

versed the outer apartment, descended the stairs, without any one being able to stop him, and reached the court-yard of the Temple. He addressed the guards in the most pitiful terms of supplication, his hands clasped, and throwing himself on his knees. "Let me pass, gentlemen; let me pass!—I want to speak to the people—to intreat them not to kill my papa, the king.—Ah! let me pass, gentlemen; in the name of God, do not hinder me!"—His intreaties were vain, and he was compelled to return^m.

The king's
piety.

The king returned to his own room in a state of inexpressible emotion. When he was somewhat recovered, he said to Edgeworth, "Alas! Why do I love with so much tenderness, and wherefore am I so tenderly beloved?" He then remained a few minutes in silent meditation, sighing and shedding tears. This natural effusion of sensibility being past, he began a religious conversation, and astonished his confessor no less by his extensive knowledge, than by his exemplary piety.

His last
meal.

At ten o'clock, Clery intreated him, with tears in his eyes, to take some supper. In compliance with the wish of his faithful valet, the king ate a small quantity, and persuaded Edgeworth to do the same.

Desirous of
receiving
the sacra-
ment.

After the meal, the abbé asked if the king would not desire to hear mass, and receive the communion. The king expressed the most earnest inclination, but suggested his despair of being able to prevail on the commissioners to allow it.—Edgeworth, whose zeal was not damped by the insults he had already undergone, undertook to solicit the favor. He judged rightly in expecting it would

Edgeworth
requests
permission
to admini-
ster it.

^m This account respecting the dauphin is given by many authors, and particularly by Necker (on the Revolution, v. i. p. 106). It is in some respects improbable, and, notwithstanding the vouchers, to be considered with caution; but it is so interesting, that I did not think the omission of it justifiable.

not be granted without considerable difficulties, and many injurious reflections. On his first application, one of the commissioners said, "There are examples in history of priests who have mixed poison with the hostie." Suppressing his indignation at this reflection, Edgeworth calmly replied, "I have been sufficiently searched to satisfy you, but, to obviate all doubts, you yourselves may furnish me with the hostie." The council took time to deliberate, and at length agreed to the request on two conditions; first, that the priest should reduce the demand to writing, and sign it; and secondly, that the ceremony should conclude before seven o'clock the next morning. Edgeworth acceded to these proposals, and immediately went to impart the tidings. The king received them with gratitude, and prostrated himself in thanksgiving to God for the mercy. He then made his preparatory confession, that he might receive the sacrament the next day.

Edgeworth, seeing the king much exhausted, prevailed on him to lie down, and himself lay in Clery's bed. With a perfect consciousness that his last moment was rapidly approaching, the king slept the sleep of innocence, calm and undisturbed. Exempt from the terrors of conscience which would have haunted a tyrant under the same circumstances, he passed this his last night without agitation and in perfect tranquillity.

At five in the morning, the king called for Clery to assist him in dressing. He heard mass, and received the communion with the most profound devotion, expressing to the abbé his high sense of God's grace in permitting him to retain his faith in religion.

The king possessed a firmness and presence of mind superior even to that of his confessor. A noise was heard; Edgeworth, apprehensive that the fatal moment was already arrived, shewed signs of terror.

terror. The king maintained his wonted serenity. It was only the guard resuming their posts. His majesty addressed one of them, apparently to make some request. The answer he received was ; “ *Ci-toyen, c’étoit bon ça quand vous étiez roi, mais vous ne l’êtes plus.*—That might have been well enough, “ citizen, when you were a king, but that’s not the “ case now.” The king turned to Edgeworth, saying, “ You see how I am treated ; but nothing can “ shock me now.”—At this period he heard footsteps on the stairs,—“ They are coming,” he said without emotion.

Arrival of
the com-
missioners.

The commissioners of the *commune*, with a constitutional priest, named Jaques Roux, at their head, came to announce that the hour was at hand. “ It “ is enough,” said the king ; “ I will join you directly ; but I wish to pass a few moments alone “ with my confessor.” He then repeated his recommendation of his family, and added a request, that Clery might be permitted to attend *the queen* ; fearful that the terms in which the wish was announced might frustrate its execution, he hastily corrected himself, and said, *my wife*. He offered a packet to Roux, desiring him to deliver it to the commune ; but this brutal imitator of Chaumette answered, “ It “ is my duty to conduct you to the place of execution, and nothing more.”—“ You are right,” said the king, and presented it to another commissioner, who accepted the charge, and delivered it faithfully.

Benevo-
lence of
Edge-
worth.

When he was retired with Edgeworth, he said ; “ All is consummated. Give me your last benediction.” That tenderness of regard with which he always considered his friends, and which extended even to his enemies, had prevented the king from requesting the abbé to accompany him ; but that worthy minister voluntarily offered it, and professed his determination not to quit him. This promise cheered the king, and added to the complacency with

with which he was prepared to meet his last moment.

The king returned to the room where Santerre was waiting for him, and, in a firm tone, pronounced the word, *Marchons !* Before they came to the stairs of the Temple, the king, observing that the commissioners were all covered, desired Clery to bring his hat, which he put on. He walked through the first court, and found the carriage in the second. Two commissioners, Jaques Roux, and another constitutional priest, named Jaques Claude Bernard, entered the coach. Two ill-looking fellows, belonging to the *gendarmes*, stood at the door. One of them entered the carriage; the king followed with Edgeworth, and the other *gendarme* placed himself by his comrade*. They set out between half past eight and nine o'clock.

Departure
from the
Temple.

A profound silence prevailed among the people. The escort consisted of twelve hundred men, being twenty-five from each section of Paris, selected as tried patriots, and expert in military discipline. All the streets were, besides, crowded with national guards. The doors of most of the houses were shut, and the police had strictly forbidden any one to appear at the windows. All the acts of authority on that day bore the impression of crime, fear, and violence.

Procession
through
Paris.

Jaques Roux, seated opposite the king, fixed his eyes on him during the whole time. As the progress was extremely slow, the king asked Edgeworth for a prayer book. The abbé had none but his breviary, which he gave him, pointing out those psalms which were most proper in his situation. The king continued reading with great devotion, till he came

The king
arrives at
the scaf-
fold.

* From the disturbed, fierce, and menacing countenances of those two men, M. Edgeworth suspected that they had orders to assassinate the king in the coach, in case of the appearance of any powerful attempt to rescue him: The public papers of the following day asserted that this suspicion was but too well founded. *Bernard's Memoirs*, vol. iii. p. 273.

to the foot of the guillotine, which was erected between the pedestal which had supported the statue of Louis XV. and the *Champs Elisées*. He arrived at twenty minutes after ten.

His anxiety for Edgeworth.

The executioners having opened the coach-door, the king, laying his hand on Edgeworth's knee, said to the *gendarmes*, "Gentlemen, I recommend M. Edgeworth to your protection." They made no immediate answer, and he repeated his request with greater earnestness. "I conjure you to take care that no harm befall him after my death." One of these wretches, in a harsh, ironical tone of voice, replied, "Well, well, give yourself no farther trouble; we shall take care of him."

His hands tied.

The king, having thrown off his coat, was going to ascend the scaffold, when they seized his hands, intending to tie them behind his back. As he was not prepared for this last insult, his first movement was to repel it with indignation. But Edgeworth, sensible that all resistance would be vain, and would expose the king to outrages still more violent, said, "Sire, this new humiliation is another circumstance in which your majesty's sufferings resemble those of our Saviour, who will soon be your reward." The king's repugnance was instantly subdued. With a dignified air of resignation, he presented his hands. The executioners, drawing the cords with all their force, the king mildly said, "There is no need to pull so tight."

Edgeworth's benediction.

While he was ascending the scaffold, Edgeworth, as if by inspiration, uttered those remarkable words so well known throughout all Europe, "LOUIS, SON OF ST. LOUIS, ASCEND TO HEAVEN".

The king's speech,

As soon as the king came upon the scaffold, advancing with a firm step, to the part which faced the

* It is not a little remarkable that the agitation of this worthy man was so great that he forgot ever having uttered these words, though all writers agree in the fact. See Bertrand's *Memoirs*, vol. iii. p. 275. n.

palace, he desired the drums to cease, and was immediately obeyed, in spite of the orders they had received. He then pronounced, loud enough to be heard at the garden of the Tuilleries, "Frenchmen, I die innocent of all the crimes which have been imputed to me. I forgive my enemies. I implore God, from the bottom of my heart, to pardon them, and not to take vengeance on the French nation for the blood about to be shed.—"

He was continuing, when the brutal Santerre^p pushed furiously towards the drummers, and forced them to beat, without intermission. The executioners seized their victim, and placed him under the axe of the guillotine. And execution.

These transactions, from the time of his reaching the place of execution, occupied only two minutes. Edgeworth remained kneeling on the scaffold in a state of stupor, till roused by the cries of the populace; when he retired to the house of Malesherbes.

As soon as the act was done, the people, who had hitherto maintained a profound silence, exclaimed, *Vive la republique!* A troop of young men, placed for the purpose, commenced a dance round the scaffold. A youth, between eighteen and twenty years of age, caught up the bleeding head, and brandishing it with ferocious exultation, cried, *Vive la Nation!* Several persons dipped the points of pikes, pieces of paper, and pocket handkerchiefs in the blood. The king's hair had been cut off, before he ascended the scaffold, and was sold in small parcels for considerable sums. These latter actions are such as might, in some, proceed from mere curiosity, or a worse motive; in others they were undoubtedly Conduct of the people.

^p Montjoye asserts, I know not on what authority, that Santerre is unjustly accused of this atrocity; but he does not say who it was that ordered the drums to beat. See *Eloge*, p. 322. n. *Conjuration de d'Orleans*, vol. iii. p. 240. n. The assertion is contrary to every testimony.

the genuine display of loyalty, veneration, and pity. The theatres were shut in the evening; and the whole city appeared the residence of confusion and dismay¹.

The king
buried.

On the day of the king's execution, an old servant of his father, named le Duc, addressed a letter to the convention, praying for leave to inter him at Sens, with the rest of his family. This request was refused, on the motion of Chabot, who said, that Louis ought to be buried with other citizens, in the burying place of the section where he last resided. Legendre moved, that he might be permitted to cut up the body into eighty-four pieces, and send one to each of the departments, and the heart to the convention². The king's body was thrown without ceremony into a space in the church-yard of Saint Mary Magdalen, which was filled with quick lime, carefully guarded till the body was supposed to be entirely consumed, and then levelled with the circumjacent ground, that every trace of the spot where the monarch was deposited might be effectually obliterated³. His untimely end was honored by a general mourning in England, and most other countries in Europe.

Observa-
tions on his
character.

In relating the transactions of this unfortunate sovereign's life and reign, I have had so many occasions to digress in order to refute calumnies, and so many reasons for displaying the motives of his conduct, that nothing remains by which his character

¹ In relating the proceedings of the two last days of the life of Louis, I have principally relied on Bertrand, who drew his information from Edgeworth, and has detailed it with a precision, simplicity, and elegance, which I am apprehensive must have suffered considerably by the abridgment. See *Memoirs*, vol. iii. p. 258 to 277. I have also consulted the *Histories*: Moore's *Journal*, vol. ii. p. 590, et seq.; Necker on the Revolution, vol. i. p. 404, et seq.; *Eloge*; Roux's Report to the Commune; and various other documents.

² *Debates*. Robespierre à ses Commettans, vol. ii. p. 234. *Eloge*, p. 304.

³ *Histories*. Miss Williams's *Letters in 1793*, vol. ii. Montjoye says he was buried under the organ in the church. *Eloge*, p. 330.

can be illustrated. The efforts of calumny have been so great and so successful, that the evidence of all his friends, and even the reluctant testimony of his enemies, have been insufficient to rescue his fame from obloquy. The want of firmness and courage is the principal fault, and the one most generally attributed to him; but that seems to result from a judgment formed on subsequent events, and from not duly considering his character in other particulars. The king certainly did not possess that active courage which prompts resistance, and produces magnanimous efforts; but in all his sufferings he displayed an energetic presence of mind which demonstrated that no exertion was too great for him, had his personal danger been the only obstacle. Could Louis have foreseen the events of the revolution so far as they personally affected him, it is very much to be doubted whether he would have altered his conduct, whether he would not have sacrificed his crown and his life rather than have resorted to measures which had a tendency to involve his country in a war, either civil or foreign. Averse to force, policy could alone prevent the incroachments of faction, and preserve the existence of royalty. The circumstances in which he was placed were so new, that experience, or any judgment formed on the experience of others, could not avail him. Advisers were so numerous, so specious, so contradictory, and so unsuccessful, that it is not wonderful that he is censured by so many writers for not adhering implicitly to their plans. His interrogatory, and his last will, remain undeniable testimonials of the quickness and correctness of his judgment, and the sincerity and goodness of his heart. His conduct on the most trying occasions, particularly the various insurrections which disgraced the latter years of his reign, denote his magnanimity; and his conduct, from the time his trial commenced till the moment which terminated his existence, forms a picture of excellence

lence almost surpassing humanity, and demonstrates the transcendent benefits of that religious purity which takes the sense of shame from premeditated ignominy, which deprives cruelty of its venom, and death of its sting.

Such was the man whom his ill fate doomed to reign over the French in an age when these virtues insured ruin instead of respect! Such was the man whose murder is still annually celebrated by an inhuman and impious festival!

Grief of
the queen.

THE eye of contemplation naturally turns towards the unhappy widow of the murdered monarch. On the day of their last interview, when the king quitted her, all comfort fled. No consideration could prevent her pouring forth her indignation in the most violent expressions against his enemies. She displayed the most poignant grief, and her screams were heard at intervals during the whole night¹. The next day brought her the melancholy confirmation of all her apprehensions, and extinguished all hope (if perchance hope faintly glimmered in her mind) of seeing her husband once again. Her first act, after his death, displayed her sensibility, and shewed to what an abject state of degradation she was brought. She was obliged to petition the commissioners on duty at the Temple for mourning for herself and family. This request was referred to the convention, together with another, that Clery might be permitted to attend her son. The convention granted the first, but adjourned the consideration of the other demand; and it was never renewed².

Jan. 24th
She applies
for mourn-
ing.

Mode of
living in
the Tem-
ple.

In the conflict of parties which ensued from the death of the king to the beginning of June, when the triumph of the Mountain was complete, little

¹ Moore's Journal, vol. ii. p. 596.

² Debates.

attention was bestowed on the survivors of the royal family. They remained in the Temple under the inspection of commissioners, badly attended and wantonly insulted. The instruction of the two children formed at once an employment and a solace to their elder relatives. The young prince being now considered as king of France, was treated with suitable respect, and seated at the head of the table. A magnanimous nation would have considered this only as a natural effusion of parental tenderness, or, at worst, as an unimportant exhibition of inherent pride; but the republicans of France could not forgive, and it formed part of the accusations against both the queen and princess Elizabeth^x.

The promise of the convention to shew its beneficence and justice, by taking due care of the royal family, had been treated by Robespierre, at the moment it was made, as an insignificant and servile effort of royalism^y; and it was not to be doubted that when his party acquired an unlimited ascendancy, they would act conformably to these ferocious sentiments. Their first act of inhumanity was to separate the young prince from his surviving parent, and place him under the care of one Simon, a cobbler, who was invested with the charge of his education. This was done pursuant to a decree of the committee of public safety. The agony and distress of the unfortunate mother may be conceived, but not described. The fate of her husband was still fresh in her memory, and her mind foreboded the untimely end which injustice and cruelty were preparing for her son^z.

1st July.
The queen
separated
from her
son.

Having once again directed the attention of the people to the miserable wrecks of royalty, the lead-

1st Aug.
Her removal
from the
Temple.

^x See their Trials.

^y Robespierre à ses Commettans, vol. ii. p. 234.

^z Histories.—When Drouet mentioned to the convention the execution of this inhuman decree, Robespierre expressed surprize that he should name such contemptible people. See Debates, July 7.

ers of the convention were assiduous to gratify the inhuman passions they had excited, and to pursue the work of cruelty and blood to its utmost extent. This became the more necessary in order to amuse the public mind, and divert their attention from the general affairs of the republic, which seemed peculiarly unprosperous. When the account of the surrender of Valenciennes arrived, Barrere presented a long report, which he closed with two decrees consisting of thirty articles. They directed, "That Marie Antoinette should be referred to the extraordinary tribunal, and instantly removed to the prison of the Conciergerie,"—and that "*The expence of the two children of Louis Capet, should be reduced to what is necessary to keep and feed two individuals.*" In the night when this decree was passed, two municipal officers repaired to the Temple to announce and execute it. The queen was in bed; they insisted on her rising; and, at her request, withdrew while she dressed herself. They then searched her pockets, and, deaf to her intreaties, took away all their contents. The principal object of her supplication was a pocket-book, which she vainly desired to preserve. With much difficulty, she obtained permission to take with her a small parcel, containing a change of linen and other mere necessaries. She took a farewell of her daughter and the princess Elizabeth, who displayed the greatest sensibility and affection; she was refused the consolation of seeing her son; but preserved an unabated fortitude. She descended into the court-yard, where a hackney-coach was waiting to remove her, with her bundle under her arm. One of the officers tendered his hand to help her into the carriage; but she refused his assistance.

Situation
in the Con-
ciergerie.

On her arrival at the Conciergerie, the barking of two mastiffs threw her into convulsions, from which she did not recover till the morning. Her cell, which was half under ground, was only eight feet square, miserably furnished with a hard straw bed, and very

thin coverings; her diet, soup and boiled meat^a. Soon after her removal to the Conciergerie, she applied to the municipality for a few necessaries, which were brutally refused; the reason assigned was, that to grant them was against *la sainte égalité*^b.

Her beauty was quite gone, and her appearance now indicated that grief and agitation had brought her to a premature old age. The administrators of the police, to gratify a barbarous curiosity, and, perhaps from motives of interest, daily introduced into her cell a herd of spectators to gaze on the ruins of degraded royalty^c.

8th Oct.
Her trial
proposed.

In this miserable abode the unfortunate queen had been confined upwards of two months, when the end of her sufferings approached. Billaud de Varennes made a motion that she should be immediately put on her trial; and Fouquier Tainville, the public accuser, drew up the act of accusation. This composition was a mass of absurd allegations, supported by abusive epithets, and outrageous calumnies. It extended to all the events of her life, prior and subsequent to the revolution; and by implication, to some acts previous to her arrival in France. It is needless to discuss this atrocious and absurd performance, which charged the queen with the commission of almost every crime, as well political as moral, and imputed to her events in which she could not possibly have had any influence.

Having been summoned before the revolutionary tribunal, this flagitious farrago was read to her, and she was interrogated, and cross-examined respecting the facts alledged. In her examination she displayed the utmost firmness and dignity; she answered the questions with force and precision, and frequently retorted the accusation on her judges. Counsel, or

14th.
Her inter-
rogatory.

^a Histories. Proceedings and Reports in the Convention.

^b Residence in France edited by Giffard, vol. i. p. 387. n.

^c See her interrogatory in Jordan's Political State of Europe, vol. v. p. 156.

official defenders, were then assigned, and her trial ordered to commence the next day.

15th.
and trial.

On the ensuing day, she was again brought before this bloody tribunal, and witnesses called in support of the various charges. Many of these were brought from the prisons, and knew that their only chance of escaping the charge of confederacy was their making such depositions as would support the act of accusation. Many were examined to prove matters of hearsay and reputed public notoriety; some swore to conversations with third persons which were denied by the parties said to have held them, or so totally improbable as not to merit the slightest attention. The official defenders did not make a single observation in behalf of their client, or offer any objection to these proceedings.

Her magnanimity.

The queen conducted herself with the most heroic firmness, and displayed great presence of mind. During the first hours of the trial, she played with her fingers on the back of a chair, as if it had been a piano-forte. She was frequently obliged to answer questions put to her, arising from the assertions of the witnesses, and to make observations on their perversions and absurdities. As she made no speech of any length, her eloquence hardly presents itself as a topic of discussion; but she displayed in her answers great calmness and sagacity, and frequently gave instances of that forcible style of laconic expression suited to insulted majesty. Of this I shall present the following examples, in the words of the report of her examination and trial.

“*Observation.* That it was she who taught Louis Capet that art of profound dissimulation by which he had too long deceived the kind French nation, who did not suppose that perfidy and villainy could be carried to such a degree.”

“*Answer.* Yes;—*The people have been deceived, —cruelly deceived! but neither by me or my husband.*”

“ Q. By

" Q. By whom then has the people been deceived ?

" A. By those who felt it their interest ; but it never was ours^d."

Again.

" President. Was it not at *le Petit Trianon* that you were first acquainted with the woman *La motte* ?

" A. I never saw her.

" Q. Was she not your victim in the famous affair of the necklace ?

" A. She could not be so, because I did not know her.

" Q. You persist then to deny that you knew her ?

" A. My plan is not to deny ; I have told the truth, and will persist in telling it."

Further.

" President. Do you persist in saying that Bailly and *la Fayette* were not concerned in your flight on the night between the twentieth and twenty-first of June 1791 ?

" A. Yes,

" P. I shall observe to you, that in those facts you are contradicted by the declaration of your son.

" A. It is easy to make a child only eight years old say what one pleases,

" P. But one declaration alone was not judged sufficient—he was made to repeat it at different times—he has always said the same thing.

" A. Then I deny the fact^e."

But these instances fall far short of one, which though well known and generally repeated, is so indicative of the infamy of the court, and of the heroism, judgment, and sensibility of the unhappy prisoner, that I cannot omit it. In the act of accusation was a charge in these words: " That the widow Capet, in every respect immoral, and a new *Agrippina*, is

^d Jordan's Political State of Europe, vol. v. p. 153.

^e Ibid. p. 184.

^f Ibid. p. 189.

sentence; but, conscious of having defended herself rather with a view to manifest innocence, than to avoid condemnation, she bowed in token of submission. Her official defenders declined making any opposition; and the president having gathered the suffrages of his colleagues, pronounced sentence of death, and immediate execution.

16th.
and executed.

It was half past four o'clock, when the queen was remanded to prison, and put into the cell allotted to condemned criminals. At five the *generale* was beat. At seven the whole armed force was drawn out, and cannon placed on the bridges and in the squares. At half past eleven the queen was placed in a tumbril, or dung-cart, with her back to the horse, a mode of conveyance which, in the old system, was reckoned peculiarly infamous. She was dressed in a white waistcoat with sleeves, and a white cap, both discoloured with smoke, and disgracefully shabby; her neck and shoulders bare, and her hands tied behind her. By her side was seated the curate of St. Landrey (a constitutional priest, with whom she could not communicate) and the executioner. She maintained her wonted firmness and courage, and smiled contemptuously at the exclamations of the mob. When she ascended the scaffold, she looked towards the garden of the Tuilleries with some appearance of agitation. The executioner performed his office. Her head was displayed to the multitude; her corpse was interred like that of her husband, in the church-yard of *la Madeleine*, and the grave filled up with quick-lime^k.

Marie

^k See *Procès des Bourbons*, vol. iii. The trial of the queen in Jordan's *Political State of Europe*, vol. v. and one less perfect, published by the conductor of the *Times*. Miss Williams, in her *Letters* in 1794, vol. i. p. 155. asserts, that in returning to the *Conciergerie*, the queen's firmness forsook her;—that she burst into tears, but recollected herself, and promised to behave with more firmness in her way to the scaffold. She further asserts, that her countenance in the way to the place of execution evinced marks of agitation almost preter-

Marie Antoinette was sacrificed, to the inhospitable rage of the French nation, in the thirty-eighth year of her age. Of her early charms, and their premature decline, I have already spoken. The system of calumny pursued against her was so general and so extensive, that to undertake her total exculpation seems almost Quixotism. The principal charges advanced by her enemies are, dilapidation of the finances by her private expences, and by large remittances to her brother the emperor; and matrimonial infidelity. On the subject of her private expences the reader is referred to the preceding pages of this essay. With respect to the sums transmitted to the emperor many assertions have been made, without the adduction of a single proof written or oral. Had the fact been so, neither kind of proof could have been wanting; the absence of proof supplies the greatest probability, or rather certainty, that the accusation was unfounded. Her explanation on this subject in the course of her interrogatory, is clear, precise, and satisfactory. It was as follows:

Character
of the
queen.

Observation.—Not content with dilapidating, in a shocking manner, the finances of France, the fruits of the sweat of the people, for the sake of her pleasures and intrigues, in concert with infamous ministers, she had sent to the emperor thousands of millions to serve against the nation which fostered her.

Answer.—Never:—I know this mean artifice has often been employed to my prejudice; I loved my husband too much to dilapidate the

preternatural. Pagès confirms the first part of this account (see vol. ii. p. 156.); but none of the journalists or eye-witnesses, though sufficiently ready to have derogated from the character of the queen, have taken any notice of these extraordinary facts. I therefore am much inclined to think them more recent fabrications. To Miss Williams's testimony I give little credit; she could not be an eye-witness, and is so little solicitous about truth in her compilations, that, besides frequently retailing the most absurd calumnies against the royal family, she has preserved a ridiculous and improbable fiction of the king having to the last moment of his life entertained hopes of a rescue!

“ treasure

“treasure of his country. My brother did not want,
 “money from France, and from the same principle
 “which attached me to France, I would not have
 “given him any¹.”

This unfounded accusation is still further refuted by the contradiction of those from whom it originated; who, while they charged the queen with dilapidations amounting to *thousands of millions*, implicated her in a disgraceful trick, which, if successful, would have produced only one million of livres (43,750 *l.*) to be shared between her and six or seven sharers.

The other point of accusation, matrimonial infidelity, is supported by assertions so numerous, that individuals are fearful of expressing doubts on a subject which seems so strongly advanced. The nature of the charge resists the demand of evidence from those who detail it; yet it might be supposed from the number of instances adduced, (for there was hardly a courtier of figure or a traveller of consequence that was not ranked among her favorites,) that some proof would in the course of the revolution have come to light. But even on her trial, though the fact was alledged in the most indecent terms, the proof was not attempted. The circumstantial evidence is decidedly on the other side; for if we lay no stress on the fidelity and courage with which the queen, in the most trying situations, fulfilled the duties of wife and mother, still it appears impossible that she should have been beloved as she was by her husband, had a single fact advanced against her been true, or the suspicion in any wife founded. That she could have conducted her intrigues in private is impossible; because from the moment of her arrival in France to that of her death, she was surrounded with spies and enemies. If the slightest of these accusations had been true, it is not

¹ Queen's Trial by the conductor of the Times, p. 2.

possible that she should have retained to his last hour the warmest affections of a king, who united with the most inflexible virtue, the highest sense of honour, and the greatest horror of impropriety^m. Could the queen in such a case have possessed the esteem and affection of the un sullied and uncalumniated princess Elizabeth, so firmly, that on the celebrated twentieth of June, she offered to lose her own life for her protection? The queen's own declaration at the time when she was pleading for her fame, without hope of her life, is remarkable, and convincing from its genuine appearance of candor and virtuous defiance. Speaking of *Trianon*, the supposed seat of her voluptuous revels, she said: "I wish more than any one, *that every thing which took place there may be made public*".ⁿ

AFTER the removal of the queen to the prison of the Conciergerie, the children of Louis were left under the care of their aunt, madame Elizabeth, who endeavoured by acts of kindness and attention to alleviate the misery of their condition. She was careful in imparting to the young prince sentiments of religion, humanity, and forgiveness. She always treated him with so much respect, that the commissioners on duty at length thought it necessary to reprehend, and finally to remove the children to separate apartments.^o

The princess Elizabeth's treatment of the dauphin.

The princess was now compelled to perform the most menial offices herself. She dressed the scanty meal allowed her, and swept the floor of her prison

Severities of government.

^m The reader is requested to recollect the king's conduct towards du Barry, and judge by that if he would have connived at impurity in his own wife.

ⁿ Jordan's Political State of Europe, vol. v. p. 124. Some hints for this exculpation of the queen are taken from Playfair. See History of Jacobinism, p. 523.

^o Miss Williams's Letters in 1794, vol. ii. p. 51.

with

with her own hands ! The people seemed to have forgotten that she existed, and to have consigned her, without consideration, to the brutality of her jailors.

The princess called as a witness.

She was called as a witness on the trial of Bailly, to prove some facts relative to the transaction of the *Champ de Mars*, but declined giving any testimony. Her answer to the question put to her by the president of the tribunal was, " You know that the blood of your sovereign pardons, but does not accuse ? "

16th May 1794.

Deputation petition for her death.

In the ensuing spring, Robespierre meditated the destruction of the Cordeliers ; and with his usual policy, resolved to occupy the public with some other event to hinder the friends of his victims from exciting commotions to revenge their fate. With this view, two days after he had denounced the conspiracy of the Cordeliers, and obtained the arrest of their principal members, a deputation from the section of the Pantheon, tutored for the purpose, attended at the bar of the convention, and required that " the impure remains of the family who butchered the people on the 10th of August, should fall under the avenging and protecting sword of the law ! "

Her act of accusation.

The life of this truly amiable princess had been so virtuous, so beneficent, so exempt from blame, that it was a matter of some difficulty to frame her act of accusation. It contains many general allegations, couched in the most indecorous and insulting language ; but nothing which applied particularly to the conduct of the princess, except a charge that she had dressed the wounds of some *fédérés*, whom the Marseillois had wantonly attacked on their arrival in Paris ; that she had sent her diamonds to the count d'Artois, and that since the death of the king she had treated the young prince with distinctions due to royalty.

† Derniers Regicides.

‡ Debates.

§ See the Act of Accusation. Procès des Bourbons, vol. iii. p. 171.

It is asserted by many writers, and confidently believed, that one single answer decided the fate of the princess. That, having replied to the question of the president of the revolutionary tribunal, "I am aunt to the king," she was immediately declared guilty¹. Her interrogatory consisted of several questions. Her answers were frank and obviously true; but the president, after malignant and vain endeavours to pervert her meaning and refute her assertions, at length petulantly observed, that her plan of defence was *to deny every thing*. As a last effort, he interrogated her, "Whether she had not comforted her nephew with the hopes of succeeding to his father's throne?" She answered, "I have conversed familiarly with that unfortunate child, who has more than one claim to my affection; and I gave him all those consolations which appeared to me likely to reconcile him to the loss of those who had given him birth." This answer was construed as an acknowledgment of a plot "to build up the wrecks of a subverted throne, by deluging it with the blood of the patriots." On this observation, without hearing a single witness, the princess was condemned².

20th May.
Trial;

Four and twenty persons were tried at the same time, and condemned for the same conspiracy. They were all, except one woman, who declared herself pregnant, guillotined the same day. The princess was executed last. Her conduct on her trial, and till the moment of her death, was calculated to prove before a tribunal of atheists the firmness and composure which religion can communicate to a mind naturally timid. She fell at the age of thirty".

and execu-
tion.

¹ See Moore's View, vol. ii. p. 503. Playfair's History of Jacobinism, p. 657.

² See her Interrogatory Procès des Bourbons, vol. iii. p. 171. Miss Williams's Letters in 1794, vol. ii. p. 52.

³ Procès, &c. vol. iii. p. 125. Les Derniers Régicides.

Beauty of
the dau-
phin.

THE beauty and juvenile graces of the dauphin are mentioned in the highest terms by persons who had the best means of judging on the subject*. These qualities, however, were so far from producing their usual effect in his favor, that it is not improbable they added the incitements of fear to malignant cruelty, and contributed to embitter his lot and shorten his days.

Severe mo-
tions
against
him.

The young prince was early marked out as a victim by the republicans. His education had been the topic of many contests†. When the trial of the king was in agitation, the child, whose tender years precluded the possibility of sharing in his pretended crimes, was nevertheless doomed to participate in his punishment. Mailhe said in his report, "Louis Charles ought to be guarded with the utmost strictness, till the day when tyrants hurled from their thrones might carry their insignificance where they thought proper, and excite no more interest than Dionysius did at Corinth‡." Such was the language spoken in a legislature which braved the united arms of all Europe, and yet affected to apprehend ill consequences from the liberation of a helpless infant.

Observa-
tion.

But even admitting that a solicitude for the public safety might make the republican rulers too cautious in preventing every interruption of the national peace, what sentiment could prompt the subsequent cruelties which brought this unfortunate child to an untimely grave, and display unparalleled meanness and malignity in those who invented and those who sanctioned them?

Hardships
of his im-
prison-
ment;

When the prince was parted from all his female relations, he was committed to the care of Simon a cobbler, who was appointed his tutor. This wretch rigidly enforced the parsimonious decree of the

* Bertrand's Memoirs, vol. ii. p. 40. Peltier's late Picture of Paris, vol. i. p. 201.

† Bertrand's Memoirs, vol. ii. p. 148.

‡ See Mailhe's Report, 7th Nov. 1792.

legislature,

legislature, which reduced the food of his charge to a bare sufficiency for the sustenance of nature, and endeavoured to poison his mind with the principles and language of the *sans-culottes*, and to impair his faculties by the habit of early intoxication. The affected fears of conspiracy and escape were employed as the means of increasing the torments of the unhappy orphan. His guards were accustomed to knock many times in the night at the door of his apartment, and exclaim with a terrific voice, "Capet! are you there?" The child, waking in terror, answered, "Yes, here I am."—"Come hither then, and let me see you," exclaimed the guard. Upon which the innocent object of this wanton persecution was obliged to rise and shew himself naked at the wicket. The guard then gave him leave to retire to bed, and he lay trembling and dozing till the same scene was repeated. The offices of cleanliness were totally neglected. He could not, like his female relations, supply the deficiency of attendants by his own exertions. His chamber was disgusting through accumulated filth; his flesh was never properly washed, and his hair was matted for want of being combed. His health appeared obviously to decline; and from long suffering, and the absence of hope, his mind would probably have been no less impaired than his body.

After the fall of Robespierre it might have been expected that the rigor of the child's fate would have been softened; but the *reign of terror* and the *reign of virtue* were to him alike. Four months after the destruction of the tyrant, a deputation from the committee of general safety attended at the bar of the convention, to repel with indignation an assertion advanced in the *Courier Universel*, importing that "the committee of general safety, persuaded that no one ought, merely because he was the son of a king, to be degraded below humanity, had appointed three commissioners, men of probity and knowledge in the place of Simon; two of
" them

continued
after the
fall of Ro-
bespierre.
2d Dec.
1794-

" them charged with the education of the orphan, " and the third to take care that he did not, as " formerly, want necessaries." This imputation roused the anger of the committee, which was declared by its orator, Mathieu, " to have been a " stranger to every idea of meliorating the captivity " of the children of Capet, or of appointing them " instructors. The committees, and the conven- " tion," he added, " know how to strike off the " heads of kings, but they know not how to edu- " cate their children." In the course of the same month, Lequinio declared that France would never be at peace while the offspring of the tyrant remained among them, and moved that the committee of government should devise the means of sending the son of Louis out of the territories of the republic. This was decreed ; but no steps were taken to put the decree in execution.

9th June
1795.
His death.
Suspicion
of poison.

Death at length delivered the legislators from their embarrassment, and their victim from his woes. As some suspicions were entertained that his fate had been accelerated by poison, three surgeons were commissioned to open him. They made a vague report, tending to prove that he died of a scrophulous complaint ; but there are many reasons to believe that he was poisoned. The expediency of destroying the direct heir to the crown was a motive, and the untimely death of the three surgeons, Dussault, Doublet, and Choppart, is a strong corroborating circumstance. The fact is affirmed by republican as well as royalist writers², and becomes unquestionably credible, if we believe the assertion of Charrette, the Chouan chief, that, in order to induce him to lay down his arms, the government engaged, by a secret article, to restore the ancient monarchy, in the person of Louis XVII.³

² See Pagès, vol. ii. p. 407.

³ For the preceding facts, besides the Debates, I have consulted Necker on the Revolution, vol. ii. p. 84. the new Annual Register for 1795, p. 212. 247 ; Les Derniers Regicides, &c.

JEAN SILVAIN BAILLY.

THE name of Bailly stood in the foremost ranks of celebrity in the early days of the revolution; it fell afterwards into oblivion, and was only brought again to light for the purpose of exciting the popular vengeance against the man who had shared most largely the idolatry of the people. He, like many others, abandoned the safe and quiet shore of loyalty and obedience, to adventure on the boisterous sea of popular commotion, became convinced of his folly too late, and was shipwrecked in his attempt to regain the haven.

Bailly was born at Paris; his father was a wine merchant in the Fauxbourg St. Antoine. He had an uncle who was keeper of the pictures in the royal cabinet, and some other relations who held places under government. He was at first intended for the church, but on the death of an uncle, who left him a competency, he renounced his first intention and studied for the bar. This profession, however, was no more congenial to his taste than the church, and he devoted himself principally to the study of natural philosophy. He published several works ^{1725.} Birth, education, and pursuits. which procured him a seat in the three academies, and recommended him to the notice of the court, from whom he received a pension of ten thousand livres (437 *l.* 10 *s.*) a-year, and apartments in the Louvre. Pension.

^b *Anecdotes du Regne de Louis XVI.* vol. vi. p. 231; Playfair's *History of Jacobinism*, 160. 606. Montjoye says, that his emoluments amounted to twenty-five thousand livres (1093 *l.* 15 *s.*) a-year. *Eloge*, &c. p. 116, n.

1789.
Member of
the consti-
tuent as-
sembly.

1d June.
President
of the
tiers-etat.

Bailly was an active member of the societies formed by the corruption of free-masonry, which abounded in France, and contributed so much to hasten the revolution. At the assembling of the states general, he was returned member of the *tiers-etat*. In this situation he entered warmly into the views of those who were desirous to humble the superior orders, and degrade the sovereign, views which he pursued with the most unrelenting eagerness. Before the junction of the three orders, he was chose a president or dean of the *tiers-etat*; he filled the office with all the parade and insolence of inflated self-importance, which he had an opportunity of displaying on the day of his nomination. It had been the custom, when a deputation was sent from either of the two higher orders of the king, that his majesty received them in person, but when one was sent from the *tiers-etat*, they were received by the keeper of the seals, who carried their requests to the king. This custom was derived from antiquity; but in the present disposition of the *tiers-etat*, it gave umbrage, and to break through it they framed a message of small importance, required the president to inform the king, that the commons acknowledge no intermediate channel of communication between themselves and his majesty, and desired to know when he would receive them in person. This message was transmitted as usual through the keeper of the seals, on the very day the dauphin died at Meudon. The king returned for answer that he could see them neither that evening or on the morrow, and that he could not, in his present afflicted state, fix a time for their attendance. This message was written in his own hand, but the ferocious assembly, deaf to the voice of nature, and blind to every object but the point they wished to attain, persevered in their demand, and the king,

fearful of worse consequences, was obliged to receive them the next day between eleven and twelve o'clock. The deputation, twenty in number, with Bailly at their head, waited on the king; he was their spokesman, and concluded an address on the stale subject of the union of the orders, with hypocritical assurances of attachment to the person of his sovereign, and concern for his loss; assurances, which had they been founded, would have spared the monarch a mortification which wrung from his bosom the pathetic exclamation, "Alas! then there are no fathers amongst the members of the *tiers-etat*!"^d

Bailly continued in this office of dean of the *tiers-etat*, till that body voted themselves a national assembly, and then he was made provisionally president for four days only*. At this time occurred that famous transaction, which set the *tiers-etat* completely above the other two orders, and shewed the king himself that he had no resource, either in his private virtues or the loyalty of his people, against a combination the most subtle in its contrivance and fatal in its effect. Necker, alarmed at the obstinacy of the *tiers-etat*, and having in vain proposed plans of accommodation, prevailed on the king to proclaim a royal sitting, at which a system of government was to be presented to the three orders, with directions for their conduct towards each other in respect to the verification of powers. The outlines of this plan had been communicated, and the faction who ruled the assembly were doubly anxious to prevent its taking effect. They had prevailed on the majority of the clergy to join with them, but on the day appointed for that purpose the royal sitting was

17th June.
President
of the as-
sembly.

^d Moore's View of the Causes and Progress of the French Revolution, vol. i. p. 183. Eloge Funebre de Louis XVI, par M. Montjoye, p. 141. Anecdotes, &c. vol. i. p. 352. Wilde's Address to the Friends of the People, 436.

^e Impartial History of the French Revolution, vol. i. p. 85. De-
Sates.

20th June.
Oath in
the tennis-
court.

21st.

22d.

proclaimed. When Bailly presented himself at the door of the hall he was refused admittance, and informed that no person would be permitted to enter the hall of the *states general*; he replied, that "he would give an account of the proceeding to the *national assembly*." The deputies were already assembled in various groupes about the gate, a report was circulated that a dissolution of the assembly was intended, which produced much clamour, and many pointed animadversions; at length the president, accompanied with the secretaries, returned to the hall, and demanded all the papers belonging to the assembly; having received them, he went with the rest of the deputies who were assembled, to a neighbouring tennis-court, and after many inflammatory harangues, they all took an oath never to part till the constitution was completed. It rained violently, and the mob, which was continually increasing, expressed high indignation at the inconvenience sustained by the representatives of the people, which they attributed entirely to the court. The king was at Marli; when he returned on the morrow, he wrote to M. Bailly with his own hand to inform him that the royal sitting could not be held till the 23d, and intimating his desire that no meeting of the national assembly should take place in the intermediate time; but the assembly were too impatient to effect the proposed junction with the clergy, to accede to any hints of delay, and too much intoxicated with the applause of the people to forbear any indulgence which circumstances could supply. They repaired to the tennis-court to meet the clergy, but found it occupied by an immense multitude, as desirous to be spectators of the ceremony as they to perform it. Disappointed here, they repaired to the *Courvent des Recolets*, and requested the use of the church. The monks declined accommodating them, though in a manner which could convey no offence.

They

They now converted the apparent embarrassment of their situation into an additional claim on the admiration of the multitude. They returned therefore to their own hall, where, as they reasonably expected, they were refused entrance; finally they went to the church of St. Louis, where they were admitted without hesitation, and those members who had not yet taken the oath administered in the tennis-court, then received it. After the performance of this ceremony, they were joined by the clergy, who descended in form from the choir, and being received with open arms by their new associates, proceeded to the verification of their powers, as did two nobles, the first seceders from the resolutions of the majority of their peers^f.

On the 23d of June, the day of the royal sitting, Bailly was active and strenuous in his remonstrances with the Marquis de Brézé, against the indignity offered to the body over which he presided, by detaining them under an out-house in a shower of rain, while the superior orders took their seats. He was the first, after the king's departure, to indicate a contempt and defiance of his orders, till then unheard of, by declaring to Brézé, who came to remind the assembly of his majesty's injunctions for their adjournment, till the next day, that "the national assembly received commands from no person." This act of opposition was so daring as to throw astonishment even into that factious body; they remained silent, and probably the president would have been left alone to bear the effects of his temerity, had he not been succoured by Mirabeau, who, after a speech full of invectives against the master of the ceremonies, said, "the assembly sat there by virtue of the will of the people, and would not be expelled but by the point of the

23d.
Royal sitting.

^f Impartial History, vol. i. p. 29. Moore's View, vol. i. p. 219. Pagès. Histoire Secrète de la Revolution, vol. i. p. 107. Arthur Young's Travels, p. 115, 116.

“ bayonet.” This step restored the courage of the assembly, and Bailly, relieved from the embarrassment of his situation by the unanimity of his colleagues, said to the marquis, “ the assembly decreed yesterday that they would continue their meeting after the royal session ; I can make no alteration in that decree ;” and authorized Brézé to carry that message to the king^g.

Bailly's
popularity.

To the transactions of these memorable days Bailly principally owed his popularity. The junction of the orders was by many ascribed to him, because he was president ; the people assembled at his door and hailed him with shouts and acclamations^h. Though his proceedings must have been peculiarly gratifying to the Orleans faction, yet there is no reason for supposing Bailly particularly attached to them ; he was a member of the *Club Breton*, but it is not apparent that he was connected with the cabinet of the *Palais Royal* more than with that of Versailles ; a fact which, though it establishes the independence of his character, does not take away the odium from the brutality of his behaviour in many instances, his conspicuous ingratitude, and the sinister means he used to degrade the king, and alienate from him the affections of the people. Bailly was desirous, above all things, of popularity ; his disinterestedness and independence were subservient to that wish, and though his mind led him to a connexion more pure than that of Orleans (la Fayette), he was not sufficiently endowed with integrity to prevent his countenancing and even participating many of the crimes which disgraced his country.

24th July.
Mayor of
Paris.

After the murder of Fleffelles, he was elevated to the rank that unfortunate man had held ; but the title of *Prévôt des Marchands* was abolished, and that

^g Moore's View, vol. i. p. 237. Histories.

^h Anecdotes, &c. vol. vi. p. 232 ; Pagès, vol. i. p. 118.

of mayor of Paris substituted. He is said to have owed this elevation to the intrigues of Orleans and Mirabeau, who were anxious to attach to their party the men of letters, and thought this homage paid to an individual of their class a tempting allurements¹. He, however, ascribed his new dignity to his own merit alone, and his vanity was proportionably augmented. He gave his servants a kind of livery, resided in a superb hotel, and ornamented the panels of his carriage with three golden bees, an affectation which demonstrated that he was in no respect superior to the vain-glorious mob of upstarts².

In virtue of his office, he was appointed to regulate the ceremony when the king entered the capital; he did this in a manner which displayed his pride, and proved his anxiety to deprive the monarch of all respect and personal consideration. Though it was notorious that the royal family entertained fears very justly founded, that the assassination of the king was projected, he would not allow him the comfort and protection of his faithful *gardes du corps*; he forbade them to enter the city; at a certain distance from Paris the royal carriage was met by a detachment of the national guard, incorporated with the treacherous *gardes Françaises*; the *gardes du corps* were obliged to return to Versailles, and the king, to whom the plot to assassinate him had been mentioned, was left thus guarded, or rather exposed, to pursue his cheerless journey to the city, during which the customary effusion of loyalty, *Vive le Roi!* was omitted, and by order of the mayor and la Fayette, the national guard and the attending mob screamed out *Vive la Nation*. At the entrance of the city, at a place

17th.
Behaviour
to the king
on his en-
try into
Paris.

¹ Histories. Playfair's History of Jacobinism, 158.

² Apologie des projets des Chefs de la Revolution, p. 298. Anecdotes, &c. vol. vi. p. 232.

called *la Barrière des Conférences*, the inflated mayor, with the smile of self-gratulation, presented the keys to the king, with that quaint and well-known observation, "These are the identical keys which were presented to Henry IV. when that monarch *re-conquered* his people, but in the present instance the people have *re-conquered* their king." At the *Hotel de Ville* he placed on the brow of the unfortunate monarch that degrading cockade, the colours of which were calculated to impress on his mind the triumph and popularity of Orleans, who wished to supplant him on the throne¹.

22d.
Intercedes
for Ber-
thier and
Foulon.

Bailly had soon an opportunity of proving by experience, that the restraint of law being once withdrawn from a licentious populace, eloquence and wisdom would exert themselves in vain; he saw it in the ill-success of his earnest mediation for Berthier and Foulon, who were savagely butchered in spite of his intercession.

30th July.
Receives
Necker.

At the return of Necker to Paris, Bailly attended with the representatives of the commune, and made him a complimentary oration. He, doubtless, hoped, from the recall of this popular minister, that his office would be freed from many difficulties. He expected the restoration of plenty and tranquillity, the formation of a constitution, and the confirmation of his authority, with the reduction of the royal prerogative. Short-sighted, vain, and self-sufficient, he readily credited the popular outcry, without perceiving the malice of those by whom it was raised. Incapable of discerning the intrigues of the Orleans faction, he fancied that all the miseries of which the people complained originated with the court, and that, if left to his own free agency, he could prevent every disaster. He made some exertions to this

¹ Histories. Histoire de la Conjuración de d'Orleans, vol. ii. p. 21. Anecdotes, &c. vol. i. p. 192.

effect,

effect, but they thwarted the views of the duke of Orleans, and raised considerable clamor^m.

Though his situation furnished him with the means of better information, he was totally ignorant of the projected insurrection of the 5th of October; he considered it a spontaneous movement of the people, and was astonished when he heard that the *poissardes* had threatened his life, together with that of la Fayette, not knowing that his office was coveted for a more able individual, and more decided partisan. On the 6th of October, when the royal family came to Paris in the evening, and when, after the fatigue and disturbance of that and the preceding day, it was obvious that they required repose, the vanity of Bailly, who was directed to receive them at the Hotel-de-Ville, induced him to make a long, impertinent harangue, in which, amongst other atrocities, he called that day a *beautiful day*. He did worse; from a motive which can hardly be softened into negligence or misapprehension, he misrepresented the words of his unfortunate king, with a view to prevent any impression of kindness. The king said that he came with joy and confidence to reside in his good city of Paris: the mayor repeating the words to the people assembled, omitted the expression, *with confidence*, which alone conveyed any compliment to them. The queen, aware of this intention, exclaimed, loud enough to be heard by all present; "You omit, M. Bailly, the king said, *with joy AND CONFIDENCE*." Confused at this detection, he said to the by-standers, "Gentlemen, you hear it from the queen's own mouth, which must give you much more pleasure than to learn it from mine." He again waited on the royal family the next day at the Thuilleries, and made them an hypocritical harangue on the joy and affection which was felt by all Paris on seeing them inhabit the palace of their ancestors: he

5th Oct.
Ignorance
of the in-
tended in-
surrection.

6th.
Receives
the king.
His speech
and con-
duct.

^m Histories, particularly Impartial History, vol. i. p. 200. Conjur-
ation de d'Orleans, vol. ii. p. 157.

well knew, at the time, that the palace was intended for their prison, that the joy of the city was exultation in successful treason, and that the affection of the people was but a term for insolence and contemptⁿ.

Exerts
himself to
supply the
capital.

But when la Fayette came to state the information he had gained on the night of the 5th, his suspicions respecting Orleans, and on the true causes of the scarcity and popular commotion, the mayor was anxious to co-operate heartily with him in the removal of these evils. He exerted himself to the utmost of his power to take away all restraints from the supplying of the capital, and to procure a sufficiency of grain, in which by the assistance of the intrepid and discerning Vauvilliers, and by the absence of Orleans, he succeeded during the remainder of his mayoralty.

19th.
Receives
the thanks
of the as-
sembly.

On the first sitting of the assembly in Paris, he attended with the compliments of the *commune*, and was honored with a vote of thanks and approbation. The capital, after the departure of the duke, remained in a tolerable state of quiet, the murder of François, the baker, excepted, which produced the famous martial law in which Bailly heartily coincided. Yet he did not renounce his factious principles; his attachment to la Fayette led him to adopt all his measures, and he was amongst the most active, both by his countenance and authority, to disturb the sittings of the club called the Friends of Monarchy^o.

May.
Presents a
medal to
the king.

He presented to the king a bronze medal, struck in commemoration of the 6th of October, with this legend, "*J'y ferai d'ormais ma demeure habituelle.*" He accompanied this present with the following observations—"Sire; your majesty, at your entrance into Paris, said, '*I intend for the future to make it my chief place of residence.*' The city of Paris has

ⁿ Histories. Also Conjuraton de d'Orleans, vol. ii. p. 275. Anecdotes, &c. vol. i. p. 197.

^o See Historical Sketch of the French Revolution, p. 311.

"caused

“ caused these words to be engraven on the bronze,
 “ as they are already engraven on the hearts of all
 “ our fellow-citizens ^{p.}”

Bailly was the author of the scheme presented to the assembly for a confederation, when the king and people should take an oath of fidelity to the law not yet promulgated, and to maintain a constitution not yet formed. This pompous absurdity was to be performed the 14th of July, in honor of the anniversary of the capture of the Bastille. The *Champ de Mars* was the place fixed on for the exhibition, but the shortness of the time allowed to make the necessary preparations seemed an insuperable obstacle to its completion: this, however, was conquered by the ardent genius of the French nation; the whole city of Paris, male and female, noble and plebeian, master and servant, turned out into the field, and performed with incredible diligence the necessary labors. The sacred retreat of the convent and monastery was violated with impunity, and nuns and monks, whose vows obliged them to a seclusion with which such an act was incompatible, were forced from their retreats by those who pretended still to respect religion, and who were preparing for one of its most solemn ceremonies. The national guards, the strangers whom curiosity had attracted, every one was employed, and barely two hours before the commencement of the ceremony the preparations were completed. The ceremony is well described by Dr. Moore ^{q.}

“ The day of such vast expectation at last arrived; ^{14th July.}
 “ the amphitheatre was formed with an altar in the ^{Description}
 “ middle, the throne of the king, a magnificent pa- ^{tion of the}
 “ vilion, and commodious seats for the queen and ^{confederation.}
 “ royal family, and the triumphal arches through
 “ which the various processions were to pass,
 “ were finished only two hours before the procession
 “ began. At day-break the citizens began to flock

^p Anecdotes, &c. vol. i. p. 201.

^q View, vol. ii. p. 158.

“to the amphitheatre, which, it was said, was of
 “sufficient extent to contain above three hundred
 “thousand.” (Other authors say four, some six
 hundred thousand, besides an incredible concourse
 who crowded the circumjacent hills and eminences,
 to the extraordinary amount, according to their ac-
 counts, of three millions of people.) “On the
 “preceding night, some of the districts had distri-
 “buted tickets of admission to the wives and daugh-
 “ters of the most distinguished or most favored ci-
 “tizens; and no less than four thousand of the na-
 “tional guards were ordered on duty at the field of
 “the confederation. This produced discontent and
 “murmurs. It was said that all the citizens had an
 “equal claim to a place in the amphitheatre; that
 “those who went first had a right to their choice of
 “every place, except such as were destined for the
 “persons in some public office; and the guards ap-
 “pointed on service at the Champ de Mars de-
 “clared, that they would not oppose the entrance
 “of any citizen, whether he had a ticket or not.
 “When these declarations were known, the muni-
 “cipality thought proper to order it to be proclaim-
 “ed in the middle of the night of the thirteenth,
 “that the tickets which had been distributed were
 “entirely useless; which made great numbers resort
 “to the amphitheatre by break of day; and the
 “guards, instead of opposing their entrance, endea-
 “voured to amuse them during the long interval till
 “the ceremony should begin, by military evolutions
 “and dancing the carmagnole on the plain.

“The great procession consisted of a band of mu-
 “sic, a body of national guards, cavalry as well as
 “infantry, led by M. la Fayette, and followed by
 “the electors of the city of Paris—the principal
 “members of the municipality—the deputies to the
 “national assembly—the deputies from one-half of

† See Rabaud's History, p. 188. Pagès, vol. i. p. 355. Miss Williams's Letters from Paris in 1790.

“ the

“ the departments into which France had been divided—a deputation from the army and fleet, “ headed by two marshals of France—the deputies “ from the other half of the departments—and a “ body of horse and foot belonging to the national “ guards, with a band of music, closed the procession, which was rendered more splendid by the “ banners belonging to the various classes of which “ it was composed, and by the martial airs which “ were played in the intervals between the universal “ exclamation of *Vive la Nation!* which, from time “ to time, pierced the air. The different bodies “ which formed the procession had particular places “ assigned to them. Those for the members of the “ national assembly were to the right and left of the “ king’s throne.

“ Two hundred priests, dressed in garments of “ white linen bound with the national-coloured ribbons, stood on the steps of the altar, which had “ been raised for the purpose of administering the “ oath, for which function the committee of the “ commune appointed the bishop of Autun, a man “ of talents, and one of the most ancient families in “ France, and a zealous promoter of the revolution. “ When he was about to celebrate the mass, which “ preceded the pronouncement of the oath, the sky “ became obscure with clouds; a storm of wind took “ place, and was followed by a deluge of rain. The “ bishop proceeded to the celebration of the mass “ without any regard to the storm; after which he “ pronounced a benediction on the Oriflamme or “ royal standard of France, and on the eighty-three “ banners of the departments which waved around “ it immediately before the altar.

“ The king had been appointed, for that day only, “ supreme and absolute commander of all the national “ guards in France. He named M. de la Fayette “ as his delegate to perform the functions; so that “ la Fayette was for this day not only commander

“ in chief of the national guards of Paris, but high
 “ constable of all the armed men in the kingdom,
 “ which probably was a greater number than ever
 “ had been in any kingdom in the world before.
 “ M. la Fayette, as their representative, took the
 “ oath first. When he left the bottom of the throne
 “ where he had hitherto stood, and moved towards
 “ the altar for that purpose, the trumpets began to
 “ sound; a vast band of martial music continued to
 “ play while he ascended the steps of the altar. In
 “ the view of the multitude who filled this immense
 “ circus around, he laid the point of his sword upon
 “ the Bible which was on the table of the altar, and
 “ raising his other hand towards the sky, the music
 “ ceased; an universal stillness ensued; and he pro-
 “ nounced, ‘ We swear to be ever faithful to the
 “ nation, to the law, and to the king; to maintain,
 “ to the utmost of our power, the constitution de-
 “ creed by the national assembly, and accepted by
 “ the king.’ The trumpets beginning to sound as
 “ soon as he had finished, were drowned in the ac-
 “ clamation of *Vive la Nation!* All the members of
 “ the national assembly then standing up, the presi-
 “ dent pronounced the oath in his own name and
 “ that of his brethren; which was, in like manner,
 “ followed by music and acclamation.

“ When the king himself arose, a great body of
 “ the national guards pressed near the throne, which
 “ they surrounded with raised arms, while he re-
 “ peated, *Moi, roi des Français, je jure d’employer*
 “ *tout le pouvoir qui m’est délégué par la loi constitu-*
 “ *tionnelle de l’état, à maintenir la constitution décrétée*
 “ *par l’assemblée nationale et acceptée par moi, et à faire*
 “ *exécuter les lois.* ‘ I, king of the French, swear to
 “ employ all the power that is consigned to me by
 “ the constitutional law of the state, in maintaining
 “ the constitution decreed by the national assembly,
 “ and accepted by me, and in enforcing the execu-
 “ tion of the laws.’ A signal being given that the
 “ king

“king had taken the oath, the air resounded with
 “alternate peals of artillery and shouts of the people; and thus ended a ceremony, which, notwithstanding the good intentions of many who took the
 “oath, has been considered as the grandest and most
 “extensive act of perjury that heaven and earth was
 “ever witnesses to. The rain prevented the king from
 “leaving the throne and walking to the altar, where
 “it was expected he would have taken the oath.
 “This circumstance was afterwards mentioned in
 “the seditious groups in the Palais Royal, and at
 “the Jacobin society, as a proof of the king’s aversion to the constitution, and his unwillingness to
 “take the oath; but only proves, what a variety of
 “circumstances indeed demonstrated in the course
 “of the revolution, that there was a disposition to
 “calumniate the king, and pervert the most innocent and natural parts of his behaviour into
 “crimes.” Some of the most petulant spectators of the ceremony were so desirous that the oath should be taken on the altar, that they applied to la Fayette to induce him to repeat it: The answer of the general is characteristic of his own levity and of that of his hearers; “*Mes enfans*, My lads,” said he, “an oath is not an opera song, that it is to be
 “encored.”

This great day which had no other object than the ^{His popularity.} excitement of enthusiasm, fortunately, and contrary to all expectation, terminated without mischief.—Bailly gave some umbrage to the captors of the Bastille, or, more probably, they were excited by the agents of Orleans to raise discontent against the mayor; it was at the period speedily forgot, but it was brought again to light, and made the subject of grievous accusation against him in the day of his adversity. He was now at the height of his popula-

* Miss Williams's Letters in 1790.

† See his examination on the trial of the queen, Jordan's Political State of Europe, vol. v. *ubi sup.*

rity; the Parisians, overjoyed at an exemption from the horrors of famine, and looking up to him as the cause, paid him great marks of respect; they placed transparent paintings of him and la Fayette by the side of the statue of Henry IV.

His name
inscribed
on the pil-
lar at Ge-
non.

In the course of this year, the inhabitants of Genon, a village of Franche Comté, erected on the road side a column, of white stone, which they consecrated to liberty. On the side facing the road which foreigners must pass to arrive at Genon, was this inscription: "Stranger, thou hast touched this land; thou art free." On two of the other sides were inscriptions, the one denoting the time when the column was erected, and by whom; the other designating certain individuals and public bodies as a disgrace to humanity! On the fourth side were inscribed the names of certain popular characters, who were described as the "love and admiration of the French nation;" and of this number was Bailly.

Steps
taken to
destroy his
popularity.

But the return of the duke of Orleans, for which the pageant of the 14th of July had furnished a pretext, occasioned a speedy decline of Bailly's popularity. The versatility of the French character would probably have produced this effect, unaided by any particular circumstances; but Orleans, anxious to have the provisions of the capital again at his disposal, was assiduous in his efforts to remove Bailly, that he might place a creature of his own in the mayoralty^u. His character received the first injury in consequence of his granting an order for arresting the deputies from the military insurgents at Nancy, an affair which was much misrepresented by the Jacobins, and caused him to be implicated with Bouillé and Montmorin. These ill impressions were much increased by his exertions against Marat and Hebert,

August.

^u Anecdotes, &c. vol. vi. p. 339.

^x Conjuration de d'Orleans, vol. iii. p. 108.

in which he displayed rashness and self-sufficiency, without either courage, address, or perseverance ¹.

The club Breton, of which he was a member, had, since the removal of the national assembly from Versailles to Paris, assumed the title of Jacobin, and was disgraced by so promiscuous an accession, that many of the most respectable members seceded, and formed the club of the Friends of Monarchy, his activity in the suppression of which has been already mentioned. But when, after the return of the duke of Orleans, the tribunes were constantly filled with his creatures, whose clamours decided every question, he was himself obliged to give up his seat, and formed one of a society called the Club of 1789, more select indeed than the Jacobins, but professing nearly the same principles. It became afterwards obnoxious to the violent party, and Bailly, through fear of risking his popularity and safety, only twice attended their meetings ².

Before the establishment of this latter club, the favorites of the Jacobin society had made a considerable progress in vilifying his character, and representing him as the devoted slave of aristocracy. His influence had already so much declined, that his remonstrances with the mob to permit the departure of the royal family to Saint Cloud, were treated with disregard, and his person with contempt ³.

It was probably his interference in this affair that gave rise to the assertion that he was privy and accessory to the king's escape: A malignant accusation, amply contradicted and disproved by his own evidence, by the testimony of the queen, and by the

Secedes from the Jacobin club.

1791.
13th April.
Exerts himself in favor of the royal family.

20th, 21st June.
Supposed privy in the king's escape.

¹ The Conduct of France towards Great Britain Examined; by Miles, p. 221. Conjuraton de d'Orleans.

² See Historical Sketch, p. 310. and Bailly's examination on the trial of the queen; Jordan's Political State of Europe, vol. v. p. 168.

³ Moore's View, vol. ii. p. 234.; Impartial History, vol. i. 407, &c.

17th July.
Proclaims
martial
law.

facts mentioned in his Memoir, preserved by Pagès^b. But this accusation was not brought forward till some time after the fact^c. His popularity received its mortal wound when he read the proclamation in the *Champ de Mars*, which authorized the soldiers to fire on the people: this ruined him in the eyes of the factious Parisians, and turned all their esteem and friendship into disgust. He had now discovered the folly and error of giving to the people, numerically, too great a share in the conduct of government; too great a power of revision, censure, and degradation of the executive branches; and was desirous to carry his weight over to the other side of the question; but his weight was gone. The *beautiful day* he had so zealously admired, had changed the hearts of the people, and in endeavouring to bring them back to a sense of loyalty and respect for the laws, he not only preached to the winds, but drew the storm on his own head. Insignificant, degraded, and despised, he continued in the mayoralty till the dissolution of the constituent assembly; and then, la Fayette having resigned the command of the national guard, he resigned his office, but in retiring he conferred a signal service on the capital by preventing the designs of Petion, his Jacobin successor, to revive the horrors of famine^d.

19th Nov.
Resigns.

Lives in
retirement.

From the period of his resignation he lived in a state of privacy for near two years, pursuing his literary and philosophical researches, and never interfering in politics, except by his attendance at the Feuillans, which club was soon dispersed by the violence of the Jacobins; and never soliciting public notice except when he was called on to answer some inculpation, as, for instance, that of being accessory

^b See Trial of the Queen, *ubi sup.*; Pagès, vol. i. p. 418.; and Appendix, No. IV.

^c The first trace I find of this accusation is his letter to the convention, 15th December 1792.

^d See the Histories; also Conjuración de d'Orléans, vol. iii. p. 152.

to the king's flight, and an absurd denunciation by Fauchet, for permitting cartridges to be issued inscribed with his name as mayor, after his retreat from office; but this malevolent accusation was not sanctioned even by the Jacobin party^e. 28th Jan.

But even the unobtrusive conduct of Bailly did not secure his immunity. The republicans could not forgive; the massacre of the *Champ de Mars* was indelibly fixed in their memory, and vengeance frequently demanded on the head of Bailly. At length Chaumette denounced him to the *commune*; he was snatched from his retreat, and cast into the prison of the Conciergerie. He bore his fate with singular fortitude and even gaiety. Well acquainted with the ferocity of his judges, and their contempt of forms, he never indulged a delusive hope of acquittal, and therefore never permitted his spirits to sink into abjectness, or flutter with unavailing agitation. He was cited before the revolutionary tribunal as a witness on the trial of the queen, and delivered his testimony in a manner which does him honor^f. His examination was so conducted that a casual inspection would lead the reader to suppose he was the culprit, and not the witness. On his return to the Conciergerie, which he did not expect, as he had supposed that his own trial was coming on, he rubbed his hands, and said to his companions with a smile, "*Petit bon-homme vit encore*.—The little good man's alive yet." He then employed himself in composing the *memoire* relative to his examination, which Pagès informs us no bookseller dared to expose, or hawker to vend, and which, for the curious illustrative matter it contains, I have given in the Appendix^g. 1792. Is committed to prison. His fortitude. Examined as a witness on the queen's trial. Composed his Memoir.

At length he was condemned to death, and his execution was attended with peculiar circumstances 11th Nov. 1793. Executed, after great insults and cruelties.

^e Debates.

^f Trial of the queen, *ubi sup*.

^g *Histoire Secrete de la Revolution*, vol. i. p. 419.

of barbarity. He was put in a cart with his hands tied behind his back, and drawn slowly towards the *Champ de Mars*, where the guillotine was erected expressly for him. In the cart was placed a red flag; the day was rainy, and during a long and slow progress, this unfortunate man experienced every insult a wanton mob could inflict. They spit on him, pelted him with mud, struck him, tore off pieces of the flag, and having drenched them in mud, dabbed them in his face. On his arrival at the *Champ de Mars*, they forced him to get out of the cart, and walk round the field, overwhelmed with insults and cruel derisions; they next compelled him to set fire to a pile on which the red flag was burned, and while it was consuming, plunged his head in the smoke. The guillotine was taken to pieces and removed to a dung-heap near the river, and though the unfortunate sufferer was old and feeble, the pieces were piled on his back; but his exhausted frame not permitting the effort of carrying them, he fell under the burden, and must have perished in the mire, had he not been relieved. His presence of mind never forsook him during these severe trials; he saw the guillotine erecting, and heard the abuse of the populace without emotion. One of them, intending to aggravate his miseries, exclaimed, "You tremble, Bailly."—" 'Tis with cold, then, my friend," answered he with unruffled serenity. At last the executioner released him from his agonies. Bailly fell a victim to that licentiousness and depravity which he himself had contributed to excite by teaching the people that the conquest of a virtuous, beneficent, and unresisting monarch was a subject of exultation, and that the 6th of October 1789 was a *beautiful day*^b.

^b See *Memoires d'une Detenu*, p. 53.; *Tableau des Prisons sous Robespierre*; *Miss Williams's Letters in 1794*, vol. i. p. 240; *Pages*, vol. i. p. 419.

The character of Bailly, though not entitled to applause, does not deserve unqualified censure. He displayed great treachery in the manner of acting the Tennis-Court scene, and behaved with wanton barbarity and upstart insolence towards the royal family: Even when he was aiming to repress the licentiousness of the mob, he made a weak attempt to obtain popularity by posting a placard that the riots of the *Champ de Mars* were excited by the aristocrats¹. He was so inflamed with the spirit of persecution which actuated the philosophers against priests, that he made slight opposition to the disgraceful insults offered by the populace to well-meaning and unoffending women, and even declared, that if it depended on him, the Catholic religion should be annihilated in France². His resolute exertions to supply the capital with bread demonstrate that he would have been useful, had he confined himself to his proper sphere. He retired from office impoverished by the loss of his pension, and without an adequate provision; a fact which proves his integrity and disinterestedness. Indeed from the whole tenor of his conduct, it appears that he supposed himself to be labouring for a laudable end, though he was culpably careless about the means, and often misled by his vanity, which was counterbalanced only by a small share of judgment. His *History of Astronomy* is highly commended; he also wrote *Letters on ancient and modern Astronomy*; *A Report on Mesmerism*; *A Memoir on the Hospital called the Hotel Dieu*; and was the supposed author of a pamphlet called *Luxury is the Scourge of the State*³. His character;

¹ *Mercure Politique* du 18 Fev^r 1792.

² Barruel's *History of the Clergy*, part i. p. 72. 113.

³ *Anecdotes, &c.* vol. vi. p. 231.

BENOIT.

IN the present and the following sketches, I purpose to describe the state of the prisoners in Paris during the tyranny of Robespierre. I have chosen for subjects to introduce the narration, two men decidedly opposite in character and principle, though of the same name; the one keeper of the palace of the *Luxembourg*, at that period converted into a prison; the other gaoler, first of the *Luxembourg*, afterwards of the *Carmes*. In describing the treatment of the prisoners, every circumstance or anecdote does not literally apply to the *Luxembourg* or to the *Carmes*; but the identity of name and contrast of character in these two gaolers, afford an opportunity of displaying, in one point of view, the best and worst periods of detention; and spare the necessity of again recurring to so disagreeable a topic^m.

^m The authorities I have consulted are contained in four small duodecimo volumes, containing a variety of tracts relating to this subject, intitled "Tableau des Prisons sous Robespierre." Of these some are anonymous, some avowed, particularly a number of facts collected by Réal, and "Memoires d'un Detenu, par Honoré Riouffe." Miss Williams's Letters of 1794, of which I have also availed myself, are principally compiled from these publications; all her Anecdotes of persons in the various prisons are merely translated; and in her accounts of Brissot and his co-adjutors, and the general turn of her political narrations and reflexions, she has implicitly followed those who came into power immediately after the fall of Robespierre, particularly the writers above mentioned, Louvet and Freron. I have also derived information from Montjoye's "Conjuration de Robespierre." "Les Crimes de Robespierre & de ses Principaux Complices." "L'Etat de la France;" and "Suite de l'Etat de la France, par M. le Comte de Montgaillard." "Tench's Correspondence." And "A Residence in France," edited by J. Gifford.

Benoit was a native of the village of Chamberlen, in the county of Neuchâtel in Switzerland; by religion a protestant. Before the revolution he held the post of concierge, or keeper of the palace of the Luxembourg, and after that æra continued for some time in the same office. He was upwards of seventy years old; and his generous and soothing attentions, his efforts to soften the lot and dissipate the chagrin of those whom the tyranny of the times consigned to his charge, procured him the appellation of *le bon Benoit*. Under his superintendance the prisoners passed, according to the circumstances which regulated the decrees of the day, the golden, silver, and brazen ages of their captivity; they experienced the iron age under the dominion of his name-fake and his compeers.

Account
of Benoit;

The Luxembourg was, at first, used as a prison for the deputies of the convention accused of federalism; but after passing the law which ordained the arrestation of ex-nobles, the relations of emigrants, &c. it became a place of detention; at first for the superior order of suspected persons, afterwards for them and *sans-culottes* indiscriminately. A great party of the English were also confined there.

his huma-
nity; and
state of the
prisoners.

The first night of their arrival the prisoners were obliged to accommodate themselves as they could. They had no beds; the ladies stretched their tender limbs on the rough floor, covered only with cloaks, great-coats, and such conveniences as could be immediately procured; while the gentlemen nodded in chairs, or lay down on the bare boards. Afterwards they were provided, by order of the legislature, with a flock bed, mattrafs, and bolster.

The humane Benoit abated much of the disagreeableness of their situation by his judicious mode of distributing the prisoners into apartments, which he did with a feeling regard to age, station in life, country, and even casual prepossession. The prisoners, thus associated, soon formed such regulations

as enabled them to be cleanly without interference or compulsion, and prevented those who were most unruly from disturbing the others.

The morning was devoted to such business as the nature of their situation required. The prisoners swept the rooms, made the beds, and performed other domestic duties. These occupations, if they provoked regret when compared with the elegance of preceding years, were yet of use in dispelling *ennui*, and giving some energy to action. At first, the prisoners were permitted, according to their circumstances, to order dinners from their own hotels, or from the tavern; those whose penury did not afford such gratification, were fed gratuitously by their more opulent associates. In the afternoon, they received the visits of their friends and relations; not in their own apartments, but in a common room, where, as the disposition of the parties or their external connexions led them, they formed lively or solemn groups; some repeating with the loud laugh and airy gesticulation of thoughtless hilarity, the jocular petulancies which their situation excited, and "*making villainous jests at their own undoing.*" Some indulged the transporting sensations arising from the punctual display of matrimonial, filial, and fraternal affection; consecrating by experience the maxims of genuine philosophy, and displaying the calm fortitude of minds unruffled by guilt. Others, giving way to more gloomy thoughts, lamented, in pathetic terms, their lost honours, dismantled castles, plundered coffers; regretted the divulsion of the matrimonial tie, recently knit, and its felicities in their most captivating and impressive era. Parties were made for the evening, at which, as the inclination of the company prevailed, tea, cards, extempore composition, scandal, music, or gallantry, beguiled the passing hour. Gallantry gained so strong ground at the Luxembourg, as to make that prison the talk of Paris; the French writer in describing it says,

says, "*L'amour avait le plus de part dans le choix des sociétés. Les Anglaises, moins vives, mais aussi tendres que les Françaises, se rangèrent à leur tour sous les drapeaux de la galanterie.*" This circumstance drew on them the reproaches of the administrators of the *commune* in terms too gross for repetition. The visits of these administrators, ordinarily selected from the dregs of the people, and characterized by the vulgar insolence, the filth, the language, and the sentiments of Cordeliers, was the great source of terror to the prisoners during the first short period of their captivity, which may be termed their *Golden Age*.

The increasing number of prisoners, which, Miss Williams says, a single week augmented from one hundred to a thousand^a, produced regulations not favourable to the comforts of the parties. Benoit continued his benevolent regulations in point of association, and the amusements of the evening were still permitted; but the number of *sans-culottes* was much increased, and became burdensome; the visits of the administrators became more frequent, their manners more haughty, and their commands more capricious. Sometimes, from a pretended love of equality, they would order a total change of apartments; sometimes a perfect equalization of diet; with several other vexatious restrictions which the forbearance of the poorer prisoners, who were called *pailleux*, or straw-lodgers, could with difficulty prevent from being carried into execution. A still more afflicting regulation was that which prevented the access of persons out of doors.

This destroyed many hopes and many sensations of pleasure; but still they occasionally presented themselves in the gardens; and looks and attitudes conveyed those sentiments which the parties were forbidden to utter. Still the journals of the day were

^a Vol. i. p. 22.

allowed;

allowed; and the prisoners still enjoyed the liberty of purchasing or procuring such provisions as they liked; and the liberalities of their friends were faithfully conveyed to them. On the whole, this period might be called their *Silver Age*.

The progress of the revolutionary government brought with it additional rigours and disquietudes. The prisons became crowded with spies, who rendered society suspicious and unsafe, threw danger into the innoxious meetings of the evening, which tended to their suppression; and, during the day, behaved to the ex-nobles, the aged, and the priests, with such turbulent violence, as to render their retreat into their own rooms the only means of avoiding rudeness and personal injury. All communication from without was forbid; the confined were no longer permitted to look through the windows towards the gardens to receive the distant salutations of their friends; they could neither write nor receive letters but under the inspection of officers appointed for that purpose; they were forbid to communicate with each other except in public; and the privilege of sending to a tavern, or to their own houses for victuals, was refused them. A *traiteur* was established in the house, who sold, at an enormous price, adulterated wine, bad meat, and garden-stuff which famine could not tempt or compel a person to touch. A law was at length made, by which the administrators of the police were directed to take from the suspected prisoners, all goods of a certain description; as knives, razors, scissors, and in general, every thing metallic; and all money and assignats, amounting in value to upwards of fifty livres (2 l. 3 s. 9 d.). This order produced a search which was conducted in a manner disgusting to the male, and insupportable to the female prisoners; and in which rapacity, immodesty, and the coarsest brutality were combined. This privation took from the captives the pleasure of assisting the *pailleux*, and enforcing their good

good behaviour by acts of munificence. It took from the rich the satisfaction they had often enjoyed of aiding those who had been confined, and whose innocence was at length acknowledged, in re-establishing themselves in the world, and carrying home happiness and ease to their desponding families. The prisoners now trembled for their fate, and dreaded another second of September. Every day some new severities were announced, or some new mortifications to be endured. Wilcheritz, the administrator, who had presided at the robbery, called a visitation, added, by his mysteriousness, to their terrors. When they inquired at what time their property would be restored, he answered, "When there is a peace." When they requested of him to let them have the journals to know the state of public affairs, "Patience;" he would cry; "Justice is just; this duration will not endure; patience." One extraordinary circumstance attended this privation of property, namely, that the mechanics were permitted to have their tools to work with all day; and the barbers their razors to shave the prisoners, on condition that they restored them to the turnkey at night. The promise that the money should be restored to the owners was never meant to be performed, as there was no inventory made, or any acknowledgment of the sums which had been taken away; and most of the persons plundered were intended to be guillotined without delay.

* WILCHERITZ was a Pole by birth, and cobbler by trade; made, during the reign of Robespierre, an administrator of police; in which employ he exercised, at the prison of the Luxembourg, the most insatiable rapacity, accompanied with the utmost aggravations of plebeian insolence. He robbed, insulted, and threatened the prisoners without mercy or reserve, taking from them their money, jewels, cutlery, and every thing made of metal, even large pins. He made himself as ridiculous by his ignorance and ostentation, as he was detestable for his conduct in other particulars. He fell with his patron, being guillotined soon after the 28th of July 1794, as an accomplice of Robespierre.

A short