jews, a facred feast, at which they distributed a parchal lamb in memory of our Saviour's last supper. But the Asiatic Christians kept this feast on the fourteenth day of the first Jewish month, at the time that the Jews celebrated their passover, and, three days after, commemorated the reforrection of the triumphant Redeemer. affirmed, that they had derived this cultom from the apostles John and Philip; and pleaded moreover, in its behalf, the example of Chaist himfelf, who held his paschal feast on the same day that the Tews celebrated their pallover. The western churches observed a different method. celebrated their pa/chal feast on the night that preceded the anniversary of Christ's resurrection, and thus connected the commemoration of the Saviour's crucifixion, with that of his victory over death and the grave. Nor did they differ thus from the Affatics, withour alleging also apostolic authority for what they did; for they pleaded that



The occafion of them, and their progreft.

CENT. of St. Peter and St. Paul, as a justification of PART II, their conduct in this matter.

X. The Asiatic rule for keeping the pastbalfeast, was attended with two great inconveniencies. to which the Christians at Alexandria and Rome. and the whole western churches, refused to submit. For, in the first place, as the Asiatics celebrated their festival the same day that Christ is said to have ate the paschal lamb with his disciples, this occasioned an inevitable interruption in the fast of the great week, which the other churches looked opon as almost criminal, at least as highly indecent. Nor was this the only inconveniency arifing from this rule; for as they celebrated the memory of Christ's refurrection, precisely the third day after their paschal supper, it happened, for the most part, that this great festival (which afterwards was called, by the Latins, paicha, and to which we give the name of Easter) was held on other days of the week than the first. This circumflance was extremely displeasing to, by far, the greatest part of the Christians, who thought it unlawful to celebrate the refurrection of our Lord, on any day but Sunday, as that was the day on which this glorious event happened. Hence arofe sharp and vehement contentions between the Afiatic and western Christians. About the middle of this century, during the reign of Antoninus Plus, the venerable Polycarp came to Rome to confer with ANICET, bishop of that see, upon this matter, with a view to terminate the warm difputes it had occasioned. But this conference. Though conducted with great decency and moderation, was without effect. Polycarp and Anicar were only agreed in this, that the bonds of charity were not to be broken on account of this controversy; but they continued, at the fame time, each in their former fentiments, nor could the Afiatics be engaged by any arguments to alter

the stale which they pretended to have received a war.

by tradition from St. John [4].

· XI. Towards the conclusion of this century, VICTOR, hishop of Rome, took it into his head to They preforce the Afiatic Christians, by the pretended au- paily bethority of his laws and decrees, to follow the rule tween the which was observed by the western churches in this Romana matter. Accordingly, after having taken the advice of fome foreign bishops, he wrote an imperious letter to the Afiatic prelates, commanding them to imitate the example of the western Christians with respect to the time of celebrating the festival of Easter. The Affatics answered this lordly fummons by the pen of Polycrates, bishop of Ephejus, who declared in their name, and that with great spirit and resolution, that they would by no means depart, in this matter, from the cultom handed down to them by their ancef-

Upon this, the thunder of excommunication began to roar. Vieron, exasperated by this resolute answer of the Asiatic bithops, broke communion with them, pronounced them unworthy of the name of his bectiven, and excluded them from all fellowship with the church of Rome. This excommunication, indeed, extended no further; nor could it cut off the Affatic bishops from communion with the other churches, whole bishops were far from approving the conduct of Vic-TOR [w]. The progress of this violent diffension was stopped by the wife and moderate remonstrances, which IRENAEUS, bishop of Lyons, addrelled to the Roman prelate upon this occasion, in which he shewed him the imprudence and in-

[u] Eusebius, Hiff. Ecclef. lib. iv. cap. xiv. p. 127. and lib. v. cap. xxív. p. 193.

[[]av] This whole affair furnishes a striking argument, among the multitude that may be drawn from each hastical history, against the supremacy and universal authority of the bishop of Rome.

O E W T. justice of the step he had taken, and also by the PART I long letter which the Afiatic Christians wrote in their own justification. In consequence therefore of this cellation of arms, the combatants retained each their own cultoms, until the fourth century, when the council of Nice abolished that of the Afratics, and rendered the time of the celebration of Easter the same through all the Christian churches [x].

The relebration of the Lord's Supper.

XII. In these times, the sacrament of the Lord's-supper was celebrated, for the most part, on Sundays, and the ceremonies observed upon that occasion were such as follow: A part of the bread and wine, which was prefented among the other oblations of the faithful, was separated from the rest, and confectated by the prayers of the bishop. The wine was mixed with water, and the bread was divided into feveral portions. A part of the confecrated bread and wine was carried to the fick or abfent members of the church. as a testimony of staternal love, sent to them by the whole fociety [y]. It appears by many and undoubted tellimonies, that this holy rite was looked upon as effential to falvation; and when this is duly confidered, we shall be less disposed to cenfure, as erroneous, the opinion of those who have affirmed that the Lord's-Jupper was ad-

[] HERRICUS RIXHERUS, De retibus weterum Christiano-

rum, cerca Eucharifiam, p. 155, &c.

^{😭 [}x] Dr. Mosheim, in a note here, refers us for an ampler account or this controverly to his Commentar, de rebus Christianors in ante Constantinum, M. p. 435. He had food in that work, that FAYDIT had perceived the error of the common opinion, concerning the duputes that arole in the church about the time of keeping Cafter. But here he retracts this encomium, and, after a fecond reading of FAYDIT's book, finds himfelf obliged to declare, that that writer has entirely missed the true state of the question. See the account of this controverly, that is given by the learned Heuman, in one of the treatiles of his Sylloge, or collection of small pieces.

ministered to infants during this century [z]. The CENT. feasts of charity, that followed the celebration of PART II. the Lord's-fupper, have been mentioned already.

XIII. The facrament of baptifm was admi- Baptifm. nistered publicly twice every year, at the festivals of Easter and Pentecost, or Whitsuntide [a], either by the bishop, or the presbyters, in consefequence of his authorization and appointment. The persons that were to be baptized, after they had repeated the Creed, confessed and renounced their fins, and particularly the devil, and his pompous allurements, were immerfed under water, and received into Christ's kingdom by a tolemn invocation of Father, Son, and Holy Ghoft, according to the express command of our Blessed Lord. After baptilin, they received the fign of the erofs, were anotated, and, by prayers and impolition of bands, were folemaly commended to the mercy of God, and dedicated to his fervice; in confequence of which they received milk and woney, which concluded the ceremony [b]. teatons of this particular ritual coincide with what we have faid in general concerning the origin and cautes of the multiplied ceremonies that crept tion time to time into the church.

Adult persons were prepared for baptism by abilinence, prayer, and other pious exercises. It was to answer for them that sponsors or godfathers were first instituted, though they were afterwards admitted also in the baptism of infants [e].

[[]x] See Jo. FRID. MAYER, D.f. de Euchariftia Infantum; as also Zornius Histor. Euchariff. Infantum, published at Berl n. 1736.

[[]a] See WALL's History of Infant Baptifm; and VICE-CONE'S De ritibut Baptifms.

[[]b, Sec] LATULLIAN on Baptifm.

[[]c] See Gerh. a Mastricht, De fusceptoribus infantium en Lastifnio; though he is of a different opinion in this matter, and thinks that fponfors were not used in the baptism of adult

CHAP. V.

Concerning the herefies and divisions that troubled the church during this century.

PART II.

#aciln-Biff in the church, necationed by the Jews.

CENT. I. AMONG the many fects which divided the Christian church during this century, it is natural to mention, in the first place, that which an attachment to the Mofaic law separated from the rest of their Christian brethren. rife of this fect is placed under the reign of ADRIAN. For, when this emperor had, at length, razed Yerufalem, entirely destroyed even its very foundations, and chacted laws of the feverest kind against the whole body of the Jewish people; the greatest part of the Christians, who lived in Ralefline, to prevent their being confounded with the Jews, abandoned entirely the Mofaic rites, and chose a bishop named MARK, a foreigner by nation, and confequently an alien from the commonwealth of Ifrael. This step was highly shocking to those, whose attachment to the Mosaic rites was violent and invincible; and fuch was the case of many. These, therefore, separated themselves from the brethren, and sounded at Pera, a country of Paleftine, and in the neighbouring parts, particular affemblies, in which the law of Moses maintained its primitive dignity, authority, and luftre [d].

O lain of th. N424mare and Ebien les.

II. This body of judaizing Christians, which fet Christ and Moses upon an equal foot in

persons. See also Wall's History of Infant Baptism. D See moreover upon this subject, Isanci Junur. Arg. de Susceptorum Baptifinalium origini Commentatio, published at Strafburg in the year 1755, of which an account may be feen in the Riblioth, des Sciences et des Beaux Arts, tom, vi. part. i.

[4] Vid. Sulbitius Sevenus, Hift, Sucras, lib. ii. cap. "XXXI. p. 245.

point of authority, was afterwards divided into CENT. two fects, extremely different both in their rites PART II. and in their opinions, and diffinguished by the names of Nazarenes and Ebionites. The former are not placed by the ancient Christians in the heretical reguler [e]; but the latter were confidered as a fect, whose tenets were destructive of the fundamental principles of the Christian religion. There fects made use of a gospel, or history of Christ, different from that which is received among us, and concerning which there have been many disputes among the learned [f]. The term Nazarenes was not originally the name of a fiel, but that which diffinguished the disciples of Jesus in general. And as those, whom the Greeks called Christians, received the name of Nazarenes among the Jews, this latter name was not coolidered as a mark of ignominy or contempt. Those, indeed, who, after their separation from their brethren, retained the title of Nazarenes, differed much from the true disciples of Christ, to whom that name had been originally given: " they held, that CHRIST was " born of a virgin, and was also in a certain man-" ner united to the divine nature; they refused

[4] EPIPHANIUS was the first writer who placed the Nazatones in the list of heredds. He wrote in the fourth century, but is very far from being remarkable either for his sidelity or judgment.

This gospel, which was called indiscriminately the gospel of the Nazarenes or Hebrews, is certainly the same with the gospel of the Ebionites, the gospel of the Lit apostles, and is very probably that which St. Paul refers to, Galatians, ch. i. ver. 6. Dr. Moshei w refers his readers, for an account of this gospel, to Fabricius, in his Coden Apocryph. Now. Teft. tom. i. p. 355. and to a work of his own, intitled, Vindicia contra Tolandi Nazarenum, p. 112. The reader will, however, find a still more accurate and satisfuctory account of this gospel, in the first volume of the learned and judicious Mr. Jones's incomparable Method of putling the Canonical Authority of the New Testament.

C F N T. " to abandon the ceremonies prescribed by the PART II " law of Moses, but were far from attempting - " to impose the observance of these ceremonies " upon the Gentile Christians; they rejected alf) " all those additions that were made to the " Molaic institutions by the Pharifees and the " doctors of the law [g];" and from hence we may easily see the reason why the greatest part of the Christians treated the Nazarenes with a more than ordinary degree of gentleness and for-

their ongu doubtfall

bearance.

Phinnies. . ' III. It is a doubtful matter from whence the Fbionites derived their name, whether from that of fome of their principal doctors, or from their poverty [b]. One thing, however, is certain, and that is, that their fentiments and doctrines were much more pernicious than those of the Nazarenes [i]. For though they believed the celestial mission of Chaist, and his participation of a divine nature, yet they regarded him as a man born of Joseph and Mary, according to the ordinary course of nature. They, moreover, asferted, that the ceremonial law, inflituted by Moses, was not only obligatory upon the Jews, but also upon all others; and that the observance of it was effential to falvation. And as St. Paul had very different fentunents from them,

[[]g] See Mich: LE QUIEN, Adnot. ad Damafeenum, tom. i. p. 82, 83; as also a differtation of the same author, De A 2narems et eorum fide, which is the seventh of these that he has subjoined to his edition of the works of Damafeenus.

[[]b] See FABRIC. and Philostr. de Haresibus, p. 81; as also

ITTIGIUS, De Harchbus ave Apostolici. 17 [1] The learned Mr. Jones looked upon these two

feets as differing very little from one another. He attributes to them both much the fame doctrines, and alleges that the Ebionites had only made fome fmall additions to the old Nasarene fyllem. See the Arno and full Method of fettling the Campital Authority of the New Testament, vol. i. p. 385.

concerning the obligation of the ceremonial law, Q E N T. and had opposed the observance of it in the PARTH. warmest manner, so of consequence they held this apostle in abhorrence, and treated his writings with the utmost difrespect. Nor were they only attached to the rites instituted by Moses; they went still further, and received, with an equal degree of veneration, the superstitions of their ancestors, and the ceremonies and traditions which the Pharifees prefumptuously added to the law [k].

1V. These obscure and unfrequented heretical sees that affemblies were very little detrimental to the the oriental Christian cause, which suffered much more from philosophy. those sects, whose leaders explained the doctrines of Christianity in a manner conformable to the dictates of the oriental philosophy concerning the origin of evil. The oriental doctors, who, before this century, had lived in the greatest obfeurity, came forth from their retreat under the reign of ADRIAN [1], exposed themselves to public view, and gathered together, in various provinces, affemblies, whose numbers were very confiderable. The ancient records mention a great number of these demi-christian sects, many of which are no further known than by their diflinguithing names, which, perhaps, is the only circumstance in which they differ from each other. One division, however, of these oriental

[/] CLEMENS ALEX. Stromat. lib. viii. cap. xvii. p. 898.

CYPRIANUS, epilt. IXXV.

^{-[}A] IRPNEUS, lib. i. Contra Haref. cap. xxvi. p. 104. edit. Matfueti. herenantus gives a large account of the Ebionites, Haref. xxx. But he deserves little credit, since he confesses (§ 7. p. 127. and § 4. p. 141.) that he had confounded the Sampfesans and Elcefaites with the Ebionius, and also acknowledges, that the first Ebionites were strangers to the errors with which he charges them.

The After

tie,

CENT. Christians, may be confidered as real and im-PART II portant, fince the two branches it produced were vally superior to the rest in reputation, and made more notice in the world, than the other multiplied subdivisions of this pernicious sect. Of this famous division, one branch, which arose in Asia, preferred the oriental doctrine concerning the origin of the world, unmixed with other fentiments and opinions; while the other, which was formed in Egypt, made a motley mixture of this philosophy with the tenets and prodigies adopted in the religious system of that superstitious country. The doctrine of the former surpassed in simplicity and perspiculty that of the latter, which consisted of a vast variety of parts, so artfully

combined, that the explication of them became a

matter of much difficulty.

Elxai and hie follow

V. Among the doctors of the Affatic branch, the first place is due to Elxas, a Jew, who, during the reign of TRAIAN, is faid to have formed the fect of the Elcefaites. This heretic, though a Jew, attached to the worthip of one God, and full of veneration for Mosas, corrupted, nevertheless, the religion of his ancestors, by blending with it a multitude of fictions drawn from the oriental philosophy; pretending alfo, after the example of the Effenes, to give a parional explication of the law of Moses, he reduced it to a mere allegory. It is, at the same time, proper to observe, that some have doubted, whether the Electaites are to be reckoned among the Christian or the Jewish sects; and Epiphazius, who was acquainted with a certain production of Elxai, expresses his uncertainty in this matter. Elixas, indeed, in that book mentions CHAIST with the highest encomiums, without, however, adding any circumstance from whence it might be concluded with certainty, that Jesus

oΓ

of Nazareth was the Christ of whom he CRNT. spoke [#].

VI. If then Erxat be improperly placed among the leaders of the fect now under confideration, we may place at its head SATURNINUS gant fanction. of Antioch, who is one of the first Gnostic chiefs mentioned in history. He held the doctrine of two principles, from whence proceeded all things; the one a wife and benevolent deity; and the other. matter, a principle effentially evil, and which he supposed under the superintendence of a certain intelligence of a malignant nature. " The world-" and its first inhabitants were (according to the " fystem of this raving philosopher) created by " leven angels, which prefided over the feven " planets. This work was carried on without " the knowledge of the benevolent deity, and in " opposition to the will of the material principle. "The former, however, beheld it with appro-" batton, and honoured it with feveral marks of " his beneficence. He endowed with rational " fouls the beings who inhabited this new " fystem, to whom their creators had imparted " nothing more than the mere animal life, and " having divided the world into feven parts, he " distributed them among the seven angelic archi-" tells, one of whom was the god of the Jews; " and referved to himself the supreme empire " over all. To these creatures, whom the bene-" volent principle had endowed with reasonable " fouls, and with dispositions that led to good-" ness and virtue, the evil being, to maintain his " empire, added another kind, whom he formed " of a wicked and malignant character; and " hence the difference we fee among men. When

[[]m] Busen. Hift. Ecclef. lib. vi. cap. nunti. p. 234. EPIPHANIUS, Haref. XIX. § 3. p. 41. THEODORKTUS, Fabul. Hartt. lib. ii. cap, vii. p. 221.

CENT. " the creators of the world fell from their alle-" giance to the Supreme Deity, God fent from " heaven into our globe, a restorer of order, whose " name was CHRIST. This divine conqueror " came clothed with a corporeal appearance, " but not with a real body; he came to destroy the empire of the material principle, and to point " out to virtuous fouls the way by which they " must return to God. This way is beset with " difficulties and fufferings; fince those fouls, " who propose returning to the Supreme Being ." after the diffolution of this mortal body, must 46 abstain from wine, flesh, wedlock, and, in " short, from every thing that tends to sensual " gratification, or even bodily refreshment." Saturninus taught these extravagant doctrines in Spria, but principally at Antioch, and drew after him many disciples by the pompous appear**ance of an** extractdinary virtue [h].

Cardo. Matema.

VII. CERDO the Syrian, and Marcion, for to the bithop of Pontus, belong to the Afiatic fect, though they began to effabilith their doctrine at Rome, and having given a turn fornewhat different to the oriental superstition, may themselves be confidered as the heads of a new fect which bears their names. Amidft the obscurity and doubts that render to uncertain the history of thele two men, the following fact is incontestable, viz. That Cando had been spreading his doctrine at Rome before the arrival of MARCION there; and that the latter having, through his own misconduct, forseited a place to which he aspired in the church of Rome, attached himself, through refentment, to the impostor Cerbo, and propagated his implous doctrines with an altonish-

[[]a] IRRNEUS, lib. i. c. xxiv. Euseb. Hift. Ecclef. lib. iv. cap. vii. Theodoret. Falul. Haret. lib. i. cap. ii. Ersphan. Harry. Mill. THEODORET. Falal. Har. lib. i. cap. ii.,

ing success throughout the world. " After the CENT. " example of the oriental doctors, they held the PART IL existence of two principles, the one perfectly -" good, and the other perfectly wil. Between " thele, they imagined an intermediate kind of " deity, neither perfectly good nor perfectly " evil, but of a mixed nature (for Marcion ex-" presses it), and so far just and powerful, as to " administer rewards and instict punishments. " This middle deity is the creator of this inferior " world, and the God and legislator of the Tewish " nation; he wages perpetual war with the evil " principle; and both the one and the other aspire " to the place of the Supreme Being, and ambi-"tiously attempt subjecting to their authority all " the inhabitants of the world. The lews are " the subjects of that powerful genus who formed " this globe: the other nations, who worthip a " variety of gods, are under the empire of the " evil principle. Both these conflicting powers " exercise oppressions upon rational and immor-" tal fouls, and keep them in a tedious and mi-" ferable captivity. Therefore the Supreme " Gon, in order to terminate this war, and to " deliver from their bondage those fouls whose " origin is celethal and divine, fent to the Jews " a being most like unto himself, even his fon " Jusus Christ, clothed with a certain shadowy " refemblance of a body, that thus he might be " visible to mortal eyes. The commission of this " celettial melfenger was to deftroy the empire " both of the evil principle, and of the author of " this world, and to bring back wandering fouls " to God. On this account, he was attacked " with inexprettible violence and fury by the " prince of darkness, and by the God of the Tews, " but without effect, fince, having a body only in " appearance, he was thereby rendered incapable " of fuffering. Those who follow the facred " directions

PART IL

C E N T. " directions of this celeftial conductor, mortify "the body by faltings and aufterities, call off " their minds from the allurements of fense, and, " renouncing the precepts of the god of the " Jews, and of the prince of darkness, turn their everyes towards the Supreme Being, shall, after " death, ascend to the mansions of felicity and " perfection." In consequence of all this, the rule of manners, which Marcion prescribed to his followers, was excessively austere, containing an express prohibition of wedlock, of the use of wine, flesh, and of all the external comforts of life. Notwithstanding the rigour of this fevere discipline, great numbers embraced the doctrines of Marcion, of whom Lucan, or Lucian, Seve-RUS, BLASTES, and principally Apenles, are faid to have varied, in fome things, from the opinions of their mafter, and to have formed new fects [0].

Parde fanes.

VIII. BARDESANES and TATIAN are commonly supposed to have been of the school of VALIN-TIME the Egyptian. But this notion is entirely without foundation, fince their doctrine differs in many things from that of the VAGENTINIANS. approaching nearer to that of the oriental philosophy concerning the two principles. BARDEsanes, native of Edeffa, was a man of a very acute genius, and acquired a thining reputation by his writings, which were in great number, and valuable for the profound erudition they contained. Seduced by the fantastic charms of the oriental philosophy, he adopted it with zeal, but, at the same time, with certain modifications,

[[]e] See IRENAUS, EPIPHANIUS, and particularly Ter-TULLIAM's Free Books against the Marcionites, with his Porm agains Marcion, and the Dialogue against the Marcionites, which is generally afcribed to ORIGEN. See also TILIE. MONT's Memoires, and BEAUSONRE'S Hiftorre du Manicheifme, tom. ii. p. 6g.

that rendered his switch less extravagant than C B N T. that of the Marcionites, against whom he wrote PART IL a very learned treatife. The furn of his doctrine. is as follows: "There is a Supreme God, pure " and benevolent, absolutely free from all evil " and imperiection; and there is also a prince of " dar knefs, the fountain of all evil, diforder, and " mifery. The Supreme God created the world " without any mixture of evil in its composition; " he gave existence also to its inhabitants, who " came out of his forming hand, pure and incor-" ropt, endued with subtle ethereal bodies, and " spirits of a celettial nature. But when, in " process of time, the prince of darkness had en-" ticed-men to fin, then the SUPREME GOD per-" mitted them to fall into fluggish and gross " bodies, formed of corrupt matter by the evil " principle; he permitted also the depravation " and disorder which this malignant being intro-" duced both into the natural and the moral " world, defigning, by this permission, to punish "the degeneracy and rebellion of an apoltate " race; and hence proceeds the perpetual con-" flict between reason and passion in the mind of " man. It was on this account, that Jusus " descended from the upper regions, clothed " not with a real, but with a celeftial and acrial " body, and taught mankind to fubdue that " body of corruption which they carry about " with them in this mortal life; and, by abfli-" nence, fasting, and contemplation, to disengage " themselves from the servitude and dominion of " that malignant matter, which chained down the " foul to low and ignoble purfuits. Those, who " hear the voice of this divine instructor, and " fubmit themselves to his discipline, shall, after " the diffolution of this terrestrial body, mount " up to the manfions of felicity, clothed with " zethereal vehicles, or celestral bodies." Such

E E W T. was the doctrine of Bardesanes, who afterwards PART II. abandoned the chimerical part of this system, and returned to a better mind; though his fect fubfifted a long time in Syria [p].

رمشو7

IX. TATIAN, by birth an Affyrian, and a difciple of Justin Martyr, is more diftinguished, by the ancient writers, on account of his genius and learning, and the excessive and incredible aufterity of his life and manners, than by any remarkable errors or opinions which he taught his followers. It appears, however, from the testimony of credible writers, that TATIAN looked upon matter as the fountain of all evil, and therefore recommended, in a particular manner, the mortification of the body; that he diflinguished the creator of the world from the Supreme Being; denied the reality of Christ's body; and corrupted the Christian religion with several other tenets of the oriental philosophy. He had a great number of followers, who were, after him, called Tatianists [q], but were, nevertheless, more frequently dillinguished from other sects by names relative to the authority of their manners. For as they rejected, with a fort of horror, all the comforts and conveniencies of life, and abflained from wine with fuch a rigorous obstinacy, as to use nothing but water even at the celebration of the Lord's-supper; as they macerated their

[p] See the writers that give accounts of the ancient herefies, as also Eusebrus, Hift. Ecclef. lib. iv. cap. xxx. p. 151. ORIGEN, Dial. contra Marcionitai, § 3. p. 70. edit. Wetftenii. FRID. STRUNZII, Heft. Bardefanis, &c. Banu-o-BRE, Hiff. du Manieb. vol. ii. p. 128.

[[]q] We have vet remaining of the writings of TATIAN. an Oracion addressed to the Greeks. As to his opinious, they may be gathered from CLEMENS ALEXANDRINUS, Stromar, ho. in. p. 460. Epiphanius, Hamf. xivi. cap. i. p. 301. ORIGEN, De oratione, cap. xui. p. 77, of the Oxford edition. None, however, of the ancients have written profelfedly concerning the doctrines of TATIAN.

bodies by continual faltings, and lived a fevere life CENT. of celibacy and abstinence, so they were called PART Ha Encratites ?, Hydroparastates †, and Apotactites †.

X. Hitherto, we have only confidered the doc- Theorestian trine of the Afiatic Gnostics. Those of the Egyp- fertiments tian branch differ from them in general in this, tran Gaute that they blended into one mais the oriental phi- tion losophy and the Egyptian theology; the former of which the Afiatics preferved unmixed in its original simplicity. The Egyptians were, moreover, particularly diffinguished from the Astatic Gnoftics, by the following difference in their religious fystem, viz. 1. That though, besides the existence of a deity, they maintained that also of an eternal matter, endued with life and motion, yet they did not acknowledge as eternal principle of darkness, or the evil principle of the Persians. 2. They supposed that our Blessed Saviour was a compound of two persons, of the man Jesus, and of Chrisi the fon of God; that the divine nature entered into the man Jesus, when he was baptifed by John in the river Jordan, and departed from him when he was feized by the Jews. 3. They attributed to Christ a real, not an imaginary body; though it must be consessed, that they were much divided in their fentiments on this 4. Their discipline, with respect to life and manners, was much less severe than those of the Asiatic fect, and seems, in some points, to have been favourable to the corruption and passions of men. 1

XI. Basilides has generally obtained the first passings place among the Egyptian Gnostics. " He ac-" knowledged the existence of one Supreme God, " perfect in goodness and wildom, who produced " from his own substance seven beings, or zons, " of a most excellent nature. Two of thefe " zeons, called Dynamis and Sophia (i. e. power " and wifdom), engendered the angels of the Or tamperate. † Or trinkers of water.

" highest

CENT. " highest order. These angels formed an heaven " for their habitation, and brought forth other " angelic beings, of a nature formewhat inferior " to their own. Many other generations of an-" gels followed thefe, new heavens were also " created, until the number of angelic orders. " and of their respective heavens, amounted to " three hundred and fixty-five, and thus equalled " the days of the year. All these are under the " empire of an omnipotent Lord, whom BASILI-" Des called Abraxas." This word (which was certainly in use among the Egyptians before his time) contains numeral letters to the amount of 365, and thereby expresses the number of heavens and angelic orders above mentioned [r]. " inha-

> [r] We have remaining a great number of gems, and receive more from Egypt from time to time, on which, befide other figures of Egyptian talte, we find the word ABRAXAS engraved. See, for this purpose, a work entitled, MACARIE Abranas, seu de gemmes Bafilidianes desquifice, which was published at Answerp, with several improvements by Jo. CHIFLE-Tius, in 4to. in 1657. See also Montfaucon, Palaograph. Grar. Ith. ii. cap. viii. p. 177. All these gems are supposed to come from Bastlines, and therefore bear his name. Most of them, however, contain the marks of a superstition too grals to be attributed even to an half Christian, and bear also emblematic characters of the Egyptian theology. It is not, therefore, just to attribute them all to Basilions (who, though erroneous in many of his opinions, was yet a follower of CHRIST), but such of them only as carry some mark of the Christian doctrine and ducipline.-There is no doubt, but that the old Egyptian word ABRAXAS was appropriated to the governor or lord of the heavens, and that Basilines, having learned it from the philosophy of his nation, retained it in his veligious l'eftem. See BEAUSOBRE, Hift. du Manicheifme, vol. ii. p. 51. and also Jo. Bapr. Passert, in his Differt. de gemmit Bafildianis, which makes a part of that splendid work which he published at Florence, 1750. De gemmu felliferis, tom. ii. p. 221. See also the fentiments of the learned JABLONSKI, concerning the fignification of the word ABRAHAS, as they are delivered in a differention inferted in the feventh volume of the Mifedi, Lasps. Nova. Passantus affirms, that none of thefe goms relate to Basilipes, but that they concern only magicians, i. c. forcerers, fortune-tellers, and fach-like adrenturers.

" inhabitants of the lowest heavens, which can't. touched upon the borders of the eternal, ma- PAR' H. i lignant, and felf-animated matter, conceived . "the delign of forming a world from that con-"fuled mals, and of creating an order of be-" ings to people it. This delign was carried into " execution, and was approved by the SUPREME "God, who, to the animal life, with which only " the inhabitants of this new world were at first " endowed, added a reasonable soul, giving, at " the same time, to the angels, the empire over " them."

XII. "These angelic beings, advanced to the Theorem. " government of the world which they had created, now street " fell, by degrees, from their original purity, and finem. " manifested soon the fatal ntacks of their depra-"vity and corruption. They not only endea-" voured to efface in the minds of men the know-" ledge of the Supreme Being, that they might " be worshipped in his stead, but also began to "war against one another, with an ambitious " view to enlarge, every one, the bounds of his " respective dominion. The most arrogant and " turbulent of all thefe angelic spirits, was that " which prefided over the Jewish nation. Hence "the Supreme God, beholding with compassion "the milerable state of rational beings, who "groaned under the contests of these jarring "powers, fent from heaven his fon Nus, or " CHRIST, the chief of the cons, that, joined in "a substantial union with the man Jesus, he " might restore the knowledge of the Supreme "God, destroy the empire of those angelic na-"

venturers. Here, however, this learned man feams to go the for, fince he himself acknowledges (p. 225.) that be bad finetimes found, on these gene, westiges of the errors of Battlibes. These samous monuments stand yet in need of an interpretar, but of fuch a one as can join discuminedion to diligence and erudition.

PART U.

C E W T. " tures which prefided over the world, and parti-" cularly that of the arrogant leader of the Jewish " people. The god of the Jews, alarmed at ", this, sent forth his ministers to seize the man " Jesus, and put him to death. They executed " his commands, but their cruelty could not " extend to Christ, against whom their efforts " were vain [s]. Those souls, who obey the pre-" cepts of the fon of God, shall, after the dissolu-" tion of their mortal frame, afcend to the father, " while their bodies return to the corrupt mass of matter from whence they were formed. Difo-" bedient spirits, on the contrary, shall pass suc-" ceffively into other bodies."

The moral doctrine of Beğlidge.

XIII. The doctrine of Basilides, in point of morals, if we may credit the account of most ancient writers, was favourable to the lusts and passions of mankind, and permitted the practice of all forts of wickedness. But those, whose testimonies are the most worthy of regard, give a quite different account of this teacher, and represent him as recommending the practice of virtue and piety in the strongest manner, and as having condemned not only the actual commission of iniquity, but even every inward propenfity of the mind to a vicious conduct. It is true, there were, in his precepts relating to the conduct of life, some things which gave great offence to all true Christians. For he affirmed it to be lawful for them to conceal their religion, to deny Christ, when their lives

^[4] Many of the ancients have, upon the authority of IRE-NAUS, accused Basilipes of denying the reality of Chaist's body, and of maintaining that SIMON the Cyrenian was crucified in his flead. But this accufation is entirely groundless, as may be from by confulting the Commentur, de robus Configura, and Cauffent. p. 354, &c. &c. where it is demonstrated, that Bast-LIDES confidered the divine Saviour as compounded of the man lame of the disciples of Basser pas entertained the opinion that ERUS, and CHRIST the Son of God. It may be indeed, that is here unjustly attributed to their matter.

were in danger, and to partake of the leads of CENT. the Gentiles that were inflituted in consequence PARTIL of the facrifices offered to idols. He endeavoured also to diminish the glory of those who suffered martyrdom for the cause of Christ; implously maintained, that they were more heinous finners than others, and that their fufferings were to be looked upon as a punishment inflicted upon them by the divine justice. Though he was led into this enormous error, by an abjurd notion that all the calamities of this life were of a penal nature, and that men never suffered but in consequence. of their iniquities, yet this rendered his principles greatly suspected, and the irregular lives of some of his disciples seemed to justify the unfavourable opinion that was entertained concerning their master [t].

XIV. But whatever may be faid of BASILIDES, Corpocration, it is certain, that he was far furpaffed in impiety by CARPOCRATES, who was also of Alexandria, and who carried the Gnostic blasphemies to a more enormous degree of extravagance than they had ever been brought by any of that fect. His philosophical tenets agree, in general, with those of the Egyptian Gnostics. He acknowledged the existence of a Supreme God, and of the aons derived from him by successive generations. He maintained the eternity of a corrupt matter, and the creation of the world from thence by angelic powers, as also the divine origin of souls unhappily imprisoned in mortal bodies, &c. But beside thefe, he propagated other fentiments and maxims of a horrid kind. He afferted that Jesus was born. of Joseph and Mary, according to the ordinary course of nature, and was distinguished from the

^[1] For a further account of Basilines, the reader may confult Ran. Massuer, Differt. in Irraneum, and Brauson Ru, Hift. du Manichujme, vol. ii. p. 8.

CENT. rest of manking by nothing but his superior forti-PART II, tudo and greatness of soul. His doctrine also with respect to practice, was licentious in the highest degree; for he not only allowed his difciples a full liberty to fin, but recommended to them a vicious course of life, as a matter both of obligation and necessity; afferting, that eternal falvation was only attainable by those who had committed all forts of crimes, and had daringly filled up the measure of iniquity. It is almost incredible, that one who maintained the existence of a Supreme Being, who acknowledged Christ as the Saviour of mankind, could entertain fuch monstrous opinions as these. One would infer, indeed, from certain tenets of Carpocrates. that he adopted the common doctrine of the Gnostics concerning Christ, and acknowledged alto the laws which this divine Saviour imposed upon his disciples. But notwithstanding this, it is beyond all doubt, that the precepts and opinions of this Gnottic are full of impiety; fince he held that lults and passions, being implanted in our nature by God himfelf, were confequently void of guilt, and had nothing criminal in them; that all actions were indifferent in their own nature, and were rendered good or evil only by the opinions of men, or by the laws of the state; that it was the will of God, that all things should be posfeffed in common, the female fex not excepted; but that human laws, by an arbitrary tyranny, branded those as robbers and adulterers, who only used their natural rights. It is easy to perceive, that, by these tenets, all the principles of virtue were destroyed, and a door opened to the most **bo**rrid licentioulness, and to the most prostigate and enormous wickedness [#].

[[]a] See Inen. Contra Harref. cap. xxv. Clemens Alex. Stremota, lib. iii. p. 511.

XV. Va-

XV. VALENTINE, who was likewise an Egyp. CENT. tian by birth, was eminently distinguished from all PART II. his brethren by the extent of his fame, and the multitude of his followers. His fect, which took Value inc. rife at Rome, grew up to a state of consistence and vigour in the ifle of Cyprus, and spread itself through Afia, Africa, and Europe, with an amazing rapidity. The principles of VALENTINE were, generally speaking, the fame with those of the Gnoffics, whose name he assumed, yet in many things he entertained opinions that were particular to himself. "He placed, for instance, in the " pleroma (so the Gnostics called the habitation " of the deity) thirty æons, of which the one half " were male, and the other female. To thefe " he added four others, which were of neither " fex, viz. Horus, who guarded the borders of " the pleroma, CHRIST, the Holy Ghost, and JESUA. " The youngest of the æons, called Sopbia (i. c. " wildom), conceived an ardent defire of com-" prehending the nature of the Supreme Being, " and, by the force of this propenlity, brought " forth a daughter, named Achamoth. Achamoth, " being exiled from the pleroma, fell down into " the rude and undigested mass of matter, to " which she gave a certain arrangement; and, " by the affiftance of Jesus, produced the demi-" urge, the lord and creator of all things. " demiurge separated the subtile or animal matter " from that of the groffer, or more terrestrial " kind; out of the former he created the fuperior " world, or the visible heavens; and out of the " latter he formed the inferior world, or this" " terraqueous globe. He also made man, in " whose composition the subtile and also the " groffer matter were both united, and that in " equal portions; but Achamoth, the mother of " demiurge, added to these two substances, of " which the human race was formed, a spiritual

CENT. " and celeftial substance." This is the sum of that PART II. intribate and tedious fable, that the extravagant brain of VALENTINE imposed upon the world for a Yystem of religious philosophy; and from this it appears, that, though he explained the origin of the world and of the human race in a more subtle manner than the other Gnostics, yet he did not differ from them in reality. His imagination was more wild and inventive than that of his brethren: and this is manifest in the whole of his doctrine, which is no more than Gnosticism, set out with fome fupernumerary fringes, as will further appear from what follows.

Hie idle جصدين

XVI. " The creator of this world, according to "VALENTINE, arrived, by degrees, to that pitch " of arrogance, that he either imagined himfelf " to be God alone, or, at least, was defirous that mankind should consider him as such. For this " purpose, he sent forth prophets to the Jewish " nation, to declare his claim to the honour that " is due to the Supreme Being, and in this also " the other angels that prefide over the different " parts of the universe immediately set them-" selves to imitate his ambition. To chastise this ** lawless arrogance of demiurge, and to illuminate " the minds of rational beings with the knowledge " of the true and supreme Deity, Christ appeared " upon earth, composed of an animal and spi-" ritual fubstance, and clothed, moreover, with " an aerial body. This Redeemer, in defeending " upon earth, paffed through the womb of MAer Ry, as the pure water flows through the un-" tainted conduit. Jesus, one of the supreme " was substantially united to him, when " he was baptized by JOHN in the waters of Jor-The creator of this world, when he per-" crived that the foundations of his empire were " shaken by this divine man, caused him to be st apprehended and nailed to the crofs. But before

" fore CHRIST submitted to this punishment, not e BNT. " only Jesus the fon of God, but also the Fa- PART II. " tional foul of Chairs, ascended up on high, -" fo that only the animal foul and the etherial " body suffered crucifixion. Those who, aban-" doning the service of false deities and the wor-" thip of the God of the Jews, live according to " the precepts of CHRIST, and submit the ani-" mal and fenfual foul to the discipline of reason, " shall be truly happy: their rational and also their " fenfual fouls shall ascend to those glorious seats " of bliss which border on the pleroma; and when " all the parts of the divine nature, or all fouls are " purified thoroughly and separated from matter, " then a raging fire, let loofe from its prison, shall " foread its flame throughout the universe, and " diffolve the frame of this corporeal world," Such is the doctrine of VALENTINE and the Gnostics; such also are the tenets of the oriental philosophy, and they may be summed up in the following propositions: This world is a compound of good and evil. Whatever is good in it, comes down from the Supreme God, the father of lights, and to bim it shall return: and then the world shall be entirely destroyed [w].

XVII.

[w] It is proper to observe, for the information of those who desire a more copious account of the Valentinian herefy, that almost all the ancient writers have written upon this subject, especially IRENEUS, Libro primo contra Heref. Tertultian, in a particular treatise upon that matter; Clemens Alex. &c. Among the moderns, see Jo. Franc. Buddaus, Offert. de harefs Valentimana, in his introduction to his history of the Hebrew philosophers, which dissertation gave occasion to many disputes concerning the origin of this herefy. Some of the moderns have endeavoured to reconcile, with reason, this obscore and absurd doctrine of the Valentinians. See, for this purpose, the following authors: Souveraim Platonifme devoilé, ch. viii. p. 68. Camp. Vitreinea, Observ. Sart. lib. i. cap. ii. p. 131. Beaucobee, Histoire da Manichisme, p. 548. Jac. Basnage, Hist. des Juifs, tom. iii. p. 720. Peir. Faydit, Ecclesast. de seur premiers

CENT.

11.

PART 11.

Various feets of the Valentini ann.

Thegreater.

XVII. We learn from ancient writers, that the fect of the Valentinians was divided into many, branches. One of these was the feet of the Ptolemaites, so called from their chief PTOLEMY: who differed in opinion from his mafter VALEN-TIME, with respect both to the number and nature of the zons. Another of these was the sect of the Secundians, whose chief Secundus, one of the principal followers of VALENTINE, maintained the doctrine of two eternal principles, viz light and darkness, from whence arose the good and the evil that are observable in the universe. From the same source arose the sect of Heracleon, from whose writings Clemens and Origen have made many extracts; as also that of the Marcosians, whose leaders Marc and Colobarsus added many abfurd fictions to those of VALENTINE; though it is certain, at the fame time, that many errors were attributed to them, which they did not maintain $\{x\}$. I omit the mention of fome other fects, to which the \alentinian herefy is faid to have given rife. Whether, in reality, they all forung from this fource, is a question of a very doubtful kind, especially if we consider the errors into which the ancients have fallen, in tracing out the origin of the various fects that divided the church [y].

XVIII.

premiers Siecles. How vain all such endeavours are, might easily be shewn; nay, VALENTINE humself has determined the matter, by acknowledging that his doctrine is absolutely and entirely different from that of other Christians.

that are attributed to him. Those, however, which we are certain that he adopted, are sufficient to convince us that he was out of his senses. He maintained, among other crude sancjus, that the planiade and perfection of truth resided in the Greek alphabet; and alleges that, as the reason why Jesus Crass was called the Alpha and the Omega.

Concerning these seeks, the reader will find something faller in IRENEUS, and the other ancient writers; and a yet more learned and satisfactory account in GRABE's Spicilegium

XVIII. It is not necessary to take any particulic z u v. lar notice of the more obscure and less confider- PART IL able of the Gnoffic fects, of which the ancient writers scarcely mention any thing but the name, tonfortand one or two of their diffinguishing tenets. shie. Such were the Adamites, who are faid to have professed an exact imitation of the primitive state of innocence; the Cainites, who treated as faints, with the usmost marks of admiration and respect. CAIN, CORAH, DATHAN, the inhabitants of So-DOM, and even the traitor Judas. Such also were the Abelites, who entered into the bonds of matrimony, but neglected to fulfil its principal end, even the procreation of offspring; the Sethites, who honoured Seth in a particular manner, and looked upon him as the fame perfor with CHRIST; the Florinians, who had FLORINUS and BLASTUS for their chiefs [z], and feveral others. It is highly probable, that the ancient doctors, deceived by the variety of names that diffinguished the heretics, may with too much precipitation have divided one fect into many; nay, it may be further questioned, whether they have, at all times, represented accurately the nature and true meaning of feveral opinions concerning which they have written.

XIX. The Ophites, or Serpentinians, a ridicu- Ophites. lous fort of heretics, who had for their leader a man called EOPHRATES, deserve not the lowest place among the Egyptian Gnostics. This feet, which had its origin among the Jews, was of a

Pair. & Harricor. § 2. p. 69. 82. There is an ample account of the Marcofians in Inductor, Contr. Har. lib. i. cap. xiv. P. 70.

⁽ Lere Dr. Mosners has fallen into a flight inaccozacy, in confounding the opinions of these two heretics; fines it is certain, that BLAST US was for refloring the jewish religion, and celebrated the passover on the sourteenth day; scheress FLORINUS was a Valentinian, and maintained the doctrine of the type principles, with other Goottic errors.

© E N 7. more ancient date than the Christian religion. PART II. part of its followers embraced the gospel, while the other retained their primitive superstition, and from hence arose the division of the Ophites into Christian and Anti-christian. The Christian Ophites entertained almost the same santastic opinions that were held by the other Egyptian Gnostics, concerning the sons, the eternal matter, the creation of the world in opposition to the will of God, the rulers of the seven planets that presided over this world, the tyranny of demiurge, and also concerning CHRIST united to the man Jesus, in order to destroy the empire of this uturper. But besides these, they maintained the following particular tenet, from whence also they received the name of Ophites, viz. "That the ferpent, by which our first parents were " deceived, was either CHRIST himself, or Sophia, " concealed under the form of that animal;" and in confequence of this opinion, they are faid to have nourished a certain number of serpents, which they looked upon as facred, and to which they offered a fort of worship, a subordinate kind of divine honours. It was no difficult matter for those, who made a distinction between the Supreme Being and the creator of the world, and who looked upon every thing as divine, which was in opposition to demiurge, to fall into these extravagant notions.

Manarchiand and Paungaffiach.

. XX. The schisms and commotions that arose in the church, from a mixture of the oriental and Egyptian philosophy with the Christian religion, were, in the fecond century, increased by those Grecian philosophers who embraced the doctrine of CHRIST. The Christian doctrine, concerning the Father, Son, and Holy Ghoft, and the 1200 nathere united in our bleffed Saviour, were, by no means, reconcileable with the tenets of the fages and doctors of Greece, who therefore endeavoured to explain them in such a manner as to render

them comprehensible. Praxeas, a man of genius C E W T. and learning, began to propagate these explications PART at Rome; and was severely persecuted for the errors they contained. He denied any real distinction between the Father, Son, and Holy Ghoft, and maintained that the Father, fole creator of all things, had united to himself the buman nature of CHRIST. Hence his followers were called Monarchians. because of their denying a plurality of persons in the Deity; and also Patropassians, because, according to Terrollian's account, they believed that the FATHER was fo intimately united with the man Christ, his fon, that he fuffered with him the anguish of an afflicted life, and the torments of an ignominious death. However ready many may have been to embrace this erroneous doctrine, it does not appear, that this fect formed to themselves a separate place of worship, or removed themselves from the ordinary assemblies of Christians [a].

XXI. An opinion highly refembling that now Theodotuse mentioned was, about the fame time, professed at Attendant Rome by Theodorus, who, though a tanner, was a man of profound learning, and also by ARTE-MAS, or ARTEMON, from whom the feet of the Artemonites derived their origin. The accounts given of these two persons, by the ancient writers, are not only few in number, but are also extremely ambiguous and obscure. Their sentiments, however, as far as they can be collected from the best records, amount to this; "That, at the birth of " the man Christ, a certain divine energy, or " portion of the divine nature (and not the per/on " of the father, as PRAXEAS imagined), united it-

" felf to him."

It is impossible to decide with any degree of certainty which of the two was the most ancient,

[4] TERTULLIARI. Lib. contra Praxeam; as also PRTRI Wesselingii *Probabilia*, cap. xxvi. p. 223. THEO-

236

CENT. THEODOTUS, OF ARTEMON; as also whether they PART II. both taught the same doctrine, or differed in their. opinions. One thing, indeed, is certain, and that is that the disciples of both applied the dictates of philosophy, and even the science of geometry, to the explication of the Christian doctrine.

Hermore-Btt.

XXII. A like attachment to the dictates of a prefumptuous philosophy, induced HERMOGENES, a painter by profession, to abandon the doctrine of Christianity concerning the origin of the world and the nature of the foul, and thus to raise new **troubles** in the church. Regarding matter as the fountain of all evil, he could not persuade him**felf** that God had created it from nothing, by an almighty act of his will; and therefore he maintained, that the world, with whatever it contains, as also the souls of men, and other spirits, were formed by the Deity from an uncreated and eternal mass of corrupt matter. In this doctrine there were many intricate things, and it manifellly jarred with the opinions commonly received among Christians relative to that difficult and almost unfearchable subject. How HIRMOGENES explained those doctrines of Christianity, which opposed his system, neither TERTULLIAN, who resuted it, nor any of the ancient writers, inform us [b].

The Plite-TOLE (gille.

XXIII. These sects, which we have now been passing in review, may be justly regarded as the offspring of philosophy. But they were succeeded by one in which ignorance reigned, and which was the mortal enemy of philosophy and letters. It was formed by Montanus, an obscure man. without any capacity or strength of judgment,

^[4] There is yet extent a book written by TERTULLIAN against HERMOGENES, in which the opinions of the latter, concerning matter, and the origin of the overld, are warmly copoled. We have loft another work of the same author, in which he refuted the notion of HIRMOGENES concerning the î۳.

and who lived in a Phrygian village called Pe- c B N T.

PHZA. This weak man was foolish and extravagant enough to take it into his head, that he was
the paraclete, or comforter [c], which the divine

Saviour, at his departure from the earth, promised to send to his disciples to lead them to all
truth. He made no attempts upon the peculiar
doctrines of Christianity, but only declared, that
he was sent, with a divine commission, to give to
the moral precepts delivered by Christ and his

[c] Those are undoubtedly mistaken, who have afferted that MONTANUS gave himself out for the Holy Ghoft. However weak he may have been in point of capacity, he was not fool enough to push his pretensions so far. Neither have they, who inform us that Montanus pretended to have received from above the same spirit, or paraclete, which formerly animated the apolles, interpreted with accuracy the meaning of this heretic. It is, therefore, necessary to observe here, that MONTAKUS made a diffinction between the paraelete, promifed by CHRIST to his apollles, and the Holy Spirit, that was shed upon them on the day of pentecost; and understood, by the former, a divine teacher pointed out by Christ under the name of paraclete, or comforcer, who was to perfect the gospel by the addition of some doctrines omitted by our Saviour, and to cast a full light upon others which were expressed in an obscure and impersed manner, though for wife reasons which subfifted during the ministry of Citrist; and, indeed, MONTABUS was not the only person that made this distinction. Other Christian doctor were of opinion, that the paraclete, promifed by Jesus to his disciples, was a divine ambassador, entirely distinct from the Holy Ghost, which was shed up-on the apostles. In the third century, MARES interpreted the promise of CHRIST in this manner. He pretended moreover, that he himself was the paraclete and that, in his person, the prediction was fulfilled. Every one knows, that MAHOMET entertained the same notion, and applied to himself the prediction of Christ, concerning the coming of the paraclete. 🛂 was, therefore, this divine mellenger that MONTABUS pretended to be, and not the Holy Gholt. This will appear, with the utmost evidence, to those who read with attention the account given of this matter by TRATULLIAN, who was the most famous of all the disciples of Montanus, and the most perfectly acquainted with every point of his doctrine.

spoftles

CENT. apostles the finishing touch that was to bring them PART II. to perfection. He was of opinion, that CHRIST and his apostles made, in their precepts, many allowances to the infirmities of those among whom they lived, and that this condescending indulgence rendered their system of moral laws imperfect and incomplete. He therefore added to the laws of the gospel many austere decisions; inculcated the necessity of multiplying fasts; prohibited second marriages as unlawful; maintained that the church should refuse absolution to those who had sallen into the commission of enormous sins; and condemned all care of the body, especially all nicety in dress, and all female ornaments. The excessive aufterity of this ignorant fanatic did not stop here; he shewed the same aversion to the noblest employments of the mind, that he did to the innocent enjoyments of life; and gave it as his opinion, that philosophy, arts, and whatever savoured of polite literature, should be mercilessly banished from the Christian church. He looked upon those Christians as guilty of a most heinous transgression, who saved their lives, by slight, from the perfecuting fword, or who ranfomed them, by money, from the hands of their cruel and mercenary judges. I might mention many other precepts of the fame teacher, equal to these in feverity and rigour.

XXIV. It was impossible to suffer, within the bounds of the church, an enthusiast, who gave himself out for a teacher; whose precepts were Superior in fanctity to those of CHRIST himself, and who imposed his austere discipline upon Christians, as enjoined, by a divine authority, and diffrated by the oracle of celestial wisdom, which fpoke to the world through him. his difmal predictions concerning the difasters that were to happen in the empire, and the approaching proaching destruction of the Roman republic, C B W T. were every way proper to render him obnoxious PARTIL to the governing powers, and also to excite their refentment against the church, which nourished fuch an inauspicious prophet in its bosom. Montanus, therefore, first by a decree of certain affemblies, and afterwards by the unanimous voice of the whole church, was folemnly separated from the body of the faithful. It is, however, certain, that the very feverity of his doctrines gained him the effect and confidence of many, who were far from being of the lowest order: The most eminent among these were, Priscilla and Maximilla, ladies more remarkable for their opulence than for their virtue, and who fell with a high degree of warmth and zeal into the visions of their fanatical chief, prophesied like him, and imitated the pretended paraelete in all the variety of his extravagance and folly. Hence it became an easy matter for Monsanus to erect a new church, which was also, in effect, first established at Pepuza, a town in Phrygia, and afterwards iprend abroad through Afia, Africa, and a part of Europe. The most eminent and learned of all the followers of this rigid enthufiast was Terrullian, a man of great learning and genius, but of an auftere and melancholy natural temper. This great man, by adopting the fentiments of Montanus, and maintaining his cause with fortitude, and even vehemence, multitude of books written upon that occasion, has shown to the world a mortifying spectacle of the deviations of which human nature is capable, even in those in whom it feerns to have approached the nearest to perfection [d].

[d] For an account of the Montanile, fre Eusen. Ecd. Hifter, book v. ch. xvi. and in general all the writers ancient and

The Interest Pintory of the Chukens

240

ENT. and modern (especially TERTULLIAN) who have profusedly written concerning the sects of the early ages. The learned PART II. Mr. THEOPHILUS WERNSDORF published at Demois's, in the year 1751, a most ingenious exposition of whatever regards the sect of the Montanista, under the following citie, Commentate de Montanistas Sacula fecundi, vulga credita Herenus.

THE

THIRD CENTURY.

PART I.

The External History of the Church.

CHAPTER I.

West contains the profperous counts that happened to the courch during this century.

I. The Christians suffered, in this CENT. century, calamities and anjuries of the PART to most dreadful kind, is a matter that admits of no debute; nor was there, indeed, any period in which they were not exposed to perpetuil dangers. For not to mention the fury of the people, let in motion, fo often, by the craft an i zeal of their licentious priests, the evil came from a higher fource; the prætors and magifleates, notwithstanding the ancient laws of the emperors in favour of the Christians, had it in their power to purfue them with all forts of vexations, as often as avarice, citelty, or superstition roused up the infernal spirit of persecution in their breafts. At the same time, it is certain, that The debte the rights and privileges of the Christians were manner multiplied, in this Century, much more than Christiana many are apt to imagine. In the army, at court, increase and, indeed, in all the orders of the nation, there was a confiderable number of Christians, who Vol. I. lived

CENT. lived entirely unmolested; and, what is still more. PART I, the profession of Christianity was no obstacle to public preferment under most of the emperors that reigned in this century. It is also certain. that the Christians had, in many places, houses where they affembled for the purposes of divine worship, and that with the knowledge and connivance of the emperors and magistrates. though it be more than probable, that this liberty was, upon many occasions, and even for the most part, purchased at a high rate; yet it is manifest, that fome of the emperors were very favourably inclined towards the Christians, and were far from having an aversion to their religion. II. CARACALLA, the fon of Siverus, was

proclaimed emperor in the year 211, and, during

the fix years of his government, he neither oppreffed the Christians himself, nor permitted any others to treat them with cruelty or injustice.

under various emperors,

HELIOGABALUS also, though in other respects the most infamous of all princes [a], and, perhaps, the most odious of all mortals, shewed no marks of bitterness or aversion to the disciples of Jasus. The braig- His fuccessor, ALEXANDER SEVERUS, who was a prince dillinguished by a noble affemblage of the most excellent and illustrious virtues, did not, indeed, abrogate the laws that had been enacted against the Christians; and this is the reason why we have fome examples of martyrdom under his administration. It is nevertheless certain, that he shewed them, in many ways, and upon every occasion that was offered him, the most un-

> doubted marks of benignity and favour; nay, he is faid to have gone to far as to pay a certain fort of worship to the divine author of our religion [b]. This his favourable inclination to-

nivy of A. Jexander towards the Chriftiens,

wards

[[]a] Lampetotos Vita Elagabili, c. iii. p. 796. [4] Lamprid. De l'ita Severi, cap. xxix. p. 930. Vide CAROL.

wards the Christians was probably owing, at first, CENT. to the instructions and counsels of his mother PART I. IULIA MAMMÆA, for whom he had a high degree of love and veneration. Julia had very favourable fentiments of the Christian religion: and, being once at Antioch, fent for the famous Origen from Alexandria, in order to enjoy the pleasure and advantage of his conversation and instructions. Those who affert that Julia, and her fon ALEXANDER, embraced the Chilftian religion, are, by no means, furnished with unexceptionable testimonies to confirm this suct: though we may affirm, with confidence, that rhis virtuous prince looked upon Christianity as meriting, beyond all other religions, toleration and favour from the state, and considered its author as worthy of a place among those who have been diffinguished by their sublime virtues, and honoured with a commission from above [c].

III. Under GORDIAN the Christians lived in Otherem-His fuccessors the Philips, father peroms for tranguillity. and fon, proved to favourable and even friendly the Chainto them, that thefe two emperors paffed, in the **** opinion of many, for Christians; and, indeed, the arguments alleged to prove that they embraced, though in a fecret and clandestine manner, the religion of Jesus, feem to have a high degree of weight, and render this fact extremely probable. But as these arguments are opposed question by others equally specious, that farnous queition, concern ng relating to the religion of PHILIP the Arabian, of the em-

perorPhilip.

CAROL. HENR. ZEIBICHII, Diff de Christo ab Alexandro in harario cultu, quæ extat in Mycellan. Liff, nov. tom. iii. P. 42.

[c] Vide FRID. SPANEFULL, Diff. de Lucii, Britonum Re-III. Jalia Mammaa, et Philipporum conversionious, tom. ii. opp. p. 400. Item, PAUL. JARLONSEI, Diff. de Alexandro Severo facris Christianis per Consticos initiato, in Mescellan. Lipf. ^{26,7}21, τοπ iν. p. 56,

e E W T. and his fon, must be lest undecided [d]. Nei-PART I, ther fide offers reasons so victorious and unan-- fwerable, as to produce a full and complete conviction; and this is therefore one of those many cases, where a suspension of judgment is both allowable and wife. With respect to GAL-LIENUS, and fome other emperors of this century, if they did not professedly favour the progress of Christianity, yet neither did they oppress its followers, nor retard its advancement.

The namber of Challers increased.

The caute. ly divine,

IV. This elemency and benevolence, which the followers of Jesus experienced from great men, and, especially, from those of imperial dignity, must be placed, without doubt, among those human means that contributed to multiply the number of Christians, and to enlarge the bounds of the church. Other caufes, however, thereofput both divine and buman, must be added here, to render a complete and fatisfactory account of this matter. Among the causes which belong to the first of these classes, we do not only reckon the intrinsic force of celestial truth, and the piety and fortitude of those who declared it to the world, but also that especial and interposing providence, which, by dreams and visions, prefented to the minds of many, who were either inatten-

> [d] The authors of the Universal History have determined the quethon which Dr. Mosners leaves here undecided; and they think it may be affirmed, that Pittita and his ion embraced the goipel, fince that opinion is built apon tuch respectable authority as that of JEROM, CHRYSOSTOW. DIONYSIUS of Alexandria, ZONARAS, NICEPHORUS, C.-DRENUS, RUFFINUS, SYNCELLUS, OROSIUS, JORNANDES Ammianus Marcellinus, the learned Lardinal Bon to VINCENTIUS LIEINENSIS, HUETIUS, and others. Dr. Moshbin refers his readers, for an account of this matter, to the following writers: SPANHEIM. De Christianismo Philipp. tom. ii. opp. p. 400. Entartiens Hifteriques for it Constinuisme de l'Empereur Philippe, par P. De L. F. MAM-MACHII Origines et Antiqu. Christiana, tom ii. p. 252-Confer. Passic. De lun Eveny. Cic. p. 252.

LIVE

tive to the Christian doctrine, or its professed CENT. enemies, touched their hearts with a conviction PART I. of the truth, and a sense of its importance, and engaged them, without delay, to profess themselves the disciples of Christ [e]. To this may also be added, the healing of diseases, and other miracles, which many Christians were yet enabled to perform by invoking the name of the divine Saviour [f]. The number of miracles was, however, much less in this than the preceding century; nor must this alteration be attributed only to the divine wildom, which rendered miraculous interpolitions less frequent in proportion as they become less necessary, but also to his justice, which was provoked to diminith the frequency of gifts, which some did not scruple to pervert to mercenary purpoles [g].

V. If we turn our view to the human means Partly has that contributed, at this time, to multiply the mannumbers of Christians, and to extend the limits of the church, we shall find a great variety of croites uniting their influence, and contributing mintly to this happy purpok. Among thefe most be reckoned the translations of the sacred writings into various languages, the zeal and labons of Origin in spreading abroad copies of them every where, and the different works that were published, by learned and pious men, in defence of the gospel. We may add also to this, that the acts of beneficence and liberality, performed by the Christians, even towards those

[g] Spekcen, not, in O. igen. contra Celjum, p. 6, 7.

[[]e] See, for an account of this matter, the following. autnors: Origen, hb. i. adv. Celfum, p. 35. Homil. in Law vii. p. 216. tom. ii. opp. edit. Bafil; as alfo Tratusa 111 N. De anima, cap xiv. p. 348. edit. Rigaltii, and Ev-LEBIUS, Hiftor. Eccles. lib. vi cap. v. p. 208,

[[]f] ORIGEN, comm. Celfum, lib. i. p. 5. 7. Eusen. Hift. E. lef. lib. v. cap. vii. CYPRIANUS, Ep. i ad Donat. p. 3. and the notes of BALUVIUS upon that passage, p. 376.

ENT. whose religious principles they abhorred, had a PART I great influence in attracting the efteem and removing the prejudices of many, who were thus prepared for examining, with candor, the Christian doctrine, and, confequently, for receiving its divine light. The worshippers of the Pagan deities must have been destitute of every generous affection, of every humane feeting, if the view of that boundless charity, which the Christians exercifed towards the poor, the love they expressed even to their enemies, the tender care they took of the fick and infirm, the humanity they discovered in the redemption of captives, and the other illustrious virtues, which rendered them for worthy of univerfal efficient, had not touched their hearts, dispelled their prepossessions, and rendered them more favourable to the disciples of Jesus. If, among the causes of the propagation of Christianity, there is any place due to pious fraud, it is certain, that they merit a very small part of the honour of having contributed to this glorious purpose; since they were practised by Yew, and that very feldom.

Beveral enuntries receive the light of the Loipel.

VI. That the limits of the church were extended in this century, is a matter beyond all controverfy. It is not, however, equally certain in what manner, by what perions, or in what parts of the world, this was effected. Origen, invited from Alexandria by an Arabian prince. converted, by his affiduous labours, tribe of wandering Arabs to the Christian The Goths, a fierce and warlike faith [b]. people, who inhabited the countries of Myfia and Thrace, and who, accustomed to rapine, vexed the neighbouring provinces by perpetual incurfions, received the knowledge of the gospel by the means of certain Christian doctors fent thither

[b] Everprus, Hift. Ecclef. lib. iv. cap. xix. p. 221.

from Affa. The holy lives of these venerable CENT. teachers, and the miraculous powers with which PART they were endowed, attracted the efteem even of a people educated to nothing but plunder and devastation, and absolutely uncivilized by letters or science; and their authority and influence grew fo great, and produced, in process of time, fuch remarkable effects, that a great part of this barbarous people became the disciples of Christ, and put off, in a manner, that ferocity that was become so natural to them [i].

VII. The Christian affemblies, founded in Gaul Among nother Cont by the Afiatic doctors in the preceding century, and Gerwere few in number, and of very little extent; many. but both their number and their extent were confiderably encreased from the time of the emperor Decres. Under his reign Dionysius, Gatian, TROPHYMUS, PAUL, SACURNINUS, MARTIAL, Stremonius, men of exemplary piety, paffed into this province, and; amidit dangers and trials of various kinds, erected churches at Paris, Tours, Arles, and feveral other places. was followed by a rapid progress of the gospel among the Gauls, as the disciples of these pious teachers spread, in a short time, the knowledge of Christanity through the whole country [k]. We must also place in this century the origin of several German churches, such as those of Cologn, Treves, Metz, and others, of which EUCHA-RIUS, VALERIUS, MATERNUS, and CLEMENS were the principal founders [1]. The historians

[i] Sozomenus, Heft. Ecelef. lib. ii. cap. vi. Paulus. Diaconus, Heft. Mefeell. jib. ii. cap. xiv. Philostorgius, Hift. Eccles. lib. ii. cap. v. p. 470.

[4] See the history of the Francks by GREGORY DE TOURS, book i. ch. xxviii. p. 23. Theopon. Ruinant, ARa Martyr. fincera, p. 109.

^[1] See Aug. Calmer, Hift. de Lorraine, tom. i. distert. i. P. 7. Jo. Nicol. ab Hontheim, Historia Trevirentis, tom. i. ubi Diff. de ura fundats Epofcopatus Troverenfis.

248

CERT. of Scotland inform us, that the light of Christia-PART I nity arose upon that country during this century; but, though there be nothing improbable in this affertion, yet it is not built upon incontestable authority [m].

CHAP. II.

Concerning the calamitous events which happened to the church in this century.

¢n 100 un+ der bereren.

The parter I. I N the beginning of this century, the Christian church fuffered calamities of various kinds throughout the provinces of the Roman empire. These sufferings increased in a terrible manner, in confequence of a law made, in the year 20%, by the emperor Sevenus (who, in other respects, was certainly no enemy to the Christians), by which every subject of the empire was prohibited to change the religion of his anceftors for that of the Christian or Jewish [n]. This law was, in its effects, most prejudicial to the Christians; for, though it did not formally condenin them, and feemed only adapted to put a stop to the further progress of the gospel, yet it induced rapacious and onjust magistrates to perfecute even unto death the poorer fort among the Christians, that thus the richer might be led, through fear of like treatment, to purchase their tranquillity and fafety at an expensive rate. Hence many of the disciples of Christ, both in Egypt, and also in several parts of Asia and Africa.

[#] Euseneus, Eachf. Higher. lib. vi. cap. i. Spartia-

[[]m] See Usher et Stillingeleet, Antiquit. et Origin. Ecclepar. Bert. See also Grouge Mackenzie, De Riguli Scotorum prijupia, cap. viii. p. 119.

were put to death in consequence of this law, CENT. Among these Leonidas the father of Origen, PART L Perperua and Felicitas (those two famous -African ladies, whose alls [e] are come down to our times), Potamiena Marcella, and other martyrs of both fexes, acquired an illustrious name by the magnanimity and tranquillity with which they endured the most cruel sufferings.

II. From the death of Sevines to the reign of That under Maximum, the condition of the Christians was, in fome places, prosperous, and, in all, supportable. But with Maximum the face of affairs changed. This unworthy emperor, having animued the Roman folders to affaffinate Alexan-DER SIVERIS, dreaded the refentment of the Christians, whom that excellent prince had favoured and protected in a dutinguished manner; and, for this reason, he ordered the bishops, whom he knew that Altxander had always treated as his intimate friends, to be feized and put to death [p]. During his reign, the Christsans fuffered in the most barbarous manner; for, though the edict of this tyrant extended only to the bishops and leaders of the Christian church. yet its shocking effects reached much further; as it animated the heathen prieffs, the magiffilites, and the multitude, against Christians of every rank and order [q].

III. This form was fucceeded by a calm, in Many which the Christians enjoyed an happy tranquil- Christians iny for many years. The accession of Decrus quence of TRAJAN to the imperial throne, in the year of Decine 249, raifed a new tempest, in which the furly become of perfecution fell in a dreadful manner upon the with defen-

[0] THEOD. RUINART, Alla Marter. p. 90.

[[]p] Eusen. Hift. Ecclef. lib. vi. cap. xxviii. p. 225. Oro-\$10s. Hift. lib. vu. cap. xix. p. 509.

[[]q] Oxtgen. tom, unviu. in Matth. opp. tom. i. p. 137. See also Fermalian volum Cypriana Bpifisha, p. 140.

CENT. church of CHRIST. For this emperor, either PART I, from an ill-grounded fear of the Christians, or from a violent zeal for the superstition of his anceftors, published most terrible and cruel edicts; by which the prætors were ordered, upon pain of death, either to extirpate the whole body

of Christians without exception, or to force them, by torments of various kinds, to return to the Pagan worship. Hence, in all the provinces of the empire, multitudes of Christians were, during the space of two years, put to death by the most horrid punishments [r], which an ingenious bar-barity could invent. The most unhappy circomftance of all these cruelties was, their fatal influence upon the faith and conflancy of many of the fufferers; for as this perfecution was much more terrible than all those that preceded it, so a great number of Christians, difinaged, not at the approach of death, but at the afpect of those dreadful and lingering torments, which a barbarous magistraty had prepared to combat their constancy, fell from the profession of their saith, and fecured themselves from punishment, either by offering facrifices, or by burning intense, before the images of the gods, or by purchasing certificates from the Pagan priefls. Hence arose the opprobrious names of Sacrificati, given to thole who facrificed; THURIFICATI, to thole who burned incense; and LIBELLATICI, to those who produced certificates [s].

IV.

[r] Eusenius, Ilift. Ecclef. lib. vi. cap. xxxix. p. 234. cap. rli. p. 238. Gregorius Nyss. in a ta Thaumatuigi,

tom, iii. opp. p. 568. Cyprianus, De Lappe, p. 182. supposed all a degree of apostacy equally enormous. It is therefore necessary to advertise the reader of the following diffinctions omitted by Dr. Mosneru: These certificates were sometimes no more than a permission to abilizin from factificing, obtained by a fee given to the judges, and were not looked upon as an act of apostacy, unless the Christians, who demanded

IV. This defection of such a prodigious num- CENT. ber of Christians under Decrus was the occasion PART L of great commotions in the church, and produced debates of a very difficult and delicate nature. Warm come For the lapled, or those that had fallen from their found by Christian profession, were desirous to be restored the defecto church-communion, without submitting to that Chiffing. painful course of penitential discipline, which the ecclefiastical laws indispensably required. The bishops were divided upon this matter; some were for shewing the defired indulgence, while others opposed it with all their might [1]. Egypt and Africa, many, in order to obtain more speedily the pardon of their apostacy, interested the martyrs in their behalf, and received from them letters of reconciliation and peace , i. c. a * Liberton formal act, by which they (the martyrs) declared, Patie. in their last moments, that they looked upon them as worthy of their communion, and defired of confequence that they should be reflored to their place among the brethren. Some bishops and preflyters re-admitted into the church with too much facility, apostates and transgressors, who produced fuch tellimonies as thefe. But Cyprian, bishop of Carthage, a man of severe wildom and great dignity of character, acted in quite another way. Though he had no intention

demanded them, had declared to the judges, that they had conformed themselves to the emperor's edicis. But, at other times, they contained a profession of paganism, and were cither offered voluntarily by the apollate, or were subscribed by him, when they were presented to him by the persecuting magistrate. Many used certificates, as letters of security, obtained from the priefts at a high rate, and which dispensed them from either professing or denying their sentiments. See SPARHRIM. Hiftoria Christiana, p. 732, 733. See also Paun, Maganus in vita Cypriani, toperibus cjus præmiffa,

[e] Euszbius, Hift. Beckf. lib. vi. cap. xliv. Crea. Epifole, in many places.

C E N T. iți. Patt I to derogate from the authority of the venerable martyrs, yet he opposed with vigour this unreafonable lenity, and set limits to the efficacy of these letters of reconciliation and peace. Hence arose a keen dispute between him and the martyrs, confessors, presbyters, and lapsed, seconded by the people; and yet, notwithslanding this formidable multitude of adversaries, the venerable bishop came off victorious [u].

The performance of Gallus and Volume

V. Gallus, the fuccessor of Dretus, and Volusianus, for of the former, re-animated the Banse of perfecution, which was beginning to burn with less fury [w]. And, besides the sufferings which the Christians had to undergo in consequence of their cruel edicts, they were also involved in the public calamities that prevailed at this time, and fullered grievoully from a terrible pefulence, which spread desolation through many provinces of the empire [x]. This petitlence also was an occasion which the Paran priess used with dexterity to renew the rage of perfecution against them, by persuading the people that it was on account of the len ty used toward the Christians, that the gods tent down their judgments upon the nations. In the year 254, VALERIAN being declared emperor, made the fury of perfecution cease, and reflored the church to a state of tranquillity.

Çnder Va-İstina VI. The elemency and benevolence which VALERIAN shewed to the Christians, continued until the fifth year of his reign. I hen the scene

^{(\$\}dag{a}\$) The whole bistory of this controversy may be pathered from the episties of Cyprian. See also Gabr. At Basping. Us. Observat. Eccl.s. lib. i. observ. xx p. 94. Dalleus. De paras et fasssachion. lus bumans, lib. vii. cap. xvi. p. 705.

[[]w] Eusku. Hift. Ecclef. lib. vii. cap. î. p. 250. Cy-

[[]a] Vid. CYPRIANI Lib. ad Demetrianum.

began to change, and the change indeed was fud- CENT. MACRIANUS, a superstitions and cruel PART L den. bigot to paganilm, had guned an entire afcendant over VALERIAN, and was his chief counsellor in every thing that related to the administration of the government. By the perfusion of this imperious minister, the Christians were prohibited to affemble themselves together, and their bishops and doctors were fent into barishment. edict was published in the year 257, and was followed, the year after, by one itill more severe: in confequence of which a confiderable number of Christians, in all the different provinces of the empire, were put to death, and that by such cruel methods of execution, as were much more terrible than death itself. Of those that suffered in this perfecution, the most eminent were Cy-PRIAN, bishop of Carthage; Sixtus, bishop of Rome; and Laurentius, a Roman deacon, who was barbaroufly confumed by a flow and lingering fire. An unexpected event fulpended, for a while, the fufferings of the Chillians. VALE-RIAN was made prifoner in the war against the Persians; and his fon GALLIENUS, in the year 260, restored peace to the church [y].

VII. The condition of the Christians was ra- The flots of ther supportable than happy, under the reign of under Oal-GALLIENUS, which lasted eight years; as also Claudian, under the short administration of his successor and Aus-CLAUDIUS. Nor did they fuffer much during limthe field four years of the reign of AURELIAN, who was raised to the empire in the year 270. But the fifth year of this emperor's administration would have proved fatal to them, bad not his

^[] Eusen. Hift. Ecclef. lib. vii. cap. x, xi. p'. 255. Alla Cyprians, as they are to be found in the Alla Martyrum RUINARTI, p. 216. CYPRIANI Epift, IXXVII. p. 158. edit. Baluz, lannii, p. 165.

E # T. violent death prevented the execution of his cruel PART to purposes. For while, set on by the unjust suggestions of his own superstition, or by the barbarous countels of a bigotted priesthood, he was preparing a formidable attack upon the Christians, he was obliged to march into Gaul, where he was murdered, in the year 275, before his edicts were published throughout the empire [2]. Few therefore fuffered martyrdom under his reign; and, indeed, during the remainder of this century, the Christians enjoyed a considerable measure of ease and tranquillity. They were, at least, free from any violent attacks of oppression and injustice, except in a small number of cases, where the avarice and superstition of the Roman magistrates interrupted their tranquillity $\{a\}$.

The attempts of the philofophers s-ZonA. Chriftianity.

VIII. While the Roman emperors and proconfuls employed against the Christians the terror of unrighteous edicts, and the edge of the destroying sword, the Platonic philosophers, who have been described above, exhausted against Christianity all the force of their learning and eloquence, and all the refources of their art and dexterity, in thetorical declamations, writings, and ingenious stratagems. Thefe artful adverfaries were so much the more dangerous and formidable, as they had adopted feveral of the doctrines and inflitutions of the gospel, and with a specious air of moderation and impartiality, were attempting, after the example of their master Ammontus, to reconcile paganisin with Christianity, and to form a fort of coalition of

^[2] Eusebius, Hift. Ealf. lib. vii. cap. xxx. Lac-TANTIUS, De mortibus Persequesor, cap. vi.

[[]a] Among these vexations may be reckoned the cruelty of GALBRIUS MAXIMIAN, who, towards the conclusion of this century, perfectived the ministers of his court, and the foldiers of his army, who had professed Christianity. See Eusenius, Hif. Eccles. lib. viii. cap. 1. p. 292. iv. p. 295. 317.

the ancient and the new religion. These philoso- CENT. phers had at their head, in this century, Pon- Pant In PHYRY, a Syrian, or, as fome allege, a Tyrian, by birth, who wrote against the Christians a long and laborious work, which was destroyed afterwards by an imperial edict [b]. He was, undoubtedly, a writer of great dexterity, genius, and erudition, as those of his works that yet remain fusficiently tellify. But those very works, and the history of his life, shew us, at the same time, that he was a much more virulent, than a formidable enemy to the Christians. For by them it appears, that he was much more attentive to the fuggestions of a superflitious spirit, and the visions of a lively fancy, than to the fober dictates of right reason and a sound judgment. And it may be more especially observed of the fragments that yet remain of his work against the Christians, that they are equally deflitute of judgment and equity, and are utterly unworthy of a wife and a good man [c].

IX. Many were the deceitful and perfidious Companifiratagems by which this feet endeavoured to ob-

fens drawn phitolephers and Ch. 14.

[b] See Holstentus, De with Purphyr. cap. vi. Fabric. Lux Evang. p. 154. Buppevs, Hagoge in Thiologiam, tom. ii.

p. 1009. 🗗 [c] This work of Porphyry against the Christians was burnt by an edict of Conscanding the Great. It was divided into fifteen books, as we find in Euseurus, and contained the blackest calumnies against the Christian. The first book treated of the contradictions which he pretended to have found in the facred writings .- The greatest part of the swelfib is employed in fixing the time when the prophecies of DANIEL were written. For Porphyny timfelt found these prophecies to clearly and evidently fulfilled, that, to avoid the force of " the argument, deducible from thence, in favour of Christianity, he was forced to have recourte to this abfurd supposition, that these prophecies had been published under the name of DANIBL, by one who lived in the time of Ax Trochus, and wrote after the arrival of the evenus foretold. METHODEUS, Euseneus, and APOLLEMANTS, wrote against PORPHYRY. But these refutations have been long fince loft.

fcure

ENT. Scure the Justre, and to diminish the authority, of PART I, the Christian doctrine. But none of these were more dangerous than the feducing artifice with which they formed a comparison between the life, actions, and miracles of Christ, and the history of the ancient philosophers; and placed the contending parties in fuch fallacious points of view, as to make the pretended fages of antiquity appear in nothing inferior to the divine Saviour. With this view. Archytas of Tarentum, Pytha-GORAS, of whom PORPHYRY Wrote the life, Apol-·LONIUS TYANAUS, a Pythagorean ph lofopher, whose miracles and peregrinations were highly celebrated by the vulgar, were brought upon the scene, and exhibited as divine teachers and rivals of the glory of the Son of God. PHILOSTRATUS, one of the most eminent thetoricians of this age, composed a pompous history of the life of Apollo ites, who was little elfe than a cunning knave, and did nothing but ape the authority and fanctity of Pytha-GORAS. This hittory appears manifettly defigned to draw a parallel between Curisis and the philosopher of Tyana; but the impedent fictions, and the ridiculous fables, with which this work is filled, must, one would think, have rendered it incapable of deceiving any who were potleffed of a found mind; any, but fuch as, through the corruption of vicious prejudices, were willing to be deceived [d].

The persitious confa quences of this compasilos,

X. But as there are no opinions however abfurd, and no stories however idle and improbable, that a weak and ignorant multitude, who are more attentive to the pump of words than to the truth of things, will not easily swallow; so it happened, that many were enfoared by the abfurd attempts

[[]d] See OLBARIUS's preface to the life of Apollonius, by fullostertus; as also Moshellis's notes to his Laum. translation of Cunworth's Intellectual Sylem, p. 304. 309. 311. 814.

of these insidious philosophers. Some were in- CENT. duced by these persidious stratagems to abandon PART to the Christian religion, which they had embraced. Others, when they heard that true Christianity (as it was taught by Jesus, and not as it was afterwards corrupted by his disciples) differed almost in nothing from the Pagan religion properly explained and restored to its primitive purity, determined to remain in the religion of their ancestors, and in the worship of their gods. A third fort were led, by these comparisons between Christ and the ancient philosophers, to form to themselves a motley fystem of religion composed of the tenets of both parties, whom they treated with the fame veneration and respect. Such was, particularly, the method of ALEXANDER SEVERUS, who paid indiferiminately divine honours to Christ, and to Orpheus, to Apollonius, and the other philosophers and heroes whose names were famous in ancient times.

XI. The credit and power of the Jews were Theat. now too much diminished to render them as ca- tempts of pable of injuring the Christians, by their influence infinitely upon the magistrates, as they had formerly been. Christians. This did not, however, discourage their malicious efforts, as the books which TERTULLIAN and Cy-PRIAN have written against them abundantly shew, with several other writings of the Christian doctors. who complained of the malignity of the Jews, and of their perfidious stratagems [e]. During the perfecution under Severus, a certain person called Domninus, who had embraced Christianity, deferted to the Jews, doubtlefs, to avoid the punishments that were decreed against the Christians; and it was to recal this apostate to his duty and his profession, that Serapion, bishop of Antioch,

[[]e] Hyppolytus, Serm. in Sejana. et Daniel. tom. 1. opp. P. 274. 176.

The External HISTORY of the Cauxen

335

CENT. wrote a particular treatife against the Jews [/]. PART I. We may, however, conclude from this instance, that when the Christians were perfecuted, the Jews were treated with less severity and contempt, on account of their enmity against the disciples of Jesus. And from the same fact we may also learn, that, though they were in a state of great subjection and abalement, yet they were not entirely deprived of all power of oppreffing the Christians.

[/] Eusebius, Hift. Ecclef. lib. vi. cap. xii. p. 214.

[259]

PART II.

The Internal History of the Church.

CHAPTER I.

Concerning the state of letters and philosophy during this century.

I. THE arts and sciences, which, in the pre- c E NT. ceding century, were in a declining flate, PART II. feemed, in this, ready to expire, and had now loft all their vigour, and all their luftre. The celebrated The decay rhetorician Longinus, and the eminent historian Dion Cassius, with a few others, were the last among the Greeks, who stood in the breach against the prevailing ignorance and barbarism of the Men of learning and genius were less numerous still in the western provinces of the empire, though there were, in feveral places, flourishing schools erected for the advancement of the sciences, and the culture of taste and genius. Different reafons contributed to this decay of learning. of the emperors patronized the sciences, or encouraged, by the prospect of their favour and protection, that emulation, which is the foul of the republic of letters. Besides, the civil wars that almost always distracted the empire, were extremely unfavourable to the pursuit of science, and the perpetual incursions of the barbarous nations interrupted that leifure and tranquillity which are for effential to the progress of learning and knowledge, and extinguished, among a people accustomed to nothing almost but the din of arms, all desire of literary acquisitions [g].

[[]g] See the Lineary History of France, by the Benedictine monks, vol. i. part II. p. 317.

S 2 II. If

CENT. III. Part II.

The fiste of philosophy, principally the Plato-

Pletiese.

II. If we turn our eyes towards the state of philosophy, the prospect will appear fornewhat less desolate and comfortless. There were, as yet, in feveral of the Grecian feets, men of confiderable knowledge and reputation, of whom Low-GINUS has mentioned the greatest part [b]. But all these sects were gradually eclipsed by the school of Ammonius, whose origin and doctrines have been considered above. This victorious sect, which was formed in Egypt, issued forth from thence with fuch a rapid progress, that, in a short time, it extended itself almost throughout the Roman empire, and drew into its vortex the greatest part of those who applied themselves, through inclination, to the study of philosophy. This amazing progress was due to Plotinus, the most eminent disciple of Ammonius, a man of a most subtile invention, and endowed by nature with a genius capable of the most profound refearches, and equal to the investigation of the most abstruce and difficult subjects. This penetrating and sublime philosopher taught publicly first in Persia, and afterwards at Rome, and in Campania; in all which places the youth flocked in crowds to receive his instruction. He comprehended the precepts of his philosophy, in feveral books, the most of which are yet extant [i].

Mindellrige Valver(a))y propagatad. III. The number of disciples that were formed in the school of PLOTINUS, is almost beyond credibility. The most samous of them was PORPHYRY [k], who spread abroad through Sicily, and many

[6] In his life of PLOTINUS, epitomized by PORPHYRT, ch. zz. p. 128. edit. Fabricii.

(T [4] PORPHYRY was first the disciple of Longshus, anthor of the justly celebrated Trustife in the fabline. But having

paffed

[[]i] See PORPHYRII vita Platini, of which FARRICIUS has given an edition in his Bibliotheca Graca, tom. iv. p. 91. BAYLE'S Difficu. tom. iii. at the article PLOTINUS; as also BRUCKER's Historia Critica Philosophia.

many other countries, the doctrine of his matter, CEMT. revised with great accuracy, adorned with the PARTHA graces of flowing and elegant style, and enriched with new inventions and curious improvements [1]. From the time of Ammontus, until the firth century, this was almost the only system of philosophy that was publicly taught at Alexandria. certain philosopher, whose name was Plutarch, having learned it there, brought it into Greece, and renewed, at Albens, the celebrated academy, from whence iffued a fet of illustrious philosophers, whom we shall have occasion to mention in the progress of this work [m].

IV. We have unfolded above the nature and Different doctrines of this philosophy, as far as was com-philosophy. patible with the brevity of our present design. is, however, proper to add here, that its votaries were not all of the same sentiments, but thought very differently upon a variety of tubjects. difference of opinion was the natural confequence of that fundamental law, which the whole feet was obliged to keep constantly in view, viz. That truth was to be purfued with the utmost liberty, and to be collected from all the different systems in which it lay dispersed. Hence it happened, that the Athenians rejected certain opinions that were entertained by the philosophers of Alexandria. None, however, who were ambitious to be ranked among these new Platonists, called in question the main doctrines, which formed the ground-work of their fingular system; those, for example, which regarded the existence of one God; the fountain of all things; the eternity of the world; the dependance of

passed from Greece to Rome, where he heard Plotinus, he was fo charmed with the genius and penetration of this philosopher, that he attached himless entirely to him. See Profin. on.

р. 3. Ециар. с. ü. р. 17. [/] Holstenius vis. Perphyrii, republished in the Biblio-

thica Grace of PARETCIUS

[m] Marini wita Pricli, cap. xi, xii. p. 25.

C & M T. matter upon the Supreme Being; the nature of fouls: ** II. the plurality of gods; the method of interpreting the popular superstitions, &cc.

of learning emong the Christians.

V. The famous question concerning the excellence and utility of human learning, was now debated with great warmth among the Christians; and the contending parties, in this controversy, feemed hitherto of equal force in point of numbers, or nearly fo. Many recommended the study of philotophy, and an acquaintance with the Greek and Roman literature; while others maintained. that these were pernicious to the interests of genuine Christianity and the progress of true piety. The cause of letters and philosophy triumphed, however, by degrees; and those who wished well to them, gained ground more and more, till at length the superiority was manifestly decided in their favour. This victory was principally due to the influence and authority of ORIGEN, who having been early instructed in the new kind of Platonifin already mentioned, blended it unhappily with the purer and more fublime tenets of a celestial doctrine, and recommended it, in the warmest manner, to the youth who attended his public lessons. The fame of this philosopher increased daily among the Christians; and, in proportion to his riling credit, his method of propoling and explaining the doctrines of Christianity gained authority, till it became almost universal. sides, some of the disciples of PLOTINUS having embraced Christianity, on condition that they should be allowed to retain such of the opinions of their matter as they thought of superior excellence and merit [n], this must also have contributed, in some measure, to turn the balance in favour of the sciences. These Christian philoso-

[[]a] Augustenus, Epifiela Ivi. ad Diefeer. p. 260. com. ü. opp.

phers preferving still a fervent zeal for the doc- CEMY. trines of their Heathen chief, would naturally embrace every opportunity of spreading them abroad, and inftilling them into the minds of the ignorant and the unwary.



CHAP. 11.

Concerning the doctors and ministers of the church. and its form of government, during this century.

I. THE form of ecclefialtical government that, Of the form had been adopted by Chuftians in general, po erahad now acquired gienter degrees of stability and menu force, both in particular churches, and in the univerfal fociety of Christians collectively considered. It appears incontestable from the most authentic records, and the best histories of this century, that, in the larger cities, there was, at the head of each church, a perion to whom was given the title of bifbop, who ruled this ficred community with a certain fort of authority, in concert, however, with the body of preflyters, and consulting, in matters of moment, the opinion and the voices of the whole affembly [o]. It is also equally evident, that, in every province, one bishop was invested with a certain superiority over the rest, in point of rank and authority. This was necessary to the maintenance of that affociation of churches that had been introduced in the preceding cenand contributed, moreover, to facilitate the holding of general councils, and to give a certain degree of order and confiltence to their pro-

^[0] A latislactory account of this matter may be feen in BLONDELLI Apologia pro Sententia Hieronymi de Epifcopia et Preflyteris, p. 136. as that author has collected all the tellimonies of the ancients relative to that subject.

IN T. ceedings. It must, at the same time, be carefully PART II. Observed, that the rights and privileges of these. primitive bifliops were not, every where, accurately fixed, nor determined in such a manner as to prevent encroachments and disputes; nor does it appear, that the chief authority, in the province. was always conferred upon that bishop who prefided over the church established in the metropolis. It is further to be noticed, as a matter beyond all dispute, that the bishops of Rome, Antioch, and Alexandria, confidered as rulers of primitive and apostolic churches, had a kind of pre-eminence over all others, and were not only confulted frequently in affairs of a difficult and momentous nature, but were also distinguished by peculiar rights and privileges:

II. With respect, particularly, to the bishop of Rome; he is supposed by Cyprian to have had, at this tune, a certain pre-eminence in the turn; what, church [p]; nor does he stand alone in this opinion. But it is to be carefully observed, that even those who, with CYPRIAN, attributed this pre-eminence to the Roman prelate, infifted, at the same time, with the utmost warmth, upon the equality, in point of dignity and authority, that subfifted among all the members of the epifcopal order. In consequence of this opinion of an equality among all Christian bishops, they rejected, with contempt, the judgment of the bishop of Rome, when they thought it ill founded or unjust, and followed their own fense of things with a perfect independence. Of this CYPRIAN himself gave an eminent example, in his famous controverfy with STEPHEN bishop of Rome, concerning the baptifus of bereties, in which he treated the arrogance of that imperious prelate with a noble in-

^[4] CTPRIAN, Sp. lexiii. p. 131. Ep. lv. p. 86. Ib. De Unitate Ecclefia, p. 195. edit. Beluzii.

dignation, and also with a perfect contempt; c.z w T. Whoever, therefore, compares all these things Part H. rogether, will eafily perceive, that the pre-eminence of the bishop of Rome, was a pre-eminence of order and affociation [q], and not of power and authority. Or, to explain the matter yet more clearly, the pre-eminence of the bishop of Rome, in the universal church, was such as that of CYPRIAN, bishop of Cartbage, was in the African churches. And every one knows, that the precedence of this latter prelate diminished in nothing the equality that fublished among all the African bishops, invalidated in no instance their rights and liberties; but gave only to Cyprian, as the president of their general affemblies, a power of calling councils, of prefiding in them, of admonifhing his brethren in a mild and fraternal manner, and of executing, in fbort, such offices as the order and purpoles of these ecclesiastical meetings necessarily required [7].

III. The face of things began now to change Thegovernin the Christian church. The ancient method of m at at the ecclesiastical government seemed, in general, still senemen to sublist, while, at the same time, by impercep-narchical tible steps, it varied from the primitive rule, and torm. degenerated towards the form of a religious monarchy. For the bishops aspired to higher degrees of power and authority than they had formerly possessed; and not only violated the rights

7 [9] So I have translated Principatus ordinis et confociathair, which could not be otherwise rendered without a long circumlocution. The pre-eminence here mentioned, fignifies the right of convening councils, of prefiding in them, of collecting wolcom, and such other things as were effential to the order of these affemblies.

[r] See Stern. Balusit admit. ad Cypr.ari Epifiolas, p. 387. 389. 400. Confult particularly the LYXI. LXXIII. epifics of CYPRIAN, and the LV. addressed to CORNELIUS bishop of Rame, in which lotters the Carthaginian prelate pleads with warmth and vehemence for the equality of all Christian bifnops,

CB W T. of the people, but also made gradual encroach-PART II. ments upon the privileges of the presbyters. that they might cover these usurpations with an air of justice, and an appearance of reason, they published new doctrines concerning the nature of the church and of the episcopal dignity, which, however, were, in general, to obscure, that they themfelves feem to have understood them as little as those to whom they were delivered. One of the principal authors of this change, in the government of the church, was Cyprian, who pleaded for the power of the bishops with more zeal and vehemence than had ever been hitherto employed in that cause, though not with an unihaken constancy and perfeverance; for, in difficult and perilous times, necesfity fometimes obliged him to yield, and to fubmit feveral things to the judgment and authority of the church.

The vices of the day.

 This change, in the form of ecclefiaftical. government, was foon followed by a train of vices, which differented the character and authority of thate to whom the administration of the church was committed. For, though feveral yer continued to exhibit to the world illustrious examples of primitive piety and Christian virtue, yet many were funk in luxury and voluptuoufness, puffed up with vanity, arrogance, and ambition, possessed with a fpirit of contention and discord, and addicted to many other vices that cast an undeserved reproach upon the holy religion, of which they were the unworthy professors and ministers. This is testified in fuch an ample manner, by the repeated complaints of many of the most respectable writers of this age [s], that truth will not permit us to spread the veil, which we should otherwise be defirous to cast over such enormities among an

^[4] Oatash. Comm. in Marthenen, par. I. opp. p. 420. 441, 444. Busentus, Hift. Ecclef. lib. viú. cap. 1. p. 291, &c.

order to facred. The bifbops affumed, in many c x w r. places, a princely authority, particularly those who past II. had the greatest number of churches under their . inspection, and who presided over the most opulent affemblies. They appropriated to their evangelical function the splendid ensigns of temporal majetly. A throne, forrounded with ministers, exaited above his equals the fervant of the meek and numble issus; and fumptuous garments dazzled the eyes and the minds of the multitude into an ignorant veneration for their arrogated authority. The example of the bishops was ambitiously imitated by the prelbyters, who, neglecting the facred duties of their station, abandoned themselves to the indolence and delicacy of an effeminate and luxurious life. The deacons, beholding the prefbyters deferting thus their functions, boldly usurped their rights and privileges; and the effects of a corrupt ambition were spread through every rank of the facred order.

V. From what has been now observed, we may Hence the come, perhaps, at the true origin of minor or leffer derie orders, which were, in this century, added every where to those of the bishops, pressysters, and dea-For, certainly, the titles and offices of fubdeacons, acolythi, ofliarii, or door-keepers, readers. exorcifts, and copiata, would never have been heard of in the church, if its rulers had been affiduoufly and zealoufly employed in promoting the interests of truth and piety by their labours and their ex-But when the honours and privileges of the bishops and presbyters were augmented, the deacons also began to extend their ambitious views and to despile those lower functions and employs ments which they had hitherto exercised with such humility and zeal. The additional orders that were now created to diminish the labours of the prefent rulers of the church, had functions allowed

The institution of exercists was a consequence of the doctrine of the New Platonists, which the Christians adopted, and which taught that the evil genii, or spirits, were continually hovering over human bodies, towards which they were carried by a natural and vehement desire; and that vicious men were not so much impelled to sin by an innate depravity, or by the seduction of ex-

17 [1] The fubdeacons were designed to case the deacons of the meanest part of their work. Their office, consequently, was to prepare the facred vehicls of the altar, and to deliver them to the deacons in time of divine fervice; to attend the doors of the church during the communion-fervice; to go on the bilhop's embassies, with his letters or messages to foreign churches. In a word, they were fo subordinate to the superior rulers of the church, that, by a canon of the council of Landicea, they were forbidden to fit in the presence of a deacon without his leave. The order of acolythi was peculiar to the Latin church; for there was no such order in the Greek church during the four first centuries. Their name fignifies attendants; and their principal office was to light the candles of the church, and to attend the ministers with wine for the eucharist. official, or door-keepers, were appointed to open and that the doors, as officers and fervants under the deacons and fub-deacons; to give notice of the times of prayer and church affemblies. which, in time of perfecution, required a private fignal for fear of discovery; and that probably was the first reason for instituting this order in the church of Rome, whose example, by degrees, was foon followed by other churches.—The readers were those that were appointed to read the scriptore in that part of divine service to which the catechumens were admitted. -The exorcifts were appointed to drive out evil fpirits from the bodies of persons possessed; they had been long known in the church, but were not erected into an ecclefialtical order until the latter end of the third century .- The copiate, or foffern, were an order of the inferior clergy, whose business it was to take care of funerals, and to provide for the decent interment of the dead. In vain have BARONIUS and other Romith writers afferted, that thefe inferior orders were of apostolical institution. The contrary is evidently proved, since none of these offices are mentioned as having taken place before the third century, and the origin can be traced no higher than the fourth.

ample, as by the internal fuggestions of some evil CENT. dæmons. The copiate were employed in providing PARTIL for the decent interment of the dead.

VI. Marriage was permitted to all the various Marriage of ranks and orders of the clergy, high and low. the clergy-Those, however, who continued in a state of celibacy, obtained by this abstinence a higher reputation of fanctity and virtue than others. This was owing to an almost general persuasion, that they, who took wives, were of all others the most Subject to the influence of malignant damons [u]. And as it was of infinite importance to the interests. of the church, that no impure or malevolent spirit entered into the bodies of fuch as were appointed to govern, or to inflight others; fo the people were defirous that the clergy flouid use their utmost efforts to abstain from the pleasures of the conjugal life. Many of the facred order, especially in Africa, confented to fatisfy the defires of the people, and endeavoured to do this in fuch a manner as not to offer an entire violence to their own inclinations. For this purpose, they formed Common common of the com connexions with those women who had made vows introduced of perperual chaftiev; and it was an ordinary degr. thing for an ecclefiallic to admit one of these fair fames to the participation of his bed, but still under the most folerun declarations, that nothing passed in this commerce that was contrary to the rules of chastity and virtue [w]. These holy concobines were called, by the Greeks, Europain ? and by the Latins, Multeres fubintrodusta. This indecent cuftom alarmed the zeal of the more pious among the bishops, who employed the uts most efforts of their severity and vigilance to abo-

[u] PORPHYRIUS, # 2 amogra, lib. iv. p. 417. [w] Credat Judieus Apella. See however Donwell, Diff. tertia Cyprianica, and Lub. AR. Muratortus, Diff. de Synifaties et Agapeus, in his Anecdot. Grac. p. 218.; as also Ba-LUZIUS ad Cypriani Epifel. p. 5. 12, &c.

PART II.

The prinreipal Greek and Oriene (a) writers.

e x # T. lish it, though it was a long time before they entirely effected this laudable purpose.

> 'VII. Thus we have given a shore, though not a very pleafing, view of the rulers of the church during this century; and should now mention the principal writers that diffinguished themselves in it by their learned and pious productions. The most eminent of these, whether we consider the extent of his fame, or the multiplicity of his labours, was Origen, a presbyter and catechist of Alexandria, a man of vast and uncommon abilities. and the greatest luminary of the Christian world that this age exhibited to view. Had the justness of his judgment been equal to the immensity of his genius, the fervor of his piety, his indefatigable patience, his extensive erudition, and his other eminent and superior talents, all encomiums must have fallen short of his merit. Yet such as he was, his virtues and his labours deferve the admiration of all ages; and his name will be transmitted with honour through the annals of time as long as learning and genius shall be esteemed among men [x].

The fecond in renown among the writers of this century was Julius Africanus, a native of Palestine, a man of the most profound erudition, but the greatest part of whose learned labours are unhappily loft.

HIPPOLYTUS, whose history is much involved in darkness [7], is also esteemed among the most celebrated authors and martyrs of this age; but those writings, which at prefent bear his name,

[] The Benedictine monks have, with great labour and erudition, endeavoured to dispel this darkness in their History Litteraire de la France, tom. i. p. 361.

^[4] See a very learned and useful work, of the famous Hurr bilhop of Avranches, intitled, Orgemana. See also Doucin, Histoire d'Origine et des mouvemens arrivés dans l'Eglife que firjet de fa doctrine; und BAYLE's Dictionary, ut the article Origan.

are justly looked upon by many as either extremely o E N T.

corrupted, or entirely spurious.

ART IL

GREGORY, bishop of New Cafarea, acquired, at this time, the title of Thaumaturgus, i. e. wonderworker, on account of the variety of great and fignal miracles, which he is taid to have wrought during the course of his minutry. Few of his works have come down to our times, and his miracles are called in question by many, as unsupported by sufficient evidence [2].

It were to be wished that we had more of the writings of Dionysius, bishop of Allexandria, than those which have furvived the ruins of time, fince the few remaining fragments of his works difcover the most confummate wisdom and prodence, and the most amiable spirit of moderation and candour, and thus abundantly vindicate, from all fulpicion of flattery, the ancients who mentioned him under the title of Dionysius the GREAT [a].

Methodius appears to have been a man of great piety, and highly respectable on account of his eminent virtue, but those of his works, which are yet extant, discover no great degree of penetration and acuteness in handling controversy and weighing

opinions.

VIII. CYPRIAN, bishop of Carthage, a man of The tasta the most eminent abilities and flowing eloquence, stands foremost in the list of Latin writers. letters, and indeed the most of his works, breathe fuch a noble and pathetic spirit of piety, that it is impossible to read them without the warmest feelings of enthusiasm. We must however observe, that he would have been a better wijter, had he been less attentive to the ornaments

[2] See Van Dale's preface to his Latin treasife concerning Oracles, p. 6.

[[]a] The history of Dionterns is particularly illustrated by Jac. Basnage, in his Histoire de l'Eglefe, tom. i. Mis. il. chap. v. p. 68.

e ENT. of rhetoric; and a better bishop, had he been PART II. able to restrain the vehemence of his temper, and - to distinguish, with more acuteness, between truth and falfhood.

> The dialogue of Minucius Felix, which bears the title of Ottavius, effaces with such judgment, fpirit, and force, the calumnies and reproaches that were cast upon the Christians by their adversaries, that it deferves an attentive perufal from those who are defirous to know the state of the church during this century.

· The vii Books of Arnobius, the African, written against the Gentiles, are a still more copious and ample defence of the Christians, and, though obscure in several places, may yet be read with pleasure and with profit. It is true, that this rhetorician, too little instructed in the Christian religion when he wrote this work, has mingled great errors with folemn and important truths; and has exhibited Christianity under a certain philosophical form, very different from that in which it is commonly received.

We refer our readers, for an account of the authors of inferior note, who lived in this century, to those who have prosessedly given histories or enu-

merations of the Christian writers.

CHAP. III.

Concerning the dollrine of the Christian church, in sbis century.

ha doğuları,

the flow of I. THE principal doctrines of Christianity were the chief.

now explained to the people in their native purity and fimplicity, without any mixture of abstrate reasonings or subcile inventions; nor were the feeble minds of the multitude loaded with a great great variety of precepts [b]. But the Christian C E N T. doctors, who had applied themselves to the study PART II. of letters and philosophy, foon abandoned the frequented paths, and struck out into the devious wilds of fancy. The Egyptians diftinguished themselves in this new method of explaining the truth. They looked upon it as a noble and a glarious talk to bring the doctrines of celeftial wildom into a certain subjection to the precepts of their philosophy, and to make deep and profound refearches into the intimate and hidden natime of those truths which the divine Saviour had delivered to his disciples. Origen was at the head of this speculative tribe. This great man, enchanted by the charms of the Platonic philofophy, let it up as the test of all religion; and imagined, that the reasons of each doctrine were to be found in that favourite philosophy, and the nature and extent to be determined by it [c]. It must be confessed, that he handled this matter with modefty and with caution; but he flill gave an example to his disciples, the abuse of which could not fail to be pernicious, and under the authority of which they would naturally indulge themselves without restraint in every wanton fancy. And fo, indeed, the case was: for the diciples of Origen, breaking forth from the limits fixed by their mafter, interpreted, in the most licentious manner, the divine truths of religion according to the tenor of the Platonic philosophy. From these teachers the philosophical, or scholastic theology, as it is called, derives its ori-

[[]b] See ORIGEN, in Praf. libror. de Principiis, tom 1. opp. p. 49. and lib. i. De Principiis, cap. ii. See also GREGORIS NEOCRESARIEMSIS, Expositio Fidei, p. 51. of his works, according to the addition of GER. Vossius.

[[]c] This is manifest from what remains of his Stromata; as also from his books De principies, which are still preferred in a Latin translation of them by Russianus.

e E N T. gin; and, proceeding hence, passed through vain.

PART II. rious forms and modifications according to the
genius, turn, and crudition, of those who embraced it.

The rife of the myflic theology.

II. The same principles gave rise to another species of theology, which was called mystic. what must seem at first sight surprising here is. that this myftic theology, though formed at the fame time, and derived from the fame fource, with the scholastic, yet had a natural tendency to overturn and destroy it. The authors of this mystic science are not known; but the principles from whence it forung are manifest. Its first promoters proceeded from that known doctrine of the Platonic school, which also was adopted by Origen and his disciples, that the divine nature was diffused through all buman fouls; or, in other words, that the faculty of reason, from which proceeds the health and vigour of the mind, was an emanation from God into the human foul, and comprehended in imbe principles and elements of all truth, buman and divine. They denied that men could, by labour or study, excite this celestial flame in their breasts; and therefore they disapproved highly of the attempts of those who, by definitions, abstract theorems, and profound speculations, endeavoured to form distinct notions of truth, and to discover its hidden nature. On the contrary, they maintained, that filence, tranquillity, repose, and solitude, accompanied with such acts of mortification as might tend to extenuate and exhaust the body, were the means by which the bidden and internal word was excited to produce its latent virtues, and to instruct men in the knowledge of divine things. For thus they reasoned: "They who behold with a noble " contempt all human affairs, who turn away " their eyes from terrestrial vanities, and shut " all the avenues of the outward senses against " the contagious influences of a material world, a must

" must necessarily return to God, when the spirit C E N T. " is thus disengaged from the impediments that PART He " prevented that happy union. And in this " bleffed frame, they not only enjoy inexpreffible " raptures from their communion with the Su-" preme Being, but also are invested with the in-" estimable privilege of contemplating truth un-" difguifed and uncorrupted in its native purity, " while others behold it in a vitiated and delufive "form."

111. This method of reasoning produced strange Hence the effects, and drove many into caves and defarts, note of where they macerated their bodies with hunger harmus. and thirst, and submitted to all the miseries of the feverest discipline that a gloomy imagination could prescribe. And it is not improbable that PAUL, the first hermit, was rather engaged by this fanatical system, than by the perfecution under Decrus, to fly into the most solitary desarts of Thebais, where he led, during the space of ninety years, a life more worthy of a favage animal than of a rational being [d]. It is, however, to be observed, that though PAUL is placed at the head of the order of Hermits, yet that unfociable manner of life was very common in Egypt, Syria, India, and Mejopotamia, not only long before his time, but even before the coming of Christ-And it is still practifed among the Mahometans, as well as the Christians, in those arid and burning climates [e]. For the glowing atmosphere that furrounds these countries is a natural cause of that love of folitude and repose, of that indolent and melancholy disposition, that are remarkably common among their languid inhabitants.

IV. But let us turn away our eyes from these frenes of fanaticism, which are so opprobrious to

[[]d] The life of this hermit was written by Jezow. See the travels of Lugas, in the year 1714, focund Volume, p. 363.

many in foresding atmed the facred write

CENT. human nature, and confider fome other circum-PART II. Stances that belong more or less to the history of the Christian doctrine during this century. And The real of here it is proper to mention the useful labours of those, who manifested their zeal for the holy scriptures by the care they took to have accurate copies of them multiplied every where, and that at fuch moderate prices, as rendered them of eafy purchase; as also to have them translated into various languages, and published in correct editions. Many of the more opulent among the Christians contributed generously a great part of their substance to the carrying on these pious and excellent undertakings. PIERIUS and HESYCHIUS in Egypt, and Lucian at Antioch, employed much pains in correcting the copies of the Septuagint; and Pamphibus of Calarea laboured with great diligence and fuccess in works of the same nature, until a glorious martyrdom finished his course. But Origen surpassed all others in diligence and affiduity; and his famous Hexapla, though almost entirely destroyed by the waste of time, will, even in its fragments, remain an eternal monument of the incredible application with which that great man laboured to remove those obstacles which retarded the progress of the gospel [/].

Origen's method of interpreting the Stripturu,

V. After the encomiums we have given to ORIGEN, who has an undoubted right to the first place among the interpreters of the feriptures in this century, it is not without a deep concern that we are obliged to add, that he also, by an unhappy method, opened a fecure retreat for all forts of errors that a wild and irregular imagination could bring forth. Having entertained a notion that it

[[]f] The fragments that yet remain of Oxiden's Hexaple. were collected and published, by the learned MONTFAUCON, in folio, at Paris, in 1713. See also upon this head Boods: Hagge in Tocolog. tom. il. p. 1581; and Canprovit Gruie.

was extremely difficult, if not impossible, to de- c z n T. fend every thing contained in the facred writings PART IL from the cavils of heretics and infidels, to long as they were explained literally, according to the real import of the terms, he had recourse to the fecundity of a lively imagination, and maintained, that the holy feriptures were to be interpreted in the same allegorical manner that the Platonists explained the history of the gods. In contequence of this pernicious rule of interpretation, he alleged, that the words of feripture were, in many places, absolutely void of sense; and that though in others there were, indeed, certain notions conveyed under the outward terms according to their literal force and import, yet, it was not in these that the true meaning of the facred writers was to be fought, but in a mysterious and hidden sense arifing from the nature of the things themselves [g]. This bidden fense he endeavours to investigate throughout his commentaries, neglecting and delpiling, for the most part, the outward letter; and in this devious path he displays the most ingenious strokes of fancy, though always at the expence of truth, whole divine simplicity is scarcely differnible through the cobweb-veil of allegory [b]. Nor did the inventions of Origen

[g] For a further illustration of this matter, the reader may consult the excellent picface of Dela Rue, to the second volume of the works of Oricen, published in folio at Paris, in the year 1733. An accurate and full account of Oricen's method of interpreting the scripture may be sound in the work intitled Commentar. ac rebus Christian, ante Conflantinum M. p. 629; where the philosophy and theology of that great man, and his controversy with Demetratus bishop of Alexandria, are treated of professed, and at large.

[b] Origin, in his Strumata, book x, expresses himself in the following manner: "The source of many evils lies in additional to the carnal or external part of scripture. Those who do so, shall not attain to the kingdom of God. Let us, therefore, seek after the spirit and the substantial fruit

CENT. end here. He divided this bidden forfe, which he PART 11. pursued with such eagerness, into moral, and mystical - or spiritual. The moral sense of scripture displays those doctrines that relate to the inward state of the foul, and the conduct of life. The mystical or spiritual sense represents the nature, the laws, and the history, of the spiritual or mystical world. We are not yet at the end of the labyrinth; for he fubdivided this myffical world of his own creation into two diffinct regions, the one of which he called the *superior*, i. e. beaven; and the other the inferior, by which he meant the church. This led to another division of the mystical sense into an earthly or allegorical fense, adapted to the inferior world, and a celestral or anagogetical one, adapted to the fuperior region. This chimerical method of explaining the feripture was, before Origen, recrived by many Christians, who were deluded into it by the example of the Jews. But as this learned man reduced it into a fystem, and founded it upon fixed and determinate rules, he is, on that account, commonly confidered as its principal author.

Other interpretass. VI. A prodigious number of interpreters, both in this and the succeeding ages, sollowed the method of Origen, though with some variations;

" of the word, which are hidden and myslerious." again: " The scriptures are of little use to those who under-" fland them as they are written." One would think it impossible that such expressions should drop from the pen of a wife man. But the philosophy, which this great man embraced with fuch zeal, was one of the fources of his delution. He could not find in the Bible the opinions he had adopted, 25 long as he interpreted that facred book according to its literal Jenio. But PLATO, ARISTOTLE, ZENO, and, indeed, the whale philosophical tribe, could not fail to obtain, for their festiments, a place in the gospel, when it was interpreted by the wanton inventions of fancy, and upon the supposition of a bidden fenfe, to which it was possible to give all forts of forms. Hence all who defired to model Christianity according to their fancy, or their favourite lystem of philosophy, embraced On to su's method of interpretation.

nor could the few, who explained the facred C IN T. writings with judgment, and a true spirit of crit PART IL ticilm, oppole, with any fuccels, the torrent of allegory that was overflowing the church. The commentaries of HIPPOLYTUS, which are yet extant, shew manifestly, that this good man was entirely addicted to the fystem of Oricen, and the same judgment may be hazarded concerning VICTORINUS'S explications of certain books of the Old and New Testament, though these explications are, long fince, loft. The translation of the Ecclesiastes by Gregory Thaumaturgus, which is yet remaining, is not chargeable with this reproach, notwithstanding the tender and warm attachment of its author to Origen. The book of Genefis, and the Song of Solomon were explained by Methodius, whose work is lost; and Ammo-NIUS composed a Harmony of the Gospels.

VII. The doctrinal part of theology employed Distant the pens of many learned men in this century. theology. In his Stromata, and his four books of Elements, ORIGEN illustrated the greatest part of the doctrines of Christianity, or, to speak more properly, rather disguised them under the lines of a vain philosophy. These books of elements, or principles, were the first sketch that appeared of the scholastic or philosophical theology. Something of the same nature was attempted by Theognostus, in his feven books of Hypotypoles, which are only known at present by the extracts of them in Photius, who represents them as the work of one who was infected with the notions of Origen. Gregory THAUMATURGUS drew up a brief fummary of the Christian religion, in his Exposition of the foith; and many treated, in a more ample manner, particular points of doctrine in opposition to the enemies and corruptors of Christianity. HIPPOLYTUS wrote concerning the deity, the refurrellion, enti-christ, and the end of the world; T 4

CENT. METHODIUS, concerning free will; and Lucran,

HI. concerning faith. It is doubtful in what class
these productions are to be placed, as the most of them have perished among the ruins of

time: Moral wite 17.1

VIII. Among the moral writers, the first place. after Tertullian, of whom we have already fpoken abové, is due to Cypriay, a prelate of eminent merit, who published leveral treatises concerning patience, mortality, works, alms, as also an exportation to martyrdom. In these differtations, there are many excellent things; but there runs through them all a general want of order, precision, and method; nor do we always find folid proofs in favour of the decisions they contain [1]. Oatgen has written many treatifes of this kind, and among others, an exhortation to fuffer martyrdom for the truth, a subject handled by many authors in this century, but with unequal eloquence and penetration. Methodius treated of chastity, in a work intitled, Symposium Virginum, or, the Feast of Virgins; but this treatise is full of confusion and disorder. Dioxysius handled the doctrine of penance and temptations. The other moral writers of this period are too obscure and trivial to render the mention of them neceffary.

Polemie di-

IX. The controversial writers were exceeding numerous in this century. The Pagans were attacked, and that in a victorious manner, by Minuctus Felix, in his dialogue called Offavius; by Origen, in his writings against Celsus; by Arnobics, in his writings against Celsus; by Arnobics, in his feven books against the Gentiles; and Cyprian, in his treatise concerning the vanity of idols. The chronicle of Hippolytus, in opposition to the Gentiles; and the work of Me-

[[]i] See Barneyrac, De la Morale des Peres, ch. viii. p. 104.

THODIUS against PORPHYRY, that bitter adversary CENT. of the Christians, are both lost.

We may also reckon, in the number of the Polemic writers, those who wrote against the philosophers, or who treated any subjects that were disputed between different sects. Such was Hippolytus, who wrote against Plato, and who also treated the nicest, the most difficult, and the most controverted subjects, such as fate, freewill, and the origin of evil, which exercised, likewife, the pens of METHODIUS and other acute writers. What Hippolytus wrote against the Jews, is not come down to our times; but the work of CYPRIAN, upon that subject, yet remains [k]. Origen, Victorinus, Hippoly-TUS, attacked, in general, all various fects and herefies, that divided the church, but their labours, in that immende field, have entirely difappeared; and as to those, who only turned their controversial arms against some few sects, and certain particular doctrines, we think it not neceffary to enumerate them here.

X. It is, however, necessary to observe, that The victions the methods now used of defending Christianity, method of controverse and attacking Judaifm and idolatry, degenerated *** ***much from the primitive simplicity, and the true ployed, rule of controversy. The Christian doctors, who had been educated in the schools of the rhetoricians and fophists, rashly employed the arts and evalions of their fubile mafters in the fervice of Christianity; and, intent only upon deseating the enemy, they were too little attentive to the means of victory, indifferent whether they aca quired it by artifice or plain-dealing. method of disputing, which the ancients called *conomical [1], and which had victory for its ob-

[4] This work is intitled, Testimonia contra Judan. [/] Souverain, Plaganifm devoile, p. 244. Daille, De

CENT. ject, rather than truth, was, in confequence of PARTIL the prevailing tafte for rhetoric and forhistry. - almost universally approved. The Platonists. contributed to the support and encouragement of this ungenerous method of disputing, by that maxim of theirs which afferted the innocence of defending the truth by artifice and falsehood. This will appear manifest to those who have read, with any measure of penetration and judgment, the arguments of Ortoen against Celsus, and those of the other Christian disputants against the idolatrous Gentiles. The method of TERTUL-LIAN, who used to plead prescription against erroncous doctors, was not, perhaps, unfair in this century; but they must be much acquainted both with the times, and, indeed, with the nature of things, who imagine that it is always allowable to employ this method $\lceil m \rceil$.

Sappolititiout of foutross mist-به پرمل

XI. This difingenuous and vicious method of furprising their adversaries by artifice, and striking them down, as it were, by lies and fictions, produced, among other disagreeable effects, a great number of books, which were falfely attributed to certain great men, in order to give thefe fpurious productions more credit and weight. For, as the greatest part of mankind are less governed by reason than by authority, and prefer, in many cases, the decisions of fallible mortals

wet. afa Patrum, lib. i. p. 160. Jo. Christofh. Wolfil Cafaulamana, p. 100. Concerning the famous rule, to do a thing, nat consumo, or accommically: fee particularly the ample illustrations of GATARER, ad Marci Antonia, lib. xi. P. 330, &c.

(w) We scarcely know any case, in which the plea of preferition can be admitted as a fathfactory argument, in favour of religious tenets or articles of faith, unless by preferipries be meant, a dottrine's being established in the time and by the authority of the apostles. In all other cases, prescription is no argument at all: it cannot recommend error, and truth has no need of its support.

to the unerring dictates of the divine word, the CENT. disputants, of whom we are now speaking, thought they could not serve the truth more effectually than by opposing illustrious names and respectable authorities to the attacks of its adversaries. Hence, the book of canons, which certain artful men ascribed sallely to the apostles; hence, the apostolical constitutions, of which Clement, bishop of Rome, is said to have formed a collection; hence the recognitions and the clementina, which are also attributed to Clement [n], and many other productions of that nature, which, for a long time, were too much esteemed by credulous men.

Nor were the managers of controverly the only persons who employed these stratagems; the Mystics had recourse to the same pious frauds to support their sect. And, accordingly, when they were asked from what chief their establishment took its rife, to get clear of this perplexing queltion, they feigned a chief, and chole, for that purpole, Dionysius the Areopagite, a man of almost apostolical weight and authority, who was converted to Christianity, in the first century, by the preaching of St. PAUL at Athens. And to render this fiction more specious, they attributed to this great man various treatifes concerning the monastic life, the mystic theology, and other subjects of that nature, which were the productions of some senseless and insipid writers of after-times. Thus it happened, through the pernicious influ-

IT [n] It is not with the utmost accuracy that Dr. MbSHEIM places the recognitions among the spurious works of
antiquity, since they are quoted by Origen, Erthautus,
and Ruffin, as the work of Clement. It is true, indeed,
that these writers own them to have been altered in several
places, and salissed by the heretic; and Erthautus, particularly, tells us, that the Ebionites scarcely lest any thing
sound in them. As to the Glementina, they were undoubtedly
spurious.

CENT ence of human passions, which too often mingle PART II, themselves with the execution of the best our--- poses and the most upright intentions, that they,... who were defirous of furpalling all others in piety, looked upon it as lawful, and even laudable, to advance the cause of piety by artifice and fraud.

Controverly concerning. the millenøjum,

XII. The most famous controversies that divided the Christians during this century, were those concerning the millennium, or reign of a thousand years; the baptism of berelics, and the

dostrine of Origen.

Long before this period, an opinion had prevailed that Christ was to come and reign a thouland years among men, before the entire and first diffolution of this world. This opinion, which had hitherto met with no opposition, was differently interpreted by different perions; nor did all promise themselves the same kind of enjoyments in that future and glorious languom [0]. But in this century its credit began to decline, principally through the influence and authority of Origin, who opposed it with the greatest warmth, because it was incompatible with some of his favourite fentiments [p]. Nepos, an Egyptian bishop, endeavoured to restore this opinion to its former credit, in a book written against the allegorists, for so he called, by way of contempt, the advertagies of the Millenarian fystein. This work, and the hypothesis it defended, was extremely well received by great

[p] See Oatgun, De principiis, lib. il. cap. xi. p. 104. .tom. i. opp.

numbers

^[4] See the learned Treatife concerning the true millenman, which Dr. WHITSY has subjoined to the second volume of his Commentary upon the New Teftament. See also, for an account of the doctrine of the ancient Millennarians, the fourth, fifth, seventh, and ninth volumes of LARDNER's Credibilety, &c.

numbers in the canton of Arfinoe; and among cent. others by Colacion, a presbyter of no mean Par II. influence and reputation. But Dionysius of Alexandria, a disciple of Oxigen, stopped the growing progress of this doctrine by his private discourfe, and also by two learned and judicious differentians concerning the divine promiles [q].

XIII. The disputes concerning the baptism of concerning bereties were not carried on with that amiable the haptifes fpirit of candor, moderation, and impartiality with which Dionysius opposed the Millennian doctrine. The warmth and violence that were exerted in this controverly, were far from being edifying to fuch as were acquainted with the true genius of Christianity, and with that meekness and forbearance that should particularly distinguish its doctors.

As there was no express law which determined the manner and form, according to which those who abandoned the heretical feets were to be received into the communion of the church, the rules practifed in this matter were not the fame in all Christian churches. Many of the Oriental and African Christians placed recanting heretics in the rank of catechamens, and admitted them, by baptifm, into the communion of the faithful; while the greatest part of the European churches, confidering the baptism of heretics as valid, used no other forms in their reception than the impafition of bands, accompanied with follown prayer. This diversity prevailed for a long time without kindling contentions or animofities. length, charity waxed cold, and the fire of ecolefialtical differed broke out. In this century, the Affaire Christians came to a determination in a

[[]q] See Buserrus, Hiff. Beelef. lib. vii. cap. xxiv. p. 271; as also Gunnabeus, De dogmenous Ecclepafteer, cap. lv. p. 32, edit. Elmenhoft.

ERT point that was hitherto, in some measure, unde-PART II. cided; and in more than one council established it as a law, that all heretics were to be re-baptized before their admission to the communion of the true church [r]. When Stephen, bishop of Rome, was informed of this determination, he behaved with the most unchristian violence and arrogance towards the Afiatic Christians, broke communion with them, and excluded them from the communion of the church of Rome. haughty proceedings made no impression upon CYPRIAN bishop of Cartbage, who, notwithstanding the menaces of the Roman pontif, affembled a council on this occasion, adopted, with the rest of the African bishops, the opinion of the Afiatics, and gave notice thereof to the imperious STEPHEN. The fury of the latter was redoubled at this notification, and produced many threatenings and invectives against Cyprian, who replied, with great force and refolution, and, in a second council held at Carthage, declared the baptifm, administered by heretics, void of all efficacy and validity. Upon this, the choler of Stephen swelled beyond measure, and, by a decree full of invectives, which was received with contempt, he excommunicated the African bishops, whose moderation, on the one hand, and the death of their imperious antagonist on the other, put an end to the violent controversy

XIV. The controversy concerning Origin was fet in motion by Demetrius, bishop of

[{]r} Eusen. Hift. Ecclef. lib. vii. cap. v. vii. Firmiliawas, Epifol. ad Cypriania, printed among Cypetan's Lasers, Lett. lxxv.

^[.] Cyprian, Epiff. lxx. p. 124. lexiii. p. 129. Au-QUETIE. De Baptifine contra Donariflas, lib. v. vii. totu. ix. opp. where are to be found the acts of the council of Carthage, A. D. 256. PRUD. MARANI WILD Coprison, p. 107.

Alexandria, animated, as some say, by a prin- CENT. cible of envy and hatred against this learned man, PART IL with whom he had formerly lived in an intimate -The affertion, however, of those friendship. who attribute the opposition of Demetrius to this odious principle, appears fomething more than doubtful; for, in the whole of his conduct towards Origen, there are no visible marks of envy, though many indeed of passion and arrogance, of violence and injustice. The occasion of all this was as follows: In the year 228, ORI-GEN having fet out for Achaia, was, in his journey thither, received with fingular marks of affection and esteem by the bishops of Casarea and Terufalem, who ordained him presbyter by impolition of hands. This proceeding gave high offence to Demetrius, who declared Origen unworthy of the priesthood, because he had castrated himself, and maintained, at the same time, that it was not lawful to advance, to a higher dignity, the principal of the Alexandrian school, which was under his episcopal inspection, without his knowledge and approbation. A conclusion, however, was put to these warm debates, and Origen returned to Alexandria. This calm was, indeed, but of a short duration, being soon fucceeded by a new breach between him and Dr-METRIUS, the occasion of which is not known. but which grew to such a height as obliged Ort-GEN, in the year 23t, to abandon his charge at Alexandria, and retire to Cafarea. His absence. however, did not appeale the refentment of De-METRIUS, who continued to perfecute him with the utmost violence. To satisfy fully his vertgeance against Origen, he affembled two councils, in the first of which he condemned him unheard, and deprived him of his office; and in the fecond, had him degraded from the facerdotal dignity. It is probable, that in one of those councils,

CENT. councils, especially the latter, Demetrius ac
PART II. cused him of erroneous sentiments in matters of religion; for it was about this time that Origen published his book of principles, which contains several opinions of a dangerous tendency [t]. The greatest part of the Christian bishops approved of the proceedings of the Alexandrian council, against which the bishops of the churches of Achaia, Palestine, Phanicia, and Arabia, declared at the same time the highest displeasure [u].

This work, which was a fort of introduction to theology, has only come down to us in the translation of RUFLINUS, who corrected and maimed it, in order to render it more conformable to the orthodox doctrine of the church than OKIGIN had left if. It contains, however, even in its prefent form, ferer d bold and fingular opinions, such as the pre-evisience of feed, and their tall into mortal bodies, in confequence of their devision from the laws of order in their first state, and the mast resoration of all intelligent beings to order and happiness. Rufflews, in his apology for OKIGIN, allegg, that his writings were maliciously falsified by the hereties; and that, in confequence thereof, many errors were attributed to him, which he did not adopt; as also, that the opinions, in which he discred from the doctrines of the church, were only proposed by him as curious conjectures.

[4] The accounts here given of the perfection of Origin, are drawn from the most early and authentic fources, such as Eusen. High. Early, lib. vi. con. viv. Protinus, Bibl. Cod. cxviii. Jerom's Caraligue of Endippiacal Victors, and from Origen immiels; and they differ in tome respects from these, which common writers, such as Douele, Hult, and others,

give of this matter.

CHAP. IV.

Concerning the rites and ceremonies used in the church during this century.

I. A LL the records of this century mention the CENT. multiplication of rites and ceremonies in PART II. the Christian church. Several of the causes that contributed to this, have been already pointed Rites much out; to which we may add, as a principal one, the passion which now reigned for the Platonic philosophy, or rather, for the popular Oriental superstition concerning demons, adopted by the Platonists, and borrowed, unhappily, from them, by the Christian doctors. For there is not the least doubt, but that many of the rites, now introduced into the church, derived their origin from the reigning opinions concerning the nature of dimons, and the powers and operations of invifible beings. Hence the use of exorcisms and spells. the frequency of falls, and the aversion to wedlack. Hence the cultom of avoiding all connexions with those who were not as yet baptized, or who lay under the penalty of excommunication, as persons supposed to be under the dominion of some malignant spirit. And hence the rigour and feverity of that discipline and penance that were imposed upon those who had incurred, by their immoralities, the centures of the church [w].

II. In most of the provinces there were, at this Public was time, certain fixed places let apart for public thip.

Vol. I.

dittion.

[[]w] For an ampler account of this matter, the reader may consult Poarhyay's treatife concerning Abfinence, and compare what that writer has faid on the subject, with the customs received among the Christians. Several curious things are also to be found in Thronoxer and Euserius upon this head.

The Internal HISTORY of the CHURCH

CENT. worship among the Christians, as will appear PART H. evident to every impartial inquirer into these matters. Nor is it absolutely improbable, that these churches were, in several places, embellished

with images and other ornaments.

With respect to the form of divine worship, and the times appointed for its celebration, there were little innovations made in this century. Two things, however, deferve to be taken notice of here: the first is, that the discourses or fermons. addressed to the people, were very different from those of the earlier times of the church, and degenerated much from the ancient simplicity. For, not to fay any thing of Origen, who introduced long fermons, and was the first who explained the feriptures in his discourses, several bishops, who had received their education in the schools of the rhetoricians, were exactly scrupulous, in adapting their public exhortations and discourses to the rules of Grecian eloquence. And this method gained fuch credit, as to be foon, almost universally followed. The fecond thing that we proposed to mention as worthy of notice is, that, about this time, the use of incense was introduced, at leaft, into many churches. This has been denied by some men of eminent learning; the fact, however, is rendered evident, by the most unexceptionable testimonies [x].

Administrathe next Lord's fup. per.

III. Several alterations were now introduced. in the celebration of the Lord's-supper, by those who had the direction of divine worship. prayers, used upon this occasion, were lengthened; and the folemnity and pomp, with which this important inflitution was celebrated, were confiderably increased; no doubt, with a pious

[[]x] See bishop Beverros ed Canon. iii. Apostol. p. 461; as also another work of the same author, intitled, Coder Cones. wonder atus, p. 78.

intention to render it still more respectable. Those C # # L who were in a penitential flate, and those also who PART IL had not received the factament of baptism, were not admitted to this holy supper; and it is not difficult to perceive, that these exclusions were an imitation of what was practifed in the heathen mysteries. We find, by the accounts of PRU-DENTIUS [y] and others, that gold and filver vetiels were now used in the administration of the Lord's-supper; nor is there any reason why we fliould not adopt this opinion, fince it is very natural to imagine, that those churches, which were composed of the most opulent members, would readily indulge themselves in this piece of religious pomp. As to the time of celebrating this foleran ordinance, it must be carefully obferved, that there was a confiderable variation in different churches, arising from their different cucumflances, and founded upon reasons of prùdence and necessity. In some, it was celebrated in the morning; in others, at noon; and in others, in the evening. It was also more frequently repeated in some churches, than in others; but was confidered in all as of the higheff importance, and as effential to falvation: for which reaton it was even thought proper to administer it to infants. The facred feasts, that accompanied this venerable institution, preceded its celebration in fome churches, and followed ic in others.

IV. There were, twice a year, flated times, Baptim. when baptilin was administered to such as, after a long course of trial and preparation, offered themselves as candidates for the prosession of Christianity. This ceremony was performed only in the prefence of fuch as were already initiated into the Christian mysteries. The remission of

> [3] H.; repare Hymn ii. p. 60. edit. Heinfil. U 2 . fins

CENT. fins was thought to be its immediate and happy PARTIL fruit; while the bishop, by prayer and the impolition of hands, was supposed to confer those fanctifying gifts of the Holy Ghost, that are necessary to a life of righteousness and virtue [2]. We have already mentioned the principal rites that were used in the administration of baptism; and we have only to add, that none were admitted to this folernn ordinance, until, by the menacing and formidable shouts and declamation of the exercist, they had been delivered from the dominion of the prince of darkness, and consecrated to the service of God. The origin of this superstitious ceremony may be easily traced, when we confider the prevailing opinions of the times. The Christians, in general, were perfuaded, that rational fouls, deriving their existence from God, must consequently be in themfelves pure, holy, and endowed with the noble principles of liberty and virtue. But upon this supposition, it was difficult to account for the corrupt propensities "and actions of men, any other way, than by attributing them either to the malignant nature of matter, or the influence and impulse of some evil spirit, who was perpetually compelling them to sin. The former of these opinions was embraced by the Gnoflies, but was rejected by true Christians, who denied the eternity of matter, confidered it as a creature of God,

[[]x] That such was the notion prevalent at this time, is evident from testimonies of sufficient weight. And as the point is of great confequence in order to our understanding the theology of the ancients, which differs from ours in many gespects, we shall mention one of these testimonies, even that of Cyrrian, who, in his luxiii Letter, expresses himself thus: " It is manifest where, and by whom, the REMISSION " OP SING, which is COMPERADD in BAPTISM, is administer-" ed .- They who are prefented to the rulers of the church, on-"TAIR, by our prayers and imposition of hands, the HOLY "GHOST." See also Euses. H.J. Eccles. Ib. via. cap. viii.

and therefore adopted the latter notion, that in CENT. all vicious persons there was a certain evil being, PART IL. the author and fource of their corrupt dispositions and their unrighteous deeds [a]. The driving out this demon was now confidered as an effential preparation for baptifin, after the administration of which, the candidates returned home; adorned with crowns, and arrayed in white garments, as facred emblems; the former, of their victory over fin and the world; the latter, of their inward purity and innocence.

V. Fasting began now to be held in more effect. than it had formerly been; a high degree of fanetity was attributed to this practice, and it was even looked upon as of indifpensable necessity, from a notion that the demons directed their ftiatagems principally against those who pampered themselves with delicious fare, and were less troublesome to the lean and the hungry, who lived under the feverities of a rigorous abilinence [b]. The Latins, contrary to the general custom, fasted the seventh day of the week; and as the Greeks and Orientals refused to follow their example here, this afforded a new subject of contention between them.

The Christians offered up their ordinary prayers trajen. at three stated times of the day, viz. at the third, the fixth, and the ninth hour, according to the

[b] CLEMENTIN. Homel. ix. 69. p. 688. PORPHYR. De abflinentia, lib. iv. p. 417.

[[]a] It is demonstrably evident, that exercism was added to the other baptismal rites in the third century, after the introduction of the Platonic philosophy into the church. For, before this time, we hear no mention made of it. Justin Mane TIR, in his fecend apology, and TERTULLIAN, in his books concerning the military comon, give us an account of the ceremonies used in baptism during the second century, without any mention of exercise. This is a very strong argument of its being politerior to thele two great men; and is every way proper to persuade es, that it made its entrance into the Christian church in the third century, and probably first in Egypt.

CENT. custom observed among the Jews. But, besides PART II. these stated devotions, true believers were assiduous in their addresses to the Supreme Being, and poured forth frequently their vows and fupplications before his throne, because they considered prayer as the most effential duty, as well as the noblest employment, of a sanctified nature. At those festivals, which recalled the memory of forme joyful event, and were to be celebrated with expressions of thanksgiving and praise, they prayed flanding, as they thought that posture the fittest to express their joy and their confidence. days of contrition and fasting, they presented themselves upon their knees before the throne of the Most High, to express their protound humiliation and felf-abasement. Certain forms of prayer were, undoubtedly, used in many places both in public and in private; but many also expreffed their pious feelings in the natural effutions of an unpremeditated eloquence.

The firm of the cross ufe t be Christians.

The fign of the cross was supposed to administer. a victorious power over all forts of trials and calamities, and was more especially considered as the furest desence against the snares and stratagems of malignant toirits. And hence it was, that no Christian undertook any thing of moment, without arming himself with the influence of this triumphant fign.

CHAP. V.

Concerning the divisions and berefies that troubled the church during this century.

Remains of (be ancien:

I. THE fame fects that, in the former ages, had produced fuch diforder and perplexity in the Christian church, continued, in this, to create new troubles, and to foment new divisions. The Mon-

Montanists, Valentinians, Marcionites, and the CENT. other Gnostics, continued still to draw out their patterns. sforces, notwithstanding the repeated deseats they had met with; and their obflinacy remained even when their frength was gone, as it oftens happens in religious controverly. Adelphius and Aqui-LINUS, who were of the Gnostic tribe, endeavoured to infinuate themselves and their doctrine into the esteem of the public, at Rome, and in other places in Italy [c]. They were, however, opposed not only by the Christians, but also by Profines, the greatest Platonic philosopher of this age, who: followed by a numerous train of disciples, opposed these two chimerical teachers, and others of the faine kind, with as much vigour and fuecefs as the most enlightened Christians could have done. The philosophical opinions which this faction entertained concerning the Supreme Being, the origin of the world, the nature of evil, and feveral other subjects, were entirely opposite to the doctrines of PLATO. Hence the disciples of Justs, and the followers of PLOTINUS, joined together their efforts against the progress of Gnosticism: and there is no doubt but that their united force foon destroyed the credit and authority of this famaftic fect, and rendered it contemptible in the estimation of the wife [d].

II. While the Christians were struggling with Money and these corrupters of the truth, and upon the point disease. of obtaining a complete and decilive victory, a new enemy, more vehement and odious than the rest, started up suddenly, and engaged in the contest. This was Manes (or Manichæus, as he fometimes is called by his disciples), by birth a Perfian; educated among the Magi, and himfelf

[c] Рокричк. *тіда Рісіл*і, сар. хії. р. 118. PLOTINUS's book against the Gnostics is extant in his works, Ennead. ii. lib. ix. p. 213.

e E N T. one of that number, before he embraced the pro-PART II. fession of Christianity. Instructed in all those ares and sciences, which the Persians, and the other neighbouring nations, held in the highest esteem, he had penetrated into the depths of aftronomy in the mulit of a rural life; studied the art of healing, and applied himself to painting and philofophy. His genius was vigorous and fublime, but redundant and ungoverned; and his mind, destitute of a proper temperature, seemed to border on fanaticism and madness. He was so adventurous as to attempt a coalition of the doctrine of the Magi with the Chrislian system, or rather the explication of the one by the other: and, in order to succeed in this audacious enterprize, he affirmed that CHRIST had left the doctrine of falvation unfinished and imperfect; and that he was the comforter, whom the departing Saviour had promised to his disciples to lead them to all truth. Many were deceived by the eloquence of this enthusiast, by the gravity of his countenance, and the innocence and fittiplicity of his manners; fo that, in a short time, he formed a sect not utterly inconsiderable in point of number. He was put to death by VARANES I. king of the Perfians; though historians are not agreed concerning the cause, the time, and the manner, of his execution [e].

III. The

[6] Some allege, that MANES having undertaken to cure the ion of the Persian monarch of a dangerous disease, by his medicinal art, or his miraculous power, failed in the attempt, precipitated the death of the prince, and thus incurridg the indignation of the king his father, was put to a cruel death. This account is fearcely probable, as it is mentioned by none of the Oriental writers cited by D'HERRELOT, and as BAR HEBREUS speaks of it in terms which shews that it was only an uncertain sumour. The death of Maxes is generally attributed to another cause by the Oriental writers. They tell us, that MANES (after having been protected, in a fingular manner, by Hormizons, who forceeded Saron on

III. The doctrine of MANES was a motley mix- CENT. ture of the tenets of Christianity with the ancient min. philosophy of the Persians, which he had been instructed in during his youth. He combined Hardotteine these two systems; and applied and accommo-ciples. dated to Jasus Christ the characters and actions which the Persians attributed to the god Mr-THRAS. The principal doctrines of Manes are comprehended in the following furmary:

" There are two principles from which all " things proceed; the one is a most pure and sub-" tile matter, called LIGHT; and the other a grofs. " and corrupt substance, called DARKNESS. Each " of these are subject to the dominion of a super-" intending Being, whole existence is from all " eternity. The Being, who prefides over the " LIGHT, is called GoD; he that rules the land " of DARKNESS, bears the title of Hyle, or De-" MON. The RULER OF THE LIGHT is supremely " happy; and, in confequence thereof, benevo-" lent and good: the PRINCE OF DARKNESS is " unhappy in himfelf; and, defiring to render " others partakers of his milery, is evil and ma-" lignant. These Two Beings have produced " an immente multitude of creatures, refembling " themselves, and distributed them through their " respective provinces.

IV. " The Prince of Darkness knew not, Concretely " for a long feries of ages, that Light existed in

the Persian throne, but who was not, however, able to defend : him, at length, against the united hatred of the Christians, the Magi, the Jews, and the Pagans) was thut up in a ftrong cassie, which I for MIZDAS had erected between Bagdad and Saza, to ferve him as a refuge against those who perfecuted him on account of his doctrine. They add, that, after the death of flormizons, Varanes 1. his successor, first protefted Mares, but afterwards gave him up to the fury of the Magi, whose resentment against him was due to his having adopted the Saducean principles, as fome fay; while others attributed is to his having mingled the tenets of the Magi with the doctrines of Christianity.

The Internal HISTORY of the Church.

CENT. JII. Part II.

" the universe; and no sooner perceived it, by the means of a war that was kindled in his do-" minions, than he bent his endeavours towards " the subjecting it to his empire. The RULER OF " THE LIGHT opposed to his efforts an army commanded by the first man, but not with the " highest success; for the generals of the Prince " of Darkness feized upon a confiderable por-" tion of the celeftial elements, and of the LIGHT " itself, and mingled them in the mass of corrupt " matter. The fecond general of the RULER or " THE LIGHT, whose name was the living spirit, " made war with more success against the Prince " of Darkness, but could not entirely difengage " the pure particles of the celestial matter, from " the corrupt mass through which they had been " dispersed. The Prince of Darkness, after " his defeat, produced the first parents of the hu-" man race. The beings engendered from this " original flock, confift of a body formed out of " the corrupt matter of the kingdom of DARK-" NESS, and of two fouls; one of which is fenfi-" tive and luftful, and owes its existence to the " evil principle; the other rational and immortal, a er particle of that divine Light, which was car-" ried away by the army of DARKNESS, and im-" merfed into the mass of malignant matter.

Cincerning Cunter and the Holy Goott, V. "Mankind being thus formed by the PRINCE OF DARKNESS, and those minds, that were the productions of the eternal LIGHT, being united to their mortal bodies, God created the earth out of the corrupt mass of matter, by that living spirit, who had vanquished the Prince of Darkness. The delign of this creation was to surnish a dwelling for the human race, to deliver, by degrees, the captive souls from their corporeal prisons, and to extract the celestial elements from the gross subflance in which they were involved. In order

" to carry this delign into execution, Gon pro- CENT. " duced two beings of eminent dignity from his PART II. 3º own lubstance, which were to lend their auspi-" crous fuccours to imprisoned souls; one of these " fibline entities was Curist; and the other, " the Holy Guost. Chaist is that glorious " intelligence, which the Perfians called Mithras; " he is a most splendid substance, consisting of " the brightness of the eternal Light: sublisting " m, and by himself: endowed with life: en- nebed with infinite wildom; and his refidence " to in the fun. The Holy Ghost is also a lu-" minous and animated body, diffused through-" out every part of the atmosphere which sur-" rounds this terrestrial globe. This genial prin-" aple warms and illuminates the minds of men, " renders also the earth fruitful, and draws forth " gradually from its bosom the latent particles " of celeftial fire, which it wafts up on high to " their primitive station. VI. " After that the Superine Burns had, for Contereing

" a long tune, admonished and exhorted the cap- Chair. " rive fouls, by the ministry of the angels and of " holy men, railed up and appointed for that pur-" pose, he ordered Christ to leave the solar re-" gions, and to descend upon earth, in order to " accelerate the return of those imprisoned spirits " to their celeftial country. In obedience to this " divine command, Curist appeared among the " lews, clothed with the shadowy form of a hu-" man body, and not with the real substance. " During his minishy, he taught mortals how to " difengage the rational foul from the corrupt " body, to conquer the violence of malignant " matter, and he demonstrated his divine mission " by stupendous miracles. On the other hand,

" the Prince of Darkness used every method " to inflame the Jews against this divine mellen-" ger, and incited, them at length to put him to

PART II.

CENT. " death upon an ignominious cros; which pua nishment, however, he suffered not in reality, " but only in appearance, and in the opinion of When CHRIST had fulfilled the purpofes " of his mission, he returned to his throne in the " fun, and appointed a certain number of chosen " apostles to propagate through the world the re-" ligion he had taught during the course of his " minittry. But, before his departure, he pro-" mifed, that, at a certain period of time, he

spe com-Sustar.

" would fend an apostle superior to all others in Concerning .ee eminence and dignity, whom he called the pa-" raclete, or comforter, who should add many " things to the precepts he had delivered, and " dispel all the errors under which his fervants " laboured concerning divine things. This com-" forter, thus expressly promited by Christ, is " Manes, the Perhan, who, by the order of the " Most High, declared to mortals the whole " doctrine of falvation, without exception, and " without concealing any of its truths, under the " veil of metaphor, or any other covering.

Concerning the purification of fauls. and their fature coa-بيەنىنۇ.

VII. "Those souls, who believe Jesus Christ " to be the son of God, renounce the worship of " the God of the lews, who is the Prince of " DARKNESS, obey the laws delivered by CHRIST " as they are enlarged and illustrated by the com-" forter, Manes, and combat, with perfevering " fortitude, the lulls and appetites of a corrupt " nature, derive from this faith and obedience " the inestimable advantage of being gradually " purified from the contagion of matter. "total purification of fouls cannot, indeed, be accomplished during this mortal life. Hence it is, that the fouls of men, after death, must " pass through two states more of probation and " trial, by water and fire, before they can ascend " to the regions of LIGHT. They mount, there-" fore, first into the moon, which consists of be-

" nign and falutary water; from whence, after a CE M T. " lustration of fifteen days, they proceed to the park it. " fun, whose purifying fire removes entirely all -1' their corruption, and effaces all their stains. "The bodies, composed of malignant matter, " which they have left behind them, return to " their first state, and enter into their original " mafs."

VIII. " On the other hand, those souls who concerning " have neglected the falutary work of their puri- the fate of " fication, pass, after death, into the bodies of soils. " animals, or other natures, where they remain " until they have explated their guilt, and accom-" pliffied their probation. Some, on account of " their peculiar obstinacy and perverseness, pass " through a feverer courfe of trial, being de-" livered over, for a certain time, to the power " of malignant agrial spirits, who torment them " in various ways. When the greatest part of " the captive fouls are reftored to liberty, and " to the regions of light, then a devouring fire " shall break forth, at the divine command, " from the caverns in which it is at prefent con-" fined, and shall destroy and consume the frame " of the world. After this tremendous event, " the Prince and powers of darkness shall be " forced to return to their primitive feats of " anguish and misery, in which they shall dwell " for ever. For, to prevent their ever renewing " this war in the regions of light, God shall fur-" round the manfions of DARKNESS with an in-"vincible guard, composed of those souls who " have fallen irrecoverably from the hopes of fal-" vation, and who, fet in array, like a military " band, shall ferround those gloomy feats of woe, " and hinder any of their wretched inhabitants " from coming forth again to the light."

IX. In order to remove the strongest obstacles that lay against the belief of this monstrous system. MANES

of Manes concerning New Tella-E04.04.

CENT. MANES rejected almost all the sacred books in PART II. which Christians look for the fublime truths of their holy religion. He affirmed, in the first place, The opinion that the Old Testament was not the work of God, but of the Prince of Darkness, who was substituted the Old and by the Tews in the place of the true God. He maintained further, that the Four Gospels, which contain the history of Chrise, were not written by the apostles, or, at least, that they were corrupted and interpolated by defigning and artful men, and were augmented with Jewish fables and He therefore supplied their place by a gufpel, which, he faid, was dictated to him by God himself, and which he distinguished by the title of Erteng. He rejected also the Alls of the Apostles: and though he acknowledged the equil is that are attributed to St. PAUL, to be the moductions of that divine apostle, yet he looked upon them as confiderably corrupted and falfified in a variety of passages. We have not any certain account of the judgment he formed concerning the other books of the New Testament.

His rule of life feverely Íоbет,

X. The rule of life and manners that Manes prescribed to his disciples was most extravagantly rigorous and auftere. He commanded them to mortify and macerate the body, which he looked upon as intrinfically evil and effentially corrupt; to deprive it of all those objects which could contribute either to its conveniency or delight; to extirpate all those desires that lead to the pursuit of external objects; and to divest themselves of all the passions and instincts of nature. Such was the unnatural rule of practice which this enormous fanatic prescribed to his followers; but foreseeing, at the fame time, that his feet could not politibly become numerous, if this fevere manner of living was to be imposed without distinction placement upon all his adherents, he divided his disciples hudifiples, into two classes; the one of which comprehended

the perfect Christians, under the name of the CENT eless; and the other, the imperfect and feeble, PART 15. under the title of bearers. The elect were obliged to a rigorous and entire abitinence from fleth, eggs, milk, fish, wine, all intoxicating drink, wedlock, and all amorous gratifications; and to live in a state of the sharpest penury, nourishing their shrivelled and emaciated bodies with bread, herbs, pulse, and melons, and depriving themfelves of all the comforts that arife from the moderate indulgence of natural paffions, and also from a variety of innocent and agreeable purfuits. The discipline, appointed for the bearers, was of a milder nature. They were allowed to poffefs houses, lands, and wealth, to seed upon Hesh, to enter into the bonds of conjugal tenderness; but this liberty was granted them with many limitations, and under the ftrictest conditions of moderation and temperance.

The general affembly of the Manicheans was headed by a prefident, who represented Jesus CHRIST. There were joined to him twelve rulers, or mafters, who were deligned to reprefent the twelve apostles; and these were followed by seventytwo bifbops, the images of the feventy-two disciples of our Lord. These bishops had presbyters and deacons under them, and all the members of these religious orders were chosen out of the class of the

ele# [f].

XI. The fect of the Hieracites was formed in The feet Egypt, towards the conclusion of this century, by cutes, HIERAX of Leontium, a bookfeller by profession, and diffinguished eminently by his extensive learning, and a venerable air of fanctity and virtue. Some have confidered this as a branch of the Manichean fect, but without foundation; fince, not-

[f] See all this amply proved in the wirk intided Commentarii Ze rebus Christiano um ante Censtantiu um Magnum.

with-

CENT. withstanding the agreement of MANES and High PART II. RAX in forme points of doctrine, it is certain that - they differed in many respects. HIERAX maintained, that the principal object of Christ's office and ministry-was the promulgation of a new law, more severe and perfect than that of Moses; and from hence he concluded, that the use of flesh, wine, wedlock, and of other things agreeable to the outward fenses, which had been permitted under the Mofaic dispensation, was absolutely prohibited and abrogated by CHRIST. If, indeed, we look attentively into his doctrine, we shall find that, like Manes, he did not think that these austere acts of fels-denial, were imposed by Christ indiferiminately upon all, but on fuch only, as were ambitious of aspiring to the highest summit of To this capital error he added many others, which were partly the confequences of this illusion, and were, in part, derived from other fources. He excluded, for example, from the kingdom of heaven, children who died before they had arrived to the use of reason, and that upon the supposition that God was bound to adminifter the rewards of futurity, to those only who had fairly finished their victorious conflict with the body and its lufts. He maintained also, that Melchistore, king of Salem, who bleffed Abra-HAM, was the Holy Ghost; denied the resurrection of the body, and cast a cloud of obscurity over the facred feriptures by his allegorical fic-

The New-

tions [g].

XII. The controversies relating to the divine tion control Trinity, which took their rise in the former cenfury, from the introduction of the Grecian philofophy into the Christian church, were now spreading with confiderable vigour, and producing various methods of explaining that inexplicable

^[2] EPIPHAM. Har f. Ixvii. Hieracitarum, p. 710, &c. doctrine.

doctrine. One of the first who engaged in this C B N.T. idle and perilous attempt of explaining what every PART IL mortal must acknowledge to be incomprehensible, was Noetus of Smyrna, an obscure man, and of mean abilities. He affirmed, that the Supreme God, whom he called the Father, and confidered as absolutely indivisible, united himself to the man Christ, whom he called the Son, and was born, and crucified with him. From this opinion, Norther and his followers were diffinguished by the title of Patripassians, i. e. persons who believe that the Supreme Father of the universe, and not any other divine person, had expiated the guilt of the human race. And, indeed, this appellation belongs to them jultly, if the accounts which ancient writers give us of their opinions be accurate and impartial [b].

XIII. About the middle of this century arose 8abellion. Sabellius, an African bithop or prefbyter, who, in Pentapolis, a province of Cyrenaica, and in Ptolemais, or Barce, its principal city, explained, in a manner very little different from that of Non-Tes, the doctrine of feripture concerning the Father, Son, and Holy Ghoft. This dogmatift had a confiderable number of followers, who adhered to him, notwithstanding that his opinions were refuted by Dionysius, bishop of Alexandria. His fentiments were, in some respects, different from thole of Nortus; the latter was of opinion, that the person of the Father had assumed the human nature of Christ; whereas Sabellius maintained, that a certain energy only, proceeding from the Supreme Parent, or a certain portion of

[b] See the Discourse of HIPPOLYTUS against the Herest of Nortus, in the second volume of his works, published by Farricius. As also Epiphan. Hares, tvii. tom. i. p. 479. Theodoret. Hares. Fabul. lib. iii. cap. iii. p. 227. tom. 4. opp.

Vol. I. X the

CRNT the divine nature, was united to the Son of God, PARTH, the man Jasus; and he confidered, in the fame manner, the Holy Ghost, as a portion of the everlasting Father [i]. From hence it appears, that the Sabellians, though they might with justice be called Patripassians, were yet called so, by the ancients, in a different sense from that in which this name was given to the Noctians.

Beryllus,

XIV. At this same period, BERYLLUS an Arabian, bishop of Bozrab, and a man of eminent piety and learning, taught that CHRIST, before his birth, had no proper subsistence, nor any other divinity, than that of the Father; which opinion, when confidered with attention, amounts to this: that CHRIST did not exist before MARY. but that a spirit issuing from God himself, and therefore superior to all human souls, as being a portion of the divine nature, was united to him. at the time of his birth. BERYLLUS, however, was refuted by ORIGEN, with fuch a victorious power of argument and zeal, that he yielded up the cause, and returned into the bosom of the church $\lceil k \rceil$.

Paul of Samofata.

XV. PAUL of Samefata, bishop of Antioch, and also a magistrate or civil judge, was very different from the pious and candid Beryllus, both in point of morals and doctrine. He was a vain and

[1] Almost all the historians, who give accounts of the ancient herefies, have made particular mention of SABELLIUS. Among others, see Eusen. Hift. Eccles. lib. vi. cap. vi. p. 252. ATHANAS. Libro de fententia Dionyfii. All the passages of the ancient authors, relating to SABELLIUS, are carefully collected by the learned Christophen Wormius, in his Hifteria Sabelliana, printed in 8vo, at Francfort and Leipfick, 1606.

[4] Euseb. Hift. Ecclef. lib. vi. cap. xx. p. 222. cap. xxxiii. p. 231. Hilkonym. Catalog. Scriptor. Ecclef. cap. kx. p. 137. Socnates, Hift. Ecclef. lib. iii. cap. vii. p. 174; and among the moderns, LE CLERC, Ars Critica, vol. i. part II. § 1. cap. xiv. p. 203. Chaupfepies, Nonceau

Diction. Hift. Cris. tom. 1. p. 268,

arrogant man, whom riches had rendered info- C B W T. lent and felf-sufficient [1]. He introduced much PART H. confusion and trouble into the eastern churches, by his new explication of the doctrine of the goinel concerning the nature of God and Christ, and left behind him a feet, that afformed the title of Paulians, or Paulianists. As far as we can judge of his doctrine, by the accounts of it that have been transmitted to us, it seems to have amounted to this: "That the Son and the Haly " Gb-ft exist in God in the same manner as the " faculties of reason and attivity do in man: that " Christ was born a mere man; but that the " reason or wisdom of the Father descended into " him, and by him wrought miracles upon earth, " and instructed the nations: and finally, that, " on account of this union of the divine word with " the mon Jesus, Christ might, though impro-" perly, be called God."

Such were the real fentiments of PAUL. involved them, however, in fuch deep obscurity, by the ambiguous forms of speech he made use of to explain and defend them, that, after feveral meetings of the councils held to examine his errors, they could not convict him of herefy. At length, indeed, a council was affembled, in the year 269, in which Malchion, the rhetorician, drew him forth from his obscurity, detected his evations, and expoted him in his true colours: in confequence of which he was degraded from the epifcopal order [m].

XVI. It was not only in the point now men- Absertition tioned, that the doctrine of the gospel suffered, of some Aat this time, from the erroneous fancies of wrong- information headed doctors. For there sprung up now, in

[[]I] Eusen. Hift. Ecclef. lib. vii. cap. xxx. p. 279. [m] Epiftol. Concil. Antioch. ad Paulum in Bibliotheca Par trum. tom. xi. p. 302. Disnyfii Alex. Ep. ad Paulum, ib. P. 273. Decem Paule Somplatent Quaffrones, ib. p. 278. Хı Arabia.

CENT. Arabia, a certain fort of minute philosophers, the PART II. disciples of a master, whose obscurity has concealed him from the knowledge of after-ages, who denied the immortality of the foul, believed that it perished with the body, but maintained, at the fame time, that it was to be again recalled to life with the body, by the power of God. The philofophers, who held this opinion, were called Arabians from their country. ORIGEN was called from Egypt, to make head against this ruling fect, and disputed against them, in a full council, with fuch remarkable fuccefs that they abandoned their erroneous sentiments, and returned to the received doctrine of the church.

The troubles excited in the church by the Novatians,

XVII. Among the fects that arole in this century, we place that of the Novatians the lath. This feet cannot be charged with having corrupted the doctrine of Christianity by their opinions; their crime was, that by the unreatonable teverity of their discipline, they gave occasion to the most deplorable divitions, and made an unhappy tent in the church. NOVATIAN, a preflyter of the church of Rome, a man also of uncommon learning and eloquence, but of an auftere and rigid character, entertained the most unfavourable fentiments of those who had been separated from the communion of the church. He indulged his inclination to feverity so far, as to deny that fuch as had fallen into the commission of grievous transgressions, especially those who had apostatized from the faith, under the perfecution fet on foot by Decrus, were to be again received into the bosom of the church. The greatest part of the prefbyters were of a different opinion in this matter, especially Cornelius, whole credit and influence were raifed to the highest pitch by the effects and admiration which his eminent virtues to naturally excited. Hence it happened, that when a bishop was to be chosen, in the year 250,

to fucceed FABIANUS in the fee of Rome, No. CENT. VATIAN opposed the election of Cornelius, with PART II. the greatest activity and bitterness. His oppofition, however, was in vain, for Cornelius was chosen to that eminent office of which his distinguished merit rendered him so highly worthy. Novation, upon this, separated himself from the jurisdiction of Cornelius, who, in his turn, called a council at Rome, in the year 251, and cut off Novatian and his partifans from the communion of the church. This turbulent man, being thus excommunicated, erected a new fociety, of which he was the first bishop; and which, on account of the feverity of its difcipline, was followed by many, and flourished, until the fifth century, in the greatest part of those provinces which had received the gospel. The chief person who assisted Novatian in this enterprize, was Novares, a Carthaginian prefbyter, a man of no principles, who, during the heat of this controverly, had come from Carthage to Rome, to cicape the refentment and excommunication of Cypkian, his bishop, with whom he was highly at variance.

XVIII. There was no difference, in point of The severidoctrine, between the Novatians and other Novatians Christians. What peculiarly diffinguished them artist me was, their refuting to re-admit to the communion 1-pfed. of the church, those who, after baptism, fallen into the commission of heinous crimes. though they did not pretend, that even fuch were excluded from all possibility or hopes of salvation. They confidered the Christian church as a society where virtue and innocence reigned univerfally, and none of whose members, from their entrance into it, had defiled themselves with any enormous crime; and, of confequence, they looked upon every fociety, which re-admitted heinous offenders to its communion, as unworthy of the title of

CENT. of a true Christian church. It was from hence PARTIL also, that they assumed the title of Cathari, i. e. - the pure; and, what shewed still a more extravagant degree of vanity and arrogance, they obliged fuch as came over to them from the general body of Christians, to submit to be baptifed a second time, as a necessary preparation for entering into their fociety. For fuch deep root had their favourite opinion concerning the irrevocable rejection of heinous offenders taken in their minds, and so great was its influence upon the sentiments they entertained of other Christian societies, that they confidered the baptism administered in those churches, which received the lapted to their communion, even after the most sincere and undoubted repentance, as absolutely divested of the power of imparting the remission of sins [n].

[[]n] Eusebius, Hist Eccles. lib. vi. cap. aliii. p. 242. Cyprinnus varits Epistolis, alix. lii. &c. Albaspin Aus, Objevat. Eccles. lib. ii. cap. xx, xxi. Jos. Aug. Orsi, De criminum capital. inter weteres Christianes absolution, p. 254. Kenckel, De barest Novatiana.

A N

ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY.

BOOK THE SECOND.

CONTAIRING THE

STATE of the CHRISTIAN CHURCH

From the Time of

€ONSTANTINE THE GREAT

T O

CHARLEMAGNE.

THE

FOURTH CENTURY.

PART I.

The External History of the Church.

CHAPTER I.

Concerning the prosperous and calumitous events which happened to the church during this century.

IIAT I may not separate facts, which cent. are intimately connected with each part in other, I have judged it expedient to combine, in the fame chapter, the prosperous and calamitous events that happened to the church during this century, instead of treating them separately, as I have hitherto done. This combination, which prefents things in their natural relations, as causes or effects, is, undoubtedly, the principal circumstance that renders history truly interesting. In following, however, this plan, the order of time shall also be observed with as much accuracy as this interesting combination of events will admit of.

In the beginning of this century, the Roman The church empire was under the dominion of four chiefs, colors peaces of whom two, Diocletian and Maximian conceres HERCULEUS, were of superior dignity, and were this century. diffinguished each by the title of Augustus;

while

CENT. while the other two, viz. Constantius Chlorus PART I, and MAXIMINUS GALIRIUS, were in a certain - degree of fubordination to the former, and were. honoused with the appellation of Cæsars. Under thefe four emperors, the church enjoyed an agreeable calm [a]. Diocettian, though much addicted to superstition, did not, however, enterrain any aversion to the Christians: and Con-STANTIUS CHLORUS, who, following the dictates of right reason alone in the worship of the deity. had abandoned the abfurdities of polytheifm, treated them with condescention and benevolence. This alarmed the Pagan priests, whose interests were so closely connected with the continuance of the ancient superstitions, and who apprehended, not without reason, that, to their great detriment, the Christian religion would become daily more universal and triumphant throughout the empire. Under these anxious sears of the downfal of their authority, they addressed themselves to Diocletian, whom they knew to be of a timorous and credulous disposition, and, fictitious oracles and other fuch perfidious stratagems, endeavoured to engage him to perfecute the Christians [b].

The perfe-Cution under Dioche. III.

II. DIOCLETIAN, however, stood, for some time, unmoved by the treacherous arts of a felfish and superstitious priesthood, who, when they perceived the ill success of their cruel efforts, addressed themselves to Maximinus Galerius. one of the Cæsars, and also son-in-law to Dio-CLETIAN, in order to accomplish their unrighteous purposes. This prince, whose gross ignorance of every thing but military affairs was accompanied with a fierce and favage temper,

[[]a] Eusenius, Hift. Ecclef. lib. viii. cap. i. p. 291, &c. LA Eusenius. De wita Conflantint, lib. ii. cap. l. p. 467. LACTARTII Inflitut. diwin. lib. iv. cap. xxvii. p. 393. Idem, De mertibus perfequator, cap. x. p. 943. edit. Heumann.

was a proper instrument for executing their de- CENT. figns. Set on, therefore, by the malicious in- PART I. finuations of the heathen priefts, the fuggestions of a fuperstitious mother, and the ferocity of his own natural disposition, he solicited Diocletian with such indefatigable importunity, and in such an urgent manner, for an edict against the Christians, that he, at length, obtained his horrid purpole. For in the year 303, when this emperor was at Nicomedia, an order was obtained from him to pull down the churches of the Christians, to burn all their books and writings, and to take from them all their civil rights and privileges, and render them incapable of any honours or civil promotion [4]. This first edict, though rigorous and fevere, extended not to the lives of the Christians, for Dioclitian was extremely averse to slaughter and bloodshed; it was, however, destructive to many of them, particularly to those who resused to deliver the sacred books into the hands of the magnificates [d]. Many Christians therefore, and among them feveral bishops and presbyters, seeing the consequences of this refusal, delivered up all the religious books and other facred things that were in their possession, in order to save their lives. This conduct was highly condemned by the most steady and resolute Christians, who looked upon this compliance as facrilegious, and branded those who were guilty of it with the ignominious appellation of traditors [e].

[c] LACTANTIUS, De mortibus perseguutor, c. xi. p. 941. Eusebius, Histor. Eccles. lib. viii. cap. ii. p. 293, &c.

[[]d] Augustinus, Berviculo collat. cam Donatifiti, cap. xv. xvii. p. 387. 390. tom. ix. opp. Batuzii Mifcellaa. tom. ii. p. 77. 92.

[[]e] OPTATUS MILEVIT. De Schifmate Donatiffer. lib. 1.

CENT.
IV.
PART L.
The causes and severily of this perficution.

. III. Not long after the publication of this first edict against the Christians, a fire broke out, at . two different times, in the palace of NICOMEDIA, where Galerius lodged with Diocletian. The Christians were accused, by their enemies, as the authors of this [f]; and the credulous Diocle-TIAN, too easily persuaded of the truth of this charge, caused vast numbers of them to suffer at Nicomedia, the punishment of incendiaries, and to be tormented in the most inhuman and infamous manner [g]. About the same time, there arose certain tumults and feditions in Armenia and in Syria, which were also attributed to the Christians by their irreconcileable enemies, and dexteroully made use of to arm against them the emperor's fury. And accordingly Diocletian, by a new edict, ordered all the bishops and minifters of the Christian church to be cast into prison. Nor did his inhuman violence end here; for a third edict was foon iffued out, by which it was ordered, that all forts of torments should be employed, and the most insupportable punishments invented to force thele venerable captives to renounce their profession by facrificing to the heathen gods [b]; for it was hoped, that, if the bishops and doctors of the church could be brought to yield, their respective slocks would be

[6] Busss. Hift. Ecclef. lib. vill. cap. vil. p. 298. Idem,

^{12 [}f] LACTANTIUS affures us, that GALERIUS caused fire to be privately set to the palace, that he might lay the blame of it upon the Christians, and by that means incense DIOCLETIAN still more against them; in which horrid stratagem he succeeded, for never was any persecution to bloody and inhuman, as that which this credulous emperor now set un foot against them.

[[]R] BUSEB. Hift. Ecclef. lib. viii. cap. vi. p. 297. LACTENT. De mortibus prifequat. cap. xiv. p. 948. Constantismus M. Oratio ad functor. catum, cap. xxv. p. 601.

eafily induced to follow their example. An im- C E N T. mente number of persons, illustriously distin- PARY L guished by their piety and learning, became the victims of this cruel stratagem throughout the whole Roman empire, Gaul excepted, which was under the mild and equitable dominion of Con-STANTIUS CHLORUS [i]. Some were punished in fuch a shameful manner, as the rules of decency oblige us to pass in silence; some were put to death after having had their conflancy tried by tedious and inexpressible tortures; and some were fent to the mines to draw out the remains of a miserable life in poverty and bondage.

IV. In the fecond year of this horrible perfe- The officers cution, the 304th of the Christian arra, a fourth of the edict was published by Dioclerian, at the infli- reduced to a gation of GALERIUS, and the other inveterate dangerous enemies of the Christian name. By it the magistrates were ordered and commissioned to-force all Christians, without distinction of rank or sex. to facrifice to the gods, and were authorized to employ all forts of torments in order to drive them to this act of apostaly [k]. The diligence and zeal of the Roman magiltrates, in the execution of this inhuman edict, had liked to have proved fatal to the Christian caute [1].

Galerius now made no longer a mystery of the ambitious project he had been revolving in his Finding his scheme ripe for execution, mind. he obliged Diocletian and Maximian Hercu-Leus to resign the imperial dignity, and declared himself emperor of the east; leaving in the west Constantius Chlorus, with the ill state of

^[1] LACTANTIUS, De mortibus perfequet. cap. xv. p. 951. Euskulus, Heft. Ecclef. lib. viii. cap. xiii. p. 309. cap. xviii. [4] Eustbius, De martyribus Palefina, cip. iii. p. 321.

^[1] LACTANTIUS, Inflient. divin. lib. v. cap. xl. p7449. whole

C E N T. whose health he was well acquainted. He chose PART I, colleagues according to his own fancy, and, rejecting the proposal of Diocletian, who recommended Maxentius, and Constantine the fon of Constantius, to that dignity, his choice fell upon Severus and Daza, his fifter's fon, to whom he had, a little before, given the name of MAKIMIN [m]. This revolution restored peace to those Christians, who lived in the western provinces, under the administration of Constan-TIUS [n]; while those of the east, under the tyranny of Galerius, had their sufferings and calamities dreadfully augmented [0].

quility of the church the accelling of Configurrine to the empire ;

V. The divine providence, however, was preparing more ferene and happy days for the malored by church. In order to this, it confounded the schemes of Galletus, and brought his counfels to nothing. In the year 306, Constantius CHLORUS dying in Britain, the army faluted with the title of Augustus, his fon Constantine, furnamed afterwards the GREAT on account of his illustrious exploits, and forced him to accept the purple. This proceeding, which must have stung the tyrant Galerius to the heart, he was, nevertheless, obliged to bear with pattence, and even to confirm with the outward marks of his approbation. Soon after a civil war broke out, the occasion of which was as follows: MAXIMIN Galerius, inwardly enraged at the election of CONSTANTINE by the foldiers, fent him indeed the purple, but gave him only the title of CÆSAR, and created Sevenus emperor. Maxintius, the for of Maximian Herculeus, and fon-in-law to GALERIUS, provoked at the preference given to

[[]m] Lactant. De mortibus perfeques, cap. xvii. p. 954. ### 보도 P. QÚI.

Euska. De martyribus Paleftina, cap. xiii. p. 345. [0] MACTART, De mercieus perfequat, cap. xxi. p. 904.

SEVERUS, assumed the imperial dignity; and CRNT. found the less difficulty in making good this PART IS usurpation, that the Roman people hoped, by his means, to deliver themselves from the insupportable tyranny of GALERIUS. Having caused himself to be proclaimed emperor, he chose his father MAXIMIAN for his colleague, who, receiving the purple from the hands of his son, was universally acknowledged in that character by the senate and the people. Amidst all these troubles and commotions Constantine, beyond all human expectation, made his way to the imperial throne.

The western Christians, those of Italy and Africa excepted [p], enjoyed a tolerable degree of tranquillity and liberty during these civil tu-Those of the east seldom continued for any confiderable time in the fame lituation; subject to various changes and revolutions; their condition was fornetimes adverse and sometimes tolerably eafy, according to the different scenes that were prefented by the fluctuating state of At length however MAXIMIN public affairs. Galerius, who had been the author of their heaviest calamities, being brought to the brink of the grave by a most dreadful and lingering disease [q], whose complicated horrors no language can express, published, in the year 311, a folemn edict, ordering the perfecution to cease, and restoring freedom and repose to the Christians, against whom he had exercised such unheard-of cruelties [+].

[[]p] The reason of this exception is, that the provinces of Italy and Africa, though nominally under the government of Saverus, were yet in fact ruled by Galerius with an iron sceptre.

^[4] See a lively description of the disease of GALE-RIUS in the Universal History, vol. xv. p. 359. of the Dublin edition.

[[]r] Busen. Hift. Ecclof. lib. viii. cap. xvi. p. 314. Late.
Tantius, De mortibus perfequat. cap. xxxiii. p. 981.

and by the defeat of Mazzatiwa.

VI. After the death of GALERIUS, his domi-PART I, hions fell into the hands of MAXIMIN and Lieiusus, who divided between them the provinces he had possessed. At the fame time, MAXEN-Trus, who had usurped the government of Africa and Italy, determined to make war upon Con-STANTINE, who was now master of Spain and the Gauls, and this with the ambitious view of reducing, under his dominion, the whole western empire. Constantine, apprifed of this design, marched with a part of his army into Italy, gave battle to Maxentius at a small distance from Rome, and defeated totally that abominable tyrant, who, in his precipitate flight, fell into the Tiber, and was drowned. After this victory, which happened in the year 312, Constanting, and his colleague Licinius, immediately granted to the Christians a full power of hving according to their own laws and institutions; which power was specified still more clearly in another edict, drawn up at Milan, in the following year [s]. MAXIMIN, indeed, who ruled in the east, was preparing new calamities for the Christians, and threatening also with destruction the western emperors. But his projects were disconcerted by the victory which LIGINIUS gained over his army, and, through difficaction and despair, he ended his life by poilon, in the year 313.

Different opinionsconceraing the folth of Contratiat.

VII. About the same time, Constanting the GREAT, who had hitherto discovered no religious principles of any kind, embraced Christianity, in confequence, as it is faid, of a miraculous crofs, which appeared to him in the air, as he was marching towards Rome to attack Maxentius. But that this extraordinary event was the reason of his conversion, is a matter that has never yet

L. Bushs. Hif. Ecclef. lib. x. cap. v. p. 188. LACTAN-TIUS De mertibus persequet. Cap. zlvili. p. 1007.

been placed in fuch a light, as to dispel all CHHT. doubts and difficulties. For the first edict of PART 2 CONSTANTINE in favour of the Christians, and many other circumstances that might be, here alleged, thew, indeed, that he was well disposed to them and to their worship, but are no proof that he looked upon Christianity as the only true religion; which, however, would have been the patural effect of a miraculous convertion. It appears evident, on the contrary, that this emperor confidered the other religions, and particularly that which was handed down from the ancient Romans, as also true and useful to mankind; and declared it as his intention and defire, that they should all be exercised and professed in the empire, leaving to each individual the liberty of adhering to that which he thought the best. Constantine, it is true, did not remain always in this state of indifference. In process of time, he acquired more extensive views of the excellence and importance of the Christian religion, and gradually arrived at an entire persuasion of its bearing alone the facred marks of celestial truth, and of a divine origin. He was convinced of the falsehood and impiety of all other religious institutions; and, acting in consequence of this conviction, he exhorted earnestly all his subjects to embrace the gofpel; and at length employed all the force of his authority in the abolition of the ancient superstition. It is not indeed easy, nor perhaps possible, to fix precisely the time when the religious fentiments of Constanting were fo far changed, as to render all religious, but that of CHRIST, the objects of his aversion. All that we know, with certainty, concerning this matter is, that this change was first published to the world by the laws and edicts [1] which this

^[1] Busen. De vita Conftant. Eb. ii. csp. xx. p. 453. Sep. zliv. p. 464. Vol. I. Y emperor

ERN To emperor issued out in the year 324, when, after the PARTI, defeat and death of LICINIUS, he reigned, without a colleague, fole ford of the Roman empire. His deligns, however, with respect to the abolition of the ancient religion of the Romans, and the tolerating no other form of worthip but the Christian, were only made known towards the latter end of his life, by the edicks he ifficed out for destroying the heathen temples and prohibiting sacrifices [4].

Of Con. familie's Anecrity In the profet-**L**un of Chrifting-'nу.

VIII. The fincerity of Constantine's zeal for Christianity can scarcely be doubted, unless it be maintained, that the outward actions of men are, in no degree, a proof of their inward fentiments. It must, indeed, be confessed, that the life and actions of this prince were not such as the Christian religion demands from those who profess to believe its sublime doctrines. It is also certain, that, from his conversion to the last period of his life, he continued in the state of a catechumen, and was not received by baptism into the number of the faithful, until a few days before his death, when that facred rite was administered to him at Nicomedia, by Eusebrus, bishop of that place [w]. But neither of these circumstances are sufficient to prove, that he was not entirely perfuaded of the divinity of the Christian religion, or that his profession of the gospel was an act of pure diffi-

[4] See Godofked ad codic. Thelidofian. tom. vi. part I.

mulation.

p. 390. [w] Euskulus, De vita Conftantini, lib. iv. cap. lxi, [mi. Those who, upon the authority of certain records (whose date 's modern, and whose credit is extremely dubious) affirm, that Constanting was beprized in the year 304, at Rome, by Svevertee, the bishop of that city, are evidently mis-taken. Those, even of the Romish church, who are the soft eminent for their learning and fagacity, reject this todon, See Non in Mift. Donotift. toen. if. opp. p. 65%. Trong, Marin Manachii Origin, et Aniquet, Christian. 1000) il. p. 259.

mulation. For it was a cultont with many, in CENT. this century, to put off their baptilm to the last PARTIE hour, that thus immediately after receiving by this rite the remission of their sins, they might afcend pure and spotless to the manfions of life and immortality. Nor are the crimes of Con-STANTINE any proof of the infincerity of his profession, since nothing is more evident, though it be strange and unaccountable, than that many who believe, in the firmest manner, the truth and divinity of the gospel, yet violate its laws by repeated transgressions, and live in contradiction to their own inward principles. Another question of a different nature might be proposed here, viz. Whether motives of a worldly kind did not contribute, in a certain measure, to give Christianity, in the efteem of Constantine, a preference to all other religious systems? It is indeed .probable, that this prince perceived the admirable tendency of the Christian doctrine and precepts to promote the stability of government, by preferving the citizens in their obedience to the reigning powers, and in the practice of those virtues that render a state happy. And he must naturally have observed, how desective the Roman superstition was in this important point [*].

IX.

[x] See Euseeius, De vua Couftant. lib. i. cap. xxvii. p. 421. 17 It has been formetimes remarked, by the more eminent writers of the Roman history, that the superfittion of that people, contrary to what Dr. Mosneis here observes, had a great influence in keeping them in their subordination and allegiance. It is more particularly observed, that in no other nation the solemn obligation of an auth was treated with such respect, and fulfilled with such a religious circumsspection, and such an inviolable sidelity. But, notwithstanding all this, it is certain, that superfittion, it may be desterously turned to good purposes, may be equally employed to had. The artisce of an angur found have rendered superfittion, as useful to the infernal designs of a Tan of the superfittion, as the full to the infernal designs of a Tan of the superfittion, as the superfittion and virtuous parameters.

PACT L
A grot form
by him in
she sit.

IX. The doubts and difficulties that naturally arise in the mind, concerning the miraculous cross that Constantine folemnly declared he had feen, about noon, in the air, are many and confiderable. It is easy, indeed, to refute the opinion of those, who look upon this prodigy as a cunning fiction invented by the emperor to animate his troops in the enfuing battle, or who confider the narration as wholly fabulous [y]. The fentiment also of those, who imagine that this pretended cross was no more than a natural phenomenon in a folar halo, is, perhaps, more ingemous, than folid and convincing [z]. Nor, in the third place, do we think it sufficiently proved, that the divine power interposed here to confirm the wavering faith of Constantine by a stupendous miracle. The only hypothesis then [a],

purposes of a Publicula or a Trajan. But true Christianity can animate or encourage to nothing that is not just and good. It tends to support government by the principles of piety and justice, and not by the ambiguous slight of birds, and such like delusions.

(y) HORNERCK. Comment. ad Bullam Urbam, viii. de Imagen. saltu, p. 182. Oiselius, Thajaur. Numifm. Antiq. p. 463. Tollius, Preface to the French Translation of Longinus, as also his Adnot ad Lactantium de Mort. Perfequec. cap. xliv. Curist. Thomasius, Observat. Hallens. tom. 1. p. 380.

[x] Jo. And. Schmidivs, Diff. de luna in cruce vifa. Jo. Als. Fabricius, Diff. de cruce à Confiantino vifa, in his

Biblioth, Graca, vol. vi. cap. i. p. 8, &c.

than the real appearance of a cross in the air.—Both events are recorded by the same authority. And, if the veracity of Constantine, or of Eusabius, are questioned with respect to the appearance of a cross in the day, they can scarcely be confided in with respect to the truth of the nocturnal vision. It is very surprising to see the learned authors of the Universal History asset, without exception, all the accounts of Eusabius concerning this cross, which are extremely liable to surprise concerning this cross, which are extremely liable to surprise that the truth of all which he is careful not to make himself the meaning the cross of the Confident lib. ii. cap. ix.)

This whole story is attended with sistentials, which render

is, both as a miracle and as a fast, extremely dubious, to say

which remains, is, that we confider this famous CEWT. cross as a vision represented to the emperor in a PATT & dream, with the remarkable infeription, нас VINCE, i. e. IN THIS CONQUER; and this latter opinion is maintained by authors of confiderable weight [aa].

X. The joy, with which the Christians were The Cons. elated on account of the favourable edicts of Con-STANTINE and LICINIUS, was foon interrupted by sines.

no more.-It will necessarily be asked, whence it comes to pass, that the relation of a fact, which is faid to have been. feen by the whole army, is delivered by Eusebius, upon the fole credit of CONSTANTINE? This is the more unaccountable, that Everatus lived and converted with many that must have been spectators of this event, had it really happened, and whose unanimous testimony would have prevented the necessity of Constanting's confirming it to him by an cath. The fole relation of one man, concerning a public appearance, is not sufficient to give complete conviction; nor does it appear, that this flory was generally believed by the Christians, or by others, fince several ecclesiastical historians, who wrote after Eusebius, particularly Ruppin and Sozo-MEN, make no mention of this appearance of a cross in the heavens. The nocturnal vision was, it must be confessed, more generally known and believed. Upon which Dr. LARD-NER makes this conjecture, that when Constanting first informed the people of the reason that induced him to make use of the sign of the cross in his army, he alleged nothing but a dream for that purpose; but that, in the latter part of his life, when he was acquainted with Euseneus, he added, the other particular, of a huninous cross, seen somewhere by him and his army in the day-time (for the place is not mentioned); and that, the emperor having related this in the most folemn manner, Evszasus thought himself obliged w Dention it,

[44] All the writers, who have given any accounts of Con-STARTINE the GREAT, are carefully enumerated by J. A. FABRICIUS, in his Lux Salut. Ewang. toti arbi exer. CAP. Rile P. 260. who also mentions, cap. xiti. p. 237. the laws concoming religious matters, which were enacted by this emperor, and digested into four parts. For a full account of these laws, see Jac. Goborand. Admiss. ad Code. Theaths. and Balbutnus, in his Constantin. Magu. for de legibus Conflantini Ecclef. et Chvilibut, fib. ii. of which a focoud edition was published, at Hell, by Grundling, in 8vo, in thefrens 1727.

the

E N T. the war which broke out between these two princes, PART L. Licivius, being defeated in a pitched battle, in the year 314, made a treaty of peace with Con-STANTINE, and observed it during the space of nine years. But his turbulent spirit rendered him an enemy to repose; and his natural violence feconded, and still further incensed, by the suggestions of the Heathen priefts, armed him against CONSTANTINE, in the year 324, for the second time. During this war, he endeavoured to engage in his cause all those who remained attached to the ancient superstition, that thus he might oppiels his advertary with numbers; and, in order to this, he perfecuted the Christians in a cruel manner, and put to death many of their bishops, after trying them with torments of the most barbarous nature [b]. But all his enterprizes proved abortive; for, after several battles fought without fuccess, he was reduced to the necessity of throwing himself at the victor's feet, and imploring his elemency; which, however, he did not long enjoy; for he was strangled, by the orders of Constantine, in the year 325. After the defeat of Licinius, the empire was ruled by

[[]b] Eusebius, Hift, Ecclef. lib. x. cap. viii. Id. De vita Constant, at, lib. i. cap. xlix. Juetak himfelf, whose bitter averfron to Constantine gives a fingular degree of credibility to his tellimony in this matter, could not help confesting that Li-CINIUS was an infamous tyrant, and a profligate, abandoned to all forts of wickedness. See the CESARS of JULIAN, p. 222. of the French edition, by SPANHEIM. And here I beg leave to make a remark, which has escaped the learned, and that is, that Aunelius Victor, in his book de Caefaribus, cap. xli. p. 435. edit. Arntzenii, has mentioned the perfecution under LICINIUS in the following terms: " Licinio ne infontium quiden ac nobilium philosophorum servili more cruciatus adhi-" biti modum fecere." The philosophers, whom LICINIUS is here faid to have tormented, were, doubtless, the Christians, whom many, through ignorance, looked upon as a philosophical feet. This passage of Augustius has not been touched by the commentators, who are too generally more intent upon the knowledge of words, then of things.

CONSTANTINE alone until his death, and the CENT. Christian cause experienced, in its happy progress, PARTS. the effects of his auspicious administration. This zealous prince employed all the resources of his genius, all the authority of his laws, and all the engaging charms of his munificence and liberality, to efface, by degrees, the superstitions of Paganism, and to propagate Christianity in every corner of the Roman empire. He had learned, no doubt, from the diffurbances continually excited by Liet-NIUS, that neither himself nor the empire could enjoy a fixed flate of tranquillity and fafety as long. as the ancient superflitions subsisted; and therefore, from this period, he openly opposed the facred rites of Paganism, as a religion detrimental to the interests of the state.

X1. After the death of Constanting, which The flate of happened in the year 337, his three fons, Con- under the STANFINE II., CONSTANTIUS, and CONSTANS, for of were, in confequence of his appointment, put in the Great, possession of the empire, and were all laluted as emperors and Augusti by the Roman fenate. There were yet living two brothers of the late emperor, viz. Constantius Dalmatius and JULIUS CONSTANTIUS, and they had feveral fons. These the sons of Constanting ordered to be put to death, lest their ambitious views should excite troubles in the empire [c]; and they all fell victims to this barbarous order, except GALLUS and Julian, the fons of Julius Constantius, the

[c] It is more probable, that the principal design of this maffacre was to recover the provinces of Thrace, Macedon, and Achaia, which, in the division of the empire, Constanting the GREAT had given to young DALMATIUS, fon to his brother of the fame name, and Pentus and Cappadocia, which he had granted to Annibalianus, the brother of young Dal-MATIOS. Be that as it will, Dr. Mosuzim has attributed this mailacre equally to the three fons of Constantine; whereas almost all authors agree, that neither young Constanting, ner Constant, had any head in it at all. Darter

CENT. latter of whom role afterwards to the imperial PART I. dignity. The dominions allotted to Constan-TIME were Britain, Gaul, and Spain; but he did not possess them long, for, having made himself mafter, by force, of feveral places belonging to Constant; this occasioned a war between the two brothers, in the year 340, in which Constanting lost his life. Constant, who had received, at first, for his portion, Illyricum, Italy, and Africa, added now the dominions of the deceased prince to his own, and thus became fole mafter of all the western provinces. He remained in possession of this vast territory until the year 350, when he was cruelly affaffinated by the orders of MAGNENTIUS, one of his commanders, who had revolted and declared himself emperor. MAGNENTIUS, in his turn, met with the fate he deserved: transported with rage and despair at his ill success in the war against Constantius, and apprehending the most terrible and ignominious death from the just refentment of the conqueror, he laid violent hands upon himself. Thus Constantius, who had, before this, possessed the provinces of Asia, Syria, and Egypt, became, in the year 353, fole lord of the Roman empire, which he ruled until the year 361, when he died at Moplucrene, on the borders of Cilicia, as he was marching against Julian. None of these three brothers possessed the spirit and genius of their father. They all, indeed, followed his example, in continuing to abrogate and efface the ancient superstitions of the Romans and other idolatrous nations, and to accelerate the progress of the Christian religion throughout the empire. This zeal was, no doubt, laudable; its end was excellent; but, in the means used to accomplish it, there were many things worthy of blame.

XII. This flourishing progress of the Christian religion was greatly interrupted, and the church reduced reduced to the brink of destruction, when Julian, CEWT. the fon of Julius Constantius, and the only PART I. remaining branch of the imperial family, was placed at the head of affairs. This active and ad- Julian atventurous prince, after having been declared em- defination peror by the army, in the year 380, in confe- or Chindiquence of his exploits among the Gauls, was, upon the death of Constantius, the year following, confirmed in the undivided possession of the empire. No event could be less favourable to the Christians. For though he had been educated in the principles of Christianity, yet he apo-. flatized from that divine religion, and employed all his efforts to reftore the expiring superflitions of polytheilm to their former vigour, credit, and luftre. This apostaly of Julian, from the gospel of CHRIST to the worship of the gods, was owing, partly, to his aversion to the Constantine family, who had embrued their hands in the blood of his father, brother, and kinfinen; and partly, to the artifices of the Platonic philosophe. , who abused his credulity, and flattered his ambition, by fictitious miracles and pompous predictions. is true, this prince feemed averse to the use of violence, in propagating fuperstition, and suppreffing the truth; nay, he carried the appearances of moderation and impartiality fo far, as to allow his subjects a full power of judging for themselves in religious matters, and of worshiping the deity in the manner they thought the most rational. But, under this mask of moderation, he attacked Christianity with the utmost bitterness, and, at the same time, with the most confummate dexterity. By art and stratagem he undermined the church, removing the privileges that were granted to Christians and their spiritual rulers; shutting up the schools in which they taught philosophy and the liberal arts; encouraging the fecturies and schismatics, who brought

diffanour

PART L.

C E N T. dishonour upon the gospel by their divisions; compoling books against the Christians, and using a variety of other means to bring the religion of Jesus to ruin and contempt. Julian extended his views yet further, and was meditating projects of a still more formidable nature against the Christian church, which would have felt, no doubt, the fatal and ruinous effects of his inveterate hatred, if he had returned victorious from the Persian war, which he entered into immediately after his accession to the empire. But in this war, which was rashly undertaken and improdently conducted, he fell by the lance of a Perfian foldier, and expired in his tent in the 32d year of his age, having reigned, alone, after the death of Constantius, twenty months $\{d\}$.

His chander.

XIII. It is to me just matter of furprise to find Julian placed, by many learned and judicious writers [e], among the greatest heroes that shine forth in the annals of time; nay, exalted above all the princes and legiflators that have been diffinguished by the wildom of their government. Such writers must either be too far blinded by prejudice, to perceive the truth; or, they must never have perufed, with any degree of attention, those works of Julian that are still extant; or, if neither of these be their case, they must, at least, be ignorant of that which constitutes true greatness. The real character of Julian has few lines

[e] Montesquieu, in chap. x. of the xxivth book of his work, incitled, L'Espru des loix, speaks of Julian in the folloving terms: " Il n'y a point en apres lui de Prince plus digne

" de gouverner des hommes."

[[]d] For a full account of this emperor, it will be proper to confult (besides TIELEMONT and other common writers) La vão de Julien, par l'Abbi BLETTERIE, which is a most accurate and elegant production. See also, The life and character of | v-LAN, illustrated in seven differentions, by Des Volux. Est cu. SPARHEM. Prafet. et adme. ad epp. Juliani; and Fabri-ETT, Lux Ewangel. tots orbs exorums, cap. xiv. p. 294.

of that uncommon meru that has been attributed C B N T. to it; for, if we let aside his genius, of which PART EL his works give no very high idea; if we except, . moreover, his military courage, his love of letters, and his acquaintance with that vain, and fanatical philosophy, which was known by the name of modern Platonifm, we shall find nothing remaining that is, in any measure, worthy of praise, or productive of esteem. Besides, the qualities now mentioned were, in him, counterbalanced by the most opprobrious defects. He was a slave to superstition, than which nothing is a more evident mark of a narrow foul, of a mean and abject spirit. His thirst of glory and popular applause were excessive even to poerility; his credulity and levity furpals the powers of description: a low conning, and a profound diffimulation and duplicity, had acquired, in his mind, the force of predominant habits; and all this was accompanied with a total and perfect ignorance of true philoiophy [f]. So that, though, in fome things, JULIAN may be allowed to have excelled the ions of Constantine the Great, yet it must be granted, on the other hand, that he was, in many respects, inferior to Constanting himself, whom, upon all occasions, he loads with the most licentious invectives, and treats with the utinost difdain.

XIV. As Julian affected, in general, to ap- The Jews pear moderate in religious matters, unwilling to vain to trouble any on account of their faith, or to feem tebuild the averse to any sect or party, so to the Jews, in jesusalem. particular, he extended to far the marks of his inclulgence, as to permit them to rebuild the

17 [/] Nothing can afford a more evident proof of [v-LIAN's ignorance of the true philosophy, than his known attachment to the study of magic, which Dr. Mosnesur has omitted in his enumeration of the defects and extravagancies of :his prince.

temple

CENT. temple of Jerusalem. The Jews set about this PART L important work; from which, however, they were obliged to defift, before they had even begun to lay the foundations of the facred edifice. For, while they were removing the rubbish, formidable balls of fire, issuing out of the ground with a dreadful noise, dispersed both the works and the workmen, and repeated earthquakes filled the spectators of this astonishing phenomenon with terror and difinay. This fignal event is attested in a manner that renders its evidence irrefiftible [g], though, as usually happens in cases of that nature, the Christians have embellished it by augmenting rashly the number of the miracles that are supposed to have been wrought upon that occasion. The causes of this phenomenon may furnish matter of dispute; and learned men have, in effect, been divided upon that point. All, however, who confider the matter with attention and impartiality, will perceive the strongest reasons for embracing the opinion of those who attribute this event to the almighty interpolition of the Supreme Being; nor do the arguments offered, by some, to prove it the effect of natural causes, or those alleged by others to persuade us that it was the refult of artifice and imposture, contain any thing that may not be refuted with the utmost facility [b].

> [g] See Jo. Alb. Fabricti Lux Evang, toti orbi exeriens. p. 124, where all the testimonies of this remarkable event are carefully affembled; see also Movie's Postbumous Works,

> p. 101, &c.
>
> [b] The truth of this miracle is denied by the samous Basgage, Histoire des Justs, tom. iv. p. 1257. against whom Curae has taken the affirmative, and defended it in his Letters published by BAYER, p. 400. A most ingenious discoarde has been published lately, in defence of this miracle, by the learned Dr. WARBURTON, under the title of Julian; or, A diferent concerning the earthquake and fiery eruption, &c. in which the objections of BASHAGE are particularly examined and refuled.

XV. Upon the death of Julian, the fuffrages C E N T. of the army were united in favour of Jovian, PART L who, accordingly, succeeded him in the imperial dignity. After a reign of feven months, Jovian The church died in the year 364, and, therefore, had not after the time to execute any thing of importance [i]. death of The emperors who succeeded him, in this century, were Valentinian I., Valens, Gratian, VALENTINIAN II., and HONORIUS, who professed Christianity, promoted its progress, and endeavoured, though not all with equal zeal, to root out entirely the Gentile superstitions. In this. they were all surpassed by the last of the emperors who reigned in this century, viz. THEOpositis the Great, who came to the empire in the year 379, and died in the year 395. As long as this prince lived, he exerted himself, in the most vigorous and effectual manner, in the extirpation of the Pagan superstitions throughout all the provinces, and enacted fevere laws and penalties against such as adhered to them. His sons ARCADIUS and Honorius purfued with zeal, and not without success, the same end; so that, towards the conclusion of this century, the Gentile religions declined apace, and had also no prospect left of recovering their primitive authority and folendor.

XVI. It is true, that, notwithstanding all this Remains of zeal and severity of the Christian emperors, there paganism. Itill remained in feveral places, and especially in the remoter provinces, temples and religious rites confecrated to the fervice of the Pagan deities. And, indeed, when we look attentively into the matter, we shall find, that the execution of those

[[]i] See BLETTERIE, Vie de Joviez, vol. ii. published at Paris in 1748, in which the Life of Julian, by the same author, 15 further illustrated, and some productions of that emperor translated into Prench.

PART L

CENT. rigorous laws, that were enacted against the worshippers of the gods, was rather levelled at the multitude, than at persons of eminence and distinction. For it appears, that, both during the reign, and after the death of Theodosius, many of the most honourable and important posts were filled by perfons, whose aversion to Christianity, and whose attachment to Paganism, were sufficiently known. The example of LIBANIUS alone is an evident proof of this, fince, notwithstanding his avowed and open enmity to the Christians, he was raifed by Theodosius himself to the high dignity of prefect, or chief, of the Pretorian guards. It is extremely probable, therefore, that in the execution of the severe laws enacted against the Pagans, there was an exception made in favour of philosophers, rhetoricians, and military leaders, on account of the important fervices which they were supposed to render to the flate, and that they of confequence enjoyed more liberty in religious matters, than the inferior orders of men.

The efforts of the phigainft Chrifilmity,

XVII. This peculiar regard shewn to the phibiophers as losophers and rhetoricians will, no doubt, appear furprising when it is considered, that all the force of their genius, and all the resources of their art were employed against Christianity; and that those very sages, whose schools were reputed of fuch utility to the state, were the very persons who opposed the progress of the truth with the greatest vehemence and contention of mind. HIEROCLES, the great ornament of the Platonic school, wrote, in the beginning of this century, two books against the Christians, in which he went so far as to draw a parallel between Jesus CHRIST and Apollonius Tyanaeus. This picsumption was chastised with great spirit, by Eumetus, in a particular treatife written expressly in answer to Hierocles. Lactantius takes notic€ tice of another philosopher, who composed three CENT. books to detect the pretended errors of the PART L Christians $\{k\}$, but does not mention his name. After the time of Constantine the Great, befides the long and laborious work which Julian wrote against the followers of Chaist, Hime-RILS [1] and LIBANIUS, in their public harangues, and Eunaprus, in his lives of the philosophers, exhausted all their rage and bitterness in their efforts to defame the Christian religion; while the calumnies, that abounded in the discourses of the one, and the writings of the other, paffed unpunished.

XVIII. The prejudice, which the Christian The prejucause received, in this century, from the strata- the Chrigems of these philosophers and rhetoricians, who from cause were elated with a prefumptuous notion of their from the knowledge, and prepoficified with a bitter aversion philosoto the gospel, was certainly very considerable. Many examples concur to prove this; and, particularly, that of Julian, who was feduced by the artifices of these corrupt sophists. effects of their disputes and declamations were not, indeed, the fame upon all; fome, who affumed the appearance of superior wisdom, and who, either from moderation or indifference, professed to pursue a middle way in these religious controversies, composed matters in the following manner: They gave so far their ear to the interpretations and discourses of the rhetoricians, as to form to themselves a middle kind of religion, between the ancient theology and the new doctrine that was now propagated in the enpire; and they perfuaded themselves, that the fame truths which CHRIST taught, had been, for a long time, concealed, by the priefts of the

dice which

^[4] Institut. Divin. lib. v. cap. ü. p. 535. [1] See Photius Beblieth. Cod. cap. Ixv. p. 355.

gods, under the veil of ceremonies, fables, and PART I. allegorical representations [m]. Of this number were Ammianus Marcellinus, a man of fingular merit; Themistius, an orator highly diffinguilhed by his uncommon eloquence and the eminence of his flation; Chalcibius, a philofopher, and others, who were all of opinion, that the two religions, when properly interpreted and understood, agreed perfectly well in the main points; and that, therefore, neither the religion of Christ, nor that of the gods, were to be treated with contempt.

> [m] This notion, abfurd as it is, has been revived, in the most extravagant manner, in a work published at Harderwyk, in Guelderland, in the year 1757, by Mr. Staucht-MEYER, professor of eloquence and languages in that univerfity. In this work, which bears the title of the SYMBOLICAL HERCUIEs, the learned and wrong-headed author maintains (as he had also done in a preceding work, insitted, An Explication of the Pagua Theology), that all the doctrines of Christianity were emblematically represented in the Heathen mythology; and not only fo, but that the inventors of that mythology knew that the Son of God was to descend upon earth; believed in CHRIST as the only fountain of falvation; were persuaded of his future incarnation, death, and resurrection; and had acquired all this knowledge and faith by the perufal of a Bible much older than either Moses or Abra-MAM, &c. The Pagan doctors, thus instructed (according to Mr. STRUCHTMEYER) in the mysteries of Christianity, taught these truths under the veil of emblems, types, and figures. Ju-PITER, represented the true God; Juno, who was obstinate and engovernable, was the emblem of the ancient ISRABL; the chafte DIANA, was a type of the Christian church; HER-CULES, was the figure or fore-runner of Chaist; Amphi-THYON, Was JOSEPH; the two Serpenes, that HERCULES killed in his cradle, were the Pharifees and Saducees, &c. Such are the principal lines of Mr. STRUCHTMEYER's fystem, Which thews the fad havock that a warm imagination, undirefled by a just and folid judgment, makes in religion. It is, however, honourable perhaps to the prefent age, that a fyltem, from which Aumianus Marceulinus and other phibolophers of old derived applause, will be generally looked spon, at prefent, as intitling its reflorer to a place in Bellam.

XIX. The zeal and diligence with which Con- CENT. STANTINE and his fuccessors exerted themselves PART L in the cause of Christianity, and in extending the limits of the church, prevent our furprise at the Propert of Christianity. number of barbarous and uncivilized nations, which received the gospel [n]. It appears highly probable, from many circumstances, that both the Greater and the Leffer Armenia were enlightened with the knowledge of the truth, not long after the first rise of Christianity. The Armenian church was not, however, completely formed and established before this century; in the commencement of which, GREGORY, the fon of ANAX, who is commonly called the Enlightner, from his having dispelled the darkness of the Armenian superstitions, converted to Christianity TIRIDATES, king of Armenia, and all the nobles of his court. consequence of this, GREGORY was consecrated bishop of the Armenians, by Leontius bishop of Coppadocia, and his ministry was crowned with fuch fuccess, that the whole province was foon converted to the Christian faith [o].

XX. Towards the middle of this century, a Among the certain person, named Frumentius, came from Ethiopian. Egypt to Abassia, or Æthiopia, whose inhabitants derived the name of Axumitæ from Axuma, the capital city of that country. He made known among this people the gospel of Chaist, and administered the facrament of baptism to their king, and to several persons of the first distinction at his court. As Frumentius was returning from

[n] GAUDENTII Vita Philaftris, § 3. PHILASTRIUS, De band. Praf. p. 5. edit. Fabricii. Sockatas, Hift. Eccles lib. i. cap. xix. Georgius Cedarnus, Chronograph. p. 234. edit. Paris.

[o] Narratio de rebus Armenia in FRANC. COMDETISIE Auctario Biblioth. Patrum Gracor. tom. ii. p. 287. MICH. LEQUIEN, Oriens Christianus, tom. i. p. 419. 1356. Jo. JOACH, SCHRODERI Thefaur, lingua Armenica, p. 149.

PART I.

CENT. hence jato Egypt, he received consecration, 21 the first bishop of the Axumine, or Ethiopians. from ATHANASIUS. And this is the reason why the Ethiopian church has, even to our times, been confidered as the daughter of the Alexandrian, from which it also receives its bishop [p].

And Georgians,

The light of the gospel was introduced into Iberia, a province of Afia, now called Georgia, in the following manner: A certain woman was carried into that country as a captive, during the reign of Constantine the Great, and by the grandeur of her miracles, and the remarkable fanclity of her life and manners, the made fuch an impression upon the king and queen, that they abandoned their false gods, embraced the faith of the gospel, and fent to Constantinople, for proper persons to give them and their people a more fatisfactory and complete knowledge of the Christian religion [a].

And Cothe.

XXI. A confiderable part of the Goths, who had inhabited Thrace, Mafia, and Dacia, had received the knowledge, and embraced the doctrines, of Christianity before this century; and THEOPHILUS, their bishop, was present at the council of Nice. Constanting the Great, after having vanquished them and the Sarmatians, engaged great numbers of them to become Christians | r]. But still a large body continued in their attachment to their ancient superstition, until the time of the emperor VALENS.

[r] Socilat. Hift. Endet. lib. j. cap. xviii.

^[6] ATHANASIUS, Apolog. ad Conflantium, tom. i. opp par. II. p. 315. edit. Benedict. Socratas et Sozomen Hift. Eccles. book i. ch. xix. of the former, book ii. ch. xxiv. of the latter. Theodorer. Hift. Ecclef. lib. i. cap. xxiii. p. 54. LUDOLP. Comment. ad Hift. Æthiopic. p. 281. HIRE. Lono. Fojage & Abriline, with it. p. 13. Justus Fonta-

nesus, Hijt. Litter. Aquilees, p. 174. [q] Ruvenus, Hijt. Rechef. lib. i. cap. x. Sonomes, Hift. Ecces. lib. u. cap. v. Luquian, Orien Chris. tom. i. p. 1333.

prince permitted them, indeed, to pass the CENT. Danube, and to inhabit Dacis, Mafia, and part. Thrace: but it was on condition, that they should live in subjection to the Roman laws, and embrace the profession of Christianity [s], which condition was accepted by their king FRITIOERN. The celebrated ULPHILUS, bishop of those Goths. who dwelt in Mafia, lived in this century, and diffinguished himself much by his genius and piety. Among other eminent fervices which he rendered to his country, he invented a fet of letters for their peculiar use, and translated the ferretures into the Gothic language [t].

XXII. There remained full, in the European Among the provinces, an incredible number of persons who Goula adhered to the worship of the gods; and though the Christian bishops continued their pious efforts to gain them over to the golpel, yet the success was, by no means, proportionable to their diligence and zeal, and the work of conversion went on but flowly. In Gaul, the great and venerable Marin, bishop of Tours, set about this important work with tolerable success. For, in his various voyages among the Gauls, he converted many, every where, by the energy of his difcourtes and by the power of his miracles, if we may rely upon the tellimony of Sulpitius Seve-Rus in this matter. He destroyed also the temples of the gods, pulled down their statues [u].

^[1] Sochat. Hift. Ecclef. lib. iv. cap. xxxiii. Lequien, Orien Chrif. tom. i. p. 1240. ERIC. BENZELIUS, Praf. ad Quatnor Evangelia Gothica, que ULPHILE tribumum, cap. v. p. aviii. published, at Oxford, in the year 1750, in 4to.

^[1] O. IAC. MASCOVII Historia Germanerum, tom. i. p. 117. tom. ii. rat. p. 49. Ada SS. Martin, tom. iii. p. 619. Bennettus, loc. ettat. cap. vili. p. xxx.

[[]u] See Sulvit. Sevenus, Dial. i. De Vita Martinia cap. xiii. p. 20. cap. xv. p. 22. cap. xvii. p. 23. Dial. ii. P. 100. edn. Hissa. a Paato, Verona, 1741.

CENT. and on all these accounts merited the high and hand I honourable title of Apostle of the Gauls.

The causes of to meny chinger.

XXIII. There is no doubt, but that the victories of Constantine the Great, the fear of punishment, and the defire of pleasing this mighty conqueror, and his imperial successors, were the weighty arguments that moved whole nations, as well as particular persons, to embrace Christianity. None, however, that have any acquaintance with the transactions of this period of time, will attribute the whole progress of Christianity to these causes. For it is undeniably manifest, that the indefatigable zeal of the bishops, and other pious men, the innocence and fanctity which shone forth with such lustre in the lives of many Christians, the translations that were published of the facred writings, and the intrinsic beauty and excellence of the Christian religion, made as throng and deep impressions upon tome, as worldly views and telfiffh confiderations did upon others.

As to the miracles attributed to Antony, PAUL the Hermit, and MARTIN, I give them up without the least difficulty, and join with those who treat these pretended prodigies with the contempt they deserve [co]. I am also willing to grant, that many events have been rashly esteemed miraculous, which were the refult of the ordinary laws of nature; and also that several pious frauds have been improdently made tile of, to give new degrees of weight and dignity to the Christian cause. But I cannot, on the other hand, affent to the opinions of those who maintain, that, in this century, miracles had entirely

[[]w] HIER. a PRATO, in his Preface to SULPITIUS SEVEaus (p. xiii.) disputes warmly in favour of the miracles of MARTEN, and also of the other produgies of this century.

ceased; and that, at this period, the Christian C R N T. church was not favoured with any extraordinary PARTI. or supernatural mark of a divine power engaged in its cause [x].

XXIV. The Christians, who lived under the Perfecutions Roman government, were not afflicted with any levere calamities from the time of Constanting the GREAT, except those which they suffered during the troubles and commotions raised by Licinius, and under the transitory reign of JULIAN. Their tranquillity however was, at different times, diffurbed in feveral places, A nong others ATHANARIC, king of the Goths, perfecuted, for fome time, with bitterness, that part of the Gothic nation which had embraced C'inflianity [y]. In the remoter provinces, the Pagans often defended their ancient superstitions by the force of arms, and maffacred the Christians, who, in the propagation of their religion, were not always sufficiently attentive, either to the rules of prodence, or the dictates of humamity [2]. The Christians, who hved beyond the limits of the Roman empire, had a harder fate. Sapor II. king of Perfia, vented his rage against those of his dominions in three dreadful perfecutions. The first of these happened in the 18th year of the reign of that prince; the fecond, in the 30th; and the third, in the 31st year of the same reign. This last was the most cruel and

[[]A] See Eusenius's book against Hierocies, ch. iv. P. 431. edit. Oleanu; as also HENR. Dodwell, Diff. ii. in Ironaum, \$ 55. p. 195. D' See Dr. Mindletton's Free Inthe d in the Christian Church, &cc. in which a very different opinion is maintained. See, however, on the other fide, the entwers of Church and Dodwell to Middleton's In-94.17.

^[3] See Theobor. Ruinarti Alla martyr. Incera, and there A. 'a S. Saba, p. 598.

¹²¹ See Ambnosius, De quein, lib. i. cap. xlii. § 17. destructive

c and the frace of the three: it carried off an increpart I. dible number of Christians, and continued during
the space of forty years, having commenced in
the year 330, and ceased only in 370. It was
not, however, the religion of the Christians, but
the ill-grounded suspicion of their treasonable designs against the state, that drew upon them this
terrible calamity. For the Magi and the Jews
persuaded the Persian monarch, that all the
Christians were devoted to the interests of the
Roman emperor, and that Symeon, archbishop
of Seleucia and Ctessphon, sent to Constantinople intelligence of all that passed in Persia [a].

[a] See Zozouen. Hift. Ecclif. lib. ii. cap. i. xiii. There is a particular and express account of this persecution in the Bibliothec. Oriental. Clement. Vatican. tom. i. p. 6. 16. 181, tom. iii. p. 52. with which it will be proper to compare the Presace of the learned Asseman, to his Acta martyium oriental, et a. inclinatal. published, in two volumes in solio, at Rime in the year 1748; as this author has published the Prisan Martyi clogy in Syriac, with a Latin translation, and emiched plus valuable work with many excellent observations.

[343]

PART II.

The Internal History of the Church.

CHAPTER I.

Which contains the history of learning and philosophy.

1. THILOLOGY, eloquence, poetry, and cent. history, were the branches of science par- IV. ticularly cultivated, at this time, by those, among the flowest the Greeks and Latins, who were defirous to The flowest make a figure in the learned world. But though feveral persons of both nations acquired a certain degree of reputation by their literary purfuits, yet they came all far short of the summit of fame. The best poets of this period, such as Ausonius, appear inlipid, harsh, and inelegant, when compared with the fublime bards of the Augustan age. The rhetoricians, departing now from the noble simplicity and majesty of the ancients, instructed the youth in the fallacious art of pompous declamation; and the greatest part of the historical writers were more fet upon embellishing their narrations with vain and tawdry ornaments, than upon rendering them interesting by their order, perspiculty, and truth.

II. Almost all the philosophers of this age were The progress of that sect which we have already diffinguished of the Plant and the philosophers. by the title of Modern Platonics. It is not tophy. therefore furprising, that we find the principles. of Platonism in all the writings of the Christians, The number, however, of these philosophers was not to confiderable in the west as in the eastern countries.] AMBLICHUS of Chalcis explained, in •Z 4 Syria,

PARTIL Pagated his own particular opinions under that respectable name. He was an obscure and credulous man, and his turn of mind was highly superstitious and chimerical, as his writings abundantly testify [b]. His successors were, Eoesius, Maximus, and others, whose sollies and puerilities are exposed, at length, by Eunapius. Hypatia, a semale philosopher of distinguished merit and learning, Isidorus, Olympiodorus, Synesius, asterwards a Semi-Christian, with others of inferior reputation, were the principal persons concerned in propagating this new modification of Platonism.

Ite fate.

*III. As the emperor Julian was passionately attached to this sect (which his writings abundantly prove) he employed every method to increase its authority and lustre, and, for that purpose, engaged in its cause several men of learning and genius, who vied with each other in exalting its ment and excellence [c]. But after his death, a dreadful storm of persecution arose, under the reign of Valentinian, against the Platonists; many of whom, being accused of magical practices, and other heinous crimes, were capitally convicted. During these commotions, Maximus, the master and savourite of Julian, by whose persuasions this emperor had been en-

ET [b] Dr. Mosneym speaks here only of one James serves, though there were three persons who bore that name. It is not easy to determine which of them was the author of those works that have reached our times under the name of James light three but whoever it was, he does not certainly deserve so mean a character as our learned historian here gives him.

[[]c] See the learned Baron Exertel Spanheim's Preface to the quorks of Julian; and that also which he has prefixed to his French translation of Julian's Casars, p. 121. and his Annotations to the latter, p. 234; for also Bletterie, Vie de l'Empereur Julien, lib. i. p. 26.

gaged to renounce Christianity, and to apply e s w T. handelf to the study of magic, was put to death PARTIL with feveral others [d]. It is probable, indeed, that the friendship and intimacy that had subfifted between the apostate emperor and these pretended fages were greater crimes, in the eve of VALENTINIAN, than either their philosophical system or their magic arts. And lience it happened, that fuch of the fect as lived at a diffance from the court, were not involved in the dangers or calamities of this perfecution.

IV. From the time of Constantine the Great, The fine of the Christians applied themselves with more zeal mong the and diligence to the fludy of philosophy and of Chickense the liberal arts, than they had formerly done. The emperors encouraged this tafte for the feiences, and left no means unemployed to excite and maintain a spirit of literary emulation among the professors of Christianity. For this purpose, schools were established in many cities. braries were also erected, and men of learning and genius were nobly recompensed by the honours and advantages that were attached to the culture of the sciences and arts [e]. All this was indifpenfably necetfary to the fuccetsful execution of the icheme that was laid for abrogating, by degrees, the worship of the gods. For the ancient religion was maintained, and its credit supported, by the erudition and talents which dillinguished in so many places the sages of pa-

ganifm. And there was just reason to apprehend that the truth might fuffer, if the Christian youth,

[[]d] Ammian. Marcellin. Hifteriarum. lib. xxix. cap. L. p. 556. edit. Valedi. BLETTERIE, Vie de Julium p. 30 .- 155. 159. and Vie de Jovien, tom. i. p. 194.

^[2] See Goodfuro. ad Codicis Theodof. titulos do profefforibiet artibus liberalibus. FRANC. BALDUINUS IN Constantino M. p. 122. HERM. CONRINGIS Differt. de fludits Romes et Constantinop, at the end of his Antiquitates Academica.

CENT. for want of proper masters and instructors of their PART II, own religion, should have recourse, for their education, to the schools of the Pagan philosophers and rhetoricians.

Many illine sme Chiifti.

V. From what has been here faid concerning the state of learning among the Christians, we would not have any conclude, that an acquaintance with the feigness was become univertal in the church of Christ. For, as yet, there was no law enacted, which excluded the ignorant and illiterate from ecclefialtical preferments and offices; and it is certain, that the greatest part, both of the bishops and presbyters, were men entirely deflitute of all learning and education. Besides, that savage and illiterate party, who looked upon all forts of erudition, particularly that of a philosophical kind, as pernicious and even destructive to true piety and religion, increased, both in number and authority. afcetics, monks, and hermits, augmented the strength of this barbarous faction; and not only the women, but also all who took folemn looks, fordid garments, and a love of folitude, for real piety (and in this number we comprehend the generality of mankind) were vehemently prepoffeffed in their favour.

CHAP. II.

Concerning the government of the church, and the Christian doctors, during this century.

Christian ebareb.

The form of L. CONSTANTINE the GREAT made no effential alterations in the form of government that took place in the Christian church before his time; he only corrected it in some particulars, and gave it a greater extent. For, though he permitted the church to remain a can r. body-politic diffinct from that of the state, as it PARTIL had formerly been, yet he assumed to himself the supreme power over this sacred body, and the right of modelling and governing it in fuch a manner, as should be most conducive to the public good. This right he enjoyed without any oppolition, as none of the bilhops prefumed to call his authority in question. The people therefore continued, as usual, to chuse freely their bishops The bishop governed the and their teachers. church, and managed the ecclefiaftical affairs of the city or diffrict, where he prefided, in council with the prefbyters, and with a due regard to the fuffrages of the whole affembly of the people. The provincial bishops, affembled in council, deliherated together concerning those matters that related to the interests of the churches of a whole province, as also concerning religious controversies, the forms and rites of divine fervice. and other things of like moment. leffer councils, which were composed of the ecclefiaftical deputies of one or more provinces, were afterwards added acumenical councils, confifting of commissioners from all the churches in the Christian world, and which, consequently, prefented the church univerfal. These were established by the authority of the emperor, who assembled the first of these universal councils at This prince thought it equitable, that questions of superior importance, and such as intimately concerned the interests of Christianity in general, should be examined and decided in affemblies that represented the whole body of the Christian church; and in this it is highly probable, that his judgment was directed by that of the bishops. There were never, indeed, any councils held, which could, with strict propriety, be called universal; those, however, whose laws and

C E N T. and decrees were approved and admitted by the PART II, universal church, or the greatest part of that --- facred body, are commonly called acumenical or

general councils.

Changer introduced. of the feve-

II.. The rights and privileges of the several with respect ecclesiastical orders were, however, to the rights changed and diminished, from the time that the rated as of church began to be torn with divisions, and agithe church tated with those violent diffensions and tumults, to which the elections of bishops, the diversity of religious opinions, and other things of a like nature, too frequently gave rife. In these religious quarrels, the weaker generally fled to the court for protection and fuccour; and thereby furnished the emperors with a favourable opportunity of fetting limits to the power of the bishops, of infringing the liberties of the people, and of modifying, in various ways, the ancient cultorns according to their pleature. And, indeed, even the histops themselves, whose opulence and authority were confiderably increased since the reign of CONSTANTINE, began to introduce, gradually, innovations into the forms of ecclefiaflical discipline, and to change the ancient government of the church. Their first step was an entire exclufion of the people from all part in the administration of ecclefialtical affairs; and afterwards they, by degrees, divested even the presbyters of their ancient privileges and their primitive authority, that they might have no importunate protesters to control their ambition, or oppose their proceedings; and, principally, that they might either engrofs to themselves, or distribute, as they thought proper, the possessions and revenues of the church. Hence it came to pass, that, at the conclusion of this century, there remained no more than a mere shadow of the ancient government of the church. Many of the privileges, which had formerly belonged to the preflyters end

and people, were usurped by the bishpps; and CENT. many of the rights, which had been formerly PARTIL vested in the universal church, were transferred to the emperors, and to subordinate officers and magistrates.

III. CONSTANTINE the GREAT, in order to pre- The earlesvent civil commotions, and to fix his authority vennment upon folid and stable foundations, made several modelled changes, not only in the laws of the empire, but the civil. also in the form of the Roman government [f]. And as there were many important reasons, which induced him to fuit the administration of the church to these changes in the civil constitution, this necessarily introduced, among the bishops, new degrees of eminence and rank. Three prelates had, before this, enjoyed a certain degree of pre-eminence over the rest of the episcopal order, viz. the bishops of Rome, Antioch, and Alexandria; . and to these the bishop of Constantinopte was added. when the imperial refidence was transferred to that city. These sour prelates answered to the four pretorian prefetts created by Constantine; and it is possible that, in this very century, they were distinguished by the Jewish title of Pa- Partiactur. triarchs. After these, sollowed the exarchs, who Exachs, had the inspection over several provinces, and antwered to the appointment of certain civil officers who bore the same title. In a lower class, were the Metropolitans, who had only the government of one province, under whom were the arch- Archbishops, whose inspection was confined to certain bishops, districts. In this gradation, the bifboxs brought Bishops. up the rear; the sphere of their authority was not, in all places, equally extensive; being in some confiderably ample, and in others confined within narrow limits. To these various ecclesiastical

[f] See Bos, Histoire de la monarchie Françoise, tom. i. p. 64. GIAHHONE, Hestaire de Maples, tom. 1. p. 94. 152. orders,

CENT. orders, we might add that of the chorepifcopi, or PART II. Superintendents of the country churches; but this order was, in most places, suppressed by the bishops, with a design to extend their own authority, and enlarge the sphere of their power and jurifdiction [g].

The administration of the church بادوجيهة

IV. The administration of the church was divided, by Constantine himself, into an external divided into and an internal inspection [b]. The latter, which was committed to bishops and councils, related to religious controversies; the forms of divine wor-(bip; the offices of the priefts; the vices of the ecclefiaftical orders, &cc. The external administration of the church, the emperor affumed to himfelf. This comprehended all those things that relate to the outward state and discipline of the church; it likewise extended to all contests and debates that should arise between the ministers of the church, fuperion as well as inferior, concerning their possessions, their reputation, their rights and privileges, their offences against the laws, and things of a like nature [i], but no controversies that related to matters purely religious were cognizable by this external inspection. In consequence of this artful division of the ecclesiastical government, Constanting and his fucceffors called councils, prefided in them, appointed the judges of reliligious controversies, terminated the differences which arose between the bishops and the people, fixed the limits of the ecclefiaftical provinces, took cognizance of the civil causes that subsisted between the ministers of the church, and punished

^[2] This appears from leveral pallages in the viciul work of Prop. Thomas is a use intitled, Difepting Ecclefia was, at move tirea beneficia, tum. t.

^[6] Eusea. De vita Conflantini, lib. iv. cap. xxiv. p. 536. [7] See the imperial laws both in JUSTIKIAK's Cade, and in the THERMOSIAN; as also Gopopasp. ad Codic. Theodof. tom, vi. p. 55, 58, 333, Ac.

the crimes committed against the laws by the CENT. ordinary judges appointed for that purpose; giv- PART IL ing over all causes purely ecclesiastical to the cognizance of bishops and councils. But this famous division of the administration of the church was never explained with perspicuity, nor determined with a fufficient degree of accuracy and precition; fo that both in this and the following centuries. we find many transactions that seem absolutely inconfident with it. We find the emperors, for example, frequently determining matters purely ecclefiaftical, and that belonged to the internal jurifdiction of the church: and, on the other' hand, nothing is more frequent than the decilions of bithops and councils concerning things that relate merely to the external form and government of the church.

V. In the episcopal order, the bishop of Rome Therack was the first in rank, and was difting sished by a and dignity of the lathou fort of pre-eminence over all other prelates. Pre- of Rome. judices, arifing from a great variety of causes, contributed to establish this superiority; but it was chiefly owing to certain circumstances of grandeur and opulence, by which mortals, for the most part, form their ideas of pre-eminence and dignity, and which they generally confound with the reasons of a just and legal authority. The bishop of Rome surpassed all his brethren in the magnificence and splendor of the church over which he prefided; in the riches of his revenues and possessions; in the number and variety of his ministers; in his credit with the people; and in his fumptuous and splendid manner of living (4). These dazzling marks of human power, these arrybiguous proofs of true greatness and felicity, had

^[4] AMMIANUS MARCELLINUS gives a striking description of the luxury in which the bishops of Rome lived, Hift, lib. жичіі. сар. іні. р. 337.

C B N T. fuch a gnighty influence upon the minds of the PART II. multitude, that the fee of Rome became, in this century, a most seducing object of sacerdotal ambition. Hence it happened, that when a new pontif was to be elected by the suffrages of the presbyters and the people, the city of Rome was generally agitated with diffentions, tumults, and cabals, whose consequences were often deplorable The intrigues and difturbances that and fatal. prevailed in that city in the year 366, when, upon the death of Liberius, another pontif was to be chosen in his place, are a sufficient proof of what we have now advanced. Upon this occafion, one faction elected Damasus to that high dignity, while the opposite party chose Unsiernus, a deacon of the vacant church, to succeed LIBERIUS. This double election gave rife to a dangerous schissin, and to a fort of civil war within the city of Rome, which was carried on with the utmost barbarity and fury, and produced the most cruel massacres and desolations. This inhuman contest ended in the victory of DAMASUS; but whether his cause was more just than that of Unsternus, is a question not so easy to determine [7]. Neither of the two, indeed, feem to have been possessed of such principles as constitute a good Christian, much less of that exemplary virtue that should distinguish a Christian bishop.

The lim'ts of him authorsty.

VI. Notwithstanding the pomp and splendor that furrounded the Roman see, it is, however, certain, that the bishops of that city had not acquired, in this century, that pre-eminence of power and jurisdiction in the church which they afterwards enjoyed. In the ecclefialtical commonwealth, they were, indeed, the most eminent

^[/] Among the other writers of the papal history, see Bowen's History of the Popes, vol. i. p. 180, 181, 182. order

order of citizens; but still they were citizens as OBNT. well as their brethren, and subject, like them, to PARTIE the edicts and laws of the emperors. All religious causes of extraordinary importance were examined and determined, either by judges appointed by the emperors, or in councils affembled for that purpole, while those of inferior moment were decided, in each district, by its respective bishop. The ecclefiaftical laws were enacted either by the emperor or by councils. None of the bishops acknowledged, that they derived their authority from the permission and appointment of the bishop of Rome, or that they were created bishops by the favour of the apostolic see. On the contrary, they all maintained, that they were the ambassadors and ministers of Jesus Christ, and that their authority was derived from above [m]. It must, however, be observed, that, even in this century, several of those steps were laid, by which the bishops of Rome mounted afterwards to the summit of ecclefialtical power and despotism. These fleps were partly laid by the imprudence of the emperors, partly by the dexterity of the Roman prelates themselves, and partly by the inconfillerate zeal and precipitate judgment of certain bishops [n]. The fourth canon of the council,

[m] Those who desire an ampler account of this matter, may consult Petra. De Marca, De concordia Sacerdossi et imperio. Do Pin, De aptiqua ecolosia atjesplina; and the very learned and judicious work of Bronder, De la Primanté ilant l'Eglise.

^{1) [}n] The imprudence of the emperor, and the precipitation of the bishops, were singularly discovered in the following event, which favoured extremely the rise and the ambition of the Roman pontist: About the year 172, VALERTI-11AN enacted a law, impowering the bishop of Rome to examine and judge other bishops, that religious disputes might not be decided by profane or secular judges. The bishops assembled in council at Rome in 378, not considering the strat consequences that must arise, from this imprudent law, Vol. 1.

C E N T. held at Fardis in the year 347, is confidered, by PART II. the volumes of the Roman pontif, as the principal step to his sovereignty in the church; but, in my opinion, it ought by no means to be looked upon in this point of view. For, not to infift upon the reasons that prove the authority of this council to be extremely dubious, nor upon those which have induced some to regard its laws as grossly corrupted, and others, to consider them as entirely fictitious and spurious [0], it will be fufficient to observe the impossibility of proving by the canon in question, that the bishops of Sardis were of opinion, that, in all cases, an appeal might be made to the bishop of Rome, in quality of supreme judge [p]. But supposing, for a moment, that this was their opinion, what would follow? Surely, that pretext for affurning a fupreme authority must be very flender, which arifes only from the decree of one obscure council.

> both to themselves and to the church, declared their approbation of it in the ftrongest terms, and recommended the execution of it in an address to the emperor GRATIAN. - Some think, indeed, that this law improvered the Roman bishop to judge only the bishops within the limits of his jurisdiction, z. e. those of the suburbicarian provinces. Others are of opinion, that this power was given only for a time, and extended to those bishops alone, who were concerned in the prefent schism. This last notion seems probable : but still the privilege was an excellent instrument in the hands of sacerdotal ambition.

> [0] See Mich. Gendes, Deff. de canonibus Sardicenfibut, which is to be found in his Mefcellaneous Fract, tom. 15-

[p] The fourth canon of the council of Sardis, suppoling it gennine and authentic, related only to the particular case of a bishop's being deposed by the neighbouring prelates, and demanding a permission to make his defence. In that case, this canon prohibited the election of a fuccessor to the deposed hillop, before that the hillop of Rom had examined the cause, and propounced fentence thereupon.

VII. CONSTANTINE the GREAT, by Iremoving C E N T. the feat of the empire to Byzantium, and building PART II. the sity of Constantinople, raised up, in the bishop of this new metropolis, a formidable rival to the ritrot the Roman pontif, and a bulwark which menaced a bishop of vigorous opposition to his growing authority. For, tonftentias the emperor, in order to render Constantinople increased a fecond Rome, enriched it with all the rights and privileges, honours, and ornaments, of the ancient capital of the world; to its bishop, meafuring his own dignity and rank by the magniticence of the new city, and its eminence, as the august residence of the emperor, assumed an equal degree of dignity with the bishop of Rome, and claimed a superiority over all the rest of the episcopal order. Nor did the emperors disapprove of thefe high pretentions, fince they confidered their own dignity as connected, in a certain measure, with that of the bishop of their imperial city. Accordingly, in a council held at Constantinople, in the year 381, by the authority of Throdosius the GREAT, the bishop of that city was, during the absence of the bishop of Alexandria, and against the consent of the Roman prelate, placed, by the third canon of that council, in the first rank after the bishop of Rome, and, consequently, above those of Alexandria and Antioch. Nectarius was the first bishop who enjoyed these new honours accumulated upon the fee of Conflantinople. His Inccessor, the celebrated John Chrysostom, extended still further the privileges of that fee, and submitted to its jurisdiction all Thrace, Asia, and Pontus [q]; nor were the succeeding bushops of

[[]q] See Petu. De Marca, Deff. de Constantinop. Patriorchatus inflitutione, which is subjoined to his book, De concordia daardoiii et Impersi. Mach. Lequaen, Oriens Christianus, tom. i. p. 15. See also An account of the government of the Christian church for the first fix bandred years, by Dr. Parrel. billiop of Oxford, p. 245

CTNT that imperial city destitute of a servent zeal to privileges, and to extend their dominion.

This sudden revolution in the ecclesiastical government, and this unexpected promotion of the bishop of Byzantium to a higher rank, to the detriment of other prelates of the first eminence in the church, were productive of the most disagreeable effects. For this promotion not only filled the bishops of Alexandria with the bitterest aversion to those Constantinople, but also excited those deplorable contentions and disputes between these latter and the Roman pontifs, which were carried on, for many ages, with such various success, and concluded, at length, in the entire separation of the Latin and Greek churches.

The vices of the clergys

VIII. The additions made by the emperors and others to the wealth, honours and advantages of the elergy, were followed with a proportionable augmentation of vices and luxury, particularly among those of that facred order, who lived in great and opulent cities; and that many fuch additions were made to that order after the time of CONSTANTINE, is a matter that 2 lmits of no difpute. The bifbons, on the one hand, contended with each other, in the most scandalous manner, concerning the extent of their respective jurisdictions, while, on the other, they trampled upon the rights of the people, violated the privileges of the inferior ministers, and imitated, in their conduct and in their manner of living, the arrogance, voluptuousnels, and luxury, of magistrates and princes [+]. This pernicious example was foon

[r] See Surrit, Seven. Hift. Sacr. lib. i cap. xviii. p. 74lib. ii. cap. xxxii. p. 248, cap. h. p. 292. Dialog. i. cap. xxi. p. 426. Add to this the recount given by Clarkan, in his Difference upon Laturgies, p. 228. of the corrupt and profigate manners of the clergy, and, particularly, of the unbounded ambition of the billiops, to enlarge the sphere of their influence and authority.

followed

followed by the several ecclesiastical orders. The c E V T. presbyters, in many places, assumed an equality PART II. with the bishops in point of rank and authority, We find also many complaints made, at this time, of the vanity and effeminacy of the deacons. 'Those more particularly of the preflyters and deacons, who filled the first stations of these orders, carned their pretentions to an extravagant length, and were offended at the notion of being placed upon an equal footing with their colleagues. For this reason, they not only assumed the titles of Archpresbyters and Archdeacons, but also claimed a degree of authority and power much superior to that which was vefted in the other members of their respective orders.

IX. Several writers of great reputation lived in The famous this century, and were shining ornaments to the un. countries to which they belonged. Among those that flourished in Greece and in the eastern provinces, the following feem to deferve the first

rank :

Eusphius Pampillus, bishop of Cafarea in Paleftine, a man of immente reading, justly famous for his profound knowledge of ecclefialtical history, and fingularly versed in other branches of literature, more especially in all the different parts of facred erudition. These eminent talents and acquisitions were, however, accompanied with errors and defects, and he is faid to have inclined towards the fentiments of those, who look upon the three persons in the godhead as different from each other in rank and dignity. Some have represented this learned prelate as a thorough Arian, but without foundation; if by an Arian be meanly one who embraces the doctrines taught by Artus. prefbyter of Alexandria [5].

PETER

[1] No writer has accurate Eusebrus of Arianism, with more bitterness and erudition, than LE CLERC, in the second

Past II.

Peter of Alexandria, who is mentioned by Eu.

seerus with the highest encomiums [1].

ATHANASIUS, patriarch of Alexandria, celebrated on account of his learned and pious labours, and particularly famous for his warm and vigorous opposition to the Arians [u].

BASIL, surnamed the GREAT, bishop of Cafarea, who, in point of genius, controversial skill, and a rich and flowing eloquence, was furpassed by very

few in this century [w].

CYRIL, bishop of jerusalem, who has left some gatechetical discourses, which he delivered in that city: he has been accused by many of intimate connexions with the Semi-Arians [x].

John, furnamed Chrysostom, on account of his extraordinary eloquence, a man of a noble genius, governed successively the churches of Antiach and Conflantinople [y], and left behind him feveral

of his Epiffolæ Ecclef, et Criticæ, which are subjoined to his Ass Critica and NATALIS ALEXANDER, Hift. Ecclef. Nov. T. Sec. iv. Diff. xvii. p. 205. All, however, that these writers prove is, that Eusebius maintained, that there was a certain disparity and subordination between the persons of the godhead. And suppose this to have been his opinion, it will not follow from thence that he was an Arian, unless that word be taken in a very extensive and improper tense Nothing is more common than the ubusive application of this term to persons, who have held opinions quite opposite to those of Arrus, though perhaps they may have cried in other respects.

[r] Hift. Eccles. lib. ix. cap. vi.

[4] Euseblus Renaudotus, in his Hiftery of the Patriarely of Alexandria, p. 83. has collected all the accounts which the Oriental writers give of ATHAHASIUS, of whole works the learned and juffly celebrated Benedictine, BERNARD MONTEAUCON, has given a splendid edition in three volumes jut folio,

[w] The works of Basic were published, at Paris, in three volumes folio, by Julien Garnian, a learned Benedictine.

[x] The later editions of the works of this prelate, are, those ablified by Mr. Milles and by Augustus Touttes, a Benedictine mank.

[y] It must not be understood by this, that CHRYSOSTOM was billiop of both these churches; he was preacher at Aujora (a luncfeveral monuments of his profound and extensive CRN.T. erudition; as also discourses [2] which he had PART IL preached with vast applause, and which are yet extant.

Epiphanius, bishop of Salamis, in the isle of Cytrus, who wrote a book against all the heresies that had forung up in the church until his time. This work has little or no reputation, as it is full of inaccuracies and errors, and discovers almost in every page the levity and ignorance of its author [a].

Gregory Nazienzen and Gregory of Nysta, who have obtained a very honourable place among the celebrated theological and polemic writers of this century, and not without foundation, as their. works fufficiently testify [b]. Their reputation, indeed, would have been yet more confirmed, had they been less attached to the writings of Origen [6]. and lefs infected with the faile and vicious eloquence of the fophalts.

EPHRAIM, the Syrian, who has acquired an immortal name by the fanctity of his conversation and manners, and by the multitude of those excellent writings in which he has combated the fecturies, explained the facred writings, and un-

(a function, indeed, which before him was always attached to the epileopal dignity), and afterwards patriarch of Conflanti-

[2] The hell edition of the works of CHRYSOSTOM, is that published by Monteaucon, in eleven volumes folio.

[a] The works of Epipmanisus have been translated into Latin, and published, with notes, by the learned Parav. His lue, written by Gervas, appeared at Paris in 1748, in 410.

[b] There are some good editions of these two writers, which we owe to the care and industry of two-learned Prench editors of the last century. Piz. the Abbot Billy, who published the works of Gregory Nazienzen at Paris, in two vous lumes, folio, in the year 1609, with a Latin translation and . Icarned notes; and Father Prouton Du Duo, who published those of GREGORY of Nyffe in 1605.

(c) The charge of Originifes feems to have been brought by the ancient writers only against GARGORY of Nofe.

folded

E B W T. folded the moral duties and obligations of Christ.

P. . . ians [d]:

Besides the learned men now mentioned, there are several others, of whose writings but a small number have survived the ruins of time; such as Pamphilus, a martyr, and an intimate friend of Fusebius; Diodorus, bishop of Tarsus; Hostus, of Cordova; Didymus, of Alexandria; Eustathius, bishop of Antioch; Amphilochius, bishop of sconium; Palladius, the writer of the Lausiac History [e]; Macarius the elder and the younger; Apollinarius the elder; and some others, who are frequently mentioned on account of their erudition, and the remarkable events in which they were concerned.

The principal Lutto writers X. The Latins also were not without writers of considerable note, the principal of whom we shall

point out here:

HELARY, bishop of Poilitiers, acquired a name by XII backs concerning the Trinity, which he wrote against the Arians, and several other productions. He was a man of penétration and genius; notwithstanding which, he has, for the most part, rather copied in his writings TERTULLIAN and ORIGEN, than given us the fruits of his own study and invention [f].

[d] There is a large and accurate account of this excellent writer, in the Biblioth. Oriental. Vaticana of Joseph Simon Asseman, tom-i. p. 24. Several works of Ephhaim have been published, in Greek, at Oxford, of which Grane Vosspon has given a Latin edition. An edition in Syriac, of the same works, was published at Rosse, not long ago, by Strem. Ewod. Asseman.

to [2] This is the history of the folitaries, or bernits, which derived the name of Laufus history from Lausus, governor of Lassadein, at whose request it was composed, and to whom

& was dedicated by PALLADIUS.

If There is a very accurate and ample account of His. a. a. v., in the Histoire Litteraire de la France, tom. i. Siecho iv. p. 150—154. The best edition we have of his works is that published by the French Benedictions.

LAC-

LACTANTIUS [g], the most eloquent of the CENT. Italia writers in this century, exposed the absurdary of the Pagan superstitions in his Divine Inflitutions, which are written with uncommon purity and elegance. He wrote also upon other subjects; but was much more successful in refuting the errors of others, than careful in observing and correcting his own [b].

AMBROSE, prefect, and afterwards bishop of Milan, was not destitute of a certain degree of elegance both of genius and style; his fentiments of things were, by no means, absurd; but he did not escape the prevailing detect of that age, a want

of folidity, accuracy, and order [i].

JIROME, a monk of Palefline, rendered, by his learned and zealous labours, such eminent fervices to the Christian cause, as will hand down his name with honour to the latest posterity. But this superior and illustrious merit was accompanied, and, in some measure, obscured, by very great defects. His complexion was excessively warm and choleric; his bitterness against those who differed from him, extremely keen; and his thirst of glory insatiable. He was so prone to censure, that several persons, whose lives were not only irreproachable, but even exemplary, be-

[g] See a complete Ecount of LACTARTIUS, Histoire Lit-

scraire de la France, tom. i. Siecle iv. p. 65.

[i] The works of St. AMBROSE have been published, by the

Benedictines, in two volumes in folio.

^{[6] [}h] Lackantius considers Christ's mission as having no oth rend, than that of leading mankind to virtue by the most subline precepts, and the most perfect example. The charge of Manicheism brought against this eminent writer, is resulted in the most evident and satisfactory manner by Dr. Lardner, in the seventh volume of his Credibility of the Golpel History, where the reader may find an ample and int resting account of his character and his writings. Among those who have been editors of the works of Lactartius, the most reputed are Bundmann, Heumann, Walchus, and Larguet De Freshor.]

C.B.M.T. came the phiects of his unjust accusations. All this. PART II. joined to his superstitious turn of mind, and the enthusiastic encomiums which he lavished upon a false and degenerate fort of piety which prevailed in his time, funk his reputation greatly, and that even in the esteem of the candid and the wife. writings are voluminous, but not all equally adapted to instruct and edify. His interpretations of the holy fcriptures, and his epiffies, are those of his productions which feem the most proper to be

read with profit [k].

THE fame of Augustin, bishop of Hippo, in Africa, filled the whole Christian world; and not without reason, as a variety of great and shining qualities were united in the character of that illustrious man. A sublime genius, an uninterrupted and zealous purfort of truth, an indefatigable application, an invincible patience, a fincere piety, and a tubule and lively wit, confpired to establish his same upon the most lasting foundations. It is however certain, that the accuracy and folidity of his judgment were, by no means, proportionable to the eminent talents now mentioned; and that, upon many occasions, he was more guided by the violent impulse of a warm imagination, than by the cool dictates of reason and prudence. Hence that ambiguity which appears in his writings, and which has fornetimes rendered the most attentive readers uncertain with respect to his real sentiments; and hence also the just complaints which many have made of the contradictions that are so frequent in his works, and of the levity and precipitation with which he fet

himfelf

^[4] The defects of Jarome are exposed by Le Clerc, in his Lassiers Hieron mane, published at Amberdam, in 12mo, in the year 1700. The Benedictine monks have given an edition of the works of this father in ave volumes, which was republished, & Verses, by VALLARSIUS, with considerable addison.

himself to write upon a variety of subjects, before he had examined them with a fufficient degree of PART II. attention and diligence [1].

OPTATUS, bishop of Milevi, in Numidia, acquired no fmall degree of reputation; by a work which he wrote in fix books against the Schifts of the Dovotifts [m].

PAULINUS, bishop of Nola, left behind him some pochis and epiftles, which are fill extant; but are not remarkable either for their excellence or their meanness [n].

RUFINUS, prefbyter of Aquileia, is famous on account of his Latin translations of ORIGEN and other Greek writers, his commentaries on feveral paffages of the holy feriptures, and his bitter contest with JEROME. He would have obtained a very honourable place among the Latin writers of this century, had it not been his misfortune to have had the powerful and foul-mouthed Jerome for his adverfary [6].

[1] An accurate and splendid edition of the works of St. At austra has been given by the Benedictines, fince that of the divines of Louzan. This elegant edition bears the title of Survey, where it was published, with fome augmentations, by In CLERC, under the fictitious name of Jo. PHERFPORUS. The Jefuits, however, pretend to have found many defects in this edition.

[m] Since the edition of OPTATUS, published by ALBA-SPINEUS, another has appeared, which we owe to the case and industry of Du Pan, doctor of the Sorbonne.

[n] The best edition of PAULIEUS is that which was pub-

lished at Paris, in the year 1685, by La Baun.

[0] RUPINUS and JEROME had lived for many years. in the most intimate and tender friendship, which ended in a violent rupture, on occasion of a translation which the former made of some of the works of Ontone, particularly his Book of principles. For an account of Rupinus, fee Rich. Simon, Critique de la Bubliotheque des Auteurs Eccles. par M. Du Pin, tom, i. p. 124, &c. An ample account of the same writer is given by Justus Fontableus, Hyl. Literar. Aquilitente, jib. v. p. 149.

CENT. IV. Part II.

As to Philastrius, Damasus, Juvencus, and other writers of that obscure class, we refer the reader, for an account of them, to those authors whose principal design is to give an exact enumeration of the Christian writers. We shall add, nevertheless, to the list already given, Sulpitius Severus, by birth a Gaul, and the most eminent historical writer of this century [p]; as also Prudentius, a Spaniard, a poet of a happy and elegant genius.

CHAP. III.

Concerning the dostrine of the Christian church in this century.

The fire of selegion.

I. THE fundamental principles of the Christian doctrine were preserved hitherto uncorrupted and entire in most churches, though it must be consessed, that they were often explained and defended in a manner that discovered the greatest ignorance, and an utter consustion of ideas. The disputes carried on in the council of Nice, concerning the three persons in the Godhead, afford a remarkable example of this, particularly in the language and explanations of those who approved of the decisions of that council. So little light, precision, and order, reigned in their discourses, that they appeared to substitute three Gods in the place of one.

. Nor did the evil end here; for those vain fictions, which an attachment to the Platonic philosophy, and to popular opinions, had engaged the

^[9] See Histoire Litteraire de la France, tom. ii. p. 95; as also Histoire. a Pa a To, who has written, with great accuracy, the life of this historian.

Prestell

greatest part of the Christian doctors to adopt, be- c # # T. fore the time of Constantine, were now con- PART IL. firmed, enlarged, and embellished, in various ways. From hence arose that extravagant veneration for departed faints, and those absurd notions of a certain fire destined to purify separate souls, that now prevailed, and of which the public marks were every where to be feen. Hence also the celibacy of priefts; the worship of images and relics, which, in process of time, almost utterly destroyed the Christian religion, or, at least, eclipsed its luttre, and corrupted its very effence in the most deplorable manner.

II. An enormous train of different superstitions The inwere gradually substituted in the place of true state of religion and genuine piety. This odious revolution was owing to a variety of causes. A ridiculous precipitation in receiving new opinions, a prepolterous defire of imitating the Pagan rites, and of blending them with the Christian worship, and that idle propensity which the generality of mankind have towards a graudy and oftentatious religion, all contributed to establish the reign of superstition upon the ruins of Christianity. cordingly, frequent pilgrimages were undertaken, to Palestine, and to the tombs of the martyrs, as if there alone the facred principles of virtue, and the certain hope of falvation, were to be acquired [q]. The reins being once let loofe to superstition, which knows no bounds, about notions and idle ceremonies multiplied every day. Quantities of dust and earth brought from Pale-

[4] See Gardon. Nysseul, Orat. pd cot om Hierofolymate adenat, tom. iu. opp. p. 568. Hernowymus, Speft. xui. ad Paulinum de instituto Monachi, tom. i. p. 66. Jac. Godosellnott. Differtat de caufis peregrinat. Hiorofelymit quam Itinerario Burdigaleufe pramifit, vittet vetera Romania Itineraria, p. 517.

CENT. fline, and other places remarkable for their fup-PART II. posed functity, were handed about as the most powerful remedies against the violence of wicked spirits, and were sold and bought every where at enormous prices [r]. The public processions and supplications, by which the Pagans endeavoured to appeale their gods, were now adopted into the Christian worship, and celebrated with great pomp and magnificence in feveral places. The virtues that had formerly been ascribed to the Heathen temples, to their luftrations, to the statues of their gods and heroes, were now attributed to Christian churches, to water confectated by certain forms of prayer, and to the images of holy men. And the same privileges, that the former enjoyed under the darkness of Paganism, were conferred upon the latter under the light of the gospel, or, rather, under that cloud of superstition that was obscuring its glory. It is true, that, as yet, images were not very common; nor were there any statues at all. But it is, at the fame time, as undoubtedly certain, as it is extravagant and monstrous, that the worship of the martyrs was modelled, by degrees, according to the religious fervices that were paid to the gods before the coming of Christ[3].

From these sacts, which are but small specimens of the state of Christianity at this time, the discerning reader will easily perceive what detriment the church received from the peace and prosperity procured by Constantine, and from the imprudent methods employed to allure the different nations to embrace the gospel. The brevity we have proposed to observe in this history, prevents our entering into an ample detail of the difmal

[[]r] Augustinus, De civitan Dei, fib. xxii. cap. vini. ₹ 6.

[[] s] For a full account of this matter, fee Brausonne, H.f. de Mancbeyn, tom. j. p. 642.

effects which arose from the progress and the CENT. baneful influence of superstition, not become PART II. univerial.

III. This, indeed, among other unhappy effects, Hence pions opened a wide door to the endless frauds of those fraues. odious impoltors, who were to far deflicate of all principle, as to enrich themselves by the ignorance and errors of the people. Rumours were artfully foread abroad of prodigies and miracles to be seen in certain places (a track often practifed by the Heathen priefts), and the defign of these reports was to draw the populace, in multitudes, to these places, and to impose upon their credulity. These stratagems were generally successful; for the ignorance and flowners of apprehention of the people, to whom every thing that is new and fingular appears miraculous, rendered them eafily the dupes of this abominable artifice [1]. Norwas this all: certain tombs were fallely given out for the fepulchres of faints [n] and confessors; the lift of the faints was augmented with fictitious names, and even robbers were converted into martyrs [w]. Some buried the bones of dead men in certain retired places, and then affirmed, that they were divinely admonished, by a dream, that the body of some friend of God lay there [*]. Many, especially of the monks, travelled through the different provinces; and not only fold, with the most frontless impudence, their fictitious relics, but also deceived the eyes of the multitude with ludicrous combats with evil spirits or

[u] Concil. Carthag. v. Canon xiv. tom. i. Cancillorum, p. 988, edit. Harduini.

^[1] HERRY DODWELL, Differt. ii. in Irenaum, § 56. P. 196. LE CLERC, in his Appendix Augustinian, p. 492.

[[]w] Sulpitius Severus, De vita S. Martin, cap. viii. [я] Апсиятін. бегмен сесхуйі, § 1. tom. v. орр. р. 886. edit. Antwerp.

CENT. genii [y]. A whole volume would be requifice PART IL to contain an enumeration of the various frauds which artful knaves practifed, with fuccefs, to delude the ignorant, when true religion was almost entirely superfeded by horrid superstition.

Verkens of the toly feriptures.

IV Many of the learned in this century, undertook translations of the holy scriptures, but few fucceeded in this arduous enterprize. the many Latin versions of the facred books, that of Jerome was diffinguished by its undoubted superiority [2]. The same ingenious and indefatigable writer, whose skill in the languages was by no means inconfiderable, employed much pains upon the Greek version of the seventy interpreters, in order to give a more correct edition of it than had appeared before his time: and it is faid, that Eusebius, Athanasius, and Eutharius, had embarked in an undertaking of the fame nature [a]. The number of interpreters was very confiderable, among whom JEROME, HILARY, Eusebius, Diodorus of Tarius, Rufi-NUS, EPHRAIM the Sycian, Theodore of Heraclea, CHRYSOSTOM, ATHANASIUS, and DIDYMUS, are generally effeemed worthy of the first rank. It is however certain, that, even of these first-rate commentators, few have discovered a just discernment, or a found judgment, in their laborious expositions of the facred writings. Rusinus, THEODORE of Heraclea, and DioDore of Tarjus, with fome others, have, indeed, followed the natural fignification of the words $\{b\}$; the rest, after

[a] See Jo. TRANG. BUDDEL Vagoge ad Theologiam, tom. ü. p. 1532.

[[]y] See Godoffed. ad cod. Thead. tom. iii. p. 172. Au-Oustin. De opere Monachor. cap. xxviii. § 36. p. 364, tom. vi. opp. Hierony m. Epift. ad Rufticum, tom. i. opp. p. 4c.

[[]a] FRICKISS, De Camme N. T. p. 18.

[[]v] Si wien, Critique de la Bibliothoque des Auteurs Ecclefieft. par Du Pix, com. i. p. 5t. 90. 129. tom. iv. p. 335; a

the example of ORIGEN, are laborious in the CENT. fearch of far-fetched interpretations, and pervert PART II. the expressions of scripture, which they but half understand, by applying them, or rather straining them, to matters with which they have no connexion [c]. St. Augustin and Tychonius endeavoured to establish plain and wife rules for the interpretation of scripture, but their efforts

were unfuccefsful [4].

V. The doctrines of Christianity had not a bet- The method ter fate than the facred feriptines from whence ing the duethey are drawn. Ortoen was the great model time of whom the most eminent of the Christian doctors is, sallowed followed in their explications of the truths of the at the time. gospel, which were, of consequence, explained, according to the rules of the Platonic philosophy, as it was corrected and modified by that learned father for the instruction of the youth. Those who defire a more ample and accurate account of this matter, may confult GREGORY NAZIANZEN Platentes. among the Greeks, and Augustin among the Latins, who were followed, for a long time, as the only patterns worthy of imitation, and who, next to Origen, may be confidered as the parents and supporters of the philosophical or scholastic theology. They were both zealous Platonics, and holding, for certain, all the tenets of that philosopher that were not totally repugnant to the troths of Christianity, they laid them down as fundamental principles, and drew from them a great variety of subtle conclusions, which neither CHRIST nor PLATO ever thought of.

allo Hift. Critique des principaux Commentateurs du N. T. cap. vi. p. 88, &c.

[1] See GREGOR, NAZIANZ, Garmon de Sciffe, in Tollius's

Infignia Itmaris Italici, p. 27-57-

[4] This may be free in the vi books which Augustin wrote concerning the Christian dallrine, and in the right of inexpression laid down by Tronosius, which are to be found 18 the Biblioth, Putr. Maximptom vi. p. 48.

This. Vol. I.

CENT.
IV.
PART II.
Myffice.

This, however, was not the only feet that flourished at this time. That order of fanatics, who maintained, that the knowledge of divine things was to be acquired, not by reasoning, but by still contemplation, and by turning the eye of the mind upon itself in an entire absence from all external and sensible objects, became now more numerous, and increased every day. This appears from many circumstances, particularly from the swarms of monks that almost overspread the Christian world; and also from the books of Dionysius, the pretended chief of the Mystics, which seem to have been sorged, in this century, under that venerable name, by some member of that sanatical tribe.

The didactic writers.

VI. Among the writers of this century, who published expositions of the Christian doctrine, the first place is due to Cyril of Jerusalem, justly celebrated for his catechetical discourses, which nothing but a partial blindness to the truth could have induced any to attribute to a more modern author [e]. Some have ranked LAC-TANTIUS in the class of writers now under confideration, but without reason; since it is well known, that the labours of that eloquent author were rather employed in refuting the errors of idolatry, than in explaining the truths of the gospel. The system of dostrine addressed to the elergy and laity, and which, by many, has been attributed to ATHANASIUS, feems to be of a much later date. There are, however, many things in the works of Chrysostom, Athanasius, the GREGORIES, and others, by which we may be enabled to form a just idea of the manner in which the principal points of the Christian doctrine were explained by learned own in this cen-

[[]e] Semjo. Fechtti Comment, de origine mifarum in bonorem functorum, p. 404.

tury. We may more particularly be wifted in CENT. this matter, by the xir books of HILARY, con- PART II. cerning the Trinity; the Ancoratus of EPIPHA-NIUS, in which the doctrine of scripture, concerning Christ and the Holy Ghoft, is explained at large; the treatife of Pacian;" concerning baptifm, addressed to the catechumons; and the two books of Chrysostom upon the fame subject. We need not mention here the various works of JEROME and Augustin, in which appear the laborious and noble efforts of these great men to infpire into the minds of the people just notions of religion, and to detect and refute the errors of those who were enemies of the truth.

VII. The controverfial writings, that were The Bare of levelled against those who were considered as Polemie dia heretics, were entirely deslitute of that ancient fimplicity, which is the natural and the beautiful garb of truth. That simplicity was now forceeded by logical fubrilties, acute tophisms, sharp invectives, and other disingenuous arts, more worthy of the patrons of error, than of the defenders of the wisdom that is from above. We find, accordingly, many great and eminent men complaining of this abuse, and endeavouring, in vain, to oppose the muddy torrent of scurrility and dialectic that was overflowing the Christian schools [/]. I pass in silence those thetorical figures and ornaments, by which many evaded the arguments of their adverfaries, and artfully perplexed the true state of the case; that odious custom, also, of exciting the popular refentment against those who differed from them, that was observed by some, and that total want

[f] METHODIUS apud EPIPHANIUM Haref. lxiv. tom. i. opp. p. 563. GREGOR. NAZIAN. in many places, and others.

CENT. of order and perspicuity that was chargeable upon PART II almost all. Several writers of this age are so far from difowning these indecent qualities, that they feem, on the contrary, to glory in them. It must, indeed, be observed, that the adversaries of the truth used the same inglorious arms, though this does not in the least diminish the reproach that is on this account due to its friends.

Difingenu-Our methods of driputing

VIII. New methods of disputing were also added to those that were practised in sormer times: for the truth of doctrines was now proved by the number of marryrs that had professed them, by miracles, by the confession of demons, i. e. of persons possessed with evil spirits. The smallest degree of difcernment will perfuade any one how ambiguous this method of reasoning was; how dangerous to the truth, by furnishing innumerable occasions for the exercise of fraud and imposture. And, I fear, that the greatest part of those who used such arguments, however illustrious and respectable they may have been, will be found, upon examination, chargeable with the dangerous and criminal design of imposing upon their brethren. AMBROSE, in his disputes with the Arians, produced men possessed with devils, who, upon the approach of the relicks of Gervasius and PROTASIUS, were obliged to acknowledge, with loud cries, that the doctrine of the council of Nice, concerning the three persons of the godhead, was true; and that of the Arians not only false, but also of most dangerous consequence. This testimony of the prince of darkness was regarded, by AMBROSE, as an unexceptionable argument in favour of his hypothesis. Arians, on the other hand, held this prodigy in the utmost derision, and maintained that AM-BROSE had suborned these infernal witnesses by a weighty

weighty bribe [r]; and I make no doubt, but CENT. many will be more disposed to believe the Arians, PART II. than to credit Ambrose, though he be involled. in the order of the faints, and they stigmatized in the lift of heretics [b].

IX. There were, in this century, feveral con- The chief troverfialists of considerable note. For besides faller, Apollinaris, Gregory Nazianzen, Cyril of Alexandria, and others, who distinguished themfelves in the lifts against the emperor Julian; many others disputed with victorious force and an happy fuccess against the worshippers of the gods. Of this number were, LACTANTIUS, ATHANASIUS, JULIUS FIRMICUS MATERNUS, Apolitinaris the younger, whose excellent writings against Porphyry are unhappily lost; Au-GUSTEN, in those books of the City of God, and in the 111 books against the Pagans, which have also perished; and above all, Eusenfus of Cafarea, in his Evangelical Preparation, and his book against Hierocles. Eusebius Emesenus, Dio-DORE of Tarjus, and St. Chrysostom, whose treatife on that subject is still extant, employed their learned labours, to bring over the lews to the profession of Christianity. EPHRAIM OF Syria [i], JAMES of Nifibis, DIDYMUS and AUDEN-Tius, attacked the whole body of heretics; as did also Epiphanius, in his voluminous work concerning berefies, intitled, Panarium, and GRE-

[[]g] Ambros. Epift. xxii. p. 878, &c. Paulinus, vita Ambrofit, p. 81.

[[]b] See Le Clerc, Appendix Augustiniana, p. 375. Gre-COR. NYSS. with Gregorii Newer faritafit, tom. ii. opp. p. 977, 978. Sulfitius Sevenus, Hift. Secr. lib. ii. cap. xxxviift p. 261.

[[]i] Sec Jos. Sim. Asseman. Biblioth. Oriental Clement. Parie. tom. i. p. 118. 125. From the extracts, which this learned compiler has given of the works of EPHRAIM, it appears, that he was more distinguished by his piety and genius, than by his skill in the managing of controverly.

CENT. GORY NAZIANZEN with more brevity in his are. PART II. course concerning faith. The books of Augustin and PHILASTRIUS, on the same subject, contain rather a lift than a refutation of the several fects.

Moral wit-Icrs.

X. If the growth and perfection of a science were to be estimated by the multitude of writers it produces, that of morals must have sourished greatly at this time, for the number of those was very confiderable, who applied themselves to that excellent study. Among the eastern writers, JAMES, bishop of Nifibis [k], and EPHRAIM, bishop of Syria, became eminent for their zeal and affiduity in inculcating the precepts of mo-The writings of Basil the GREAT, rality. GREGORY of Nyssa, Chrysostom, Ambrose, Augustin, and several others, upon moral subjects, are neither worthy of high encomiums, nor of entire contempt, as they contain a strange mixture of excellent reflexions, and infipid details, concerning the duties of the Christian life. Among the productions of these writers, many give the preference to the 111 books of AM-BROSE, concerning the duty of the ministers of the church, which are written in the manner of Cicero, and are justly commended for the pious intention they discover, and the beautiful fentiments they contain, though there be many things in them worthy of reprehension. But MACA-Rtus, an Egyptian monk [1], undoubtedly deferves the first rank among the practical writers of this time, as his works displayed, some sew

[[]A] Jos. Sim. Assemann. in the work quoted in the precoding note, tom. i. p. 17. thinks, that the writings attributed to the bishop of Nyibis, belong rather to the bishop of Saruga; he however corrects, in some measure, this notion in his Addenda, p. 558.

things excepted [m], the brightest and most CENT.

lovely portraiture of fanctity and virtue.

XI. It must, however, be observed, that almost all the writers of this class are deflective in The extent several respects. They have been entirely neg-moral witligent of order in their compositions, and have wetaken no fort of care to treat with method and precision the subjects they undertook to explain. They feldom define their terms, and pour out their pious, but incoherent, ideas in fortuitous combinations, just as they come uppermost. They, moreover, neglect deducing the duties of mankind from their true principles, and even fometimes derive them from docurnes and precepts that are either manifeltly falle, or, at leaft, whole nature and meaning are not determined with any degree of accuracy. And hence it is, that the greatest part of them are extremely desective, when they come to demonstrate the obligations of virtue, and the incongruity and unfitness of vice. These pretended demonstrations, instead of being deduced by proper conclusions from the reason of things and the divine laws, are nothing more than a collection of airy fancies, cold and infipid allegories, quaint and fubtile conceits, which are more proper to afford amusement to the imagination, than light to the understanding, or conviction to the judgment.

XII. But, however defective this method of The number of the inculcating the duties of morality may have been, myther init was much more tolerable than that which was created, and followed by the amphibious disciples of CHRIST THE Propagator and PLATO, those Alexandrian philosophers, of Bateda whom Ammonius Sacca was the chief.

[m] The things here excepted by Dr. Mosheim, are fome superstitious coners that are to be found in the writings of MACARIUS, and also certain opinions that seem tainted with Origenifin.

C. E N T. double doctrine of morals which they invented, and PART II. which we compounded of two systems, the one furpaffing the other in perfection, gained much ground, in this century, to the great detriment of true religion. A circumstance every way proper to convince us of the growth and progress of this fanatical feet is, that those who in former times had inculcated a fecret doctrine concerning divine things, totally different from that which was publicly propagated among the multitude, gave now the finishing touch to this doctrine, and formed it into a system. The famous Grecian fanatic, who gave himfelf out for Dionysius the Areopagite, disciple of St. PAUL, and who, under the protection of this venerable name, gave laws and instructions to those that were defirous of raifing their fouls above all human things, in order to unite them to their great fource by sublime contemplation, lived, most probably, in this century, though forme place him before, others after the prefent period [n]. No fooner were the writings and instructions of this fanatic handed about among the Greeks and Syrians, and particularly among the folitaries and monks, than a gloomy cloud of religious darknels began to spread itself over the minds of

[[]n] Those who have written concerning this impostor, are enumerated by Jo. FRANC. BUDDEUS, in his Yagoge ad Theologiam, lib. ii. cap. iv. p. 175. See also Jo. Launii Judieium de seriptis Dionissi, tom. ii. opp. part I. p. 562. LA CROZE (in his Histoire du Christianism d'Ethiope, p. 10.) endeavours to prove that Synesius, an Egyptian bishop, and also the most celebrated philosopher of the fifth century, composed the writings attributed to DIORYSIUS, in order to defend the doctrine of those who held that CHRIST was only foilested of one nature. The arguments, however, of La Caoan are weak. Nor are those more satisfactory, which the learned BARRATIFRE has employed, in a differtation added to his book De successione Rom. Episcop. p. 286. to prove that DIONYSTUE of Alexandria was the true author of the writings in question.

many. An incredible number of profelytes was CENT. added to that chimerical feet, who infantained, PART II. that communion with God was to be fought by mortifying fenfe, by withdrawing the mind from all external objects, by macerating the body with hunger and labour, and by a holy fort of indolence, which confined all the activity of the foul to a lazy contemplation of things spiritual and eternal.

XIII.4 The progress of this sect appears evi- Monkinsodently from the produgious number of folitary tietter monks and fequeffered virgins, which, upon the return of tranquillity to the church, had over-run the whole Christian world with an amazing rapidity. Man of this order of men had, for a long time, been known among the Christians, and had led filent and folitary lives in the defarts of Egypt; but Aniony was the first who formed. them into a regular body, engaged them to live in fociety with each other, and prescribed to them fixed rules for the direction of their conduct [0]. These regulations which Antony had made in Egypt were, the year following, introchiced into Palefline and Syria by his disciple HILARION. Almost about the same time, Aones, or Eugenius, with their companions, Gab-DANAS and Azyzws, inflituted the monaflic order in Mesopotamia, and the adjacent countries [p]; and their example was followed with fuch rapid fuccess, that, in a short time, the whole east was filled with a lazy set of mortals, who, abandoning all human connexions, advantages, pleafures, and concerns, wore out a languishing

[p] See Jos Silvan. Asarman. Biblioth. Oriental. Clement.

Varican, tom. iii. part 11. p. 48.

^[0] For a full account of ANTONY, and the discipline effablished by him, see the Acta Sandorum, tom. ii. Januar. ad d. 17. p. 107.

G B N T. and miserable life, amidst the hardships of want. PARTIL and various kinds of fuffering, in order to arrive at a more close and rapturous communion with God and angels. The Christian church would never have been difgraced by this cruel and unfociable enthufialm, nor would any have been fubjected to those keen torments of mind and body to which it gave rife, had not many Christians been unwarily caught by the specious appearance, and the pompous found, of that maxim of the ancient philosophy, "That, in order to " the attainment of true felicity and communion " with God, it was necessary that the foul should " be separated from the body even here below, " and that the body was to be macerated and " mortified for this purpole."

The progreis of monkery.

XIV. From the east this gloomy institution passed into the west, and first into Italy, and its neighbouring islands, though it is utterly uncertain who transplanted it thither [q]. St. MARTIN, the celebrated bishop of Tours, erected the first monalteries in Gaul, and recommended this religious folitude with fuch power and efficacy, both by his inftructions and his example, that his funeral is faid to have been attended by no lefs than

[9] Most writers, following the opinion of Barontus, maintain, that S. At HANASTUS brought the monaftic infittunen from Egypt into Italy, in the year 340, and was the field who built a monaflery at Rome. See MADILIONIUS. Praf. ad Atla Santtorum Ord. Bened. tom. i. p. q. But the learned LEWIS ANT. MURATORI combats this opinion, and pretends that the first monastery known in Europe, was creeted at Milan, Antiq. Italicar. medii avi, tom. v. p. 364.- Just. FONT ANINUS, in his Hiftoria Litter. Aquilciens. p. 155. affirms, that the first society of monks was formed at Aquileia. None of these writers produce unexceptionable evidence for their opinions. If we may give credit to the BALLERINI (Di)fert. ii. ad Zenouem Veronensem, p. 115.) the first convent of nuns was erected towards the end of this ventury, at Perena, by Zeno, bishop of that city.

two thousand monks [r]. From hence, the mo- $C \in N$ T, nastic discipline extended, gradually, its progress P = T, through the other provinces and countries of T.

It is, however, proper to observe, that there was a great difference in point of authority between the western and oriental monks; the former of whom could never be brought to bear the fevere rules to which the latter voluntarily submitted. And, indeed, the reason of this difference may be partly derived from the nature of the respective climates in which they dwelt. The European countries abound not fo much with delirious fanatics, and with perfons of a morofe and auftere complexion, as those arid regions that lie towards the burning eail; nor are our bodies capable of supporting that rigorous and abstenious method of living, which is familiar and eaty to those who are placed under a glowing firmainent, breathe in a fultry and fcorching atmosphere. It was, therefore, rather the name only than the thin, itself, which was stransported into the European countries [1], though this name was,

[r] See Sulpit. Seven. De vita Mertini, cap. x. p. 17. edit. Veron. where the method of living, used by the Martinian monks, is accurately described. See also Hydoire Litteraire de la Franca, tom. i. part ii. p. 42.

^[1] This difference between the diffilms of the eastern and wettern monks, and the cause of it, have been ingeniously remarked by Sulpatius Severus, Dial. i. De vita Martini, p. 65. edit. Veron. where one of the interlocutors, in the dialogue, having mentioned the abstemious and wretched diet of the Egyptian monks, adds what follows: "Placetne tibi "prandium, fasciculus herbarum et panis dimidius viris "quinque?" To this question the Gaul answers, "Facha" tuo more, qui nullam occasionem omittis, quin nos (1. e. "the Gallic monks) edacitatis fatiges. Sed sacis inhumane, qui nos Gallos homines cogis exemplo Angelorum vivere—"Sed contentus sit hoc prandio Cyrenensis etc. cui vel ne-"cessius vel natura est esurire: nos, quad tibi sur respective sum, Galli sumai." The same speaker, in the above-mentioned

PART II.

CENT. indeed, accompanied with a certain refemblance or distant Imitation of the monastic life instituted by ANTANY and others in the east.

D fferent orders of monks.

Conn'i ca' and Fire-

mites, ny

Hermit.,

XV. The monastic order, of which we have been taking a general view, was distributed into several classes. It was first divided into two diffinct orders, of which the one received the denomination of Conobites, the other that of Eremites. The former lived together in a fixed habitation, and made up one large community under a chief, whom they called father, or abbot, which figuities the fame thing in the Egyptian language. The luter drew out a wretched life in perfect foliande, and were feattered here and there in caves, in defacts, in the hollow of rocks, sheltered from the wild beafts only by the cover of a miferable cominge, in which each one lived fequefcered from the rest of his species.

Anacho-Eltes.

The Anachorites were yet more excessive in the austerity of their manner of living than the Eremites. They frequented the wildest defarts without either tents or vottages; nourished themfelves with the roots and herbs which grew fpontaneously out of the uncultivated ground; wandered about without having any fixed abode, and reposing wherever the approach of night happened to find them; and all this, that they might avoid the view and the fociety of mertals [1].

tioned dialogue, ch. viii. p. 69. 70. reproaches Jenome with having accused the monks of gluttony; and proceeds thus; " Sentio de orientalibus illum potius Monachis, quam " de occidentalibus ditrutatie. Nam educitas in Græcis et " Orientalibus gula eft, IN GALLIS NATURA." It appear., therefore, that, immediately after the introduction of the monastic order into Europe, the western differed greatly from the eaftern monks in their manners and discipline, and were, in confequence of this, accused by the latter of voraciousness

[r] See See Fit. Seven. Dial. i. De vita Martini, cap. x. p. 80. edit, Agron.

The

The last order of monks that come now under cent. confideration were those wandering fanatics, or PART II. rather impostors; whom the Egyptian) called -Sarabaites, who, instead of procuring a substituence by honest industry, travelled through various cities and provinces, and gained a maintenance by fictitious miracles, by felling relicks to the multitude, and other frauds of a like nature.

Many of the Comobites were chargeable with vicious and scandalous practices. This order. however, was not fo univerfally corrupt as that of the Sarabaites, who were, for the most part, profligates of the most abandoned kind. As to the Eremites, they feem to have deferved no other reproach than that of a delirious and extravagant fanaticism [u]. All these different orders were hitherto composed of the laity, and were tubject to the jurifdiction and the inspection ofthe bishops. But many of them were now adopted among the clergy, and that even by the command of the emperors. Nay, the fame of monaltic piety and functity became to universal, that bishops were frequently chosen out of that funatical order [w].

XVI. If the enthuliaftic frenzy of the monks Two most exaggerated, in a manner pernicious to the interests of morality, the discipline that is obliga- aspectia a tory upon Christians, the interests of virtue and this centrue religion suffered yet more grievously by two monftrous errors which were almost universally

[w] See J. Godofked. ed colicem I beolefaund, tons. vi.

part I. p. 76. 106. edit. Ritteriana.

adopted

[[]u] Whoever is defirous of a fuller account of the vices of the monks in this century, may confult the above-mentioned dialogue of Sulip. Seven. cap. viii. p. 6، عند جهر معالمة dialogue of Sulip. Seven. cap. بينة p. 88. where he particularly challifes the arrogance and aiff bition of those of them, who aspired to clerical nonours. See alfo Dial. ii. cap. viii. p. 112. Dial. ii. cap. xv. p. 144, 145: Commun. Spollonii et Zuchei, published by DACHE-RIUS Spieleg, tom. i. lib. iii. cap. iii. p. 35.

CENT. adopted in this century, and became a fource of PARTIL innumerable calamities and mischiefs in the succeeding ages. The first of these maxims was, that it was an all of virtue, to deceive and lye, when by that mean's the interests of the church might be promoted; and the fecond equally horrible, though in another point of view, was, that crrors in religion, when maintained and adhered to after proper admonition, were punishable with civil penalties and corporal tortures. The former of these erroneous maxims was now of a long standing; it had been adopted for some ages past, and had produced an incredible number of ridiculous fables, fictitious prodigies, and pious frauds, to the unspeakable detriment of that glorious cause in which they were employed." And it must be frankly confeffed, that the greatest men, and most eminent faints of this century, were more or less tainted with the injection of this corrupt principle, as will appear evidently to fuch as look with an attentive eye into their writings and their actions. We would willingly 'except from this charge, Ambrose, and Hilary, Augustin, Gregory NAZIANZEN, and JEROML; but truth, which is more respectable than these venerable fathers, obliges us to involve them in the general ac-We may add allo, that it was, probably, the contagion of this pernicious maxim, that engaged Sulpities Severus, who is far from being, in the general, a puerile or credulous historian, to attribute so many miracles to St. The other maxim, relating to the MARTIN. justice and expediency of punishing error, was incroduced with those serene and peaceful times which the accession of Constantine to the imperial throne procured to the church. from that period approved by many, enforced by feveral examples during the contests that arose with the Prifeillianits and Donatifts, confirmed

and established by the authority of Augustin, CENT

and thus transmitted to the following ages. XVII. When we cast an eye towards the lives and morals of Christians at this time, we find, The lives and morals as formerly, a mixture of good and evil; fome of chattieminent for their piety, others infamous for their "as-The number, however, of immoral and unworthy Christians began so to increase, that the examples of real piety and virtue became extremely rare. When the terrors of perfecution were totally dispelled; when the church, secured from the efforts of its enemies, enjoyed the tweets of prosperity and peace; when the most of the bifhops exhibited to their flock the contagious examples of arrogance, luxury, effeminacy, animostly, and strife, with other vices too numerous to mention; when the inferior rulers and doctors of the church fell into a flothful and opprobrious negligence of the doties of their respective stations, and employed in vain wranglings and idle disputes, that zeal and attention that were due to the culture of piety and to the interaction of their people, and when (to complete the enormity of this horrid detail) multitudes were drawn into the profession of Christianity, not by the power of conviction and argument, but by the profpect of gain and the fear of punishment; then it was, indeed, no wonder that the church was contaminated with shoals of profligate Christians, and that the virtuous few were, in a manner, oppressed and overwhelmed with the superior numbers of the wicked and licentious. true, that the fame rigorous penitence, which had taken place before Constanting the GREATS continued now in full force against flagrant transgreffors; but when the reign of corruption becomes universal, the vigour of the laws yields to its fway, and a weak execution defeats the purpoles of the most falutary discipline. Such was

PART II.

. now

CENT. now unhappily the case: the age was finking PARTH. Baily from one period of corruption to another: the great and the powerful finned with impunity; and the obscure and the indigent felt alone the feverity of the laws.

The Meletian controveríy.

XVIII. Religious controversies among Christians were frequent in this century; and, as it often happens in the course of civil affairs, external peace gave occasion and leifure for the fomenting intestine troubles and diffensions. shall mention some of the principal of these controversies, which produced violent and obstinate schissms, not so much, indeed, by their natural tendency, as by incidental occurrences.

In the beginning of this century, about the year 306, arose the famous Meletian controversy, fo called from its author, and which, for a long time, divided the church. Peter, bishop of Alexandria, had depoted, from the epitcopal office, Meletius, bishop of Lycopolis, in the Upper Lgypt. The reasons that occasioned this violent act of authority have not been sufficiently expoled.

The partifans of Paren allege, that Mene-Trus had facrificed to the gods, and charge him also with various crimes $\{x\}$; while others affirm, that his only failing was an excessive severity against the lapsed [v]. Be that as it will, Me-LETIUS treated the fentence of PETER with the utmost contempt, and did not only continue to perform all the duties of the episcopal function, but even affirmed the right of confectating prefbyters; a privilege which, by the laws of Egypt, belonged only to the bishop of Alexandria.

[[]x] ATHANASIUS, Apologia ferunda, tom. i. opp. p. 777. (r) Epiphanius, Hary Ixviii, toingi, opp. p. 716; fre also Dion. Peravius, Not. in Epiphenium, tom. il. p. 274. SAM. BASNAGII Exercitat. de rebut facris contra Вагония:.

venerable gravity and eloquence of Meletius CENT. drew many to his party, and, among others, a PART He confiderable number of monks adhered to his cause. The council of Nice made several ineffeetual attempts to heal this breach: the Meletians, on the other hand, whose chief aim was to oppose the authority of the bishop of Alexandria, joined themselves to the Arians, who were his irreconcileable enemies. Hence it happened, that a dispute, which had, for its first object, the authority and jurisdiction of the bishop of Alexundria, degenerated, gradually, into a religious controverly. The Meletian party was yet lubfilling in the fifth century [z].

XIX. Some time after this, a certain person, The Euffer named Eustathius, was the occasion of great these diforders and divisions in Armenia, Pontus, and the neighbouring countries; and was condemned and excommunicated, in confequence thereof, by the council of Gangra, which was held not long after that of Nice. Whether this was the fame Euspathius, who was bishop of Sebastia, in Armenia, and the chief of the Semi-arians; or whether the ancient historians have confounded together two different persons of the same name, is a matter extremely difficult to determine [a]. However that be, the leader of the Eustathian fect does not feem to much chargeable with a corruption of any religious doctrine, as with having fet up a fanatical form of fanctity; an extravagant system of practical discipline, destructive of the order and happiness of society. For he prohibited marriage, the use of wine and flesh, feasts of charity, and other things of thas

P. 840. Vol. I.

^[2] SOCRATES, Hift. Ecclef. lib. L. c. vi. p. 14. THEO-DORET. Hift. Ecclef. lib. i. cap. viii. p. 548. [a] See Sam. Basnac. Annal. Polit. Ecclefa tom. ii.

CENT, nature. He prescribed immediate divorce to PART II, those who were joined in wedlock, and is faid to have granted to children and servants the liberty of violating the commands of their parents and masters upon pretexts of a religious nature $\lceil b \rceil$.

Lociferian divisions.

XX. Lucifer, bishop of Cagliari in Sardinia, a man remarkable for his prudence, the aufterity of his character, and the steadiness of his resolution and courage, was banished by the emperor Constantius, for having defended the Nicene doctrine, concerning the three persons in the Godhead. He broke the bonds of fraternal communion with Euglistus, bishop of Verceil, in the year 363, because the latter had consecrated Paulinus bishop of Antioch; and he afterwards separated himself from the whole church, on account of the act of absolution it had passed in favour of those, who, under Constantius, had deserted to the Arians [c]. It is, at least, certain, that the finall tribe that followed this prelate, under the title of Luciferians, avoided forupuloufly and obstinately all commerce and fellowship both with those bishops who had declared themselves in savour of the Arians, and with those also who consented to an absolution for such as returned from this defertion, and acknowledged their error; and thus of confequence they diffolved the bonds of their communion with the church in general [d]. The Luciferians are

[[]b] SOCRATES, Hift. Eachf. lib. i. cap. xliii. p. 156. Sozowenus, Hift. E. def. lib. iii. cap. xiv. p. 520. lib. iv. cap. xxiv. p. 581. Eriphan. Haief. Ixvi. p. 910. Phi-LOSTURGIUS, Hiff. Ecclef. lib. iii. cap. xvi. p. 53. 59.

WOLVE, GUNDLING, Not. ad Conshum Gangrente, p. o. [1] RUFIN. Hift. Eccley. lib. I. cap. 30. p. 174. So-CRATES, Hift. Ecclef. lib. iii. cap. ix. p. 181. &c. See also TILLEMONT, Memoires pour servir à l'Histoire de l'Eglise, tom. vii. p. çzt. edit. Paris.

[[]d] Ser in the quarks of Struono, tom. ii. p. 229, &c. A took of prayers, addressed to THEODOSIUS by MARCELLI-'MUS and FAUSTINUS, who were Luciferians.

also said to have entertained erroneous notions C EN T. concerning the human foul, whose generation PART IL they confidered as of a carnal nature, and maintained that it was transfuled from the parents into the children [e].

XXI. About this time ÆRIUS, a presbyter, Tie Æsian monk, and Semi-arian, erected a new feet, and controvery. excited divisions throughout Armenia, Pontus, and Cappadocia, by propagating opinions different from those that were commonly received. One of his principal tenets was, that bishops were not diffinguished from preshyters by any divine right; but that, according to the inflication of the New Testament, their offices and authority were absolutely the fame. How far Abrius purfued this opinion, through its natural confequences, is not certainly known; but we know, with the utmost certainty, that it was highly agreeable to many good Christians, who were no longer able to bear the tyranny and arrogance of the bishops of this century.

There were other things in which Ærtus differed from the common notions of the time: he condemned prayers for the dead, stated fasts, the celebration of Eafter, and other rites of that nature, in which the multitude erroneously imagine that the life and foul of religion confilts [f]. His great purpose seems to have been that of reducing Christianity to its primitive simplicity: a purpose, indeed, laudable and noble when considered in itself; though the principles from whence it fprings, and the means by which it is executed, are generally, in many respects, worthy

[[]e] Augustin. De bæref, cap. lxxxi. with the observations Of LAMB. DANMEUS, p. 346.

[[]f] Epiphanids, Haref. lxxv. p. 905. Augustin. De baref. cap. iii.

E N T. of censure, and may have been so in the case of $P_{A, b, T}$ it, this reformer [g].

Jovinian.

XXII. The progress of supersition in this century, and the erroneous notions that prevailed concerning the true nature of religion, excited the zeal and the efforts of many to stem the torrent. But their labours only exposed them to infamy and reproach. The most eminent of these worthy opposers of the reigning supersitions was Jovinian, an Italian monk, who, towards the conclusion of this century, taught sirft at Rome, and afterwards at Milan, that all those who kept the vows they made to Christ at their baptism, and lived according to those rules of piety and virtue laid down in the gospel, had an equal title

UT [2]. The defire of reducing religious worthin to the greatest pedible coupling, however rational it may appear is itfelf, and abstractedly confidered, will be confiderably modetated in figh as below a moment's attention upon the inperfection and infermities of human nature in its prefeat flate. Mankind, generally speaking, have too little elevation of mind to be much affected with those forms and methods of worthip, in which there is nothing firlking to the outwart fenfes. The great difficulty here lies in determining the lengths, which it is prudent to go in the accommodation of religious ceremonies to human infirmity; and the grand point, is to fix a medium, in which a due regard may be thewn to the fenfes and imagination, without violating the dictates of right reason, or tarmishing the purity of true religion. It has been faid, that the Romish church has gone too far in its condescention to the infirmities of mankind. And this is what the ablest defenders of its motley worship have alleged in its behalf. But this observation is not just : the church of Rame has not fo much accommodated itself to human rurakvess, as it has abused that weakness, by taking occasion from it to effablish an endless variety of ridiculous ceremonies. defiructive of true religion, and only adapted to promote the riches and despotism of the clergy, and to keep the multitude fill boodwinked in their ignorance and superstition. How far a just antipathy to the church puppet-shows of the Papills has unjustly driven some Protestant churches into the opposite extreme, is a matter that I shall not now examine, though it certainly descrives a serious confideration.

to the rewards of focurity; and that, confe- C B N T. quently, those who passed their days in unsociable Part IL celibacy, and fevere mortifications and faltings, were in no respect more acceptable in the eye of God, than those who lived virtuously in the bonds of marriage, and nourified their bodies with moderation and temperance. These judicious opinions, which numy began to adopt, were first condemned by the church of Rome, and afterwards, by AMEROSE, in a council held at Milan in the year 390 [b]. The emperor Honorius feconded the authoritative proceedings of the billiops by the violence of the fecular arm, anfwered the judicious reasonings of JOVINIAN by the terror of coercive and penal laws, and banished this pretended heretic to the island Boat. Jovi-MAN published his opinions in a book, against which Jeroms, in the following century, wrote a ' most bitter and abusive treatise, which is still extant [7].

XXIII. Among all the religious controversies Controversesthat divided the church, the most celebrated, to Ougen both for their importance and their duration, were those relating to Origin and his doctrine.

This illustrious man, though he had been, for a long tune, charged with many errors, was held, by the most part of Christians, in the highest veneration, and his name was for facred as to give weight to the cause in which it appeared. The Arians, who were fagacious in fearthing for fuccours on all fides to maintain their fect, affirmed, that Origen had adopted their opinions. In this they were believed by fome, who confequently included this great man in the hatred they enter? tained against the sect of the Arians. . But several,

[[]h] Hernony sus in Jovinianum, tom. ii. opp. Augus-TIK. De Larej. cap. lxxxii. Ambros. Epiff. vi. Jec.

^[1] Codex Theodofianus, tom. iii. p. 218. tom. vi. p. 193.

CENT. writers of the first learning and note opposed this PART II, report, and endeavoured to vindicate the honour of their mafter from these injurious infinuations. The most eminent of these was Eusebius, bishop of Cafarea, as appears by his learned work, intitled, An Apology for Origen. It is extremely probable, that these clamours raised against the memory and reputation of a man, whom the whole Christian world beheld with respect, would have been foon hufhed, had it not been for the rife of new commotions, which proceeded from another fource, and of which we shall treat in the following fection.

The progrets of thefe tontroverbes.

XXIV. The monks in general, and the Egyptians monks in particular, were enthugastically devoted to Origin, and spared no labour to propagate his opinions in all places. Their zeal, however, met with opposition, nor could they perfunde all Christians of the truth and foundness of the notions invented or adopted by that eminent writer. Hence arose a controversy concerning the reasons and foundations of Origenism, which was at first managed in a private manner, but, afterwards, by degrees, broke out into an open flame. Among the numerous partifans of Origin was John, bishop of Yerusalem, which furnished Euromannes and Jerome with a pretext to cast an odium upon this prelate, against whom they had been previously exasperated on other accounts. But the ingenious bishop conducted matters with such admirable dexterity, that, in defending himself, he vindicated, at the same time, the reputation of Origen, and drew to his party the whole monastic body; and also a prodigious number of those who were spectators of this interesting combat. This was but the beginning of the vehement contests concerning the doctrine of Origen, that were carried on both in the eaftern and western provinces. These contests were particularly fomented in the west by Refi- CENT. nus, a presbyter of Aquileia, who translated into PART II, Latin- several books of ORIGEN, and infinuated, with fufficient plainness, that he acquiesced in the fentiments they contained [k], which drew upon him the implacable rage of the learned and choleric Terome. But these commotions seemed to cease in the west after the death of Ruffigus, and the efforts which men of the first order made to check, both by their authority and by their writings, the progress of Origenism in those parts.

XXV. The troubles which the writings and Controversy doctrines of Origen excited in the east were contening more grievous and lafting. THEOPHILUS, bishop the writing of Alexandria, irritated, for feveral reasons, against of Origen. the Nitrian monks, represented them as insected with the contagion of Origenifm, and ordered them to give up and abandon all the productions of ORIGEN. The monks refused obedience to this command, and alleged in their defence two confiderations; the one, that the passages in the writings of this holy and venerable man, which seemed to swerve from the truth, were inserted in them by ill-defigning heretics; and the other, that a few things worthy of centure were not fulficient to justify the condemnation of the rest. Matters were but more exasperated by this refusal of submission to the order of Theophilus; for this violent prelate called a council, at *Alexandria*, in the year 399, in which, having condemned the followers of Origin, he fent a band of foldiers to drive the monks from their relidence on mount Nitria. The poor monks, scattered abroad thus by an armed force, fled first to Jerusalem, from whence they retired afterwards to Scytbopolis; and, finding that they could live here in fecurity and

^[4] See Just. FORTANIHUS, Historia Litterar, Aquileienfis, йь, iv. сар. ці. р. 177, &с.

CENT. peace, determined, at length, to fet fail for Con-PART II. flantinople, and there plead their cause in presence of the emperor [1]. The iffue of these proceedings comes under the history of the following century.

> It is, however, necessary to observe here, that we must not reduce to the fame class all those who are called Origenists in the records of this century. For this ambiguous title is applied to persons who differed widely in their religious notions. Sometimes it merely figuralies fuch friends of Origin, as acknowledged his writings to have been adulterated in many places, and who were far from patronizing the errors of which he was accused, in other places, this title is attributed to those who consess Origen to be the author of the doctrines which are imputed to him, and who stefolutely support and detend his opinions; of which latter there was a confiderable number among the monaftic orders.

CHAP. IV.

Concerning the rites and ceremonies used in the church during this century.

of ceren amies introduced.

Amalitude L WHILE the Roman emperors were fludious to promote the honour of Christianity, by the auspicious protection they afforded to the church, and their most zealous efforts to advance its interests, the inconsiderate and illdirected piety of the bishops cast a cloud over the brauty and fimplicity of the gospel, by the pro-

I [A See Peter. Daniel Huet, Organianorum, lib. ii. cap. iv. p. 196. Louis Douein, Hiffe re de Originione. Livr. Sii. p. 95. HITR. A PRATO, Deff. vs. In S. lest um Sectrum de Montabis oh Originis remen ex Nutsa totuju "Egypta palfir, p. 273. Veron. 1-41, tolio. digious

digious number of rites and ceremonies which CENT. they had invented to embellish it. And here we TART II. may apply that well-known faving of Augus-TIN [m], that the yoke under sobich the Jews formerly grouned, was more tolerable than that imposed upon many Christians in his time. The rites and inflitutions, by which the Greeks, Romans, and other nations, had formerly tellified their religious veneration for fictitious deities, were now adopted, with fome flight alterations, by Chriftian bishops, and employed in the service of the true God. We have already mentioned the rea-. fons alteged for this imitation, to proper to difgult all who have a just fense of the native beauty of genuine Christianity. These servent heralds of the gofpel, whose zeal outrun their candour and ingenuity, imagined that the nations would recove Christianity with more facility, when they faw the rites and ceremonics to which they were arenflomed, adopted in the church, and the tame worship paid to CHRIST and his martyrs, which they had formerly offered to their idol draties. Hence it happened, that, in thefe times, the religion of the Greeks and Romans differed very little, in its external appearance, from that of the Christians. They had both a most pompous and folendid ritual. Gorgeous robes, mitres, tiaras, wax-tapers, crofters [n], proceffions [o],

[m] Augustin. Epift. exix. ad Januarium, according to the nuclent division.

v is the chief enfign of the augurs, and which derived its name from its refemblance of the military trumpet, became a mark of epiteopal dignity. We call it the croften or bithop's ftoff.

that of precediour, fignified, among the Pagins, those tolemn and public acts of practitude for national bleffings, or depreciative of national calamities, which were expressed by the whole body of the people by a religious approach to the temples of the gods, which, by a decree of the tenate, were open for all without diffiction. See Circ. Caril. iii. 6. Liv. x. 23.

PART II, many such circumstances of pageantry, were equally to be seen in the heathen temples and the Christian churches.

Magnificent churches credict.

II. No footer had Constanting the Great abolished the superstitions of his ancestors, than magnificent churches were every where erected for the Christians, which were richly adorned with pictures and images, and bore a striking refemblance of the Pagan temples, both in their outward and inward form [p]. Of these churches fome were built over the tombs of martyrs, and were frequented only at flated times; while others were let apart for the ordinary affemblies of Christians in divine worship. The former were called Martyria, from the places where they were erected; and the latter Tituli [q]. Both of them were confecrated with great pomp, and with certain rites borrowed, mostly, from the ancient laws of the Roman pontifs.

Origin of the right of patronage. But our wonder will not cease here; it will rather be augmented when we learn, that, at this time, it was looked upon as an essential part of religion to have, in every country, a multitude

[P] See EZEK. SPANHTIM, Preuves fur les Cesars de Julien. p. 47. and particularly Le Brun's Lephanien latteraire et bissorque des Ceremonies de la Messe, tom. ii. p. 101. A description of these chyrches may be sound in Eusphitus, De usta Confantin M. lib. iii. cap. xxxv. and an exact plan of the interior structure of them is accurately engraved in bishop Bruferior see's Advotationes in Panceeias Canonum, tom. ii. p. 70. and in Friderick Spanheim's Institut. Hist. Eccles. tom. i. opp. 860. It must also be observed, that certain parts of the Christian churches were formed after the model of the Jewish samples. See Camp. Vitringa, De synagoga vetera, lib. iii. p. 466.

[9] Jo. Madellon. Music Italici, tom. ii. in Comment. ad ardin. Roman. p. xvi. The Tituli were the smaller churches, so called from this circumstance, hat the presbyters, who officiand in them, were called by the names of the places where they were erected, i. e. received titles, which fixed them

to those particular cures.

of churches; and here we must look for the true CENT. origin of what is called the right of patronage, PARTIL which was introduced among Christians with no other view than to encourage the opulent to erect a great number of churches, by giving them the privilege of appointing the ministers that were to officiate in them [r]. This was a new instance of that fervile imitation of the ancient superstitions which reigned at this time; for it was a very common notion among the people of old, that nations and provinces were happy and free from danger, in proportion to the number of fanes and temples, which they confecrated to the worthip of gods and heroes, whose protection and succour could not fail, as it was thought, to be shed abundantly upon those, who worshipped them with fuch zeal, and honoured them with fo many marks of veneration and respect. The Christians unhappily contracted the same erroneous way of thinking. The greater the number of temples was, which they erected in honour of Christ. and his chosen friends and followers, the more fanguing did their expectations grow of powerful fuccours from them, and of a peculiar interest in the divine protection. They were fo weak as to imagine, that Goo, Christ, and celeftial intelligences, were delighted with those marks and tellimonies of respect, which captivate the hearts of wretched mortals.

III. The Christian worship consisted in hymns, The form prayers, the reading of the scriptures, a discourse working addressed to the people, and concluded with the celebration of the Lord's supper. To these were added various rites, more adapted to please the eyes, and strike the imagination, than to kindle in the heart the pure and facred flame of genuine

[[]r] Just. Henn. Bonmert Jus Ecclef. Proteftant. tom. iii. p. 466. Bibliotheque Italique, com. v. p. 166.

ENT. piety [s]. We are not however to think, that the fame method of worship was uniformly followed in every Christian society, for this was far from being the case, Every bishop, consulting his own private judgment, and taking into confideration the nature of the times, the genius of the country in which he lived, and the character and temper of those whom he was appointed to rule and instruct, formed such a plan of divine worship as he thought the wifelt and the best. Hence that variety of liturgies which were in use, before the bishop of Rome had usurped the supreme power in religious matters, and perfuaded the credulous and unthinking, that the model both of doctrine and worthip was to be given by the motherchurch, and to be followed implicitly throughout the Christian world.

Changes introruced into many par s of li-AIDS ACL. Ութ.

IV. It would be almost endless to enter into a minute detail of all the different parts of public worship, and to point out the disadvantageous changes they underwent. A few observations will be fufficient upon this head. The public prayers had now loft much of that folemn and majestic fumplicity, that characterised them in the primitive times, and which were, at prefent, degenerating into a value and swelling bombast. plalms of David were now received among the public hypnes that were fung as a part of divine fervice [7]. The fermons, or public discourses addressed to the people, were composed according to the rules of human eloquence, and rather

[t] Beausobne, Higt. au Manicheisme, tom. ii. p. 614adapted

^[1] For a full account of the form of public worthip, or the tion goes of this century, the reader will do well to confult the 22d carechet cal agreents of CVRIL of Jos gaters, and the apoplatical configurations, which are fallily attributed to Crement of Rome. These writers are most hearnedly illustrated and evplained by Peter LE Baun, in his En lication litter ale et bifterique de la Neje, tom. u. p. 53.

adapted to excite the flupid admiration of the po- CENT. pulace, who delight in vain embellishments, than PART II. to enlighten the understanding, or to reform the heart. Nay, it would feem as if all possible means had been industribusty used, to give an air of folly and extravagance to the Christian affemblies. For the people were permitted, may, even exhorted by the preacher himfelf, to crown his talents with clapping of bands and loud acclamations of applicate [n]; a recompense that was hitherto peculiar to the actors on the theatre, and the orators in the forum. How men, fet apart by their profession to exhibit examples of the contempt of vain glory, and to demonstrate to others the vanity and emptine's of all temporal things, could indulge fuch a fenfelefs and indecent ambition, is difficult to be conceived, though it is highly to be deplored.

V. The first day of the week, which was the retiral, or ordinary and flated time for the public affemblies holidays, of Christians, was, in confequence of a peculiar law enacted by Constantine, observed with more folemnity than it had formerly been [w]. feftivals celebrated in most of the Christian churches, were five in number, and were appointed in commemoration of the birth, the fufferings and death, the refurrection and the afcention, of the divine Saviour; and also the essusion of the Holy Ghost upon the apostles and first heralds of the gospel on the day of Pentecost. Of these fellivals, none were kept with fo much folemnity and respect as the xiv days that were appointed for the commemoration of Christ's refurrec-

tion $[\pi]$.

[u] FRANC. BERNH. FERRARIUS, De Beterum acclastionribu & flagu, p. 66.

[w]]AC. Gorofken, ad codicim Theodof. tom. i. p. 135.

[x] Ibid. tom. i. p. 143-

The

The eastern Christians celebrated the memory PARTIL Of CHRIST'S birth and baptifm in one festival. which was fixed on the fixth of January, and this day was by them called the Epiphany, as on it the immortal Saviour was manifested to the world [y]. On the other hand, the Christians of the west feem to have always celebrated the birth of our Lord on the xxvth of December: for there anpears to be very little certainty in the accounts of those, who allege that the Roman pontif, Ju-LIUS I. removed the festival of CHRIST's birth from the fixth of January to the twenty-fifth of December [2].

> The unlucky success which some had in discovering the carcaffes and remains of certain holy men, multiplied the festivals and commemorations of the martyrs in the most extravagant manner. The increase of these sestivals would not have been offensive to the wife and the good, if Christians had employed the time they took up, in promoting their spiritual interests, and in forming habits of fanctity and virtue. But the contrary happened: these days, which were set apart for pious exercifes, were squandered away in indolence, voluptuoufness, and criminal purivits, and were less consecrated to the service of God, than employed in the indulgence of finful paffions. is well known, among other things, what opportunities of finning were offered to the licentious, by what were called the vigils of Easter and Whitfuntide, or Pentecost.

Paline

VI. Fasting was considered, in this century, as the most effectual and powerful means of repelling the force, and disconcerting the stratagems of evil

fpirits,

^[7] BEAUSOBRE, Hift. du Manicheisme, tom. ii. p. 693. [2] Sec Jos. Sim. Assimann. Biblioth. Orient. Clement. Vaticas. tom. ii. p. 168. ALPH. DES VIGNOLES, Diff. dant La Bibliothegt Germanique, tom. ii. p. 29.

fpirits, and of appealing the anger of an offended CENT. Deity. Hence we may eafily understand what in- PART IL. duced the rulers of the church to establish this cuftom by express laws, and to impose, as an indispensable dury, an act of humiliation; the obfervation of which had hitherto been left to every one's choice. The Quadragelimal, or Lett-fall, was held more, facred than all the reft, though it was not as yet confined to a fixed number of days [a]. We must however remark, that the fasts observed in this century, were very different from those that were folenmized in the preceding Formerly those who submitted themselves to the discipline of falling abstained wholly from meat and drink; but now a mere abfunence from fleth and wine was, by many, judged fufficient for the purposes of fasting [1/1], and this latter opinion prevailed, from this time, and became universal among the Latins.

VII. Biftigual fonts were now erected in the The Month. porch of each church, for the more commodious or happing administration of that entiating facrament. tifm was admin thered during the visils of Eafter and Whisfuntide, with lighted tapers, by the bishop, and the prefbyters commissioned by him for that purpose. In cases however of urgent necessity, and in such only, a dispensation was granted for performing this facred rite at other times than those now mentioned. In some places falt was employed, as a fymbol of purity and wifdom, and was thrown, with this view, into the mouth of the person baptized; and a double unetion was every where used in the celebration of this ordinance, one preceding its administration, The persons who and the other following it. were admitted into the church by baptism were

[a] [o. Dalleus, De Jejuniis et Quadragefima, lib. iv. [6] See BARBEYRAC, De la Morale des Peres, p. 250. obliged,

or war obliged, after the celebration of that shely ordinance, to go clothed in white garments during the space of seven days.

> Many other rites and ceremonies might be mercioned here; but, as they neither acquired flability by their duration, nor received the fanction of universal approbation and consent, we

shall pass them over in silence.

and of the Lord's fup-

VIII. The institution of catechumens, and the discipline through which they passed, suffered no variation in this century, but continued still upon its ancient footing. It appears farther, by innumerable testimonies, that the Lord's supper was administered (in some places two or three times a-week, in others on Sunday only) to all those who were affembled together to worship God. It was also sometimes celebrated at the tombs of martyrs and at funerals, which custom, undoubtedly, gave rife to the maffes, that were afterwards performed in honour of the faints, and for the benefit of the dead. In many places, the bread and wine were held up to view before their diffribution, that they might be feen by the people, and contemplated with a certain religious respect; and hence, not long after, the adoration of the fymbols was unquestionably derived. Neither catechumens, penitents, nor those who were supposed to be under the influence and impulse of evil spirits, were admitted to this holy ordinance; nor did the facred orators in their public discourses ever dare to unfold its true and genuine nature with freedom and simplicity. The reason of thus concealing it from the knowledge and observation of many, was a very mean and shameful one, as we have aiready observed: many, indeed, offer Thuch more decent and fatisfactory argument in favour of this custom, when they allege, that by these mysterious proceedings, the defire of the catechamens would naturally burn to penetrate, as foon

foon as was possible, the sublime secret, and that CENT. they, would thereby be animated to prepare them- PART II. felves with double diligence for receiving this privilege.

CHAP. V.

Concerning the divisions and berefies that troubled the church during this century.

I. THE fects which had sprung up in the pre- Thereceding ages, transmitted their contagious accient principles to this century. Many of them re- 684. mained yet, particularly in the east, and, notwithstanding their absurdity, continued to attract a certain number of followers. The Manichean faction furpaffed the rest in its influence and progress. The very turpitude and enormity of its doctrines feemed to feduce many into its fnares; and, what is still more furprizing, men of genius and penetration were deluded by its enchantments, as the example of Augustin fufficiently testifies. It is true, the wifest and most learned writers of the times, and among others Augus-TIN, when he returned from his errors, endeavoured to oppose the growth of this spreading pestilence; nor were their efforts entirely unsuccefsful. But the root of this horrible difease was deep; and neither the force of argument, nor the severity of the most rigorous laws, were sufficient to extirpate it thoroughly [c]. For some time indeed

[c] The severe laws enacted by the emperors against the Manicheans, are to be found in the Theodofian Code, vol. vi. part I. edit. Ritterino. In the year 372, Valentinian the elder prohibited their affemblies, and imposed heavy penalties on their doctors, p. 126. In 381, Theorosive treeders are branded them with infamy, and deprived them of all the Vo1. L

CENT. indeed it feemed to disappear, and many thought PART III it utterly eradicated; but it gathered force fecretly, and broke out afterwards with new vio. lence. To avoid the feverity of the laws, the Manicheans, concealed themselves under a variety of names, which they adopted successively, and changed, in proportion as they were discovered under them. Thus they afformed the names of Encratites, Apotactics, Saccophori, Hydroparastates. Solitaries, and several others, under which they lay concealed for a certain time, but could not however long escape the vigilance of their enemies [d].

I be rife of the controverfy with the Dongtifte,

II. The state had little danger to apprehend from a fect, which the force of fevere laws and of penal restraints could not fail to undermine, gradually, throughout the Roman empire. But a new and much more formidable faction started up in Africa, which, though it arose from small beginnings, afflicted most grievously both church and state for more than a century. Its origin was as follows:

Mensurius, bishop of Carthage, in Africa, dying in the year 311, the greatest part of the clergy and people chose, in his place, the archdeacon CÆCILIANUS, who, without waiting for the affembly of the Numidian bishops, was confectated by those of Africa alone. This hasty proceeding was the occasion of much trouble. The Numidian bishops, who had always been present at the confectation of the bishops of Carthage, were highly offended at their being excluded from this folema ceremony, and, affembling themselves at Carthage, called Cæcilianus before them, to give an ac-

[d] See the law of Theonosius, Codex Theed. tom. vip. 134. 136, 137, 138.

mights and privileges of citizens, p. 133. Add to these many edicts more dreadful, which may be seen in pages 137, 138. 170. of the above-mentioned work.

count of this conduct. The flame, thus kindled, C & N T. was greatly augmented by certain Carthaginian PARTIL preflyters, who were competitors with Cæcili-ANUS, particularly Bothus and Centerius. Lu-CILLA, alfo, an opulent lady, who had been reprimanded by Chethianus for her fliperititious practices, and had conceived against him a bitter enmity on that account, was active in exasperating the spirits of his adversaries, and distributed a large fum of money among the Numidians to encourage them in their opposition to the new bishop. In consequence of all this, CACILIANUS, CHESSIANUS refuling to submit to the judgment of the Numi-condemned. dians, was condemned in a council, aftembled by Secundus, bishop of Tigifis, consisting of seventy prelates, who, with the confent of a confiderable part of the clergy and people, declared him unworthy of the episcopal dignity, and chose his deacon Majorinus for his successor. . By this proceeding, the Carthaginian church was divided into two factions, and groaned under the contests of two rival bishops, Cabilianus and Majo-RINUS.

III. The Numidians alleged two important The realism reasons to justify their sentence against CARCILIA- alleged for NUS; as first, that Freix of Aptungus, the chief of demnation. the bilhops, who affifted at his confectation, was a traditor (i. e. one of those who, during the perfecution under Diocletian, had delivered the facred writings and the pious books of the Chriftians to the magistrates in order to be buint); and that having thus apostatized from the service of CHRIST, it was not possible that he could impart the Holy Ghost to the new bishop. A second reefon for their fentence against Checilianus was drawn from the harfhness and even cruelty that he had discovered in his conduct, while he was a deacon, towards the Christian confessors and martyrs during the perfecution above-mentioned, whom Dd_2

them without food in their prisons, and hindering those, who were willing to succour them, from bringing them relief. To these accusations they added the insolent contumacy of the new prelate, who refused to obey their summons, and to appear

before them in council to justify his conduct.

There was none of the Numidians who opposed Czcilianus with such bitterness and vehemence, as Donatus bishop of Casa nigra, and hence the whole saction was called after him, as most writers think; though some are of opinion, that they derived this name from another Donatus, whom the Donatists surnamed the Great [e]. This controversy, in a short time, spread far and wide, not only throughout Numidia, but even through all the provinces of Africa, which entered so zealously into this ecclesiastical war, that in most cities there were two bishops, one at the head of Czcilianus's party, and the other acknowledged by the solvers of Majorinus.

Millory of the Dozacife. IV. The Donatists having brought this controversy before Constantine the Great, that emperor, in the year 313, appointed Melchiades, bishop of Rome, to examine the matter, and named three bishops of Gaul to affist him in this inquiry. The result of this examination was savourable to Cæchlikuus, who was entirely acquitted of the

^[4] In the faction of the Donatilts, there were two eminent persons of the name of Donatus; the one was a Numidian, and bishop of Case wigre; the other succeeded Majorinus, bishop of Carthage, as leader of the Donatists, and received from his sect, on account of his learning and virtue, the title of Donatus the Great. Hence it has been a question arrong the learned, from which of these the sect derived its name? The arguments that support the different sides of this name? The arguments that support the different sides of this name? The arguments that support the different sides of this name? The arguments that support the different sides of this name? The arguments that support the different sides of this supposing that the Donatists were so called from them both?

crimes laid to his charge; but the accufations that C E M T. had been brought against FELIX of Aptungus, by PART II. whom he was confecrated, were left out of the question. Hence it was, that the emperor, in the year 314, ordered the cause of Felix to be examined separately by ÆLIAN, proconsul of Africa, by whose decision he was absolved.. The Donatifts, whose cause suffered necessarily by these proceedings, complained much of the judgment pronounced by Melchiades and Ælian. The finall number of bishops, that had been appointed to examine their cause jointly with Melchiades, excited, in a particular manner, their reproaches, and even their contempt. They looked upon the decision of seventy venerable Numidian prelates, as infinitely more respectable than that pronounced by nineteen bishops (for such was the number afsembled at [f] Rome), who, besides the inferiority of their number, were not sufficiently acquainted with the African affairs to be competent judges in the present question. The indulgent emperor, willing to remove thele specious complaints, ordered a fecond and a much more numerous affembly to meet at Arles in the year 314, composed of bishops from various provinces, from Italy, Gaul, Germany, and Spain. Here again the Donatists lost their cause, but renewed their efforts by appealing to the immediate judgment of the emperor, who condescended so far, as to admit their appeal; and, in confequence thereof, examined the whole affair himself in the year 316 at Milan, in presence of the contending parties.

The emperor, in his letter to MELCHIANT, named no more than three prelates, viz. MATERNUS, RHETICIUS, and MARIBUS, billiops of Cologn, dulan, and dries, to fit with him as judges of this controverfy; but afterwards he ordered feven more to he added to the number, and as many as could foon and conveniently affemble; a that they were at last nineteen in all.

3 The

CENT. The issue of this third trial was more Avourable PART II, to the Donatifts than that of the two preceding - councils, whose decisions the emperor confirmed by the sentence he pronounced [g]. Hence this perverse sect loaded Constantine with the bitterest reproaches, and maliciously complained that Osius, bishop of Cordona, who was honoured with his friendship, and was intimately connected with CÆCILIANUS, had, by corrupt infinuations, engaged him to pronounce an unrighteous fentence. The emperor, animated with a just indignation at fuch odious proceedings, deprived the Donatilts of their churches in Africa, and fent into banishment their feditious bishops. Nay, he carried his refentment to far as to put fome of them to death, probably on account of the intolerable petulance and malignity they discovered both in their writings and in their discourse. Hence arose violent commotions and tumults in Africa, as the fect of the Donatists was extremely powerful and numerous there. The emperor endeavoured, by embaffies and negotiations, to allay these disturbances, but his efforts were without effect.

The origin of the Cur-**-**សែង្រាកាប**ទ** Once.

V. These unhappy commotions gave rise, no doubt, to a horrible confederacy or defperate ruffians, who passed under the name of Circumcelliones. This furious, fearless, and bloody set of men, composed of the rough and favage populace, who embraced the party of the Donatists, maintained their cause by the force of arms, and,

^[4] The proofs of the supreme power of the emperors, in religious matters, appear so incontestable in this controversy, that it is amazing it should ever have been called in question. Certain it is, that, at his time, the notion of a supreme judge fer over the church universal, by the appointment of CHRIST, never had entered into any one's head. The affemblies of the clergy at Rose and Arles are commonly called councils: but improperly, fince, in reality, they were nothing more than meeting of Judges, or commissions appointed by the emperor.

over-running all Africa, filled that province with cant. flaughter and rapine, and committed the most rate n. enormous acts of perfidy and cruelty against the followers of CÆCILIANUS. This outrageous multitude, whom no prospect of sufferings could terrify, and who, upon urgent occations, faced death itself with the most audacious temerity, contributed to render the fest of the Donatists an object of the utmost abhorrence; though it cannot be made appear from any records of undoubted authority, that the bishops of that faction, those, at leaft, who had any reputation for piety and virtue, either approved the proceedings, or flirred up the violence of this odious rabble. In the mean time, the flame of difcord gathered ftrength daily, and feemed to portend the approaching horrors of a civil war; to prevent which, Const intini having tried, in vain, every other method of accommodation, abolished at last, by the advice of the governors of Africa, the laws that had been enacted against the Donatists, and allowed the people a full liberty of adhering to the party they liked the best.

VI. After the death of Constantine the They are GREAT, his fon Constans, to whom Africa was allotted in the division of the empsie, fent MACA-RIUS and Paulus into that province, with a view to heal this deplorable schism, and to gngage the Donatifis to conclude a peace. Donatus, furnamed the Great, the principal bishop of that fect, opposed all methods of reconciliation with the utmost vehemence, and his example was sollowed by the other prelates of the party. The Circumcelliones also continued to suppost the cause of the Donatifts by affaffinations and maffacres, exceuted with the most unrelenting fury. They were, however, stopt in their career, and were defeated by MACARIUS at the battle of Baghia. Upon this, the affairs of the Donatists declined apace: and Dd 4 MACARIUS

CENT. MACARIUS used no longer the soft voice of per-PART II. fusion to engage them to an accommodation, but employed his authority for that purpose, A- few submitted; the greatest part saved themselves by flight; numbers were fent into banishment, among whom was Don'a rus the GREAT; and many of them were punished with the utmost severity. During these troubles, which continued near thirteen years, several steps were taken against the Donatists, which the equitable and impartial will be at a loss to reconcile with the dictates of humanity and justice; nor, indeed, do the Catholics themselves deny the truth of this affection [b]. And hence the complaints which the Donatista made of the crueity of their adverfacies [i].

The fiste of the Dona tiff, under the empeent Julian ting.

VII. The emperor Julian, upon his accession to the throne in the year 362, permitted the exiled Donatists to return to their country, and restored their to the enjoyment of their former liberty. This step renewed the vigour of that expiring fect, who, on their return from banishment, brought over, in a short time, the greatest part of the province of Africa to espouse their interests. GRATIAN, indeed, published several edicts against them, and, in the year 377, deprived them of their churches, and prohibited all their

[i] See Otllet. Carthag. diei terties, § 258. at the end of OPKATUS, p. 315.

affemblics.

[[]b] The testimony of OPTATUS of Milevi is beyond exception in this matter; it is quoted from the third book of his treatife, De Schismate Donatifiarum, § 1. and runs thus: * Ab Operariis Unitatis (i. e. the emperor's ambaffadors " Macantus and Paulus) multa quidem asperè gesta sunt.-" Fugerunt omnes Episcopi cum clericis suis, aliqui sunt mor-" tui : qui fortiores fuerunt, capti & longe relegati funt." OPTATUS, through the whole of this work, endeavours to excontinuo severities committed against the Donatists, of which he lays the principal fault upon that feet itself, confessing, however, that, in some instances, the proceedings against them were two rigorous to deferve approbation, or admit of an

affemblies public and private. But the fury of C an T. the Circumcelliones, who may be confidered as PART IL the foldiery of the Donatists, and the apprehension of intestine tumults, prevented, no doubt, the vigorous execution of these laws. This appears from the number of churches which this people had in Africa towards the conclusion of this century, and which were ferved by no less than four hundred bishops. Two things, however, diminished considerably the power and lustre of this flourishing feet, and made it decline apace about the end of this century: the one was a violent division that arose among them, on account of a person named Niaximin; and this division, so proper to weaken the common cause, was the most effectual instrument the Catholics could use to combat the Donatists. But a second circumstance which precipitated their decline, was the zealous and fervent opposition of Authorian, first prefbyter, and afterwards bishop, of Hippo. learned and ingenious prelate attacked the Donatifts in every way. In his writings, in his public discourses, and in his private conversation, he exposed the dangerous and seditious principles of this feet in the strongest manner; and as he was of a warm and active spirit, he animated against them not only the province of Africa, but also the whole Christian world, and the imperial court.

VIII. The doctrine of the Donatifts was con- The primiformable to that of the church, as even their ad- pal trime of the Done versaries consess; nor were their lives less ex- tife. emplary than those of other Christian societies, if we except the enormous conduct of the Circumcelliones, which the greatest part of the sect garded with the utmost detestation and thor-The crime, therefore, of the Donatilts lay properly in the following things; in their declaring the church of Africa, which adhered to CARCILIANUS, fallen from the dignity and privi-

BERT. leges of a true church, and deprived of the gifts PART H. of the Holy Ghoft, on account of the offences with which the new bishop, and Felix of Aptungus, who had confectated him, were charged; in their promouncing all the churches, who held communion with that of Africa, corrupt and polluted; in maintaining that the fanctity of their bishops gave their community alone a full right to be considered as the true, the pure, and holy church; and in their avoiding all communication with other churches, from an apprehension of contracting their impurity and corruption. This Enoneous principle was the fource of that most shocking uncharitableness and prefumption that appeared in their conduct to other churches. Hence they pronounced the facred rites and inftitutions void of all virtue and efficacy among those Christians who were not precisely of their sentiments, and hot only re-baptifed those who came over to their party from other churches, but even with respect to those who had been ordained minifters of the gospel, they observed the severe custom either of depriving them of their office, or obliging them to be ordained a fecond time. This schifmatic pellilence was almost wholly confined to Africa: for the few pitiful affemblies, which the Donatists had formed in Spain and Italy, had neither stability nor duration [k].

^[4] A more ample account of the Donatifts will be found in the following writers: Henr. Valestus, Differt. de Schiftmate Danatiffarum. This differtation Valestus subjoined to his edition of the ecclesiatical history of Eustetus. Thom. Itticius's History of Danatifus, which is published in the Appendix to his book concerning the Herefus of the apostolic age. Harm. Witsies, Sufficion. Sucrer. tom. ib. iv. p. 742. Harman North, Hist. Donatifus. augmented by the Ballering spp. tom. iv. p. xiv. Long's History of the Donatifts, London 2677, 8vo. These are the source. Some whence we have drawn the accounts that we have given of this trouble-some sect.

IX. The faction of the Donatills was not the C B w TV only one that troubled the church during this PART IL century. Soon after its commencement, even in the year 317, a new contention arose in Egypt, The docupon a subject of much higher insportance, and conserv. with confequences of a yet more pernicious na-The subject of this fatal controversy, which kindled fuch deplorable divitions throughout the Christian world, was the doctrine of three persons in the Godbead; a doctrine which, in the three preceding centuries, had happily escaped the vain curiofity of human retearches, and been left undefined and undetermined by any particular fet of ideas. The church, indeed, had frequently decided against the Sabellians and others, that there was a real difference between the Father and the Son, and that the Holy Ghost was distinct from them both; or, as we commonly foeak, that three diffinct perions exist in the Deity; but the mutual relation of these persons to each other, and the nature of that distinction that fablishs between them, are matters that hitherto were neither difputed nor explained, and with respect to which the church had, confequently, observed a profound filence. Nothing was dictated to the faith of Christians in this matter; nor were there any modes of expression prescribed as requisite to be used in speaking of this mystery. Hence it happened, that the Christian doctors entertained different fentiments upon this subject without giving the least offence, and discoursed variously, concerning the distinctions between Father, Son, and Hely Gboft; each one following his respective opinion with the utmost liberty. In Egypt, and the adjacent countries, the greatest part embraced in this, as well as in other matters, the opinion of: Origen, who held that the Son was in God, that which reason is in man; and that the Holy Ghost was nothing more than the divine energy, or active force.

trine of.thia

PART IL

ERT. force. This notion is attended with many difficulties; and if it is not proposed with the utmost caution, tends, in a particular manner, to remove all real distinction between the persons in the Godhead, or, in other words, leads directly to Sabellianifot.

The rife of Ariania,

X. In an affembly of the presbyters of Alexandria, the bishop of that city, whose name was ALEXANDER, expressed his fentiments on this head with a high degree of freedom and confidence; and maintained, among other things, that the Son was not only of the fame eminence and digflity, but also of the same essence, with the Father [1]. This affertion was opposed by Artus, one of the presbyters, a man of a subtile turn, and remarkable for his eloquence. Whether his zeal for his own opinions, or personal resentment against his bishop, was the motive that influenced him, is not very certain. Be that as it will, he first treated, as false, the affertion of ALEXANDER, on account of its affinity to the Sabellian errors. which had been condemned by the church; and then, running himself into the opposite extreme, he maintained, that the Son was totally and effenstally distinct from the Father; that he was the first and noblest of those beings, whom God the Father had created out of nothing, the instrument by whole subordinate operation the almighty Father formed the universe, and therefore inserior to the Father both in nature and in dignity. His opinions concerning the Holy Ghost are not so well known. It is however certain, that his notion concerning the Son of God was accompanied and connected wisk other fentiments, that were very different from those commonly received among Christians, though none of the ancient writers have given us

^[7] See Sognatus, Hift. Ecclef. Eb. i. cap. v. Throno-BRT. Hiff. Ecclef. lib. i. cap. ii.

a complete and coherent system of those religious to a wife tenets which were really held by Arius and his PART 18,

followers [m].

XI. The opinions of Arrus were no fooner The prodivulged, than they found in Eppt, and the arise feet. neighbouring provinces, a multitude of abettors, and among these many who were distinguished as much by the superiority of their learning and genius, as by the eminence of their rank and station in the world. ALEXANDER, on the other hand, in two councils affembled at Alexandria, accused Artus of impiety, and caused him to be expelled from the communion of the church. Arrus received this fevere and ignominious shock with great firmness and constancy of mind; retired into Palestine; wrote from thence several letters to the most eminent men of those times, in which he endeavoured to demonstrate the truth of his opinions, and that with fuels forpoiling fuccefs, that vaft numbers were drawn over to his party; and among these Euszaius, bishop of Ni-

[m] For an account of the Atian controverly, the curious reader muit confult the Life of Conflantine, by Eusebrus; the various libels of ATHANASIUS, which are to be found in the first volume of his works; the Ecclefiaftical Histories of So-CRATES, SOZOMEN, and THEODORES, the Goth Herely of EPIPHANIUS, and other writers of this and the following age. But among all these, there is none to whom the merit of impartiality can be attributed with justice; fo that the Arian History stands yet in need of a pen guided by integrity and candour, and unhinifed by affection or hatred. Both fides have deferved reproach upon this head; and those who have hitherto written the hillory of the Arian controversy, have only espied the faults of one side; e.g. it is a common opinion, that Axi us was too much attached to the opinions of PLATO and ORIGER (fee Peau. Dogm. They . tom. ii. lib. i. Tap. viii.); but this common opinion is a valgar erfor. Or ite s and PLATO entertained notions entirely different from those of ARIUS; whereas ALEXANDER, his antagonist, undoubtedly followed the manner of Oargen, in explaining the doctrine of the shree perfont. See Cunwont n's Intellettial Syftem of the Uziverfe.

somedia,

EXT. comedia. a man diffunguished in the church by his PART H. influence and authority. The emperor Constan-TIME, looking upon the subject of this controversy as a matter of small importance, and as little connected with the fundamental and effential doctrines of religion, contented himself at first with addressing a letter to the contending parties, in which he admonished them to put an end to their disputes. But when the prince faw that his admonitions were without effect, and that the troubles and commotions, which the passions of men too often mingle with religious disputes, were spreading and increating daily throughout the empire, he affembled, at length, in the year 325, the famous council of Nice in Bithynia, wherein the deputies of the church universal were summoned to put an end to this controversy. In this general council, after-many keen debates, and violent efforts of the two parties, the Hoctrine of Arrus was condemned; CHRIST declared consubstantial [n], or of the same effence, with the Father; the vanquished presbyter banished among the Hlyrians, and his followers compelled to give their affent to the creed [0], or confession of faith, which was composed by this council.

The conneil of Nat.

XII. The council affembled by Constantine at Nice, is one of the most famous and interesting events that are prefented to us in ecclefiaftical history; and yet, what is most surprising, there is no part of the history of the church that has been unfolded with such negligence, or rather passed over with such rapidity $\lceil p \rceil$. The ancient writers

[0] Ομεάτιος.
[0] ΙΟΝ Ν Cue 191. Suiger has illustrated this famous creed from averal important and ancient records, in a very learned book published in 4to, at Utrecht, in the year 1718.

[[]p] See ITTICII Historia Concilii Nicari, which was pubhisted after his death. Le Cleuc, Bibliothegus Histor. et Uni-Hifture de Manichee, et de Manicheefen, tom. i. p. 520. The accounts...

are neither agreed concerning the time nor place & Egy Ta in which it was affembled, the number of those PART IL who fat in council, nor the bilhop who prefided in it. No authentic acts of its famous fentence have been committed to writing, or, at least, none have been transmitted to our

times [q].

The eastern Christians differ from all others both concerning the number and nature of the laws that were enacted in this celebrated council. The latter mention only twenty canons; but in the eslimate of the former, they amount to a much It appears, however, by greater number [r]. those laws, which all parties have admitted as genuine, and also from other authentic records, not only that Artus was condemned in this council, but that some other points were determined, and certain measures agreed upon, to calitinathe religious turnults that had to long croubled the church. The controverly concerning the time of celebrating Eafter was terminated [6]; the troubles which NOVATIAN had excited, by opposing the re-admission of the lapsed to the communion of the church, were composed; the Meletian schism

accounts, which the Oriental writers have given of this council, have been collected by Eusen. Renaudor, in his Hiftery

of the Patriarchi of Alexandria, p. 69.

[q] See the Annorations of VALESIUS upon the Ecclefiaftical History of Euseneus, p. 223. Jos. Sim. Asseman. Bibl. Oriental. Clement. Vatican. tom. i. p. 195. The history of this council was written by MARUTHAS, a Syrian, but is long fince loff.

[1] Tis. Itticius, Supplem. app. Clement. Alex. p. 191. Jos. Sim. Asseman. Biblioth. Orient. Clement. Vatic. tom. i. p. 22. 195. Euset. Renaunot. Hiffor. Patriarch. Alema-

drinor. p. 71.

[1] The decision, with respect to Easter, was in Yavour of the cultom of the wellern churches; and accordingly all churches were offered to celebrate that feffival on the Sunday which immediately followed the 14th of the first moon that happened after the vernal equinox.

■ ■ T. was condemned [1]; the jurisdiction of the greater PARTIL Bilhops precisely defined and determined [4]; with several other matters of a like nature. But while these good prelates were employing all their zeal and attention to correct the mistakes and errors of others, they were upon the point of falling into a very capital one themselves. For they had almost come to a resolution of imposing upon the clergy the voke of perpetual celibacy when PAPH-NUTIUS put a stop to their proceedings, and warded off that unnatural law [w].

The history of Arianilm after the council of Nice.

XIII. But notwithstanding all these determinations, the commotions excited by this controverfy remained yet in the minds of many, and the spirit of diffention and controverly triumphed both over the decrees of the council and the authority of the

😝 [1] Metertus, bishop of Lycopolis in Egypt, was accufed and convicted of having offered income to idels; and, in consequence thereof, was deposed by PETER, bishop of Alexandria, whose jurisdiction extended throughout all Egypt. MELETIUS, upon this, became the head of a schism in the church, by affurning to himfelf the power of ordination, which was vested in the bishop of Alexandria, and exercised by him in all the Egyptian churches. EFIPHABIUS attributes the diffentions between MELETIUS and PETER to another cause (Har. 68.): he alleges, that the vigorous proceedings of PETER against MELETIUS were occasioned by the latter's refusing to re-admit into the church those who had fallen from the faith during DIPCLETIAN'S perfecution, before their penitential trial was entirely finished. The former opinion is maintained by So-CRATES and THEODORRY, whose authority is certainly more respectable than that of EPIPHANIUS.

[*] The confusion that MELETIUS introduced, by prefaming (as was observed in the preceding note) to violate the jurishiction of PETER, the metropolitan of Alexandria, by conferring ordination in a province where he alone had a right to ordain, was rectified by the council of Nice, which determined, that the metropolitan bishops, in their respective provinces, should have the same power and authority that the bishop of Reas exercised over the Suburbicarian churches and

countries.

[w] Sochates, Hift. Ecolof. lib. L cap. viii. compared with FRANC' BALDUINUS, in Conflant. Magn. and GRONGE CALIXTUS, De conjugio chricaram, p. 270.

emperor.

emperor. For those who, in the main, were far c z x T. from being attached to the party of Anies, found PART IL many things reprehensible both in the decrees of ! the council, and in the forms of expression which it employed to explain the controverted, points; while the Arians, on the other hand, left no means untried to heal their wound, and to recover their place and their credit in the church. And their efforts were crowned with the defired success. a few years after the council of Nice, a certain Arian prieft, who had been recommended to the emperor, in the dying words of his lifter Con-STANTIA, found means to perfuade Constanting the Great, that the condemnation of Arrus was utterly unjust, and was rather owing to the malice of his enemies; than to their zeal for the truth. In confequence of this, the emperor recalled him from banishment in the year 330 [x],.

[x] The precise time, in which An 1 up was recalled from b mithment, has not been fixed with fish perfect certainty as to prevent a divertity of fertiments on that head. The annotations of the learned Valestes (or Valots) upon Sozoman's History, p. 10 and 11, will call fome light upon this matter, and make it probable, that Dr. Mosnerm has placed the recall of Artus too late, at least by two years. VALESIUS has proved, from the authority of Philosporegius, and from other most respectable monuments and records, that Eusenius of Nicemedia, and THEOGNIS, who were banished by the emperor about three months after the council of Nice, i. e. in the year 325, were recalled in the year 328. Now, in the auriting by which they obtained their return, they pleaded the reftoration of Akius as an argument for theirs, which proves that he was recalled before the year 330. The fame Va-Lesius proves, that Akius, the fifth head of the Arian fect, was dead before the council of Tyre, which was transferred to Jerufalem; and that the letters which CONSTANTINE addressed to that council in favour of A r us and his followers. were in behalf of a second chief of that name, who put himfelf at the head of the Arians, and who, in conjunction with Euzorus, presented to Constantine such a confession of their faith, as made him imagine their doctrine to be orthodox, and procured their reconciliation with the church at the council of Jerufalem. See Annet. Valef. ad Hift. Socrat. lib. i. cap. xxxiii. P#16.

Yot. I.

CENT. repealed the laws that had been enacted against PART II. him, and permitted his chief protector, Eusebius - of Nicomedia, and his vindictive faction, to vex and oppress the partisans of the Nicene council in various ways! ATHANASIUS, bishop of Alexandria, was one of those who suffered most from the violent measures of the Arian party. Invincibly firm in his purpole, and deaf to the most powerful folicitations and entreaties, he obstinately refused to restore Axius to his former rank and office. On this account he was deposed, by the council held at Tyre, in the year 335, and was afterwards banished into Gaul, while Arrus and his followers were, with great folemnity, reinstated in their privileges, and received into the communion of the church. The people of Alexandria, unmoved by these proceedings in favour of Antos, perfifted to refuse him a place among their presbyters; upon which the emperor invited him to Constantinople in the year 336, and ordered ALEXANDER, the bilhop of that city, to admit him to his communion. But before this order could be put in execution, Arrus died at Conftantinople in a very dismal manner [y], and the em-

> [y] The difmal manner in which Arrus is faid to have expired, by his entrails falling out as he was discharging one of the natural functions, is a fact that has been called in queltion by some modern writers, though without foundation, fince it is confirmed by the unexceptionable testimonies of Socra-TES, SOZOMEN, ATHANASIUS, and others. The causes of this tragical death have, however, furnished much matter of dispute. The ancient writers, who confidered this event as a judgment of Heaven, miraculously drawn down, by the prayers of the just, to punish the impiety or Angus, will find little egadit, in our times, among such as have studied with attention and impartishly the history of Arianism. After having confidered this matter with the utmost care, it appears to me extremely probable, that this unhappy man was a victim to the referement of his enemies, and was defroyed by poilon, or force foch violent method. A blind and funatical zeal for certain fystems of faith has, in all ages, produced such horrible acts of cruelty and injustice. peror

perdy Constanting survived him but a short of we time.

XIV. After the death of Constanting the GREAT, one of his fons, COMSTANTIUS, Who, in four chart the division of the empire, became ruler of the number east, was warmly attached to the Afian party, whose principles were also zealously adopted by the empress, and, indeed, by the whole court. On the other hand, Constanting and Consigns, emperors of the well, maintained the decrees of the council of Nice throughout all the provinces where their jurifdiction extended. Hence arose endless animosities and seditions. treacherous plots, and open acts of injustice and violence between the two contending parties. Council was affembled against council, and their jairing and contradictory decrees spread perplexity and confusion throughout the Christian world.

In the year 350, Constans was affaffinated; and about two years after this, a great part of the western empire, particularly Rome and Italy, fell into the hands of his brother Constantius. This change was extremely unfavourable to those who adhered to the decrees of the council of Nice. The emperor's attachment to the Arians animated him against their adversaries, whom he involved in various troubles and calamities, and obliged many of them, by threats and punishment, to come over to the fect which he effeemed and protected. Among these forced profesytes was Liberius the Roman pontif, who was compelled to embrace Atlantism in the year 357. The Nicene party meditated reprifals, and waited only a convenient time, a fit place, and a proper occation, for executing their refentment. Thus the history of the church, under the emperor Coxspantius, prefents to the reader a perpetual E e 2

410

CENT. Scene of turnult and violence, and the deplorable PARTIL Spectacle of a war carried on between brothers. without religion, justice, or humanity.

Vader Ju-Mara end Terim.

XV. The death of Constantius, in the year 362, changed confiderably the face of religious affairs, and diminished greatly the strength and influence of the Arian party. Julian, who, by his principles, was naturally prevented from taking a part in the controverly, bestowed his protection on neither fide, but treated them both with an impartiality which was the result of a perfect indifference. JOVIAN, his successor, declared himself in favour of the Nicene doctrine; and immediately the whole west, with a considerable part of the eastern provinces, changed fides, conformed to the decrees of the council of Nice, and abjured the Arian system.

Under Vac '

The scene however changed again in the year and Valent, 364, when Valentinian, and his brother Va-LENS, were raised to the empire. VALENTINIAN adhered to the decrees of the Nicene council; and hence the whole Arian feet, a few churches excepted, was destroyed and extirpated in the west. VA-LENS, on the other hand, favoured the Arians; and his zeal for their cause exposed their adverfaries the Nicenians, in the eastern provinces, to many severe trials and sufferings. These troubles, however, ended with the reign of this emperor, who fell in a battle which was fought against the Goths in the year 378, and was succeeded by GRATIAN, a friend to the Nicenians, and the reftorer of their tranquillity. His zeal for their interests, though fervent and active, was furpassed by that of his successor, Theodosius the GREAT, who railed the fecular arm against the Arians, with a tetrible degree of violence, drove them from their churches, enacted laws, whose seve**wity** exposed them to the greatest calamitics,

ties [2], and rendered, throughout his dominions, CRNT the decrees of the council of Nice triumphant over PART II all opposition; so that the public profession of the Arian doctrine was confined to the barbarous and unconquered nations, such as the Burgundians, Goths, and Vandals.

During this long and violent contoft between the Nicenians and Arians, the attentive and impartial will acknowledge, that unjustifiable measures were taken, and great excesses committed on both sides. So that when, abstracting from the merits of the cause, we only consider with what temper, and by what means, the parties defended their respective opinions, it will be difficult to determine which of the two exceeded most the bounds of probity, charity, and moderation.

XVI. The efforts of the Arians to maintain Visious their cause, would have been much more prejudicial to the church than they were in effect, had not the members of that fest been divided among themselves, and torn into factions, which regarded each other with the bitterest aversion. Of these, the ancient writers make mention under the names of Semi-arians, Eufebians, Actians, Eunomians, Acasians, Plathyrians, and others: but they may all be ranked, with the utmost propriety, into three classes. The first of these were the primitive and genuine Arians, who rejecting all those forms and modes of expression which the moderns had invented to render their opinions left shocking to the Niocnians, taught simply, " That " the Son was mat begotten of the Father (i. e. " produced out of his substance), but only created " out of nothing." This class was opposed by the Semi-arians, who, in their turn, were abandoned by the Euromians or Anomæans, the disciples of Ærius and Eunomius, of whom the latter was eminent for his knowledge and penetration,

•[2] See Codex Theodofianu, tom. vi. p. 5. 10 180. 146 ? as alle Godor a e o's Annotations thereupon.

CENT. The Semi-erlans held, that the Son was chargenet. PART II. i. c. fimilar to the Father in his effence, not by nature. but by a peculiar privilege; and the leading men of this party were, George of Lacdicea, and Bastutus of Ancyra [a]. The Eunomians, who were also called Actians and Exucontians, and may be counted in the number of pure Arians, maintained, that CHRIST was ireposition, or avolution, i. e. unlike the Father, as well in his effence, as in other respects [b]. Under this general division, many other subordinate fects were comprehended, whose subtilties and refinements have been but obscurely developed by the ancient writers. The Arian cause suffered as much from the discord and ani. mosities that reigned among these sects, as from the laboured confutations and the zealous efforts of the orthodox party.

XVII. The Arian controversy produced new fects, occasioned by the indifcrect lengths to which the contending parties pushed their refpective opinions. And fuch, indeed, are too generally the unhappy effects of disputes, in which human passions have so large a part. Some, while they were careful in avoiding, and zealous in opposing, the sentiments of Arius, ran headlong into systems of doctrine of an equally dangerous and pernicious nature. Others, in defending the Arian notions, went further than their chief, and thus fell into errors much more extravagant than those which he maintained. does it generally happen in religious controverfies: the human mine, amids as present imperfection and infirmity, and its unhappy subjection

The Apollinarian berefy.

> [a] Sce PRUD. MARAN's Differt. fur les Semi-ariens, of which the learned Voigt has given a second edition in his Biblioth. Harefieleg. tom. ii. p. 110.

^[6] See Basnagu's Differt. de Amelio, in the Lectiones Metique of CANISIUS, tom. i. p. 172, where we find the confession and apology of Eunqueus jet extant. See also Jo. Alb. Pangic. Bibliotheca Grae. vol. viii. p. 100-148, and the Cadex Theodof. 10m. vi. p. 147. 155. 157. 167. 200, &c.

to the empire of imagination and the dictates of C N T. fense, rarely follows the middle way in the search of truth, or contemplates spiritual and divine things with that accurateness and simplicity, that integrity and moderation, which alone can guard against erroneous extremes.

Among those who fell into such extremes by their inconsiderate violence in opposing the Arian fystem, Apollinaris the younger, bishop of Laudicea, may be justly placed, though otherwise a man of diftinguished merit, and one whose learned labours had rendered to religion the most important fervices. He defended itrenuously the divinity of Christ against the Arians; but, by indulging himfelf too freely in philofophical diftinctions and fubtitues, he was carried to far as to deny, in some measure, his bumanity. He maintained that the body, which Curist affumed, was endowed with a fenfitive, and not a rational, foul; and that the Divine Nature performed the functions of reason, and supplied the place of what we call the mind, the spiritual and intellectual principle in man. And from this it feemed to follow as a natural confequence, that the Divine Nature in Christ was blended with the buman. and suffered with it the pains of crucifixion and death itself [c]. This great man was led aftray, not only by his love of disputing, but also by an immoderate attachment to the Platonic doctrine, concerning the two-fold nature of the foul, which was too generally adopted by the divines of this age; and which undoubtedly, perverted their judgment in several respects, and led them to erroneous and extravagant desisions on various fublects.

E e 4

ar [c] However errogeous the hypothesis of Arglets and may have been, the consequences here drawn from it are not entirely just; for if it is true, that the human foul does not, in any respect, suffer death by the dissolution of the bady, the same sould hold good with respect to the divino nature.

C F N T. Other errors, befide that now mentioned, are PART II. impliced to Apollinaris by certain ancient writers; but it is not easy to determine how far they deserve credit upon that head [d]. Be that as it will. his doctrine was received by great numbers in almost all the eastern provinces, though by the different explications that were given of it, its votaries were subdivided into various sects. It did not, however, maintain its ground long; but, being attacked at the fame time by the laws of the emperors, the decrees of councils, and the writings of the karned, it funk, by degrees, under their united force.

Marcellus M Auryra.

XVIII. MARCILLUS, bishop of Ancyra in Galatia, may be ranked in the same class with Apollinaris, if we are to give credit to Euseries of Cafarea, and the rest of his advertisies, who'represent his explication of the doctrine of the Triniey as bordering upon the Sabellian and Samofatenian errors. Many however are of opinion, that Eusebrus of Cafarea, and the bishop of Nicomedia, who bore the fame name, reprefented with partiality the fentiments of MAR-CELLUS, on account of the bitterness and vehemence which he discovered in his opposition to the Arians, and their protectors. But though it should be acknowledged, that, in some particulars, the acculations of his enemies carried an aspect of partiality and refentment, yet it is manifest, that they were far from being entirely groundless: For, if the doctrine of MARCELLUS be attentively examined, it will appear, that he

[🖅] See Bashach's Historia Harress Apollingris, publined a 🕆 fecond time by Volar, in his Bibliotheco Itarefologica, com. i. factic. i. p. 1 - go. and improved by some learned and importent additions. See plfo tom. i. fatere, in and p. 607. of this latter work. The laws that were enacted against the foiperen of Apollimaris, are extant in the Theodofian Cade, tam. vi. p. 144. See an account of APOLLINARIS, and his Herefy, in the English edition of Baples's Deliconary, at the article APolitin a 213.

Divisions and Herefies. CHAP. V.

confidered the Son and the Holy Ghoft as topo C B N TN emunations from the Divine Nature, which, after PARTE performing their respective offices, were to return again into the substance of the Father; and every one will perceive, at first sight, how incompatible this opinion is with the belief of Ibree diffins Persons in the Godhead. Besides this, a particular circumstance, which augmented considerably the aversion of many to Marcellus, as also the fulpicion of his erring in a capital manner, was his obtlinately refuting, towards the conclusion of his life, to condemn the tenets of his disciple. PHOTINUS [e].

XIX. PHOTINUS, bishop of Sirmium, may, The act of with propriety, be placed at the head of those Phothus whom the Arian controverly was the occasion of seducing into the most extravagant errors. This prelate published, in the year 343, his opinions concerning the Deity, which were equally repugnant to the Orthodox and Arian systems. His notions, which have been but obscurely, and indeed formetimes inconfiffently, repreferted by the ancient writers, amount to this, when attentively examined: " That Jesus Christ was born of " the Holy Ghost and the Virgin Mary; that " a certain divine emanation, or ray (which he " called the word) descended upon this extraor-" dinary man; that, on account of the union of " the divine word with his buman nature, Jesus " was called the Son of God, nay, God himself; " and that the Holy Ghoft was not a diffinct per-" fon, but a celeftial virtue-proceeding from the " Deity." The temerity of this bold innovator was chaffiled, not only by the Orthodox in the councils of Antioch [f] and Milan, held in the **УСВГ**

[[]e] See Montelucos's Distribe de Carfe Martelliste Nova Collettione Pargum Gracerum, tom. u. v. es: as also Genvases, Vue de R. Epiphane, p. 42.

445

E M To years 345 and 347, and in that of Sirmium, whose PARTH, date is uncertain, but also by the Arians in one of their affemblies held at Sirmium, in the year 351. In confequence of all this, Photinus was degraded from the episcopal dignity, and died in exile in the year 372 [g].

The hereiv of Macedo. وممتد

XX. After kim arole Macedonius, bishop of Constantinople, a very eminent Semi-arian doctor, who, through the influence of the Eunomians, was deposed by the council of Conftantinople, in the year 360, and fent into exile, where he formed the fect of the Macidonians, or Pneumatomachians. In his exile, he declared with the utmost freedom those sentiments which he had formerly either concealed, or, at least, taught with much circumspection. He considered the Holy Ghost as " a divine energy diffused throughout the uni-" verse, and not as a person distinct from the " Father and the Son [b]." This opinion had many partifans in the Afiatic provinces; but the council affembled by Theodosius, in the year. 381, at Constantinople (to which the second rank among the acumenical or general councils is commonly attributed), put a stop by its authority to the growing evil, and crushed this rising sect before it had arrived at its full maturity. An hundred and fifty bishops, who were present at this council, gave the finishing touch to what the council of Nice had left imperfect, and fixed, in a full and determinate manner, the doctrine

A Harpfarchie L vi Apotolici.
[b] Socn a fus, Hift. Ecclef. lib. iv. dup. iv.

cil of Autoch, in 345, was held by the Arians, or Eufebians, and not by the Orthodox, as our author affirms. See Lazo-MERI's Credibility, &cc. vol. in. p. 13; fee also ATHA & Xb." De Synod, N vi. vii. Compared with Socnat. lib. ii. cap. xviii,

^[8] Or in 375, as is compluded from Jerome's Chronicle.-MATT. LARKOQUE, De Photim, et spui abiliplici condemnafine TROM-ITTICIUS, Eiferia Phulm in App. ad librum

CHAR. V. Divisions and Herefies.

of three Persons in one God, which is as yet ter a 📭 📫 ceived among the generality of Chultians. venerable affembly did not ftop here; they branded, with infamy, all the errors, and fet a mark of execuation upon all the herefies, that were hitherto known; they advanced the bishop of Conflantinople, on account of the eminence and extent of the city in which he relided, to the first rank after the Roman Pontif, and determined feveral other points, which they looked upon as effential to the well-being of the church in ge-

neral [1].

XXI. The ficezy of the ancient Gnostics, The Prices. which had been to often vanquished, and in ap- limits. pearance removed, by the various remedies that had been used for that purpose, broke out anew in Spain. It was transported thither, in the beginning of this century, by a certain person, named MARO of Memphis, in Egypt, whole converts at first were not very numerous. They increased, however, in process of time, and counted in their number several persons highly eminent for their learning and piety. Among others, Priscil-LIAN, a layman, diftinguished by his birth, fortune, and eloquence, and afterwards bishop of Abila, was infected with this odious doctrine, and became its most zealous and ardent defender. Hence he was accused by several bishops, and, by a rescript obtained from the emperor GRA-TIAN, he was banished, with his followers, from Spain [k]; but was restored, some time after,

[1] SOCRATE : 130. Ecclef. lib. v. cap. viii. p. 684. Sozos. r. deff. Ecclef. lib. vii. cap. vii. p. 711.

^{(7 [4]} This bandhment was the effect of a sentence pronounced against Priscillian, and some of his followers, by a fynod convened at Saragoffa in the year 180; in confequence of which, In a cases and IT HACLOS, two cruel and perfecuting ecclefighties, obtained from Gaatlan the rescript abovemen tioned. See Sulvic. Seven. H.A. Sacr. him il. cap. Mrii p. 283. edit. Leipfick, Svo.

BENT. by an edict of the same prince, to his country and PARTIL his functions. His fufferings did not end heres for he was accused a second time, in the year 384 [1], before Maximus, who had procured the affalknation of GRATIAN, and made himself master of Gaul; and, by the order of that prince, was put to death, at Treves, with some of his associates. The agents, however, by whose barbarous zeal this sentence was obtained, were justly regarded with the utmost abhorrence by the bishops of Gaul and Italy [m]; for Christians had not yet learned, that giving over heretics to be punished by the magistrates, was either an act of piety or justice [n]. [No: This abordinable doctrine was referved for those rimes, when religion was to become an instrument of despotism, or a pretext for the exercise of malevolence, vengeance, and paide, }

The death of Priscillian was less pernicious to the progress of his opinions, than might na-

[1] Upon the death of GRATIAN, who had favoured PRISCILLIAN, towards the latter end of his reign, IT HACTUS presented to Maximus a petition against him; whereupon this prince appointed a council to be held at Bourdeaux, from which Paiscillian appealed to the prince himfelf. Supr. Seven, lib. ii. cap. xlix. p. 287.

[m] It may be interesting to the reader to hear the character of the first person that introduced civil persecution into the Christian church. " He'was a man abandoned to the " most corrust indolence, and without the least tincture of true " piety. He was audacious, talkative, impudent, luxurious, and a flave to his belly. He accused as hereties, and as er protectors of PRISCILLIAN, all those whose lives were se confecrated to the purfait of piety and knowledge, or dif-" tinguished by acts of mortification and abitinence," Ur. Such is the character which Surprotus Sevenus, who had an Extreme aversion to the sentiments of Priscillian, gives us of it had no said shop of Soffuba, by whose means he was put to death.

[#] See Sulp. Savan, Hift. Sacr. edit. Leipf. 8vo. 1709. where MARTIN, the truly apostolical bishop of Tours, fays to The Receivers, Nicolan affe et inaudieum nefas ut caufam ecclofia juden feculi judicieres. See also Dial. tile manita Martiei, cap. cl. P- 495.

Christ

turally have been expected. His doctrine not only OBNT. furvived him, but was propagated through the part 14 greatest part of Spain and Gaul. And, even so far down as the fixth century, the followers of this unhappy man gave much trouble to the bishops and clergy in these provinces.

XXII. None of the ancient writers have given Their docan accurate account of the doctrine of the Prife truecillianists. Many, on the contrary, by their injudicious reprefentations of it, have highly diffigured it, and added new degrees of obscurity to a system which was before sufficiently dark and perplexed. It appears, however, from authentic records, that the difference between their doctrine, and that of the Mamcheans, was not very confiderable. For " they denied the reality of " Christ's birth and incarnation; maintained, " that the visible universe was not the production " of the Supreme Deity, but of Yome demon, " or malignant principle; adopted the doctrine " of cons, or commutations, from the divine " nature; confidered human bodies as prisons " formed, by the author of evil, to enflave " celestial minds; condemned marriage, " difbelieved the refurrection of the body." Their rule of life and manners was rigid and fevere; and the accounts which many have given of their lastiviousness and intemperance deserve not the least credit, as they are totally destitute of evidence and authority. That the Priscillianists were guilty of diffimulation upon force occasions, and deceived their adversaries by cunning stratagems, is true: but that they held it as a maxim. that Tying and perjury were lappful, is a most motorious falschood, without even the least fliedow of probability [0], however commonly this odious

[0] See Stmon Ba Vages. Differt. Critice of Prifeillianshie, printed at Urreche, in the year 1745, in 410. The only defect in this differention is the implicit manner in which the

CENT. doctrine has been laid to their charge. In the heat PARTIL of controversy, the eye of passion and prejudice is tod apt to confound the principles and opinions of men with their practice.

Inferior fear

XXIII. To what we have here faid concerning those famolis lects which made a noise in the world, it will not be improper to add fome account of those of a less considerable and inserior kind.

Andzeus, a man of remarkable virtue, being excommunicated in Mria, on account of the freedoin and importunity with which he centured the corrupt and licentious manners of the clergy, formed an affembly of those who were attached to him, and became, by his own appointment, their bishop. Binished into Scythia, by the emperor, he went among the Goths, where his left flourished and augmented confiderably. ancient writers are not agreed about the time in which we are to date the origin of this feet. With respect to its religious inflitutions, we know that they differed in some points from those observed by other Christians: and particularly, that the followers of Andaus celebrated Eafter, or the Paschal feast, with the Jews, contrary to the express decree of the council of Nice. With respect to their doctrine, several errors have been imputed to them [p], and this, among others, that they attributed to the Deity a human form.

XXIV

author follows BEAUSOBRE's History of the Manichears, taking every thing for granted which is athroad on that work. See also FRANC. GIRVESII H storia Prescultanistarum Cirono-logow, published at Rone, in the year 1750, in 8vo. We find, morecoef in the twenty-feventh volume of the Opufculum Scienstifeum of Angenus Calogena, a treatife intitled, Bach.ajus Muftrate, , feu de Prefeillana Harefit differtatio; but this differtation appears rather intended to clear, up the affair of Ba-CHYARUS, then to give a full account of the Princillaruits and

their doctrine. ()
[p] Ergpyanius, Harry, Ixe. p. [si. Augustin. De Harry, cap. !! Theodores. Falsel, Hars. bb. iv. cap. ix. p. 671.

XXIV. The Grecian and Oriental writers place. EENT. in this century, the rife of the fect of the Meff- PARTHE hans, or Fuchites, whose doctrine and discipline were, indeed, much more ancient, and subsisted, Mefistana, or Euchites. even before the Birth of CHRIST, in Syria, Egypt, and other eastern countries, but who do not leem to have been formed into a religious body before the latter end of the age of which we now write. There fanatics, who lived after the monkish fashion, and withdrew from all commerce and fociety with their fellow-creatures, feem to have derived their name from their habit of continual privar, " They imagined, that the mind of " every man was inhibited by an evil diemon, " whom it was impossible to expel by any other-" means than by constant prayer and finging of " hadee, and that, when this malignant furgit " was call out, the pure mind returned to God, " and was again unued to the Devine Effence from " whence it had been teparated." 10 this leading teget they added many other enormous opinions, which bear a manifest resemblance of the Manichean doctrine, and are evidently drawn from the fame fource from whence the Maniche ins derived their criors, even from the tenets of the Oriental philotophy [q]. In a word: the huchites were a fort of Mystics, who imag and, according to the Oriental notion, that two fouls relided in man, the one good, and the other evil; and who were zealous in haltening the re-

p. 671. Jo Jokes Schrodes D. fertat. de Ardeann, pibn hed in Votor's B. blivil eea Hytoria Harefilig. tom. L. part III.°p°c78.

[[]q] Erienanius, Harry lxxx p. 1057. Theodom T. Haret Tabul lib. 1v c.p. x p. 672. Tinde neut, Pref-biter de resultare Haretton, published in the third volume of Coteletius I have Beelefie page, 203. Jac-Tollit infigura ideale the printed that printed no Biblio-thera Onimalis Bailchair and Th. 128. ideal. part II. P. 172, &c.

CENTA turn of the good spirit to God, by contemplation PART II. and prayer. The external air of piety and devorton. which accompanied this feet, imposed upon many; 'while the Greeks, on the other hand, opposed it

with vehemence in all fucceeding ages.

It is proper to observe here, that the title of Mes. falians and Euchites had a very extensive application among the Greeks and the Orientals, who gave it to all those who endeavoured to raise the foul to God by recalling and withdrawing it from all terrestrial and sensible objects; however these enthufialts might differ from each other in their opinions on other fablects.

The Antidico-maria Tites and the Collytidiene.

XXV. Towards the conclusion of this century, **two** opposite sects involved Arabia and the adjacent countries in the troubles and tumults of a new These jarring sactions went by the controverly. names of Antidico marianites and Collyridians. The former maintained, that the Virgin M v v did not always preferve her immaculate thate, but received the embraces of her husband Jose in after The latter, on the co. ary, the birth of Christ. who were fingularly favoured by the female lex, running into the opposite extreme, worshipped the Bleffed Virgin as a goddess, and judged it necessary to appeale her anger, and feek her favour and protection, by libations, facrifices and oblations of cakes (callyridæ), and such like fervices [r].

Other fects might be mentioned here, but they are too obscure and inconsiderable to deserve notice.

[r] See Epiphan. Maref. luxvin, luxix. p. 1003 and 1057.

ENDOOF THE FIRST VOLUME.

