

STA C

1 FEB791

University Studies—Mo. 1.

HISTORY

OF THE

MEDIÆVAL SCHOOL ØF INDIAN LOGIC

BY

MAHĀMAHOPĀDHYĀYA SATIS CHANDRA VIDYABHUSANA, M.A., Ph.D.

Professor of Sanskrit and Pali, Presidency College, Calcutta; Joint Philological Secretary, Asiatic Society of Bengal; and Fellow of the Calcutta University.



Thesis approved for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy withe University of Calcutta, 1907.

Cafcutta :

PUBLISHED BY THE CALCUTTA UNIVERSITY AND PRINTED AT THE BAPTIST MISSION PRESS.

1909,





THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASUTOSH MUKHOPADHYAYA, SARASVATI, M.A., D.L., D.So., F.R.A.S., F.R.S.E.,

TO

VATSIMIA

Vice-Chancellor of the Calcutta University, President of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, and Chairman of the Board of Indigenous Sanskrit Education, Bengal,

> WHOSE LIFE IS AN UNBROKEN RECORD OF LOFTY IDEALS TRANSLATED INTO PRACTICE, THIS BOOK IS DEDICATED

IN TOKEN OF PROFOUND ESTEEM

BY

HIS HUMBLE ADMIRER,

THE AUTHOR.

SL

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

					PAGE
Preface	•••	 	 	 ••	xiii
Introduction		 •• •	 	 • •	XVII

BOOK I.

THE JAINA LOGIC.

CHAPTER I.-THE ERA OF TRADITION.

1.	The Jinas and Mahāvīra				1
					2
2.	The Svetāmbaras and Digambaras				2
3.	Indrabhūti Gautama	••	••	••	3
4.	Canonical Scriptures of the Jainas	••	•••	••	3
5.	The Drstivāda	• •	••		
6.	Logic in the Scriptures		••	••	4
7.	Hetu				4
8.	Four types of Inference				5
9.	Bhadra-bāhu				5
10.	His date				5
11.	His works			• •	6
12.	His syllogism				6
13.	Ten parts of the syllogism				7
14.	Syādvāda				8
15.	Seven categories of Syādvāda				8
16.	The Tattvārthādhigama Sūtra				.8
17.	Umāsvāti				8
18.	Pramāna or valid knowledge				9
19.	Indirect knowledge or Paroksa			1.	10
20.	Meanings of Pratyaksa and Paroks	a			10
21.	Nava				11
					11
22.	Naigama			**	11
23.	Samgraha			• •	A STREET,
24.	Vyavahāra				11
25.	Rju-sūtra	• •			11
26.	Sabda				12

CHAPTER II.-THE HISTORICAL PERIOD.

	The written records of the Jainas	 		13
28.	Siddhasena Divākara	 ••	1.4	13

SL

GOL

MINISTRYOR

9	9. His Sammatitarka sutra		PAGE
	South and Sully		14
			14
	9 IDL 37		14
	 Ine Nyayavatāra Pramāņa or valid knowledge Verbal Testimony 		15
			15
3	4. Verbal Testimony 5. Inference 6. Inference for one's self		15
3(J. Inference		16
37	5. Interence for one's self		16
38	Interence for the sake of others		16
	Interms of a syllogism		16
39	· Importance of the minor term		17
40	Fallacy of the minor term		17
41	Vyapti or inseparable connection		18
42	 Intrinsic inseparable connection Extrinsic inseparable connection Superfluity of article inseparable connection 		18
43	Extrinsic inseparable connection		18
44		1	18
45	Fanacy of the middle term		18
46			19
47.	Fallacies of the homogeneous example		19
. 48.	rallacies of the beterogeneous organite	••	20
49.	Refutation, &c.	••	20
50.	Effect of Pramāna		21
51.	Naya	••	21
52.	Refutation, &c. Effect of Pramāna Naya Syādvāda śruta, etc.	••	22
53.	Siddhasena Gani	• •	22
54.	Siddhasena Gani Samantabhadra His works and date The Áptamīmāmsā	••	22 22
55.	His works and date		22 23
56.	The Aptamimāmsā		
57.	The Aptamīmāmsā Non-existence, abhāva Existence, bhāva, and sapta-bhangī		24
58.	Existence bhave and cente bhan a	••	24
59.	Existence, bhāva, and sapta-bhangs		25
60.	Akalanka and his Buddhist and	/	25
61.	Date of Akalapha		26
62.	Vidvānanda		26
63.	Akalanka Deva Akalanka and his Buddhist antagonist Date of Akalanka Vidyānanda Vidyānanda		26
00.	Vidyānanda's reference to other philosophers	and	
64.	his date Mänikya Nandi The Parīksā-mukha-sūtra Valid knowledge Pramāna		27
65	Manikya Nandi		28
66.	The Pariksa-mukha-sutra		28
67.	Valid knowledge, Pramana		29
68.	Valid knowledge, Pramāņa Kinds of valid knowledge Terms of a syllogism Different phases of the reason or middle term		29
69.	Difference of a syllogism		29
109.	Different phases of the reason or middle term		30
70.	A CLUCULULUE L'EXAGELE LE LEE STEPPOSTIVO forma		30
71.	receptible reason in the negative form		30
72.	Imperceptible reason in the negative form	A State on	31

GOVER

MINISTRYOR

			PAGE
73.	Imperceptible reason in the affirmative form		31
73.	Example	• •	31
74.	Inference		
75.	Verbal Testimony	• •	32-
76.	Objects of Vanu Knowledge		32
77.	Result of valid knowledge		32
78.	Various kinds of fallacies		32
79.	Various kinds of fallacies Māņikya Nandi's references to contemporan	eous	
	systems of philosophy		33
80.	His estimate of the Pariksāmukha sūtra	1.	33
81.	Prabhā Candra		33
82.	Mallavādin		34
83.	Dharmottara-tippanaka and Mallavādin's date		34
84.	Pradyumna Sūri		35
85.	His date		-36
86.	His date		36
87.	Laghusamantabhadra		37
88.	Anantavīrya		37
89.	Deva Sūri		38
90.	His triumph over the Digambaras .		38
91.	His date		39
92.	Pramāna-nava-tattvālokālankāra		39
93.	Valid knowledge		40
94.	rerception (Direct knowledge)		40
95.	Indirect knowledge		41
96.	Inference		41
97.	Parts of a syllogism		42
98.	Non-existence (abhāva)		- 42
99.	Character of knowledge		43
100.	Fallacies of Naya		43
101.	Ātmā (soul)		43
102.	Bules of debate		44
103.	Hema Candra Sūri	1	44
104.	His Pramāņa-mīmāmsā		45
105.	His date		45
106.	Candraprabha Sūri		45
107.	Nyāyāvatāra-vivrti		46
108.	Nemicandra Kavi		46
109.	Ānanda Sūri and Amaracandra Sūri, nickna	med	- 40
n al	lion-cub and tiger-cub	mou	47
10.	Haribhadra Sūri		48
11.	His life		49
12.	His date		50
13.	His date Syādvāda-ratnāvatārikā		50
14.	Ratnaprabha Sūri	6.0	50



vii



		PAGE
115.	Mallisena Sūri	51
116.	Rājašekhara Sūri	51
117.	Jñāna Candra	51
118.	Gunaratna	52
119.	His date	52
120.	His references to other philosophers	53
121.	Dharmabhūsana	54
122.	His Nyāya-dīpikā	54
123.	His references to other philosophers	54
124.	Yasovijaya Gani	54
125.	His life	55

BOOK II.

THE BUDDHIST LOGIC.

CHAPTER 1 .--- THE OLD BUDDHIST REFERENCES TO LOGIC.

1.	The Buddhas			57
2.	Buddha Gautma •			57
3.	Tripitaka-Pāli Literature			. 57
4.	Heretical Sects of the Buddhists			58
5.	Tripitaka carried to Ceylon			58
6.	Vijnana (knowledge)			59
7.	Classification of Vijñāna			59
8.	Takkika			59
9.	Takkika in the Brahma-jāla Sutta			. 59
10.	Takkika in the Udāna			. 60
11.	Logic in the Kathā-vatthuppakarana			60
12.	Nyāya in the Milinda-pañha			61
13.	The Method of debate			62
14.	The Mahāyāna and the Hinayāna			62
15.	The Council of Kaniska			63
16.	The Sanskrit Buddhist Literature			63
17.	Nava Dharmas			64
18.	Hetuvidyā in the Lalitavistara			64
19.	Eighteen Sects of the Buddhists			65
20.	Four Schools of the Buddhist Philosophy			66
21.	The Vaibhāsika School			66
22.				67
23.	Logic in works of the Mādhyamika and			01
4	011	108		67
24.	T are a t	••	• •	68
25.	TOL 7 . A DIT THINKS			69
26.		1	•••	70
60.	Nāgārjuna's works			10



		PAGE
115.	Mallisena Sūri	51
116.	Rājaśekhara Sūri	51
117.	Jñāna Candra	51
118.	Gunaratna	52
119.	His date	52
120.	His references to other philosophers	53
121.	Dharmabhūsana	54
122.	His Nyāya-dīpikā	54
123.	His references to other philosophers	54
124.	Yasovijaya Gani	54
125.	His life	55

BOOK II.

THE BUDDHIST LOGIC.

CHAPTER 1.-THE OLD BUDDHIST REFERENCES TO LOGIC.

1.	The Buddhas			57
2.	Buddha Gautma			57
3.	Tripitaka-Pāli Literature			. 57
4.	Heretical Sects of the Buddhists			58
5.	Tripitaka carried to Ceylon			58
6.	Vijñāna (knowledge)			59
7.	Classification of Vijñāna			59
8.	Takkika			59
9.	Takkika in the Brahma-jāla Sutta			. 59
10.	Takkika in the Udāna			60
11.	Logic in the Kathā-vatthuppakarana			60
12.	Nyāya in the Milinda-pañha			61
13.	The Method of debate			62
14.	The Mahāyāna and the Hinayāna			62
15.	The Council of Kaniska		and the second	63
16.	The Sanskrit Buddhist Literature			63
17.	Nava Dharmas			64
18.	Hetuvidyā in the Lalitavistara		1.1.1	64
19.	Eighteen Sects of the Buddhists			65
20.	Four Schools of the Buddhist Philosophy			66
21.	The Vaibhāsika School			66
22.	The Sautrantika School			67
23.	Logic in works of the Mādhyamika and			
	Schools			67
24.	Ārya Nāgārjuna			68
25.	The date of Nāgārjuna			69
26.	Nāgārjuna's works	1	÷.	70
TOP STORE	and the second of the second s	140 0	1 1 1	14



1

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

SL

				PAGE
67.	Pramāņa-śāstra-praveša		• •	100
68.	Alambana-pariksā	••		101
69.	Alambana-pariksā-vrtti		1	101
70.	Alambaņa-parīksā-vrtti Trikāla-parīksā Stankara Svāmin			101
71.	Sumand Svamme			101
72.	Dharmapāla His Works	••		102
73.	His Works	••		102
74.	Dharmapāla His Works Ācārya Sīlabhadra Ācārya Dharmakīrti		• •	102
75.	Acārya Dharmakīrti Dharmakīrti and Kumārila	••		103
76.	Dharmakirti and Kumarila *	•••	••	103
77.	Dharmakīrti's Triumphs			104
78.	His further triumphs	•••	4. · · ·	104
79.	His further triumphs	· •	•••	104
80.	Dharmakīrti and Sron-tsan-gam-po Dharmakīrti's Date History of the Pramāṇa-vārtika-kārikā		• •	104
81.	Dharmakīrti's Date	••		105
82.	History of the Pramāņa-vārtika-kārikā	••		105
83.	Subjects of the work	• •		106
84.	Pramāņa-vārtika-vrtti			107
85.	Pramana-viniscava			107
86.	Nyāya-bindu			109
87.	Theory of Perception	· · ·		109
88.	Inference for one's self			110
89.	Three kinds of Middle Term Inference for the sake of others	•••	• •	110
90.	Inference for the sake of others			111
91.	Thesis			112
92.	Fallacies of Thesis	• •		112
93.	Fallacies of the Middle Term	••		112
94.	Dharmakīrti criticises Dignāga	•••	• •	113
95.				114
96.	Fallacies of Homogeneous Example	•• "		115
97.	Fallacies of Heterogeneous Example	• •		-116
98.	Refutation and its semblance			116
99.	Dharmakīrtī the Vanquisher of Tīrthikas			116
.00.	Hetu-bindu vivarana			117
01.	Tarka-nyaya or Vada-nyaya			117
02.	Santānāntara-siddhi			117
03.	Sambandha-parīksā			118
04.	Sambandha-pariksā-vrtti	Ş. 1		118
05.	Devendra bodhi	8. 		118
06.	Pramāna-vārtika-pañjikā			118
07.	Story of composition of the work		10 M 10 M	118
08.	S'äkva bodhi			119
09.	Pramāņa-vārtika-panjikā-tikā			116
10.	Vinita Deva	1. 14	S	119
11.	Nyāya-bindu-tikā	EX U	2.4.1	

MINISTRY

						PAGE
112.	Hetu-bindu-tikā					120
113.	Vāda-nyāya-vyākhyā					120
114.	Sambandha-pariksā-tikā				5 8 S.C.	120
115.	Alambana-pariksā-tikā					120
116.	Santānāntara-siddhi-tīkā					121
117.	Candra Gomin					121
118.	His Wanderings					122
119.	His Date					123
120.	Nyayaloka-siddhi					123
121.	Ravi Gupta					123
122.	Pramāņa-vārtika-vrtti		· · · ·			124
123.	V7:/=1= 1 - /-					124
124.	Jinendra Bodhi					124
125.	S'ānta Raksita					124
126.	Vāda-nyāya-vrtti-vipañcitān			11.01		125
127.						125
128.	Subject of the work					126
129.	Kamala-śīla					129
130.	Nyāya-bindu-pūrva-pakse-sa	amksi	pta			129
131.	Illedd i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		••			130
132.	Kalyāņa Raksita					130
133.	Vāhyārtha-siddhi-kārikā					130
134.	Sruti-parikșā					130
135.	Anyāpoha-vicāra-kārikā					130
136.	Isvara-bhanga-kārikā		1.00	1949 · · · · ·	••	130
137.	Dharmottarăcārya		••			131
138.	Nyāya-bindu-tīkā	1.	•••	· · · /	• •	131
139.	D	••				
140.	Apoha-nāma-prakarana	••				132
141.		••	• •	• •		132
142.		••	••		• •	132
143.	Ksana-bhanga-siddhi					132
144.	Pramāna-vinišcaya-tīkā					132
145.	Muktā-kumbha Ksaņa-bhanga-siddhi-vyākh	• •	• •	••	15.5	133
146.	Asana-onanga-sidom-vyakh			• •	1	133
	Arcata	• •			••	133
147.	Hetu-bindu-vivaraņa	••				133
148.	Dāna-šīla					134
149.	Pustaka-pāthopāya					134
150.	Jina Mitra					135
151.	Nyāya-bindu-piņdārtha					135
152.	Prajñākara Gupta					135
153.	Pramāna-vārtikālankāra					135
154.	Sahāvalambha-niścaya					136
155.	Acārya Jetāri			o • ⁴		136
156.	His date		S 100			136



xi

1

*

MINISTRU

XII

					LTTT
157.	Hetu-tattva-upadeśa		1.41		136
158.	Dharma-dharmi-viniścaya	1			136
159.	Bālāvatāra-tarka	12.19		1	137
160.	Pramāņa-vārtikālankāra-tīkā			1.11.1	137
161.	Jina		11. 1. 1.	Text of	137
162.	Jñāna-śrī	100			137
163.	Pramāņa-viniścaya-tīkā	197 S. 199			138
164.	Kārya-kāraņa-bhāva-siddhi	NO ANS			138
165.	Tarka-bhāṣā				138
166.	Ratnavajra	1			139
167.	Vulti marcare				139
168.	Ratnākara S'ānti and Ratnakīrt		••		140
169.	Viinanti mätne sidlik:	*75			140
170.	Antar-vyāpti	••	••	••	140
171.		• 14 T		••	140
172.	Vanani	••	• • •	••	
173.	Dramana martikal ita ita	••	••		141
174.	Pramāņa-vārtikālankāra-tīkā	* • •			141
the second se	Sankarānanda.		••		142
175.	Pramāņa-vārtika-tīkā				142
176.	Sambandha-pariksānusāra				142
177.	Apoha-siddhi				143
178.	Pratibandhasiddhi	1.00		1	144

APPENDIX A.

The	University	of	Nālandā	 	 145
					Contraction of the

APPENDIX B.

The Pāla Kings						148
----------------	--	--	--	--	--	-----

APPENDIX C.

The University of	Vikramaśilā				150
-------------------	-------------	--	--	--	-----



PREFACE.

WITH the object of drawing the attention of scholars to the vast literature of the Mediæval school of Indian Logic, I have in the present thesis embodied the results of some of my researches into it.1 The Mediæval Logic of India is divided into two principal systems, viz., the Jaina and the Buddhist. The materials of the Jaina portion of my thesis were derived from several rare Jaina manuscripts procured from Western India and the Deccan. I have also used the Jaina manuscripts of the Asiatic Society of Bengal and the numerous Jaina works printed in Bombay, Benares and Calcutta. From the footnotes of my thesis it will be evident that I have frequently used Professor Peterson's Reports of Operations in Search of Sanskrit manuscripts in the Bombay Circle. Though the Professor has said nothing in particular about Logic and Logicians, he has given a general index of Jaina authors which has been of the greatest use to me. I have not heard of any scholar who has yet written any special account of the Jaina Logic. Dr. Herman Jacobi's "Eine Jaina-Dogmatik" printed in Leipzig is an annotated translation of Umāsvāti's Tattvārthādhigama Sūtra, an ancient Jaina work on general philosophy and not a special treatise on Logic. A short time ago I sent a proof of my account of the Jaina Logic to Dr. Jacobi who very graciously returned it with a few marginal glosses which have been most thankfully accepted and embodied in the foot-notes of this thesis.

To show how generously that most eminent authority on Jainism condescended to help me, I quote here the

¹ Some of these researches were published in the "Journal" of the Asiatic Society of Bengal during the last two years.

PREFACE.

letter which he wrote in communicating to me his sugges-

Bonn, 21st October, 1907. Niebuhrstrasse 59.

DEAR SIR,

I have received your kind letter and the proofs of the Jaina Logic, and I heartily congratulate you on the work you have done. It will prove very useful, for you have brought together a mass of information which is not of easy access to many. In looking over the proofs I have made some marginal glosses to show you where I think you might alter your statement. Of course, everything is left to your decision.

I shall be glad to see your whole book, as I take great interest in Indian Logic and I have myself written an article on it principally for the information of our Logicians who as a rule know nothing about what has been done in this branch of Philosophy by Indian thinkers. I shall therefore feel obliged if you can spare me a copy of your work.

With kind regards,

I am, Yours sincerely, H. JACOBI.

A proof of the Jaina Logic was also sent to two oriental authorities on Jainism—Muni Dharmavijaya and his pupil Srī Indravijaya at Benares. I owe them a great debt of gratitude for the kind assistance which they cheerfully rendered to me by going through the proof and offering certain suggestions and observations which have been incorporated in the foot-notes of this thesis.

As to the Buddhist Logic, no systematic information is available from Päli texts as there is not a single regular treatise on Logic in the Päli language; but references to ancient Brahmanic Logic can be gleaned from the publications of the Pali Text Society of London and also from other Pali works printed elsewhere. The Buddhist Sanskrit works on Logic of the Middle Age are now almost extinct in India. A few of them, which are available in Chinese versions, have been noticed by Dr. Sugiura in his "Hindu Logic as preserved in China and Japan." But almost all the Buddhist Sanskrit works on Logic

PREFACE,

are carefully preserved in faithful translations in Tibet. The materials of that portion of my thesis, which deals with Buddhist Logic, were chiefly derived from the Hodgson Collection of Tibetan xylographs deposited in the India Office, London, and the large number of Tibetan block-prints brought down to Calcutta from Gyantse during the British Mission to Tibet in 1904. I also consulted almost all the Tibetan manuscripts and block-prints bearing on Logic that lie hidden in the Tibetan monasteries of Labrang and Phodang in Sikkim which I visited during May and June 1907.1 For the historical account of the Buddhist authors I have chiefly depended on Lama Tārānātha's Tibetan history of Indian Buddhism translated into German by A. Schiefner under the designation of "Geschichte des Buddhismus," and the Tibetan historical work called Pag-sam-jonzang edited in the original Tibetan by Rai Sarat Chandra Das, Bahadur, C.I.E., in Calcutta. Some most important historical facts regarding the Buddhist Logicians and their works have been discovered from the colophons at the end of each of the Tibetan works which I have examined.

It was mainly through the influence of Mr. F. W. Thomas that I was enabled to borrow the Tibetan xylographs of the India Office, London, and I avail myself of this opportunity of acknowledging my humble appreciation of the generosity of that distinguished scholar. My respectful thanks are also due to the Government of India, who kindly lent me several block-prints out of the vast Tibetan collection brought down to Calcutta by the Tibet Mission of 1904. I should be guilty of great ingratitude if I were not to mention my obligations to Mr. A. Earle, I.C.S., Director of Public Instruction, Bengal, Mr. C. H. Bompas, I.C.S., Deputy Commissioner,

¹ Subsequently in October 1908 I visited Pamiangchi, which is another very old monastery in Sikkim, where all facilities were kindly afforded to me by their Highnesses the Maharaja and Maharani of Sikkim as well as by Mr. Crawford, I.C.S., the then Deputy Commissioner of Darjeeling.—S. C. V.

XV

PREFACE.

XVI

Darjeeling, and Mr. Claude White, C.I.E., Political Resident, Sikkim, for the kind help they gave me in getting access to the Tibetan Monasteries of Labrang and Phodang in Sikkim.

For a time I was quite bewildered by the enormous store of material on Indian Logic which I had collected, and it took me many a month to select and classify a portion of it for the purpose of this thesis. When the compilation of the paper was finished, and the work was passing through the press, Mr. W. W. Hornell, B.A., of the Indian Educational Service, kindly undertook to revise it, but he was able to revise only the first chapter of the Jaina Logic before leaving India. Accordingly, the rest of the work was, at my request, revised by Mr. W. C. Wordsworth, M.A., of the Presidency College, Calcutta. I am deeply indebted to both these gentlemen for their kind courtesy and assistance.

Whatever the merits or the utility of the present contribution may be, it has had the rare good fortune and privilege of having been looked through by a savant with whom it would be an impertinence to name in the same breath any other living authorities, oriental or occidental, on Indian philosophy. This savant, whose learning is equalled by his modesty and willingness to assist beginners in their uphill work, is no other than our revered Dr. G. Thibaut, M.A., Ph.D., D.Sc., C.I.E., now Registrar of the Calcutta University, which post may he fill long so that our countrymen may continue to derive benefit from his vast erudition.

SATIS CHANDRA VIDYABHUSANA.

INTRODUCTION.

Logic is generally designated in India as Nyāya-sāstra. It is also called Tarka-sāstra, Hetu-vidyā, Pramāņa-sāstra, Ānvīksikī and Phakkikā-sāstra.

Indian Logic may be divided into three principal schools, viz.,

the Ancient (600 B.C.-400 A.D.), the Three Schools of Mediæval (400 A.D.-1200 A.D.), and the Indian Logic. Modern 1200 A.D.-1850 A.D.). The Nyāya-sūtra by Aksapāda Gautama is the foremost, though by no means the first, work on Logic of the Ancient School; the Pramāna-samuccaya by Dignāga is a representative work of the Mediæval School, while the Tattva cintāmani by Gangeśa Upādhyāya is the main text-book of the Modern School. These three works have, since their composition, enjoyed a very wide popularity, as is evident from the numerous commentaries that have from time to time centred round them. A few of the commentaries are mentioned below:-

The Ancient School of Logic.

Text.

1. Nyāya-sūtra by Aksapāda Gautama.

Commentaries.

- 2. Nyāya bhāsya by Vātsyāyana.
- 3. Nyāya-vārtika by Udyotakara.
- 4. Nyāya-vārtika-tātparya-tīkā by Vācaspati Miśra.
- 5. Nyāya-vārtika-tātparya-tīkā-parišuddhi by Udayanācārya.
- 6. Nyāyālankāra by Srī Kantha.
- 7. Nyāya-vrtti by Abhayatilakopādhyāya.
- 8. Nyāya-vrtti by Visvanātha.

The Mediæval School of Logic.

Text.

1. Pramāna-samuecaya by Dignāga.

Commentaries.

- 2. Pramāna-samuccaya-vrtti by Dignāga.
- 3. Pramāna-vārtika-kārikā by Dharmakīrti
- 4. Pramāna-vārtika-vrtti by Dharmakirti.
- 5. Pramāņa-vārtika-pañjikā by Devendrabodhi.
- 6. Pramāņa-vārtika-panjikā-tīkā by Sakyabodhi
- 7. Pramāna-vārtika-vrtti by Ravi Gupta. [drabodhi.
- 8. Pramāņa-samuecaya-tīkā (Visālāmalavatī-nāma) by Jinen-



pati.

INTRODUCTION.

- Pramāna-vārtikālankāra by Prajnākara Gupta. 9.
- Pramāna vārtikā ankāra-tikā by Jina. 10.
- Pramāna-vārtikālankāra by Yamāri. 11.
- 12. Pramāna-vārtika-tikā by Sankarānanda.

The Modern School of Logic.

Text.

Tattva-cintāmaņi by Gangeśa Upādhyāya. 1.

Commentaries.

- 2. Tattva-cintāmani Prakāśa by Rucidatta.
- Tattva Aloka by Jayadeva Miśra. 3.
- Tattva Didhiti by Raghunātha Siromani. 4.
- Tattva Rahasya by Mathurānātha. 5.
- Tattva Dipani by Krsnakanta. 6.
- 7. Tattva Tikā by Kanāda Tarkavāgiša.
- 8. Tattva Aloka-sāra-manjarī by Bhavananda.
- Tattva Aloka-darpana by Maheśa Thakkura. 9.
- 10. Tattva Aloka-kantakoddhāra by Madhu Sūdana Thakkura.
- 11. Tattva Aloka-rahasya by Mathuränätha.
- 12. Tattva Didhiti-vyäkhyä-vivecana by Rudra Nyäyaväcas-
- 13. Tattva Didhiti-tippani by Jagadiśa.
- 14. Tattva Didhiti-tikā by Gadādhara.
- 15. Tattva Didhiti-sāramañjarī by Bhavānanda.
- 16. Tattva Bhavānandī vyākhyā by Mahādeva Paņdita.
- Tattva Kālīšankari-patrikā by Kālīšankara. 17. 18.
- Tattva Cāndrī-patrikā by Candra Nārāyaņa. 19.

Tattva Raudri-patrikā by Rudra Nārāyaņa. etc. etc.

etc.

Besides these there are numerous other texts and commentaries on Logic which belong to one or another of the three schools mentioned above.

I shall say here nothing about the ancient and modern schools of Logic, my whole attention will The Jaina system of Mediæval Logic.

be devoted to the mediæval school alone. It is perhaps known to very few scholars

that the Mediæval Logic was almost entirely in the hands of the Jainas and Buddhists. For one thousand years, from 600 B.C. to 400 A.D., the Jainas and Buddhists were fully occupied in questions of metaphysics and religion though there are occasional references to Logic in their works of that period. At about 400 A.D. began an epoch when they seriously took up the problems of Logic, and all the text-books on the Jaina and Buddhist systems of Logic date at or after that time. Ujjaini in Malwa and Valabhi in Guzerat were the scenes of activity of the Jaina Logicians of the Svetämbara sect. The Digambaras flourished principally in Pätaliputra and Drāvida

INTRODUCTION.

(including Karnäta) about the 8th century A.D. The Nyāyāvatāra by Siddhasena Divākara, dated about 533 A.D., was the first systematic work on the Jaina Logic.

The real founders of the Mediæval Logic were the Buddhists.

The first batch of the Buddhist Logicians

The Buddhist system of Mediæval Logic.

came principally from Gandhara (modern Punjab frontier. Peshwar) on the Ayodhyā (Oudh) was the scene of their activity. Unfortunately we have not before us any of the original Sanskrit works on Logic produced by them. We may, however, form an approximate estimate of their Logic from the works on the Yogācāra philosophy by Maitreya, Asanga and Vasubandhu recovered from the Chinese sources. About 500 A.D.1 the Huns conquered Gandhara, and their leaders Mihirakula and others perpetrated terrible atrocities on the Buddhists to the great detriment of Buddhistic studies there. Asanga and Vasubandhu (and perhaps Maitreya too) passed the best days of their lives in Ayodhyā and wrote most of their works there. King Vikramāditya who reigned in Ayodhyā about 480 A.D.² was at first a patron of the Sāmkhya philosophy but afterwards greatly supported Buddhism through the influence of Vasubandhu. Baladitya, who succeeded Vikramaditya to the throne of Ayodhyā, was a pupil of Vasubandhu and a supporter of Buddhism. The Buddhist Logic of the Yogācāra school appears thus to have originated in Avodhyā and flourished there during 400-500 A.D. under Kings Vikramäditya and Bālāditya.

The second batch of the Buddhist Logicians flourished in Drāvida (the Deccan) during 500-700 A.D. when the Buddhist kings of the Pallava dynasty were supreme there. Acarya Dignāga, about 500 A.D., was the oldest logician of Drāvida whose works are still extant, in faithful translations. Another logician of eminence of the Drāvida school was Dharmakīrti who lived about 650 A.D. His Nyāyabindu, and a commentary on it by Dharmottara called Nyāya-bindu-tikā, are the only systematic works on Buddhist Logic which have come down to us in their Sanskrit originals. They would have certainly disappeared from India like a hundred other works of their kind, had it not been that a Jaina logician named Mallavädin had written a gloss on them. Seeing that the gloss would be useless without the text and commentary, the Jainas preserved all three. The Nyāyabindu, together with the commentary, preserved

xix

¹ Vide Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol. I., pp. xv, 168.

² Vide Takakusu's Paramärtha's Life of Vasubandhu published in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, January 1905, p. 36.

INTRODUCTION.

GOVERNIN

XX

among the palm-leaf manuscripts in the Jaina temple of Sāntinātha, Cambay, has been published by Professor Peterson in the Bibliotheca Indica series of Calcutta. With the downfall of the Pallavas, Logic disappeared from Drāvida. Vinayāditya of the Western Chalukya dynasty about 696 A.D. put a check to the power of the Pallavas, while Vikramāditya II, of the same dynasty, about 733 A.D., seized Kāñcī, their capital.¹ The Chalukyas were Vaiṣṇavas, and their conquest of Kāñcī was really a triumph of the Brahmanic religion over Buddhism. At about 788 A.D. the great Brāhmaṇa preacher S'aṅkarācārya appeared, and Buddhism became gradually extinct in Drāvida.

The third and fourth batches of Buddhist Logicians flourished simultaneously in Kāśmīra and Bengal (including Behar). Ravi Gupta, 725 A.D., was the earliest logician of the Kāśmīra school. At this time Kāśmīra was governed by the illustrious King Lalitāditva or Muktāpīda (about 695-732 A.D.), who built a large vihāra with a stūpa at Huskapur.² At the same time there was a great demand for Sanskrit Buddhist books in Tibet with the thorough opening of her intercourse with India in the 8th century A.D. King Khri-ral (otherwise known as Ral-pa-can) in the 9th century A.D. employed numerous Indian Pandits and Tibetan Lamas to translate Sanskrit books into Tibetan. The propaganda of translations went on in full force up to about 110 IA.D., when the glorious reign of Sri Harsa Deva (1089-1101 A.D.), who was a patron of learning, both Brāhmanic and Buddhistic,⁸ came to a close. The Buddhist monasteries and Tibetan Lamas did not altogether disappear from Kāśmīra until the establishment of Mahomedan rule in that country in 1341 A.D., when her intercourse with Tibet ceased. Henceforth we hear no more of logicians flourishing in Kāśmīra.

In Bengal and Behar Logic flourished extensively during 700-1200 A.D., when the Buddhist kings of the Pāla dynasty reigned there. Candra Gomin, about 700 A.D., was the first logician of the Bengal school. With the downfall of the Pāla kings in 1139 A.D., Buddhist Logic disappeared from Bengal. The splendid monastery of Vikramaśilā is said to have been destroyed in 1203 A.D. (vide Appendix C).

In the Middle Age there were several important universities or centres of Buddhistic learning in India, such as Kāncīpura, Nālandā, Odantapurī, Srī Dhānyakataka, Kāśmīra and

Vide Sewell's " Antiquities of Madras, " vol. II, pp. 150-151.

² Vide Stein's translation of Rajatarangini IV-183.

⁸ Dharmottarācārya's Pāralokasiddhi was translated into Tibetan in Kāsmīra at the monastery of Ratnaraśmi during the reign of Srī Harşa (vide Tangyur, <u>M</u>do, Ze, folio 270).

INTRODUCTION,

Vikramaśilä. The Buddhist logicians belonged to one or another of these universities or centres of learning. On the extinction of these Buddhistic universities, the Brahmanic universities of Mithilä and Nadia grew up. These last, in their turn, are now declining, being unable to make headway against the more scientific methods of study which are developing under the influence of the Calcutta University, established by the Imperial British Government in 1857, with the object of en couraging Eastern and Western learning side by side. In spite of strenuous efforts made by the British Government to foster study and research in Indigenous Logic, it is still at its lowest ebb, as the degrees of a modern University are held in greater regard than those of the archaic Universities of Mithilā and Nadia: and as it is often alleged that in comparison with the Logic of Europe, Indian Logic though subtle is cumbrous in its method, forbidding in its language, and less profitable in its material results.

SATIS CHANDRA VIDYABHUSANA.

CALOUTTA, December, 1907.

BOOK I. The Jaina Logic.

CHAPTER I.

THE ERA OF TRADITION (circa 607 B.C.-453 A.D.).

THE JINAS AND MAHAVIRA.

1. The Jainas maintain that their religion is coeval with time. According to their traditions there appeared at various periods in the world's history sages whom they call Jinas, conquerors of their passions, or Tirthankaras, that is, builders of a landing place in the sea of existence. These sages preached the religion of the Jainas. The Jainas hold that in every cycle of time (utsarpinior avasarpini-kāla) 24 sages are born. The first sage of the last series was Rşabhadeva, the 24th was Mahāvira or Vardhamāna, who attained nirvāņa at Pāvā in 527 B.C.¹ The scriptures which the Jainas obey are founded on the teachings of Mahāvira. No one disputes this, and scholars generally regard Mahāvira as the founder of Jainism, and hold that the theory of the existence of Jinas previous to him, except Pāršvanātha the 23rd Tirthankara, was a subsequent invention.

¹ पण्डसय वस पंषमास जुदं ग्रनिय वोरणितुर्दो सगराजी। (Trilokasāra of the Digambara sect). "Mahāvīra attained nirvāņa 605 years 5 months before the Saka King (78 A.D.) came to the throne," that is, in 527 B.C. As he lived 72 years he must have been born in 599 B.C.

According to Vicāraśreņī of Merutunga, Tirthakalpa of Jinaprabha Sūri, Vicāra-sāra-prakaraņa, Tapāgaccha-pattāvalī, etc., of the Svetāmbara sect Mahāvira attained *nirvāņa* 470 years before Vikrama Samvat or in B.C. 527.

Dr. Jacobi of Boun, in his letter dated the 21st October 1907, kindly writes to me as follows :--

"There is however another tradition which makes this event [viz. the nirvāna of Mahāvīra] come off 60 years later, in 467 B.C. (see Parisista Parvan, Introduction, p. 4 f.; also Kalpasūtra, Introduction, p. 8). The latter date cannot be far wrong because Mahāvīra died some years before the Buddha whose death is now placed between 470-480 B.C."

JAINA LOGIC, CHAP. I.

THE S'VETAMEARAS AND THE DIGAMBARAS.

2. The Jainas are divided into two sects, the Svetāmbaras, those who are clothed in white, and the Digambaras, those who are sky-clad or naked. The Svetāmbaras claim to be more ancient than the Digambaras, whose existence as a separate sect is said to date from A.D. 82,¹ *i.e.*, 609 years after the attainment of *nirvāņa* by Mahāvīra.

INDRABHŪTI GAUTAMA (607 B.C.-515 B.C.).

3. The teachings of Mahāvīra as represented in the scriptures are said to have been collected² by a disciple of his called Indrabhūti. This disciple is often known as Gautama or Gotama. He was a *Kevalin*⁵ and the first of the Gāṇa-dharas⁴ or leaders of the assembly. His father's name was Brāhmaṇa Vasubhūti, and his mother's name was Brāhmaṇi Pṛthvī. He was born in

¹ The Svetāmbaras say :- इव्यास सयाई नवुत्तराई तईया सिद्धिंगयसा नौरसा नो नोड़ियाय दिड्डो रहनौरपुरे समुख्या। "The Digambara doctrine was preached in Rathavīrapura 609 years after the attainment of nirvāņa of Mahāvīra" (Avašyaka niryukti-52). But the Digambaras deny this and say that the Svetāmbaras rose in Vikrama 136 or 79 A.D. Ci. Bhadrabāhucarita IV. 55 :

> च्हते विक्रमभूपाचे षट्चिंग्रद्धिके ग्रते । गतेःब्दानासभूक्षोके सतं चेताव्वराभिधस् ॥ १ खय सत्यपिंसम्पद्यं युतार्थं जिनभाषितस् । द्वादगाङ्वयुतं खायं सोपाङं गौतनो वधात् ॥

(Jaina Harivamśa Purāņa.)

Indrabhūti Gautama and Sudharma Svāmi were the joint compilers of the Jaina scriptures. But Indrabhūti became a *Kevalin* or attained *kevalajāāna* (absolute knowledge) on the day on which Mahāvīra attained nirvāņa. He did not therefore occupy the chair of his teacher Mahāvīra, but relinquished it to his spiritual brother Sudharma Svāmi, Cf.

र्जभूति प्रख्तीनां जिपदीं याइरत् प्रसुः ॥ (Hemacandra's Mahāvīracarita, chap. v, MSS. lent by Muni Dharmavijaya and Indravijaya).

³ Possessor of absolute knowledge. For a further reference to this title see R. G. Bhandarkar's Report, 1883-84, p. 122.

4 यत्प्रज्ञाप्रचरेऽतिशायिनि तथा प्रात्तीयग्रैलोज्व्यक्षे केनी गौरचरच्चिपद्यपि यथा सद्यः पदैः कोडिग्रः । खङ्गोपाङ्गमद्दोदया समसवच्चिकोव्यसंचारियौ वन्द्रोऽसौ गणश्रद्व्वायचयग्दर्नाकेन्द्रभूतिः सत्तायु ॥ ४ ॥

> (Siddhajayantī-earitra-tīkā, noticed in Peterson's 3rd Report, App. 1, p. 38.)

CANONICAL SCRIPTURES.

the village of Gorbara¹ in Magadha and died at Gunava in Rājagrha (Rajgir) at the age of ninety-two, 12 years after the attainment of *nirvāņa* by Mahāvīra.² Assuming that Mahāvīra attained *nirvāņa* in 527 B.C., Indrabhūti's birth must be assigned to 607 B.C. and his death to 515 B.C.

THE CANONICAL SCRIPTURES OF THE JAINAS.

4. Those scriptures of the Jainas which are generally regarded as canonical are divided into 45 siddhāntas or āgamas classified as 11 Angas, 12 Upāngas, etc. "For the benefit of children, women, the old, and the illiterate,"³ these were composed in the Ardha-Māgadhi or Prāk₁ta language. On the same principle the scriptures of the Buddhistic canon were originally written in Māgadhī or Pāli. It is maintained that originally the Angas were 12 in number. The 12th Anga, which was called the Drstivāda or the presentation of views, was written in Sanskrit.⁴

5. The Dṛṣṭivāda is not extant. It consisted apparently The Dṛṣṭivāda. Of five parts, in the first of which logic is said to have been dealt with. The Dṛṣṭivāda is reputed to have existed in its entirety at the time of Sthūlabhadra⁵ who, according to the Tapāgachapaṭṭāvali, died in the year in which the 9th Nanda was killed by Candra Gupta (*i.e.*, about 327 B.C.). By 474 A.D. the Dṛṣṭivāda

> ¹ त्रीमन्तं स्मधेषु गोर्वर इति याझोऽभिरासः विधा तचोत्पद्वसधन्नचित्त त्रीवीरसेवाविधी । ज्योतिः संजयगीतमान्वयविधतृप्रद्योतनद्योमर्चि नापोत्तीर्णसुवर्णवर्णवपुषं भन्नयेन्द्रभूतिं स्तवे ॥

(Gotamastotra by Jinaprabha Sūri, extracted in Kāvyamālā, 7th Gucchaka, p. 110).

² For particulars about Indrabhūti Gautama, vide Dr. J. Klatt's Pattāvalī of the Kharataraga cha in the Indian Antiquary, Vol. X1, Sept. 1882, p. 246; and Weber's Die Handschritten-verzeichnisse der Königlichen Bibliothek zu Berlin, pp. 983 and 1030, in which are noticed Sarvarājagani's Vrtti on Ganadhara-sārdha-śatakam of Jinadattasūri, and Srī-pattāvali vācanā of the Kharataragaccha.

³ Haribhadra-süri, in his Daśa-vaikālika-vŗtti (Chap. III), observes :--

पालस्तीत्वयूर्वायां क्यां चारित्रकाङ्गिणास् ।

चन्यचार्ध तत्रज्ञेः सिवानाः प्राक्ततः स्रतः ॥

 \ast Vardhamāna-sāri, in his Ācāra-dinakara, quotes the following passage from $\bf \bar{A}gama:--$

सुत्रण दिहिनायं कालिय उज्जालियंग सिदंतं ।

घोवाखवायवत्यं पाइय सुद्धं जिनवरेडिं॥

⁵ Vide Cürnika of Nandi Sütra, page 478, published by Dhanapat Sing, Calcutta, and Peterson's 4th Report on Sanskrit MSS., p. exxxvi.

JAINA LOGIC, CHAP. I.

had disappeared altogether. Nothing is known as to the way in which logic was treated in the $D_{rstivada.^1}$

6. The subject-matter of logic is touched upon in several of the 45 Prāk_rta scriptures of the Jainas. In the Anuyoga-dvārasūtra,² Sthānānga-sūtra, Nandī-sūtra, etc., there is a description of Naya, or the method of comprehending things from particular standpoints. In the Nandī-sūtra, Sthānānga-sūtra, Bhagavatī-sūtra, etc.,³ there is a complete classification of valid knowledge (*Pramāna*).

7. The word "Hetu" is found in these Prakrta scriptures, But its use in these works makes it clear

a very definite significance. In the Sthänänga-sätra * it is used not only in the sense of reason, but also as a synonym for valid knowledge (*Pramāna*) and inference (*Anumāna*). *Hetu* as identical with valid knowledge (*Pramāna*) is stated to be of four kinds, viz:—

- (1) knowledge derived from perception (Pratyaksa);
- (2) knowledge derived from inference (Anumana);
- (3) knowledge derived through comparison (Upamāna); and
- (4) knowledge derived from verbal testimony or reliable authority ($\overline{A}gama$).

For a full history of the Dṛṣtivāda (called in Prākṛta Di(thivão) see
 Weber's Sacred Literature of the Jains, translated by Weir Smyth in the
 Indian Antiquary, Vol. XX, May 1891, pp. 170-182.
 ² In the Anuyoga-dvāra-sūtra Naya is divided into seven kinds,

² In the Anuyoga-dvāra-sūtra Naya is divided into seven kinds, viz., naigama, samgraha, vyavahāra, rju-sātra, šabda, samabhīrādha and evambhāta. For an explanation of these terms see Umāsvāti (in articles 21-26), who instead of dividing Naya into seven kinds, first divides it into five kinds, and then subdivides one of the five, viz., šabda, into three kinds.

kinds, and then subdivides one of the five, viz., śabda, into three kinds. ⁸ In the Sthänänga-sätra knowledge (jääna) is divided into (1) Pratyaksa (direct knowledge) and (2) Paroksa (indirect knowledge). Pratyaksa again is subdivided as Kevala jääna (entire knowledge) and A kevala jääna (defective knowledge). The Akevala jääna is subdivided as avadhi and manah-paryäya. The Paroksa jääna is subdivided as abhinibodha (mati) and śruta. Vide the Sthänänga-sätra, pp. 45-48, and the Mandi-sätra, pp. 120-134; both published by Dhanapat Sing and printed in Calcutta. See also what is said in the account of Umäsväti seg.

> अयवा केज जडब्बिडे पहाते तं जहा पत्रवर्ध खनुमाएँ उवमे कागमे। जयवा हेज चडब्बिडे पहाते तं जहा वस्ति तं बस्ति सो केज कस्ति तं। यस्ति सो हेज प्रसि तं बस्ति सो हेज पसि तं यस्ति सो केज॥

> > (Sthänänga-sütra, pp. 309-310, published by Dhanapat Sing and printed in Calcutta.)

BHADRABAHU.

8. When Hetu is used in the sense of inference (Anumāna), it is classified according to the following types :--

- (1) This is, because that is: There is a fire, because there is smoke.
- (2) This is not, because that is: It is not cold, because there is a fire.
- (3) This is, because that is not: It is cold here, because there is no fire.
- (4) This is not, because that is not: There is no śimśapā tree here, because there are no trees at all.¹

Внадкавани (433-357 В.С.).

9. An elaborate discussion of certain principles of logic is found in a Präkrta commentary on the Daśa-vaikālika-sūtra called Daśavaikālika-niryukti. This commentary was the work of one Bhadrabāhu² of the Prācīna Gotra. For 45 years this sage lived the ordinary life of the world; 17 years he passed in the performance of religious vows (Vratas) and for 14 years he was acknowledged by the Jainas to be the foremost man of his age (Yuga-pradhāna).⁸ He was a Srutakevalin,⁴ that is, one versed in the 14 Parvas of the Drstivada.

10. The abovementioned incidents are generally accepted as facts in the life of the author of the commentary. There is some doubt, however, as to the time in which he lived.⁵ According to the records⁸ of the Svetāmbaras he was born in 433 B.C. and died in 357 B.C. The Digambaras, however, maintain there were two Bhadrabāhus; that the first lived to 162 years from the nirvāna

1 Vide footnote 4 on page 4.

² For particulars vide Dr. J. Klatt's Kharataragaccha-pattavali in the Indian Antiquary, Vol. XI, Sept. 1882, p. 247; Weber II, p. 999; Peterson's 4th Report on Sanskrit MSS., p. lxxxiv ; and Dr. H. Jacobi's edition of the Kalpasūtra, Introduction, pp. 11-15. ⁸ In the Vicāra-ratna-samgraha by Jayasoma-sūri noticed by Peterson

in his 3rd Report on Sanskrit MSS., pp. 307-308, Bhadrabāhu is included among the Yuga-pravaras or Yuga-pradhānas. ⁴ For further particulars about this title see R. G. Bhandarkar's

Report, 1883-84, p. 122.

^b In Weber II, p. 999, in which the Gurvavali-sutra of Mahopadhyaya Dharmasagaragani is noticed, we read of Sambhūtivijaya and Bhadrabahu " Ubhau-pi sasthapattadharau."

> 6 अपखिसः पूर्व्यक्तां दितीयः त्रीभडवांडेख ()) गुरुः सिवाय। ललोपसगीदिडरस्तवं यी रत्च चढं घरणाचितांकिः ॥ १२ ॥ नियंद सिद्धान्तपथोधिराप खर येख वीरात जनगेन्दवर्षे १००।

JAINA LOGIC, CHAP. I.

GOVERNIE

MINISTRY OF OL

of Mahāvīra, that is, up to 365 B.C., and that the second ¹ to 515 years from the *nirvāņa* of Mahāvīra, that is, up to 12 B.C. They do not state definitely which of these Bhadrabāhus was the author of the Daśavaikālika-niryukti, but they hold the view that the second was the author of several of the existing Jaina works. The *Svetāmabara* records do not contain any mention of the second Bhadrabāhu, but in the Rsimaṇḍala-prakaraṇa-vṛtti,² a commentary of the *Svetāmbaras*, and in the Caturvimśati prabandha it is stated that Bhadrabāhu lived in the south in Pratisthāna and was a brother of Varāhamihira. Now Varāhamihira is popularly believed to have lived in the first century B.C. It is possible therefore, even according to the S'vetāmbaras, that the Daśavaikālika-niryukti was the work of a commentator who, to rely on popular belief, lived about the time of the opening of the Christian era.

11. Whenever he lived, the author of the Daśavaikālikaniryukti also wrote commentaries (niryuktis) on the following Jaina scriptures :—Āvašyaka-sūtra, Utarādhyayana-sūtra, Ācārāngasūtra, Sūtra-kṛtānga-sūtra, Daśāśruta-skandha-sūtra, Kalpa-sūtra, Vyavahāra-sūtra, Sūrya-prajñapti-sūtra, and Ŗsibhāsita-sūtra.

12. Bhadrabāhu did not set himself to analyse knowledge with the object of evolving a system of logic. His object was to illustrate the truth of certain principles of the Jaina religion. To do this, he, in his Daśavaikālika-niryukti,⁸ elaborated a syllogism consisting of ten parts (*daśāvayava-vākya*) and then demonstrated how the religious principles of Jainism satisfied the conditions of this formula.

तयोर्विनेयः कतविश्वभद्रः

त्रीख्रजभद्रस ददात ग्रमा ॥ १४ ॥

Gurvāvalī by Munisundara-sūri published in the Jaina Yaśovijaya-granthamālā of Benares, p. 4.

1 Vide the Sarasvatī-gaccha-pattāvalī in the Indian Antiquary, October 1891, and March 1892.

² Vide Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar's Reports on Sanskrit MSS. during 1883-84, p. 138. Bhadrabāhu must have lived as late as the 6th century A.D., if he was really a brother of that Varāhamihira who was one of the nine Gems at the court of Vikramāditya. Munis Dharīmavijaya and Indravijaya maintain that Bhadrabāhu's brother was not the same Varāhamihira that adorned the court of Vikramāditya.

³ ते ज पदन्न विभन्नी केज-विभन्नी विवनस्तपड़ियेक्ती। दिइंदेगे ज्यासंका तप्पडियेक्ती निगमर्णं च॥ १४२॥

Daśavaikālika-niryukti, p. 74, published under the patronage of Dhanapat Sing by the Nirnaya Sāgara Press, Bombay; and Dr. E. Leumann's edition of Daśavaikālikaniryukti, p. 649.

BHADRABAHU.

13. The following is an example :---

(1) The proposition (*Pratijñā*),—" to refrain from taking life The Syllogism. is the greatest of virtues."

(2) The limitation of the proposition $(Pratij\tilde{n}\bar{a}-vibhakti)$ —" to refrain from taking life is the greatest of virtues according to the Jaina scriptures."

(3) The reason $(Hetu), - \cdot \cdot$ to refrain from taking life is the greatest of virtues, because those who so refrain are loved by the gods and to do them honour is an act of merit for men."

(4) The limitation of the reason (*Hetu-vibhakti*),—" none but those who refrain from taking life are allowed to reside in the highest place of virtue."

(5) The counter-proposition (*Vipaksa*),--" but those who despise the Jaina scriptures and take life are said to be loved by the gods and men regard doing them honour as an act of merit. Again, those who take life in sacrifices are said to be residing in the highest place of virtue. Men, for instance, salute their fathers-in-law as an act of virtue, even though the latter despise the Jaina scriptures and habitually take life. Moreover, those who perform animal sacrifices are said to be beloved of the gods."

(6) The opposition to the counter-proposition (Vipaksapratisedha),—" those who take life as forbidden by the Jaina scriptures do not deserve honour, and they are certainly not loved by the gods. It is as likely that fire will be cold as that they are loved by the gods or that it is regarded by men as an act of merit to do them honour. Buddha, Kapila and others, really not fit to be worshipped, were honoured for their miraculous sayings, but the Jaina *Tirthankaras* are honoured because they speak absolute truth."

(7) An instance or example (Drstanta), - the Arhats and Sadhus do not even cook food, lest in so doing they should take life. They depend on householders for their meals."

(8) Questioning the validity of the instance or example $(\overline{A}\dot{s}a\dot{n}k\overline{a}),$ —" the food which the householders cook is as much for the Arhats and Sādhus as for themselves. If, therefore, any insects are destroyed in the fire, the Arhats and Sādhus must share in the householders' sin. Thus the instance cited is not convincing."

(9) The meeting of the question $(\bar{A} \dot{s} a \hbar k \bar{a} - pratised ha), --- `` the Arhats and <math>S \bar{a} dhus$ go to householders for their food without giving notice and not at fixed hours. How, therefore, can it be said that the householders cooked food for the Arhats and $S \bar{a} dhus$? Thus the sin, if any, is not shared by the Arhats and $S \bar{a} dhus$.''

JAINA LOGIC, CHAP. I.

(10) Conclusion (*Nigamana*),—" to refrain from taking life is therefore the best of virtues, for those who so refrain are loved by the gods, and to do them honour is an act of merit for men."

14. Bhadrabāhu in his Sūtra-kṛtāṅga-niryukti¹ mentions syādvāda. *Vāda* "assertion," or the assertion of possibilities) or Saptabhaṅgī-naya (the sevenfold paralogism).

15. The $Sy\overline{o}dv\overline{o}da^{2}$ is set forth as follows :—(1) May be, it is, (2) may be, it is not, (3) may be, it is and it is not, (4) may be, it is indescribable, (5) may be, it is and yet is indescribable, (6) may be, it is not and it is also indescribable, (7) may be, it is and it is not and it is also indescribable.

UMĀSVĀTI (1-85 A.D.).

16. Jaina philosophy recognises seven categories, viz., (1) the soul (Jiva), (2) the soul-less (Ajiva), (3) action $(\bar{A}srava)$, (4) bondage (Bandha),

(5) restraint (Samvara), (6) destruction of the consequences of action (Nirjarā), and (7) release or salvation (Moksa). According to the Tattvārthādhigama-sūtra which with a Bhāsya or commentry was composed by one Umāsvāti, these categories can only be comprehended by Pramāna, which in this sūtra fluctuates between the two meanings of valid knowledge and the sources of valid knowledge, and of Naya, the method of comprehending things from particular standpoints.

17. This Umāsvāti is better known as Vācaka-śramaņa : he was also called Nāgaravācaka, this title being probably a reference to his S'ākhā (spiritual genealogy). The Hindu philosopher Mādhavācārya calls him Umāsvāti-vācakācārya.³ He lived for 48 years, 8 months, and 6 days and attained *nirvāna* in Samvat

> ¹ चसियसयं किरियाणं चकिरियाणं च चोद चुझसोति। चभाषिय सन्द्री

वेषद्याणं च बत्तीसा॥ २१॥

(Sūtra-krtānga-niryukti, skandha 1, adhyāya 12, p. 448, edited by Bhim Sing Manak and printed in the Nirnaya Sāgara Press, Bombay.)

Cf. Sthänänga Sütra, p. 316, published by Dhanapat Sing, Benares edition.

² Cf. Sarvadaríana-samgraha translated by Cowell and Gough, p. 55. For full particulars about Syädväda or Saptabhangi naya vide Sapta bhangi-tarangini by Vimala Dāsa printed in Bombay.

³ Vide Sarvadariana-samgraha, chapter on Jaina dariana.

UMĀSVĀTI.

142, *i.e.*, in 85 A.D. In the Tattvārthādhigama-sūtra Umāsvāti gives the following account ¹ of himself ;—He was born in a village called Nyagrodhikā, but he wrote the Tattvārthādhigama-sūtra in Pāṭaliputra or Kusumapura (modern Patna). He belonged to the Kaubhīṣaṇin-gotra. His father was Svāti and he was consequently sometimes called Svāti-tanaya. He was also known as Vātsi-sūta, because his mother was Umā of the Vatsa-gotra. In the Tirthakalpa of Jinaprabha-sūri it is stated that Umāsvāti was the author of 500 Sanskrit prakaraṇas (treatises). He is said to have belonged to the Svetāmbara sect though, as stated in article 2 above, it is probable that the distinction between that sect and the Digambaras had not yet come into existence. 18. It has been observed in article 16 above that in the

Parokea, indirect knowledge, and Pratyakea, direct knowledge.

MINISTRY OF OL

GOVERNIER

INDER

Tattvärthädhigama-sūtra Pramāņa fluctuates between the meanings of valid knowledge and the sources of valid knowledge. In its former sense

म्यग्रेधिकाप्रस्ततेन विइरता पुरवर कुछुमनाबि । कोभीधणिना खातितनयेन वात्षीछुतेनार्ध्य ॥ २ ॥ चर्डद्वचनं स्वयमुबक्रमेणागतं ससुपधार्थ्य । दुःखार्भं च दुरागमविइतमतिं लोकमवल्लोक्य ॥ ४ ॥ द्रद्मुचैर्नागरवाचनेन सत्तानुकम्पया डब्बस् । तत्त्वार्थाधिगमाख्यं स्प्रष्टसुमाखातिना शालस् ॥ ५ ॥

(Tattvärthädhigama-sütra, Chap. X, p. 233, edited by Mody Keshavlal Premchand in the Bibliotheca Indica Series, Calcutta.)

A similar account is found in the commentary on the Tattvärthädhigama-sütra by Siddhasenagani. This account is mentioned by Peterson in his 4th Report on Sanskrit Manuscripts, p. xvi.

For Further particulars about Umäsväti see Peterson's 4th Report on Sanskrit Manuscripts, p. xvi, where he observes that in the Digambara Pattävali published by Dr. Hoernle in the Indian Antiquary, XX, p. 341, Umäsvänin (probably the same as Umäsväti) is included as the sixth Digambara Sūri of the Sarasvati-gaccha, between Kundakunda and Lohācārya II. According to Dr. Hoernle (vide "Two Pattävalis of the Sarasvatigaccha" by Dr. Hoernle in the Indian Antiquary, Vol. XX, October 1891, p. 351) the date of Umäsvämin's accession is 44 A.D., and he lived for 84 years, 8 months and 6 days. Dr. Hoernle adds, the

कृतिः चिताम्बराचार्यस्य सदाकवेदसाखातिवाचकस्य इति ॥

(Jambudvipa-samisa, p. 38, published as Appendix C to the Tattvärthädhigama-sūtra in the Bibliotheca Indica Series.)

JAINA LOGIC, CHAP. I.

GOVERNIAL OF

10

MINISTRY OF OL

Pramāna, according to this Sūtra, is of two kinds: (1) Parokṣa, indirect knowledge, which is acquired by the soul through external agencies such as the organs of sense, and (2) Pratyakṣa, direct knowledge which is acquired by the soul without the intervention of external agencies. Parokṣa, indirect knowledge, includes mati¹ and śruta, for these are acquired by the soul through the medium of the senses and the mind. Knowledge which is attained by Yoga (concentration) in its three stages of avadhi, manahparyāya and kevala is a species of Pratyakṣa, direct knowledge, because it is acquired by the soul not through the medium of the senses.

19. Umāsvāti contends ² that inference (Anumāna), comparison (Upamāna), verbal testimony or reliable authority $(\bar{A}gama)$, presumption (Arthäpatti), probability (Sambhava), and non-existence (Abhāva) are not distinct sources of valid knowledge : he includes them under *Parokşa* (indirect knowledge). According to his theory the majority of them are the result of the contact of the senses with the objects which they apprehend; and some of them are not sources of valid knowledge at all.

20. It is interesting to note that according to Umāsvāti and the earlier Jaina philosophers all sense-perceptions (visual perception, auditory perception, etc.) are indirect apprehensions in as much as the soul acquires them not of itself but through the medium of the senses. The words *Parokşa* and *Pratyakşa* are thus used by these authors in senses quite opposite to those which they bear both in Brāhmaņic logic and in the later Jaina logic.

- ¹ Mati is knowledge of existing things acquired through the senses and the mind.
 - Sruta is knowledge of things (past, present and future) acquired through reasoning and study.
 - Avadhi is knowledge of things beyond the range of our perception.
 - Manahparyāya is knowledge derived from reading the thoughts of others.

Kevala is unobstructed, unconditional and absolute knowledge.

² In the *bhāiya* on aphorism 12, of chapter 1 of the Tattvārthādhigamasūtra, Umāsvāti observes :—

अनुसानोपसानागमार्थापत्तिमभ्भवाभावानपि च प्रमायानोति केचिन्म्रव्यन्ते तत्कथमेतदिति अचोच्चते । सर्वाखेतानि मतिव्रतयोरन्नर्भूतानि इन्द्रियार्थसन्निकर्ष-

(Tattvärthädhigama-sūtra, p. 15).

In his bhāşya on 1-6 of the Tattvārthādhigama-sūtra Umāsvāti observes :---

चतुविधसित्येके।

निमित्तलात्॥

(l'attvārthādhigama-sūtra, p. 9.)

In his bhāsya on 1-35 he mentions the four Pramāņas thus :-

यथा वा प्रत्यचानुमानीपसानाप्तवचनेः प्रमाणेरेकोऽर्थः प्रसीयते खविषय --नियमात न च ता विप्रतिपत्तयो भवन्ति तदब्रयवादा इति ॥

(Tattvarthadhigama-sútra, p. 35).

UMASVATL.



21. Naya 1 is the method by which things are comprehended from particular standpoints. It is of five

Naya, the method of comprehending things from particular standpoints.

GOVERNMEN

MINISTRY OF CL

kinds:-(1) Naigama, the non-distinguished (2) Samgraha, the general, (3) Vyavahāra, the practical, (4) Rju-sūtra, the straight expression, (5) Sabda, the verbal.

22. Naigama, the non-distinguished, is the method by which

Naigama.

an object is regarded as possessing both

general and specific properties, no distinction being made between them. For instance, when you use the word "bamboo," you are indicating a number of properties, some of which are peculiar to the bamboo, while others are possessed by it in common with other trees. You do not distinguish between these two classes of properties.

23. Samgraha, the collective, is the method which takes into

Samgraha.

consideration generic properties only, ignoring particular properties.

24. Vyavahāra, the practical, is the method which takes into consideration the particular only. The Vyavahära. general without the particular is a

nonentity. If you ask a person to bring you a plant, he must bring you a particular plant, he can not bring plant in general. 25. Rju-sutra, the straight expression, is the method which

Rju-sūtra.

considers a thing as it exists at the moment, without any reference to its

past or its future. It is vain to ponder over a thing as it was in the past or as it will be in the future. All practical purposes are served by considering the thing itself as it exists at the present moment. For instance, a man who in a previous birth was my son is now born as a prince, but he is of no practical use to me now. The method of Rju-sūtra recognises nothing but the entity itself (bhāva) and does not consider the name $(n\bar{a}ma)$, the image $(sth\bar{a}pan\bar{a})$, or the causes which constituted it (dravya). The fact that a cowherd is called Indra does not make him lord of the heavens. An image of a king can not perform the functions of a king. The causes which exist in me now and will necessitate my being born hereafter with a different body can not enable me to enjoy that body now.

These four kinds of *Pramāņa* seem to refer to those in the Nyāya Sūtra of the Hindu logician Aksapāda Gautama. But the same four kinds are also referred to as sub-divisions of Hetu in the Sthananga Sutra of the Jainas, p. 309, published by Dhanapat Sing and printed in Calcutta.

¹ नैगमसंग्रहववचारजेस्र नगस्त नयाः ॥ १-२४ ॥

(Tattvärthädhigama-sütra, p. 32.)

JAINA LOGIC, CHAP. I.

26. Sabda, the verbal, is the method of correct nomenclature. S'abda. It is of three kinds, viz., Sāmprata, the

suitable, Samabhirūdha, the subtle, and Evambhūta, the such-like. In Sanskrit a jar is called ghata, kumbha or kalasa, and these are synonymous terms. Sāmprata consists in using a word in its conventional sense, even if that sense is not justified by its derivation. For example the word "Satru" according to its derivation means "destroyer," but its conventional meaning is "enemy." Samabhirūdha consists in making nice distinctions between synonyms, selecting in each case the word which on etymological grounds is the most appropriate. Evambhūta consists in applying to things such names only as their actual condition justifies. Thus a man should not be called Sakra (strong), unless he actually possesses the Sakti (strength) which the name implies.

1 Umāsvāti in his bhāşya on 1-35 observes :--

थयार्थाभिधानं ग्रव्दः। नामादिषु प्रसिदपूर्व्याक्तव्दादु चर्धे प्रत्यवः साम्प्रतः सत्यु चर्येषु जसंक्रमः समसिद्धढः। बञ्जनार्थयोरेवसूत इति n

(Tattvarthadigama-sūtra, p. 32.)

SL

CHAPTER II.

GOVERNA

THE HISTORICAL PERIOD (COMMENCING FROM 453 A.D.).

THE WRITTEN RECORDS OF THE JAINAS.

27. The teachings of Mahāvīra as contained in the Jaina Agamas are said to have been handed down by memory for several centuries until in Vira Samvat 980 or A.D. 453, they were codified in writing by Devardhi Gaṇi,¹ otherwise known as Kṣamāśramaṇa, at a council held at Valabhi. According to this theory the authentic history of the Jaina literature commences from 453 A.D., and all that preceded that period is to be regarded as merely traditional.

SIDDHASENA DIVĀKARA (ABOUT 533 A.D.).

28. The first Jaina writer on systematic logic, during the historical period, appears to be Siddhasena Divakara. Before his time there had not perhaps existed any distinct treatise on Jaina logic, its principles having been included in the works on metaphysics and religion. It was he who for the first time laid the foundation of a science called Logic $(Ny\bar{a}ya)$ among the Jainas by compiling a treatise called Nyāyāvatāra² in 32 short stanzas.

1 Vide Dr. Klatt's Patțāvali of the Kharataragaccha in the Indian Anitquary, Sept. 1882, Vol. XI, p. 247; and Dr. Jacobi's Kalpasūtra, Introduction, p. 15. See also Vinaya Vijaya Gaņi's commentary on the Kalpasūtra which quotes the following text :--

> बस्तद्विपुरंभि नयरे। देवड्डि पमुद्द सयससंघेदिं। पुव्वे खागम सिदिछ। नवसय खसी खानु वीराज॥ १॥

> > (Sukhabodhikā Ţīkā to Kalpasūtra, p. 433, printed in Kathiwar by Hira Lal Hamsarāja.)

Samanassa bhagavao Mahāvīrassa jāva savva-dukkha-ppahīnassa navavāsasayāim vikkamtaim dasamassa ya vāsa-sayassa, ayam asī ime samvacchare kāle gacchai iti (148).

2 Vide No. 741 in the list of MSS, purchased for the Bombay Government as noticed by Peterson in his 5th Report, p. 289. A manuscript of the Nyāyāvatāre with Vivrti was procured for me from Bhavanagara, Bombay, by Muni Dharmavijaya and his pupil Sri Indravijaya.

JAINA LOGIC, CHAP. II.

MINISTRY OF

29. Siddhasena Divākara is also the famous author of the Sammatitarka-sūtra which is a work in Prākrta on general philosophy containing an elaborate discussion on the principles of logic. This author, who belonged to the Svetāmbara sect, has been mentioned by Pradyumna Sūri (q.v.) in his Vicāra-sāra-prakaraņa¹ and by Jina Sena Sūri in the Adipurāņa dated 783 A.D.

30. Siddhasena Divākara, who was a pupil of Vrddha-vādisūri, received the name of Kumuda-candra⁹ at the time of ordination. He is said to have split, by the efficacy of his prayers, the Linga, the Brāhmaņical symbol of Rudra, in the temple of Mahākāla at Ujjayini, and to have called forth an image of Pārśvanātha by reciting his Kalyāņa-mandira-stava. He is believed by Jainas to have converted Vikramāditya to Jainism 470 years after the *nirvāņa* of Mahāvira, that is, in 57 B.C.³

31. But Vikramāditya of Ujjainī does not seem to be so old as he has been identified by scholars with Yasodharma Deva, king of Malwa, who, on the authority of Alberuni, defeated the Huns at Korur in 533 A.D. This view of scholars agrees well with the statement of the Chinese pilgrim Hwen-thsang, who, coming to India in 629 A.D., says that a very powerful king, presumably Vikramāditya, reigned at Ujjainī 60 years before his arrival there.⁴ Moreover, Varāhamihira, who was one of the nine Gems at the court of Vikramāditya, is known to have lived between 505 A.D. and 587 A.D.⁵ It is therefore very probable that

पंचेव य वरिससर सिंबवैषदिवायरो य जयपथड़ी। ब्रह्मसर वीसडिर सह्नथुक चज्जरविखपष्ठ ॥ २६ ॥

(Vicāra-sāra-prakaraņa, noticed by Peterson in his 3rd Report, p. 272.)

² Cf. Prabhāvakacaritra VIII, V. 57.

³ For other particulars about Siddhasena Divākara see Dr. Klatt's Paţţāvalī of the Kharataragaceha in the Indian Antiquary, Vol. XI. Sept. 1882, p. 247. Vide also Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar's report on Sanskrit MSS., during 1883-84, pp. 118, 140. Also the Prabandha-cintāmani translated by Mr. Tawney in the Bibliotheca Indica series of Calcutta, pp. 10-14.

4 Vide Beal's Buddhist Records, Vol. II, p. 261.

 5 Varāhamihira chose Saka 427 or A.D. 505 as the initial year of his astronomical calculation, showing thereby that he lived about that tume :

यप्राचि नेदसंख्यं गतनाजनपाखा चैत्रप्रकादी।

चर्बासमिते भानी यवनपुरे सीख दिवसादो ॥ = ॥

Pañcasiddhāntikā, chap. 1, edited by Dr. G. Thibaut and Sudhākara Dvivedi.

Vide also Dr. Thibaut's Introduction to the Pañcasiddhäntikā, p. xxx.

SIDDHASENA DIVAKARA.

Vikramāditya and his contemporary Siddhasena Divākara lived at Ujjaini about 533 A.D. I am inclined to believe that Siddhasena was no other than $K_{sapauaka}$ (a Jaina sage) who is traditionally known to the Hindus to have been one of the nine Gems that adorned the court of Vikramāditya.

32. The Nyāyāvatāra written in Sanskrit verse gives an exposition of the doctrine of *Pramāņa* (sources of valid knowledge) and *Naya* (the method of comprehending things from particular standpoints).

33. Pramāna is valid knowledge which illumines itself as well

Pramana or valid knowledge. Perception.

MINISTRY OC

as other things without any obstruction. It is of two kinds: (1) direct valid knowledge or perception (*Pratyaksa*)

and (2) indirect valid knowledge (*Paroksa*). Direct valid knowledge (*Pratyaksa*) is two-fold: (1) practical ($Vy\bar{n}vah\bar{a}rika$) which is the knowledge acquired by the soul through the five senses (the eye, ear, nose, tongue and touch) and the mind (*Manas*), and (2) transcendental (*Pāramārthika*) which is the infinite knowledge that comes from the perfect enlightenment of the soul: it is called *Kevala* or absolute knowledge.

34. Indirect valid knowledge (*Paroksa*) is also of two kinds: Verbal Testimony (1) inference (*Anumāna*) and (2) verbal

Verbal Testimony. (1) Interence (Anamata) and (2) verbal testimony ($S\bar{a}bda$). Verbal testimony is the knowledge derived from the words of reliable persons including knowledge from scripture. Suppose a young man coming to the side of a river cannot ascertain whether the river is fordable or not, and immediately an old experienced man of the locality, who has no enmity against him, comes and tells him the river is easily fordable : the word of the old man

1 The nine Gems are :--

भव्वनरिः चपणके। इनरसिंदः शङ्घु वेतास्त्रभइ घटखर्पर कास्तिदासाः । ख्याती वरादसिदिरी खपतेः सभायां रत्नानि वै वरदचि नेव विज्ञसस्य ॥

(Jyotirvidabharana).

In the Pañcatantra and other Brahmanic Sanskrit works as well as in the Avadänakalpalatä and other Buddhist Sanskrit works the Jaina ascetics are nicknamed as Kşapanaka :

> भगवद्भाषितं तत्तु खुभद्रेण निवेदितस् । त्रुत्वा चपणकः चित्रमसूद द्वेषविषाकुत्तः ॥ ८ ॥ तस्य खर्वज्ञतां वेत्ति सुभद्रो यदि भद्विरा । तदेष चपणत्रदां त्वच्छति त्रमणादरात् ॥ ११ ॥ (Avadānakalpalatā, Jyotişkāvadāna).

15

JAINA LOGIC, CHAP. II.

16

is to be accepted as a source of valid knowledge called personal testimony or Laukika Sābda. Scripture is also a source of valid knowledge for it lays down injunctions on matters which baffle perception and inference : for instance, it teaches that misery is the consequence of vice. Knowledge derived from this source is called scriptural testimony or Sāstraja Sābda. Scripture is defined as that which was first cognised by a competent person, which is not such as to be passed over by others, which is not incompatible with the truths derived from perception, which imparts true instruction and which is profitable to all men and is preventive of the evil path.¹

35. Inference (Anumāna) is the correct knowledge of the major term (Sādhya) derived through the middle term (Hetu, reason, or Linga, sign) which is inseparably connected with it. It is of two kinds: (1) inference for one's own self (Svārthānumāna) and (2) inference for the sake of others (Parārthānumāna).

36. The first kind is the inference deduced in one's own mind after having made repeated observations. A man by repeated observations in the kitchen and elsewhere forms the conclusion in his mind that fire must always be an antecedent of smoke. Afterwards, he is not certain whether a hill which he sees has fire on it or not. But, noticing smoke, he at once brings to mind the inseparable connection between fire and smoke, and concludes that there must be fire on the hill. This is the inference for one's own self.

37. If the inference is communicated to others through words, it is called an inference for the sake of others. A type of this kind of inference is as follows :---

- The hill (minor term or Pakşa) is full of fire (major term or Sādhya);
- (2) because it is full of smoke (middle term or Hetu);
- (3) whatever is full of smoke is full of fire, as, e.g., a kitchen (example or Drstänta);
- (4) so is this hill full of smoke (application or Upanaya):
- (5) therefore this hill is full of fire (conclusion or Nigamana).

38. In a proposition the subject is the minor term (Pak_{sa}) and Terms of a syllogism. The minor term is that with which the connection of the major term is to be shown : In the proposition

¹ आज्ञोपज्ञसन्तज्ञुग्रसहछेष्ठविरोधकस् ।

तच्चोपदेशहत् सार्वं शाखं काप्यवद्रवस् ॥

(Verse 9, Nyāyāvatāra).
SIDDHASENA DIVAKARA.

MINISTRY OC

"the hill is full of fire," the hill is the minor term and fire major term. The middle term (Hetu) is defined as that which cannot occur otherwise than in connection with the major Thus in the proposition : "the hill is full of fire term. because it is full of smoke," smoke is the middle term which cannot arise from any other thing than fire which is the major term. The example (Drstanta) is a familiar case which assures the connection between the major term and the It is of two kinds: (1) homogeneous middle term : Sādharmya), such as "the hill is full of fire because it is full of smoke, as a kitchen," and (2) heterogeneous (Vaidharmya) which assures the connection between the middle term and major term by contrariety, that is, by showing that the absence of the major term is attended by the absence of the middle term, such as "where there is no fire there is no smoke as in a lake."

39. In an inference for the sake of others the minor term (Paksa) must be explicitly set forth, otherwise the reasoning might be misunderstood by the opponent, *e.g.* This hill has fire because it has smoke.

This instance, if the minor term is omitted, will assume the following form :---

Having fire, Because having smoke.

Here the opponent might not at once recollect any instance in which fire and smoke exist in union, and might mistake a lake for such an instance. In such a case the whole reasoning will be misunderstood.

40. If that of which the major term or predicate is affirmed is opposed by evidence, the public opinion, one's own statement, etc., we have that which is known as the fallacy of the minor term ($Paks\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sa$) of which there are many varieties.

The semblance or fallacy of the minor term (Paksābhāsa)

Fallacy of the minor term. arises when one attributes to it as a proved fact that which is yet to be proved, or which is incapable of being

proved, or when it is opposed to perception and inference, or inconsistent with the public opinion or incongruous with one's own statement, thus :---

(1) "The jar is animate (*paudgalika*)"—this is a conclusion which is yet to be proved to the opponent.

(2) "Every thing is momentary"—this is a Saugata conclusion which, according to the Jainas, is incapable of being proved.

(3) "The general $(s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya)$ and particular (visesa) things are without parts, are distinct from each other and are like themselves alone"—this is opposed to perception.

17

(4) "There is no omniscient being"—this is, according to the Jainas, opposed to inference.

(5) "The sister is to be taken as wife"—this is inconsistent with the public opinion.

(6) "All things are non-existent"—this is incongruous with one's own statement.

41. Inseparable connection $(Vy\bar{a}pti)$ is the invariable accompaniment of the middle term by the major term. In the inference : "this hill is full of fire, because it is full of smoke," the connection between fire and smoke, that is, the invariable presence of fire with smoke, is called $Vy\bar{a}pti$ or Inseparable Connection. It is of two kinds : (1) Intrinsic and (2) Extrinsic.

42. Intrinsic inseparable connection $(Antar-vy\bar{a}pti)$ occurs when the minor term (paksa) itself as the common abode of the middle term (hetu) and major term $(s\bar{a}dhya)$ shows the inseparable connection between them, thus :---

(1) This hill (minor term) is full of fire (major term):

(2) because it is full of smoke (middle term).

Here the inseparable connection between fire and smoke is shown by the hill (minor term) in which both of them abide.

43. Extrinsic inseparable connection $(Bahir-vy\bar{a}pti)$ occurs when an example $(drst\bar{a}nta)$ from the outside is introduced as the common abode of the middle term (hetu) and major term $(s\bar{a}dhya)$ to assure the inseparable connection between them, thus:---

(1) This hill is full of fire (major term);

(2) because it is full of smoke (middle term);

(3) as a kitchen (example).

18

Here the reference to the kitchen is no essential part of the inference: but is introduced from without as a common instance of a place in which fire and smoke exist together, and so it reaffirms the inseparable connection between them.

44. Some logicians hold that, that which is to be proved, that is, the major term $(s\bar{a}dhya)$, can be established by intrinsic inseparable connection $(Antarvy\bar{a}pti)$ only: hence the extrinsic inseparable connection $(Bahir-vy\bar{a}pti)$ is superfluous.

45. The semblance of reason or fallacy of the middle term (Het-

Fallacies of the middle *vābhāsa*) arises from doubt, misconception or non-conception about it (the middle term). It is of three kinds :--

(1) The unproved (Asiddha): This is fragrant because it is a sky-lotus.

Here the reason (middle term), viz., the sky-lotus, is unreal. (2) The contradictory (Viruddha): "This is fiery because it is a body of water."

Here the reason alleged is opposed to what is to be established.

(3) The uncertain (Anaikāntika): "Sound is eternal because it is always audible."

Here the reason or middle term is uncertain because audibleness may or may not be a proof of eternity.

46. The fallacy of example (Drstantabhasa) may arise in the homogeneous or heterogeneous form from a defect in the middle term (hetu)

or major term (sādhya) or both; or from doubt about them. 47. Fallacies of the homogeneous example (Sādharmyadrstāntābhāsa) are as follows :---

(1) Inference is *invalid* (major term), because it is a *source of* knowledge (middle term), like perception (homogeneous example).

Here the example involves a defect in the major term (sādhya), for perception is not invalid.

(2) Perception is invalid (major term), because it is a source of valid knowledge (middle term), like a dream (homogeneous example).

Here the example involves a defect in the middle term (hetu), for the dream is not a source of valid knowledge.

(3) The omniscient being is not existent (major term), because he is not apprehended by the senses (middle term), like a jar (homogeneous example).

Here the example involves a defect in both the major and middle terms (*sādhya* and *hetu*), for the jar is both existent and apprehended by the senses.

(4) This person is devoid of passions (major term), because he is mortal (middle term), like the man in the street (homogeneous example).

Here the example involves doubt as to the validity of the major term, for it is doubtful whether the man in the street is devoid of passions.

(5) This person is mortal (major term), because he is full of passions (middle term), like the man in the street (homogeneous example).

Here the example involves doubt as to the validity of the middle term, for it is doubtful, whether the man in the street is devoid of passions.

(6) This person is non-omniscient (major term), because he is full of passions (middle term), like the man in the street (homogeneous example).

Here the example involves doubt as to the validity of both the major and middle terms, for it is doubtful whether the man in the street is full of passions and non-omniscient.

It is stated in the Nyāyāvatāra vivrti that some unnecessarily lay down three other kinds of fallacy of the homogeneous example (Sādharmyadreiāntābhāsa), viz.:--

(1) Unconnected (Ananvyaya), such as : This person is full of passions

SL

(major term), because he is a speaker (middle term), like a certain man in Magadha (example).

Here though a certain man in Magadha is both a speaker and full of passions, yet there is no inseparable connection between "being a speaker" and "being full of passions."

(2) Of connection unshown (Apradarsitanvaya), such as :--

Sound is non-eternal (major term), because it is produced (middle term), as a jar (example).

Here though there is an inseparable connection between "produced" and "non-eternal," yet it has not been shown in the proper form as :---

"Whatever is produced is non-eternal as a jar."

GOVERNMEN

20

MINISTRY OC

(3) Of inverted connection (Viparitanvaya), such as :--

Sound is non-eternal (major term), because it is *produced* (middle term). Here if the inseparable connection $(vy\bar{a}pti)$ is shown thus—

"Whatever is non-eternal is produced as a jar," instead of-

"Whatever is produced is non-eternal as a jar," the example would involve the fallacy of inverted connection.

48. Fallacies of the heterogeneous example (Vaidharmyadrstāntābhāsa) are of six kinds, thus:---

(1) Inference is *invalid* (major term), because it is a *source of knowledge* (middle term): whatever is not invalid is not a source of knowledge, as a *dream* (heterogeneous example).

Here the example involves in the heterogeneous form a defect in the major term $(s\bar{a}dhya)$ for the dream is really invalid though it has been cited as not invalid.

(2) Perception is non-reflective or nirvikalpaka (major term), because it is a source of knowledge (middle term): whatever is reflective or savikalpaka, is not a source of knowledge, as inference (heterogeneous example).

Here the example involves in the heterogeneous form a defect in the middle term $(s\bar{a}dhana)$, for inference is really a source of knowledge though it has been cited as not such.

(3) Sound is eternal and *non-eternal* (major term), because it is an *existence* (middle term): whatever is not eternal and noneternal is not an existence, as a jar (heterogeneous example).

Here the example involves in the heterogeneous form a defect in both the major and middle terms $(s\bar{a}dhya \text{ and } s\bar{a}dhana)$, for the jar is both "eternal and non-eternal" and "an existence."

(4) Kapila is not *omniscient* (major term), because he is not a propounder of the four noble truths (middle term): whoever is omniscient is the propounder of the four noble truths, as Buddha (the heterogeneous example).

Here the example involves in the heterogeneous form a doubt as to the validity of the major term $(s\bar{a}dhya)$, for it is doubtful whether Buddha was omniscient.

(5) This person is *untrustworthy* (major term), because he is *full of passions* (middle term): whoever is trustworthy is not full of passions, as *Buddha* (heterogeneous example).

Here the example involves doubt as to the validity of the

SIDDHASENA DIVAKARA.

middle term (*hetu*), for it is doubtful whether Buddha is not full of passions.

(6) Kapila is not devoid of *passions* (major term), because he did *not give his own flesh to the hungry* (middle term): whoever is devoid of passions did give his own flesh to the hungry, as *Bud*-*dha* (heterogeneous example).

Here the example involves doubt as to the validity of both the major and middle terms ($s\bar{a}dhya$ and $s\bar{a}dhana$), for it is doubtful whether Buddha was devoid of passions and gave his own flesh to the hungry.

It is stated in the Nyāyāvatāra-vivrti that some unnecessarily lay down three other kinds of fallacy of the heterogeneous example (*Vaidharmya*drs!āntābhāsa), viz.—

(1) Unseparated (Avyatireki): This person is not devoid of passions (major term), because he is a speaker (middle term): whoever is devoid of passions is not a speaker, as a piece of stone (heterogeneous example).

Here though a piece of stone is both "devoid of passions" and "not a speaker," yet there is no invariable separation (vyatireka vyāpti) between "devoid of passions" and "a speaker."

(2) Of separation unshown (Apradarśitavyatireka):

Sound is non-eternal (major term), because it is produced (middle term); as ether (example).

Here though there is an invariable separation between "produced" and "eternal," yet it has not been shown in the proper form, such as: "Whatever is non-non-eternal is not produced, e.g., ether."

(3) Of contrary separation (Viparīta-vyatireka):

Sound is non-eternal (major term), because it is produced (middle term): whatever is not produced is non-non-eternal, e.g., ether (example).

Here the example has been put in a contrary way, for the proper form should have been: Whatever is non-non-eternal is not produced, *e.g.*, other."

49. Refutation $(D\tilde{u}_{sana})$ is the pointing out of defects or fallacies in the statements of the opponent in any of the forms enumerated above. The semblance of a refutation $(D\tilde{u}_{sanabhasa})$ is the contrivance to allege defects where there are no defects at all.

50. The immediate effect of Pramāna (valid knowledge) is the removal of ignorance. The consequence of the transcendental perception ($P\bar{a}ram\bar{a}rthika$ Pratyaksa Pramāna) is bliss and equanimity consisting in salvation (Moksa or final emancipation), while that of the other kinds of Pramāna (direct and indirect knowledge) is the facility which they afford us to choose the desirable and reject the undesirable things.

51. Naya is the method of comprehending things from particular

Naya or the method of comprehending things from particular standpoints. Standpoints. Thus we may conceive rose either as a flower possessing the attributes common to all flowers or as a thing possessing attributes which are

peculiar to the rose as distinguished from other flowers. The Naya is of seven kinds : naigama, samgraha, vyavahāra, rjusūtra, śabda, samabhirūdha, and evambhūta.

52. Knowledge which determines the full meaning of an object through the employment, in the scriptural method, of one-sided *nayas*, is called $Sy\bar{a}dv\bar{a}da$ -*śruta*. It is the perfect knowledge of things taken from all possible standpoints. Thus a thing may be, may not be, both may or may not be, etc., according as we take it from one or other standpoint.

The soul (Jiva) is the knower, the illuminator of self and non-self, doer, enjoyer, undergoes changes of condition and is self-conscious, being different from the earth, water, etc.

This system of *Pramāna* and *Naya*, with which all of us are familiar, and which serves to perform all practical functions, has no beginning and no end.

SIDDHASENA GANI (600 A.D.).

53. Siddhasena Gani, who belonged to the S'vetāmbara sect, was the author of a commentary on Umāsvāti's Tattvārthādhigama-sūtra called Tattvārthaṭīkā, ' in which the logical principles of *Pramāna* (the sources of knowledge), and *Naya* (the method of comprehending things from particular standpoints) have been fully discussed. He was a pupil of Bhāsvāmin³ who was a spiritual successor of Simhasūri, himself a disciple of Dinna Gani. Siddhasena Gani³ is generally believed to have been a contemporary of Devardhigani Kṣamāsramana, who flourished 980 years after Mahāvīra, or about 453 A.D. But as he has in his Tattvārthaṭīkā quoted Siddhasena Divākara and was posterior to Simhagiri or Simhasūri, a contemporary of Vikramāditya, I am inclined to suppose that he lived after 533 A.D., or about 600 A.D.

SAMANTABHADRA (600 A.D.).

54. Samantabhadra, who belonged to the Digambara sect of Southern India, was the famous author of a well-known com-

A palm-leaf manuscript of the Tattvärthațikă in the temple of Säntinatha, Cambay, has been noticed by Peterson in his 3rd Report, pp. 83-86.

१ तत्पादरजोऽवयवः खल्पायमग्रेसुषीकवडजाणाः।

तखार्थशास्तठीकासिमां वाधात् सिदवेनगणिः ॥ ०

(Tattvärthatikä, noticed in Peterson's 3rd Report, p. 85.)

³ Simhasuri is identified by Peterson with Simhagiri who was a contemporary of Vikramāditya.

(Peterson's 4th Report, pp. cxxxi and cxxviii.)

Muni Dharmavijaya and his pupil Indra-vijaya tell me that Siddhasena Gani was a contemporary of Devardhigani Ksamāśramaņa,

SAMANTABHADRA.

GOVERNING

MINISTRYOR

mentary on Umāsvāti's Tattvārthādhigama-sūtra called Gandhahasti-mahābhāsya. The introductory part of this commentary is called $Dev\bar{a}gamastotra$ ¹ or $\bar{\Lambda}ptam\bar{m}\bar{a}ms\bar{a}$, and is replete with discussions of logical principles besides a review of the contemporary schools of philosophy including the Advaita Vāda.² The Aptamīmāmsā has been cited by the Hindu philosopher Vācaspati Miśra³ in explaining S'ankarācārya's criticism of the Syādvāda doctrine in the Vedānta-sūtra.

Samantabhadra, who was styled a Kavi and whose works 55. were commented on by Vidyananda⁴ and Prabhacandra, was also the author of the Yuktyanusasana, the Ratnakarandaka (also called Upāsakādhyayana), the Svayambhū-stotra, and the Caturvim-

who flourished 980 years after the nirvana of Mahavira. Vide also Uvasagadasão edited by A. F. R. Hoernle, Appendix III, page 50. 1 In the Pāņdavapurāņa he is extolled as the author of the Devägama-

totra :---

समन्तभड़ो भड़ाची मात भारतभूषणः देवागमेन येगाच बालो देवागसः छतः ॥

(Pandavapurana, noticed in Peterson's 4th Report, p. 157.)

2. खद्वैतैकान्तपचेऽपि हहो। भेदी विद्धवी। कारकाणां क्रियायाच नेकं खस्मात् प्रजायते ॥ २४ ॥

(Aptamīmāmsā, verse 24.)

³ Vācaspati Miśra in his Bhāmatī-tīkā on Sankara's exposition of the Vedānta Sūtra 2-2-33 quotes the following verse :--

खादादः चर्वचैकानात्यागत् किंहत्तचिद्विधेः।

सप्तभनगापेची देवादेवविभेषछत् ॥

(Bhāmatī, Bibliotheca Indica, p. 458.)

The same verse occurs in the Aptamimamsa as follows :----

खादादः सर्वयेकानत्यागात् किं इत्तचिद्विधः।

चप्रभज्जनयापेच देवादेय विग्रेषकः ॥ १०४॥

(MSS. of the Aptamimamsa, verse 104, borrowed from Mr. Jain Vaidya of Jaipur.)

Vidyananda at the closing part of his commentary on the Aptamīmāmsā (called Apta-mīmāmsālankrti-tīkā astasāhasrī) refers to Samantabhadra thus :-

येनाग्रेषक्रनीतिष्टत्तिसरितः प्रेचायतां ग्रीपिताः सदाचेऽव्यकलङ्गनीतिद्विराखलार्थयार्थयुतः । स त्रीखामि समनाभद्र यतिस्तृ यूयाद् विसुभौगमान् विद्यानन्दभजप्रदी अवधियां साहादमार्गा प्रणीः ॥

(Folio 218, Aptamīmāmsālankrti-tīkā, Govt. Collection, in the Asiatio Society of Bengal, No. 1525.)

24

śati-jina-stuti. He is mentioned by Jina Sena in the Ādipurāņa composed about 838 A.D., and is referred to by the Hindu philosopher Kumārila.¹ Kumārila, a contemporary of the Buddhist logician Dharmakīrti, is generally held to have lived in the 7th century A.D. Samantabhadra is supposed to have flourished about 600 A.D.

56. The Apta-mimāmisā consists of 115 stanzas in Sanskrit, divided into ten chapters called *Paricehedas*, in the course of which a full exposition of the seven parts of the $Sy\bar{a}d$ - $v\bar{a}da$ or *Sapta-bhaigī-naya* has been given. The first and second parts of the doctrine, viz., $Sy\bar{a}d$ -asti ("may be, it is"), and $Sy\bar{a}d$ $n\bar{a}sti$ ("may be, it is not"), have led to most interesting discussion of the relation between asti, that is, $bh\bar{a}va$ or existence, and $n\bar{a}sti$, that is, $abh\bar{a}va$ or non-existence.

57. Non-existence $(Abh\bar{a}va)$ is divided into four kinds: (1) antecedent non-existence $(pr\bar{a}gabh\bar{a}va)$, e.g., a lump of clay becomes non-existent as soon as a jar is made out of it, so the jar is an antecedent non-existence with reference to the lump of clay; (2) subsequent non-existence $(pradhvams\bar{a}bh\bar{a}va)$, e.g., the lump of clay is a subsequent non-existence with reference to the jar; (3) mutual non-existence $(anyony\bar{a}bh\bar{a}va \text{ or } any\bar{a}poha)$, e.g., a jar and a post are mutually non-existent with reference to each other; and (4) absolute non-existence $(samav\bar{a}y\bar{a}bh\bar{a}va \text{ or } atyant\bar{a}bh\bar{a}va)$, e.g., the inanimate is not a living object. It is observed² that on the supposition of mere existence to the entire exclusion of non-existence, things become all-pervading, beginningless, endless, indistinguishable and inconceivable. For

Prabhācandra in his commentary on the Ratnakaraņdaka (or Upāsakādhyayana) observes :---

> येनाज्ञानतमो विनाध्य निषिखं भवाताचेतोगतं सम्पर्जानमदांग्रीभः प्रकटितः सागारमागेऽखिलः । स त्रोरबकरखकासखरविः संबद्धरिष्ठोषको जीयादेव सननभद्रसुनिपः त्रोमत्प्रभेन्दुर्जिनः ॥

tary of Prabhacandra noticed in Peterson's 4th Report, pp. 137-38.) ¹ Vide Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar's Report on Sanskrit MSS. during 1883-84, p. 118, and J.B.B.R.A.S., for 1892, p. 227.

(Upasakadhyayana with the commen-

² भावेकालो पदार्थानासभाषानासपडवात् । सर्वाद्यकसनाद्यन्तमसरूपमभावकस् ॥ ८ ॥ कार्य्यक्रवसनादि स्थात् प्रागभावस्य निज्ञते । प्रध्यंसस्य च धर्कस्य प्रखतेजन्ततां त्रजेत् ॥ १० ॥ सर्वाद्यकं तरेकं स्थादन्यापीच्यतिज्ञमे । खन्यच समवावन व्यवदेश्वेत सर्वथा ॥ ११ ॥

AKALANKADEVA.

instance, if the antecedent non-existence is denied, action and substance are to be supposed as beginningless, while on the denial of the subsequent non-existence, they become endless, and in the absence of mutual non-existence they become one and all-pervading, while on absolute non-existence being denied they are to be supposed as existing always and everywhere.

58. In the same way on the supposition of mere non-existence to the entire exclusion of existence, it becomes impossible to establish or reject anything (since it is non-existent). If on the other hand existence and non-existence, which are incompatible with each other, are simultaneously ascribed to a thing, it becomes indescribable. Therefore the truth is as follows :---

- (1) A thing is existent—from a certain point of view.
- (2) It is non-existent-from another point of view.
- (3) It is *both* existent and non-existent *in turn*—from a third point of view.
- (4) It is *indescribable* (that is, both existent and non-existent simultaneously)—from a fourth point of view.
- (5) It is existent and *indescribable*—from a fifth point of view.
- (6) It is non-existent and indescribable—from a sixth point of view.
- (7) It is both existent and non-existent and indescribable --from a seventh point of view.

AKALANKADEVA (ABOUT 750 A.D.).

59. Akalańka, otherwise known as Akalańkadeva or Akalańkacandra, was a famous logician who belonged to the Digambara sect. He was designated as a $Kavi^{-1}$ (poet)—a title of special honour given to writers of repute. He wrote a commentary on Samantabhadra's Aptamimānisā called Asta śatī⁻² which is a

> खभावैकानगपचेऽपि भावापन्द्यवादिनास् । खबीधवाक्यप्रसालं न तेन साधनद्र्षणस् ॥ १२ ॥ विरोधाञ्चोभयेकात्मं स्याद्वादन्याय विद्विषास् । खवाखतेकान्तेऽप्यक्तिनावाच्चसिति युज्यते ॥ १२ ॥ कथंचिन्ते सट्वेष्टं कथंचिद्स्सट्टेव तत् । तथाभयसवाच्यं च जपवीगान्न सर्वथा ॥ १४ ॥

(Aptamīmāmsā, MSS., verses 9-14, lent to me by Mr. Jain Vaidya of Jaipur.)

¹ For an explanation of the term "Kavi" eide R. G. Bhandarkar's Report on Sanskrit MSS. during 1883-84, page 122.

² A manuscript of the Aşta-satî was kindly supplied to me by Mr. Jain Vaidya of Jaipur early in 1907.

MINISTRY

26

most precious work on the Jaina philosophy dealing mainly with logic. Māņikyanandi's Parīkṣāmukha-sūtra (q.v.) was based on another work on logic, called Nyāya-viniścaya, written by Akalańka, to whom the following works are also attributed: Laghīyastraya, Akalańka-stotra, Svarūpa-sambodhana and Prāyaścitta. Laghusamantabhadra ¹ in his Aṣṭa-sāhasrī-viṣamapada-tātparya-tīkā calls Akalańka as Sakala-tārkika-cakra-cūdāmaņi or " the crest-gem of all logicians."

60. In the Pāndava-purāna² reference is made to a legend according to which Akalanka was embarrassed in a controversy with a Buddhist antagonist. Finding that the antagonist was effectively prompted by Māyādevī concealed in a jar, Akalanka is said to have put an end to that prompting or inspiration by kicking the jar over with his foot.

61. Akalańka, though mentioned along with Dharmakīrti³ as a logician, flourished at a considerably later time. He is held to have been a contemporary of Rāstrakūta king Subhatunga⁴ or Krsnarāja I. As Krsna-rāja's son, Govind II, lived in S'aka 705 ° or 783 A.D., Krsna-rāja I, and consequently his contemporary Akalańka, must have flourished about 750 A.D.

VIDYĀNANDA (ABOUT 800 A.D.).

62. Vidyānanda, mentioned by the Hindu philosopher Mādhavācārya,⁶ was a Digambara logician of Pāţalīputra. He was the author of the Āpta-mīmāmsālankrti, otherwise called Asţasāhasrī, an exhaustive sub-commentary on the Āpta.

1 Vide Aştasāhasrī-vişamapada-tātparyaţīkā by Laghusamanta-bhadra, noticed by Peterson in his 5th Report, p. 217.

खनखङ्कोऽकलङ्गः च कलौ कलयतु युनम् । पादेन ताडिता येन साचादेवी घटच्छिता ॥

> (Pāņdavapurāņa, noticed by Peterson in his 4th Report, p. 157.)

³ वाचकमुख्यविरचितानि सकलगालचूड़ामणिसूतानि तत्त्वार्थसुचाणीति यदोवसकलङ्कधर्माकीत्त्वादिवत् प्रकरणमेव कि नारस्यते किमनया स्तूचकारलाडोपुद-विकया।

> (Pramāņa-mīmāmsā, by Hemacandra, noticed by Peterson in his 5th Report, p. 148.)

4 Vide K. B. Pathak's article on "Bhartshari and Kumärila" in the Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, Vol. XVIII, 1892.

⁵ Vide R. G. Bhandarkar's "Early History of the Deccan," 2nd edition, p. 78.

⁶ Vide the chapter on the Jaina system in the Sarvadaráana-samgraha translated by Cowell and Gough, p. 56.

VIDYANANDA.

mīmāmsā, containing an elaborate exposition of various logical principles. Vidyānanda, in the opening and the closing lines of his Aştasāhasrī,¹makes an indirect reference to Samantabhadra and Akalanka respectively, while in chapter X of the work he distinctly says that he followed the Aştasati of Akalanka in explaining the Aptamīmāmsā. Another logical treatise called Pramāņa-parīkṣā is also attributed to him. He was also the author of the S'loka-vārtika and Apta-parīkṣā.

63. He has, in his Astasāhasrī; criticised the doctrines of the Sāmkhya, Yoga, Vaišesika, Advaita, Mīmāmsaka and Saugata, Tāthāgata or Bauddha philosophy; and has also mentioned Dignāga, Udyotakara, Dharmakīrti,³ Prajñākara,⁸ Bhartrhari,⁴ Sabarasvāmi, Prabhākara and Kumārila. Vidyānanda was

1 The opening lines of the Asta-sähasr'i are :---

त्रीवर्डमानसभिवन्दा ससन्नभद्रसुद्भूतवोधसडिमानसनिन्द्यवाचस् । प्राखावताररचितस्तुतिगोचराप्नमोमांसितं छतिरचंक्रियते अयास्य ॥ १ ॥

The closing lines of the Astasahasri are :--

त्रीसदतलङ्गग्राधरकुल विद्यानन्दस्थावा भूयात् । गयमीमांसालंकतिरद्वसाचयी सतासक्ती ॥

The lines in Chapter X referred to run thus :--

त्रीमदकलक्वविष्टतां समनाभडोक्तिमत्र संचेपात् ।

परमाग्रमार्थविषयामहसाइचीं प्रकाशयति॥

(Aşţasāhasrī, MSS., Asiatic Society of Bengal.)

े यदुक्तं धर्मातौत्तिंगाः --

MINISTRY

खतद्रूप पराष्टत वखुमाच प्रवेदनात् । सामान्यविषयआक्तं खिङकेदाप्रतिष्ठितेः । (Quoted in Astasāhasrī, chap. I.) खधीपयोगेऽपि पुनः सात्तं प्रब्दानयोजनस् ।

खचधीर्ययपेचेत सोऽधीं व्यवचितों अवेत्।

(Quoted in Astasahasri, chap. I.)

प्रज्ञाकरखोदं वचः :---

ज्रमप्रतीवेरेवं स्थान् प्रथमस्थावना गतिः । तत्सामर्थ्यात् पुनः पद्माद्दु यतः कर्ता प्रतीयवे ॥

(Quoted in Aşțasāhasri, chap. I.) न सेाऽसि प्रत्यया सीने यः एब्टानुगमाहते । आन्विद्यसिवाभाति सर्वे प्रव्दे प्रतिष्ठितम् ॥

(This verse of Vākyapadīya by Bhartrhari is quoted in the Aştasāhasrī, vide J.B.B.R.A.S. for 1892, p. 221.)

28

otherwise named Pātra Kesari or Pātra Kesari Svāmi who has been praised by Jina Sena in the Ādi Purāņa¹ composed about S'aka 760² or A.D. 838. He is believed to have lived early in the 9th century A.D. ³ at Pātalīputra.⁴

MANIKYA NANDI (ABOUT 800 A.D.).

64. Māņikya Nandi was a Digambara author, whose Parīkṣāmukha-śāstra⁵ or Parīkṣā-mukha-sūtra is a standard work on the Jaina logic. As his work is based on that of Akalanka,⁶ he must have lived after 750 A.D. The earliest commentary on the Parīkṣā-mukha-śāstra is the Prameya-kamala-mārtaṇḍa of Prabhācandra. Vidyānanda, Māṇikya Nandi and Prabhā-candra have been pronounced to be contemporaries.⁷ So Maṇikya Nandi seems to have lived about 800 A.D.

65. The Pariksā-mukha-sūtra is divided into six chapters thus: (1) the characteristic of valid knowledge (*Pramāna-svarūpa*); (2) direct apprehension or perception (*Pratyaksa*); (3) indirect apprehension (*Paroksa*); (4) the object of valid knowledge (*Visaya*); (5) the result of valid knowledge (*Phala*); (6) the semblances or fallacies ($\bar{A}bh\bar{a}sa$).

¹ अहातर्खंत श्रीपाछ पाचतेसरियां गुणाः । विदयां इटयाइटा दारायनेऽतिनिर्मखाः ॥ ५२ ॥

(Ādīpurāņa, quoted by Mr. K. B. Pathak, J.B.B.R.A.S., for 1892, p. 222.)

Mr. Pathak has quoted Samyaktvaprakāśa to show that Vidyānanda and Pātrakesari were identical :---

तथा खोकवार्त्तिके विद्यानच्छपरनास पाचकेसरिखासिना यटुक्तं तच लिख्यवे तजार्थं अबानं सरयगदर्शनस ।

(J.B.B.R.A.S., for 1892, pp. 222, 223.)

²⁻³ Vide K. B. Pathak's article on Bhartrahari and Kumārila in J.B.B.R.A.S., for 1892, pp. 227, 229.

4 Vide Brahma-nemidatta's Kathākoşa, life of Pātrakesari alias Vidyānanda.

⁵ A manuscript of the Pariksimukha-sistra was kindly lent to me by Mr. Jain Vaidya of Jaipur (Rajputana), and subsequently another manuscript of the work was procured from the Decean College, Poona.

⁶ Peterson in his 4th Report, p. 155, notices Parikşāmukham saţīkam. The Ţikā is the Prameya-ratna-mālā or Parikşāmukhapañjikā of Anantavīrya, which opens thus:

अकलकुवचोऽकोधेबद्धे येन धीसता।

न्यायविद्यास्ततं तसी नमी माणिव्यनन्दिने ॥ २ ॥

⁷ Vide K. B. Pathak's article on Bhartphari and Kumārila in J.B.B. B.A.S., for 1892, pp. 219, 220, 221. Mr. Pathak says that Māņikya Nandi has mentioned Vidyānanda, but in the text of the Parīkṣā-mukha-šāstra itself I have not come across any such mention.

66. Valid knowledge.

MINISTRY OC

Pramana, valid knowledge, is defined as the knowledge which ascertains the nature of what was

uncertain to one's self. It generally arises in the form : "I know the jar by myself," which consists of a subject, an object, an act, and an instrument. Just as a lamp illumines itself as well as the surrounding objects, so the Pramana sets forth the knower as well as the thing known.

67. Pramana is of two kinds: (1) direct knowledge (Pratyaksa) which arises through the senses, etc., and (2) indirect knowledge (Paroksa) consisting of recollection (Smrti), recognition (Pratyabhijñāna), argumentation (Tarka or Uha), inference (Anumana), and the scripture (Agama). Recollection is a knowledge of the form "that" which arises through Kinds of valid knowledge. the awaking of impressions, thus: "that Devadatta." Recognition is a knowledge which arises from perception through recollection in the forms, "this is that," "this is like that," "this is different from that," "this is the counterpart of that," etc., thus : "this is that Devadatta," "the bos gavaeus is like the cow," " the buffalo is different from the cow," "this is far from that," "this is a tree," etc. Argumentation is a knowledge of the connection between the middle term and the major term based on the presence or absence of the latter, in the form, "if this is, that is, if this is not, that is not," thus smoke arises only if there is fire, but it cannot arise if there is no fire. Inference 1 is the knowledge of the major term arising through the middle term : there is fire here because there is smoke.

68. Pervasion ² or inseparable connection (Vyāpti or Avinābhava) is the universal attendance of the Terms of a Syllogism. middle term by the major term in simultaneity or succession : thus fire and smoke may abide simultaneously or the latter may follow the former.

If the middle term and the major term exist simultaneously, the former is called vyāpya, pervaded or contained, and the latter vyapaka, pervader or container.

But if the middle term follows the major term, the former is called effect (kārya), and the latter cause (kāraņa or hetu), thus fire is the cause of smoke. Ordinarily, however, the major term is called sadhya or that which is to be proved, and the middle term is called sadhana or that by which it is to be proved. Sometimes the major term is also called dharma or predicate, and the middle term linga, mark or sign.

The minor term is called Paksa, the place or locus in which the major term abides, or Dharmin, the subject, thus: "this

1 चाधनात् चाधविज्ञानमनमानम् ॥ १॥ (Pariksā-mukha-sūtra).

³ सरक्रमभाववियमोऽविनाभावः ॥ ११ ॥ (Pariksä-mukha-sütra).

30

place is fiery "; "sound is mutable": here "this place" and "sound" are the minor terms. Some philosophers, who divide the middle term (reason) into three phases, dispense with the minor term in an inference.

The middle term (Hetu) is defined as that which is inseparably connected with the major term, or in other words, which cannot come into existence unless the major term exists. For instance, smoke could not come into existence unless the fire existed.

69. The middle term or reason (Hetu) is divided as (1) per-

Different phases of the middle term. ceptible (*upatabdhi*), and (2) imperceptible (*anupatabdhi*). Each of these again may occur in the form of an affirmation

(Vidhi) or negation (pratisedha).

70. The *perceptible* reason in the *affirmative* form admits of six subdivisions according as it is :---

- (i) the pervaded $(vy\bar{a}pya)$ —sound is mutable because it is factitious;
- (ii) an effect $(k\bar{a}rya)$ —this man has got intellect because there are (intellectual) functions in him ;
- (iii) a cause (kāraņa)—there is a shadow here because there is an umbrella;
- (iv) prior (*pūrva*)—the Rohiņi stars will rise for the Kṛttikās have risen.
 - (v) posterior (uttara)—the Bharani stars certainly rose for the Krttikās have risen;
- (vi) simultaneous (*sahacara*)—the man had a mother for he had a father; or this mango has a particular colour because it has a particular flavour.

71. The *perceptible* reason in the *negative* form admits of six subdivisions as follows :---

- (i) the pervaded $(vy\bar{a}pya)$ —there is no cold sensation because of heat;
- (ii) an effect $(k\bar{a}rya)$ —there is no cold sensation because of smoke ;
- (iii) a cause (*kārana*)—there is no happiness in this man because of the shaft in his heart ;
- (iv) prior $(p\bar{u}rva)$ —the Rohini stars will not rise at once for the Revati [only] has risen.
- (v) posterior (*uttara*)—the Bharani did not rise a moment ago for the Pusyā has risen.
- (vi) simultaneous (sahacara)—there is no doubt of the existence of the other side of this wall for this side of it is perceived.

MANIKYA NANDI.





- (i) identity (*svabhāva*)—there is no jar here because it is imperceptible;
- (ii) the pervaded $(vy\bar{a}pya)$ —there is no S'imśapā here because there is no tree at all;
- (iii) an effect $(k\bar{a}rya)$ —there is no smouldering fire here because there is no smoke;
- (iv) a cause $(k\bar{a}rana)$ —there is no smoke here because there is no fire;
- (v) prior $(p\bar{u}rva)$ —the Rohiņi stars will not rise in a moment for the Krttikās are not perceptible;
- (vi) posterior (*uttara*)—the Bharani did not rise a moment ago for the Krttikās are not perceptible;
- (vii) simultaneous (*sahacara*)—in this even balance there is no bending upwards because it is not perceptible.

73. The *imperceptible* reason in the affirmative form admits of three subdivisions thus :---

- (i) an effect (kārya)—in this man there is some disease because there is no healthy movement in him;
- (ii) a cause $(k\bar{a}rana)$ —this man is sorrowful because he has no union with his beloved ones;
- (iii) identity (*svabhāva*)—there is uncertainty here because certainty is not discernible;
- 73. The middle term and the major term are the parts of an inference, but the example (udāharana)

is not. Nevertheless for the sake of explaining matters to men of small intellect, the example $(ud\bar{a}harana$ or drstanta), nay, even the application (upanaya) and the conclusion (nigamana) are admitted as parts of an inference. The example is of two kinds : (1) the affirmative or homogeneous $(anvayi \text{ or } s\bar{a}dharmya)$ which shows the middle term as covered by the major term, such as : wherever there is smoke, there is fire, as in a kitchen; and (2) the negative or heterogeneous (vyatireki or vaidharmya) by which the absence of the middle term is indicated by the absence of the major term, e.g., wherever there is no fire, there is no smoke, as in a lake.

74. Inference is of two kinds, viz., (1) inference for one's own Inference. self (svärthänumäna), and (2) inference for the sake of others (parärthänumäna). An instance of the latter kind of inference is given below :--

(1) Sound (minor term) is mutable (major term)—proposition;

(2) because it is factitious (reason or middle term);



- (3) whatever is factitious is mutable, as a jar (affirmative or homogeneous example);
- (4) sound is factitious (application);
- (5) therefore sound is mutable (conclusion).

Or

- (3) whatever is not mutable is not factitious, as the milk of a barren woman's breast (negative or heterogeneous example);
- (4) but sound is factitious (application);
- (5) therefore sound is mutable (conclusion).

75. Testimony (*Āgama*) is a knowledge of objects derived from Verbal Testimony. the words of reliable persons or scripture in virtue of their natural fitness

or suggestiveness—e.g., the north pole exists. 76. Objects of valid knowledge are either general $(s\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya)$ or

Objects of valid knowledge. particular (visesa). The general is of two kinds: (1) homogeneous (tiryak), including many individuals of like nature

as, the "cow" is a general notion which signifies many individual cows as Sabalā, Khamba, Mumbha, etc.; and (2) heterogeneous ($\bar{u}rddhvat\bar{a}$), including many individuals of dissimilar nature, as, "gold" is a general notion comprising a bracelet, necklace, ear-ring, etc. The particular is also of two kinds: (1) relating to things (*vyatireka*), e.g., cow, buffalo, elephant, dog, are four particular things distinguished from one another; and (2) relating to action such as pleasure, pain, etc., experienced by the soul.

77. The result or consequence of valid knowledge is the cessa-Result of valid knowledge. the desirable and reject the undesirable.

78. Fallacy or semblance consists of the knowledge of that Various kinds of Fallaveies. It is of many kinds, such as the fallacy-

- (1) of perception (*pratyakṣābhāsa*), *e.g.*—to mistake a post for a man ;
- (2) of recollection (*smaranābhāsa*)—in trying to recollect Jinadatta to say: "O, that Devadatta";
- (3) of recognition (pratyabhijñānābhāsa)—on seeing a greyhound to say : "this is a tiger";
- (4) of argumentation (tarkābhāsa)—" whoever is his son must be black ";
- (5) of the minor term (pakşābhāsa) "sound is impermanent": This is a fallacy of the minor term according to the Mimāmsakas, for they do not attribute

32



impermanency to sound; or fire is not hot because it is a substance as water;

- (6) of the middle term or reason (hetvābhāsa)—sound is eternal because it is factitious;
- (7) of example (*drstāntābhāsa*)—sound is eternal because it is corporeal, like a jar;
- (8) of verbal testimony (āgamābhāsa)—" there is a heap of sweetmeats on the side of the river, run you boys"; " there are a hundred elephants on his finger"; " the Jainas are allowed to eat in the night" [as a fact they are not so allowed according to their scripture].

79. Mānikya Nandi in the Parikṣāmukha-sūtra (chapter vi, aph. References to contemporaneous systems of philosophy. 56-57) has mentioned the Laukāyatika, Jaiminiya, etc. In the 3rd chapter of the work he has alluded to—" a certain philosopher who maintaining three phases of the reason or middle term (*hetu*) dispenses with the minor term (*pakṣa*)."¹

80. He concludes his work by referring to it as a mirror through which a man can see what is to be accepted and what rejected.²

PRABHA CANDRA (ABOUT 825 A.D.).

81. Prabhā Candra styled a Kavi, a member of the Digambara sect, was the author of the famous logical treatise called the **Prameya-kamala-mārta**nda, the earliest commentary on the Parikṣāmukha-sūtra of Mānikya Nandi. He was also the author of the Nyāya-kumuda-candrodaya (or briefly Candrodaya), a commentary on the Laghiyastraya of Akalanka. He has in his Prameya-kamala-mārtanda mentioned Bhagavān Upavarşa,

1 साध्याविनासाविलेन निश्चिती हेतुः॥ १०॥

की वा बिधा चेत्मका समर्थयमानो न पचयति ॥ २१ ॥

(Parīkṣāmukha-sūtra, MSS. lent to me by Mr. Jain Vaidya of Jaipur).

परीचामुखमादर्भं देवोपादेवतस्वयाः ।

संविदे साहग्री वालः परीचादत्तवद् वाधास॥

(Parikşāmukha-sūtra, chap. VI, MSS. lent to me by Mr. Jain Vaidya of Jaipur).

MINISTRY OC

34

S'abarasvāmi, Bhartīhari, Bāņa,¹ Kumārila,² Prabhākara, Dignāga, Udyotakara Dharmakīrti, Vidyānanda and others. He himself has been mentioned by Jina Sena in the Ādi Purāņa⁸ composed about S'aka 760 or A.D. 838. Prabhā Candra, as a contemporary of Maņikya Nandi and Vidyānanda, is believed to have lived in the first half of the 9th century A.D.

MALLAVADIN (ABOUT 827 A.D.).

82. He belonged to the Svetāmbara sect and was the famous author of a commentary on the Buddhist logical treatise Nyāyabindutīkā called Dharmottara-tippanaka. According to a Jaina legend,* Malla was the son of King Silāditya's sister. He was called *vādin* or logician, because, having vanquished the Buddhists in a dispute, he re-established the Jaina faith and brought to its former glory the great figure of Ādinātha on Mount Satruñjaya (in Kathiwar).

83. A palm-leaf manuscript ⁵ of the Dharmottara-tippanaka

1 Prabhā Candra has quoted the following verse from Bāņa's Kādambarī:--

ৎজাজুণ জন্মলি ঘল্মৱগ্নথ জিনী সলানা সন্তব নদংঘ্যুমী। জ্বজায় ঘর্মজ্যিনি-লাম্-ইনব ম্বীদযায় ঘিরম্বার্জনি লন:॥

> (Prameya-kamala-märtanda, Deccan College, MSS., p. 21a, quoted by Mr. K. B. Pathak in J.B.B.R.A.S., for 1892, p. 221.)

² Prabha Candra refers to Kumarila otherwise called Bhatta thus :---

तथा अर्थापशिरांद प्रभाषाकरं तत्वचणं द्वार्थापतिरदि इटः श्रुतो या अर्थीऽन्यथा त्रीपपद्वते दूख्यदबायेवच्यगा, क्रमारिकीऽपि एतदेव आव्यकारवची व्याचष्टे।

> (Prameya-kamala-märtanda, quoted by Mr. K. B. Pathak in the J.B. B.R.A.S., for 1892, p. 227).

8 In the Adi Puräna Prabha Candra is thus mentioned :---

चन्द्रांद्यप्रखयम्धं प्रभाचन्द्रं कविं खुवे।

छवा चन्द्रोदयं येन श्रवदाळादितं जगतु ॥ ४०॥

(Quoted by Mr. K. B. Pathak in J.B.B.R.A.S., for 1892, p. 222.)

On p. 227 of the same journal the date of the Adipurana is fixed at Saka 760 or 838 A.D.

⁴ Peterson 4, pp. 3-4, in which is mentioned the legend from the Prabandhacintämaņi (Rāmacandra's edition, Bombay, p. 273).

⁵ इति अख्रीशरटिणवके श्रीसङ्जवाद्याचार्य्यकते त्रतीयः परिच्छेदः समाप्तः सङ्गर्छं मचात्रीः ॥

PRADYUMNA SURI.

is preserved at Anhilwad Patan and is said to be dated Samvat 1231 or 1174 A.D. According to the Prabhāvakacaritra ¹ Mallavādin was also the author of a Padmacaritra and lived in Vira Samvat 884 or 357 A.D. But this is impossible as Dharmottara (q.v.), on whose Nyāyabinduṭikā Mallavādin wrote Tippanaka, lived about 837 A.D. On the other hand Mallavādin is mentioned ² by Hem Candra Sūri who lived during 1088—1172 A.D. It seems therefore probable that the year 884 in which Mallavādin lived does not refer to Vira Samvat, but to Vikrama Samvat. On that supposition Mallavādin lived in 827 A.D. and was a contemporary of Dharmottara.

PRADYUMNA SURI (ABOUT 980 A.D.).

84. He³ belonged to the Rājagaccha of the S'vetāmbara sect. In Māņikyacandra's Pārśvanātha-caritra,⁴ his prowess in logical discussions is referred to in the following terms :---

"There was born the preceptor Pradyumna Sūri—the first healer of disease of the world—who entirely removed all corruptions from the body of men (or detected all defects in disputation committed by men) and who, using sharp logical expressions, made his irrelevant opponents to sweat and thereby to be cured of the fever of pride."

संवत् १९३१ वर्षे भाइपद ग्रुदि १२ रवीं खरीद जंचावर्जियास वास्तव वा॰ दाइडे़छतया॰ चाइडे़व धक्षींच धन्नीतर-ठिप्पनकं जिसापितस् ॥

(Noticed in Peterson 5, App. p. 3.)

1 Vide Klatt, Vienna Oriental Journal, Vol. IV, p. 67.

2 खनु मणवादिनं तार्किकाः। तजादन्ये दीना इत्यर्थः॥

> (Siddha Hema Sabdānušāsana Brhat Tīkā, 2-2-39).

⁸ For further particulars about Pradyumna Sūri see Peterson's 4th Report, p. lxxix.

पुंचां विषड्वं विकारमण्डिलं निर्मुख्यम्बूख्यं 72/2 खणाद्यः समस्रद्ध अवासयभिषक् प्रदासद्दरिगुँदा। येन खेदयता प्रयुद्ध तरखां तकींवलाखां भारतीं वादीन्द्राः प्रविद्यापिनो घनतरं दर्पव्वरं त्याजिताः ॥ ४ ॥ दिमस्वरसमाज्ञानाविद्धपट्टं समाइदे । यः प्रत्यचं नरेन्द्रस्य जयतसद्युग्रः पुनः ॥ ५ ॥ नीराग्तानिधी राजमच्छभूगुँखवारिधिः । द्दरिः प्रयुक्षद्धर्याच्याः पूर्वे वा पूर्वजाक्षमक् ॥ २४ ॥

85. In the same work reference is made to his victory over the Digambaras of Venkapatta in the presence of the king of that province. He delighted the kings of various countries by no fewer than eighty-four triumphs in discussion. He was eleventh in ascent from Māņikyacandra Sūri, who wrote his Pārśvanātha-caritra in Samvat 1276 or 1219 A.D. Pradyumna must have flourished about 980 A D., for he was the preceptor of Abhayadeva Sūri (q.v.) who lived a little before 1039 A.D.

ABHAYADEVA SURI (ABOUT 1000 A.D.).

86. Abhayadeva Sūri belonged to the Svetāmbara sect¹ and was the pupil and successor of Pradyumna Sūri of the Rājagaccha. He was an eminent logician and author of Vādamahārņava, a treatise on logic called the Ocean of Discussions, and of a commentary on the Sammati-tarka-sūtra called Tattvārthabodha-vidhāyinī.² "He is described as a lion that roamed at ease in the wild forest of books on logic. That the rivers of various conflicting opinions might not sweep away the path of

> सपादखत्तगोपाखनिभुवनगिर्यादिदेशगोपाखान्। ययुखतुरधिकाणीत्या वादजचैरंजयासास ॥ २८ ॥ त्रीखभयदेवस्द्ररिखच्छिखर्कश्रूरश्रुत् । भग्नासनाखितुमुखाङ्गीर्यदास्त्रमर्श्रिययत् ॥ २८ ॥

(Pārśvanātha-caritra as noticed by Peterson in his 3rd Report, pp. 57-164.)

तर्कप्रव्यविचारदुर्गं सतनी सञ्चारपचानन-खाला हु अथदेवद्ध रिरजनि खेता ग्वरपा सणीः । सदाका श्रुति खाख स धुकरी को खा इखा ग्राष्ठिनी दिला विष्ठरपष्ठजं श्रितवती त्राष्ठ्यी थदी धाननम् ॥ ६ ॥ ढङ्निस्त्र गाः स्त्राय भेदसेता धुवं करिष्यन्ति जद्देः समेताः । इतीव रोधाय चकार तासां प्रव्यं नवं वादस दार्णवं यः ॥ ० ॥ विद्वन्त्र ख्लमी खिमखनमणिः प्रेङ्खनपो इद्येणि-किई न्यो ऽपि जिनेचरः समजनि जी मांखतः सहुदः । यः स्त्रू केंडु पप्र च मुज्ज्य का वी मांखतः सहुद्दः । यः स्त्रू केंडु पप्र च मुज्ज्य का वी जिन्या थि प्रत्या का म् ज्या

(Pāršvanātha-caritra by Māņikyacandra noticed by Peterson in his 3rd Report, pp. 158-59.)

2 See R. Mitra's Catalogue, X, pp. 39-40.

1

36



the good, Abhayadeva 1 wrote his Vāda-mahārnava." He was succeeded by Jineśvara Sūri, a contemporary of King Muñja.2 He was the ninth predecessor of Siddhasena Sūri, who wrote Pravacana sāroddhāra-vrtti in Samvat 1242 or 1185 A.D. It was probably this Abhayadeva Sūri, who was "worldrenowned" and a teacher of Santi Suri⁸ who died in Samvat 1096 or 1039 A.D.

LAGHUSAMANTABHADRA (ABOUT 1000 A.D.).

87. He⁴ wrote a commentary on the Astasāhasrī of Vidyā nanda called the Astasāhasrī-visamapada-tātparya-tīkā 5 and seems to have belonged to the Digambara sect and lived about 1000 A.D.

ANANTA-VĪRYA (ABOUT 1039 A.D.).

88. Ananta-virya was the Digambara author of a commentary on the Pariksamukha of Manikya Nandi called Pariksa-mukhapañjikā or Prameya-ratnamālā, as also a commentary on Akalanka's Nyaya-viniścaya called the Nyaya-viniścaya-vrtti. He wrote the former for S'anti-sena at the request of Hirapa, son of Vijaya and Nānambā.6 Now Sāntisena, supposed to be identical with Santi Suri, died in Samvat 1096 or A.D. 1039. His contemporary Ananta-virya must, therefore, have flourished

1 For particulars about Abhayadeva Sūri see Peterson's 4th Report, p. iii.

2 Weber's Die Handschriften-verzeichnisse, etc., p. 851, vv. 1, 2, and pp. 121, vv. 4-5. Jineśvara Sūri was probably a spiritual brother of Dhaneśvara Sūri.

> 8 यस्तासूड बरागमे गणनिधिः त्रीसवंदेवाकवः खरोगोअयदेव खरिरचितखातप्रमाणेऽपि च। तस्येथं सुग्रद्वयाद्धिगत (?) द्खाताविद्याग्र (?) प्रत्याख्याय चिरं भवि प्रचरत योगानिद्धाः छतिः ॥

(Uttarädhyayana brhadvitti by Säntyäcarya noticed by Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar in his Report on Sanskrit MSS. for 1833 84, p. 44.) See also Weber's Die Handschriften-verzeichnisse der Königlichen Bib-

liothek zu Berlin, p. 827. 4 Vide Peterson's Sixth Report, p. xxiii.

⁵ The Aştasāhasri-visama-pada-tātparya-tīkā has been noticed in Peterson's Fifth Report, pp. 216-219, where we read :

देवं खासिनससलं विद्यानन्दं प्रणस्य निजभन्त्या । विष्टणोस्यष्टसाइखीविषसपदं सघससन्तभझोऽहस् ॥

वैजेयप्रियपुत्रस्य दोरपस्योप्रोधतः।

मान्तिवेखार्थवारव्या परीचातुखपझिका॥ ई॥

(Pariksā-mukham satīkam, noticed in Peterson's Fourth Report, p. 155.)

38

about that time.¹ Ananta-vîrya must have lived before the 14th century, for he is mentioned by Mādhavācārya in the chapter on Jaina darśana in the Sarvadarśana-samgraha.

DEVA SURI (1086-1169 A.D.).

89. Deva Sūri, called Vādipravara or the foremost of disputants, belonged to the S'vetāmbara sect and was a pupil of Municandra Sūri. He was the author of the well-known treatise on logic called Pramāṇa-naya-tattvālokālaṅkāra on which he himself wrote an elaborate commentary named Syādvādaratnākara.² He totally vanquished the Digambara Kumudacandrācārya in a dispute over the salvation of women [at the court of Jaya-siṁha-deva at Anahillapurapattana in N. Guzerat] and thereby practically stopped the entrance of the Digambaras into that town. The dispute³ took place in Saṁvat 1181 or 1124 A.D.

90. Ratnaprabha Sūri, a pupil of Deva Sūri, in his Upadeśamālā-tīkā, ⁴ composed in Samvat 1238 or A.D. 1181, writes :--

"Lord S'ri Deva Sūri, who was the crest-gem of the pupils of the esteemed Municandra Sūri and succeeded him in his patta (sacred chair), conquered the Digambaras in the council-room of

1 Vide the Indian Antiquary, Vol. XI, p. 253; and Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar's Report on Sanskrit MSS. during 1883-84, p. 129.

⁹ खाद्वादरलाकर इत्यखि ग्रन्वो सहत्तमः।

वादिष्टन्दारकत्रीसद्देवस्दरिविनिर्सितः ॥ ४॥

Malladhāri Rājašekhara Sūri's Pañjikā on Syādvāda-ratnākarāvatāi k.

⁸ चन्द्राग्रणिववर्षेऽन वैशाखे पूर्णिमादिने । बाह्यती वादिशाखायां तो वादिप्रतिवादिनो ॥

(Prabhāvakacar., XXI, v. 95, quoted by Dr. Klatt in his article on "Historical Records of the Jains" in the Indian Antiquary, Vol. XI, Sept. 1882, p. 254.)

4 Ratnaprabha Suri observes :---

रिषाः त्रीसुनिचल्द्रस्तरिगर्वभिगौँ तार्थपूड्रामणिः पट्टे से विनिवेशितखदनु स त्रीदेवस्तरिप्रसुः । बाखाने जयसिंहदेवखपतेर्थेनाखदिग्वाससा क्रीनिर्वाणसमर्थनेन विजयखन्मः ससुत्राखितः ॥ तत्महुप्रभवी भवद्वय गुण्यासामिरामोदयाः त्रीभद्रेवरस्तरयः ग्राचिथियलन्मानसप्रीतये । त्रीरत्वप्रभस्तरिभिः ग्राभष्ठते त्रीदेवस्तरिप्रमोः ग्रिष्टेः वेयमकारि सम्राद्खते दृत्तिर्वभाष्टिंनाम् ॥

(Upadesamālātīkā noticed by Peterson in his 3rd Report, p. 167.)

DEVA SURI.

King Jaya-simha-deva and raised a pillar of victory by maintaining the nirvāna or salvation of women [that is, holding that even women are capable of attaining nirvāna]."

91. In Samvat 1204 or 1147 A.D. Deva Suri founded a caitya, raised a bimba at Phalavardhigrāma, and established an image of Neminātha at Arāsana.¹ He was born in Samvat 1143 or 1086 A.D., attained the rank of Sūri in 1174 Samvat or 1117 A.D., and ascended to heaven in Samvat 1226 or 1169 A.D.⁸

92. The Pramāņa-naya-tattvālokālaakāra³ consists of eight chapters (paricchedas), viz.—

Subjects of the Pramāņanaya-tattvālokālankāra.

MINISTRY OF

(1) Determination of the nature of valid knowledge (pramāņa-svarūpanirnaya); (2) determination of the

Munisundara Süri in his Gurvāvalī composed in Samvat 1466 gives a similar account :---

धैनादितचतुरमीति खुवादिकोखा-लआवमज्जयरमामदकेलिमाली। वादाइवे कुमुदचम्द्रदिगम्परेन्द्रः त्रीसिदभूमिपतिसंसदि पत्तनेऽस्निन्॥ ०४॥ स्राहादरबाकरतकवेधा सुदे स केषां नदि देवस्तरिः। यतचतुर्विमतिस्तरिमाखं यस्त्रैव नाबा विदितं वसूव॥ ०५॥ वेदसुनीमसितेऽस्ट् देवगुर्ख्जगदनुत्तरोऽस्युद्तिः। त्रीमुनिचन्द्रगुरोरिति

शिषा बच्चोऽभवन बिदिताः ॥ ०९ ॥

(Gurvāvalī published in the Jaina Yaśovijaya-granthamālā of Benares, pp. 18-19.)

¹ Vide Peterson's 4th Report, p. lv.; also Klatt, Ind. Ant. XI. p. 254.

² प्रिस्विवेदग्रिवे जन्म दीचा युग्प्रग्रेश्वरे। वेदाय्यग्रंकरे वर्षे द्धरित्वनभवत् प्रभोः ॥ रख्युग्पारवों,वर्षे त्रावर्षे मासि संगते । अन्यपत्रसा सप्रस्थामपराके गुरोर्दिने ॥ सन्त्रेकोकखितं खोकं प्रतिवोध्य पुरन्दर-वोधका द्व वे जग्प्रदिवं बोदेवख्ररयः ॥

(Prabhāvakacar., XXI, vv. 287 seq., quoted by Dr. Klatt in his article on "Historical Records of the Jains" in the Indian Antiquary, Sept. 1882, Vol. XI, p. 254.) According to some authorities Deva Suri was been in Samvat 1134 or 1077 A.D.

⁸ The Pramāņa-nayatattvālokālankāra has been printed and published in Benares in the Jaina Yaśovijaya series.

nature of perception (pratyaksa-svarūpa-nirnaya); (3) determination of the nature of recollection, recognition, argumentation and inference (smarana-pratyabhijnana-tarkanumana-svarupanirnaya); (4) determination of the nature of valid knowledge derived from verbal testimony or scripture (agamakhyapramāna-svarūpa-nirnaya); (5) determination of the nature of objects of knowledge (visaya-svarupa-nirnaya); (6) determination of the consequences and semblances or fallacies of knowledge (phala-pramāna-svarūpādyābhāsa-nirnaya); (7) determination of the nature of one-sided knowledge (nayātma-svarūpanirnaya); and (8) determination of the right procedure of a disputant and his opponent (vādi-prativādi-nyāya-nirnaya).

As this work is written on the same plan as Manikya Nandi's Pariksāmukha-sūtra or Akalanka's Nyāya-viniścaya as well as Siddhasena Divākara's Nyāvavatāra, I shall pass over the common points, mentioning only the special features.

93. Pramana or valid knowledge is defined here as the knowledge which ascertains the nature of itself Valid knowledge. and all other things. The intercourse between the sense-organs and the objects of sense is not pramana (valid knowledge), for though it can ascertain the nature of objects outside itself,' it cannot ascertain its own nature, since it has no consciousness. Pramana must certainly be knowledge, for it is capable of choosing what is desirable and rejecting what is undesirable. It must also be definite in character, for it is opposed to superimposition (samāropa). Superimposition is of three kinds: (1) inversion (viparyaya)-such as to look upon a pearl-oyster as a piece of silver; (2) doubt (samsaya)-such as : is this a post or a man ? and (3) uncertainty (anadhyavasāya) consisting in a mere cogitation in the mind as to what the thing might be.

Direct knowledge. Perception.

40

94. Pramana (valid knowledge) is of two kinds: (1) direct (pratyaksa) perception, and (2) indirect (paroksa). The direct knowledge or perception is of two kinds : practical

(sāmvyavahārika) and transcendental (pāramārthika). The practical direct knowledge again is subdivided as that which arises through the sense-organs (indriva-nibandhana) and that which does not arise through the sense-organs (anindriya-nibandhana) but through the mind (manas). Each of these passes through four stages, 1 viz. : (1) avagraha, distinguishing the type whether it be, e.g., horse or man, but not discerning the characteristics;

¹ The explanation of *avagraha*, etc., as given here is taken from Col. Jarrett's translation of the Ain-i-Akbari, published by the Asiatic Society of Bengal, vol. III, p. 190, as the portion related to pramana in the Jaina GOVERNIA

MINISTRY OC

(2) $i\hbar\bar{a}$, inquiring, e.g., whence came the man and from what country came the horse; (3) $av\bar{a}ya$, arriving at a correct identification of the above, and (4) $d\hbar\bar{a}ran\bar{a}$, recollecting the thing particularised and keeping it in mind.

The transcendental direct knowledge $(p\bar{a}ram\bar{a}rthika)$ is that which comes exclusively from the illumination of the soul and is profitable to emancipation. It is two-fold: (1) vikala (defective) including avadhi-jnana (limited knowledge), *i.e.*, knowledge of special objects which, near or remote, are not differentiated, and manah-paryāya, *i.e.*, definite knowledge of another's thoughts and the laying bare of the secrets of the heart; and (2) sakala, *i.e.*, perfect, which is the unobstructed intuition of the entire aspects of a thing. One who possesses that perfect transcendental knowledge is called an arhat, *i.e.*, one freed from all faults or obstructions.

95. Indirect knowledge (paroksa) is of five kinds: viz., (1)
Indirect knowledge.
(tarka); (4) inference (anumāna); (5) verbal testimony or the knowledge derived from the words of a reliable person or scripture (āgama).

96. Inference is of two kinds : (1) *svārtha*, for one's own self, Inference, and (2) *parārtha*, for the sake of others.

defined as that which cannot happen except in connection with the major term. The definition that the *hetu* (middle term) is that which possesses three characteristics, is to be rejected as involving fallacies.¹ Some maintain the threefold characteristic or division of the *hetu* (reason or middle term), but do not admit the necessity of using the minor term (pakşa) in an

chapter of Ain-i-Akbari very closely resembles that in the *Pramāņa-naya-tattvālokālaņkāra*. Dr. R. G. Bhandarkara's explanation of these terms given on p. 93, footnote, of his Report on Sanskrit MSS., for 1883-84, is, however, different.

¹ निश्चितान्यथानुपपश्चेकलत्त्वणे हेतुः न तु विलत्त्वणकादिः। तस्य हेलाभासस्यापि सक्षवात् ॥ ११॥

> (Pramāņa-naya-tattvālokālankāra, Chap. III.)

वैरूप्यं पुनर्किङ्गस्य जनमेवे सत्तमेव ।

सपच रव सन्तम् । चसपत्ते चासत्तमेग निचितम् ।

(Nyäyabindu, Chapter II.)

MINISTRY

inference.¹ Again, according to others, as the connection or absence of connection between the middle and the major terms can be shown by internal inseparable connection (antar-vy $\bar{a}pti$), the example forming the external inseparable connection (bahir-vy $\bar{a}pti$) is useless.² For instance (...

The hill (minor term) is fiery (major term), because it is smoky (middle term) as a kitchen (example).

Here the hill is an integral part of the inference, and in it may be found the necessary connection between fire and smoke. Why then should we burden our inference with an example from without? The kitchen certainly shows the same connection: fire and smoke are found together there: but the kitchen is not an essential part of the inference, and so for the purpose in hand the connection which it proves may be described as the external inseparable connection. We must look to logical neatness, and the economy of mental labour, since the mind is liable to be confused when the unessential is brought across its track.

97. The application (upanaya) and conclusion (nigamana) as parts of the syllogism are also useless, but these together with the example are to be employed to convince men of small intellect.³

Parts of a syllogism. Avayava or parts of a syllogism are stated to be the following :--

- 1. Pakṣa-prayoga (use of the minor term, otherwise called proposition, $pratij\tilde{n}\bar{a}$)—the hill is fiery.
- 2. Hetu-prayoga (use of the middle term)-because it is smoky.
- 3. Drstänta (example)—whatever is fiery is smoky just as a kitchen.
- 4. Upanaya (application)-this hill is smoky.
- 5. Nigamana (conclusion)-therefore this hill is fiery.

98. Non-existence (abhāva or anupalabdhi) is subdivided as (1) antecedent (prāgabhāva); (2) subsequent (pradhvamsābhāva); (3) mutual (*itaretarābhāva*); and (4) absolute (*atyantābhāva*). Various kinds of *ābhāsa* or fallacy are also enumerated. Under the verbal

1 चिविधं साधनमशिधार्थैव तत्मर्थनं विद्धानः कः खल न पत्तप्रयोगम् चङीकुबते ॥२२॥ (Pramana-naya-tattvalokalankara,

Chap. III.)

² खक्तवांग्रा हेतीः राधप्रत्यायने एत्नावसत्तो च वर्दियांग्नेरद्वावनं वर्धम् ॥ २५ ॥ (Pramāṇa-naya-tattvālokālaṅkāra, Chap. III.)

⁸ मन्दनतीं जु युत्पाद्धितुं दष्टान्ते। पनयनिगमनान्यपि प्रयोध्याति ॥ २९ ॥ (Pramana-naya-tattvalokalankara,

Chap. III.)

DEVA SURI.

testimony (*i.e.*, $\bar{a}gama$) as also under the method of one-sided interpretation (*naya*) there is given an elaborate exposition of the *Saptabhanginaya* (sevenfold paralogism). The mediate and immediate results of valid knowledge (*pramāna*) have been clearly laid down.

99. The results of knowledge and the practical use made of them are stated to be not illusory (samvrti), but real (pāramār-thika).

100. Under naya (the method of comprehending a thing from one particular point of view), the fallacies of it $(nay\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sa)$ are also enumerated thus :---

- (1) Naigamābhāsa (the fallacy of the naigama)—e.g., in Fallacies of Naya. "existence" (generic property) and its "consciousness" (specific property).
- (2) Saingrahābhāsa (the fallacy of the collective)—occurs when we call a thing real if it possesses the generic property alone, altogether losing sight of its specific properties, as when we say a bamboo is real so far as it is a tree, but it has no specific properties.
- (3) Vyavahārābhāsa (the fallacy of the practical)—e.g., the Cārvāka philosophy which makes a wrong distinction of substance, quality, etc.
- (4) Rjusūtrābhāsa (the fallacy of the straight expression) as the Tathāgata philosophy which altogether denies the reality of things.
- (5) Sabdābhāsa (the verbal fallacy)—occurs when we recognise the distinction of times into past, present and future, but go on attaching one and the same meaning to a word in all the three times, e.g., if we now use the word "kratu" (sacrifice) in the sense of "strength" which it signified a thousand years ago.
- (6) Samabhirūdhābhāsa (the fallacy of the subtle)—occurs when we interpret synonymous words such as Indra, S'akra, Purandara, etc., signifying altogether different things.
- (7) Evanbhūtābhāsa (the fallacy of the such-like)—occurs when a thing is discarded simply because it does not, at the moment, possess the properties implied by the name, e.g., Rāma is not a man (a thinking animal) because he is not at present thinking.

101. The soul (*ātmā*) which is the doer and enjoyer, and an embodiment of consciousness, is of the same size as its body.

In every person there is a separate soul which, having got rid of the bondage of its *karma* (act-fruits), attains emancipation.

102. The last chapter expounds the method of debate. Discussion ($V\bar{a}da$) consists in assertion Rules of Debate. and counter-assertion for the establishment of a certain proposition by rejecting its opposite. The disputant or the person who opens the discussion may be eager either to gain a victory or to ascertain a truth. The truth may be sought either for one's own self as a disciple seeks it, or for others as a teacher seeks it. The same remarks apply to the opponent or respondent. There are four constituents of a council of discussion, viz., (1) the disputant $(v \vec{a} d \vec{i})$; (2) the opponent (prativadi); (3) the members (sabhya); and (4) the president (sabhāpati). The duty of the disputant and his opponent consists in establishing his own side and opposing the other side by means of proof. The members must be acceptable to both the parties in respect of the skill in grasping their dogmas; they must have a good memory, be very learned, and possess genius, patience and impartiality. Their duties consist in stating the assertions and replies of the disputant and his opponent with reference to the particular subject of discussion, in estimating the merits and demerits of their arguments and counter-arguments, in occasionally interrupting them for setting forth some established conclusions, and in, as far as possible, declaring the result of the discussion. The President must be endowed with wisdom, authority, forbearance and impartiality. His duty consists in judging the speeches of the parties and the members, as also in preventing quarrels, etc., among them. In the event of the parties being desirous of victory alone, they may continue the discussion with vigour as long as the members wish; but if they are eager to ascertain the truth alone, they may continue the discussion so long as the truth is not ascertained and so long as they retain their vigour.

HEMACANDRA SURI (1088-1172 A.D.).

103. Hemacandra Sūri¹ (surnamed Kalikāla-sarvajña), born at Dhandhuka in Ahmedabad, was a pupil of Devacandra of the Vajraśākhā. He was a contemporary of King Jaya Simha and is said to have been the preceptor of Māhārāja Kumāra Pāla of Guzerat about Samvat 1199-1229. He was the author of a large number of works such as Kāvyānuśāsana-vrtti, Chan-

¹ For details about Hemacandra, see Bühler's "Ueber das Lebens des Jaina Monches Hemacandra"; Peterson's 4th Report, p. cxli, and p. 82; and also Peterson's lecture on the story of Hema Candra published in the Bombay Gazette, August 29, 1895.

CANDRAPRABHA SURI.



ALL CONTRACTOR

donuśāsanavrtti, Abhidhāna-cintāmaņi or Nāmamālā, Anekārthasamgraha, Dvāśraya-mahā-kāvya, Trisastiśalākapurusa-caritra (a part of which is called Mahāviracaritra and the appendix called Pariśistaparva), Yogašāstra, Nighaņtuśesa, etc.

104. He was also the author of a most important work on logic called Pramāṇa-mīmāṁsā,¹ on which he himself wrote a commentary. This work, which is divided into five chapters, is written in the $S\bar{a}tra$ or aphoristic style, and not in the form of a prakarana (commentary).

105. He was a spiritual brother of Pradyumna Sūri,² was born in Samvat 1145 or 1088 A.D., took the vow (*vrata*) in 1150 Samvat or 1093 A.D., attained the rank of Sūri in 1166 Samvat or 1109 A.D., and ascended to heaven (died) in 1229 Samvat or 1172 A.D.³

CANDRAPRABHA SURI (1102 A.D.).

106. Candraprabha Sūri (born in Guzerat), who founded the Pūrņimāgaccha in Samvat 1159 or 1102 A.D., was a pupil of

¹ A manuscript of the Pramāņa-mīmāmsā with the commentary by the author himself has been noticed by Peterson in his 5th Report on Sanskrit MSS., pp. 147-148. In explaining why the Pramāņa-mīmāmsā was written in the form of aphorisms, Hemacandra in the first chapter of the commentary says:—

वाचकमुख्यविरचितानि सकल्रणालचूड़ामणिभूतानि तत्त्वार्थस्त्वाणीति यद्यव-मकलक्षभर्मकौच्यादिवत् प्रकरणमेव किंनारस्यते किमनया स्टवकारत्वारोपुरुषिकया मैवं वोचः । भिन्नरचिर्द्ययं जनस्ततो नास्य खेच्छाप्रतिवन्धो लौकिकराजकीयं वा सासनमस्त्रोति यत्त्किञ्चिदेतत् तव वर्णससूर्त्तात्राकैः पञ्चभिरध्यायैः शास्त्रमेतदरचयदा-चार्य्यः । तस्य च प्रेचावत्प्रटच्यंगमभिधातुमिदमादिस्ट्रचम् । जय प्रमाणमीनांसा चय्य रत्यस्य अधिकारार्थलात् ।

(Quoted in Peterson's 5th Report, p. 148.)

² त्रीमांचन्द्रकुनिऽभवद्गणनिधिः प्रयुनस्टरिप्रसु-

बैन्ध्येख स सिबहेसविधये औहेनस्टरिविधिः ॥

(Candrasena's commentary on Utpädasiddhiprakarana, a manuscript of which is noticed in Peterson's 3rd Report, p. 209.)

३ श्रवेदेखरे वर्षे कार्शिके पूर्णिसानिशिः । जन्माभवत् प्रभोर्थीसवाण्यसंभी व्रतं तथा ॥ रसण्डीखरे स्ट्ररिप्रतिष्ठा समजायत । बन्दद्वयरवी वर्षेऽवसानसभवत् प्रभोः ॥

(Prabhāvakacar., XXII, v. 851 seq., quoted by Klatt in Ind. Ant., Vol. XI, Sept. 1882, p. 254.)

⁴ For the origin of the Pürnimägaccha see Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar's Report, 1883-84, p. 147.

GL

JAINA LOGIC, CHAP. II.

Jayasimha Sūri and preceptor of Dharmaghosa. He¹ was the author of Darsanasuddhi, otherwise called Samyaktva-prakarana, and possibly also of the two logical treatises called the Prameyaratnakosa and Nyāyāvatāra-vivŗti.³ He was a great logician, and in debate appeared as a lion before the opponents who resembled elephants.³

107. The Nyāyāvatāra-vivīti is an excellent commentary on the Nyāyāvatāra of Siddhasena Divākara. In it mention is made of the Buddhist logicians Dharmottara, Arcata⁴ and others, and there is also a criticism of the views of Saugata, Naiyāyika, Mimāmsaka, Vaišesika, Sāmkhya, Cārvāka, Bauddha, Sauddhodani, Kaņabhakṣa, Akṣapāda, Brahama-vādi, etc.

NEMICANDRA KAVI (ABOUT 1150 A.D.).

108. Nemicandra, born in Guzerat, who combatted the views of the Hindu philosopher Kanāda, was a great teacher of

1 For Candraprabha Sūri see also Peterson's 4th Report. p. xxvii.

² The manuscript of Nyäyävatära-vivrti, which I consulted, was obtained from Bhavanagar, Bombay, through Munis Dharmavijaya and Indravijaya. In the Nyäyävatära-vivrti itself there is no mention of Candraprabha Sūri. Elsewhere I have seen it stated that it was the work of that author. The authorship must, however, for the present, remain an open question. In the colophon of the Nyäyävatära-vivrti it is stated that it was the work of Siddhasena-Diväkara-vyäkhyänaka or simply Siddha-vyäkhyänaka which was evidently a surname. Munis Dharmavijaya and Indravijaya relying on the line

figuris: (quoted from Ratnaprabha Sūri's Upadeśamālā-višeṣa-vṛti in Peterson's 3rd Report, p. 168) are inclined to identify Siddha-vyākhyānaka with Siddharşi who lived in Samvat 962 or 905 A.D. (as is evident from Peterson's 4th Report, p. cxxix). There is another commentary on the Nyāyāvatāra by Haribhadra Sūri. For Darśana-suddhi, vide Peterson 3, App. p. 91; for Prameya-ratna-kośa, vide the Jaināgama List, published in Bombay, p. 77; and for the Nyāyāvatāra-vivņti vide Peterson 3, p. xvi.

³ तीचें वीरविभीः सुधर्मगणस्टत्त्रनागरुओइति चारित्रोडल्ललचन्द्रगण्डजलधिप्रोझासग्रीतधुतिः । साहित्यागमतर्थलचणमद्दाविद्यापगासागरः

त्रीचन्द्रप्रभद्धरिरद्वतमतिर्वादीभणिंचीऽभवत् ॥ १ ॥

(Daśavaikālikațikā by Tilakācārya, noticed in Peterson's 5th Report, p. 65.)

अमाणेत्यादि जनेन च तादातातदुर्वाधजचयधंवन्य विवलतया ध्वनेवडिर्घं प्रति प्रामाणवीगादु चभिधेयादिख्यचनदारीत्वद्वार्थपंष्रयमुखेन त्रीतारः त्रवर्ष प्रति प्राद्याचाने इति धर्मोात्तरी सन्यते ... आर्थटक्षु वाद व त्रावकोत्यादकमेतत् प्रामाण्यासावात् तेषां चाप्रमाणादप्रष्टत्तेः।

(Nyāyāvatāra-vivrti, on verse 1.)

ANANDA SURI AND AMARACANDRA SURI.

MINISTRYOR

logic.1 He was a pupil of Vairasvāmi and preceptor of Sagarendu (Sagaracandra) Muni, as is mentioned by Manikyacandra, Sāgarendu Muni's pupil, in his Pārśvanātha-caritra written in Samvat 1276 or 1219 A.D. As Mānikyacandra flourished about 1219 A.D., his preceptor's preceptor Nemicandra² must have lived about 1150 Å.D. Nemicandra was styled a Kavi. 8

ANANDA SURI AND AMARACANDRA SURI, NICKNAMED TIGER-CUB AND LION-CUB (1093-1150 A.D.).

109. These two, born in Guzerat, were great logicians who, even in their boyhood having overcome their elephant-like opponents in dispute, were nicknamed, respectively, Vyaghraśiśuka (Tiger-cub) and Sinha-śiśuka (Lion-cub.) 4 They 5 were the twin pupils and successors of Mahendra Sūri in the Nagendragaccha, and were succeeded by Haribhadra Sūri. As Siddharāja from whom they received their nicknames ascended the throne in Samvat 1150 or 1093 A.D., they must have flourished at the beginning of the 12th century A.D. It is probably these two

> 1 पड्तकी खलनाविखासवसतिखचनपोऽहमेति खत्यहोदयचन्द्रसाः समजनि त्रीनेसिचन्द्रप्रशः । निःसामान्यग्रीभुवि प्रस्तरीः प्रावीयग्रीखोहणतीः यखने कषभोजिनो सुनिपतेर्क्षणं सतं स्वेतः॥ १४ ॥

(Pārśvanātha caritra noticed in Peterson 3, p. 160.)

The same verse is quoted almost verbatim in the Kavya-prakasa-samketa by Mänikyacandra Süri, noticed in Peterson 3, p. 321.

For Nemicandra, see also Peterson 4, p. lxxf.
 See Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar's Report, 1883-84, p. 122.

 Udayaprabha Sūri, who was the successor of Haribhadra Sūri through Vijayasena Sūri, in his Dharmābhyudaya-mahākāvya, noticed by Peterson in his 3rd Report, pp. 16-19, writes :--

> जानन्दस्र रिरिति [तस्य वस्व] मिणाः प्वीऽपरः समधरोऽमरचन्द्रस्रिः। धर्मादिपरा दशनायिव पापहच-चीदचमी जगति यो विषदी विभातः ॥ १ ॥ पाखाधनाङमयपयोनिधिसन्दराडि-सुद्राज्योः किसनयोः खसडे सच्चित्रः बाखेऽपि मिर्द जिलवादिगजी जगाद यो यात्रसिंहण्डिकाविति सिदराजः ॥ 8 ॥

⁶ For further particulars of Ananda Sūri and Amaracandra Sūri see Peterson 4, p. vii. 8

48

logicians who are referred to by the Hindu logician Gangeśa Upādhyāya in his Tattva-cintāmaņi under *Simha-vyāghrī-lakṣaṇa* of Vyāpti.

HARIBHADRA SURI (ABOUT 1168 A.D.).

110. We find mention of at least two Svetāmbara Jaina authors bearing the name Haribhadra Sūri. One died ¹ in Samvat 535 or 478 A.D., while the other, who was a pupil of Ānanda Sūri and Amaracandra Sūri of the Nāgendragaccha, lived about Samvat 1225 or 1168 A.D.² It is this second Haribhadra Sūri who was called "Kalikāla-Gautama."⁸ He must have been an eminent logician if we suppose him to be the author ⁴ of the Saddarśana samuccaya, the Daśavaikālika-niryukti-tīkā, the Nyāya-praveśaka-sūtra and the Nyāyāvatāra-vṛtti. There are

1 पंचसर पणतीर विक्रमकालाओं भाति अत्यसिणी।

इरिभइस्टरिस्टरो निष्ट्चो दिसज सिवसुरसा ॥ १०० ॥

(Gacchotpatti-prakīrņaka quoted in the Gāthāsīhāsrī noticed in Peterson 3. p. 284.)

² Klatt refers to Bühler's Sukrtasamkirtana, pp. 24-25; see Peterson 4, pp. cxxxix, cxl.

³ सिडान्नोपनिषद्भिषद्भद्भदयो धोजन्मभूमिसयोः पट्टे श्रीइरिभद्रस्टरिरभवधारित्रिणामयणौः । आत्वा ग्रून्यमनाश्रयेरतिचिराद्यसिद्भवत्वव्दानतः सन्तुष्टैः वज्जिकाजगौतम इति व्यातित्विते गुण्गैः ॥ ५ ॥

(Dharmābhyudaya-mahākāvya by Udayaprabha Sūri noticed in Peterson 3, Appendix I, p. 18.)

⁴ In the concluding lines of the Daśavaikālika-niryukti-tīkā it is stated that the author of that work was one Haribhadra Sūri who was a *dharmaputra* of Yākinā. A similar description of Haribhadra the author of Şaddarśana-samuccayā is found in the opening sentences of Gunaratna's commentary on that work (dated about 1409 A.D.). The Caturvińsatiprabandha by Rājaśekhara Sūri composed in Samvat 1405 or 1348 A.D. makes a like reference to Haribhadra, the author of Nyāyāvatāra-vṛtti. Now the *dharmaputra* of Yākinā is generally held to be a surname of the first Haribhadra Sūri whose disciples were Hamsa and Paramahamsa, as is evident from Prabhācandra Sūri's Prabhāvaka-caritra dated about 1277 A.D.

In the Gaechotpatti-prakarana, Gäthä-sähasri, Vicära-sära-prakarana, Vicärämrta-samgraha, Tapägaechapattävali, Kharataragaecha-pattävali, etc., Haribhadra Süri I. is stated to have flourished in Samvat 535 or 478 A.D.

Now the Nyāyāvatāra which is alleged to have been commented on by Haribhadra Sūri I. was itself composed about 533 A.D. (that is, after 478 A.D.), and Dharmakīrti, whose logical doctrines have been referred to in the Şaddarśana-samuccaya, lived about 650 A.D. These facts prove that Haribhadra the author of Nyāyāvatāra-vrtti and Ṣaddarśana-samuccaya lived after 650 A.D.

HARIBHADRA SURI.

strong grounds for supposing that the Şaddarśana-samuccaya was not the work of the first Haribhadra Sūri, as it refers in the chapter on the Bauddhadarśana to the views of such authors as Dignāga, Dharmakirti, ' and others who flourished long after the 5th century A.D. The six systems (Ṣaddarśana) treated by him are (1) Bauddha, (2) Naiyāyika, (3) Sāmkhya, (4) Jaina, (5) Vaišesika and (6) Jaiminiya.

111. Haribhadra Sūri is often described ⁸ as having protected the word of the Arhats like a mother by his 1,400 works. He is said to have used the word *viraha* (separation or sorrow) as his mark in the last verse of each of his works. He was by birth a Brāhmaņa and was chaplain to king Jitāri whose capital was

Dr. Jacobi in his letter, dated the 21st October 1907, writes to me that "Haribhadra used the word *viraha* in the Samaraiccakahā, which is alluded to by Siddharşi who wrote in 905 A.D."

Regarding the dates of the Saddarsana-samuccaya, etc., he observes :--These are "unanimously ascribed to the first Haribhadra," "whose date. I believe, with Prof. Leumann, to have been wrongly referr d to the Samvat era instead of the Valabhi or *Gupta era*, which commenced in 319 A.D."

According to Dr. Jacobi, therefore, the Saddarsana-sammuccaya, etc., were written by the first Haribhadra Sūri, who died in 535 Gupta Samvat or 854 A.D.

Dr. Jacobi's theory removes many of our difficulties, yet it is far from being conclusive, as the Jaina authors very seldom used the Cupta era. Moreover, it is inexplicable why Vācaspati Miśra and Udayanācārya did not refer to such an excellent compendium of Indian philosophy as the Şaddarśana-samuccaya if it existed as early as the 9th or 10th century A.D. I am therefore inclined to believe that Haribhadra Sūri II was the author of the Şaddarśana-samuccaya, Nyāyāvatāra-vṛtti, etc., while the Samaraiccakahā and other treatises might be the works of the first Haribhadra Sūri.

But I must confess that the modern Jaina Panditas such as Munis Dharmavijaya and Indravijaya firmly believe that the author of all these works was the first Haribhadra Sūri who, according to them, flourished in 535 Vikrama Samvat, or 478 A.D.

अत्यचं कज्यनापोढ्मधाकं तत्र वृध्यतास् । चिक्रपाधिङनो चिङ्गिज्ञानं चन्मानपंज्ञिनम् ॥

(Saddarśana-samuecaya, chapter on Bauddha-darśana, p. 38, edited by Dr. Suali).

This verse refers without doubt to the definition of *Pratyakşa* and *Anu-māna* given by Dharmakīrti who lived about 650 A.D.

² Klatt in his " Pattāvalī of the Kharataragaceha " in the Ind. Ant., Vol. XI, Sept. 1882, p. 247; also Peterson 3, p. 35. Also:

खौमि घोडरिभई तं वेनाईद्वीर्मडनरा ।

चत्रदेगप्रकरणमत्वागोयत माखवत् ॥ १९ ॥

Amarasvämicarita by Muniratna Sari noticed in Peterson 3, p. 91.

Chittore near the Citrakūța hill.¹ He was instructed in the Jaina doctrine by Jinabhața. Two of his pupils, named Hamsa and Paramahamsa, are said to have left him as missionaries of the Jaina faith, and to have been slain in the Bhoța country (Tibet) by the fanatical Buddhists whom they sought to convert. The sorrow caused by the death of these two pupils is said to have been symbolised in the word *viraha*.

112. It is generally supposed that Haribhadra Sūri, whose pupils were killed in Tibet, was the first author of that name. But there will be no inconsistency if we suppose him to be the second Haribhadra Sūri, for the religious intercourse between India and Tibet was more frequent in the 12th century A.D. than in the 5th century, when Tibet had scarcely emerged into the ken of history.

COL GI RATNAPRABHA SURI (1181 A.D.).

50

113. Ratnaprabha Sāri, who belonged to the S'vetāmbara sect, was a logician of repute, being the author of a light commentary $(laghu-tik\bar{a})$ on the Pramāņa-naya-tattvālokālankāra called Syādvāda-ratnāvatārikā³ in which are quoted the views of the Buddhist logicians Arcata (q. v.) and Dharmottara (q. v.)

114. While in Broach at the Aśvavabodhatīrtha in Samvat 1238 or 1181 A.D., he wrote another work called Upadeśamālāvŗtti ³ to please Bhadreśvara Sūri and in payment of the debt he owed to Vijayasena Sūri, the brother of Bhadreśvara. There he gives his spiritual descent in the V_rhadgaccha as follows: (1) Municandra Sūri; (2) Deva Sūri; (3) Bhadreśvara Sūri and (4) Ratnaprabha Sūri.⁴

1 Vide Introduction to Saddarśanasamuccaya published in the Chowkhamba series, Benares.

> , प्रमाणे च प्रमेवे च बालानां बुद्धिस्विवे। विश्विद्ध वचनचातुर्य्यचापलायेयमादधे॥ प्रमेयरत्वकोठीभिः पूर्योरत्वाकरो सदान् तवावतारमावेष इत्तेरख्याः क्षतार्थता॥

(Syādvāda-ratnāvatārikā, Muni Dharmavijaya's MSS., p. 99). A part of the Syādvāda-ratnāvatārikā has been printed and published in the Benares Jaina Yaśovijaya series.

> त्रीर्लप्रभग्नदिशिः ग्रुभछते त्रीदेवस्दरिप्रभोः । शिर्णेः येयमकारि उन्नदछते इत्तिर्विश्रेषार्थिनाम् ॥ विक्रमाद्वपुजीकार्के (१२३०) वर्षे साघे समर्थिता । रकाद्मसदबाणि सार्वे पण्णगतं तथा ॥

(Upadeśamālā-vrtti, noticed in Peterson 5, p. 124).

⁴ For other particulars of Ratnaprabha Sūri, vide Peterson 4, p. eii. Compare also Weber II, p. 922, note 7.

JNANA CANDRA.

MINISTRY OC

MALLISENA SURI (1292 A.D.).

115. He belonged to the Nagendra Gaccha of the Svetāmbara sect, and was the author of the Syādvādamañjarī, a commentary on Hemacandra's Vītarāga-stuti or Dvātrimšikā. The Syādvāda-mañjari contains an exposition of the *Pramāņa*, *Saptabhangīnaya*, etc., and criticises Aksapāda's theories of *Pramāņa*, *Chala*, *Jāti*, *Nigrahasthāna*, etc. The doctrines of the Samkhya, Aulūkya, Jaiminīya, Bhatṭapāda, Vedānta, Yogācāra, Madhyamika, Cārvāka, etc., have also been his favourite subjects of attack. At the close of his work Mallisena describes himself as a pupil of Udayaprabha Sūri and as having composed the work in S'aka 1214 or A.D. 1292.¹

RAJASEKHARA SURI (1348 A.D.).

116. Rājaśekhara Sūri, or Malladhāri Srī Rājašekhara Sūri, belonged to the S'vetāmbara sect and was the author of the **Ratnāvatārīkā-pañj**ikā,³ a sub-commentary on the Pramāņanaya-tattvālokālaokāra, as also of two other works called Syādvāda-kalikā and Caturvimšatiprabandha.⁸ He is also the author of a Pañjikā (commentary) on the Nyāya-kandalī of the Hindu philosopher S'rī-dhara. He studied the Nyāya-kandalī under teacher Jinaprabha,⁴ and is said to have lived in Samvat 1405 or 1348 A.D.⁵

JNANA CANDRA (1350 A.D.).

117. He belonged to the S'vetāmbara sect and was the author of a gloss on the Ratnāvatārikā called the Ratnāvatārikā-tippana, which discusses many abstruse points of logic and criticises the

अोमक्रिपेषस्त्रि सिरकारि तत्यदगगनदिनमणिभिः । द्विरियं मनुरविभितम्काव्दे दोपमडमि मनौ ॥ १ ॥

(Syādvādamañjarī, p. 220, printed in the Benares Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series, and edited by Dāmodara Lal Gosvāmi.)

² A part of the Ratnāvatārikā-pañjikā has been printed and published in the Benares Jaina Yaśovijaya series,

 8 This work has been published by Hira Lal Hamsarāja at Jāmanagara in Kathiwar.

अोमव्यिनप्रभविभोरधिमत्य न्यायकदलीं किश्वित् ।

तस्यां विष्टतिलवमद्दं करवे खपरोपकाराय ॥

(Nyāyakandali of Sridhara with the Pañjikā of Rājašekhara noticed in Peterson 3, p. 273; cf. also Peterson 3, pp. 28-29.)

⁵ Vide Weber II, p. 1207.

SL

JAINA LOGIC, CHAP. II.

52

views of Dignāga¹ and others. He composed this work² under orders from his preceptor Rājaśekhara Sūri, who flourished in 1348 A.D. Jūāna Candra's date may approximately be fixed at about 1350 A.D.

GUNARATNA (1409 A.D.).

118. Guņaratna belonged to the Tapāgaccha of the Svetāmbara sect, and was the distinguished author of a commentary on the Ṣaddarśana-samuccaya⁸ called Saddarśana-samuccaya-vṛtti or Tarka-rahasya-dīpikā in which the Nyāya (logic) along with other systems has been lucidly explained. He also wrote the Kriyā-ratna-samuccaya.

119. He is mentioned by Ratna-sekhara Sūri in the Srāddhapratikramaņa-sūtra-vŗtti composed in Samvat 1496 or A.D. 1439.⁴ In that work Guņaratna is mentioned as a pupil

> तेनान्यापोच्चविषयाः प्रोक्ताः सामान्यगोचराः । सब्दाच वुडयचैव वसुन्येषामसस्प्रवात् ॥ चाखा वीनाचार्थ्य दिग्नागेन चन्यापोच्चविषयाः ... प्रोक्ताः ॥

> > Jñānacandra's Ratnāvatārikā-tippana, chap. I, p. 7, published in Yašovijaya-granthamālā of Benares.

² रत्नाकरावतारिका वर्राटप्पनं तत् ज्ञानेन्दुरख्यतरधोः खर्मातः प्रष्टन्त्रो । तच्चिष्यको रचितवान् मद्मधारिपूच्य-त्रीराजग्रेखरगुराच निदेग्रमाय

Quoted from the MSS. of Ratnakarāvatārikā-tippanaka, lent to me by Muni Dharmavijaya. A part of this work has been printed and published in the Benares Jaina Yašovijaya series.

³ Şaddarśana-samuccaya with Vitti, edited by Dr. Suali, is being published by the Asiatic Society of Bengal. There is another commentary on Saddarśanasamuccaya called Laghuvrtti by Manibhadra. It has been published in the Chowkhamba series.

⁴ The Srāddha-prati-kramaņa-sūtra-vrtti by Ratnašekhara Sūri, has been noticed in Peterson 3, pp. 226-227, whence the following lines are quoted :---

> विख्याततपैत्याख्या जगति जगवन्द्रस्टरयोऽभूवन् । त्रीदेवसुन्दरगुरूत्तमाञ्च तदनुक्रमाद्दिदिताः ॥ १ । पद्य च तेपां शिष्णाखेष्याद्या ज्ञानसागरा गुरवः । २ ज्ञालमण्डना दितीयाः त्रीगणरत्वाखतीयाच ॥ २ ॥
GUNARATNA.

of Devasundara, who attained the exalted position of Sūri at Aņahillapattana in Samvat 1420 or A.D. 1363.¹ Guņaratna must, therefore, have lived between A.D. 1363 and A.D. 1439. Devasundara Sūri, teacher of Guņaratna, was a contemporary of Muni-sundara Sūri, the famous author of the Gurvāvalī² composed in Samvat 1466 or A.D. 1409. Guņaratna himself says that his Kriyāratna-samuccaya⁸ was composed in Samvat 1466 or A.D. 1409.

120. Guņaratna, in his elaborate commentary (Vrtti) on the Saddarśana-samuccaya, has mentioned S'auddhodani, Dharmottarācārya, Arcata, Dharmakīrti, Prajñākara, Kamalasīla, Dignāga, and other Buddhist authors, as well as Tarkabhāṣā, Hetubindu, Arcata-tarkatīkā, Pramāņa-vārtika, Tattvasamgraha, Nyāyabindu, Nyāyapravešaka, and other Buddhist works on logic, etc., in the chapter on the Bauddha system. Mention has also been made, in the chapter on the Nyāya, of such Hindu logicians as Akṣapāda, Vātsyāyana, Udyotakara, Vācaspati, Udayana, Srīkaṇtha, Abhayatilakopādhyāya, Jayanta, and of such works as Nyāya-sūtra, Nyāyabhāṣya, Nyāyavārtika, Tātparyatīkā, Tātparyaparisuddhi, Nyāyālankāra, Nyāyālankāravṛtti, etc. The Nyāya-sāra of Bhā-sarvajña and the eighteen commentaries on it such as Nyāyabhūṣaṇa, Nyāyakalikā, Nyāyakusumāñjalī, etc., have also been mentioned.

> षड्दर्शनटत्तित्रियारत्वसमुचधविचारनिचयद्धजः । रुषां श्रीचुगुरूषां प्रसादतोऽब्द षड्द्वविश्वसिते । श्रीरत्नग्रेखरगणिर्हत्तिमिमासकत कतितुष्टे ॥ १

¹ Vide Dr. Klatt on the Patțāvalī of the Kharataragaccha in the Ind. Ant., Vol. XI, September 1882, pp. 255-256; cf. also Weber II, p. 884; and Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar's Report, 1883-84, p. 157.

2 रसरसमन्मितवर्षे १४६६ सुनिसुन्दरस्टरिया छता पूर्वम् ।

मधाखौरवधार्था ग्वालीयं जयत्रीबा॥ ८२॥

(Gurvāvalī, Jaina-Yaśovijaya granthamālā series, p. 109).

३ काखे पड्रसपूर्व १४१६ वत्सरमिते त्रीविजमार्काइते अवांदेग्रवग्रादिख्यस्य च सदा खान्योपकारं परस् । ग्रव्यं त्रीगुपरत्वस्तरिरतनोत् प्रज्ञाविष्ठीनोऽष्यमुं निर्हेतपकतिप्रधानजननैः ग्रीधाद्स्वयं घीघनैः ॥ १२ ॥

> (Kriyāratna-samuccaya, Jaina Yaśovijaya granthamālā series, p. 309).

JAINA LOGIC, CHAP. II.

54

DHARMA-BHŪSAŅA (ABOUT 1600 A.D.).

121. A Digambara author who wrote the Nyāya-dīpikā about 300 years ago. He has been mentioned in the Tarkabhāsā¹ by Yaśovijaya Gani.²

122. The Nyāya-dīpikā begins with a salutation[§] to Arhat Vardhamāna. It is divided into three chapters (*Prakāśa* viz., (1) general characteristics of valid knowledge, pramāņasāmānya-lakṣaṇa, (2) perception, pratyakṣa, and (3) indirect knowledge, parokṣa, including recollection, smṛti, recognition, pratyabhijñāna, argumentation, tarka, inference, anumāna, tradition, āgama, and the method of comprehending things from particular standpoints, naya.

123. The technical terms of logic have been defined and minutely examined and the views of other logicians, specially of the Buddhists, have been severely criticised. There are references to Sugata, Saugata, Bauddha, Tāthāgata, Mimāmsaka, Yauga, Naiyāyika, Bhāṭṭa, Prābhākara, Dignāga, Samanta Bhadra, Akalaṅka Deva, Sālikā Nātha, Jainendra, Syād vāda-vidyāpati, Māṇikya Nandi Bhaṭṭāraka, Kumāra Nandi Bhaṭṭāraka, Udayana and others. The following works are also mentioned :—Prameya-kamala-mārtaṇḍa, Rājavārtika, Slokavārtika, Slokavārtika-bhāṣya Tattvārtha-sūtra, Tattvārthabhāṣya, Tattvārtha-sloka-vārtika, Āptamīmāmsā-vivaraṇa, Nyāyaviniścaya, Pramāṇa-nirṇaya, Pramāṇa-parīkṣā, Parīkṣāmukha, Nyāyabindu, etc.

YASOVIJAYA GANI (1680 A.D.).

124. Yaśovijaya⁴ belonged to the Svetāmbara seot and was the famous author of Nyāya-pradīpa, Tarkabhāsā, Nyāya rahasya, Nyāyāmṛta-taraṅgiņī, Nyāya-khaṇḍa-khādya, Anekānta Jaina-mata-vyavasthā, Jñānabindu-prakaraṇa, etc. He also wrote a commentary on the Digambara work Astasāhasrī

¹ This work has been printed in Kolhāpura.

⁸ इत्यं वा ज्ञाननिवनेकलेन नर्कस्य प्राप्ताण्यं धर्मभूषणीर्क्त सत्येव तव किष्याज्ञानक्ष्मे वावकेये पंगकाते ।

> Yaśovijaya's Tarkabhāşā, leaf 10, MSS. lent to me by Munis Dharmavijaya and Indravijaya.

8 श्रीवर्डसानसईनां नला वालप्रवृद्धये।

विरचवे नितखाड सन्दभन्यायदीपिका॥ १॥

(Nyāyadīpikā, chap. I).

For other particulars about Yaśovijaya see Peterson 6, p. xiv. For his works see the Jaināgama List published in Bombay.

or and the second secon

YAŚOVIJAYA GANI.

called Astasāhasrī-vrtti. The Tarkabhāsā begins with a salutation to Jina.¹ It consists of three chapters, viz. (1) Valid knowledge, pramāna, (2) Knowledge from particular standpoints, naya, and (3) Imposition, nikksepa. He occasionally discusses $vy\bar{a}ptigraha$, or the means of establishing the universal connection between the middle term and the major term.

125. He is descended from Hiravijaya, the well-known Sūri of Akbar's time (no. 58 of the Tapāgaccha patţāvali). He ascended heaven in Samvat 1745 or A.D. 1688 at Dabhoi, in modern Baroda State. To perpetuate his memory there has been established at Benares a college called Jaina Yaśovijaya-pāţhaśālā under the auspices of which the scred Jaina works are being published in a series called Jaina Yaśovijaya-granthamālā.

> ¹ ऐन्द्रटन्दनतं नत्वा जिनं तत्त्वार्थदेशिनम् प्रमापनयनिचेपैखर्कभाषां तनोग्र्यचम् (Tarkabhāşā, chap. I).





BOOK II. The Buddhist Logic.

MINISTRY

CHAPTER I.

THE OLD BUDDHIST REFERENCES TO LOGIC.

BUDDHA GAUTAMA (623 B.C.-543 B.C.).

1. The Buddhists maintain that their religion is eternal. It was taught at different cycles by sages called Buddhas (the Enlightened Ones) or Tathāgatas (those who have realised the truth). In the present cycle, called *Mahā-bhadra-kalpa* (the very blessed cycle), four Buddhas are said to have already appeared, *viz.*, Krakucchanda, Kanaka Muni, Kāśyapa and Gautama, while the fifth, *viz.*, Maitreya, is yet to be born.¹

2. Of the past Buddhas the last, viz., Buddha Gautama, otherwise called Säkya Muni, was born at Kapilavastu (modern Nigliva in the Nepal Terai) in 623 B.C., and attained nirvāna at Kuśinagara (modern Kuśinārā near Gorakhpur) in 543 B.C.⁹ He passed almost his whole life in Magadha (modern Behar). He is regarded by modern scholars as the real founder of Buddhism, while his predecessors are considered as purely mythical.

ORIGIN OF THE PALI BUDDHIST LITERATURE (543 B.C.-76 B.C.).

3. Buddha Gautama is said to have delivered his teachings in the Māgadhī or Pāli language. On his death these teachings were rehearsed by the Buddhist monks in three councils³ held

² The exact date of Buddha is unknown. The date given here is according to the Mahāvamsa, the Pāli chroniele of Ceylon. The date of Buddha's nirvāņa is placed by European scholars between 470—480 B.C. Cf Dr. Fleet's article on "The Date of Buddha's Death" in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, January, 1904.

⁸ For an account of the first and second councils, vide Vinaya Pitaka, Culla Vagga, 11th and 12th Khandhakas, translated by Rhys Davids and

¹ Vide Hardy's Manual of Buddhism, second edition, pp. 88-91.

MINISTRY OR

GOVERNMENTON

58

at Rājagrha, Vaišālī and Pāṭalīputra under the patronage of kings Ajātašatru, Kālāšoka and Ašoka about the year 543 B.C., 443 B.C. and 255 B.C.¹ respectively. The texts of the teachings as discussed and settled in these councils form the sacred scripture of the Buddhists. This scripture is called in Pāli *Tepiṭaka* or *Piṭakattaya* and in Sanskrit *Tripiṭaka* or *Piṭakatraya* which signifies 'Three Baskets.' It consists of the Sermon Basket (Sutta Piṭaka), Discipline Basket (Vinaya Piṭaka) and the Metaphysical Basket (Abhidhamma Piṭaka), each of which embodies a large number of distinct works.

4. The monks assembled in the First Council, that is in the Council of Kāśyapa in 543 B.C., were called (1) Theras, and the scripture canonised by them was called Theravāda. Subsequently ten thousand monks of Vaišālī having violated certain rules of the Theravāda were, by the decision of the Second Council in 443 B.C., expelled from the community of the Theras. These excluded priests were called (2) Mahāsānghikas who were the first heretical sect of the Buddhists. They made certain additions and alterations in the Theravāda. Afterwards within two hundred years from the *nirvāna* of Buddha 14 other heretical sects ² arose, *viz.*, (3) Gokulika, (4) Ekabbohārika, (5) Paññatti, (6) Bāhulika, (7) Cetiya, (8) Sabbatthi, (9) Dhammaguttika, (10) Kassapīya, (11) Saňkantika, (12) Sutta, (13) Himavata, (14) Rājagirīya, (15) Siddhatthika, (16) Pubbaseliya, (17) Aparaseliya and (18) Vajiriya.

5. Just at the close of the Third Council about 255 B.C., the teachings of Buddha as canonised by the Theras in the form of the *Tepitaka* were carried ⁸ by Mahinda, son of Emperor Asoka, to the island of Ceylon where they were perpetuated by priests in oral tradition. They are said to have been committed to writing ⁴ for the first time in Ceylon in the reign of Vattagāmani during 104-76 B.C. Besides the *Tepitaka* there

Oldenberg, S.B.E. series, vol. XX, pp. 370, 386. For an account of the third council as also of the first and second, *vide* Wijesimha's translation of the Mahāvamsa, chapter V, pp. 25—29, as also chapters III and IV.

¹ As to the dates of the 1st and 2nd Councils I follow the Pāli Mahāvańsa. The date of the 3rd Council is in accordance with modern researches. Aśoka ascended the throne in 272 B.C. (*Vide* Vincent A. Smith's Aśoka, p. 63), and it was in the 17th year of his reign that the third Council took place (*vide* Wijesimha's Mahāvamsa, p. 29).

² For a discussion about the variant names and subdivisions of these sects, *vide* Wijesimha's Mahāvamsa, part I, chapter V, p. 15; and Dr. Rhys Davids' 'Schools of Buddhist Belief'' in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, 1892, pp. 1-37.

³ Vide the Mahāvamsa, chapter XII.

⁴ Vide the Mahāvamsa, chapter XXXIII. Cf. also Dr. Alwis's Lecture on the Pali Language in the Journal of the Pali Text Society, London, 1883, p. 42,

THE BRAHMA-JALA-SUTTA.

GOVERNMEN

MINISTRY OF C

were numerous other works written in Pāli which have immensely added to the bulk of the Pāli literature.

LOGIC TOUCHED ON IN THE PALI LITERATURE.

6. In the *Tepiiaka*—nay in the whole Pāli literature—there is not a single treatise on Logic. This is not at all a matter of surprise, for, according to the Pāli works, our knowledge (called in Pāli : Vinnāna and in Sanskrit : Vijnāna) has arisen from $Avidyā^{1}$ or cosmic blindness, and is therefore a mere illusion. Such being the character of our knowledge, it cannot form the subject-matter of Logic, the sole function of which consists in laying down criteria for determining real or valid knowledge.

7. The only topic bearing upon Logic which has been touched on in the Pāli works, is the division of knowledge into six kinds. In the Tepitaka² knowledge (Viññāna³) has been classified as (1) ocular (*cakkhu-viññānam*), (2) auditory (*sota-viññānam*), (3) olfactory (*ghāna-viñňānam*), (4) gustatory (*jivhā-viññānam*), (5) tactual (*kāya-viñňānam*) and (6) mental (*mano-viññānam*). But this classification has not been carried far enough to lay the foundation of a Logic that deserved the name of science.

8. In the Tepiţaka there are, however, occasional references to a class of men who were called Takki (in Sanskrit: Tarkinor Takkika (in Sanskrit: Tarkika)—that is, those versed in reasoning. It is not known whether these men were Buddhists, Jainas or Brāhmaņas, perhaps they were recruited from all communities. They were not logicians in the proper sense of the term but they appear to me to have been sophists who indulged in quibble and casuistry.

THE Brahma-jāla-sutta (543 B.C.-255 B.C.).

9. In the Brahma-jāla-sutta, which forms a part of the Digha Nikāya of the Sutta Piṭaka and was rehearsed in the three Buddhist Councils during 543 B.C. 255 B.C.,³ mention

8 Vinnāna is translated as knowledge or consciousness, such as cakkhuvinnāna signifies ocular knowledge or eye-consciousness.

4 "Hofrath Dr. Bühler, in the last work he published, expressed the

¹ Avidyā (cosmic blindness) forms the first link in the chain of Paticcasamuppāda explained in the Vinaya Pitaka, Mahāvagga, Pathama Khandhaka, translated by Rhys Davids and Oldenberg, S.B.E. series, vol. III, pp. 73-75.

² Vide the Anguttara Nikāya III, 61. 8, edited by Dr. Morris in the Pāli Text Society series of London. Vide also the Dhammasanginī, and compare Pariccheda IV of the Abhidhammatthasangaha which, though not included in the Tepitaka, sums up the topics of the Abhidhamma Pitaka.

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP, I.

60

is made of those Sramanas and Brahmanas who were Takki and Vimamsi and indulged in Takka and Vimamsa. Buddha speaks of them thus :---

"In this case, brethren, some recluse or Brahmana is addicted to logic [sophism] and reasoning [casuistry]." He gives utterance to the following conclusion of his own, beaten out by his argumentations and based on his sophistry: "The soul and the world arose without a cause." 1

This passage refers, in my opinion, to a sophist rather than to a teacher of Logic.

THE Udāna (543 B.C.-255 B.C.).

10. Again, in the Udāna, which is included in the Khuddaka Nikaya of the Sutta Pitaka and is supposed to have been rehearsed in the three Buddhist Councils during 543 B.C .- 255 B.C., we read :-

"As long as the perfect Buddhas do not appear, the Takkikas [sophists] are not corrected nor are the Savakas: owing to their evil views they are not released from misery."2

This passage leaves no doubt that the Takkikas were sophists.

THE Kathāvatthuppakaraņa (ABOUT 255 B.C.

11. The Kathāvatthuppakaraņa, a work of the Abhidhammapitaka, composed by Moggaliputta Tissa at the Third Bud-

opinion that these books, as we have them in the Pali, are good evidence, certainly for the fifth, probably for the sixth, century B.C."

-Rhys Davids' Preface to the Dialogues of the Buddha, p. XX.

1 The Brahma-jala-sutta 1-32 included in Dialogues of the Buddha

translated by Rhys Davids, London, p. 42. Dr. Rhys Davids translates Takki (*Tarki*) and *Vimainsi* (*Mimāinsi*) as " addicted to *logic* and *reasoning*." But the expression may also be rendered as " addicted to *sophism* and *casuistry*."

The original Pāli runs thus :---

Idha, bhikkhave, ekacco samano vä brähmano vä takki hoti vimamsi. So takka-pariyāhatam vimamsānucaritam sayam-patibhānam evam āha : "Adhicca-samuppanno attā ca loko cāti."

-The Brahma-jala-sutta 1-32 included in the Digha Nikāya, p. 29, edited by T. W. Rhys Davids and J. E. Carpenter, London.

² The original of this passage runs as follows : -

Yāva sammā sambuddhā loke n'uppajjanti, na takkikā sujjhanti na c'āpi sāvakā, dudditthī na dukkhā pamuecare'ti.

Udānam, vi, 10, edited by Paul Steinthal in the Pali Text Society series, London.

THE MILINDA-PAÑHA.

dhist Council during the reign of Asoka about 255 B.C.,¹ mentions $patinna \tilde{n}a$ (in Sanskrit: pratijna, proposition), upanaya(in Sanskrit, too: upanaya, application of reason), niggaha (in Sanskrit: nigraha, humiliation or defeat), etc.,⁸ which are the technical terms of Logic. Though Moggaliputta Tissa has not made any actual reference to Logic, his mention of some of its technical terms warrants us to suppose that that science in some shape existed in India in his time about 255 B.C.

THE Milinda-pañha alias THE Bhikṣu-sūtra (ABOUT 100 A.D.).

12. The only Päli work in which an explicit reference to Logic called Niti (or $Ny\bar{a}ya$) occurs is the Milinda-pañha otherwise known as the Bhikşu-sūtra, which was composed about 100 A.D.⁸ It was translated into Chinese under the Eastern Tsin dynasty A.D. 317-420.⁴ In the Chinese collection of the Indian books it is designated as the Nāgasena-Bhikşu-sūtra. This work contains questions of Milinda (the Greek King Menander of Bactria) and replies of Bhikşu Nāga Sena on various abstruse matters. In it Milinda who was versed in Logic (*Niti* or $Ny\bar{a}ya$) is thus described :--

¹ Aśoka ascended the throne of Magadha in 272 B.C. (vide Vincent A. Smith's Aśoka, p. 63). In the seventeenth year of his reign the Third Buddhist Council took place (Wijesimha's Mahāvamsa, p. 29).

² Niggaha-catukkam is the name of a section of the first chapter of the Kathāvatthuppakaraņa. Upanaya-catukkam is the name of another section of that work. A passage, in which the terms patinnā and niggaha occur, is quoted below :---

No ca mayam tayā tattha hetāya paţinnāya hevam paţijānantā hevam niggahetabbo (Kathāvatthuppakarana, Siamese edition, p. 3, kindly lent to me by Anagārika H. Dharmapāla).

In the commentary on the above passage even *chala* (fraud), which is another technical term of Logic, has been used. *Cf.* Evam tena, chalena niggahe āropite idāni tass'eva pațiññāya dham-

Evam tena, chalena niggahe āropite idāni tass'eva patinnāya dhammena samena attavāde jayam dassetum anulomanaye pucchā sakavādissa attano nissāya patinnām paravādissa laddhiya kāsam adatvā....

(Kathāvatthuppakarana-atthakathā, published by the Pali Text Society of London, p. 13).

It is evident from the opening passages of the Kathävatthuppakaranaatthakathä that Moggaliputta Tissa discussed in the Kathävatthuppakarana only those doctrines—Buddhistic and heretic—which had originated after the First and Second Buddhist Councils. From this statement may we not draw the conclusion that the technical terms of Logic which he has used were unknown before the Second Buddhist Council?

³ For discussions about date *vide* Rhys Davids' Introduction to "the Questions of King Milinda" in the S. B. E. series, vol. xxxv.

⁴ Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, No. 1358.

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. I.

64

at the council of Kaniska was a mere commentary on Kātyāyani-putra's Abhidharma-jñāna-prasthāna-śāstra.¹ This last is a Sanskrit work explanatory of the Pāli Abhidhamma Pitaka. It was composed 300 years after the nirvāna of Buddha or 100 years before the time of Kaniska. Though Kaniska was not thus the first founder of the Sanskrit Buddhist literature, it cannot but be acknowledged that it was he, who for the first time proclaimed Sanskrit as the language of the Buddhist Canon. Since his time there have been composed innumerable Buddhist works in Sanskrit of which nine called the Nava Dharmas² are specially worshipped by the Mahāyāna Buddhists.

LOGIC MENTIONED IN THE SANSKRIT BUDDHIST LITERATURE.

17. None³ of the works composed during or before the time of Kaniska has come down to us in its Sanskrit original, and I have had no opportunity of examining the Chinese or Tibetan version of the same. I cannot, therefore, say whether there is any mention of Logic in those works. But we have before us a very large collection of Sanskrit Buddhist works composed after the time of Kaniska. Many of these works, such as some of the Nava Dharmas, contain references to Logic, and several works are even replete with logical discussions.

THE Lalitavistara (BEFORE 250 A.D.).

18. The Lalitavistara, which is one of the Nava Dharmas, was translated into Chinese in 221-263 A.D.* The Sanskrit original of it must have been prepared in India before that

1 Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripițaka, nos. 1263, 1273 and 1275. Regarding the authorship of Abhidharma-mahāvibhāṣā, or simply Mahāvibhāṣā, vide Takakusu in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, January 1905, p. 159.

2 The Nava Dharmas or Nine Sacred Works are :----

Aşta-sāhasrikā Prajnāpāramitā, (2) Ganda-vyūha, (3) Daša-bhūmiara, (4) Samādhi-rāja, (5) Lankāvatāra, (6) Saddharma-puņdarīka, (7) Tathāgata-guhyaka, (8) Lalitavistara and (9) Suvarņa-prabhāsa.

Vide Hodgson's Illustrations of the Literature and Religion of the Buddhists, p. 19.

³ Dr. Rhys Davids in his Buddhist India, p. 316, observes that the three works composed at the Council of Kaniska are extant in European libraries.

⁴ The Lalitavistara was translated into Chinese four times. The first and third translations were lost by 730 A.D. The first was prepared under the Han dynasty A.D. 221-263, the second under the Western Tsin dynasty A.D. 285-316, the third under the earlier Sun dynasty A.D. 420-479, and the fourth under the Thän dynasty A.D. 683. *Vide* Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, nos. 159 and 160.

EIGHTEEN SECTS OF THE BUDDHISTS.

time. In this work Logic, under the name of $Hetu-vidy\bar{a}$,¹ is mentioned along with the Sāmkhya, Yoga, Vaišeşika, etc., in all of which the Bodhisattva (Buddha Gautama) is said to have acquired distinction.

EIGHTEEN SECTS OF THE BUDDHISTS.

19. In article 4 we have found that within 200 years after the *nirvāna* of Buddha there arose in India 17 heretical sects besides the orthodox priesthood called the Theras. In course of time some of these sects disappeared while new ones grew up, the result being that at the time of Kaniska, about 78 A.D., the Buddhists had already been divided into 18 sects² grouped into four classes as follows:—

I. Arya Sarvāstivāda (1) Mūla Sarvāstivāda (2) Käsyapiya (3) Mahiśāsaka (4) Dharma-guptiya Belonging to the (5) Bahuśrutiya Vaibhāsika School (6) Tāmrasātīya of Philosophy. (7) Vibhajyavadin II. Arva Sammitiya (8) Kurukullaka (9) Avantika (10) Vātsiputriya III. Arya Mahasamghika (11) Pūrva-śaila (12) Apara-saila (13) Haimavata Belonging to the (14) Lokottaravadin Sautrāntika School (15) Prajňaptivadin of Philosophy. IV. Arya Sthavira

All the sects mentioned above belonged to the Hinayana though later on they joined the Mahayana too.

(16) Mahāvihāra(17) Jetavanīya, and(18) Abhayagirivāsin.

¹ निर्धण्डो निगमे पुराणे इतिहासे देदे व्याकरणे निरुक्ते णिचायां वन्दसि यज्ञकको च्योतिषि सांख्ये योगे क्रियाकक्ते देशोषिके देशिके चर्थविद्यायां वार्चस्प्रत्ये चाचय्ये चासुरे खगपचिरते इतुविद्यायां जतुयन्त्रे सर्वच बोधिसच्च एव विशिष्यते सा॥

Lalitavistara, edited by Dr. Räjendra Lal Mitra in the Bibliotheca Indica series, Calcutta, Chapter XII, p. 179.

² Vide the Journal of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta, vol. I,

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. I.

FOUR SCHOOLS OF THE BUDDHIST PHILOSOPHY.

GOVERNMENTON

66

The philosophical views of the sects mentioned above 20. were gradually formulated into two schools, viz., the (1) Vaibhāsika and (2) Sautrāntika. The Mahāyāna sect of the Buddhists founded by Kaniska established two other schools of philosophy, viz., the (3) Mādhyamika and Yogācāra. So there were altogether four schools of philosophy, two of the Hinayana and two of the Mahayana.1

21. Vaibhāsika was a later appellation of the philosophy of the Sarvāstivāda (Pali : Sabbatthivāda) sect² who, as their name implies, admitted the reality of the world-internal and external. The fundamental philosophical work of this sect is Kātyāyani-putra's Abhidharmajñāna-prasthāna-sāstra,3 or simply Jnana-prasthana-śastra, composed 300 years after the nirvana of Buddha. The next work of this sect is the Abhidharma-mahāvibhāsā-sāstra 4 or simply Vibhāsā, compiled at the council of Kaniska about 78 A.D. It is from this Vibhāsāthat the name Vaibhasika b was derived. Vibhasa means " commentary " and the Vaibhāsika philosophy seems to have been so called because it was based on the commentaries rather than on the original texts of the teachings of Buddha. Sanghabhadra's Nyāyānusāra-śāstra,6 otherwise called Kosa-kārakaśāstra, composed about 489 A.D.,7 is a most learned work of the Vaibhasika philosophy.

part III, p. 18; Takakusu's I-tsing, pp. xxiii, xxiv and xxv; Rhys Davids' article in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, 1891, p. 411, and 1892, pp. 1-37; Rockhill's Buddha, p. 181 f; Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 270-274; and Wijesimha's Mahāvamsa, part I, Chapter V, p. 15. I Vide Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol. I, pp. 121,

139 n ; and Takakusu's I-tsing, p. xxii.

² Vide Takakusu's I-tsing, p. xxi. The Arya Sammitiyas, at any rate their subclass called the Vätsiputriyas, were also followers of the Vaibhāşika philosophy. The Hindu philosopher Vācaspati Miśra in his Nyāya vārtika-tātparyatikā 3-1-1 quotes the opinions of the Vaibhāsikas who were called Vätsiputras.

³ This work exists in Chinese and Tibetan: vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, nos. 1273, 1275.

4 This work, too, exists in Chinese and Tibetan : vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, nos. 1263, 1264.

5 Compare the explanation of Vaibhasika given by the Hindu philosopher Madhavacarya in his Sarvadarsana-samgraha, chapter on Bauddha-daršana, translated by Cowell and Gough, second edition, p. 24. Vide also Satis Chandra Vidyābhūsana's "Mādhyamika School" in the

Journal of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta for 1895, part II, p. 4. ⁶ This work exists in Chinese and Tibetan; *vide* Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, no. 1265.

7 Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Appendix II, no. 95. For Sangha-bhadra, vide also Hwen-thsang's Travel in Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol. I, pp. 193-194.

MENTION OF LOGIC IN THEIR WORKS.

GOVERNMEN

The name Sautrantika 1 was derived from Sutranta, 22. called in Pali Suttanta, meaning "original text." The Sautrantika philosophy seems to have been so called because it was based on the original text of the teachings of Buddha rather than on the commentaries thereon. The text on which the Sautrantika philosophy was based belonged to the sect of Arva Sthaviras, called in Pali Theras, who held the First Council in 543 B.C., and possibly also to the sect of the Mahāsāmghikas² who were the first dissenters in 443 B.C. The philosophical principles of this school are said to have been formulated in Kāśmīra ³ during the reign of Kaniska about 78 A.D. by a sage named Dharmottara or Uttara-dharma." But the Chinese pilgrim Hwen-thsang, who visited India early in the 7th century A. D., states that the renowned teacher Kumāralabdha⁵ of Taksasilā (Taxila in the Punjab) was the founder of the Sautrantika school and wrote several very valuable treatises on it. He is supposed to have lived about 300 A.D. as he was a contemporary of Nagarjuna (q. v.), Arya Deva (q. v.) and Asvaghosa. There was another very famous teacher named S'rilabdha 6 who wrote Vibhasa-sastra (or commentary on a work) of the Sautrantika school. Hwen-thsang saw in Ayodhvā the ruins of a Sanghārāma where Srilabdha resided.

MENTION OF LOGIC IN THE WORKS OF THESE SCHOOLS.

23. As none of the old works belonging to the Vaibhāsika or Sautrāntika school has yet become accessible to us, I cannot state whether there is any mention of Logic in those works. But there are ample references to Logic in the works of the Madhuamika and Yogācāra schools, short accounts of which are given below.

¹ Compare the explanation of the term Sautrantika given by the Hindu philosopher Mādhavācārya in the Sarvadaršana-samgraha, chapter on Bauddha-daršana, translated by Cowell and Gough, second edition, p. 26. Vide also Satis Chandra Vidyābhūsana's "Mādhyamika School" in the Journal of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta for 1895, part II, p. 4.

Vide Rhys Davids' Buddhist India, p. 168, and Beal's Fahian and Sungyun, p. 143.

 ² Vide Watters "On Yuan Chwang," vol. II, p. 161.
 ³ Vide the Journal of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta, vol. I, part III, pp. 18, 19; and Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von

Schiefner, p. 59. ⁴ For the Dhammuttariya sect, vide Wassilief's Buddhism, p. 233; and Mahavamsa, part I, chapter V, p. 15. Wijesimha's foot-note.

⁵ Vide Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol. II, p. 302; and Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 78, where Kumāra-lābha stands for Kumāra-labdha.

^d Vide Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol. I, pp. 225. 226; and Tirānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 67.

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. I.

ARYA NAGARJUNA (ABOUT 300 A.D.).

24. The name $M\bar{a}dhyamika$ was derived from madhyama, meaning the middle. The $M\bar{a}dhyamika$ philosophy was so called because it avoided two extremes, *i.e.*, advocated neither the theory of absolute reality, nor that of total unreality, of the world, but chose a *middle path*,¹ inculcating that the world had only a conditional existence. The founder of this school was Nāgārjuna or rather Ārya Nāgārjuna, who was born at Vidarbha (modern Berar) in Mahākośala,⁸ during the reign of King Sadvāha or Sātavāha³ [of the Andhra dynasty].⁴ He passed many of his days in meditation in a cave-dwelling of the S'rī-parvata,⁵ that bordered on the river Krishnā. He was a pupil of S'araha and is said to have converted a powerful king, named Bhoja Deva,⁶ to Buddhism.

1 Compare-

68

णतो भावाभावान्नद्वयरहितलात् सर्वखभावानुग्धतिखचणा ग्रून्यता मध्यमा प्रतिपन्मध्यमी मार्ग इत्यच्चवे॥

----Mādhyamikā Vrtti published by the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta, chapter XXIV, p. 185.

Compare the explanation of the term Mādhyamika given by the Hindu philosopher Mādhavācīrya, in the Sarvadaršana-samgraha, chapter on Bauddha daršana, translated by Cowell and Gough, second edition, p. 24; and also Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's History of the Mādhyamika Philosophy of Nāgārjuna in the Journal of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta, 1897, part IV, pp. 7-20. ⁸ Vide Hwen-thsang's Travel in Beal's Buddhist Records of the

³ Vide Hwen-thsang's Travel in Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol. II, Book VIII, p. 97, and Book X, p. 210; Watters' "On Yuan Chwang," vol. II, pp. 201-202; and Wassiljew quoted by Schiefner in the Geschichte des Buddhismus, p. 301.

³ Sadvaha is the same as Satavaha, which is a general name of the kings of the Andhra dynasty.—*Vide* Dr. R. G. Bhandarkar's Early History of the Dekkan, second edition, pp. 25-37.

Nagarjuna wrote an instructive letter to Sātavāha[na], whose private name in Chinese was Sh'-yen-töh-cia. This letter is called Ārya Nāgārjuna Bodhisattva Suhrllekha. It was translated into Chinese in 434 A.D. An English translation of this letter has appeared in the Journal of the Pali Text Society of London, 1883, pp. 71-75. 4 The Andhra kings ruled the northern portion of the Madras Presi-

⁴ The Andhra kings ruled the northern portion of the Madras Presidency and the whole of Kalinga, and overthrew the Kanva dynasty in northern India about 31 B.C. They remained powerful up to 436 A.D. They were Buddhists, and it was by them that the magnificent marble stūpa at Amarīvatī was erected.—*Vide* Sewell's Lists of Antiquities in Madras, vol. II, pp. 141-146.

⁵ For an account of Sri-parvata or Sri-saila see Hwen-thsang's Life, Introduction, p. xi, by Beal; Tarānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 84; Wilson's Mālatī-Mādhava, act I; and Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's Notes on Batnāvalī, pp. 27-29.

⁶ Vide Täränätha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 66, 69-73.

ĀRYA NĀGĀRJUNA.

25. Nagarjuna is said to have lived four hundred years¹ after the nirvana of Buddha, that is, in 33 B.C. But he does not appear to me to have so early a date as he was one of the early patrons or founders of the university of Nalanda,2 which had not, perhaps, come into existence in the 1st century B.C., and was insignificant³ even at 399 A.D., when the Chinese pilgrim Fa-hian came to visit India. Nagarjuna is stated by Lama Tārānātha to have been a contemporary of King Nemi Candra, who is supposed to have reigned about 300 A.D.* The

¹ It is prophesied in the Mañju-śri-mūla-tantra (called in Tibetan Hjam-dpal-rtsa-rgyud) that :---



(Quoted in the Introduction to Sesrab-sdon-bu published in Calcutta).

"Four hundred years after Buddha's departure from the world there will appear a Bhiksu, named Nāgārjuna, who will do good to the believers in the doctrine."

It should be noted that according to some books of Tibet, Buddha was born in 514 B.C., lived 81 years and attained nirvana in 433 B.C. Nāgārjuna, who was born 400 years after the nirvāņa, must, at this calculation, be placed in 33 B.C.

² Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 66, 69-73.

³ Fahian describes Nālandā as a more village Nā-lo: vide Beal's Travels of Fahian and Sungyun, p. 111. ⁴ According to Lama Tārānātha, Nāgārjuna was a contemporary of

King Nemi Candra, whose genealogy is thus traced :---



The six kings, beginning with Aksa Candra to Sala Candra, are stated to have been weak and insignificant, while Candra Gupta, the seventh king,

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. I.

GOVERNIE

70

latest date that can be assigned to Nāgārjuna is 401 A.D.,¹ when his biography was translated into Chinese by Kumārajiva.

26. Nāgārjuna's Mādhyamika-kārikā is the first work of the Mādhyamika philosophy. In it he has occasionally referred to certain technicalities of Logic, such as the fallacy of Sādhyasama² (petitio principii) in chapter IV. He was the author of several other works³ on the Mādhyamika philosophy, such as the (1) Yukti-ṣaṣṭikā kārikā or sixty memorial verses on argumentation, (2) Vigraha-vyavartani kārikā, or memorial verses on conquering disputes, and (3) Vigraha-vyavartanī vŗtti or a commentary on the Vigraha-vyavartani kārikā.⁴ In these works he has, as the titles indicate, largely employed the methods of Logic ⁵ to establish the abstruse conclusions of the Mādhyamika philosophy.

ÁRYA DEVA (ABOUT 320 A.D.).

27. Deva⁶ or rather Arya Deva was the next writer on the $M\bar{a}dhyamika$ philosophy. He is otherwise known as Karnaripa,

is described as having been very powerful. This Candra Gupta, who "did not take refuge in Buddha," may be the same who founded the Gupta era in 319 A.D. The reigns of his predecessors were very short. Nemi Candra may be assigned to about 300 A.D.—Cf. Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 80-83.

¹ Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Appendix I, No. 3.

² The Madhyamika-kārikā with the vrtti of Candra Kīrti has been published by the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta; the work is being republished in the St, Petersburg Buddhist Text series under the editorship of Professor De La Vallee Poussin. The following verse refers to the fallacy of Sādhyasama :---

विग्रई यः परीहारं छते ग्रून्यतया वदेत् ।

सर्व' तस्यापरिइतं समं साधेन जायते ॥

(Madhyamika-kārikā, chapter IV).

⁸ For an account of some of the works on the Tantra by Nagārjuna, wide Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's Introduction to the Sragdharāstotra in the "Bibliotheca Indica" series. For the latest researches in the medical works of Nāgārjuna, see Dr. Palmyr Cordier's "Introduction A L'Etude des Traites Medicaux Sanscritas" printed in Hanoi, 1903; and

for his hymns such as unital gas, actual etc., vide Tangyur, Bstodpa, vol. Ka.

⁴ For an account of these works, *vide* the article "Indian Logic as preserved in Tibet, No. 3," by Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana in the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, new series, vol. III, No. 7, 1907. For the philosophical works of Nagārjuna, see Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripiţaka, Appendix I, no. 3.

⁶ The Nyāya-dvāra-tarka-fāstra, as noticed in Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Nos. 1223, 1224, is not a work of Nāgārjuna but of Dignāga.

⁶ Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Appendix

LOGIC OF THE YOGACARA SCHOOL.

Kāņa Deva, Nila-netra and Pingala-netra. He was born in Southern India and was an eminent disciple of Nāgārjuna. According to Hwen-thsang,' he visited the countries of Mahākošala, Srughna, Prayāga, Coļa and Vaišālī, in all of which he won great renown by defeating the Tīrthikas and preaching the true doctrines of Buddha. According to Lama Tārānātha,³ Deva resided for a long time in Nālandā, where he was a Paņdita. He flourished during the reign of Candra Gupta, whose date is supposed to be about 320 A.D.³ The latest date that can be assigned to Deva is 401 A.D.,⁴ when his biography was translated into Chinese by Kumārajīva. He wrote numerous works on the *Mādhyamika* philosophy, such as the **Šataka-šāstra**, Bhrama-pramathana-yukti-hetu-siddhi,⁵ etc., all of which bear evidences of his knowledge of Logic.

LOGIC OF THE YOGACARA SCHOOL (ABOUT 300-500 A.D.).

28. The word Yogācāra is compounded of yoga meaning 'meditation' and $\bar{a}c\bar{a}ra$ meaning 'practice.' The Yogācāra 'or the contemplative system was so called because it emphasised the practice of meditation as means of attaining Bhūmis' or the seventeen stages of Buddhistic Perfection. The chief dogma established in it is ālaya-vijñāna,⁸ the basis of conscious states,

I, No. 4; and Watters' "On Yuan Chwang," vol. I, p. 321, vol. II, pp. 225-226.

1 Vide Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol. I, Book IV, pp. 186-190, Book V, p. 231; vol. II, Book X, pp. 210, 227, Book XII, p. 302, Book VIII, pp. 98-102.

² Vide Lama Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 83-86 and 93.

3 Vide foot-note 4, p. 69.

GOVERNINEN

MINISTRY OF

⁴ Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Appendix I, No. 4.

⁵ Vide Satis Chandra Vidyabhüsana's "Indian Logic as preserved in Tibet No. 3" in the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, new series, vol. III, No. 7, 1907.

⁶ The Yogācāra philosophy is generally known in China, Tibet and Nepal as Yogācārga. For an account of this system, vide Watters' "On Yuan Chwang," vol. I, p. 356; Dr. Schlagintweit's Buddhism quoted in the Journal of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta for 1895, part II, Appendix IV.

Compare explanation of the word Yogäcära given by the Hindu Philosopher Mādhavācārya in the Sarvadarsana-saingraha, chapter on Bauddha darsana, translated by Cowell and Gough, second edition, p. 24. Vide also Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's "The Mādhyamika School" in the Journal of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta, 1895, part 11, p. 4.

7 Vide Dharma samgraha, LXIV and LXV, edited by Max Müller and Wenzel.

8 For an explanation of *dlaya-vijňāna*, see Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's note on p. 2 of the Laňkāvatāra Sūtra, Calcutta Buddhist Text Society's edition, and also see p. 45 of the same work.

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. I.

72

which is the same as our 'ego' or 'soul.' It is not known who was the founder of the $Yog\bar{a}c\bar{a}ra$ school, but in the Tibetan and Chinese books the Lankāvatāra Sūtra, Mahāsamaya Sūtra, Bodhisattva-caryā-nirdeśa and the Sapta-daśabhūmi-śāstrayogācārya have been named as the prominent old works of the system.¹

THE Lankāvatāra Sūtra (ABOUT 300 A.D.).

29. The Lankāvatāra Sūtra² is a very sacred work as it is one of the Nava Dharmas. The exact date of it is unknown, beyond the fact that it was translated into Chinese in 443 A.D.⁸ The approximate date seems to be 300 A.D., for, it existed at or before the time of Ārya Deva who mentions it.⁴ This work speaks in a prophetic style of the Naiyāyikas (dialecticians) and Tārkikas (logicians). Thus in chapter II of the work Mahāmati asks Buddha :—

"Say how in the time to come Naiyāyikas will flourish ?"5

¹ Vide Section Mdo of the Tangyur; Lama Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 111 f; Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Appendix I, No. 1; Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol. I, p. 226, vol. II, pp. 220, 275; and Watters' "On Yuan Chwang," vol. I, p. 371.

² The Sanskrit original of this work is being published by the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta under the editorship of Rai Sarat Chandra Das, C.I.E., and Dr. Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana. The work also exists in Chinese and Tibetan. Hwen-th-rang mentions the Lankāvatāra, *vide* Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, Book XI, p. 251.

Vide an account of the Lankāvatāra Sūtra by Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, 1906.

³ Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catologue of the Chinese Tripitaka, nos. 175, 176 and 177.

⁴ Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, nos. 1259, 1260 and Appendix I, no. 4.

⁵ The Sanskrit original runs as follows :---

नेयायिकाः कर्ण प्रदि भविद्यनि खनागताः ।

(Lankāvatāra Sūtra, Asiatic Society of Bengal's MSS., chapter II, leaf 11).

The Tibetan version runs thus :---

র্থন রমরা দুদেই মৃনুম।

अ'र्देदस''र्स'र्'देन्द्रिट'न'मुक्षुदस् ।।

(Kangyur, Mdo, vol. V, Asiatic Society of Bengal's xylograph).



MAITREYA.

"How is *tarka* (reasoning or argumentation) corrected, and how is it carried on ?"

Again in chapter X of the work we read :--

MINISTRY

"Whatever is produced is destructible: this is the conclusion of the *Tārkikas*."²

MAITREYA (ABOUT 400 A.D.).

30. The date of the Mahāsamaya-sūtra⁵ is not known. The Bodhisattva-caryā-nirdeśa was translated into Chinese during 414-421 A.D. and the Sapta-daśa-bhūmi-śāstra-yogācārya in 646-647 A.D. The author of these two works was Maitreya⁴ (called in Chineše 'Mirok'), who lived 900 years after the nirvāṇa of Buddha, that is about 400 A.D.⁵ He is reported by Hwen-thsang to have communicated the materials of three Buddhist treatises to Ārya Asaṅga while the latter was residing in a monastery in Ayodhyā.⁶ In the Sapta-daśa-bhūmi-śāstrayogācārya⁷ Maitreya has discussed certain topics of Logic, a

कथं दि ग्राध्यते नर्कः कथं नर्कः प्रवर्त्तते । (Lankāvatāra Sūtra, chapter II, leaf 11, A.S.B. MSS.)

हेः अरुः हेनानोः इसः नमा वमुरु। हेनानोः डेप्शे ख्वरः रु. वमुरः ॥

(Kangyur, Mdo, vol. V.)

छतकस्य विनागः स्थात् ताकिकाणामयं नथः ।

(Lankāvatāra Sūtra, chapter X, leaf 143, A.S.B. MSS.)

अक्षानात्त्रस्य स्वरायमा वहीमा समावनुमा। वरी वे हेमा मो उन मी र्खया।

(Kangyur, Mdo, vol. V.)

³ Vide Dr. Schlagintweit's Buddhism quoted in the Journal of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta, 1895, part II, Appendix IV, p. 16.

⁴ Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Appendix I, No. <u>1.</u>

⁵ Vide "Hindu Logic as preserved in China and Japan," by Dr. Sugiura, p. 30.

⁶ Vide Watters' "On Yuan Chwang," Vol. I, pp. 355—56. The three treatises are :--Saptadaśabhūmiśāstra-yogācārya, Sūtrālankāratīkā and Madhyānta vibhāga śāstra.

⁷ It is perhaps this work which is called Yoga by Dr. Sugiura, vide its Chinese version Yuka Ron, Bock XV.

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. I.

short account of which is given below from the researches of Dr. Sugiura.1

31. Maitreya mainly discussed the practical questions of Logic as is evident from the titles of some of the chapters of his work, viz.: (1) Of Kinds of Debate, (2) Of Occasions of Debate, (3) Of the Attributes of the Debator, (4) Of Defeat, etc. But occasionally there was mixed in with the discussions some Pure Logic too. A thesis [pratijña], according to Maitreya, is to be supported by a reason [hetu] and two examples [drstanta]. Validity of the reason and of the examples requires that they be based either (1) on fact [pratyaksa], (2) on another inference [anumana], or (3) on holy saying [agama]. Analogy or Comparison [upamāna] is omitted. The form of reasoning is illustrated. as follows :--

- 1. Sound is non-eternal.
- 2. Because it is a product,
- 3. Like a pot, but not like ether [ākāśa],
- 4. A product like a pot is non-eternal,
- 5. Whereas, an eternal thing like ether is not a product.

ARYA ASANGA (ABOUT 450 A.D.).

32. Asanga,² called in Chinese Mucak, was born in Gandhara (modern Peshwar). He was at first an adherent of the Mahiśasaka³ sect and followed the Vaibhasika philosophy of the Hinayana. Later on he became a disciple of Maitreya and adopted the Yogācāra philosophy of the Mahāyāna. He is said to have lived for some years as a pandita in Nālandā.4 He lived about 450 A.D.^b The latest date that can be assigned to him is 531 A.D.,6 when one of his works, called the Mahayana-samparigraha-sāstra, was translated into Chinese. Hwen-thsang in the 7th century A.D. saw the ruins of Sanghārāmas in Kauśāmbi and Ayodhya, where Asanga resided for some years.7 He wrote 12 works, most of which still exist in Chinese and Tibetan versions.8

- J Vide "Hindu Logic as preserved in China and Japan," p. 30.
- ² Vide Hwen-thsang's Travel in Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, Vol. I, pp. 98, 227 and 236. ³ Vide Watters' "On Yuan Chwang," Vol. I, p. 357.

 - ⁴ Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 122.

⁶ Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Appendix I, No. 5.

7 See Beal's Buddhist Records, Vol. I, pp. 98, 227, 236.

⁸ Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Appendix I, no. 5.

⁵ Asanga is approximately placed at 450 A.D. as he was the eldest-brother of Vasubandhu (q.v.) who lived about 480 A.D.



VASUBANDHU.

33. Dr. Sugiura¹ has ascertained from Chinese sources that Asanga treated Logic in the tenth volume of Genyo, in which he simply reproduced the conclusions of his master Maitreya, and also in the sixteen volumes of Zaschuh, in which he showed a slight originality. Asanga's form of reasoning, which is somewhat different from Maitreya's, is given below :---

- 1. Sound is non-eternal,
- 2. Because it is a product,
- 3. Like a pot (but not like ether) ;
- 4. Because a pot is a product it is non-eternal; so is sound, as it is a product :
- 5. Therefore we know sound is non-eternal.

Here we find that Asanga made some improvement on the form of syllogism adopted in the Logic of his master. The basis of Maitreya's inference, so far as it related to the connection between "produced-ness" and "non-eternality," was a mere analogy founded upon a single instance. This connection (between "produced-ness" and "non-eternality" in the case of the pot) might be accidental. Asanga emphasised the essential connection between "produced-ness" and "non-eternality" by saying "Because a pot is a product, it is non-eternal." In so doing he appealed not merely to an instance but to a law.

VASUBANDHU (ABOUT 480 A.D.).

34. Vasubandhu,² called in Chinese Seish, was born in Gandhara (modern Peshwar), where a tablet to his memory was seen by Hwen-thsang in the 7th century A.D. His father's name was Kauśika. He began his career as a Vaibhāsika philosopher of the Sarvāstivāda sect, but was later converted by his eldest brother Asanga to the Yogācāra school of the Mahāyāna. He passed many years of his life in Sākala, Kauśāmbi and Ayodhyā, in the last of which places he died at the age of eighty years. He was a friend of Manoratha, a master of the Vaibhāsika Sastra, who flourished in the middle of the thousand years after the nirvana of Buddha, that is before 500 A.D. He was a contemporary of another Vaibhasika

The original Sanskrit text of Asanga's Vajracchedikā has been pub-lished in the Anecdota Oxoniensia edited by Professor Max Müller. ¹ Vide "Hindu Logie as preserved in China and Japan" by Dr.

Sugiura, p. 31.

² Vide Hwen-thsang s Travel in Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, Vol. I, pp. 98, 105, 172, 193, 225, 236; and Watters' "On Yuan Chwang," Vol. I, p. 210.

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. I.

GOVERNIEN

10

teacher, named Saöghabhadra, who lived about 489 A.D.¹ So we may approximately fix the date of Vasubandhu at about 480 A.D. His biography² was translated from Sanskrit into Chinese during 557-569 A.D. Vasubandhu was the author of a large number of very valuable works ³ including the Tarkaśāstra, which consists of three chapters and is perhaps the first regular Buddhist work on Logic. This work was translated into Chinese in 550 A.D. The Chinese version still exists, while the Sanskrit original has been long lost. The work appears to have been translated into Tibetan too, but my persistent efforts to discover the Tibetan version were unsuccessful.

35. Dr. Sugiura ⁴ from Chinese sources has ascertained that in the 7th century A.D., while Hwen-thsang was in India, he saw three other books on Logic attributed to Vasubandhu, which are called in Chinese Ronki, Ronshiki and Ronshin, respectively. In the Ronki, quoted by Kwei-ke, Vasubandhu maintained that a thesis can be proved by two propositions only, and that, therefore, the necessary parts in a syllogistic inference are only three ⁵ (i.e. paksa or minor term, sādhya or major term

¹ Sanghabhadra translated Vibhāşā-vinaya into Chinese in 489 A.D. Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripițaka, Appendix II, No. 95.

Mr. Takakusu, in a very learned article on Paramārtha's Life of Vasubandhu and the date of Vasubandhu published in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, January 1905, says that Sanghabhadra, contemporary of Vasubandhu, was the translator of the Samantapāsādikā of Buddhaghoşa into Chinese in 488 A.D.

² Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Appendix I, No. 6. The statement that there was an older translation of the life of Vasubandhu by Kumārajīva A.D. 401-409 but that it was lost in 730 A.D., cannot be accepted without further testimony. Takakusu says that "some Catalogues mention by mistake that such a work was then in existence": vide Journal of the Royal Asiate Society of Great Britain and Ireland, January 1905, p. 39.

8 Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripițaka, Appendix I, No. 6.

4 Vide Dr. Sugiura's "Hindu Logic as preserved in China and Japan," p. 32.

⁶ The Jaina logician Siddhasena Divākara probably refers to Vasubandhu, when he says that according to some logicians antarvyāpti (internal inseparable connection) consisting of paksa or minor term, sādhya or major term and hetu or middle term is quite enough in establishing a thesis, and that dṛstānta or example is altogether useless. Siddhasena Divākara writes :--

चनायांप्रित साधसा सिदेवेदिबदाइतिः । व्यर्था साधदसद्भावेऽघोवं न्यायविदा विदुः ॥ १० ॥

(Nyāyāvatāra of Siddhasena Divākara, edited by Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana and published by the Indian Research Society of Calcutta).



VASUBANDHU.



and *hetu* or middle term). But it is to be regretted that these three logical works are lost, and we cannot know how far the theory of syllogism was developed in them. The work in Chinese from which alone we can know anything of his Logic is his polemic against heresies (Nyojits-ron).¹ In this book he gives the following form of reasoning :—

- 1. Sound is non-eternal,
- 2. Because it is a product of a cause,
- 3. Things produced by a cause are non-eternal like a pot, which is produced by a cause and is non-eternal;
- 4. Sound is an instance of this (kind),
- 5. Therefore sound is non-eternal.

1 Is this the same work as the Tarka-śāstra already referred to ?

SL

CHAPTER II.

SYSTEMATIC BUDDHIST WRITERS ON LOGIC (500-1200 A.D.).

LOGIC DISTINGUISHED FROM PHILOSOPHY.

36. In the previous chapter we have seen that from the origin of Buddhism in the 6th century B.C. to its expansion into four philosophical schools in the 4th century A.D., there were no systematic Buddhist works on Logic, but only a few stray references to that science in the works on philosophy and religion. During 400—500 A.D., Maitreya, Asaiga and Vasubandhu handled Logic, but their treatment of it was merely incidental, being mixed up with the problems of the Yogācāra and Vasubandhu handled Logic mentioned by Hwen-thsang are now lost and consequently their merits cannot be judged. With 500 A.D. began a period when Logic was completely differentiated from general philosophy, and a large number of Buddhist writers gave their undivided attention to that branch of learning. Dignāga is the earliest known writer of this period.

37. ACARYA DIGNAGA-FATHER OF MEDIÆVAL LOGIC.

[Flourished in Andhra, modern Telingāna in the Madras Presidency, about 500 A.D.]

The likeness of Dignäga reproduced in the next page is taken from the Tibetan Tangyur (Mdo, Ce, folio 1) which was put in its present form by the celebrated Lama Bu-ston, who passed the last days of his life at the monastery of Sha-lu, twelve miles south-east of Tashi-lhun-po. Bu-ston, who lived early in the fourteenth century A.D.,² must have copied the likeness from some earlier specimen, which was taken to Tibet during her intercourse with India between 600 A.D. and 1200 A.D.

A peculiarity of this likeness is its cap. In the early Buddhist Church monks were not allowed to wear any head-dress (vide the Pātimokkha rules of the Vinaya Pitaka). With the introduction of Mahāyāna in the first century A.D. by Kanişka, a great change was effected in the dress of monks, and caps of various shapes were invented. The hat worn here is called Panchen-shwa-dmar⁸ or "Pandita's red cap," with a pointed

¹ Vide Book II, Chapter I, under the head "Vasubandhu."

² Vide Sarat Chandra Das's Tibetan Dictionary, p. 870.

³ Vide Waddell's Lamaism, pp. 194-196.





79

ACARYA DIGNAGA.

peak and long lappets. The lappets of the cap were lengthened in proportion to the rank of the wearer.

It is not known when the "Pandita's cap" was first introduced. It is said to have been taken to Tibet in 749 A.D. by Sānta Bakşita. "Pandita" was a degree which was conferred by the Vikramaśilā University on its successful candidates. It is not known what title the Uni-



म्झून्द्रमुर्ग्सर्रे हेमहिम। ह्रॅनर्न्द्रे सुमाराम्नाराभावर्ग्स ।।

versity of Nālandā conferred on its distinguished students. Perhaps in that university, too, the title "Pandita" was recognised, and "Pandita's cap" was possibly a distinctive badge of the scholars of that famous university where Dignāga distinguished himself in 'philosophical controversies.

The woollen shawl worn here is indicative of the fact that after Bud-

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

dhism had spread into cold climes, monks like Brahmanic sages were allowed to put on suitable warm clothes. There is also in the palms of the image a thunderbolt called in Sanskrit *Vajra* and in Tibetan *Dorje*, which is a remover of all evils. The halo round the head of the image indicates that Dignaga was a saint.

LIFE OF DIGNAGA (ABOUT 500 A.D.).

38. Dignāga or rather Ācārya Dignāga is called in Tibetan Phyogs-glan. He was born in a Brähmana family in Simhavaktra near Kāñci, modern Conjeeveram in the Madras Presidency. By Nāgadatta, a Pandita of the Vātsiputrīya sect, he was admitted to the religious system of that sect and attained erudition in the Tripitaka of the Hinayana. Afterwards he became a disciple of Acarya Vasubandhu with whom he studied all the Pitakas of the Mahayana and Hinayana. He miraculously saw the face of Manjuśri, the Buddhist god of learning, from whom he received inspiration in the Law (Dharma). A few years later he was invited to Nālandā (Vide appendix A) where he defeated Brāhmana Sudurjava and other Tīrtha dialecticians and won them to the doctrine of Buddha. Since he had refuted chiefly the Tirtha controversialists he was called the "Bull in discussion" (Sanskrit : Tarkapungava, and Tibetan : Rtsodpahi-khyu-mchog). He travelled through Orissa and Mahārāstra to the south, meeting the Tirtha controversialists in discussions. At Mahārāstra he is said to have resided frequently in the Acāra's Monastery.² At Orissa he converted Bhadra Pālita, Treasury-minister of the king of the country, to Buddhism. He was a man of vast learning and wisdom, and practised during his life-time twelve tested virtues. He is said to have died in a solitary wood in Orissa.

39. Dignāga must have lived before 557-569 A.D.³ when two of his works were translated into Chinese. The early limit

1 This account of Dignaga is taken from Lama Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 130-135. Lama Taranatha also relates that Dignaga frequently resided in Orissa in a cavern of a mountain called Bhorasilā where he used to give himself up to contemplation. He was specially versed in incantation formulas. It is stated that the stem of a myrobalan tree called Mustiharitaki in the garden of Bhadra Palita in Orissa entirely withered, but it revived in seven days after Dignaga had uttered incantation for its restoration. For a fuller account of Dignaga vide Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's "Dignaga and his Pramana-samuccaya" in the Journal of the Asiatic

Bociety of Bengal, Vol I, No. 9, 1905.
2 Vide Watters' On Yuan Chwang, Vol. II, p. 122.
3 Vide Bynyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, App. 1, No. 10, where Dignäga is called Jina. The Chinese name of Dignäga has been wrongly rendered as Jina by Japanese writers as well as Rev. Beal.

81





40. We have already seen that Dignāga travelled in Nālandā, Orissa, Mahārāstra and Daksina (Madras) entering everywhere into disputes with controversialists. He attacked his opponents as frequently as he was attacked by them. His whole life was passed in giving blows and receiving counterblows. On account of this love of discussion he was, during his life-time, called the "Bull in discussion" (Tarka-pungava).8 Even his death did not terminate the great intellectual war in which he had been engaged: though he could no longer offer any violence, his opponents continued to fall upon him with force. Mark the volleys on his dead body coming from no mean warriors ! Kālidāsa,3 the prince of poets, warns his poem to avoid the "rugged hand" (sthula-hasta) of Dignaga. Udyotakara,4 the eminent logician, calls Dignāga "a quibbler" (Kutārkika). Vācaspati Miśra 5 describes him as "an erring one" (bhranta) and speaks of his " blunders " (bhranti). Mallinatha 6 compares him with a "rock" (adrikalpa). Kumārila Bhatta and Pārtha-sārathi Miśra⁷ turn their arrows against him. The

¹ On the downfall of the Andhras in 436 A.D., the Pallavas rose to power. They were in their turn driven out of their northern possessions, the kingdom of Vengi, by Kubja Visnuvardhana of the Eastern Chalukya dynasty. During 552-580 A.D., Kāñcī, the capital of the Pallava kings, was captured by Vikramāditya I. of the Western Chalukya dynasty. Vide Sewell's Lists of Antiquities, Madras, Vol. II, pp. 141,146,148, 149 and 211, 212. ² Vide Lama Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner,

p. 134.

³ Vide Meghadūta, Pūrva-megha, verse 14.

4 यदचपादः प्रवरो सनीनां

श्माय शाखं जगती जगाद।

कतार्थिकाञ्चावनिष्टतिहेतः

करिषाते तस्य सचा निवन्धः॥

Udyotakara's Nyāya-vārtika, Introductory stanza, p. 1, in the Biblio-

theca Indica series. Compare also Nyāya-vārtika, 1-1-4, pp. 43—44; 1-1-5, p. 52; 1-1-6, pp. 60—61; 1-1-7, p. 63, etc. ⁵ Vide Vācaspati Mišra's Nyāya-vārtika-tātparya-tīkā, edited by Gan-gadhara Sastri, 1-1-1, pp. 1, 31; 1-1-4, pp. 76—77, 97—98, 102; 1-1-5, p. 102; 1-1-6, p. 135, etc.

6 Mallinātha's commentary on verse 14 of the Meghadūta, Pūrva-megha. 7 Vide Partha-sarathi's gloss on 59-60, Anumanapariccheda of Kumarila Bhatta's vārtika on the 5th Sūtra of Jaimini.





BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

Vedāntins and Jainas¹ were not inactive in their hostility. Even Dharmakīrti³ the Buddhist sage attempted to oppose him. Dignāga must have been a very strongly built man, both physically and mentally, otherwise he could hardly have lived for a single day under assaults from so many sides. Those of his works which still exist enable us somehow to measure his strength and his weakness.

DIGNAGA'S Pramana-samuecaya.3

41. The Pramāņa-samuccaya is one of the grandest literary monuments of Dignāga. It is said to have been composed while he was residing on a solitary hill near Vengi in Andhra⁴ (modern Telingāna) in the Madras Presidency. Seeing

¹ Vide the works of Prabhācandra and Vidyānanda referred to in the J.B.B.R.A.S., Vol. XVIII, p. 229. The Digambara Jaina logician Dharmabhūşaṇa, in controverting the Vaiśeṣika doctrine of Sāmānya, generality, quotes in support of his own conclusion the following verse of Dignāga:---

न याति न च तत्रासे न पद्मादसि नांभवत् । जद्यति पूर्व्व वाधारमची व्यसनसन्ततिः ॥ इति दिग्लागदूषितदूषणगणप्रसरप्रसङ्गात्

(Quoted in Dharmabhūşaņa's Nyāyadīpikā, Chap. III).

The same verse has been quoted in a little altered form by the Hindu philosopher Mādhavācārya as follows :---

न याति न च तवासीदस्ति पद्यान्नचां ग्वन्।

जहाति पूर्व्व नाधारमहो व्यसनसन्तिः॥

(Sarvadaríana samgraha, chapter on Bauddhadaríana).

² Vide the head " Dharmakirti " which follows.

⁸ The account of the composition of the Pramāņa-samuccaya given here is taken from Lama Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 132, 133; and the Tibetan Pag-sam-jon-zang edited by Rai Sarat Chandra Das, Bahadur, C.I.E., pp. 62, 75, 100 and LXVII. ⁴ Vide Hwen-thsang's Travel in Beal's Buddhist Records of the

⁴ Vide Hwen-thsang's. Travel in Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, Vol. II, pp. 218, 219 and 220 where the Chinese term for Dignäga is wrongly rendered as Jina. Hwen-thsang gives the following account of the composition of the Pramāṇa-samuccaya :—⁴⁴ When Dignäga began to compose a useful compendium [presumably the Pramāṇa-samuccaya] for overcoming the difficulties of the Hetuvidyā-šāstra, the mountains and valleys shook and reverberated : the vapour and clouds changed their appearance, and the spirit of the mountain appeared before him, asking him to spread abroad the sāstra (Hetuvidyā). Then the Bodhisattva (Dignāga) caused a bright light to shine and illumine the dark places. Surprised at this wonder, the king of the country (Andhra) came near him and asked whether he was entering into *nirvāṇa*. When the king spoke of the infinite blies of *nirvāṇa* Dignāga resolved to enter into it. Mañ-

DIGNAGA'S PRAMANA-SAMUCCAYA.

that the S'astras on Dialectics written earlier by him remained scattered about, he resolved to collect them. Accordingly, putting together fragments from particular works, he engaged himself in compiling in verse a compendium called the Pramāņasamuccaya. While he was writing the opening lines the earth

Dignāga and Iśvara- . kṛṣṇa.

trembled and all the places were filled with light and a great turnult was

kṛṣṇa. audible. A Brāhmaṇa named Īśvara-kṛṣṇa ' surprised at this wonder came to Ācārya Dignāga, and finding that he had gone out to collect alms, wiped out the words he had written. Dignaga came and rewrote the words and Isvara-krsna wiped them out again. Dignaga wrote them a third time and added: "Let no one wipe this out even in joke or sport, for none should wipe out what is of great importance; if the sense of the expression is not right, and one wishes to dispute on that account, let him appear before me in person." When after Dignaga had gone out to collect alms, the Brahmana again came to wipe out the writings he saw what was added and paused. The Acarya returning from his rounds for meal met the Brähmana: they began controversy, either staking his own doctrine. When he had vanquished the Tirtha (Brāhmana) several times and challenged him to accept the Buddhist doctrine, the Tirtha scattered ashes pronouncing incantations on them, and burnt all the goods of the Acarva that happened to lie before him; and when the Acarya was kept back by fire the Tirtha ran away. Thereupon Dignaga reflected that since he could not work the salvation of this single individual, he would not be able to work that of others. So thinking he was on the point of giving up his purpose of compiling the Pramāna-samuccaya when the Bodhisattva Ārya Mañjuśri miraculously appeared before him in person and said :---

"Son, refrain, refrain : the intellect is infected by arguing with mean persons Please know that when you have demonstrated it this Sästra cannot be injured by the host of Tirthas. I undertake to be your spiritual tutor till you have attained the

juśrī, the god of learning, knowing his purpose was moved with pity. He came to Dignāga and said: "Alas! how have you given up your great purpose, and only fixed your mind on your own personal profit, with narrow aims, giving up the purpose of saving all." Saying this he directed him to explain the Yogācāryabhūmi-sāstra and Hetuvidyā-šāstra. Dignāga receiving these directions, respectfully assented and saluted the saint. Then he gave himself to profound study and explained the Hetuvidyā-šāstra and the Yoga discipline.

1 Isvarakışına here referred to was very probably the author of the Sāmkhya kārikā. GOVERNIN

84

stage of perfection. In later times this śāstra will become the sole eye of all the śāstras."

So saying Mañjuśri disapppeared and Dignāga resumed his work and completed the Pramāņa-samuccaya.

The Pramāna-samuccaya² is a Sanskrit work written 42. in anustubh metre. The Sanskrit original of it is lost but a Tibetan translation still exists. The translation was prepared by an Indian sage named Hema Varma (in Tibetan : Gsergyi-go-cha) and a Tibetan interpreter named Dad-pahi-śes-rab in the monastery of Ses-pahi-dge-gnas. It occupies folios 1-13 of the Tangyur, section Mdo, volume Ce.³ In Tibetan it is called Tshad-mahi-indo-kun-las-btus-pa (=Pramāņa-sūtrasamuccaya) or briefly Tshad-ma-kun-las-btus-pa (=Pramānasamuccaya) signifying a compilation of aphorisms on Pramana, valid knowledge. It begins thus :--- "Bowing down before Sugata-the teacher and protector-who is Pramana incarnate, and benefactor of the world, I, for the sake of expounding Pramāna (valid knowledge), put together here various scattered matters, compiled from my own works." 4 In the closing lines

'मों' सेमा मारेमा ষ্ট্র'মন ব্যার্থ নম্বর ন উমা 53959771

Pag-sam-jon-zang, edited by Rai Sarat Chandra Das, Bahadur, C.I.E., p. 101.

² Probably the same as "The Sāstra on the grouped inferences," *vide* Takakusu's I-tsing, p. 167.

⁸ The volume Ce of the Tangyur, section Mdo, was put at my disposal by the India Office, London, through Mr. Thomas.

र्द्धरम्पुर यत्मा भयदायर मल्रा। हेर्द्रायायरे मानेमास सुँगभा खुमार कंभावसा। कंरास सुग खुरार मामानुद गुदा भया। नर्रुषा रे ह्रार्के मासार हेर दस्र सार देर मारेमा मा। (Tangyur, Mdo, Co, folio 1).



DIGNAGA'S PRAMANA-SAMUCCAYA.

85

it is stated that "Dignāga, the subduer of controversialists in all regions and the possessor of elephantine strength, compiled this from his own works."¹

43. It is divided into six chapters which are named respectively: (1) Perception (Sanskrit: Pratyaksa, Tibetan: Mnonsum); (2) Inference for one's own self (Sanskrit: Svärthänumäna, Tibetan: Ran-don-gyi-rje-dpag); (3) Inference for the sake of others (Sanskrit: Parärthänumäna, Tibetan: Gshan-gyi-dongyi-rje-dpag); (4) Three characteristics of the Middle Term (Sanskrit: Tri-rūpa-hetu, Tibetan: Tshul-sum-gtan-tshigs) and Rejection of Comparison (Sanskrit: Upamäna-khandana, Tibetan. Dpe-dan-dpe-ltar-snan-pa); (5) Rejection of Credible Word or Verbal Testimony (Sanskrit: Sabdänumänaniräsa, Tibetan: Sgrarje-dpag-min); and (6) Parts of a syllogism (Sanskrit: Nyäyävayava, Tibetan: Rigs-pahi-yan-lag).

44. Dignāga does not give any formal definition of Percep-Perception. In the senses of the senses. But he describes Perception as that which is freed from illusory experiences and is unconnected with name, genus, etc.² Suppose a man in the twilight mistakes a rope for a snake : his experience of the snake is merely illusory

ម្មីनास इसस गावन्ती समा गी के भाषा समा का भा बहेमस सम मुद्द मा दि स्ट्रेन स हात्य भा मुनास गी मुद्द में दि मावद गाव स्था महस मा रा मावप्र Moo, Ce, folio 13).

 2 In chapter I of the Pramāņa-samuccaya, Dignāga describes Perception as follows:—

महिन सुमाहेना यान्दा व्राया । सेटान्टा रेम्। राज्या साम्य सामये ।

The Sanskrit equivalents for those two lines are as follows :---

प्रत्यचं कल्पनापीढं बामजात्या धर्मयुतम् ॥

(Pramāņa-samuccaya, chapter I).

The first of these lines has actually been quoted, and Dignäga's whole theory of Perception severely criticised, by the Hindu logician Udyotakara in his Nyāya-vārtika, 1-1-4.

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

and is not, according to Dignāga, an act of Perception. Dignāga contends that Perception is also not connected with name, Suppose I see a cow. This cow, which I see, is a genus, etc. Its infinite peculiarities can only be realised peculiar one. by me who have seen it. If I proceed to indicate this cow to other persons by saying that I saw a cow which is named Dittha or which is red, etc., I can only convey to those persons the idea of a cow of a certain class, that is, a cow possessing the common characteristics of a class of cows, but can never express to them the individual cow which I saw. Hence it follows that (a result of) Perception cannot be properly expressed by name, genus, etc. But very different is the case with inference. Knowledge derived through inference is general, and can be well expressed by name genus, etc., whereas that derived through Perception is particular, and is incapable of being properly communicated to others by name, genus, etc.

45. In the chapter on Perception Dignāga has criticised the Hindu logician Vātsyāyana, who concluded that the mind

Dignāga criticises Vātsyāyana.

MINISTRY

(manas) was a sense-organ, because it was accepted as such in several systems of philosophy, and the view was not op-

posed in the Nyāya-sūtra according to the maxim "if I do not oppose a theory of my opponent¹ it is to be understood I approve of it." Dignāga criticises this maxim of Vātsyāyana saying: "if silence proved assent it was useless for the Nyāyasūtra to mention other sense-organs."²

1 Vātsyāyana writes :---

सनख इन्द्रियभावाझ वाच्चं खचणानरसिति। तन्त्रानरसमाचाराचैतत् प्रत्येतव्यसिति पर्सतसप्रतिषिडसवसतसिति डि तत्त्वयन्त्रिः।

(Nyāyabhāşya, 1-1-4).

2 Dignāga writes :---

नगमामामेर केर केर केरा । नगमामामाकर के केरा ।

(Pramāņa-samuccaya, chapter I).

The Sanskrit originals of the lines are as follows :----

खनिषेधादुपार्त्त चेत् खन्धेन्दिधरतं दृष्टा ॥

(Pramana-samuccaya, chapter I).

These two lines have been quoted and criticised by the Hindu logician Väcaspati Miśra in his Nyāya-vārtika-tātparya-tīkā, 1-1-4.

DIGNAGA'S PRAMANA-SAMUCCAYA.

46. In chapter II of the Pramāņa-samuccaya, Dignāga men-Inference. tions the views of some logicians who

from smoke, which is the middle term, infer *fire* which is inseparably connected with it, and also of others, who from smoke infer the connection between it and the hill which is the minor term. He argues against the first mentioned logicians saying that if they infer *fire* from smoke they gain no new knowledge from this inference, for it is already known that smoke is inseparably connected with fire. His argument against the other logicians is that they are not able to infer the connection, for connection implies two things, whereas here only one thing, viz., the hill, is visible, but the other, viz., fire, is not visible. What then do we really infer from smoke ? Dignāga says it is not fire nor the connection between it and the hill, but it is the *fiery* hill that is inferred.¹

What Dignaga meant to say is :---

The Nyāya-sūtra distinctly mentions the eye, ear, nose, tongue and touch as sense-organs, but says nothing as to whether the mind (monas) is a sense-organ or not. The presumption from this silence is that the mind is not a sense-organ according to the Nyāya-sūtra.

But Vātsyāyana, the famous Hindu commentator on the Nyāyasūtra interprets the silence in a quite different way, concluding therefrom that the mind (manas) is a sense-organ according to the Nyāya-sūtra.

Dignāga contends " if silence was a proof of assent why did the Nyāyasūtra not remain silent regarding the other five sense-organs too ?"

1 Dignāga writes :---

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

47. In chapter III, Dignāga says that an Inference for the

Comparison and Verbal Testimony rejected.

MINISTRY OF

88

sake of others consists in making explicit a matter which was inferred by one's own self.¹ In chapter IV, he re-

jects Comparison as a separate source of knowledge. He says that when we recognise a thing through Perception of a similar thing, we really perform an act of Perception. Hence Comparison or Recognition of Similarity is not a separate source of knowledge, but is included in Perception.² In chapter V, he rejects "Credible Word" or "Verbal Testimony" as a separate source of knowledge. He asks: "what is the significance of a Credible Word? Does it mean that the person who spoke the word is credible or the fact he averred is credible?" "If the person," continues Dignāga, "is credible, it is a mere infer-

> रेख्यायाके रुगायां के । यहरासेकरेक ग्रीस माइटायर त्मुरा दरेलाहमास रुटाद्मेयास स्पेक्।

(Pramāņa-samuccaya, chapter II). The Sanskrit originals of these lines are as follows :---

> केचिट् धर्मानरं नेथं लिङस्यायभिचारनः । संबर्भ केचिटिच्छन्ति सिडसाडस्रीधर्मिणोः ॥ लिङ्गं धर्में प्रसिदं चेत् किमन्यत् तेन भीयते । खय धर्म्मिणि तस्येव किमर्थ नानुमेयता ॥ संबन्धेऽपि द्वथं नास्ति षष्ठी यूथते तद्दति । खवाच्योऽन्यट्दीतलाइचासी लिङसंगतः ॥

> > (Pramāņa-samuccaya, chapter II).

The Hindu logician Vācaspati Miśra has quoted and criticised these lines in the Nyāya-vārtika-tātparya-tīkā, 1-1-5.

1 Dignaga writes :---

मावनमी रेनमी हेरान्यना ने। रूममीश अर्वेन रेनमाश्रय छेर प्रेन। रे पार्च्यमा छ मध्न प्राये ।।

(Pramāņa-samuccaya, chapter III).

² Vide a very interesting discussion on it in the Nyāya-vārtika, 1-1-6, where the Hindu logician Udyotakara defends the Nyāya-sūtra and the Nyāya-bhāsya from the attacks of Dignāga.

DIGNAGA'S NYAYA-PRAVESA.

MINISTRYOR

ence. On the other hand if the fact is credible, it is a case of Perception." Hence Dignāga concludes that Credible Word or Verbal Testimony is not a separate source of knowledge, but is included in Perception and Inference.¹

DIGNAGA'S Nyāya-pravesa.

48. The Nyāya-praveśa² or rather "Nyāya-praveśo-nāma pramāņa-prakaraņa" is another excellent work on Logic by Dignāga. The Sanskrit original is lost. There exists a Tibetan translation of it which extends over folios 183—188 of the Tangyur, section Mdo, volume Ce. The translation was prepared by the great Kāśmīrian Paṇḍita Sarvajña-śrī Rakşita and the Sākya monk Grags-pa-rgyal-mtshan-dpal-bzań, in the great Sa-skya monastery of Western Tibet. The work in Tibetan is called Tshad-ma-rigs-par-hjug-paḥi-sgo signifying the "Door of Entrance to Logic." It opens thus :—

"Demonstration and refutation together with their fallacies are useful in arguing with others; and Perception and Inference together with their fallacies are useful for self-understanding; seeing these I compile this S'āstra."⁸

Parts of a Syllogism.⁴ 49. Some of the subjects discussed in the work are noticed below :---

1 Vide Udyotakara's rejoinder in the Nyāya-vārtika 1-1-7.

² I consulted the Nyāya-praveśa from the volume Ce of the Tibetan Tangyur which was placed at my disposal by the India Office, London. I have also brought a copy of the Nyāya-praveša from the monastery of Labrang in Sikkim which I visited in May 1907. This is probably the same as "Nyāya-dvāra-šāstra": Vide Takakusu's I-tsing, p. 186, and Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Nos. 1223 and 1224. Cf. Dr. Sugiura's "Hindu Logic as preserved in China and Japan," pp. 36, 60, where Sankara Svāmin's Nyāya-prāveša-tarka-šāstra is noticed.

* শ্বন্দান্দান জিবান হল গুলা स्र. कृट मठकायाम् वित हेनाका सेर अटिंक खुमाइट के हिंग खु इयम्। 27.25.7381212571271 उसायानस्त्र न उँहा न स्त्रायते ।। (Nyāya-praveśa),

* In Tibetan: Rigg-pahi-yan-lag (ইন্যামেরি আব্যান) and in Sanskrit: Nyāyāvayava (আধাৰ্যৰ)।

89

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

Reasoning, according to the Nyāya-praveśa, is carried on by means of a minor term, a major term, a middle term and two examples. The minor term is also called the subject (in Sanskrit: pakṣa or dharmin, and in Tibetan phyogs or chos-can). The major term is otherwise called the predicate (in Sanskrit: sādhya or dharma, in Tibetan : bsgrub-par-bya or chos). The middle term is also called the reason or mark (in Sanskrit : hetu, liṅga or sādhana, in Tibetan : gtan-tshigs or bsgrub-par-byed). The example (called in Sanskrit: drstānta, in Tibetan : dpebrjod) is of two kinds, viz., (1) homogeneous (in Sanskrit : sādharmya, in Tibetan : chos-mthun-pa) and (2) hetrogeneous (in Sanskrit : vaidharmya, in Tibetan : chos-mi-mthun-pa).

Form of a Syllogism. 50. The form of reasoning is as follows :--

(1) This hill is fiery,

(2) Because it has smoke,

(3) All that has smoke is fiery like a kitchen and whatever is not fiery has no smoke like a lake.

Here 'hill' is the minor term, 'fiery' the major term, 'smoke' the middle term, 'kitchen' a homogeneous example and 'lake' a hetrogeneous example.

Thesis. 51. A minor term and a major term linked together constitute a proposi-

tion, e.g.

90

The hill (minor term) is fiery (major term).

A proposition which is offered for proof is a Thesis.

52. There are certain types of thesis which cannot stand the The Fallacies of Thesis.¹ test of proof and are therefore fallacious.

The following theses are fallacious :--

(1) A thesis incompatible with perception, such as: "sound is inaudible."

(2) A thesis incompatible with inference, such as: "A pot is eternal."

(Really "A pot is non-eternal because it is a product.")

(3) A thesis incompatible with the public opinion, such as: "Man's head is pure, because it is the limb of an animate being." (Or money is an abominable thing. I or some men like me may say "money is an abominable thing," but the world does not say so).

· In Tibetan: phyogs-Itar-snaň (정의지 유지함); in Sanskrit: paksābhāsa (ਪਗ਼ਸ਼리) !

DIGNAGA'S NYAYA-PRAVESA.

(4) A thesis incompatible with one's own belief or doctrine, such as : A Vaisesika philosopher saying "sound is eternal."

(5) A thesis incompatible with one's own statement such as: "My mother is barren."

(6) A thesis with an unfamiliar minor term, such as: The Buddhist speaking to the Sāmkhya, "Sound is perishable." (Sound is a subject well known to the Mīmāmsaka, but not to the Sāmkhya).

(7) A thesis with an unfamiliar major term, such as: The Sāmkhya speaking to the Buddhist, "The soul is animate."

(8) A thesis with both the terms unfamiliar, such as: The Vaiśeşika speaking to the Buddhist, "The soul has feelings as pleasurable, etc."

(The Buddhist neither deals with the soul nor with its feelings).

(9) A thesis universally accepted, such as: "Fire is warm." (This thesis cannot be offered for proof as it is accepted by all.

Three Characteristics of 53. The Middle Term (Hetu) must possess three characteristics, viz. :--

(1) The whole of the minor term (paksa) must be connected with the middle term, e.g.

Sound is non-eternal,

Because it is a product,

Like a pot but unlike ether.

In this reasoning "product" which is the middle term includes the whole of "sound" which is the minor term.

(2) All things denoted by the middle term must be homogeneous with things denoted by the major term, *e.g.*

All things produced are non-eternal as a pot.

(3) None of the things heterogeneous from the major term must be a thing denoted by the middle term, e.g.

No non-non-eternal (that is, no eternal) thing is a product, as ether.

(1) All S is R.

MINISTRY OF

(2) All R is P.

(3) No R is non-P.

1 Called in Tibetan : Gtan-tshigs-ni-tshul-geum (753 3781 3 34

비신자) and in Sanskrit : Lingasya trairupyam ((국동대 국동대관) |
Now, the negative aspect of the middle term, viz., no R is non-P only confirms the truth conveyed by one of the positive aspects, viz., all R is P. Hence we may put aside the negative aspect, and exhibit the positive aspects as follows :—

(1) All S is R.

92

(2) All \mathbb{R} is \mathbb{P} .

Again, in the above instance 'R' and 'P' may be taken in their whole extent or partially. So the two positive aspects mentioned above may be fully exhibited as follows :—

- (1) (a) All S is all \mathbb{R} .
 - (b) All S is some R.
- (2) (a) All R is all P.
 - (b) All R is some P.

Combining aspect (1) and aspect (2) together we find that a syllogism may be of any one of the following forms :---

- (1) All S is all P (conclusion): Because All S is all R, All R is all P.
- (2) All S is some P (conclusion) : Because All S is all R, All R is some P.
- (3) All S is some P (conclusion) : Because All S is some R, All R is all P.
- (4) All S is some P (conclusion): Because All S is some R, All R is some P.

Hence we find that Dignäga admits only two conclusions, viz. All S is all P, and All S is some P.

55. The second and third of the characteristics mentioned above indicate the relative extension of the Middle Term and the Major Term. They show that the middle term is universally or inseparably connected with the major

term. This universal or inseparable connection between them is called in Sanskrit $Vy\bar{a}pti$ and in Tibetan *Khyab* which was, as far as I find, first discovered by Dignāga.

Supposing that the middle term or reason is R, and the major term or predicate is P, the connection between the two terms may be symbolically set forth as follows :---

- (1) All R is all P, and
- (2) All R is some P.

DIGNAGA'S NYAYA-PRAVESA.



56. Owing to the violation of one or more of the three Fallacies of the Middle characteristics, there occur Fallacies of the Middle Term which may be of

fourteen kinds as follows :--

A. The *unproved* (Sanskrit: Asiddha, Tibetan: Ma-grub) which occurs:

(1) When the lack of truth of the middle term is recognised by both the parties, e.g.

Sound is non-eternal, Because it is visible.

(Neither of the parties admits that sound is visible).

(2) When the lack of truth of the middle term is recognised by one party only, e.g.

Sound is evolved, Because it is a *product*.

(The Mimāmsakas do not admit that sound is a product).

(3) When the truth of the middle term is questioned, e.g.

The hill is fiery,

Because there is vapour.

(Vapour may or may not be an effect of fire and may or may not be connected with it otherwise).

(4) When it is questioned whether the minor term is predicable of the middle term, e.g.

Ether is a substance,

Because it has qualities.

(It is questioned whether ether has qualities).

B. The uncertain (Sanskrit : Aniścita, Tibetan : Ma-neg-pa) which occurs :

(5) When the middle term is too general, abiding equally in the major term as well as in the opposite of it, e.g.

Sound is eternal,

Because it is knowable.

(The 'knowable' is too general because it abides in the eternal as well as the non-eternal. This is a fallacy of being too general, called in Sanskrit: Sādhāraņa and in Tibetan: Thun-mon).

(6) When the middle term is not general enough, abiding neither in the major term nor in its opposite, e.g.

1 In Tibetan : Gtan-tshigs-ltar-snan (নার্ব উনাম সুম হার্ট) and in Sanskrit : Hetväbhāsa (ইলামার)।

Sound is eternal, Because it is audible.

94

(This is a fallacy of being not general enough, called in Sanskrit: Asādhārana, and in Tibetan: Thun-moi-ma-yin).

(7) When the middle term abides in some of the things homogeneous with, and in all things heterogeneous from, the major term, e.g.

Sound is not a product of effort, Because it is *non-eternal*.

(The non-eternal abides in some of the things which are not products of effort, such as lightning, and abides in all things which are not non-products of effort).

(8) When the middle term abides in some of the things heterogeneous from, and in all things homogeneous with, the major term, e.g.

Sound is a product of effort, Because it is non-eternal.

(The non-eternal abides in some of the things which are not products of effort, as lightning, and abides in all things which are products of effort).

(9) When the middle term abides, in some of the things homogeneous with and in some heterogeneous from, the major term, e.g.

Sound is eternal,

Because it is incorporeal.

(Some incorporeal things are eternal as ether, but others are not as intelligence).

(10) When there is a non-erroneous contradiction, that is, when a thesis and its contradictory are both supported by what appear to be valid reasons, e.g.

The Vaisesika speaking to the Mimämsaka :

"Sound is non-eternal, Because it is a product."

The Mimāmsaka speaking to the Vaiśesika :

"Sound is eternal,

Because it is always audible."

(Both of the reasonings are correct, but as they lead to contradictory conclusions they are classed as *uncertain*).

C. The contradictory (Sanskrit : Viruddha, Tibetan : <u>Hgal</u>wa) which occurs :



(11) When the middle term is contradictory to the major term, e.g.

Sound is eternal,

GOVERNIER

Because it is a product.

(Product is inconsistent with eternal).

(12) When the middle term is contradictory to the implied major term, e.g.

The eyes, etc., are serviceable to some being,

Because they are made of particles,

Like a bed, seat, etc.1

(Here the major term "serviceable to some being" is ambiguous, for, the apparent meaning of 'some being' is 'the body,' but the implied meaning of it is 'the soul.' Though things 'made of particles' are serviceable to the body, they are not, according to the Sāmkhya, serviceable to the soul which is attributeless. Hence there is contradiction between the middle term and the implied major term).

(13) When the middle term is inconsistent with the minor term, e.g.

Samanya (generality) is neither substance, quality, nor action;

Because it depends upon one substance and possesses quality and action.

 $(S\bar{a}m\bar{a}nya \text{ or generality does not depend upon one substance, etc.})$

(14) When the middle term is inconsistent with the implied minor term, e.g.

Objects are stimuli of action;

Because they are apprehended by the senses.

("Objects" is ambiguous meaning (1) things and (2) purposes. The middle term is inconsistent with the minor term in the second meaning).

Dignāga's theory of examples. Examples converted to universal proposition.

57. An example before the time of Dignāga served as a mere familiar case which was cited to help the understanding of the listner, e.g.

The hill is fiery, Because it has smoke, Like a kitchen (example).

Asanga (q.v.) made the example more serviceable to reasoning, but Dignāga converted it into a universal proposition, that

¹ This example may lead us to presume that the author of Nyāyapraveša knew Iśvara Kṛṣṇa's Sāmkhya-kārikā which is the oldest of the works on Sāmkhya philosophy that have come down to us.



is a proposition 1 expressive of the universal or inseparable connection between the middle term and the major term, *e.g.*

The hill is fiery ;

96

Because it has smoke ;

All that has smoke is fiery as a kitchen (homogeneous example).

The above example is homogeneous. A heterogeneous example is thus given :---

Whatever is not fiery has no smoke as a lake.

58. Examples have already been stated to be of two kinds, Fallacies of the homogeneous example.² *viz.*, 1. Homogeneous and 2. Heterogeneous. Each of these kinds becomes fallacious under certain circumstances.

Fallacies of the homogeneous example are the following :--

(1) An example not homogeneous with the middle term, e.g.

Sound is eternal, Because it is incorporeal, That which is incorporeal is eternal as the atoms.

(The atoms cannot serve as an example because they are not incorporeal. This is called a fallacy of the Excluded Middle Term).

(2) An example not homogeneous with the major term, e.g.

Sound is eternal.

Because it is incorporeal,

That which is incorporeal is eternal as intelligence.

(Intelligence cannot serve as an example because it is not eternal. This is called a fallacy of the Excluded Major Term).

(3) An example homogeneous with neither the middle term nor the major term, e.g.

¹ The universal proposition, that is, the proposition expressive of the universal relation between the middle term and the major term, serves as the major premise in a syllogism of the celebrated Greek logician Aristotle. It was long unknown in India. Dignāga's discovery of the universal proposition marks a new era in the history of Indian Logic and shows a great development of the principle of induction first apprehended by Asafaga in India.

2 Called in Tibetan: Chos-mthun-dpe-ltar-snan-wa (6취 허명취 54

अर्डान्य) and in Sanskrit: Sädharmya-dratantabhasa (अध्यय-



Sound is eternal, Because it is incorporeal, That which is incorporeal is eternal, as a pot.

(The pot cannot serve as an example because it is neither incorporeal nor eternal. This is called a fallacy of the Excluded Middle and Major Terms).

(4) A homogeneous example showing a lack of universal connection between the middle term and the major term, e.g.

This person is passionate,

Because he is a speaker,

Whoever is a speaker is passionate, as a certain man in Magadha.

(Though a certain man in Magadha may be both speaker and passionate, there is nevertheless no universal connection between being a speaker and being passionate. This is a fallacy of Absence of Connection called in Sanskrit : Ananvaya, in Tibetan : \underline{R} jeg-su- \underline{h} gro-wa-med).

(5) A homogeneous example showing an inverse connection between the middle term and the major term, e.g.

Sound is non-eternal,

Because it is a product of effort,

Whatever is non-eternal is a product of effort, as a pot.

(The pot cannot serve as an example because though it is both non-eternal and a product of effort, the connection between the major term and the middle term has been inverted, *i.e.*, all products of effort are non-eternal; but all non-eternals are not products of effort. This is a fallacy of Inverse Connection called in Sanskrit: Viparitānvaya, in Tibetan: <u>Rjes</u>-su-<u>hgro-wa-</u> phyin-ci-log-pa).

Fallacy of the heterogeneous example.¹ 59. Fallacies of the heterogeneous example are the following :---

(6) An example not heterogeneous from the opposite of the middle term, e.g.

Sound is eternal,

Because it is incorporeal,

Whatever is non-eternal is not incorporeal, as intelligence.

1 Called in Tibetan : Chos-mi-athun-dpo-ltar--man-wa (& N & AS

रिन्द्रिः झाटन) and in Sanskrit : Vaidharmya-drstäntäbhäsa (वैधम्म्य-दयानाथाय) ।

(Intelligence is non-eternal, yet incorporeal. This is a fallacy of Included Middle Term in a heterogeneous example).

(7) An example not heterogeneous from the opposite of the major term, e.g.

Sound is eternal, Because it is incorporeal,

98

Whatever is non-eternal is not incorporeal, as atoms.

(The atoms are not incorporeal yet they are eternal. This is a fallacy of Included Major Term in a heterogeneous example).

(8) An example heterogeneous from neither the opposite of the middle term nor the opposite of the major term, e.g.

Sound is eternal,

Because it is incorporeal,

Whatever is non-eternal is not incorporeal, as a pot.

(A pot is neither eternal nor incorporeal. This is called a fallacy of Included Middle and Major Terms in a heterogeneous example).

(9) A heterogeneous example showing an absence of disconnection between the middle term and the major term, e.g.

This person is passionate,

Because he is a speaker,

Whoever is non-passionate is not a speaker, as a piece of stone.

(This is called a fallacy of Absence of Disconnection of a heterogeneous example).

(10) A heterogeneous example showing an absence of inverse disconnection between the middle term and the major term, e.g.

Sound is non-eternal,

Because it is a product,

Whatever is non-product is not non-eternal, as ether.

The example should be inverted as :

Whatever is non-non-eternal, *i.e.*, eternal, is not a product, as ether. This is called a fallacy of Inverted Negation of a heterogeneous example.

60. All the three kinds of fallacies—of the Thesis, Middle Term and Example—are fallacies of reasoning. Refutation (called in Sanskrit : Düşana and in Tibetan : Sun-hbyin) consists in finding out in the reasoning of the opponent any one of the fallacies aforementioned. Fallacy of Refutation (called in

DIGNAGA'S HETU-CAKRA-HAMARU.

Sanskrit : Dūşanābhāsa and in Tibetan : Sun-hbyin-ltar-snanwa) consists in alleging a fallacy where there is no fallacy at all.

61. Perception and Inference are the two kinds of valid Perception and Inference and their Fallacies. derived through the senses. It is free from illusory experiences and is not connected with name, genus, etc. Inference called in Sanskrit : Anumāna and in Tibetan : Bjes-su-dpag is the knowledge of objects derived through a mark (Tibetan : Btags) or middle term which has three characteristics. There are Fallacies of Perception as well as of Inference (called respectively Pratyakṣābhāsa and Anumānābhāsa in Sanskrit, and Mnonsum-ltar-nan and Bjes-dpag-ltar-snan in Tibetan).

DIGNAGA'S Hetu-cakra-hamaru,

62. The Hetu-cakra-hamaru¹ is another small treatise on Logic by Dignāga. The Sanskrit original is lost, but a Tibetan translation is preserved in the Tangyur, section Mdo, folios 193-194. The Tibetan translation was prepared by the sage Bodhisattva of Za-hor and the Bhikṣu Dharmāsoka. The work in Tibetan is called Gtan-tshigs-kyi-hkhor-lo-gtan-la-dwab-pa, signifying "the Wheel of Reasons put in order." It begins thus :—

"Bowing down to the Omniscient One (Buddha), who has destroyed the net of errors, I explain the system of three characteristics of the Reason (or Middle Term)."²

In this work Dignāga has analysed all nine possible relations between the middle and the major terms and has found that there

¹ I brought a copy of the Tibetan version of the Hetu-cakra-hamaru from the monastery of Labrang in Sikkim which I visited in June 1907. This work is probably the same as the Hetu-dvāra-śāstra: vide Takakusu's I-tsing, p. 187.

> > (Hetu-chakra-hamaru).

100

are among them two relations which conform to the three vāmin teristics of the middle term already laid down, and the restra,¹ ing seven relations are at variance with those characteris. D. Accordingly he has concluded that only two relations are valid as will be evident from the annexed diagram.

OTHER WORKS OF DIGNAGA.

Pramāņa-samuecaya-vrtti.

65. The Pramāṇa-samuccaya-vṛṭti is a commentary on the Pramāṇa-samuccaya by Dignāga himself. The Sanskrit original of this work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan translaton ¹ which extends over folios 13—96 of the Tangyur, section Mdo, volume Ce. The Tibetan translation was prepared, at the command of king Rigs-Idan-rgyal-po, by the famous Indian sage Vasudhara Rakşita, who was as it were the crest-gem of logicians, and the Tibetan interpreter Sha-ma-dge-bsñen-sin-rgyal. In Tibetan it is called Tshad-ma-kun-las-btus-pahi-hgrel-wa. It is divided into six chapters corresponding to those of the Pramāṇasamuccaya itself. At the end of the work it is stated that " led on by the command of Mañjunātha (the god of learning), Dignāga the great dialectician of sharp intellect wrote this śāstra which is as deep as the ocean."

66. There is another translation of the Pramāņa-samuccayavrtti in Tibetan extending over folios 96—180 of the Tangyur, section Mdo, volume Ce. It was prepared by the Indian sage Hema Varma (called in Tibetan Gser-gyi-go-cha) and the Tibetan interpreter Dad-pa-śes-rab in the monastery of Si-wahi-dge-gnas.

Pramāna-sāstra-pravesa.

67. Pramāņa-śāstra-praveśa² is another work by Dignāga It was translated into Chinese by the Chinese interpreter Thasam-tsan. The Chinese version was translated into Tibetan by the Chinese scholar Dge-śes-sin-gyan and the Tibetan monk Ston-gshon in the Saskya monastery of Western Tibet. The Sanskrit original of the work appears to be lost, but the Tibetan version still exists. It consists of folios 188—193 of the Tangyur, section Mdo, volume Ce. In Tibetan the work is called Tshad-mahi-bstan-bcos-rig-pa-la-hjug-pa signifying "An Entrance to the Science of Logic."

¹ I have consulted the Tibetan xylograph of this work in the possession of the India Office, London.

² I have consulted the Tibetan xylograph of this work in the possession of the India Office, London.

ŚANKARA SVAMIN.

101

GOVERNMEN

of w

Alambaņa-parīksā.

3. The Alambaṇa-parīkṣā¹ is another work by Dignāga. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation which consists of one folio only (folio 180) of the Tangyur, section Mdo, volume Ce. The work in Tibetan is called \underline{D} migs-pa-<u>b</u>rtag-pa signifying "An Examination of the Objects of Thought." It begins with an invocation to Buddha and all Bodhisattvas.

Alambana-pariksā-vrtti.

69. The Ālambaņa-parīksā-vrtti² is a commentary on the Ālambaņa-parīksā by Dignāga himself. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan version which is embodied in the Tangyur, section Mdo, volume Ce, folios 180—182. The work in Tibetan is called <u>Dmigs-pabrtag-pahi-hgrel</u>.

Trikāla-parīksā.

70. The Trikāla-parīkṣā^s is a work by Dignāga. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan version in the Tangyur, section Mdo, volume Ce, folios 182—183. This version was prepared by the great Pandita Sāntakara Gupta and the interpreter-monk Tshul-hkhrims-rgyalmtshan. The work in Tibetan is called Dus-gsum-hrtag-pa signifying "An Examination of Three Times."

S'ANKARA SVAMIN (ABOUT 550 A.D.).

71. Sańkara Svāmin,⁴ as it appears from Chinese records, was a pupil of Dignāga. He seems to have been a native of Southern India. Logic is said to have been handed down by Dignāga through Sańkara Svāmin and ten other masters to Sílabhadra, who was the head of the Nālandā University, and the favourite teacher of the Chinese pilgrim Hwen-thsang in

⁸ I have consulted the Tibetan xylograph of this work as contained in the India Office, London. This work is probably the same that is called by Takakusu "The Sāstra on the Meditation of the Three Worlds": *vide* Takakusu's I-tsing, p. 187. The "worlds" is evidently a mistake for "times."

⁴ Vide Dr. Sugiura's Hindu Logic as preserved in China and Japan, Pp. 36, 37.

¹ I have consulted the Tibetan xylograph of this work as contained in the India Office, London. This is probably the same as "Alambana-pratya ya-dhyāna-fāstra": *vide* Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, No. 1173, and Takakusu's I-tsing, p. 188.

taka, No. 1173, and Takakusu's I-tsing, p. 188. ² I have consulted the xylograph of this work as contained in the India Office, London.

SL

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

MINISTRY OF

102

635 A.D. According to the Chinese Tripiţaka S'ankara S' was the author of a work called Nyāya-praveśa Tarka-śā. which was translated into Chinese by Hwen-thsang in 647 A. This work seems to be different² from the "Nyāya-praveśa" or more fully the "Nyāya-praveśo nāma pramāņa-prakaraņa" which, as we have seen, is ascribed by the Tibetans to Dignāga.

DHARMAPALA (ABOUT 600-635 A.D.).

72. Dharmapāla,³ a logician, was a native of Kāñcīpura in Drāvida (modern Conjeeveram in Madras). He was the eldest son of a great minister of the country. From his childhood he exhibited much cleverness, and as he was a young man the king and queen of the country condescended to entertain him at a feast. In the evening of that day his heart was oppressed with sorrow, and assuming the robes of a Buddhist recluse he left home, and applied himself with unflagging earnestness to learning. He was admitted into the University at Nälandä (vide Appendix A) in which he acquired great distinction. Subsequently he became the head of the University. He must have retired from Nalanda before 635 A.D., when Hwen-thsang visited it, and found that Silabhadra had succeeded him in the headship of the University. Dharmapāla conjointly with Bhartrhari composed a Bedā vrtti on Pānini's grammar.

73. He was a follower of the Yogācāra philosophy and was the author of several works such as (1) Ālambaṇa-pratyayadhyāna-śāstra-vyākhyä; (2) Vidvāmātra-siddhi-śāstra-vyākhyā; and (3) S'ata-śāstra-vaipulya-vyākhyā which was translated into Chinese in 650 A.D. Hwen-thsang, who visited India in 629 A.D., found in Kauśāmbī the ruins of a monastery where Dharmapāla had refuted the arguments of the heretiës.

ACARYA S'ILABHADRA (635 A.D.).

74. SIlabhadra ^{*} belonged to the family of the king of Samatata (Bengal), and was of the Brāhmana caste. He was a pupil

1 Vide Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, no. 1216, and Appendix I, No. 13.

² The Tibetans do not know Sańkara Svāmin at all. The Chinese pilgrim I-tsing, who visited India during 671-695, speaks of Dignāga and Dharmakīrti, but does not mention Sańkara Svāmin. Even Hwenthsang in his Travel does not mention him.

⁸ Vide Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol. 1, p. 237; vol. 11, pp. 110-223, 229 and 230. Vide also Takakusu's I-tsing, p. lvii, and Bunyiu Nanjio's Catalogue of the Chinese Tripitaka, Appendix I, No. 16.

Vide Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol. 11, p. 110; and Takakusu's I-tsing, p. 181.

ACARYA DHARMAKIRTI.

of Dharmapāla at the Nālandā University (vide Appendix A) of which subsequently he became the head. The Chinese pilgrim Hwen-thsang was his pupil 1 in 635 A.D. S'ilabhadra was a great logician and master of śāstras.

ACARYA DHARMAKĪRTI (ABOUT 635-650 A.D.).

75. The excellent Dharmakirti,² called in Tibetan Chosgrags, according to the accounts of all earlier sages, was born in the south in the kingdom of Cūdāmani.³ Since, however, there is now no country by that name, and since on the other hand all the orthodox and heterodox alike name Trimalaya as the birth-place of Dharmakirti, it is to be accepted that in olden times Trimalaya was called the kingdom of Cūdāmaņi. His father was a Tirtha of the Brāhmaņa caste, Parivrājaka Korunanda by name. Endowed from childhood up with a very keen intellect he attained to great skill in the fine arts, in the Vedas and Vedāngas, in the art of healing, in grammar, and in all the theories of the Tirthas. When only sixteen or eighteen years old, he was already deeply versed in the philosophy of the Tirthas. Occasionally he attended lectures of the Buddhists, and realised that the teachings of Buddha were without faults. There grew up in him a strong inclination towards Buddhism. He donned the dress of a Buddhist Upāsaka (devotee). When the Brahmanas inquired the reason of this he praised the excellence of Buddhism for which he was out-casted. Thereupon he came to Madhyadeśa * (Magadha), was received into the Sangha (priesthood) by Ācārya Dharmapāla, attained to great learning in the three Pitakas, and knew in all 500 sutras and dharanis by heart.

76. Dharmakirti desiring to become acquainted with the

1 Vide Takakusu's I-tsing, p. xlv,

GOVERNINEN

² This account is taken from Lama Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 175-185.

³ Cudamani is probably the same as Coda or Chola country in the astern Descent ; Vide Eastern Deccan. As a fact Dharmakirti was born in the Deccan : Vide article 82 under Pramāņa-vārtika kārikā.

⁴ In Tibetan literature Madhya-deša signifies Magadha (Behar). But Manu defines Madhya desa as the country lying between the Himalayas on the north, the Vindhya mountains on the south, Prayaga in the east, and the river Samuel a and the river Sarasvati on the west:

हिमवदिन्ध्ययोभेधं यत् प्राग् विनग्रनादपि त्रत्यगेव त्रयागाच सध्यदेशः त्रकीत्तिः॥

(Manusamhitā 2: 21).

In this connection vide a very learned article named " Note on the Middle Country of Ancient India " by Rhys Davids in the Journal of the Royal Asiatio Royal Asiatic Society, January 1904.

104

secret doctrine of the Tirtha system, donned a slave-attire and went southward. On inquiring who was versed in the Tirtha system, he was informed that the Brāhmana Kumārila was an incomparable master of the same. The assertion that Kumārila was an uncle of Dharmakirti is unsupported by Indian authority. Kumārila had received a large fortune from his king, owned many rice-fields, five hundred male slaves and five hundred female slaves and many hundred men. When Dharmakirti after entering their service performed the work of fifty slaves indoors and outdoors, Kumārila and his wife were They allowed him to hear the secret doctrines. satisfied. Obtaining knowledge of the secret teaching from Kumārila, he left his house. With the wages which he received from Kumārila for his special services he gave a great feast to the Brähmanas in the night of his departure.

77. Thereupon he challenged the followers of the system of Kaṇāda named Kaṇāda Gupta and other followers of the Tirtha system and entered upon debates with them. The debates lasted for three months, during which he withstood all his opponents and converted many of them to Buddhism. Upon this Kumārila was enraged and appeared with 500 Brāhmaṇas for debate. He proposed the condition that whoever was defeated should be killed. Dharmakīrti who did not desire the death of Kumārila induced the latter to enter upon the condition that whoever was defeated should accept the doctrine of the winner. Putting *Dharma* (doctrine) up as the prize they began the debate, and finally Dharmakīrti was victorious. Kumārila and his 500 followers became followers of Buddha.

78. Dharmakīrti further withstood the Nirgranthas (Jainas), Rāhuvratin and others, who lived within the range of the Vindhya mountains. Returning to Dravali - (Drāviḍa?) he challenged by criers those who were ready for debate. The majority of the Tīrthas fled; and some actually confessed that they were not equal to the fight. He re-established all the religious schools that had fallen into decay in that country, and lived in the loneliness of the forest given up to meditation.

79. Dharamkītri towards the end of his life erected a vihāra in the land of Kalinga, and after having converted many people to the Law (*Dharma*) passed away. Those of his pupils, who by their lives had become like Brahma, carried him to the cemetery for cremation. Then there fell a heavy rain of flowers, and for seven days the whole country was filled with fragrance and music.

80. This Acārya (Dharmakīrti) and the Tibetan king Srontsan-gam po are said to have been contemporaries, which statement might be accepted as authoritative.

PRAMĀNA-VĀRTIKA-KĀRIKĀ.

From this account it is evident that Dharmakirti was 81. a pupil of Dharmapāla. As the latter lived in 635 A.D., Dharmakirti must also have lived about that time. This date agrees well with the statement that Dharmakirti was a contemporary of the Tibetan king Sron-tsan-gam-po who lived during 627-698 A.D.¹ It seems that in 635 A.D., Dharmakirti was very young as Hwen-thsang does not mention him. On the other hand I-tsing, who travelled over India during 671-695 A.D., declares eloquently how "Dharmakirti made further improvement in Logic " 2 after Dignāga. The Brāhmanic logician Udyotakara⁸ is attacked by Dharmakirti. The Mimāmsaka Sureśvarācārya,* author of the Brhadāranyaka-vārtika, and the Digambara Jaina Vidyānanda, author of the Asta-sāhasrikā,⁵ have on the contrary criticised the definition of perception (Pratyaksa) as given by Dharmakirti, who is some times designated by the shorter name Kirti. Vācaspati Miśra⁶ too quotes Dharmakīrti to criticise him.

Pramāņa-vārtika-kārikā.

82. Dharmakirti is the author of numerous works on Logic. The Pramāna-vārtika-kārikā is one of them. A verse 7 of this work was quoted by the Hindu philosopher Mādhavācārya in

1 Vide Wassilief, p. 54; and Csoma de Koros's Tibetan Grammar, p. 183,

² Takakusu's I-tsing, p. lviii.

³ Vide K. B. Pathak's "Bhartzhari and Kumarila," Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1892, vol. xviii, p. 229. 4 Cf.

जिष्वेव लविनाभावादिति यदर्सकी तिना ।

प्रत्यज्ञायि प्रतिद्वेयं चीयेतासी न संग्रयः॥

(Sureśvara's Brhadāraņyaka-vārtika, chap. vi.)

⁵ Vide Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, vol. xix, 1895-97, p. 56.

6 Cf.

यथाड धर्माकोक्तिः---

तसाहार्थे न च जाने खलाभाससदातानः । रक्ष प्रतिषिद्धवादुक्रव्यपि न समावः॥

(Vācaspati's Bhāmatī on Vedāntasūtra 2-2-28).

7 The verse runs thus :--

भेडच शानितिज्ञानैः इग्रोतेन्दाविवाद्वये॥

(Pramāna-vārtika-kārikā quoted in Mādhavācārya's Sarvadarsanasamgraha, chapter on Bauddha dar-Sana).



GOVERNIA

m706

MINISTRY OF

the 14th century A.D. The following story 1 is told regarding the composition of this work :---

It is said that Dharmakīrti studied many dialectic śāstras, but his spirit was not satisfied. Once at the house of one Iśvara Sena,² a pupil of Dignāga, he heard the Pramāņasamuccaya. Having heard it for the first time he at once became as proficient as Īśvara Sena who had minutely studied the work. When he heard it the second time, he became like Dignāga, the author of the work, and when he heard it the third time, he recognised several errors in the work. When he mentioned them to Īśvara Sena, the latter so far from being displeased, told him that he was at liberty to condemn all the mistakes of the work and to prepare a critical commentary on it. With the permission thus received he composed a metrical commentary on the Pramāņa-samuccaya called the Pramāņavārtika-kārikā.

83. The Sanskrit original of the Pramāṇa-vārtika appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ³ of it in the Tangyur, section Mdo, volume Ce, folios 194—258. This translation was prepared by the Indian sage Subhūti-śrī-šānti and the Tibetan interpreter Dge-wahi-blo-gros. The work in Tibetan is called Tshad-ma-mam-hgrel-gyi-tshig signifying "Memorial Verses explanatory of *Pramāṇa* or Sources of Knowledge." The work is divided into four chapters as follows :—(1) Inference for one's own self (in Tibetan : Raā-gi-don-rjeg-su-dpag-pa, in Sanskrit: Svārthānumāna); (2) Establishment of *Pramāṇa* (in Tibetan : Tshad-ma-grub-pa, in Sanskrit : Pramāṇa-siddhi); (3) Perception (in Tibetan : Māon-sum, in Sanskrit : Pratyakṣa); and (4) Words for the sake of others (in Tibetan : Gshan-gyi-don-gyitshig, in Sanskrit : Parārtha-vākya). The work concludes by stating that "it was written by the great sage Srī Dharmakīrti

इसा-प्रश्नात् मित्रा-प्रश्ना नियानिका । सेन्यन- घन्न- अर्घेट- प्रायलिका ।

(Pramāna-vārtika-kārikā embodied in the Tangyur, Mdo, Če, folio 239. *Vide* Louis de la Vallee Poussin's Le Bouddhisme d'après les sources brahmaniques, p. 34).

¹ Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 176. ² It seems that Iśvara Sena, in whose house Dharmakīrti heard the Pramāna-samuccaya, was not a direct pupil of Dignāga, for, while Dignāga lived about 500 A.D., Dharmakīrti lived about 635 A.D.

³ I have ecusulted the copy of this work embodied in the Tangyur of the India Office, London.



PRAMANA-VINISCAYA.

who was unrivalled and whose fame filled the entire earth."¹ It is further stated that he was born in the Deccan (in Tibetan : Yul-lho-phyog).

Pramāņa-vārtika-vrtti.

84. There was a sub-commentary on the Pramāņa-vārtikakārikā called Pramāņa-vārtika-vrtti by Dharmakīrti himself. The Sanskrit original of this work is lost. There exists, however, a Tibetan translation ² of it in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ce, folios 420—535. In Tibetan the work is named Tshad-ma-rnam-hgrelgyi-hgrel-wa. In the concluding lines of the work Dharmakīrti is described as "a great teacher and dialectician, whose fame filled all quarters of the earth and who was, as it were, a lion, pressing down the head of elephant-like debaters."³

Pramāņa-viniscaya.

85. Pramāņa-viniścaya quoted by Mādhavācārya is another work on Logic by Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original

¹ المعرفة المعرفة المعرفة المعرفة المحافة ا

* रैनासा साळेन से स्वर्ते होता सा खुझा सा के झून मे सात से छिते ही में मोर्के सर सहराय के से त्या मा सा खुझा सर झुन सर मा मासा सा ठक्र न स्वर्त्त से से से से सामा सा खुरा मा भ

(Pramana-vartika-vrtti).

107

* The following verses of Pramāņa-vinišcaya were quoted in the Sarvadaršana-samgraha, chapter on Bauddha daršana, by the Hindu philosopher Mādhavācārya in the 14th century A.D. :---

नान्योऽनुभावा नुद्वाखि तस्या नानुभवोऽपरः । प्राद्यग्राइकवैधुर्य्यात् खयं चैव प्रकाग्रते ॥ (क) सद्दोपलक्षनियसाद् खभेदो नोलतदियोः ॥ (ख) खविभागोऽपि नुद्यात्मा विपर्य्याचितदर्ग्रनेः । प्राद्यग्राहकसंवित्तिभेदवानिव लच्छाते ॥ (ग)

(Pramāna-vinišcaya, chap. I).

GOVERNMEN

108

MINISTRY OF

of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation¹ of it in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ce, folios 259-347. The translation was prepared by the Kāśmirian Pandita Parahita Bhadra and the Tibetan interpreter Blo-Idan-ses-rab in the matchless city of Kāśmīra. The work in Tibetan is called Tshad-ma-man-par-nes-pa signifying "Determination of Pramana or Sources of Knowledge." The work is divided into three chapters as follows:-(1) System of Perception (in Tibetan : Mnon-sum-gtan-la-dwab-pa, in Sanskrit : Pratyaksavyavasthā); (2) Inference for one's own self (in Tibetan: Rangi-don-gyi-rjes-su-dpag-pa, in Sanskrit: Svārthānumāna); and (3) Inference for the sake of others (in Tibetan: Gshan-gyidon-gyi-rjes-su-dpag-pa, in Sanskrit: Parārthānumāna). In the concluding lines Dharmakirti is described as a great sage of unrivalled fame born in Southern India.

Prof. Louis de la Vallee Poussin in his "Le Bouddhisme d'après les sources brahmaniques," pp. 32 and 34, identifies the above verses with their Tibetan versions as follows :---

> मिण्भगञ्जमसामित्यमुन् सेन्॥ ने'भे' में हि' मामनिक भेनि भा माइत प्रति मिनिक भेनि भिनिक मिनिक म

(Pramāņa-vinišcaya, chap. I, embodied in the Tibetan Tangyur, Mdo, Ce, folios 272, 274 and 273 respectively).

¹ I have consulted the Tibetan xylograph of this work embedded in the Tangyur of the India Office, London.

NYAYA-BINDU.

Nyāya-bindu.

86. Nyāya-bindu is another excellent work on Logic by Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work was discovered among the palm leaf manuscripts preserved in the Jaina temple of S'āntinātha, Cambay, and has been published in the Bibliotheca Indica series of Calcutta by Professor Peterson. There exists a Tibetan translation ¹ of the work in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ce, folios 347—355. The work in Tibetan is called Rigs-paḥi-thigspa signifying "A Drop of Logic." It is divided into three chapters as follows: (1) Perception (in Tibetan : Mnon-sum, in Sanskrit : Pratyakṣa); (2) Inference for one's own self (in Tibetan : Bdag-gi-don-gyi-rjes-su-dpag-pa, in Sanskrit : Svärthānumāna); and (3) Inference for the sake of others (in Tibetan : Gshan-gyi-don-rjes-su-dpag-pa, in Sanskrit : Parārthānumāna). Some of the subjects discussed in the work are noted below :—

87. In chapter I, it is stated that all objects of man are accomplished by perfect or valid know-

ledge. Valid knowledge is of two kinds: (1) Perception (in Sanskrit: Pratyaksa) and (2) Inference (in Sanskrit: Anumāna). Perception which is knowledge derived through the senses, etc., is described as that which is free from illusory experiences (kalpanā) and devoid of confusion (abhranta). Illusory experiences are the experiences of false images which appear real as if they were capable of being addressed and touched, e.g., the shadow of a tree may appear as the tree itself or a rope may appear as a snake. Confusion is engendered by such causes as darkness, quick motion, journey by boat, shaking, etc., for instance, to a man journeying by boat, trees on both banks appear to move. Perception is of four kinds: (1) perception by the five senses; (2) perception by the mind; (3) self-consciousness; and (4) knowledge of a contemplative saint. An object of perception is like itself (sva-laksana) while an object of inference is like any one of its class (sāmānya-laksana), for instance, a cow which I see is a peculiar one possessing an infinite number of qualities which distinguish it from all cows, whereas a cow which I infer is a general one possessing certain qualities in common with other cows; that is, perception is individual knowledge while inference is general knowledge. According to the proximity or remoteness of an object, perception of it varies. This is the peculiar characteristic of an object of perception, and this characteristic proves the object to be absolutely real (paramartha-sat), as it

¹ I have consulted the Tibetan xylograph of the Nyāya-bindu embodied in the Tangyur of the India Office, London, as also the excellent edition of F. J. Sher-batski.

shows that it possesses some practical efficiency, and this characteristic also shows that perception is a source of valid knowledge for it exactly corresponds to the object perceived.

88. In chapter II, Inference for one's own self (Svärthänumāna) is defined as the knowledge Inference for one's own

self.

110

of the inferrible derived through the reason or middle term with its three

forms or characteristics. In the instance 'this hill has fire, because it has smoke,' the knowledge of the hill as having fire is derived through smoke which is the reason or middle term. The three forms or characteristics of the reason or middle term are the following :--

(1) The middle term must be connected with the minor term, e.g.

The hill has fire,

Because it has smoke.

Like a kitchen but unlike a lake.

In this reasoning there must be 'smoke' on the 'hill.'

(2) The middle term must abide only in cases which are homogeneous with the major term, e.g., in the above reasoning ' smoke' abides in a kitchen which is homogeneous with things that contain fire.

(3) The middle term must never abide in cases which are heterogeneous from the major term, e.g., in the above reasoning 'smoke' does not abide in a lake which is heterogeneous from things that contain fire.

89. The middle term is of three Three kinds of the kinds according to the relation which middle term. it bears to the major term, thus :--

Identity (in Tibetan : Ran-bshin, in Sanskrit : Svabhāva), (1)e.g.

This is a tree. Because it is simsapā.

Effect (in Tibetan : Hbras-bu, in Sanskrit : Kārya), e.g. (2)Here there is fire, because there is smoke.

(3) Non-perception (in Tibetan: Mi-dmigg-pa, in Sanskrit: Anupalabdhi), which is of 11 kinds as follows :---

- Non-perception of identity (Svabhāvānupalabdhi), e.g. 1. Here is no smoke, because it is not perceived (though smoke is of a nature as to be perceived if existent).
- Non-perception of effect (Kāryānupalabdhi), e.g. ii.

Here there are no causes of smoke of unobstructed capacity, because there is no smoke here.

NYAYA-BINDU.



iii. Non-perception of the pervader or container (Vyāpakānupalabdhi), e.g.

Here there is no Simsapā, because there is no tree at all.

iv. Perception.contrary to identity (Svabhāva-viruddhopalabdhi), e.g.

There is no cold sensation here because there is fire.

v. Perception of the opposite effect (Viruddha-kāryopalabdhi), e.g.

Here there is no cold sensation because there is smoke.

vi. Perception of contrary connection (Viruddha-vyāptopalabdhi), e.g.

Even the destruction of the past entity is not certain, because it is dependent on other causes.

vii. Perception contrary to the effect (Kārya-viruddhopalabdhi), e.g.

Here there are no causes of cold of unobstructed capacity, because there is fire.

viii. Perception contrary to the container (vyāpakaviruddhopalabdhi), e.g.

Here there is no icy sensation, because there is fire.

ix. Non-perception of the cause (Kāranānupalabdhi), e.g.

There is no smoke, because there is no fire.

x. Perception contrary to the cause (Kāraņa-viruddhopalabdhi), e.g.

Hair on his body does not stand erect, because he sits near a fire.

xi. Perception of effect contrary to its cause (Kāraņa-viruddha-kāryopalabdhi), e.g.

> This place does not contain any person on whose body hair stands erect, because there is smoke here.

90. In chapter III Inference for the sake of others Inference for the sake of others. (Parārthānumāna) is defined as the declaration of the three-formed middle term in words, that is, when the reason

is set forth in words with a view to producing a conviction in others, it is said to be an inference for the sake of others.

Inference is a kind of knowledge; and words are here called inference by the attributing of effect to cause, for, though they

SL

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

are not themselves knowledge, they produce it. Inference for the sake of others is of two kinds: (1) direct or homogeneous (in Sanskrit: Sādharmyavat); and (2) indirect or heterogeneous (in Sanskrit: Vaidharmyavat), as follows:—

(a) Sound is non-eternal,

112

Because it is a product,

All products are non-eternal as a pot (direct).

(b) Sound is non-eternal,

Because it is a product,

No non-non-eternal *i.e.*, eternal (thing) is a product as ether (indirect).

91. The minor term (Paksa) is that to which the relation of the major term is to be proved, as—This hill has fire, because it has smoke. In this reasoning 'hill' is the minor term which is to be proved as having 'fire' which is the major term. A minor term and its corresponding major term combined together, constitute a proposition which, when offered for proof, is called a thesis.

Fallacies of thesis or 92. There are four fallacies of the Pakşābhāsa. (Pakşābhāsa).

A thesis is fallacious if it is incompatible with-

(1) Perception, e.g. Sound is inaudible ;

(2) Inference, e.g. Sound is eternal;

(3) Conception, e.g. The moon is not luna (S'asi a-candra); or (4) One's own statement, e.g. Inference is not a source of knowledge.

93. It has already been stated that the middle term must possess three characteristics. Fallacies

Fallacies of the middle of the middle term (Hetvābhāsa) occur term. even if one of the characteristics is unproved, uncertain or contradictory, thus—

A. Unproved (asiddha).

(1) Sound is eternal, because it is visible.

(Visibility of sound is admitted by neither party).

(2) Trees are conscious, because they die if their barks are taken off.

(This peculiar kind of death of trees is not admitted by the opponent).

(3) The hill has fire, because it has vapour.

(Vapour as an effect of fire is questioned).

(4) The soul is all-pervading, because it is perceived everywhere.

(It is a matter of doubt whether the soul is perceived everywhere). NYAYA-BINDU.



- B. Uncertain (anaikāntika).
- (5) Sound is non-eternal. Because it is knowable.

(The knowable is too general, because it includes the eternal as well as the non-eternal).

(6) A certain man is omniscient, Because he is a speaker.

(The reason is not general enough, for speakers are not necessarily either omniscient or non-omniscient).

- C. Contradictory (viruddha).
- (7) Sound is eternal,

Because it is a product.

(Here 'product' is not homogeneous with 'eternal,' that is, the middle term is opposed to the major term).

(8) Sound is eternal,

Because it is a product.

(Here 'product' is not heterogeneous from 'non-eternal').

94. The opposition of the middle term to the major term Dharmakīrti criticises Dignāga. to the implied major term (in the event of the middle term being ambiguous) is noted by Dignāga in his Nyāya-praveša as another kind of contradiction (Viruddha). Dharmakīrti in his Nyāyabindu rejects this view saying that this second contradiction is included in the first kind.^{*} Dignāga has in his Nyāya-praveša treated another kind of fallacy called " non-

 1 Vide Nyāya-praveša, Fallacies of the Middle Term, No. 12. This contradiction, viz., the opposition of the middle term to the implied major

term (दृष्टविधानकद् विग्रद) is called in Tibetan : कैरी ठेद मे छिर

นายิสุธิณีๆรูาสูานายิราย (Nyaya-pravesa).

श्वत्र च ढतीयोऽपि इष्टविधातळद् विषदः । ... स इच कछाज्ञोक्तः चनयोरेव चन्त्रधोवात् । (Nyāya-bindu, Peterson's edition, Bibliotheca Indica series, chapter III, p. 113).

खरं च विषड खाचार्या दिङ्नामेन उक्तः । स कसाद् वाक्तिकवारेष धना लया नोक्तः । (Nyäyabindu-tikā, Peterson's edition, Bibliotheca Indica series, chapter III, p. 78).





erroneous contradiction "¹ (Viruddhāvyabhicāri), which it rejected by Dharmakīrti in his Nyāyabindu, on the ground that it does not arise in the case of inference, but is based on the scriptures of the two parties engaged in disputation.

95. In opposition to Dignāga, Dharmakīrti maintains that Dharmakīrti's Theory of example. "Example' is not a part of a syllogism," as it is included in the middle term, e.g.

> The hill is fiery, Because it is smoky, Like a kitchen.

In this reasoning the term 'smoky' includes a 'kitchen' as well as other similar things, hence it is almost unnecessary to cite the example 'kitchen.'

Compare K. B. Pathak's "On the authorship of the Nyāyabindu" in the Journal of the Bombay Branch of the Royal Asiatic Society, vol. XIX, p. 51.

1 Vide Nyāya-praveša, Fallacies of the Middle Term, No. 10. This fallacy of 'non-erroneous contradiction' is called in Sanskrit: विद्या-

बभिषारी and in Tibetan: २माय मारा से दिया (Nyāya-praveša).

विरदायभिषार्थाप संगयकेतुरुक्तः। स इच कस्नाक्रोक्तः खनुसानविषये असमावात् । (Nyäyabindu, p. 115).

नन चाचार्य्येष विद्वायभिचार्य्यपि रंग्रयहेतुदक्तः ।... ज तर्हि चाचार्य-दिङ्नागेन चयं हेतुदोष उक्तः इत्याह । ... तस्मादागमात्रयमनुमानमात्रित्व विद्वा-वभिचार्य्यक्तः । (Nyāyabindu-tikā, p. 84).

Compare also B.B.R.A.S., vol. XIX, p. 49.

2 चिक्रपी चेतुबक्ताः । तावतैव चर्षप्रतीतिरिति न ष्टयम् इटान्तो नाम साधना-वयवः कचित । तेनास्य खचर्ष् ष्टयम् [न] खच्चते मतार्थवात् ।

(Nyāyabindu, Peterson's edition, Bibliotheca Indica series, pp. 115— 116). Peterson has omitted **q** wrongly as will appear from the Tibetan version which runs as follows :--

मान्द्र केंग्राणी सक्त केन गासुम क्रिंग माने के माने के मिना के किंग माने के किंग माने के किंग माने के किंग माने के का माने के किंग माने के किंग माने के किंग के कि

(Nyāyabindu, Sherbatski's edition, St. Petersburg, p. 193).

NAYA-BINDU.



Nevertheless, says DharmakIrti,¹ the example has this much value that it points out in a particular way what has been expressed in a general form by the middle term : thus, the general expression "all smoky things are fiery" is made more impressive by the particular example 'kitchen ' which is smoky as well as fiery.

96. Example is of two kinds: (1) homogeneous and (2) Fallacies of the homogeneous example. Fallacies of the homogeneous example occur as follows :--

(1) Sound is eternal, Because it is incorporeal, Like action.

(Action cannot serve as an example, because it is not eternal, that is, because it is excluded from the major term).

(2) Sound is eternal, Because it is incorporeal, Like atoms.

(Atoms cannot serve as an example, because they are not incorporeal, that is, because they are excluded from the middle term).

(3) Sound is eternal, Because it is incorporeal, Like a pot.

(Pot cannot serve as an example, because it is neither eternal nor incorporeal, that is, because it is excluded from both major and middle terms).

(4) This man is passionate, Because he is a speaker, Like a person in the street.

(The person in the street cannot serve as an example, as it is questionable whether he is passionate, that is, it involves doubt as to the validity of the major term).

(5) This man is mortal, Because he is passionate, Like a person in the street.

(This example involves doubt as to the validity of the middle term, that is, it is questionable whether the person in the street is passionate).

...... उन्नम् अभेदेन..... उनर्विश्वेषेष दर्शनीयानुन्ती । (Nyāyabindu, p. 116).

(6) This man is non-omniscient, Because he is passionate, Like a person in the street.

116

(This example involves doubt as to the validity of both the major and middle terms, that is, it is questionable whether the person in the street is passionate and non-omniscient).

(7) This man is passionate,

Because he is a speaker,

Like a certain person.

(This example is unconnected (ananvaya), for there is no inseparable connection between being 'passionate' and being a 'speaker').

(8) Sound is non-eternal, Because it is a product, Like a pot.

(This example involves the fallacy of 'connection unshown,' apradarsitānvaya: the connection should be shown as follows: All products are non-eternal like a pot).

(9) Sound is a product,

Because it is non-eternal,

All non-eternal things are products like a pot.

(The example involves the fallacy of inverted connection, *viparitānvaya*; the real connection should be shown as follows: All products are non-eternal like a pot).

97. Similarly there are nine fallacies of the heterogeneous example.

98. Refutation ($D\bar{u}sana$) consists in pointing out in the reasoning of an opponent any one of the fallacies mentioned above. The fallacies or semblances of refutation are the futilities called in Sanskrit $J\bar{a}ti$.

99. In the concluding lines of the Nyāyabindu it is stated that "Dharmakīrti vanquished the entire Tīrthikas as Sākyamuni had subdued the large army of Māra; and as the sun dispels darkness, the Nyāyabindu has exterminated the Ātmaka theory (that is, the Tīrthika doctrine)—wonderful !²

1 For Jāti vide Nyāya-sūtra, Book 1, aphorism 58.

ศาฏายุรานสารราฏายุ่ารับไป ธิสาฏายุยุสามสาย เชียุทุสาม (ปลาม))

SANTANANTARA-SIDDHI,

Hetu-bindu-vivarana.

100. The Hetu-bindu-vivarana is another excellent work on Logic by DharmakIrti. The Sanskrit original of this work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ¹ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ce, folios 355—375. The work in Tibetan is called Gtantshigg-kyi-thigg-pa signifying "A Drop of Reason." The work is divided into three chapters as follows :—

(1) Relation of identity between the middle term and the major term (in Tibetan : Rao-bshin-gyi-gtan-tshigs, in Sanskrit : Svabhāva-hetu); (2) Relation of effect and cause between the middle term and the major term (in Tibetan : Hbras-buhi-gtan-tshigs, in Sanskrit : Kārya-hetu); and (3) Relation of negation between the middle term and the heterogeneous major term (in Tibetan : Mi-dmigs-pahi-gtan-tshigs, in Sanskrit : Anupalabdhi-hetu).

Tarka-nyāya or Vāda-nyāya.

101. The Tarka-nyāya or Vāda-nyāya is another treatise on Logic by Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ² in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ce, folios 384—416. The work in Tibetan is called Rtsod-paḥi-rigs-pa signifying the "Method of Discussion." The Tibetan translation was prepared by the great Indian sage Jñāna-śrī-bhadra and the Tibetan interpreter-monk Dge-waḥiblo-gros. Subsequently the translation was retouched by the great Paṇḍita Dīpaakara (of Vikramanīpura in Bengal, born in 980 A.D.) and the interpreter-monk Dar-ma-grags.

Santānāntara-siddhi.

102. The Santānāntara-siddhi is a philosophical treatise by Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of the work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan version⁸ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ce, folios

केंस्रसमाद्यमाद्यस्य मार्गस्य में मिनासायाध्य !!

สรุญ ญายางาราสาร

(Nyāyabindu).

1 I have consulted the copy embodied in the Tangyur of the India Office, London.

2 I have consulted the work embodied in the Tangyur of the India Office, London.

⁸ I have consulted the Tibetan version embodied in the Tangyur of the India Office, London.



GOVERNMEN

118

416—420. The work in Tibetan is called <u>Rgyud-gshan-grub-pa</u> signifying "Proof of the Continuity of Succession."

Sambandha-pariksä.

103. The Sambandha-parīkṣā is another philosophical treatise by Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of the work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ¹ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ce, folios 375—377. The work in Tibetan is called Hbrelwa-brtag-pa signifying "Examination of Connection." The Tibetan translation was prepared by the Indian teacher Jñānagarbha and the interpreter Vande-nam-mkhag.

Sambandha-pariksā-vrtti.

104. The Sambandha-parīkṣā-vṛtti² is a commentary on the Sambandha-parīkṣā by Dharmakīrti himself. The Sanskrit original of the work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ce, folios 377-384. The work in Tibetan is called <u>H</u>brel-wa-<u>b</u>rtag-pahi-hgrel-wa.

DEVENDRABODHI (ABOUT 650 A.D.).

105. Devendrabodhi, called in Tibetan Lha-dwań-blo, was a contemporary of DharmakIrti,⁵ and so lived about 650 A.D. He wrote the following work on Logic :---

106. The Pramāņa-vārtika-pañjikā, called in Tibetan, Tshadma-mam-hgrel-gyi-dkah-hgrel, signifying "An Explanation of Difficulties in the Pramāņa-vārtika of Dharmakīrti." The Sanskrit original of this work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ⁴ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Che, folios 1—380. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage Subhūti-śrī and the Tibetan interpreter-monk Dge-wahi-blo-gros.

107. This story^b is told regarding the composition of the Pramāņa-vārtika-pañjikā :---

Dharmakirti chose Devendrabodhi to write a commentary on his Pramāna-vārtika. After Devendrabodhi had finished the

6 Vide Täränatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp.186-187.

¹ I have consulted the copy embodied in the Tangyur of the India Office, London.

² I have consulted the copy of this work embodied in the Tangyur of the India Office, London.

³ Vide Täränätha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 186-187.

^{*} I have consulted the work in the monastery of Labrang in Sikkim in 1907.





commentary for the time and shown it to DharmakIrti, the latter erased it with water. After he had compiled it a second time, DharmakIrti burnt it in fire. He then compiled it a third time and gave it to DharmakIrti with the observation : "Since _ the majority of men are incompetent and time is fleeting, I have written this commentary for the people of lighter understanding." This time DharmakIrti allowed the work to exist.

S'AKYABODHI (ABOUT 675 A.D.).

108. S'ākyabodhi¹ is stated to have been a pupil of Devendrabodhi. He seems to have lived about 675 A.D. He was the author of the following work :—

109. The Pramāṇa-vārtika (-pañjikā)-țīkā, called in Tibetan: Tshad-ma-mam-hgrel-gyi-hgrel-bsad, which is an annotation on the Pramāṇa-vārtika-pañjikā of Devendrabodhi. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ² which covers volumes Je and Ne of the Tangyur, Mdo. The translation was prepared by the interpreter Dge-wahi-blo gros.

VINITA DEVA (ABOUT 700 A.D.).

110. Vinīta Deva,³ called in Tibetan Dul-Iha, lived in Nālanda during the time of king Lalita Candra, son of Govi Candra, and Dharmakirti died during the time of Govi Candra. Vimala Candra, the father of Govi Candra, was married to the sister of Bhartrhari, who sprang from the ancient royal family of Malwa. Supposing this Bhartrhari to be identical with the famous grammarian of that name who died in 651-652 A.D.,⁴ we may place his contemporary Govi Candra in the middle of the 7th century A.D. This is exactly the time when Dharmakīrti died. Hence we may conclude that Lalita Candra, son of Govi Candra, flourished towards the end of the 7th century A.D. Vinīta Deva, contemporary of Lalita Candra, must also have lived about this time, a view which harmonises with the date of Dharmakīrti on whose works Vinīta Deva wrote commentaries.

Vinita Deva, who was the famous author of the Samayabhedoparacana-cakra, wrote the following works on Logic :---

1 Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 187.

² I have consulted this work in the monastery of Labrang in Sikkim in 1907.

⁸ Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 195—198, 272; Pag-sam-jon-zang edited by Sarat Chandra Das, pp. xlviii, 108.

⁴ Vide Takakusu's I-tsing, p. lvii.

GOVERNMEN

720

111. Nyāya-bindu-ţīkā, called in Tibetan : Rigs-paḥi-thigspa-rgya-cher-hgrel-wa, which is a detailed commentary on the Nyāyabindu of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work is lost, but a Tibetan translation ' of it exists in the Tangyur, Mdo, She, folios 1—43. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage Jina Mitra and the interpreter of Shu-chen named Vande-ye-śeg-sde.

112. Hetu-bindu-tīkā, called in Tibetan : Gtan-tshigs-kyithigs-pa-rgya-cher-hgr l-wa, which is a detailed commentary on the Hetu-bindu of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation² in the Tangyur, Mdo, She, folios 116—205. The translation was prepared by the Indian teacher Prajñā Varma and the interpreter-monk of Shu-chen named Dpal-brtsegs-raksita.

113. Vāda-nyāya-vyākhyā, called in Tibetan: Rtsod-pahirigs-pahi-hgrel-wa, which is a commentary on the Vāda-nyāya (otherwise called Tarka-nyāya) of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation⁸ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, folios 39-65. The work opens thus: "Who is self-perfected in sweet logical discussion, supreme in patience, affection, charity and self-restraint, and who is the most excellent of logicians-to him (Buddha) bowing down I compose a commentary on the text of Vāda-nyāya."

114. Sambandha-parīkṣā-tīkā, called in Tibetan Hbrel-pabrtag-pahi rgya-cher-bśad-pa, which is a copious commentary on the Sambandhaparīkṣā of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation * in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, folios 1—24. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage Jñāna-garbha and the Tibetan interpreter Vande-nam-mkhas. The work opens thus :---

"Who is entirely unconnected with the world, and is yet designated as the supreme teacher of it—to him bowing down fully I explain the Sambandha-parīksā."

115. Alambana-parikṣā-țikā, called in Tibetan Dmigs-pabrtag-pahi-hgrel-bśad, is an annotation on the Alambanaparikṣā of Dignāga. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ⁵ in the

¹ This work was brought down to Calcutta by the British Mission to Tibet during 1904. I examined it by permission of the Government of India. It is now deposited in the British Museum, London.

² I examined this work by permission of the Government of India when it was brought down to Calcutta by the British Mission to Tibet, 1904.

⁸ I have consulted the India Office copy.

⁴ I have consulted the India Office copy.

⁵ I have consulted the India Office copy.

CANDRA GOMIN.

Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, folios 186—200. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage S'ākya-simha and the interpreter Vandedpal-<u>brtsegs</u> of Shu-chen. The work opens thus:—

"Meditating on the merciful Omniscient One, and salutinghim by my head, I compose the Alambana-pariksä-tikä."

It ends thus :---

MINISTRYOR

"Here is finished the Alambana parīkṣā-tīkā, which is a clean work of the teacher Vinīta Deva who weighed all sorts of ālambana (objects of thought), and is a lion of speakers confounding the brains of the Tirthika-elephants."

116. Santānāntara-siddhi-tīkā, called in Tibetan: Egyudgshan-grub-pahi-bgrel-bśad, being a commentary on the Santānāntara-siddhi of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ¹ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Tshe, folios 1—21. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage Viśuddha-simha and the interpreter of Shu-chen named Dpal-rtsegs-raksita.

CANDRA GOMIN (ABOUT 700 A.D.).

117. Candra Gomin,² called in Tibetan : Zla-wa-dge-bsñen, was born in a Ksatriya family in the east at Vārendra (modern Rajshahye in Bengal). He was endowed with a very keen intellect and acquired distinction in literature, grammar, logic, astronomy, music, fine arts and the science of medicine. Under Acārya Sthiramati he learnt the Sūtra and Abhidharma Pitakas of the Buddhist scripture, and was converted to Buddhism by the Vidyādhara Ācārya Aśoka.8 He had an ardent faith in the Buddhist god Avalokiteśvara and the goddess Tārā.4 He was offered in marriage a daughter of the king of Nålendra. Being told that she was named Tārā, which was the name of his tutelary deity, he thinking it improper to accept her hands shrank from her with fear. Upon this the king of Vārendra became angry and put Candra Gomin into a chest which was thrown into the Ganges. The chest was carried down until it stopped at an island at the place where the Ganges flows into the ocean. Candra Gomin with deep reverence offered a prayer to goddess Tārā by whose blessing he got out of the chest. He

¹ I have consulted the India Office xylograph of the Tibetan version. ² Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp.

145-146, 148-158; and Pag-sam-jon-zang edited in the original Tibetan by Sarat Chandra Das, Calcutta, pp. 95 96.

³ Acārya Aśoka was the author of a logical treatise called Sāmānyadūşaņa-dikprakāśikā, a short treatise on the refutation of generality, lately recovered from Nepal.

⁴ For Candra Gomin's "Arya-Tārā-antarbali-vidhi " vide Satischandra Vidyabhusana's Sragdharā-stotra, Introduction, pp. xx, xxi. GOVERNMEN

199

MINISTRY OF

resided in the island which was in course of time named Candradvīpa ¹ or the island of Candra. He as a Buddhist devotee $(up\bar{a}saka)$ established there stone-images of Avalokiteśvara and Tārā. At first only fishermen settled in the island, but afterwards other people came to live there. Gradually the island became a town.

118. Candra Gomin thereupon went to Ceylon and on his way back found in Southern India in the house of Vararuci, Nāga-S'esa's (Patañjali's) Bhāsva on Pānini's grammar. Finding that it contained "many words but few thoughts," he himself composed a commentary on Pānini, which was named Candra-vyākarana.² Afterwards he came to Nālandā-the store-house of knowledge-and met there Candra-kirti, the famous commentator on the Mādhyamika Philosophy of Arya Nāgārjuna. Candra Gomin himself was a follower of the Yogācara system expounded by Arya Asanga. While Candra Kirti and Candra Gomin entered upon philosophical discussions people used to observe 3: "Alas! the text of Arya Nāgārjuna is medicine to some but poison to others, whereas the text of invincible Arya Asanga is very ambrosia to all men." It is further stated that into a well at Nālandā Candra Gomin threw the original manuscript of his grammar, thinking that it was not better than the one which Candra Kirti had written. At that time Tārā and Avalokitesvara appeared before him saying: "Though Candra Kirti is overwhelmed with pride as a sage, your work is more useful than all others and will do immense good to the world." They raised the manuscript from the well, which was

¹ Candra dvīpa is situated in the district of Barisal in South-eastern Bengal at a place where the Padmā, which is a main outlet of the Ganges, falls into the ocean. Vārendra in which Candra Gomin was born is identical with the Rajshahye division in North-eastern Bengal through which the Padmā flows.

² For Candra-vyākarana *vide* Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's "Sanskrit works on Literature, Grammar, Rhetoric and Lexicography, as preserved in Tibet" in the *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal*, new series, Vol. iii, No. 2, 1907. The Candra-vyākarana in the original Sanskrit has been printed in Germany.

गुःसःदयमासःयः तुः सुयः मालुदः । भाषादे झुद्रायात्मात् न्या। मे यमन्यम् मा यम् मा मेर्न मा किरा 3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 5 7 3 5 1 (Pag-sam-jon-zang, p. 96).

MINISTRY

thence reputed as "Candra's well" (in Sanskrit : Candra-kupa and in Tibetan : Tsandrahi-khron-pa), the water of which was wont to be drunk by people in the belief that thereby their intellect would become sharp. Candra Kirti was, however, a great admirer of Candra Gomin. When the latter arrived at Nälandä the monks refused to give him a reception, saying that it was not proper for priests to welcome a mere devotee (upasaka). Hearing this Candra Kirti brought three chariots, two of which were occupied by himself and Candra Gomin respectively, while in the third was placed an image of Mañjuśrī, the Buddhist god of learning. The chariots passed through the town in a great procession attended by the whole body of priests who came really to recite hymns to Mañjuśri, but apparently to accord a fitting welcome to Candra Gomin.1

119. Candra Gomin lived at a time when S'ila, son of Harsa, reigned. During this time king Simha of the Licchavi dynasty reigned in Värendra. The famous poet Ravi Gupta was a contemporary of Bharsa, son of Simha. S'ri Harsa seems to be the same as king Harsa Vardhana who was a contemporary of Hwen-thsang and reigned in 647 A.D. His son Sila seems, on a rough calculation, to have reigned in 700 A.D., when his contemporary Candra Gomin must also have lived. Jaina Hema Candra Sūri who lived during 1088-1172 A.D., refers to Candra Gomin while Jayaditya,2 the famous author of the Kāśikā-vrtti, who died in 661-662 A.D.,³ does not mention This may be explained on the supposition that Candra him. Gomin lived after Jayaditya, but preceded Hema Candra.

120. Candra Gomin was the author of the following work on Logic : Nyāyāloka-siddhi, called in Tibetan : Rigs-pa-grub-pahisgron-ma, signifying "a lamp of logical reasoning." The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation 4 in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, folios 200-201. The translation was prepared by Pandita S'rI Sita-prabha and the interpreter-monk Vairocana.

RAVI GUPTA (ABOUT 725 A.D.).

121. Ravi Gupta,⁶ called in Tibetan: Ni-ma-sbas, was born in Kāśmīra. He was a great poet, dialectician and Täntric

 Vide Takakusu's I-tsing, p. lvii.
I have used the India Office copy.
Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 146, 147, 243; and Pag-sam-jon-zang, part i, pp. 90, 101, 118, xxxvii.

¹ Vide Pag sam-jon-zang, pp. 95-96.

² Vide Professor F. Kielhorn's "Indra Gomin and other grammarians" in the Indian Antiquary, Vol. xv, June 1886, pp. 181, 184.

GOVERNIEN

194

MINISTRY OF

teacher, who established 12 great religious schools in his native country and Magadha. He was a contemporary of king Bharsa of Varendra, and flourished a little later than Candra Gomin, who had lived during the time of Bharsa's father Simha. Ravi Gupta must have lived in the first quarter of the eighth century A.D., for his disciple the famous Tantric monk Sarvajña Mitra 1 lived in the middle of that century. Ravi Gupta was the author 2 of the following work on Logic :--

122. Pramāņa-vārtika-vrtti, called in Tibetan: Tshad-marnam-hgrel-gyi-hgrel-pa, which is an annotation on the Pramanavārtika of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation³ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Tshe, folios 132-252.

JINENDRABODHI (ABOUT 725 A.D.).

123. In the Tangyur, Mdo, Re, there is the Tibetan version of a work called Visalāmala vatī-nāma pramāna-samuccayatikā. This version was prepared by the Tibetan interpreter Rdo-rje-rgyal-mtshan with the assistance of Dpal-Idan-blo-gros.

124. The author of the original work was Jinendrabodhi, called in Tibetan Rgval-dwan-blo-gros, who was a venerable countryman of the Bodhisattva (Dignaga?). He is perhaps the same person who wrote the well-known Nyasa on the grammar of Pānini in the eighth century A.D.

S'ANTA RAKSITA (749 A.D.).

125. S'anta Raksita, * called in Tibetan : Shi-wa-htsho, was born in the royal family of Za-hor [in Bengal ?]. The exact date of his birth is unknown, but it is stated that he was born at the time of Go Pala who reigned up to 705 A.D. (vide Appendix B) and died at the time of Dharma Pāla who became king in 765 A.D. He followed the Svatantra Mādhyamika school, and was a Professor at Nālandā (vide Appendix A). He visited Tibet at the invitation of King Khri-sron-deu-tsan who was born in 728 A.D., and died in 864 A.D. The king, with the assistance of Santa Raksita, built in 749 A.D. the monastery of Sam-ve 5 in

1 Vide Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's Sragdhara Stotra, Introduction, p. xxx, printed in the Bibliotheca Indica series of Calcutta.

p. xxx, printed in the Bonometa index series of Calentra.
² For Ravi Gupta's Arya-koşa see Rockhill's Buddha, p. 228; and for his works on Tantra, see Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's Sragdharā Stotra, Introduction, pp. v.vii.
⁸ I have consulted the copy of the India Office, London.
⁴ Vide Pag-sam-jon-zang edited by Sarat Chandra Das, C.I.E., Calcutta,

p. 112

⁵ Vide Csoma de Koros's Tibetan Grammar, p. 183; Sarat Chandra Das in the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, 1881, Part I, p. 226: and Waddell's Lamaism, p. 28.

SANTA RAKSITA.

GOVERNMENTON

Tibet, modelled after the Odantapura Vihāra of Magadha. Samye was the first Buddhist monastery in Tibet and Sāna Raksita was its first abbot. He worked in Tibet for 13 years, that is, until 762 A.D. He was known there under the name of Acarva Bodhisattva, and was the author of the following works on Logic :---

126. Vāda-nyāya-vrtti-vipañcitārtha, called in Tibetan : Rtsod-pahi-rigs-pahi-hbrel-pa-don-mam-par-hbyed-pa, an elaborate commentary on the Vāda-nyāya of Dharmakirti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a tibetan translation 1 in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, folios 65-186, and in the Tangyur, Mdo, Tshe, folios 21-131. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage Kumāraśri-bhadra and the Tibetan interpreter-monks venerable S'es-rab and Hbro-sen-dkar (who was a native of the province of Hbro or Dö) in the holy monastery of Bsam-yas (Sam-ye). The work opens thus :---

"Who constantly dispersing darkness by the ray of the heap of various pure precious qualities, exerted himself for the sake of obtaining the fruits of desire of various sentient beings and rejoiced to do good to the entire world-to that Manju-śri bowing down in reverence, I compose this concise and stainless Vadanyāya-vrtti-vipañcitārtha."

127. Tattva-samgraha-kārikā, called in Tibetan : De-kho-nanid-bsdus-pahi-tshig-lehur-byas-pa, a work containing memorial verses on a summary of the Tattvas. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation² in the Tangyur, Mdo, He, folios 1-146. The translation was prepared by the Indian Pandita Gunākara-śrī-bhadra (belonging to the religious circle first instituted by the great king Lalitāditya in the incomparable city of Kāśmīra) and the great Tibetan interpreter the Sakya monk Lha-bla-ma-shi-wa-hod in the province of Guge (S.-W. Tibet). The work reviews various systems of philosophy such as the Sāmkhya, Jaina, etc.⁸

¹ I have consulted the xylograph of this work contained in the India

Office, London. ² I have consulted the work in the monastery of Labrang, Sikkim, which I visited in June 1907. For a detailed account of this work vide my "Sāmkhya Philosophy in the Land of the Lamas" in the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, new series, Vol. iii, No. 8.

³ The Tattvasangraha herein noticed is quite different from the Tattvasamāsa, a Brāhmanic work on the Sāmkhya philosophy, a manuscript of which is contained in the library of the Asiatic Society of Bengal.

Dr George Bühler, during his explorations of the Brhat-jnäna kosa in the temple of Parsva-natha at Jesalmir, found in 1873 a Pothi, consisting of 189 ancient palm-leaves showing the characters of the 12th or 13th century, and bearing on the outside corner the title Kamala-Gila-tarka



128. The subject-matter of the work begins thus:— "From *Pradhāna* (the primordial matter or nature) possessed of entire powers all sorts of effects are produced."

(vide Dr. G. Bühler's correspondence with Rai Sarat Chandra Das, Bahadur, C.I.E., published in the Journal of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta, Vol. i, part ii, p. x). The real name of the work, according to Dr. Bühler, is Tarka-samgraha. Now, this Tarkasamgraha is nothing but Tattvasamgraha of Sānta Raksita with the commentary, by Kamala STla. The introductory part (Mangala) of the Tarka-samgraha, as noticed by Dr. Bühler, runs as follows :---

> प्रकृतौश्णेभयातादि [जियया] रहितं चल्लम् । कर्म्म तत्फलसम्बन्ध बवस्थादिसभात्रयम् ॥ गुण्डव्यक्रियाजातिसमवाधाद्युपाधिभिः ' प्रदूर्यमारोपिताकारशब्दप्रत्ययंगोचरम् ॥ साष्टलचण्डयुक्त प्रवादितयनिश्चितम् । खर्धत्राण्तिमनाद्यनं प्रतिविव्वादिसंनिभम् । सर्वत्रत्वत्रुत्रतिःसङ्गो जगदितविधित्यया । खनरणकस्पासंख्येय सात्मीभूत महोदयः ॥ सः प्रवीत्यसमुत्यादं जगाद वदतां वरः । तं सर्व्वद्रं प्रवस्यायं क्रियते तर्वसंप्रदः ॥

The introductory part in the Tattvasamgraha is identical with the above as is evident from the Tibetan version extracted below :---

126

ଌ୕ଽ୕୲୶୴ଌୖୄ୶ୄୖୠ୶୴ୠ୶୷ଽ୶୶୲୲ ଌ୕୳୶୶ୖଡ଼୶ୄୢଽୣୖ୷୴୶ୢୢୢୄ୷୷ୢଽ୲୲ ୠୢୖଽ୶୷୴ଡ଼୶ୄୖୢୄୖୢୠ୷ୣୠୄୢଡ଼୷ୢୖୄୠୄ ୠୢୖ୶୲୵୴ଡ଼୶ୄୖୢୖୢୠ୷ୠୠୄୠୄ ଽ୲ ୶୲ୄୢଌ୕୶୷୴ୄୢ୶ୠ୶୷ୖ୶ଢ଼୶ୠୠୠ୲୲ ଽୢୖୢଽ୶୷୴ୄୢ୲ୠୄୖୄୠୖ୶୶୶ଽୠୠୠ୲୲ ୴ଡ଼ୠୖ୴୲ୖୄଽ୕୶୲୶୷୶୶ଽୠୠୠୠ୲୲

मर्द्र 35 महाय 55 खुर रा भे।

and Vaišesika doctrines of the soul (in Sanskrit: Nyāya-vaišesika-parikalpita-puruṣā-parīkṣā, in Tibetan: Rigs-pa-can-dan-byebrag-paṣ-kun-tu-brtags-pahi-gkyeṣ-bu-brtag-pa); (8) examination of the Mīmāmsaka doctrine of the soul (in Sanskrit: Mīmāmsaka-kalpita-ātma-parīkṣā, in Tibetan: Spyod-pa-paṣrtags-pahi-bdag-brtag-pa); (9) examination of Kapila's octrine of the soul (in Sanskrit: Kapila-parikalpita-ātma-parīkṣā, in Tibetan: Ser-škya-paṣ-kun-tu-brtags-pahi-bdag-brtagpa); (10) examination of the Digambara Jaina doctrine of the soul (in Sanskrit: Digambara-parikalpita-ātma-parīkṣā, in Tibetan: Nam-mkhahi-goṣ-can-gyiṣ-kun-tu-brtags-pahi-bdagbrtag-pa); (11) examination of the Upaniṣad-doctrine of the soul (in Sanskrit: Upaniṣad-kalpita-ātma-parīkṣā, in Tibetan:

The work is divided into 31 chapters, viz.: (1) examination of nature (in Sanskrit: Svabhāva-parīksā, in Tibetan: Ran-bshinbrtag-pa); (2) examination of the sense-organs (in Sanskrit: Indriya-pariksā, in Tibetan: Dwan-phyug-brtag-pa); (3) examination of both (in Sanskrit: Ubhaya-parīksā, in Tibetan: Gñiska-brtag-pa); (4) examination of the theory that the world is self-existent (in Sanskrit: Jagat-svabhāva-vāda-parīksā, in Tibetan: Hgro-wa-ran-bshin-du-smra-wa-brtag-pa); (5) examination of Brahma, the presiding deity of sound (in Sanskrit: Sabda-Brahma-pariksā, in Tibetan: Sgrahi-tshans-pa-brtag-pa); (6) examination of the soul (in Sanskrit: Purusa-pariksā, in Tibetan: Skyes-bu-brtag-pa); (7) examination of the Nyāva and Vaiśesika doctrines of the soul (in Sanskrit: Nyāya-vaiśesika-parikalpita-purusa-pariksa, in Tibetan: Rigs-pa-can-dan-byebrag-pas-kun-tu-brtags-pahi-skyes-bu-brtag-pa); (8) examina-Mīmāmsaka-kalpita-ātma-parīksā, in Tibetan: Spyod-pa-pas-

ŚĀNTA RAKSITA.


BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

128

U-pa-ni-sa-di-kas-brtags-pahi-bdag-brtag-pa); (12) examination of the Vatsiputra doctrine of the soul (in Sanskrit: Vatsiputrakalpita-ātma-parīksā, in Tibetan: Gnas-mahi-bus-bdag-brtagpa); (13) examination of the permanence of entities (in Sanskrit: Sthira-padärtha-pariksä, in Tibetan: Brtan-pahi-dnospo-brtag-pa); (14) examination of the relation between Karma and its effect (in Sanskrit: Karma-phala-sambandhapariksā, in Tibetan: Las-dan-hbras-buhi-hbrel-pa-brtag-pa); (15) examination of the meaning of the word 'substance' (in Sanskrit: Dravya-padārtha-parīksā, in Tibetan: Rdsas-kvi-tshig gi-don-brtag-pa); (16) examination of the meaning of the word 'quality ; (in Sanskrit: Guna-sabdārtha-parīksā, in Tibetan : Yon-tan-gyi-tshig-gi-don-brtag-pa); (17) examination of the meaning of the word Karma (in Sanskrit: Karma-śabdārthaparīksā in Tibetan: Las-kyi-tshig gi-don-brtag-pa); (18)examination of the meaning of the word generality or genus (in Sanskrit: Sāmānya-sabdārtha-parīksā, in Tibetan: Spyihitshig-gi-don-brtag-pa); (19) examination of the meaning of the words 'generality,' and 'particularity' (in Sanskrit: Sāmānya-višesa-šabdārtha-parīkṣā, in Tibetan: Spyi-dan-bye-brag-gitshig-gi-don-brtag-pa); (20) examination of the meaning of the

> नम्नम्यम् महरू सेनु से कुत्तम्स ॥ मुनस्र हे केन में मे मन्ममुरम्य ॥ हेन केत्त्व स्व म्य मुजुत्तम है॥ मत्तम् सम्म सुत्र स्व स्व म्य सेन्म सेन्म मुनसहिन ने भाषु म्य सुमन्द्र मा ने केन हमस्र ने मुम्र मन्द्र ॥

Dr. Bühler further observes that the first section of the Tarkasamgraha contains इंश्वर-प्रोचा (examination of God), कपिछकलिपनाता-प्रोचा (examination of Kapila's doctrine of the soul), जोपनिषदकलिपनाता-परोचा (examination of the soul according to the Upaniads), खिरभाव-परोचा (examination of permanent entity), etc. The last colophon appears to him to be खत: प्रावाधावाद (examination of the doctrine of self-ovidence). These are the very subjects treated in the Tattvasamgraha. So the two works are identical. MINISTRY OC

word 'co-existent cause' (in Sanskrit: Samaväya-śabdārthapariksā, in Tibetan: Hdu-wahi-tshig-don-brtag-pa); (21) examination of the meaning of the word 'sound' (in Sanskrit: Sabdārtha-parīksā, in Tibetan : Sgra-yi-don-brtag-pa); (22) examination of the definition of perception (in Sanskrit: Pratyaksa-laksana-pariksā, in Tibetan : Mnon-sum-gyi-mtshannid-brtag-pa); (23) examination of inference (in Sanskrit: Anumānaparīksā, in Tibetan : Rjes-su-dpag-pa-brtag-pa); (24) examination of other kinds of valid knowledge (in Sanskrit: Pramānāntara-parīksā, in Tibetan : Tshad-ma-shan brtag-pa); (25) examination of the doctrine of evolution (in Sanskrit : Vivartavāda-parīksā, in Tibetan : Hgyur-war-smra-wa-b rtagpa); (26) examination of the three times (in Sanskrit: Kalatraya-pariksā, in Tibetan : Dus-gsum-brtag-pa); (27) examination of continuity of the world (in Sanskrit : Samsāra-santatipariksā, in Tibetan: Hjig-rten-rgyud-pahi-brtag-pa); (28) examination of external objects (in Sanskrit : Vähyärtha-pariksä, in Tibetan: Phyi-rol-gyi-don-brtag-pa); (29) examination of Sruti or Scripture (in Sanskrit: Sruti-pariksā, in Tibetan: Thos-pa-brtag-pa); (30) examination of self-evidence (in Sanskrit: Svatah-prāmānya-parīksā, in Tibetan: Ran-lag-tshad-madrtag-pa); and (31) examination of the soul which sees things bevond the range of senses (in Sanskrit : Anyendriyātītārthaarśana-purusa-pariksā, in Tibetan: Gshan-gyi-dwan-po-las-

hdas-pahi-don-mthon-wa-can-gyi-skyes-bu-brtag-pa).

KAMALA S'ILA (ABOUT 750 A.D.).

129. Kamala Sīla,¹ also called Kamala Sīla, was a follower of S'ānta Raksita. He was for some time a Professor of Tantras in Nālandā whence he was (vide Appendix A) invited to Tibet by king Khri-sron-đeu-tsan (728—736 A.D.). While in Tibet he vindicated the religious views of Guru Padma-sambhava and S'ānta Raksita by defeating and expelling a Chinese monk named Mahāyāna Hoshang. He was of wide fame and the author of the following works :—

130. Nyāya-bindu pūrva-pakso-samksipta, called in Tibetan Rigs-pahi-thigs-pahi phyogs-sna-ma-mdor-bsdus-pa, a summary of criticisms on the Nyāyabindu of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ² in the Tangyur, Mdo, She, Folios 106—115. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage

1 Vide Pag-sam-jon-zang, part i, p. 112, edited by Sarat Chandra Das, and also the Journal of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta, vol. i, part i, p. 10, and Waddell's Lamaism, p. 31.

² I have consulted the copy brought down by the British Mission to Tibet in 1904.

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

Visuddha Simha and the interpreter monk of Shu-chen named Dpal rtsegs-raksita.

131. Tattva-samgraha-pañjikā, called in Tibetan De-khona-ñid-bsdus-pahi-dkah-hgrel, a commentary on the Tattva-samgraha of Santa Raksita. The Sanskrit original of this work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation 1 of part I of this work in the Tangyur, Mdo, He, Folios 146-400, and part II of it in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ye, Folios 1-385. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage Devendra Bhadra and the interpreter monk Grags-hbyor-ses-rab.

KALYANA RAKSITA (ABOUT 829 A.D.).

132. Kalvāna Raksita,² called in Tibetan Dge-bsrun, was a great dialectician and teacher of Dharmottarācārya. He flourished during the reign of Mahārāja Dharma Pāla who died in 829 A.D. (Vide Appendix B). He was the author of the undermentioned works 8 :---

133. Vähyärtha-siddhi-kärikä, called in Tibetan phyi-rolgvi-don-grub-pa-ces-bya-wahi-tshig-lehur, which signifies memorial verses on the reality of external things. The Sanskrit original of this work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 202-210. The translation was prepared by the Vaibhāsika teacher Jina Mitra of Kāśmīra and the Tibetan interpreter-monk Dpal-brtsegs-raksita.

134. Śruti-pariksa, called in Tibetan Thos-pa-brtag-pahitshig-lehur-byas-pa, which signifies ' memorial verses on the examination of S'ruti or verbal testimony.' The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 210-211.

135. Anyāpoha-vicāra-kārikā, called in Tibetan Gshan-labrtag-pahi-tshig-lehur-byas-pa, which signifies ' memorial verses on the determination of a thing by the exclusion of its opposites.' The Sanskrit original of this work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 211-213.

136. Iśvara-bhanga-kārikā, called in Tibetan Dwan-phyughjig-pahi-tshig-lehur-byas-pa, which signifies 'memorial verses

I I have consulted this work in the monastery of Labrang, Sikkim, which I visited in June 1907.

² Vide Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 216-219: and Pag-sam-jon-zang, p. 114. The particle 'bsrun' signifies "protected" and is an equivalent for Sanskrit "Raksita." But Schiefner has taken it as an equivalent for "Gupta." This does not seem to be correct, for the Tibetan equivalent for Gupta is "sbas." ³ I have consulted Kalyāna Rakşita's works in volume Ze of the

Tangyur lent to me by the India Office, London.

130

GOVERNMENT OF

MINISTRY OF OL

DHARMOTTARACARYA.

GOVERNIN

MINISTRY OF

on the refutation of God.' The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 214-215.

DHARMOTTARACARYA (ABOUT 847 A.D.).

137. Dharmottara¹ (Ācārya Dharmottara or Dharmottarācārya) called in Tibetan Chos-mchog, was a pupil of Kalyāņa Raksita and of Dharmākara Datta of Kāśmīra. He appears to have flourished in Kāśmīra while Vanapāla was reigning in Bengal about 847 A.D. (vide Appendix B), and is mentioned by the Jaina philosophers Mallavādin the autor of Dharmottara-țippanaka about 962 A.D.² and Ratnaprabha Sūri³ the famous author of Syādvāda-ratnāvatārikā dated 1181 A.D. Dharmottara was the author of the following works :—

138. Nyāya-bindu-tīkā, called in Tibetan Rigs-pahi-thigspahi-rgya-cher-hgrel-wa, a detailed commentary on the Nyāyabindu of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work was preserved in the Jaina temple of Sāntinātha, Cambay, and has been published by Professor Peterson in the Bibliotheca Indica series of Calcutta. There exists a Tibetan translation ⁴ in the Tangyur, Mdo, She, Folios 43-106. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage Jñāna-garbha and an interpreter-monk of Shu-chen named Dharmāloka, and afterwards recast by the Indian sage Sumati-kīrti and the Tibetan interpreter-monk <u>Blo-</u> ldan-śeṣ-rab. Nyāyabindu-tīkā begins thus :—"Sugata, the conqueror of lust, etc., has overcome this world, the source of series of evils beginning with birth : may his words dispelling the darkness of our mind attain glory."⁶

¹ Vide Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 225; and Pag-sam-jon-zang, p. 114.

² The Jaina logician Mallaväd'n (q. v.) wrote a gloss called Dharmottara-tippanaka on Dharmottarācārya's Nyāyabindutīkā. The year 884 in which Mallavädin flourished corresponds to 827 A.D. or 962 A.D. according as we take it to refer to Vikrama-samvat or Saky-samvat. On one supposition Mallavädin was a contemporary of Dharmottara and on the other he flourished a century later.

⁸ जन घसोतिरानुसारी प्राइ। प्रयोजनसादिवाकोन साचादाख्यायते दति व चमे। (Syādvāda-ratnāvatārikā, p. 10, Jaina yaśovijaya series of Benares).

⁴ I have consulted the copy brought down by the British Mission to Tibet during 1904. The Tibetan version has also been edited by F.J. Sherbatski and printed in Russia.

5 जयन्ति जातियसनप्रवस्त्र प्रस्ततिहेतीर्जगती विजीतुः ।

रागाद्यरावेः सुगतस्य वाची सनसमस्तानवसाद्धानाः ॥

(Nyāyabindutīkā, Chap. I).

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

139. Pramāņa-parīksā, called in Tibetan Tshad-ma-brtagpa, signifying 'an examination of Pramāņa or the sources of valid knowledge.' The Sanskrit original of this work appears to bo lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ¹ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 215-237, as well as Folios 238-253. The translation was prepared by monk Blo-Idan-seg-rab.

140. Apoha-nāma-prakaraņa, called in Tibetan Gshan-selwa, signifying 'a treatise on the determination of a thing by the exclusion of its opposites.' The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ² in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 254-266. The translation was prepared by the Kāśmīrian Paṇḍita Bhāgyarāja and the interpreter-monk Blo-ldan-śeṣ-rab, in the incomparable city of Kāśmīra.

141. Pāra-loka-siddhi, called in Tibetan Hjig-rten-pha-rolgrub-pa, signifying ' proof of the world beyond.' The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation³ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 266—270. The translation was prepared by the great Paṇḍita Bhāgyarāja and the interpreter-monk Tshab-ñi-ma-grags during the lifetime of S'rī Harşa Deva (king of Kāśmīra, 1089—1101 A.D.) in the great incomparable city of Kāśmīra.

The work begins thus :--

GOVERNMENTON

132

MINISTRY OF OL

"Some say that the world beyond is possessed of the characteristics of a complete separation from the link of consciousness which began from before birth and continued after death, etc."

142. Kṣaṇa-bhaṅga-siddhi, called in Tibetan Skad-cig-mahjig-pa-grub-pa, signifying 'proof of the momentariness of things.' The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation * in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 270-282. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage Bhāgyarāja and the interpreter-monk Blo-Idan-śeṣrab.

143. Pramāņa-vinišcaya-tīkā, called in Tibetan Tshad-marnam-nes-kyi-tīkā, which is a commentary on the Pramāņa-vinišcaya of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ⁵ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Dse, folio 346 f, and We, Folios 1—188. The translation was prepared by the Kāśmīrian Paņdita Parahita Bhadra and the Tibetan interpreter <u>Blo-Idan-šes</u>-rab in the model eity of Kāśmīra. In the concluding lines of the work

¹ I have consulted the India Office copy.

² I have consulted the India Office copy.

⁸ I have consulted the India Office copy.

⁴ I have consulted the India Office copy.

⁵ I have consulted the India Office copy

ARCATA.

Dharmottara, the author of it, is described as "the excellent subduer of bad disputants (quibblers).¹

MUKTA-KUMBHA (AFTER 847 A.D.).

144. Muktā-kumbha,² called in Tibetan Mu-tig-bum-pa, was the author of a work called Kṣaṇa-bhaṅga-siddhi-vyākhyā, which is a commentary on Dharmottarācārya's Kṣaṇa-bhaṅgasiddhi. Muktā-kumbha must have flourished after 847 A.D., when Dharmottara lived.

145. The Kṣaṇa-bhaṅga-siddhi-vyākhyā is called in Tibetan Skad-cig-ma-hjig-grub-pahi-mam-hgrel. The Sanskrit original of the work appears to be lost, but a Tibetan translation ⁸ is embodied in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 282—301. This version was prepared by the Indian sage Vināyaka and the interpreter-monk Grags-hbyor-śeṣ-rab.

ARCATA (AFTER 847 A.D.).

146. Guņa-ratna Sūri,⁴ the famous Jaina author of the Saddarśana-samuccaya-vṛtti, who lived in 1409 A.D., mentions the Tarkaṭīkā of Arcaṭa.⁵ Arcaṭa is also mentioned by the Jaina philosopher Ratnaprabha Sūri,⁶ the well-known author of Syādvādaratnāvatarikā, dated 1181 A.D. In the Jaina Nyāyāvatāra-vivṛti⁷ it appears that Arcaṭa criticised Dharmottarācārya who lived about 847 A.D. Roughly speaking he flourished in the 9th century A.D.

Arcata was the author of the following work on Logic :--

147. Hetu-bindu-vivarana, called in Tibetan Gtan-tshigsthigs-pahi-hgrel-wa, being a commentary on the Hetu-bindu of Dharmakirti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be

· र्येन द्वि रेंश सर्वेमा हेना नो दब दहिंश रास्त्रेमा ! (Tangyur, Mdo,

We, Folios 188).

² The name Muktākumbha is restored from Tibetan.

³ I have consulted the work belonging to the India Office, London.

⁴ Vide Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's Jaina Logic under "Gunaratna Suri."

⁵ Vide Dr. Suali's edition of the Saddarsana-samuecaya-vrtti, chapter on Bauddha-darsana.

⁶ अर्थटवर्षचतुरः पुनराइ। इइ प्रेचानतां प्रष्टतिः प्रयोजनवत्तया व्याप्ता...। (Syādvāda-ratnāvatārikā, chap. i, p. 17, published in Jaina Yasovijaya granthamālā of Benares).

⁷ Vide Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's edition of the Nyāyāvatāra with vivrti which is being published by the Indian Research Society of Calcutta.



GOVERNMEN

MINISTRY OF

BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP, II.

734

lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ¹ in the Tangyur, Mdo, She, Folios 205-375. It is divided into four chapters treating respectively of (1) Identity (in Tibetan : Raň-bshin, and in Sanskrit Svabhāva); (2) Effect (in Tibetan : hbras-bu, and in Sanskrit : Kārya); (3) Non-perception (in Tibetan : Mi-dmigspa, and in Sanskrit : Anupalabdhi); and (4) Explanation of Six Characteristics (in Tibetan : Mtshan-ñid-drug-bśad-pa, and in Sanskrit : Ṣad-lakṣaṇa-vyākhyā). In the beginning of the work it is stated that Arcaṭa was a Brāhmaṇa, and from the concluding part it appears that he lived in Kāśmīra. The Tibetan version ends thus :--

"In the city of Kāśmīra, the pith of Jambudvīpa, the commentary (on the work) of Dharmakīrti, who was the best of sages, was translated. From this translation of Pramāņa the pith of holy doctrines, let the unlearned derive wisdom."²

DANASILA (ABOUT 899 A.D.).

148. Dānaśīla,[§] also called Dānaśrīla, was born in Kāśmīra about 899 A.D., when Mahī Pāla was reigning in Bengal. He was a contemporary of Parahita Bhadra, Jina Mitra, Sarvajña Deva and Tilopa. He visited Tibet and co-operated in the propaganda of the translations of Sanskrit books into Tibetan. He was the author of the following work on Logic :—

149. Pustaka-pāțhopāya, called in Tibetan Glegs-bambklag-pahi-thabs, signifying the method of reading books. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ⁴ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folio 270. The translation was prepared by the author himself.

1 The volume She, containing this work, was brought down by the British Mission to Tibet in 1904. I borrowed it from the Government of India.

> ९६ंसः म्लीदः क्रेदः यो मि केवि मेंद्राः मिरुपु ॥ अविश्वायवि क्रेदः यो केवि मेंग्रीमामक्षायवि व मेवा। इसः केवा क्रेद्रायों केंद्राया मक्रुराया भग्ना। क्रेद्रायों केंद्राया क्राया मुक्लेद्र यो के जिन्ना।। क्रेद्रायों केंद्राया क्राया मुक्लेद्र यो के जिन्ना।।

> > (Tangyur, Mdo, She, folio 375).

8 Vide Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 225-226; and Pag-sam-jon-zang, p. xlvi.

⁴ I have consulted the India Office copy.

PRAJNĀKARA GUPTA.

MINISTRY

JINA MITRA (ABOUT 899 A.D.).

Jina Mitra¹ was a native of Kāśmīra who, together 150. with Sarvajña Deva, Dana-sila and others, visited Tibet and helped the Tibetans in the work of translating Sanskrit books into Tibetan. Jina Mitra lived about 899 A.D.,² when his contemporary kings Khri-ral (or Ral-pa-can) of Tibet and Mahi Pala of Bengal, died. He wrote the undermentioned work on Logic :--

151. Nyāya-bindu-pindārtha, called in Tibetan Rigs-pahithigs-pahi-don-bsdus-pa, which contains the purport of Dharmakīrti's Nyāyabindu. The Sanskrit original of this work is lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation³ in the Tangyur, Mdo. She, Folios 115-116. The translation was prepared by the Indian teacher Surendrabodhi, and the interpreter of Shuchen. named Vande-ve-ses-sde.

PRAJNAKARA GUPTA (ABOUT 940 A.D.).

Prajňākara Gupta,* called in Tibetan S'es-rab-hbyuo-152. gnas-sbas, lived at the time of Maha Pāla, who died in 940 A.D. He was a lay devotee and quite different from Prajñākara Mati, who was a monk and keeper of the southern gate of the university of Vikrama-silā during the reign of Canaka in 983 A.D. (Vide Appendix C). Pranjñākara Gupta was the author of the following works :---

153. Pramāna-vārtikālankāra, called in Tibetan Tshad-marnam-hgrel-gyi-rgyan, which is a commentary on the Pramanavārtika of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation 5 which is divided into two parts. The first part extends over Folios 1-352 of volume Te, and the second part Folios 1-328 of volume She of the Tangyur, section Mdo. The translation was prepared by the great Kāśmīrian Pandita Bhāgya-rāja and the Tibetan interpreter Blo-Idan-ses-rab. Subsequently, it was looked through by Sumati and the interpreter Blo-ldan-seg-rab. The translation has the advantage of having been assisted by numerous sages of the great monastery of Vikramaśilā in Middle India,

¹ Vide Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 226; and Pag-sam-jon-zang, pp. xevi, 115. ² Vide Csoma de Koros's Tibetan Grammar, p. 183.

³ I have consulted the India Office copy.

⁴ Vide Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 230, 235; and Pag-sam-jou-zang, p. 116.

⁵ I have consulted this work in the monastery of Labrang in Sikkim, which I visited in June, 1907.



BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

under the supervision of the great wise Paṇḍita S'rī S'unayaśrī Mitra and also of the wise Paṇḍita Kumāraśrī of the model city of Kāśmīra.

154. Sahāvalambha-niścaya, called in Tibetan Lhan-cigdmigg-pa-neg-pa, signifying "the ascertainment of objects and their knowledge arising together." The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 301—308. The translation was prepared by the Nepalese Pandita Sănti Bhadra and the Tibetan interpreter-monk Sākya-hod of the village of Sen-dkar in the province of Hbro (Do).

ĀCĀRYA JETĀRI (940—980 A.D.).

155. Jetāri ^a or Ācārya Jetāri, called in Tibetan Dgra-lasrgyal-wa, was born of a Brāhmaņa family. His father, Garbhapāda, lived in Vārendra at the court of Rājā Sanātana, who was a vassal to the Pala kings of Magadha. Being expelled by his kinsmen, Jetāri became a Buddhist devotee and worshipped Mañjuśrī, by whose grace he became a perfect master of sciences. He received from king Maha Pāla the royal diploma of Paņdita of the university of Vikramaśilā.

156. The famous Dīpańkara or S'rījñāna Atiśa is said, when very young, to have learnt five minor sciences from Jetāri. Maha Pāla reigned up to 940 A.D. (vide Appendix B), and Dīpańkara was born in 980 A.D.⁸ Their contemporary, Jetāri, must have lived between those dates.

He was the author of the following works 4 on Logic :--

157. Hetu-tattva-upadeśa, called in Tibetan Gtan-tshigskyi-de-kho-na-ñid-bstan-pa, signifying "instruction on the real nature of the middle term in a syllogism." The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 344—354. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage Pandita Kumārakalasa and the Tibetan interpreter-monk S'ākya-hod.

158. Dharma-dharmi-viniścaya, called in Tibetan Chos-dańchos-can-gtan-la-dwab-pa, signifying "determination of the minor and major terms." The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 354-359.

- 1 I have consulted the India Office copy.
- ² Vide Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 230-233; and Pag-sam-jon-zang, p. 116.

⁴ The Volume Ze, of the Tangyur, <u>Mdo</u>, containing Jetäri's works, was lent to me by the India Office. London.

³ Vide the "Journal" of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta, vol. i, part i, p. 8.

137

Concerning the second s



"Who by the lustre of his sermon has completely dispersed and cleared the veil of the gloom of ignorance, who is a single lamp to three worlds—may that Bhagavān long remain victorious."¹ It consists of three chapters named respectively : (1) Perception; (2) Inference for one's own self; and (3) Inference for the sake of others.

JINA (ABOUT 983 A.D.).

160. Jina, called in Tibetan Rgyal-wa-can, was the author of the following work :--

Pramana-vārtikālankāra-tikā, called in Tibetan Tshad-marnam-hgrel gyi-rgyan-gyi-hgrel-bśad, a voluminous work, the Tibetan version of which occupies volumes De and Ne of the Tangyur, section Mdo. This version was prepared by Pandita Dīpankara of Vikramaśilā (who arrived in Tibet in 1040 A.D.) and the Tibetan interpreter, Byan-chub-śeg-rab of Shan-shun.

161. Jina, the author of the original work, is probably the same as Jina Bhadra of Końkana,² who was a contemporary of Vāgīśvarakīrti, about 983 A.D. (*Vide* Appendix C).

JNANA-SRI (ABOUT 983 A.D.).

162. Jñāna-śrī, or rather Jñāna-śrī Mitra⁸ (probably the same as Jñāna-śrī Bhadra, who worked in Kāśmīra), was born in Gauda. He was at first admitted into the Srāvaka school of

> मादम्मीश्वाम् सुदारम्य दिन् चिरमानि सुमानीशा सुदाय रे रुवा देया इसायर में वियस हन मारुवा। श्वाम् सुरुव महसाद क्रेंदि समादिमा सुप्य ।। यहें साह दा दन् साने जिन ही या मान्य देया।।

(Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, folio 359).

² Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 235.
 ³ Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp.
 ²³⁵—242; and Pagsam-jon-zang, pp. 117—120.

GOVERNMENTON

130

INDIG

MINISTRY OF OL

Buddhism, but afterwards imbibed faith in the Mahāyāna. Dīpańkara or S'nī-jñāna Atiśa (born in 980 A.D.) is said to have been much indebted to him. Jňāna-śrī Mitra was appointed a gate-keeper of the university of Vikramaśilā by Canaka who reigned in Magadha up to 983 A.D. (Vide Appendices B and C). The Hindu philosopher Mādhavācārya in the 14th century quotes ¹ Jñāna-śri, who is perhaps the same as Jñāna-śri Mitra. He was the author of the following works on Logic :—

163. Pramāņa-vinišcaya-tīkā,² called in Tibetan Tshad-marnam-par-nes-paḥi-hgrel-bšad, which is a commentary on the Pramāņa-vinišcaya of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation in the Tangyur, Mdo, Dse, Folios 1—346, and We, Folios 188—322. The translation was prepared by the author ³ himself with the co-operation of the interpreter-monk Chos-kyibrtson-bgrus.

164. Kārya-kāraņa bhāva-siddhi,⁴ called in Tibetan Rgyudai-hbras-buhi--no-wo-grub-pa, signifying ' establishment of the relation of cause and effect.' The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ⁵ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 413—418. The translation was prepared by the great Indian sage Kumāra Kalasa and the interpreter-monk S'ākya-hod. Subsequently, it was retouched and published by the Nepalese Paṇḍita Ananta-śrī and the interpreter-monk aforementioned.

165. Tarka-bhāṣā, called in Tibetan Rtog-gehi-skad, signifying 'technicalities of logic.' The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ⁶ in

1 Vide the Sarvadaríana-samgraha, chapter on Bauddha-daríana :---

तदक्तं ज्ञानत्रिया

यत् सत् तत् चिथिकं यथा जलभः स्कस्य भावा चमी । सत्तागन्निरिडार्थकर्स्याणि सितेः सिद्धेषु सिद्धा न सा ॥ नाष्येकेव विधान्यथा परकतेनापि जियादिर्भवेत् । द्वेधापि चण्भक्रसन्तरितः साध्ये च वित्रास्यति ॥

2 I have consulted the Tibetan version of this work in the monastery of Labrang in Sikkim.

³ The author of the Pramina-viniścaya-tikä is called Jňanaśri Bhadra and also simply Jňāna-śri. He is stated in the Colophon of the work to have been a native of Kňśmira. Vide Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana's "Indian Logic as preserved in Tibet, No. 3" in the Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal, New Series, vol. jii, No. 7, 1907. Jňāna-śri Mitra, of Gauda, seems to be the same as Jňāna-śri Bhadra, of Kňśmira, who may have left Gauda to live in Kiśmira.

4 The author of this work is named Jnana-śri Mitra.

⁵ I have consulted the India Office copy.

⁶ I have consulted the India Office copy.

RATNA VAJRA.

GOVERNIN

MINISTRY OF CL

the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 373-413. The translation was prepared by the interpreter-monk Dpal-Idan-blo-gros-bitan-pa. The work is divided into three chapters named respectively: (1) Perception; (2) Inference for one's own self: and (3) Inference for the sake of others. It begins thus :---- Bowing down to the teacher, the lord of the world, I elucidate Tarkabhāṣā (the technicalities of logic) for the sake of introducing children of small intellect to the system of Dharmakīrti."¹

RATNA VAJRA (ABOUT 983 A.D.).

166. Ratnavajra,² called in Tibetan Rin-chen-rdo-rje, was born in a Brāhmaņa family in Kāśmīra. His ancestors were deeply versed in the sastra of the Tirthikas. His father, Hari Bhadra, was the first convert to Buddhism in his family. Ratnavajra, who was an upāsaka (lay devotee), studied by himself up to the 36th year of his life all the Buddhist sutras, mantras, and sciences. After this he came to Magadha and Vajrāsana (Buddha-Gayā) where he beheld the face of Cakra-samvara, Vajravarāhī and many other deities, by whose grace he completely mastered the Buddhist sāstras. He received the royal diploma of the university of Vikramaśilā and was appointed a gate-keeper of the university (vide Appendix C). Afterwards he came back to Kāśmīra, whence he went through Udyāna (Kabul) to Tibet, where he was known by the name of Acarya. He flourished during the reign of Canaka about 983 A.D. (Vide Appendices B and C). He was the author of the following work :--

167. Yukti-prayoga, called in Tibetan Rigs-pahi-sbyor-wa, signifying "application of reasoning." The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be-lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation⁸ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 372-373. The

あいならを可うう 575-755 gall मुंशन्द्रिन्मुबुद्धः द्वृद्धाः द्वया द्वया। र्देशमी नामाशमद समाशन भुन भुना।

(Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, folio 373).

² Vide Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 240. ³ I have consulted the Tibetan version in the possession of the India Office, London.





BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

translation was prepared by the Indian sage S'rī S'ubhūti-śānta and the interpreter-monk of Shu-chen, named Tin-ne-hdsinbzan-po.

RATNAKARA S'ANTI (ABOUT 983 A.D.).

168. Ratnākara S'ānti¹ was known to the Tibetans as Ācārya S'ānti or simply S'āntipa. He was ordained in the order of the Sarvāstivāda school of Odantapura, and learnt the Sūtra and Tantra at Vikramaśilā from Jetāri, Ratna-kīrti² and others. Thereafter he was appointed by king Canaka (who died in 983 A.D.) to be a gate-keeper of the University of Vikramasilā (vide Appendix C), where he defeated the Tirthika disputants. At the invitation of the king of Ceylon he visited that island where he spread the Buddhist doctrine. He was the author of a work on Chanda (prosody) called Chando-ratnākara³ and of the following works 4 on Logic :--

169. Vijnapti-mātra siddhi, called in Tibetan Rnam-par-rigpa-tsam-ñid-du-grub-pa, signifying 'establishment of a mere communication of knowledge.' The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 335-338. The translation was prepared by the Nepalese Pandita Santi Bhadra, and the Tibetan interpreter monk Sakya-hod of the province of Hbro (Do). Subsequently, it was published by the same Pandita and Klog-skya-ses-rab-brtsegs.

170. Antar-vyāpti,⁵ called in Tibetan Nan-gi-khyab-pa,

1 Vide Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 234, 235 and Pag-sam-jon-zang, pp. 117, cx. The Tibetan equivalent for the

name Batnākara Santi is रेइ केइ दन्दि मार्ग के दिन

2 This Ratnakīrti is different from the sage of that name who was patronised by King Vimala Candra about 650 A.D. (vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 172, 174, and my discussion in art. 108 under the head Vinīta Deva in the Buddhist Logic). This earlier Ratnakirti, known through a commentary on the Madhyamakāvatāra, wrote Kalyāna-kānda and Dharmaviniścaya embodied in the Tangyur Mdo, Ku. The same Ratnakirti was perhaps the author of Apohasiddhi and Kşanabhangasiddhi which are being published in the Bibliotheca Indica series of Calcutta under the editorship of M. M. Hara Prasad Sastri. Two other works called Sthira-dusana and Vicitradvaitasiddhi are ascribed to him.

³ For an account of the Chandoratnākara see Satischandra Vidyabhusana's "Sanskrit works on Literature, Grammar, Rhetoric and Lexicography as preserved in Tibet" in J. A. S. B., new series, vol. III,

 4 The volume Ze of the Tangyur, section Mdo, containing Ratnäkara Sānti's works, was lent to me by the India Office, London. ⁶ The Sanskrit original of 'Antarvyāpti ' or more fully 'Antarvyāpti-





signifying 'internal inseparable connection.' It is embodied in the Tangyur, <u>M</u>do, Ze, Folios 338—344. The translation was prepared by the Indian sage Kumāra Kalasa and the interpreter-monk Sākya-<u>hod</u>.

VAK-PRAJA (ABOUT 983 A.D.).

171. In the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 201—202 there is the Tibetan version¹ of the Sarvajña-siddhi-kārikā, calledin Tibetan Thams-cad-mkhyen-pa-grub-paḥi-tshig-lehur-byas-pa, signifying 'memorial verses on the attainment of omniscience.' The author of this work is named in Tibetan Nag-hbans which may be restored in Sanskrit as Vāk-praja. If he is the same as Vāgīśvara-kīrti, he must have lived about 983 A.D. (vide Appendix C).

YAMARI (ABOUT 1050 A.D.).

172. Yamāri was specially versed in Grammar and Logic. But he was very poor. Once, being unable to support his family and children, he came to Vajrāsana (Buddha-Gayā). There he related his poverty to a Yogin, who replied: "You Paṇḍits despise Yogins and do not solicit dharma from them, hence this has come to pass." Saying this, he uttered the Vasudhara benediction in virtue of which Yamāri rose to opulence. He afterwards received the royal diploma of the university of Vikramaśilā.² He lived during the time of Naya Pāla who died in 1050 A.D. (vide Appendix B). He was the author of the following work :—

173. Pramāņa-vārtikālańkāra-tīkā, called in Tibetan Tshad-ma-mam-hgrel-rgyan-gyi-hgrel-bśad, which is an annotation on the Pramāņa-vārtikālańkāra of Prajňākara Gupta. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a very voluminous Tibetan translation which covers volumes Be, Me, and Tse of the Tangyur, Mdo. The translation was prepared by Pańdita Sumati and the interpreter Blo-

samarthana' has recently been recovered from Nepal by M. M. Hara Prasad Sastri, M.A., of Calcutta, and is deposited in the Library of the Asiatic Society of Bengal. It begins thus:- दूर सजमयोतियाकारिल तदि-

तरखचणायोगात्। तच क्रमयोगपदाभ्यां याप्तं परस्यरव्यवच्चेदखचणत्वादनयोः। प्रकारान्तरेण करणायश्ववात्। It ends thus:-- ज्वन्तर्याप्तिसमयनं समाप्तनिति। हातिरिथं रत्वाकरणान्तिपादानास् ॥

¹ I have consulted the copy belonging to the India Office, London, ² Vide Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 247, 253.



BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

ldan-ses-rab in the monastery of Sñe-than near Lhasa. The volume Be ends thus :--- " From the immeasurable merit acquired by me by composing this regular annotation, may the world, subduing its adversary death, obtain the indestructible and perfected Nirvāna."1

SANKARANANDA (ABOUT (1050 A.D.).

174. S'ankarānanda,² called in Tibetan Bde-byed-dgah-wa, was born in a Brāhmaņa family in Kāśmīra. He was learned in all sciences, and was above all an expert in Logic. He intended to write an original work on Logic refuting Dharmakirti, but in a dream he was told by Mañjusri : "Since Dharmakirti is an Arya (a Buddhist monk), one cannot refute him, and if thou seest mistake in him, it is the mistake of thine own understanding." Thereupon Sankarānanda repented and composed a commentary on Dharmakīrti's Pramāna-vārtika in seven chapters. He flourished 8 during the time of Naya Pala, who reigned until 1050 A.D. He was the author of the following works on Logic :--

175. Pramāņa-vārtika-tīkā, called in Tibetan Tshad-marnam-hgrel-gyi-hgrel-bsad, being an annotation on the Pramāna-vārtika of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation 4 which covers volumes Pe and Phe of the Tangyur, Mdo.

176. Sambandha-parīksānusāra, called in Tibetan Hbrel-pabrtag-pahi-rjes-su-hbran-wa, which is a commentary on the

> ส์ตารติสรักาาจริสิเฐมาราคม मर्सेर् न्यसार्कर् सेर् महामहायामार भिर्म । रेक्ष के प्रहेमा देव मा मेव हे दि न्मा प्रहेम का के !! ม वर्द्र न न मुन महिश से द रिन मर लिमा। (Tangyur, Mdo, Be, folio 303).

2 Vide Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp.

Sankarānanda appeared at a much later time, and to call him a personal pupil of Dharmakirti would be a great confusion."-Taranatha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, p. 188.

⁴ I have consulted this work in the monastery of Labrang, in Sikkim, in 1907.

142

GOVERNMENTON

MINISTRY OF CL

ŚANKARANANDA.

Sambandha-parīkṣā of Dharmakīrti. The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation¹ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 24—39. The translation was prepared by the great Indian Paṇḍita Parahita, and the Tibetan interpreter-monk Dgaḥ-waḥi rdo-rje.

The work begins thus :---

"By whom connection with the world has been renounced, in whom there are no "I" and "mine," who is called free from concerns—to that Omniscient One I bow down."²

177. Apohasiddhi, called in Tibetan Sel-wa-grub-pa, signifying 'establishment of a thing by the exclusion of its opposites.' The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ³ in the Tangyur, <u>M</u>do, Ze, Folios 308—334. The translation was prepared by the Kāśmīrian Pandita Manoratha and the Tibetan interpreter <u>B</u>loldan-śeg-rab in the incomparable city of Kāśmīra.

The work opens thus :--

"The Omniscient One who is free from all mistakes and who looks to the interests of living beings in all times, saluting him and relying on his mercy, I elucidate the puzzle of 'self' and 'others' connected with the doctrine of *Apoha*."⁴

¹ I have consulted the Tibetan version in the possession of India Office, London.

> मारमीश्वः भ्रदेभः नः सुरुशमुरुमा। भर्मे न्यान् न्यान् र्यान्त्र मान्त्र मा

> > (Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, folio 24).

⁸ I have consulted the India Office copy.

णुनःसहिनः त्युभः म्रभः माधुसः मानुः सः यः भे।। रेनः इस्रसः हेः मानिनः माहेमासः यः भः मुरु रः रे॥ यहेः भः मानसः नुसः सेभः यः भः यद्देनः यते।। यतु मामनिनः र्यतुः स्थः यति स्युभियामसभा मुसा।। (Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, folio 30)





BUDDHIST LOGIC, CHAP. II.

MINISTRU

144

178. Pratibandha-siddhi, called in Tibetan Hbrel-pa-grubpa, signifying "establishment of the causal connection." The Sanskrit original of this work appears to be lost, but there exists a Tibetan translation ¹ in the Tangyur, Mdo, Ze, Folios 334—335. The translation was prepared by Paṇḍita Bhāgyarāja and the interpreter Blo-Idan-śes-rab.

1 I have consulted the India Office copy.

APPENDIX A.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NALANDA.

(About 300-850 A.D.).

Nālandā was a village which is identified with modern Baragaon,17 miles north of Rajgir, in Behar. Though occasionally mentioned in the Pāli literature, Nālandā was not of great importance before the rise of the Mahāyāna at the beginning of the Christian era. Nāgārjuna, about 300 A.D., and Ārya Deva, about 320 A.D., were the earliest scholars to take interest in the educational institution at that village. A Brahmana named Suvisnu, a contemporary of Nāgārjuna, is said to have established 108 temples there in order that the Abhidharma of the Mahāyāna might not decline.⁸ About 400 A.D., the Chinese pilgrim, Fahian,⁸ visited this place, which he calls "the village of Nalo." He saw there a tower which had been erected on the spot where Sāriputra, the right-hand disciple of Buddha, had entered Nirvana. Early in the 7th century A.D., another Chinese pilgrim, the famous Hwen-thsang, visited Nālandā and halted * there 15 months to study the Sanskrit language under Silabhadra. According to him⁶ the site of Nālandā was originally a mango garden which was bought by 500 merchants at a cost of ten crores of gold pieces and given to Buddha.6 After the Nirvana of Buddha, five kings, named Sakrāditya Buddha Gupta, Tathāgata Gupta, Bālāditya, and Vajra, built five Sanghārāma or monasteries at Nālandā. A king of Central India established another magnificent monastery, and began to build round these edifices a high wall with one gate. A long succession of kings continued the work of building, using all the skill of the sculptor, till at the time of Hwen-thsang in 637 A.D. the whole was "truly marvellous to behold." In the estab-

MINISTRY

⁴ Vide Cunningham's Ancient Geography of India, p. x.

⁶ It must have been given to a Buddhist saint of a later age and not to Buddha himself.

¹ Vide Cunningham's Ancient Geography of India, p. 468.

² Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 70-86.

⁸ Vide Beal's Fa-hian, p. 111.

⁶ Vide Beal's Buddhist Records of the Western World, vol. ii, pp. 168-170.

APPENDIX A.

GOVERNIE

146

lishment were some thousands of monks, all men of great ability and learning. They were very strict in observing the rules of Vinava, and were looked up to as models by all India. Learning and discussing they found the day too short, day and night they admonished each other, juniors and seniors mutually helping to perfection. Learned men from different cities came to Nālandā to acquire renown, and some persons even usurped the name of Nālandā students in order that they might be received everywhere with honour. " Of those from abroad who wished to enter the schools of discussion, the majority, beaten by the difficulties of the problems, withdrew; and those who were deeply versed in old and modern learning were admitted, only two or three out of ten succeeding." 1 Hwen-thsang mentions some celebrated men of Nālandā, such as Dharmapāla and Candrapāla, Gunamati and Sthiramati,2 Prabhāmitra and Jinamitra, and Jñānacandra and Sīlabhadra.

Another Chinese pilgrim named I-tsing, who resided in Nālandā for ten years (probably 675-685 A.D.), says that there were eight halls and 300 apartments in the monastery of Nālandā with more than 3,000 resident monks. The lands in its possession contained more than 200 villages which had been bestowed upon the monastery by kings of different generations.⁸

Nālandā assumed the character of a university from about 450 A.D. Baladitya, king of Magadha, who built a monastery at Nālandā, was a contemporary of the Hun king Mihirakula, who reigned first in S'ākala and afterwards in Kāśmīra. Now Mihirakula ⁴ began his reign in 515 A.D., and his contemporary, Bālāditya, must also have lived about that time. There were three predecessors of Bālāditya who built monasteries at Nālandā. Of them, the earliest, named Sakrāditya, must have reigned about 450 A.D. if we suppose 25 years as the average duration of the reign of each of them. The year 450 A.D. is then the earliest limit which we can roughly assign to the royal recognition of Nalanda. The latest limit which we know with certainty is 750 A.D., when Kamalasila (q. v.) was the professor of Tantras at Nālandā. But as we read in the accounts of Vikramaśilā that there was for some time an intercourse between that university

 Vide Watters' "On Yuan Chwang," vol. ii, pp. 164—165.
 This Sthiramati seemed to be the one mentioned by I-tsing (vide Takakusu, p. 181). He flourished after Asanga and Vasubandhu.

8 Vide Takakusu's I-tsing, pp. xxxiii, 65 and 154.
4 Vide Watters' "On Yuan Chwang," vol. i, p. 289.

Takakusu, in his " Paramārtha's Life of Vasubandhu," published in the "Journal" of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, January 1905, maintains that Bālāditya came to the throne in 481 A.D., but this statement is by no means final. The date (452-480 A.D.) of Vikramāditya, Bālādītya's father, is also open to dispute.

and Nālandā, we may suppose that the latter continued to exist approximately until 850 A.D.

According to Tibetan accounts 1 the quarter in which the Nālandā University, with its grand library, was located, was called Dharmagañja (Piety Mart). It consisted of three grand buildings called Ratnasagara, Ratnodadhi, and Ratnarañjaka, respectively. In Ratnodadhi, which was nine-storeyed, there were the sacred scripts called Prajñāpāramitā-sūtra, and Tāntrik works such as Samāja-guhva, etc. After the Turuska raiders had made incursions in Nalanda, the temples and Caityas there were repaired by a sage named Mudita Bhadra. Soon after this, Kukutasiddha, minister of the king of Magadha, erected a temple at Nālandā, and while a religious sermon was being delivered there, two very indigent Tirthika mendicants appeared. Some naughty young novice-monks in disdain threw washing-water on them. This made them very angry. After propitiating the sun for 12 years, they performed a yajña, firesacrifice, and threw living embers and ashes from the sacrificial pit into the Buddhist temples, etc. This produced a great conflagration which consumed Ratnodadhi. It is, however, said that many of the Buddhist scriptures were saved by water which leaked through the sacred volumes of Prajñapāramitāsutra and Tantra.

¹ Vide Pag-sam jon-zang, edited in the original Tibetan by Rai Sarat Chandra Das, Bahadur, C.I.E., at Calcutta, p. 92.

APPENDIX B.

GOVERNINES

A LIST OF KINGS OF THE PALA DYNASTY OF BENGAL AND BEHAR.

(From Tibetan sources).

In the Tibetan books, 1 such as Pag-sam-jon-zang, Lama Taranatha's Chos-byun, etc., we find a short account of the kings of the Pala dynasty of Bengal. Go Pala, the founder of the dynasty, lived principally in Pundra-vardhana. His successor, Deva Pāla, annexed Vārendra to his kingdom. Deva Pāla's grandson, Dharma Pala, conquered Magadha and annexed it to Bengal. Dharma Pāla's power is said to have extended in the east to the ocean, in the west to Delhi, in the north to Jalandhara, and in the south to the Vindhya ranges. It is stated that during his reign Santa Raksita died. Now Santa Raksita visited Tibet during the reign of Thi-srong-deu-tsan in 749 A.D., and worked there for 13 years, that is, till 762 A.D. His death must therefore have taken place after 762 A.D. Dipankara Srijnāna, alias Atiśa, High-priest of Vikramaśilā, who was a contemporary of king Naya Pāla of Magadha, visited Tibet in company with Nag-tsho-lotsava in 1040 A.D. during the reign of Lhatsun-byan-chub, son of Lha-lama-ye-ses-hod, who held his court at Tholing in Nari. These facts throw a good deal of light on the dates of the Pāla kings.² It is further stated that the death of Mahi Pāla is exactly synchronous with that of the Tibetan king Khri-ral. Now Khri-ral (or Ral-pa-can) died in This fixes the date of the death of Mahi Pāla. 899 A.D.³ As the period of reign of each of the kings that preceded and succeeded Mahī Pāla is definitely stated by Lama Tārānātha, and also by the author of the Pag-sam-jon-zang, there is no difficulty in ascertaining the dates of the Päla kings. Proceeding in this way, we can fix the dates as follows :--

1.	Go Pāla		660-705	A.D.
2.	Deva Pāla		705-753	A.D.

1 Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 202 -252; and Pag-sam-jon-zang, edited by Rai Sarat Chandra Das, Baha-

dur, C.I.E., pp. 112-121. ² Vide the 16th volume of Klon-rdol-gsun-hbum, and Sarat Chandra Das's "Indian Pandits in the Land of Snow," pp. 50-76. ⁸ Vide the Chronological Table extracted from the Vaiduryakarpo in

Csoma de Koros's Tibetan Grammar, p. 183.

APPENDIX B.

3.	Rasa Pāla	1.	 753-765	A.D.
4.	Dharma Pāla		 765-829	A.D.
5.	Masu Raksita		 829-837	A.D.
6	Vana Pāla	the second second	 837-847	A.D.
7.	Mahī Pāla		 847-899	A.D.
8.	Mahā Pāla		 899-940	AD.
9.	S'āmu Pāla 1		 940-952	A.D.
10.	Srestha Pāla or	Praistha Pāla	 952-955	A.D.
11.	Canaka	100 C	 955-983	A.D.
12.	Bhaya Pāla		 983-1015	A.D.
13.	Naya Pāla		 1015-1050	A.D.
14.	Āmra Pāla		1050 - 1063	
15.	Hasti Pāla		 1063-1078	A.D.
16.	Ksānti Pāla		1078-1092	
17.	Rāma Pāla		1092-1138	
18.	Yaksa Pāla		1138-1139	
	and the second		And the second se	and the second se

The researches on the Pāla kings, by the late Dr. Rājendra Lal Mitra, arrived at a conclusion which is somewhat different from mine. Dr. Mitra's list of Pāla kings 2 is given below :--

1.	Go Pāla		0.46	855-875 A.D.
2.	Dharma Pāla			875-895 A.D.
3.	Deva Pāla			895—915 A.D.
4.	Vigraha Pāla I			915-935 A.D.
5.	Nārāyaņa Pāla			
6.	Rāja Pāla	••		
7.	· Pāla	••	•••	955-975 A.D.
	Vigraha Pāla II	••		and a second where a second
9.	Mahi Pāla			995—1015 A.D.
10.	Main Pala			1015—1040 A.D.
and the second s	Naya Pāla			1040-1060 A.D.
11.	Vigraha Pāla III-			1060—1080 A.D.

1 Probably the same as Nārayana Pāla who, in the Bhagalpur plate, is styled "the lord of Anga."

² Vide Dr. Rājendra Lāl Mitra's "Indo-Aryans," vol. ii, p. 232.

149

APPENDIX C.

THE ROYAL UNIVERSITY OF VIKRAMASILA.

(About 800-1200 A.D.).

Vikramaśilā,¹ mentioned in Sanskrit Sragdharāstotra-tīkā,² Vrhat-svayambhū-purāṇa,³ Tibetan Tangyur,⁴ etc., was a great collegiate monastery, or rather University, founded by king Dharma Pāla at the close of the 8th century A.D. It was situated on a precipitous hill ⁵ in Behar at the right bank of the Ganges, possibly at Sila-samgama, now called Pātharghāṭā, near Colgong in the Bhagalpur district. Dharmapāla endowed

1 Vide Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 234-242, 259-261; Pag-sam-jon-zang, pp. 113, 117, 118; and Sarat Chandra Das's article in the "Journal" of the Buddhist Text Society of Calcutta, vol. i, part i, pp. 10-12; and his "Indian Pandits in the Land of Snow," pp. 50-76.

2 The colophon of the Sragdharā-stotra-tīkā runs as follows:— त्रीसङ्खिक्रमगोखदेवसदाविद्वारीय राजगुरपाखितशिचु जीजिनरचितझता वासार्क-चुतिडोका परिसमाप्ता (Sragdharā-stotra, edited in the Bibliotheca Indica series by Satis Chandra Vidyabhusana, p. 50).

8 वारायखां नगर्थां च विद्वारे यच खान्तिके।

तदा विज्ञलग्रीखचि बिचारे वसदुत्तमः॥

धर्मत्रीसिचो नामोर्ध भिन्नः पण्डितकचुकः ।

भन्न दिदेगयानास नामसङोति च कयाय ॥ (Vrhat-svayambhūpurāņa, edited by M. M. Hara Prasad Sastri, chap. vi, pp. 320—321).

* Numerous Sanskrit works such as तारैकविंग्रतिकाङ्ग्रह ने संचेप, तारा-जिरझ खोनम् etc., were translated into Tibetan in the monastery of Vikramasilā, as is evident from the Tangyur, Rgyud, La, Folios 11-26, 54, etc.

⁵ At the distance of a day's sail below Sultanganj there is a steep hill called Pātharghātā overhanging the Ganges, which here is *uttaravāhini* (or flows towards the north). This corresponds exactly with the account of Vikramaśilā given in Tibetan books. There are also ruins of Buddhistic images at Pātharghātā. For its old name Silasamgama vide Francklin's "Site of Ancient Palibothra," pp. 54—55, Appendix p. xiii. General-Cunningham identifies Vikramašilā with modern Silao, which is a small village three miles to the south of Bargaon (ancient Nālandā) and six miles to the north of Rajgir in the subdivision of Behar (vide Report of the Archaelogical Survey, vol. viii, p. 83). But this identification does not tally with the description found in Tibetan books, for the Ganges never passed by Silao, nor is there any hill near to it.

APPENDIX C.

GOVERNING

the university with rich grants sufficing for the maintenance of 108 resident monks besides numerous non-resident monks and pilgrims. At the head of the university was always a most learned and pious sage. Thus at the time of Dharma Pāla, Ācārya Buddha-jñāna-pāda directed the affairs of the university, and during 1034-1038 A.D. Dīpankara or Srījnāna Atiša was at its head, and Sthavira Ratnākara was the superior of the monastery. The famous Tibetan scholar Nag-tshul-khrimsrgyal wa, better known as Nag-tsho Lotsava, who came to take Dīpankara Srījnāna alias Atiša to Tibet, resided in the monastery of Vikramaśilā for three years, 1035-1038 A.D.1 Kamalakuliśa, Narendra-śri-jñāna, Dāna Raksita, Abhayakara Gupta, Subhakara Gupta, Sunāyakaśrī, Dharmākara Santi and Sakyaśrī Pandita also belonged to the university of Vikramaśilā. Provision was made specially for the study of grammar, metaphysics (including logic) and ritualistic books. On the walls of the university were painted images of panditas eminent for their learning and character. The distinguished scholars of the university received a diploma of "Pandita" from the kings themselves. For instance, the distinguished logicians, Acarya Jetāri of Vārendra and Ratnavajra of Kāśmīra, were granted such a diploma. The most erudite sages were appointed to guard the gates of the university. These were six in number, each of which had to be guarded by scholars designated "Gatekeepers" (called in Tibetan Go-srun, corresponding, perhaps, to our Dvāra-pandita). During the reign of Canaka (955-983 A.D.) the undermentioned eminent logicians acted as gatekeepers :--

(1)—At the eastern gate	Ācārya Ratnākara Santi.
(ii)—At the western gate	Vāgiśvarakīrti, of Benares.
(iii)—At the northern gate	TTL C NT
(iv)—At the southern gate	Prajñākaramati,
(v)-At the first central gate	Ratnavajra of Kāśmīra.
(vi)-At the second central gate	Jñāna-śrī-mitra of Gauda.

The university of Vikramaśilā is said to have been destroyed by the Mahomedan invader Bakhtiar Khiliji [®] about 1203 A.D. when Sākya-śrī-paņdita, of Kāśmīra, was at its head.

¹ Vide Klon-rdol-gsun-hbum, vol. xvi.

² Vide the Tibetan-English Dictionary compiled by Rai Sarat Chandra Das, p. 869; Waddell's "Lamaism," p. 16.

The Turuskas or Mahomedans attacked Magadha several times. Thus Tārānātha, speaking of Ācārya Kamala Raksita who was at the head of the Vikramasilā university at the end of the 10th century A.D., observes :----"A minister of the Turuska king, out of the Karna land in the west, together with 500 Turuskas, drew to Magadha to plunder. They plundered





APPENDIX C.

the sacrificial materials, but when they began to walk all in a body to the Ācārya (Kamala Rakşita) the Ācārya got into a rage and walked up along, throwing a jug, filled with water, over which he had spoken the mantras. On the spot a great and indomitable storm collected, out of the wind came forth many black men armed with swords who fell upon the Turuşkas; the minister himself perished spitting blood, and various contagious illnesses repulsed the others in such a way that none of them could reach their native country, and a great terror came over the Tirthas and Turuşkas.—Tārānātha's Geschichte des Buddhismus von Schiefner, pp. 266, 261.

GL

GENERAL INDEX.

A

MINISTRY

	- Page
Abbot 125	Acārya 78, 80, 83, 102, 103, 121,
ALL	131, 136, 139, 151, 152
ALLE	Ācā ya Bodhisattva 125
Abhonada	Ācārya Sānti 140
Abhavanini = :	Act 29
Abharrahan a	Action 8, 32, 95
Abhavatilahan 711 -	Act-fruit 44
Abhidhammapitaka 58, 59, 60,	Adinātha 34
63, 64	Adipurāņa 14, 24, 28, 34
Abhidhammatthasamgaha 59	Adrikalpa 81 Advaita
A bhidh The internet in the	
Abhidharma 45 121, 145	Advaitavāda 23 Affirmation 20
Abhidharma-jñāna-prasthāna-	
sāstra 64, 66	
Abbidhamma	Agama 3, 4, 10, 13, 29, 32, 40
Abhidharma-mahāvibhāşā 64	Agamābhāsa 33
sāstra 63, 66	Ahmedabad 44
Abhidharma vibhāsā . 63	Ain-i-Akbari 40, 41
Abhinibodha	Ajātašatru 58
Abhrānta	Ajīva 8
Absence of connection 97	Akalańka 25, 26, 27, 28, 33,
Absence of dia	37, 40
Absence of inverse disconnec-	Akalankadeva 25, 54
Gion	Akalankacandra 25
	Akalańkastotra 26
Abachut 1	Ākāša 74
	Akbar 55
Abali	Akevalajñāna 4
Abealiste	Akşa Candra 69
	Aksapāda xvii, 11, 46, 51, 53
Acon	Aksapāda Gautama xvii
Acono di 1	Alambana 121
Acara-anakara 3	Alambana-pariksa 101, 120
Acārānga-sūtra	Alambana-parīksā-tīkā, 120, 121
Acāra's monastery 80	Alambana-pariksā-vrtti 101



MEDIEVAL SCHOOL OF INDIAN LOGIC.

SL

Page	* Page
Alambana-pratyaya-dhyana-	Aniścita 93
śāstra 101	Antar 42
Alambana-pratyaya-dhyana-	Antar-vyāpti 18, 42, 76, 140
śāstra-vyākhyā 102	Antarvyāpti-samarthana 141
Ālaya-vijnāna 71	Antecedent 42
Alberuni 14	Antecedent non-existence 24, 25
Āloka xviii	Antiquary, Indian 3, 4, 5, 6, 9,
Āloka-darpaņa xviii	13, 14, 38, 39, 45, 49, 53, 123
Āloka-kaņtakoddhāra xviii	Antiquities 68
Aloka-rahasya xviii	Anumāna 4, 5, 10, 15, 16, 29, 40,
Āloka-sāra-manjarī xviii	41, 49, 54, 74, 99, 109
All-pervading 112	Anumānābhāsa 99
Alwis, Dr 58	Anupalabdhi 30, 42, 110, 111,
Amaracandra 47, 48	117, 134
Amarasvāmi-carita 49	Anustubh 84
Amarāvatī 68	Anuyoga-dvāra-sūtra . 4
Ambiguous 95, 113	Anvayi 31
Ambrosia 122	Ānvīksikī xvii
Amdo 137	Anyāpoha
Āmra Pāla 149	Anyāpoha-vicāra-kārikā 130
Anadhyavasāya 40	Anyonyābhāva 24
Anahillapurapattana 38, 53	Aparantaka 69
Anaikāntika 19, 113	Aparaśaila 65
Analysis 100	Aparaselīya 58
Analysis of wheel 100	Apoha 143
Analogy 74, 75	Apoha-nāma-prakaraņa 132
Ānanda Sūri 47, 48	Apohasiddhi 140, 143
Ananta-śrī 138	Apparent 95
Anantavīrya 28, 37, 38	Appendix 145, 148, 150
Ananvaya 19, 97, 116	Application 16, 31, 32, 42
Ancient Xvii	Application of reason 61
Ancient school xvii	Apprehend 95
Andhra 68, 78, 81, 82	Apprehension 10
Anecdota Oxoniensia 75	Apradarsitānvaya 20, 116
Anekanta-Jaina-mata-	Apradaršitavyatireka 21
vyavastha 54	Āpta-mīmāmsā 23, 24, 25, 26, 27
Anekārthasamgraha 45	Āpta-mīmāmsālankrti . 26
Anga 3, 149	Äpta-mīmāmsālankrti-tikā 23
Anguttara Nikāya 59	Āpta-mimāmsā-vivaraņa 54
Anhilwad	Āpta-parikšā 27
Anhilwad Patan 35	Arāsana 39

GENERAL INDEX.

MINISTRY



Page	Page
Arcata 46, 50, 53, 133, 134	Aşțasāhasrī-vrtti 55
Arcata-tarka-tikā 53	Așțaśatī 25, 27
Archaic xxi	Asti 24
Ardha-Māgadhī 3	Astronomy 62, 121
Argument 44	Aśvaghosa 67
Argumentation 29, 40, 41, 54, 60, 73	Aśvavabodhatīrtha 50
Arhat 7, 41, 49	Atiśa 138, 148, 151
Arithmetic 62	Ātmaka 116
Aristotle 96	Ātman 40, 43, 127, 128
Art 62, 103	Atom 96, 98, 115
Art of healing 103	Attribute 74
Arthāpatti 10	Attributeless 95
Ārya 65, 83, 122, 142	Atyantābhāva 24, 42
Ārya Asanga	Auditory 10, 59
Ārya Deva 67, 70, 72, 145	Aulūkya 51
Ārya-koşa 124	Authority 4, 10
Arya Mahāsāmghika 65	Avadānakalpalatā 15
Ārya Nāgārjuna 68	Avadhi 4, 10, 41
Ārya Sammitīya 65, 66	Avadhi-jñāna 41
Ārya Sarvāstivāda 65	Avagraha 40
Ā ya Sthavira 65, 67	Avalokiteśvara 121, 122
Arya-Tārā-antarbali-vidhi 121	Āvantīka 65
Asādhāraņa 94	Avasarpiņī 1
Asanga xix, 73, 74, 78, 95, 96,	Āvašyakaniryukti 2
122, 146	Āvašyaka-sūtra 6
Āśańkā 7	Avāya 41
Āšankā-pratisedha 7	Avayava 42
Ascetie: 15	Avidyā 59
Asiatic Society xiii, 9, 23, 40, 52,	Avinābhāva 29
72, 125, 141	Avyatireki 21
Asiddha 18, 93, 112	Ayodhyā xix, 67, 73, 74, 75
Aśoka 58, 61, 121	and the second second the second
Aspect	B
Āśrava 8	Bactria 61
Assertion 44	Bahir 42
Aştasāhasrī 23, 26, 27, 37, 54	Bahir-vyāpti 18, 42
Aştasāhasrikā 105	Bahuśrutiya 65
Aşțasāhasrikā Prajňāpāra-	Bāhulika 58
mitā 64	Bakhtiar Khiliji 151
Aştasāhasrī-vișamapada-tāt-	Bālāditya xix, 145, 146
parya-tika 26,37	Bālāvatāra-tarka ., 137



MEDIEVAL SCHOOL OF INDIAN LOGIC.

G

L

Page	Page
Bāņa 34	Bharsa 123, 124
Bandha 8	Bhartrhari 26, 27, 28, 34, 102,
Baragaon 145, 150	105, 119
Barisal 122	Bhā-sarvajña 53
Baroda 55	Bhāsvāmin 22
Basket 58	Bhāşya 8, 10, 12, 122
Bauddha 46, 49, 54	Bhatta 34, 81
Bauddhadarśana 49, 66, 67, 68,	Bhāțța 54
82, 105, 107, 133, 138	Bhattapāda 51
Bdag 127, 128	Bhattaraka 54
Bdag-gi-don-gyi-rjes-su-dpag-	Bhāva 11, 24
ра 109	Bhavanagara 13, 46
Ede-byed-dgah-wa 142	Bhavānanda xviii
Beal, Rev. xix, 14, 62, 63, 66, 67,	Bhavānandīvyākhyā xviii
68, 69, 71, 72, 74, 75, 80, 82, 145	Bhaya Pāla 149
Bedā vrtti 102	Bhikșu 61, 69, 99
Behar xx, 57, 103, 145, 148, 150	Bhikșu-sütra 61
Belief 91	Bhim Sing 8
Benares xiii, xiv, 6, 8, 39, 50, 51,	Bhoja Deva 68
52, 55, 133, 151	Bhoraśilā 80
Benediction 141	Bhoța 50
Bengal xiii, xv, xx, 102, 117, 121,	Bhrama-pramathana-yukti-
122, 124, 131, 134, 135, 141, 148	hetu-siddhi 71
Berar 68	Bhranta 81
Berlin 3, 37	Bhranti 81
Bhadrabāhu 5, 6, 8	Bhūmi 71
Bhadrabāhucarita 2	Bibliotheca Indica -xx, 9, 14, 23,
Bhadra Pālita 80	65, 70, 109, 113, 114, 124, 131,
Bhadreśvara 50	140, 150
Bhagalpur 149, 150	Bibliothek zu Berlin 37
Bhagavān 137	Bimba ., 39
Bhagavān Upavarşa 33	Biş-wa-hjug-pahi-rtog-ge 137
Bhagavati-sūtra 4	Bliss 21
Bhāgyarāja 132, 135, 144	Blo-ldan-śes-rab 108, 131, 132,
Bhāmati 23, 105	135, 142, 143, 144
Bhāmatī-țīkā 23	Blunders 81
Bhamsa Candra 69	Bodhisattva 65, 82, 83, 99, 101, 124
Bhandarkar, Dr. R. G. 2, 5, 6, 14,	
24, 25, 26, 37, 38, 41, 45, 47, 53, 63, 68	Bodhisattva-caryā-nirdeša 72, 73
	Bombay xiii, 6, 8, 13, 34, 46, 54, 95
Bharani 30	01,00

GENERAL INDEX.

	Page
Bombay Gazette	44
Bompas, Mr. C. H.	xv
Bondage	8, 44
Bonn	xiv
Bos gavaeus	29
Brahma	104, 127
Brahmajālasutta	59,60
Brāhmaņa 2, 49, 59, 60,	
103, 104,	142, 145
Brahma-nemidatta	28
Brāhmaņi	2
Brāhmaņie	10
Brāhmaņie Logie	xiv
Brahmavādī	46
Brhadāraņyaka-vārtika	105
Brhat-jñāna-kosa	125
Brhat-tikā	35
British Government	xxi
British Mission xv, 1	20, 129,
	131, 134
Broach	50
Brtag-pa	129
Brtan-pahi	128
Bsam-yas	125
Bsgrub-par-bya	90
Bsgrub-par-byed	90
Bsrun	130
Buddha 1, 20, 21, 27, 5	7, 58, 60,
62, 63, 65, 66, 67, 69,	, 70, 71,
72, 73, 75, 80, 99, 1	101, 104,
120,	129, 145
Buddha Gautama	57,65
Buddha Gayā	139, 141
Buddhaghosa	76
Buddha Gupta	145
Buddha-jñāna-pāda	151
Buddha-jñāna-pāda Buddhism 57, 63, 67 Buddhist xili, xvili, xix,	, 71, 73
Buddhist xiii, xviii, xix,	, 50, 54,
	39, 91
Buddhist India	64, 67
Buddhist Logie xi	iv, xv, 57

MINISTRU

	Page
Buddhist Records xix, 14, 62,	
67. 68, 71, 72, 75, 82, 102,	
Buddhist Text Society 68.	
	. 72
Bühler, Dr. George 44, 48	
125, 126,	
Bull in Discussion 80	
Bunyiu Nanjio 61, 64, 66, 70,	
72, 73, 74, 76, 80, 89, 101,	102
Burmah	.63
Bu-ston	78
Byan-chub-ses-rab	137
Bye-brag	128
Bye-brag-pas	127
C	

Caitya			39, 147
Cakra-samvara			. 139
Calcutta i, xiii	, xv,	xvi, x	x, xxi,
3, 4, 9, 11,	14, 6	3, 65,	67, 68,
69. 70, 71,	73, 7	76, 10	9, 120,
121, 124,	126, 1	31, 13	3, 136,
		140, 1	47, 150
Calcutta Univer	sity		. xxi
Cambay	XX		
			9, 140,
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		1	49, 151
Candra		the i	. 122
Candra-dvīpa			. 122
Candra Gomin	x		, 122,
		12	23, 124
Candra Gupta		3, 69,	70, 71
Candra Kirti	10	70.1	22, 123
Cakkhu-viññāņa			. 59
Candrakūpa		1.	. 123
Candra Nārāyaņ	8	An a	. xviii
Candrapala			. 146
Candraprabha			45, 46
Candrasena		1-1-1	. 45
Candra-vyākara	ņa		. 122
Candra's well			123

157

C



MEDIEVAL SCHOOL OF INDIAN LOGIC.

G

	Page		Page
Cāndrī-patrikā	xviii	Chos-can	90
Candrodaya	33	- Chos-dan-chos-can-gtan	n-la-dwab-
Canon	3, 64	pa	136
Canonical	3, 63	Chos-grags	103
Canonical scriptures	3	Chos-kyi-brtson-bgrus	
Canonised	58	Chos-mchog	131
Сар	78, 79	Choş-mi-mthun-dpe-lta	
Capital	49	wa	97
Carpenter, J. E	60	Chos-mi-mthun-pa	90
Cārvāka	43, 46, 51	Chos-mthun	96
Caste	102, 103	Chos-mthun-dpe-ltar-si	nań-wa 96
Casuist	• 62	Chos-mthun-pa	90
Casuistry	59, 60	Chowkhamba	50, 51, 52
Catalogue		Christian	145
Catalogue of the Chin	ese Tri-	Citrakūța	50
pitaka	66	Class	
Categories		Classification	59
Caturvimśati-Jina-stut	i 23	Coda	103
Caturvimiati praband		Co-existent	
Causation	:. 62	Co-existent cause	129
Cause 11, 29, 30,		Cogitation	40
	129,138	Cola	71
Cemetery	104	Colgong	150
Cetiya	53	Collective	., 11
Ceylon 57, 58,	63, 122, 140		xvii, xix, 66
	51,61	Comparison 4, 1	0, 74, 85, 88
Chalukya	xx, 81	Compendium	49, 82, 83
Chanda	140	Concentration	10
Chandonuśäsana-vrtti	45	Conception	112
Chando-ratnākara	140	Concern	
Chaplain		Conclusion 8, 16,	31, 32, 42,
Characteristics 40, 4		Part of the seal .	92 94
99, 100, 109,		Conditional	
Characteristics of the	middle	Confusion	109
term	91	Conjeeveram	80, 102
China xiv, 63, 71,		Connection 42, 55,	
	76, 89, 101		116, 118, 144
Chittore	50	Connection unshown	20, 116
Ohola	103	Consciousness	40, 43, 59
Chog	90	Consequence	40
Chos-byun	148	Constituent	44

GENERAL INDEX.

MINISTRY



	· Page	D
Contained	29	Page
Container	29, 111	Dabhoi 55
Contemplation	80	Dad-pahi-śes-rab
Contemplative	109	Dad-pa-śeg-rab 100
Contemplative system	71	Dakşiņa 81
Continuity	118, 129	Dāna Rakșita 151
Contradiction 94, 9	5, 113, 114	Dānašila 134, 135
Contradictory	18, 94, 95,	Dāna-śrila 134
	112, 113	Darjeeling xv, xv
Contradistinction	62	Dar-ma-grags 117
Contrariety	17	Darśanaśuddhi 46
Contrary separation	21	Daśabhūmīśvara 64
Controversialist	85	Daśāśruta-skandha-sūtra 6
Controversy	79	Daśavaikālika-niryukti 5, 6
Conventional	12	Daśavaikālika-niryukti-ţīkā 48
Conviction	111	Daśavaikālika-sūtra 5
Conveyancing	62	Daśavaikālika-ţīkā 46
Cordier, Dr. Palmyr	70	Daśavaikālika-vrtti 3
Corea	63	Daśāvayava-vākya 6
Cosmic blindness	59	Das, Sarat Chandra xv, 63, 72,
Council 13, 57, 58		78, 82, 84, 119, 121, 124, 126,
	63, 64, 67	129, 147, 148, 150, 151
Council of discussion	44	Death 57
Counter-argument	44	Debate 44, 62, 74, 104
Counter-assertion	44	Debater 107
Counterpart	29	Debator 74
Counter proposition	7	Deccan xiii, xix, 26, 68, 103, 107
Cowell, Mr E. B.	8, 26, 66;	Deccan College 28, 34
0	67, 68	Declaration 111
Crawford, Mr.	xv	Defeat 61, 74
Credible	88, 89	Defect 21
Credible word	85, 88, 89	Defective 41
Cremation	104	Defective knowledge 4
Crest-gem of logicians	26	Definite 40
Csoma de Koros	105, 124,	Definition 129
0-1-	135, 148	Degree 1
Cudamaņi	26, 103	De-kho-na-ñid-bsdus-pahi-
Culla Vagga	57	dkah-hgrel 130
Cunningham, General	145, 150	De-kho-na-ñid-badua-pahi-
Cûrnika	3	tshig-lehur-byas-pa 125
Cycle	57	Delhi 63, 148



MEDIEVAL SCHOOL OF INDIAN LOGIC.

Page	Page
Demonstration 89	Dharmakīrti xvii, xix, 24, 26, 27,
Derivation 12	34, 41, 48, 49, 53, 82, 103,
Deva 67, 70, 71, 72	104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109,
Devacandra 44	113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118,
Devadatta 29, 32	119, 120, 121, 124, 125, 129,
Devägamastotra 23	131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 138,
Deva Pāla 148, 149	139, 140, 143
Devardhi Gaņi 13, 22	Dharmāloka 131
Devasundara 53	Dharmapāla 102, 103, 105, 124,
Deva Sāri 38, 39, 50	130, 146, 148, 149, 150, 151
Devendra Bhadra 130	Dharmapāla, A. H 61
Devendrabodhi xvii, 118, 119	Dharmaputra 48
Devotee 103, 122, 123, 135,	
136, 139	Dharmasamgraha 71
Dgah-wahi-rdo-rje 143	Dharmāśoka 99
	Dharmavijaya, Muni xiv, 2, 6,
	13, 22, 46, 49, 50, 52, 54
Dge-seg-siñ-gyan 100	Dharmaviniścaya 140
Dge-wahi-blo-gros 106, 117, 118, 119	Dharmin 29,90
	Dharmottara xix, 35, 46, 50, 53,
Dgra-las-rgyal-wa 136	67, 131, 133
Dhammaguttika 58	Dharmottarācārya xx, 130, 131,
Dhammasangani 59 Dhammuttariya 67	133
	Dharmottaratippanaka 34, 131
Dhanapat Sing 3, 4, 6,	Dialectic 106
8, 11 Dhandhuka 44	Dialectician 72, 80, 100, 107,
	. 123, 129
	Dialectics 83
Dhānyakaṭaka xx	Dialogue of Buddha 60
Dhāraņā 41	Didhiti xviii
Dhāraņī 103	Dīdhiti-sāra-mañjarī xviii
Dharma 29, 80, 90, 104, 141	Dīdhiti-tīkā xviii
	Dīdhiti-țippanī xviii
Dharmabhūşaņa 54, 82	Dīdhiti-vyākhyā-vivecana xviii
Dharmābhyudaya-mahākāv-	Digambara xviii, 1, 2, 5, 9, 22,
ya 47, 48	25, 26, 28, 33, 36, 37, 38,
Dharma-dharmi-viniścaya 136	54, 82, 105, 127
Dharmagañja 147	Dîgha Nikāya 59,60
Dharmaghoşa 46 Dharma-guptīya 65	Dignāga xvi:, xix, 27, 34, 49,
and a second	52, 53, 54, 70, 78, 79, 80,
	81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 92, 95, 96, 99, 100,
Dharmākara Santi 151	00, 00, 02, 00, 00, 00, 100,

SL

GENERAL INDEX.

MINISTRY

Page	
101, 102, 105, 106, 113,	
114, 124	
Dinna Gaņi 22	D. P. I xv
Dīpanī xviii	Dravali 104
Dipankara 117, 136, 137, 138,	Drāvida xviii, xix, xx, 102, 104
148, 151	Dravya 11, 128
Diploma 139, 141, 151	Drop of Logie 109
Direct	Drop of Reason 117
Direct apprehension 28	Drstanta 7, 16, 17, 18, 31, 42, 74,
Direct knowledge 4, 9, 10, 15 21,	76, 90,
29, 40	Drstäntäbhäsa 19, 20, 33,
Discipline Basket 58	96, 97
Disconnection 98	Drstivāda 3, 4, 5
Discuss 62	
Discussion 44, 62, 64	Dul-lha 119 Dus 129
Disputant 40, 44, 62, 133	Dūşaņa 21, 98, 116
Disputation 62, 114	Düşanābhāsa 21, 99
Dispute 70	Dus-gsum-brtag-pa 101
Dissenter 67	Dvāra-paņdita 151
Distinction 62	Dvāśraya-mahā-kāvya 45
Dittha 86	Dvātrimšikā 51
Ditthivão · · · · 4	Dwan-phyug-brtag-pa 127
Dmigg-pa-brtag-pa 101	Dwan-phyug-hjig-pahi-tshig-
Dmigs-pa-brtag-pahi-hgrel 101	lehur-byas-pa 130
Dmigs-pa-brtag-pahi-hgrel-	Dwan-po 129
bśad 120	如此。 起来到19月1日,一座小台之中。
Dhos-po 128	E
Dö 125, 136, 140	Ear 15, 87 Earle, Mr. A xv
Doctor of Philosophy ;	Earle, Mr. A xv
Doctrine 91 104	Early history of the Deccan 26
44 71	Earth 22
United The State S	Effect 29, 30, 31, 110, 111, 117,
Soor or Entrance to Logic 80	126, 128, 134, 138
20110 00	Efficiency 110
18 10 10 118	Ego 72
Por prusegs Raksita 190	Ekabbohārika 58
=Paridan-blo-gros 194	Emancipation 21, 41, 44
=Par-luan-blo-gros-hrtan-na 139	Enlightened One 57
Par-uchog-dan-pohi-rdo-rio 127	Enlightenment 15
Pal-rtsegs Raksita 191 190	Entire knowledge 4
Dpe-brjod 90	Entity 11 199

161

GL



MEDIEVAL SCHOOL OF INDIAN LOGIC.

G

L

	Page	Page
Entrance to the	And the second	Fallacy of absence of connec-
Logic	100	tion 97
Equanimity		Fallacy of absence of discon-
Era	63	nection 98
Era of tradition	1	Fallacy of argumentation 32-
Erring one	81	Fallacy of " collective " 43
Error	62	Fallacy of example 19, 33, 98
Ether	21, 74, 93	Fallacy of excluded middle
Etymological	12	and major terms 97
Europe	xxi	Fallacy of heterogeneous ex-
Evambhūta	4, 12, 21	ample 20, 21, 97
Evambhūtābhāsa	43	Fallacy of homogeneous ex-
Evolution	129	ample 19,96
Examination	129	Fallacy of included major
Example 7, 16	17, 18, 31, 32,	term 98
	0, 95, 96, 97, 98,	Fallacy of included middle
the Party Pro-	114, 115, 116	and major terms 98
Excluded	96	Fallacy of included middle
Excluded major		term 98
Excluded middle	96	Fallacy of inference 99
Excluded middle	and major	Fallacy of inverted negation 98
terms	97	Fallacy of middle term 18, 33, 93,
Existence	20, 24, 25,	98, 112
	43, 68	Fallacy of minor term 17, 32
Experience	99, 109	Fallacy of naya 43
Extent	92	Fallacy of perception _ 32, 99
External	42	Fallacy of "practical" 43
External insepara		Fallacy of reason
tion	42	Fallacy of reasoning 98
Extreme	68	Fallacy of recognition 32
Extrinsic	18	Fallacy of recollection 32
Eye	15, 59, 87, 95	Fallacy of refutation , 98, 116
	La Tribert Carrie	Fallacy of "subtle" 43
	F	Fallacy of "suchlike" 43
Fact	74	Fallacy of straight expres-
Factitious		sion 43
Fahian	62, 67, 69, 145	Fallacy of thesis 90, 98, 112
Fallacious	90, 96, 112	Fallacy of verbal testimony 33
Fallacy 21, 28, 3		Familiar 95
	96, 98, 99, 112,	Feeling 91
1	13, 114, 115, 116	Fine Art 103, 121

GENERAL INDEX.

Page

		1	aye
First Council			58
Fitness			32
Fleet, Dr. J. F.		5	7,63
Form			110
Form of a syllogi	ism		90
Formula			6
Francklin	· · · · · · · ·		150
Fraud			61
Futility			116

MINISTRY

G

	T. C. C. 19	
Gacehotpatti-pra	kīrņaka	48
Gadādhara		xviii
Gaṇadhara		2
Ganadhara-sārdh	a-śataka	3
Gaņdavyūha		64
Gandha-hasti-ma	hābhāşya	23
Gāndhāra	xi	x, 74, 75
Ganges	121,	122, 150
Gangeśa Upādhy	āya xvii,	xviii, 48
Garbhapāda	Sec. 1996	136
Gate-keeper	138, 139,	140, 151
Gāthāsāhasrī	P	48
Gauda		138, 151
Gautama		3, 11, 57
Gems		6, 14, 15
Genealogy		8
~	17, 32, 86	
		113, 115
Generality	82, 95,	121, 128
General knowled	0A	109
General property	7	11
Generic property		11, 43
Genus		5, 86, 99
Genyo		75
Germany	10 A.	. 122
Ghānaviñnāņa		59
Ghața	••	12
Gloss		xix, 131
(inas-mahi h		
Gnas-mahi-bus God		128
God		128, 131

	Page
Gokulika	58
Go Pāla 12	4, 148, 149
Gorakhpura	57
Gorbara	3
Go-srun	151
Gosvāmi, Dāmodara La	1 51
Gotama	2
Gotamastotra	3
Gotra	5
Gough, Mr. 8, 26	, 66, 67, 68
Govi Candra	119
Govind	26
Grags-hbyor-ses-rab	130, 133
Grags-pa-rgyal-mtshan-d	
bzan	89
Grammar 103, 121,	
	141, 151
Great Britain 57, 58,	63, 64, 66,
	72, 76
Great Vehicle	63
Glegs-bam-bklag-pahi-th	
Gser-gyi-go-cha	84, 100
Gshan-gyi-don-gyi-rje-dj	
Gshan-gyi-don-gyi-tshig	
Gshan-gyi-don-rjes-su-dr	pag-pa 109
Çshan-la-brtag-pahi-tshi	
	130
Gshan-sel-wa	
-	85, 90, 91
Gtan-tshigs-kyi-de-kho-n	
bstan-pa	
Gtan-tshigs-kyi-hkhor-lo	
la-dwab-pa ,.	
Gtan-tshigs-kyi-thigs-pa	
Gtan-tshigs-kyi-thigs-pa-	
cher-hgrel-wa	120
Gtan-tshigs-ltar-snab	
Gtan-tshigs-thigs-pahi-he	
wa	133
Gugo /	125
Cuma	198


G

GOVER

164

MINISTRY

		Pag	re 1	Page
Guņākara-śrī-bha	dra	12	5	Hema 35
Guņamati		14	6	Hemacandra 2, 26, 35, 44, 45, 51,
Guņaratna	48, 52	, 53, 13	3	123
Guņava			3	Hema Varma or Kanaka
Gupta		49, 13	0	Varma 84, 100
Gupta era		7	0	Heresy
Gupta samvat		4	9	Heretic 61, 102
Guru		12	9	Heretical 58, 65
Gurvāvalī	(6, 39, 5	3	Heterodox 103
Gurvāvalī-sūtra			5	Heterogeneous 17, 19, 20, 31, 32,
Gustatory		5	9	90, 91, 94, 96, 98, 110, 112,
Guzerat	xviii, 38	, 44, 45	5,	115, 116, 117
		46, 4	7	Heterogeneous example 20, 21,
Gyantse		x	v	97, 98
				Hetu 4, 5, 7, 11, 16, 17, 19, 29,
	1			30, 33, 41, 42, 62, 74, 76,
Haimavata		6		77, 85, 90, 91, 117
Hamsa		48, 5	0	Hetubindu 53, 120, 133
Hamsarāja, Hira	Lal	5	1	Hetubinduțikā 120
Han		6	4	Hetubinduvivaraņa 117, 133
Handschriften-ver	zeichnisse	• 3		Hetu-cakra-hamaru 99
Hanoi	**	70		Hetu-dvāra-śāstra
Hardy, Rev.		5'	7	Hetu-prayoga 42
Haribhadra 3, 46	47, 48, 49	, 50, 13	9	Hetu-tattva-upadeśa 136
Harivamsa Purāņ	8	•• •	2	Hetu-vibhakti 7
marsa		xx, 12	3	Hetuvidyā xvii, 65, 82
Harşa Deva		135	2	Hetuvidyā-śāstra 82, 83
Harşa Vardhana		123	3	Hetvābhāsa 18, 33, 93, 112
Hasti Pāla	••	149		Ugal-wa 91
Hbras-bu	••	110, 134	1	Hgro-wa-ran-bshin-du-smra-
Hbras-buhi-gtan-t			7	wa-brtag-pa 127
Hbrel-pa-brtag-pa				llgyur-war-smra-wa
biad-pa)	Himalaya 103 Himayata 58
librel-pa-brtag-pal	ui-rjes-su			Himavata 58
hbran-wa		142	2	Hinayāna 63, 65, 66, 74, 80
librel-pa-grub-pa		144	1	Hindu Logic xiv, 73, 74, 75, 76,
librel-wa-brtag-pa		118	3	89, 101
Ilbrel-wa-brtag-pa	hi-hgrel-w	a 118	3	Hira Lal Hamsarāja 13
Ilbro	125, 1	36, 140)	Hirapa 37
Hbro-sen-dkar		125		Hiravijaya 55
IIdu-wa		129)	Historical period 13



Historical D.		F	Page
Historical Records	5	••	
lijam dpal-rtsa- rg Hjig-rten			
Hijg-rten	••	F	129
Hjig-rten-pha-rol-g Hodgson, Mr.	rub-p	a	132
Hodgson, Mr. Hoernle, Dr.	••	XV	, 64
		9,	23
Homogeneers			74
Homogeneous 1	7, 19,	31, 32,	90,
91, 94, 96, 1	10, 11	2, 113,	115
Homogeneous exa	mple		
Hornell, Mr. W. V	<i>v</i> .		
	••		129
Householder			
Humiliation	·••		
Hun		ix, 14,	
Huşkapura			XX
Hwen-thsang 1	4, 62,	66, 67,	68,
71, 72, 73, 74	., 75,	76, 78,	82,
101, 102, 103	3, 105	, 123, 1	
			146
1			124
I	••		143
ruentilication			41
Identity 31, 1	10, 11	1, 117.	134
Ignorance		21	
lhã			41
Illumination			
Illusion			1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1 M 1
Illusory	43.	85, 99,	
Image		11,	- 100 - 100
Immediate	A CONTRACTOR	,	100 1
	1.1.1		42
Imperceptible	* *		43
Imperceptible Implied	••	30	, 31
Implied	••	30 95,	, 31 113
Implied Implied major ter	••• ••	30 95,	, 31 113 113
Implied Implied major ter Imposition	 	30 95, 	, 31 113 113 55
Implied Implied major ter Imposition Impressions	 .m 	30 95, 	, 31 113 113 55 29
Implied Implied major ter Imposition Impressions Included major ter		30 95, 	, 31 113 113 55
Implied Implied major ter Imposition Impressions	 m orm and	30 95, major	, 31 113 113 55 29

Included middle term

Incompatible

98

..

.. 90, 91, 112

MINISTRY Oc

Page
Inconsistent 95 Indescribable: 25
Indescribable: 25
India xiv, xv, xvi, xvii, xix, xx, 50,
61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 69,
71, 76, 78, 81, 96, 101, 102, 103, 105, 108, 120, 122, 134,
105, 105, 108, 120, 122, 134,
135, 145, 146
Indian Logie i, xvii
India Office xv, 84, 89, 100, 101, 106, 107, 108, 109, 117, 118,
100, 107, 108, 109, 117, 118,
120, 121, 123, 124, 125, 130, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 138,
139, 140, 141, 143, 144
Indirect 112
Indirect apprehension 28
Indirect apprehension
21, 29, 40, 41, 54
Individual knowledge 109
Individual knowledge 109 Indra 11,43
Indrabhūti 2, 3
Indra Gomin 123 Indravijaya, Muni xiv, 2, 6, 13,
22, 46, 49, 54
Indriya 40, 129
Indriya-parīksā 127
Induction 96
Inference 4, 5, 10, 15, 16, 19, 29,
30, 31, 40, 41, 42, 54, 74, 76,
86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 99, 109,
111, 112, 114, 129
Inference for one's self 16, 31, 41,
85, 106, 108, 109, 110, 137, 139
Inference for others 41
Inference for the sake of
others 16, 17, 31, 85, 88. 109,
111, 112, 137, 139
Infinite knowledge 15 Inquiring 41
Inseparable 96
Inseparable connection 18, 20, 29,
42, 92, 116
Instance



MEDIEVAL SCHOOL OF INDIAN LOGIC.

S

Page	Page
Instrument 29	Jaina Logic xiii, xvi, xix, 1
Instrument	Jaina system xviii
Intelligence	Jainendra 54
Intercourse 40 Internal 42	Jain Vaidya, Mr 23, 25, 28, 33
	Jaipur 23, 25, 28, 33
tion 42, 76, 141	Jalandhara 63, 148
Interpretation 43	Jamanagara 51
Intrinsic 18	Jambud∜īpa 134
Introduction xvii	Jambudvīpasamāsa 9
Intuition 41	Japan xiv, 63, 73, 74, 75, 76, 89, 101
Invalid 19, 100	
Invariable accompaniment 18	Jarrett, Col 40
Invariable separation 21	J. A. S. B. xiii, 70, 71, 80,
Inverse connection 97	122, 124, 125, 138, 140
Inverse disconnection 98	Jāti 51, 116
	Jaya Candra
Inversion 40 Inverted 97, 98	Jayadeva Miśra xviii
	Jayāditya 123
	Jayanta
THANK TOOL TOOLAND	Jayasimha 38, 39, 44, 46
Ireland 57, 58, 63, 64, 66, 72, 76 Istavighātakrt 113	Jayasimha 38, 39, 44, 46 Jayasoma 5
	J. B. B. R. A. S. 24, 26, 27, 28,
Iśvara-bhanga-kārikā 130	34, 63, 82, 105, 114
Iśvara Krsna 83, 95 Iśvara Sena 106	J. B. T. S. 63, 65, 66, 67, 68,
	71, 73, 126, 129, 136, 150
	Jesalmir 125
	Jetāri 136, 140, 151
I-tsing 63, 66, 84, 89, 99, 101,	Jetavanīya 65
102, 103, 105, 119, 123, 146	Jina xviii, 1, 55, 80, 82, 137
	Jina Bhadra 137
J	Jinabhata 50 Jinadatta 32 Jinadattasūri 3
Jacobi, Dr. Herman xiii, xiv,	Jinadatta 32
Jacobi, Dr. Herman 1, 5, 13, 49	Jinadattasūri 3
Jagadīśa xviii	Jina Mitra 120, 130, 134, 135, 146
Jagat-svabhāva-vāda-parīksā 127	Jinaprabha 1, 3, 9, 51
	Jina Sena 14, 24, 28, 34
Jaimini 81 Jaiminiya 33, 49, 51	Jinendrabodhi xvii, 124
Jaima xiii, xviii,	Jineśvara 37
2, 3, 10, 49, 59, 82, 104, 125	Jitāri 49
Jaina darśana 8, 38	Jīva 8, 22
Jaināgama List 46, 54	Jivhāviñnāņa 59
Johnagonna Lust 40, 04	The second s





G

L

Page	Page
Kāvyamālā 3	Kṣānti Pāla 149
Kāvyānuśāsanavrtti 44	Ksapanaka 15
Kāvya-prakāśa-samketa 47	Kṣatriya 121
Kāyaviñnāņa 59	Kubja 81
Kern, Prof 63	Kukutasiddha 147
Kevala 10, 15	Kumārajīva 70, 71, 76
Kevalajñāna 2, 4	Kumārakaļasa 136, 138, 141
Kevalin 2	Kumāralābha 67
Khamba 32	Kumāralabdha 67
Kharataragaccha 3, 5, 13, 14,	Kumāra Nandi 54
49, 53	Kumāra Pāla 44
Kharataragaccha-pațțāvalī 48	Kumāraśrī 136
Khri-ral xx, 135, 148 Khri-sroň-deu-tsan 124, 129	Kumāra-śrī-bhadra 125
Khri-sron-deu-tsan 124, 129	Kumārila 24, 26, 27, 28, 34, 81,
Khuddaka Nikāya 60	104, 105
Khyab 92	Kumārila Bhatta 81
Kielhorn, Prof. F 123	Kumbha 12
King 62	Kumuda-candra 14
Kīrti 105	Kumuda-candrācārya 38
Klatt, Dr. J. 3, 5, 13, 14, 35, 38,	Kundakunda 9
39, 45, 48, 49, 53	Kurukullaka 65
Klog-skya-śes-rab-brtsegs 140	Kuśinagara 57
Klon-rdol-gsun-hbum 148, 151	Kuśinārā 57
Knowledge 4, 10, 22, 40, 59,	Kusumapura 9
109, 112	Kutārkika 81
Kolhāpura 54	Kwei-ke 76
Końkana 137	and the second
Korunanda 103	L
Korur 14	Labrang xv, xvi, 89, 99, 118, 119,
Kosa-kāraka-śāstra 66	125, 130, 135, 138, 142
Krakucchanda 57	Laghiyastraya 26, 33
Kratu 43	Laghusamantabhadra 26, 37
Krishnā 68	Laghuțikā 50
Kriyā-ratna-samuccaya 52, 53	Laghuvrtti 52
Krsnakānta xviii	Laksana 54, 109, 129
Krsņarāja 26	Lalita Candra 119
Krttikā 30, 31	Lalitāditya xx, 125
Ksamāśramana 13, 22	Lalitavistara 64,65
Kşana-bhanga-siddhi 132, 133, 140	Lama xx, 125, 148
Kşana-bhanga-siddhi-vyākh-	Lamaism 78 , 124, 129, 151
yā 133	Lankāvatāra 64, 72

A State of the Sta	Page
Lankāvatāra sūtra	71, 72, 73
Lag	128
Lassen, Mr	63
Laukāyatika	33
Laukika	16
	, 75, 80, 104
Leipzig	xiii
Leumann, Dr. E	6
Lévi, Sylvain	63
Lexicography	122, 140
Lha-bla-ma-shi-wa-hod	125
Lha-dwan-blo	118
Lha-lama-ye-ses-hod	148
Lhan-eig-dmigs-pa-nes-	pa 136
Lhasa	142
Lha-tsun-byan-chub	148
Library	147
Licchavi	123
Life	68
Likeness	78
Limitation	7
Linga 14, 1	16, 29, 90, 91
Lion-cub	47
Literature 57,	59, 121, 122,
	140
Little Vehicle	63
Locus	29
Logie xiii, xiv, x	v, xvii, xviii,
xix, xx, xxi, 3, 4	, 5, 6, 13, 14,
51, 52, 54, 57, 59	9, 60, 61, 64,
65, 67, 70, 71, 7	3, 74, 75, 77,
78, 89, 99, 101, 1	105, 107, 109,
117, 118, 119, 11 125, 134, 136, 1	21, 123, 124,
	141, 142, 151
Logician	59, 72
Lohācārya	9
Lokāyata	
Lokottaravādin	
London xiv, xv, t	
68, 84, 89, 100,	101 106 107
108, 109, 112, 1	17, 118, 190
100, 100, 112, 1	11, 110, 120,

· GOVERN

MINISTRYOR

	Page
	125, 130, 133, 136, 139,
	140, 141, 143
	Luna 112
	M
1 2	Mādhavācārya 8, 26, 38, 66, 67,
	68, 82, 105, 107, 138
	Madhu-sūdana Thakkura xviii
	Madhyadesa 103
	Madhyama 68
	Madhyamakāvatāra 140
	Mādhyamika 51, 66, 67, 68, 70, 71, 122, 124
	71, 122, 124
	Mādhyamika-kārikā 70
	Mādhyamikā Vrēti 68, 70
	Madhyānta-vibhāga-śāstra 73
-	Madras xx, 68, 78, 80, 81, 82,
	102
1	Magadha 3, 20, 57, 61, 69, 97, 103 124, 125, 136, 138, 139, 146,
	124, 120, 150, 155, 139, 146, 147, 148, 151
	36 1
	Web-Thhedre 1 1
	Mahādeva Paņdita xviii
1	Mahākāla 14
1	Mahākāla 14 Mahākošala 68, 71
	Mahāmati
	Mahā Pāla 135, 136, 149
	Maharaja xv
	Mahārāja-Kanika-lekha 62
	Maharani
1	Mahārāstra 80, 81
	Mahāsamaya-sūtra 72, 73
	Mahāsāmghika 58, 65, 67
	Mahāvagga 59 Mahāvamsa 57, 58, 61, 66, 67
	Mahāvibhāsā 64
	Mahāvihāra 65
	Mahāvīra 1, 2, 3, 6, 13, 14, 22,



Autor of NDA

MEDIEVAL SCHOOL OF INDIAN LOGIC.

G

[,

Page	Page
Mahāvīracarita 2	Manual of Buddhism 57
Mahāvīracarita 2 Mahāvīracaritra 45 Mahāvīracaritra 45	Manusamhitā 103
Mahāyāna 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 74,	Māra 116
75, 78, 80, 129, 138, 145	Mark 29, 49, 90, 99
Mahāyāna-samparigraha-śās	Masu Raksita 149 Mathurānātha xviii
tra 74	Mathurānātha xviii
Mahendra Sūri 47	Mati , 4, 10
Maheśa Thakkura xviii	Maticitra 62
Mahinda 58 Mahī Pāla 134, 135, 148, 149	Matter 126
	Max Müller 71, 75
Mahiśāsaka 65, 74	Māyādevī 26
Mahomedan xx, 151	Mediæval xvii
Mahopādhyāya 5	Medieval Logic xiii, xviii, xix
Maitreya xix, 57, 73, 74, 75, 78	Medieval school i, xiii, xvii
Major term 16, 18, 29, 30, 31, 41,	Mediate 43
55, 76, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95,	Medicine 62, 121
96, 97, 98, 99, 110, 112, 113,	Meditation 71, 104
136	Meghadūta 81
Malla 34	Members 44
Malladhāri 38, 51	Menander 61
Mallavādin xix, 34, 35, 131	Mental 59
Mallinātha 81	Merutunga 1
Mallișena 51	Metaphysical Basket 58
Malwa xviii, 14, 119	Metaphysics xviii, 13, 151
Manah-paryāya 4, 10, 41	Method of discussion 117
Manak 8	Metre 84 Middle Age xiv, xx
Manas 15, 40, 86, 87	
Ma-neg-pa 93	Middle Country 103
Mangala 126	Middle Path 68
Manibhadra 52	Middle term 16, 17, 29, 30, 31,
Māņikya Candra 35, 36, 47	33, 41, 55, 76, 77, 85, 90, 91,
Māņikya Nandi 26, 28, 33, 34, 37,	92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99,
40, 54	100, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114,
Mañjunātha 100	117, 136
Mafijuśri 80, 82, 83, 84, 123,	Mi-dmiga-pa 110, 134
125, 136, 142	Mi-dmigs-pahi-gtan-tshigs 117
Mañjuśri-műla-tantra 69	Mihirakula xix, 146
Manoratha 75, 143	Milinda 61, 62
Manoviññāņa 59	Milinda-pañha 61, 62
Mantra 139	Mīmāmsaka 27, 32, 46, 54, 91,
Mann 103	93, 94, 105, 127

1

	Page
Mīmāmsī Mind 10, 15, 40,	60
Mind 10, 15, 40,	86, 87, 109
Mine	143
Mine Minor term 16, 17,	18, 29, 30,
33, 41, 76, 90, 91,	93, 95, 110,
	112, 136
Mirok	73
Misconception	18
Mithilā Mitra, Dr. R. L Mžon.sum 85 00 10	xxi
Mitra, Dr. R. L	36, 65, 149
Mnon-sum 85, 99, 10	6, 108, 109,
	129
Mnon-sum-ltar-nan	99
Modern	99 xvii
Modern school	
Mody Keshablal	9
Moggaliputta	60, 61
Moksa	8, 21
Momentariness	132
Momentary	17
Monastery xx, 89, 10	0, 118, 119,
1	24, 125, 151
Mongolia	63
Morris, Dr	59
Mtshan-ñid	129, 134
Mucak	74
Mudita Bhadra	147
Makhopadhyaya, Ju	stice
Asutosh	
	•• 111
Muktākumbha	·· iii ·· 133
Muktāpīda	·· 111 133 xx
Muktāpīda Mūla Sarvāstivāda	133 xx
Muktāpida Mūla Sarvāstivāda Mumbha	·· 133 ·· xx
Muktāpīda Mula Sarvāstivāda	133 xx 65 32
Muktāpīda Mūla Sarvāstivāda Mumbha Muni Candra Muniratna	·· 133 ·· xx ·· 65
Muktāpīda Mūla Sarvāstivāda Mumbha Muni Candra Muniratna Munisundara	133 xx 65 32 38, 50
Muktāpīda Mūla Sarvāstivāda Mumbha Muni Candra Muniratna Munisundara	133 xx 65 32 38, 50 49 6, 39, 53
Muktāpīda Mūla Sarvāstivāda Mumbha Muni Candra Muniratna Munisundara Munja Music	133 xx 65 32 38, 50 49 6, 39, 53 37
Muktāpīda Mūla Sarvāstivāda Mumbha Muni Candra Muniratna Munisundara Muāja Music Music	133 xx 65 32 38, 50 49 6, 39, 53 37 62, 104, 121
Muktāpīda Mūla Sarvāstivāda Mumbha Muni Candra Muniratna Munisundara Mušie Musie Musie Mustiharītakī	133 xx 65 32 38, 50 49 6, 39, 53 37 62, 104, 121
Muktāpīda Mūla Sarvāstivāda Mumbha Muni Candra Muniratna Munisundara Munīja Music Music	133 xx 65 32 38, 50 49 6, 39, 53 37

GOVER

MINISTRYOR

3	Page
futual non-existence	24, 25
Iyrobalan	80
Tythical	57
N	
Nadia	xxi
Nāgadatta	80
Nāga Raksita	137
Nāgaravācaka	8
Nāgārjuna 67, 68, 69	, 70, 71,
	122, 145
Nāga Sena	61, 62
Nāgasena-Bhikṣu-sūtra	61
Nāga Sesa	122
Nāgendra gaccha	47, 48, 51
Nag-hbans	141
Nag-tsho-lotsava	148
Naigama	4, 11, 21
Naigamābhāsa	43
Naiyāyika 46,	49 54 79
Nalanda xx, 69, 71, 7	4, 79, 80,
81, 101, 102, 103.	119, 122
123, 124, 129, 145,	146, 147,
	150
Nālendra	121
Nālo	69, 145
Nāma	11
Nāmamālā	45
Name 11,	85, 86, 99
Nam-mkhahi-gos-can	127
Nāņambā	37
Nanda	3
Nandī Sūtra	3, 4
Nan-gi-khyab-pa	140
Nārāyaņa Pāla	149
Narendra-śri-jñāna	151
Nāri	110
	148
Naropa	148
Nāsti	
TE-41	151



Page	
Naya 4, 8, 11, 15, 21, 22, 40, 43,	Non-self 22
51, 54, 55	
	Nose 15, 87
	Notion 32
Naya Pāla 141, 142, 148, 149	Novice 62
Negation 30, 98, 117	Nyagrodhikā 9
Negative 30, 31, 32, 92	Nyāsa 124
Nemicandra 46, 47, 69, 70	Nyāya 1. 13, 40, 52, 61, 62, 127
Neminātha 39	Nyāya-bhāsya xvii, 53, 86, 88
Nepal 57, 63, 71, 121, 141	Nyāyabhūsaņa 53
Nibandhana 40	Nyāyabindu xix, 41, 53, 54, 109,
Niebuhrstrasse xiv	113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 120,
Nigamana 8, 16, 31, 42	129, 131, 135
Niggaha 61	Nyāyabindupiņdārtha 135
Niggaha-catukka 61	Nyāya-bindu-pūrva-pakṣe-
Nighanțuśeșa 45	samksipta 129
Nigliva 57	Nyāyabindutīkā xix, 34, 35, 113,
Nigraha 61	114, 120, 131
Nigrahasthāna 51	Nyāya-dīpikā 54,82
Nihksepa 55	Nyāya-dvāra-śāstra 89
Nila-netra 71	Nyāya-kalikā 53
5	Nyāya-kandalī 51
37: 11 101	Nyāya-khanda-khādya 54
	Nyāya-kumuda-candrodaya 33
	Nyāya-kusumāñjali 53
Nirnaya 39, 40	Nyāyālankāra xvii, 53
Nirnayasāgara 6,8	Nyāyālankāra-vrtti 53
Nirvāņa 1, 2, 3, 8, 39, 57, 58, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 69, 73, 75,	Nyāyālokasiddhi 123
82, 03, 04, 05, 00, 00, 13, 15, 82, 142, 145	Nyāyāmrta-tarangiņī 54
	Nyāyānusāra-śāstra 66
	Nyāyapradīpa 54
	Nyāya-praveša 89, 90, 95, 102,
	- 113, 114
	Nyāya-pravešaka 53
	Nyāya pravešaka sūtra 48
Non-conception 18	Nyāya-praveša-tarka-šāstra 89, 102
Non-distinguished 11	Nyāya-praveśo-nāma-pramā-
Non-entity 11	ņa-prakaraņa 89, 102
Non erroneous 94, 114	Nyāya-rahasya 54
Non-erroneous contradiction 114	Nyāya-sāra 53
Non-existence 10, 24, 25, 42	Nyāya-śāstra xvii
Non-perception 110, 111, 134	Nyāya-sūtra xvii, 11, 53, 86, 87,
Non-reflective 20	88, 116



	Page
Nyāya-vārtika	xvii, 53, 81, 85,
	88, 89
Nyäyavärtika-tätp	arya-țīkā xvii,
	66, 81, 86, 88
Nyāya-vārtika-tāt	parya-ţîkā-
pariśuddhi .	xvii
Nyāyāvatāra xix	
TATLEY SALTHING &	48, 76, 133
Nyāyāvatāra-vivrt	
	46, 133
Nyāyāvatāra-vrtti	48, 49
Nyāyāvayava .	
Nyāyaviniścaya .	
Nyāya-viniścaya-vi	
Nyāya-vŗtti	
and the second se	
Nyojits-ron .	77

MINISTRY

0

Object 29, 95,	00 100	110 190
Object of knowle	9	40
Object of sense		40
Ocean of discussi	ons	36
Ocular		59
Odantapura		125, 140
Odantapurī		xx
Oldenberg, Dr.		58,59
Olfactory	1. 12	59
Omniscience		141
Onesided	1.	43
Onesided knowled	lge	40
Opponent 40, 44		. 112.116
Opposition		113
Ordination		14
Organs of sense		10
0.		80, 81
Orthodox		65, 103
Others		143
Oudh		xix
Salar Salar		
P		
Padārtha		128

adartha		
CAPAGET CATCO		

The second second	
	Page
Padmā	122
Padmacarita	
Padma-sambhava	
Pag-sam-jon-zang	xv, 82,
Pag-sam-jon-zang 84, 119, 121	, 122, 123, 124,
129, 130, 131	, 134, 135, 136,
137, 140, 14	12, 147, 148, 150
Paksa 16, 17, 1	8, 29, 33, 41, 42,
D 1 -11 -	76, 90, 91, 112
Pakṣābhāsa	17, 32, 90, 112
Pakșa-prayoga	42
Pāla ; Palhava	xx, 136, 148, 149
Palhava	63
Pāli xiv, 3, 57, 58	, 59, 60, 61, 63,
	64, 67, 145
Palibothra	150
Pali Buddhist Lite	prature 57
Pallava	xix, xx, 81
Pamiangchi Pañcasiddhāntikā	·· ,, XV
Pañcasiddhāntikā	14
Pan-chen-shwa	78
Pāņdavapurāņa	23, 26
Pandita xx, 49, 6	2, 71, 78, 79, 80,
101, 108	8, 117, 123, 136,
138, 140, 1	41, 143, 144, 151
Pandita's Cap	·· · · · 79 ·· 102, 122, 124
Pāņini	102, 122, 124
Pañjikā	38, 51
Paññatti	··· 38, 51 ··· 58
Parahita	143
Parahita Bhadra	·· · · · · 143 ·· 108, 132, 134
Paralogism	8, 43
Pāralokasiddhi	xx, 132
Paramahamsa	48, 50
Paramārtha	xix, 76, 109, 146
Paramārthasat	109
Pāramārthika	15, 40, 41. 43
Pāramārthika pr	atyaksa 21
Parārtha	41
Pararthanumana	·· · · 41 16, 31, 85, 109,
	111



G

Page	Page
Parārtha-vākya 106	Pāvā 1
Pariccheda 24, 39	Pearl-oystor 40
Parīkķā 129	Perceptible 30
Parīkṣāmukha 28, 37, 54	Perception 4, 10, 15, 16, 19, 29,
Parīksāmukha-pañjikā 28, 37	40, 54, 85, 86, 88, 89, 90, 99,
Parīkṣāmukha-śāstra 28	105, 106, 108, 109, 110, 111,
Parīksāmukha-sūtra 26, 28, 29, 33,	112, 129, 137, 139
40	Perfect 41
Pariśistaparvan 1,45	Perfection 71, 146
Parivrājaka 103	Perfect knowledge 109
Paroksa 4, 9, 10, 15, 28, 29, 40,	Permanence 128
41, 54	Permanent 128
Pārśva 63	Personal Testimony 16
Pārśvanātha 1, 14, 125	Pervaded 29, 30, 31
Pārśvanātha-caritra 35, 36, 47	Pervader 29, 111
Pārtha Sārathi 81	Pervasion 29
Pārtha Sārathi Miśra 81	Peshwar xix, 74, 75
Partially 92	Peterson, Professor xiii, xx, 2, 3,
Particle 95	5, 9, 13, 14, 22, 23, 24, 26,
Particular 11, 17, 32, 86, 115	28, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 44,
Particularity 128	45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52,
Particular property 11	54, 109, 113, 114, 131
Parts of a syllogism 42, 85, 89	Petitio Principii 70
Party 93	Phakkikā-śāstra xvii
Pātaliputra xviii, 9, 26, 28, 58	Phala 28, 128
Patan 35	Phalavardhigrāma 39
Patañjali 122	Phani Candra 69
Pathak, K. B. 26, 28, 34, 105, 114	Phases 33
Pātharghātā 150	Philosophy 78
Pațiccasamuppāda 59	Phodang xv, xvi
Pātimokkha 78	Phyi-rol-gyi-don 129
Pațififă 61	Phyi-rol-gyi-don-grub-pa-ces-
Patna 9	bya-wahi-tshig-lehur 130
Pätra Kesari 28	Phyogs 90
Pātra Kesari Svāmi 28	Phyogs-glan 80
Patta 38	Phyogs-ltar-snan 90
Pattadhara 5	Piety Mart 147
Pattāvalī 1, 3, 5, 6, 9, 13, 14, 49,	Pingala-netra 71
53, 55	Pitaka 80, 103, 121
Pattāva ī-vācanā	Pițakatraya 58
Paudgalika 17	Pitakattaya 58

GOVERNIEN

T OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF



Page
Pramāņa 4, 8, 9, 10, 11,
15, 21, 22, 29, 40, 43, 51, 54,
55, 84, 106, 108, 129, 132, 134
Pramāņamīmāmsā 26, 45
Pramāņa-naya - tattvā - lokā-
lankāra 38, 39, 41, 42, 50, 51
Pramāņa-nirņaya 54
Pramāņa-parīksā 27, 54, 132
Pramāņa-samuccaya xvii, 80, 82,
83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 100,
103
Pramāņa-samuccaya-țīkā xvii, 124
Pramana-samuccaya-vrtti xvii. 100
Pramāna-šāstra
Pramāņa-śāstra-praveša 100
Pramāņa-siddhi 106
Pramāņa-sūtra-samuccaya 84
Pramāņa-svarūpa 28,39
Pramāņa-vārtika 53, 106, 118,
124, 135, 142
Pramāņa-vārtika-kārikā xvii, 103,
105, 106, 107
Pramāņa-vārtikālankāra xviii,
135, 141
Pramāņa-vārtikālankāra-tīkā
xviii, 137, 141
Pramāņa-vārtika-paňjikā xvii, 118,
and a state of the second state of the
Pramāņa-vārtika-pānjikā-tikā
Xvii, 119
Pramāņa-vārtika-tīkā xviii, 142
Pramāņa-vārtika-vrtti xvii, 107,
124
Pramāņa-vinišcaya 107, 108, 132,
138
Pramāņa-vinišcaya-tīkā 132, 138
Prameya-kamala-mārtaņda 28, 33,
34, 54
Prameya-ratna-mālā 28, 37, 46 Prašamarati
Pratibandhasiddhi 144



MINISTRU

MEDIEVAL SCHOOL OF INDIAN LOGIC.

SI

Page	Page
Pratijñā 7, 42, 61, 74	Dublin
Pratijñā-vibhakti	DELEmalana
Pratisedha 30	Pundravardhana
Pratișthâna 6	Punjab xix, 67
Prativādi 40, 44	Des Tes CO
Pratyabhijñāna 29, 40, 41, 54	Th. 1
Pratyabhijñānābhāsa 32	Duno Tomio 74 70
Pratyakas 4, 9, 10, 15, 28, 29, 49,	Pūrņaka 63
54, 74, 85, 99, 105, 106, 109,	Pūrņimāgaccha 45
129	Purusa 127
Pratyaksābhāsa 32, 99	Puruşa-parîkşā
Pratyaksa-svarūpa 40	Pūrva 5, 30, 31
Pratyaksa-vyavasthā 108	Pūrvašaila 65
Pravacana-sāroddhāra-vrtti 37	Pustakapāthopāya 134
Prayaga 71 103	D =
Prāyaścitta 26	Puşya 30
Predicable 93	0
Predicate 16, 29, 90, 91, 92	Quality 43, 93, 95, 128
Preface xiii	Questions of King Milinda 61, 62
Premchand 9	
Premise 96	Quibble 59 Quibbler 81, 133
Presidency College xvi	Quibblet 01, 155
President 44	R
Presumption 10, 87	Raghunātha Siromaņi xviii
Priesthood 65, 103	Rahasya xviii
Prince of poets 81	Rāhuvratin 104
Principles 13	Rājagaccha 35, 36
Prior 30, 31	Rājagirīya 58
Probability 10	Rājagrha 3, 58
Procedure 40	Rāja Pāla
Professor xiii, 124, 129, 146	Rājašekhara 38, 48, 51, 52
Proof 44, 90, 91, 112, 118	Rājatarangiņīxx
Properties	Rājavārtika 54
Prophecy 62	Rajgir 3, 145, 150
Proposition 7, 16, 31, 42, 44, 61,	Rajputana 28
76, 90, 95, 96, 112	Rajshahye 121, 122
Prosody 140	Raksita 130
Proximity 109	Ral-pa-can xx, 135, 148
Prthvi 2 P. T. S. xiv 59 60 cl	Rāma 43
Publical.	Rāmacandra 34
·	Rāma Pāla 149

GOVER

INDIA

MINISTRY



5

Page	Page
Ran-bshin 110, 134	Records 13, 66
Ran-bshin-brtag-pa 127	References 57
Ran-bshin-gyi-gtan-tshigs 117	Reflective 20
Ran-don-gyi-rje-dpag 85	Refutation 21, 89, 98, 116, 131
Ran-don-gyi-rjes-su-dpag-pa 108	Relation 96
Ran-gi-don-rjes-su-dpag-pa 106	Relative extension 92
Ran-las-tshad-ma 129	Release 8
Rasa Pāla 149	Reliable authority 4, 10
Rāstrakūta 26	Religion xviii, 13, 78
Rathavīrapura 2	Remoteness 109
Ratnäkara 151	Research Society 76, 133
Ratnakarandaka 23, 24	Resident xvi
Ratnākara Sānti 140, 151	Respondent 44
Ratnakīrti 140	Restraint 8
Ratnaprabha 38, 46, 50, 131, 133	Result 43
Ratnarañjaka 147	Roval-dwan-blo-gros 124
Ratnaraśmi xx	Rgyal-wa-can 137
Ratnasāgara 147	Rgyu-dan-hbras-buhi-no- wo -
Ratna-sekhara 52	grub-pa 138
Ratnavajra 139, 151	Rgyud-gshan-grub-pa 118
Ratnāvalī 68	Rgyud-gshan-grub-pahi-bgrel-
Ratnāvatārikā 51	bšad 121
Ratnāvatārikā-pañjikā 51	Rgyud-pa 129
Ratnāvatārikā-tippana 51, 52	Rhetoric 122, 140
Ratnodadhi 147	Rhys Davids, Dr. 57, 58, 59, 60,
Raudrī patrikā xviii	61, 62, 64, 66, 67, 103
Ravi Gupta xvii, xx, 123, 124	Right-hand 145
Rdo-rje-rgyal-mtshan 124	Rigs-ldan-rgyal-po 100
Rdsas 128	Rigs pa-can 127
Real 43, 109	Rigs-pa-grub-pahi-sgron-ma 123
Reality 66	Rigg-pahi-sbyor-wa 139
Reason 4, 7, 16, 30, 31, 33, 41,	Rigs-pahi-thigs-pa 109
74, 90, 91, 92, 94, 99, 100,	Rigg-pahi-thigg-pahi- don -
110, 111	hadus-pa 135
Reasoning 10, 17, 59, 60, 73, 74,	Rigs-pahl-thigs-pahi phyogs-
75, 77, 90, 91, 94, 95, 98, 110,	sna-ma-mdor-bsdus-pa 12 9
112, 114, 116, 123, 139	Rigs- pahi- thigs- pahi - rgya-
Recluse 60	cher-hgrel-wa 131
Recognition 29, 40, 41, 54	Rigs-pahi-thigs pa rgya-cher -
Recognition of similarity 88	hgrel-wa
Recollection 29, 40, 41, 54	Tulfa-baur Aantrag 00, 00



MINISTRY

SL.

MEDIEVAL SCHOOL OF INDIAN LOCHC.

Page	Page
Rin-chen-rdo-rje 139	Sabda-Brahma-parīksā 127
Rjes-dpag-ltar-snan 99	Sabdānumāna 85
Rjes-su-dpag 99	Sabdānuśāsana 35
Rjes-su-dpag-pa 129	
Rjeg-su-hgro-wa-med 97	Sabhya 44
Rjes-su-hgro-wa-phyin-ci-log -	Sacrifice 43, 147
pa 97 Ringiltza	Saddarsana 49
··· 4, 11, 21	Saddarśanasamuccaya 48, 49, 50,
Rjusūtrābhāsa 4:	52, 53
Rnam-par-rig-pa-tsam-ñid-du-	Şaddarśana-samuccaya-vrtti 52,
grub-pa 140	53, 133
Rock 81	Saddharma-pundarīka 64
Rockhill, Mr 66, 124	Sādhana 29, 90
Rohiņī 30, 31	Sādhāraņa 93
Ronki 76	
Ronshiki 76	
Ronshin 70	
Rabhadeva	Sādhu 7
Rşibhāşita-sūtra	Sādhya 16, 18, 19, 29, 76, 90
Rşimandala-prakarana-vrtti	
Rtags 99	Sad-laksana 134
Rtog-gehi-skad 139	
Rtsod-pahi-khyu-mchog 80	
Rtsod pahi-rigs-pa 11	7 Sāgarendu 47
Rtsod-pahi-rigs-pahi-hbrel-pa	Sahacara 30, 31
-don-mam-par-hbyed -pa 12.	Sahāvalambha-niścaya 136
Rtsod-pahi-rigs-pahi-hgrel-wa 12	Saint 00 00 100
Rucidatta xvii	i Saka 1, 14, 26, 28, 34, 51, 63, 131
Avecura I	4 Sakābda 63
Rudra Nārāyaņa xvii	
Rudra Nyāyavācaspati xvij	
Rugged hand 8	
Rules of debate 4	
Russia 13	Sakrāditya 145. 146
8	Sākya
Sabelā 3	Sakyabodhi xvii 119
Sabarasvāmi 97 2	
Sabbatthi 59 6	o Sakya Muni 57 116
Sabda 4, 12 15 16 9	1 Säkya-simha 121
Sabdābhāsa 4	



		Page
Sāla Candra	••	69
Sälikä Nätha		54
Salvation	8, 21	
		83
amabhirudha	••	4, 12, 21
Samabhirudhabha	เรล	43
Sambhūtivijaya	••	5
Samādhirāja	•••	64
Samāja-guhya	••	147
Samana		13
Samantabhadra	22, 2	3, 24, 25,
		27, 54
Samantapāsādikā		76
Sāmānya 17, 32	, 54, 82	
		128
Sāmānya-dūsaņa-		āśikā 121
Sāmānya-lakṣaṇa		109
Samaraiccakahā	••	49
Samāropa		40
Samatata		102
Samavāya		129
Samavāyābhāva		24
Samayabhedopara	cana-cal	kra 119
Sambandha		.: 128
Sambandha-parik	a 118,	120, 143
Sambandha-parik	anusara	142
Sambandha-parik	a-tika	120
Sambandha-parika		
Sambhava		10
Samgraha		4, 11, 21
Samgrahābhāsa	S. Mary	43
Sāmkhya xix, 27		6. 49. 51.
		, 95, 125
Sāmkhya-kārikā	make	83, 95
Sammati-tarka-sut	Ta	14, 36
Sammitīya	1. 1. 1. 1.	65, 66
Sāmprata	A. 199	12
Samsāra		129
Samsaya		40
S'āmu Pāla		149
Samvara		8

GOVERNA

MINISTRYOR

		1	age
Samvat 35, 36, 3	7, 38,	39, 44,	45,
46, 47, 48, 4	9, 50,	51, 52,	53,
		55,	131
Samvrti	• •		43
Sāmvyavahārika			40
Samyaktvapraka	rana		46
Samyaktvaprakā			28
Sam-ye		124,	125
Sanātana			136
Sangha		A starter	103
Sanghabhadra		66	, 76
Sanghārāma		67 ,74,	145
Sankantika			
Sankara			23
Sankarācārya			, 23
Sankarananda		xviii,	
Sankara Svāmin		89, 101,	
Sanskrit		3	
Sanskrit Buddhis	t Lite	rature	i an
			, 64
S'āntakara Gupta		1.65-1	101
Sāntakara Gupta Santānāntara-sido	dhi	117,	121
Santānāntara-side			
S'ānta Rakșita			
Carrow 2 activity	1	29, 130,	148
Santati			
Santi Bhadra		 136,	140
Santinatha		22, 109,	
Sāntipa			
S'ānti-şena			37
S'ānti Sūri			37
Santyacarya			37
Sentabhangi			51
Saptabhangi-naya	8	. 24. 43	. 51
Sapta-bhangi-tara			8
Saptadaśa-bhūmi-			in the last
cārya		72,	73
Saraha			68
Sarasvati		iii,	
Sarasvatīgaceha	A STATE	(
Sāriputra	Sarah	No. 10	
	See Party in the local sector	and the second s	100 AU



G

Para	
Page Sarvadarśana-samgraha 8, 26, 38,	Page Science 13, 59, 62, 139
66, 67, 68, 82, 105, 107, 138	Schlagintweit, Dr. 71, 73
Sarvajña Deva 134, 135	Scripture 4, 15, 16, 29, 32, 40, 41,
Sarvajña Mitra 124	58, 114, 121, 129
Sarvājňa-siddhi-kārikā 141	Scriptural method 22
Sarvajña-śrī Raksita 89	Scriptural testimony 16
Sarvarājagaņi 3	Sculptor 145
Sarvāstivāda 65, 66, 75, 140 Saši 112	Seythian
Casi 112	
S'askya 89, 100	Sect 2, 58, 65, 66, 67, 74, 75
Sāstra 82, 83, 84, 89, 100, 103,	Seish 75
106, 139	Self 22, 143
Sāstraja 16	Self-conscious 22
Sastra on the grouped infer-	Self-consciousness 109
ences 84	Self-evidence 128, 129
Sästri, Gangadhara 81	Sel-wa-grub-pa 143
S'āstri, Hara Prasad 140, 141, 150	Semblance 17, 28, 116
Sat 109	Semblance of reason 18
Sataka śāstra 71	Semblance of refutation 21, 116
Sata-śāstra-vaipulya-vyākhyā 102	Sen-dkar 136
Sātavāha 68	Sensation 111
Batavahana 68	Sense 10, 29, 85, 95, 99, 109, 129
Satru 12	Sense-organ 40, 86, 87, 127
	Sense-perception 10
Fauddhodani 34 46, 53	Separation 49
Saugata 17, 27, 33, 46, 54	Separation unshown 21
Sautrāntika 65, 66, 67	Sermon Basket 53
Bavaka 60	Ser-skya-pa 127
Savant xvi	Serviceable 95
Savikalpaka 20	Serviceable 95 Sesa 122
Sbas 130	S'es-pahi-dge-gnas 84
W. J. L. EPTIPS SP SO OT AO	S'es-rab 125
Schiefner, A. xv, 66, 67, 68, 69,	S'es-rab-hbyun-gnas-sbas 135
10, 11, 72, 74, 80, 81, 82, 103	S'es-rab-sdon-bu 69
106, 118, 119, 121, 123, 130	Sewell, Mr
131, 134, 135, 136, 137 190	§gra 129
140, 141, 142, 145, 148, 150,	Sgrahi-tshans-pa-brtag-pa 127
150	şgra-rje-dpag 85
Scholar 62	Shalu 78
school zvii, zviii, 66 104 140	Sha - ma - kun - lag-htug-pahi-
School of thought 62	hgrel-wa 100

MINISTRU

	Page	Page
Shan-shun	137	Simisapā 5, 31, 110, 111
Shawl	79	Simultaneity 29
Sherbatski, F. J. 109,	114, 131	Simultaneous 30, 31
Shi-wa-htsho		Sitaprabha 123
Shu-chen 120, 121, 130, 1	31, 135,	Si-wahi-dge-gnas 100
	140	Skad-cig-ma-hjig-pa-grub-pa 132
Sh'-yen-töh-cia	68	Skad-cig-ma-hjig-grub - pahi -
Siam	63	rnam-hgrel 133
Siamese edition	61	Skyes-bu 127
Siddha	35	Skyes-bu-brtag-pa 127
Side	44	Sky-lotus 18
Siddha-Hema-śabdānuśāsai	na-	Sloka-vārtika 27, 54
brhat-tīkā		Sloka-vārtika-bhāşya 54
Siddhajayantī-caritra-tīkā		Smaraņa 40, 41
Siddhanta	3	Smaranābhāsa 32
Siddhānta Siddharāja	47	Smith, Vincent A. 58, 61, 63
Siddharși	46, 49	Smrti 29, 54
Siddhasena 16	5, 22, 37	Sñes-than 142
Siddhasena Divākara xix		Sophism
15, 22, 40), 46, 76	Sophist 59, 60, 62
Siddhasena-divākara-vyāk-	States and	Sophist 59, 60, 62 59 50 60
hyānaka	46	Sorrow 49, 50
Siddhasena Gani		Sotaviññāna 59
Siddhatthika		Soul 8, 10, 15, 22, 41, 43, 44, 60,
Siddha-vyākhyānaka	46	72, 91, 95, 112, 127, 128, 129
Sign	16, 29	Soul-less 8
Sikkim xv, xvi, 89, 99, 1	118, 119,	Sound 127, 129
125, 130, 135,	138, 142	Source of knowledge 106, 108, 112
Sīla	123	Specific property 11, 43
Silabhadra 101, 102,	103, 146	Spell 62
Silāditya		Spyi 128
Silao	150	Srāddha-pratikramaņa-sūtra -
Silasamgama	150	vrtti «. 52
Suver	40	Sragdharā-stotra 70, 121, 124, 150
Simha	123, 124	Sragdharā-stotra-tīkā 150
Simhagiri	22	Sramaņa 60 Srāvaks ' 137
Simhasūri	22	Srāvaka 137
Simhaśiśuka		Srestha Pāla 149 Srī 106, 136, 140
Simhavaktra		Srī 106, 136, 140
Simha-vyäghri-laksana	48	Srī Dhānyakataka xx
Similar	88	Srīdhara51

SL

		Р	age			Pa	age
Srī Harsa		xx,	123	Succession		29, 1	18
Srī Harşa Deva		xx,	132	Such-like		· · ·	12
Srī-jñāna		148,	151	Sudhākara Dviv	redi	1	14
Srikantha	C. Page	xvii,		Sudharma Sväm	ni		2
Srilabdha			67	Sudurjaya			80
Srīparvata			68	Sugata		54, 1	131
Srī-śaila			68	Suggestiveness			32
Sron-tsan-gam-po		104,	105	Sugiura, Dr.	xiv, 73,	74, 75,	76,
Srughna			71			. 89, 1	101
Sruta		4, 10,	, 22	Suhrllekha			68
Srutakevalin			5	Suitable			12
S'ruti		129,	130	Sukhabodhikā t	īkā		13
Sruti-parīksā			130	Sukrtasamkirta	na	nere entre	48
Statement		91,	112	Sultanganj			150
Stein, Dr.			xx	Sumati		135, 1	141
Steinthal, Paul			60	Sumati-kîrti		1	131
Sthānānga-sūtra		4, 8	, 11	Sum-pa			137
Sthāpanā			11	Sum-pahi-chos-b	yun	•]•	63
Sthavira	6	5, 67,	151	Sun	19		64
Sthira			128	Sunāyakaśri		••	151
Sthira-duşana			140	Sunaya-śri Mitr			136
Sthiramati		121,	146	Sung-yun		67,	, 69
Sthülabhadra		**	3	Sun-hbyin			98
Sthulahasta	····		81	Sun-hbyin-ltar-			99
Stimuli	••	••	95	Superimposition	1		40
Ston-gshon		••	100	Superior		- · · ·	151
St. Petersburg		···· .	114	Surendrabodhi		A	135
Straight expression	on		11	Sureśvara			105
Study			10	Sureśvarācārya			105
Stūpa	••	XX	, 68	Sūri		, 45, 53,	
Suali, Dr.		9, 52,	133	Surname	• •	. 46,	48
Subhakara Gupta	ð		151	Sūrya-prajnapti	-sūtra		6
Subhatunga		• •	26	Sütra 8, 10, 45	, 103, 12	1, 139,	140
Subhūti-śānta			140	Sütra-krtänga-n	iryukti		8
Subhüti-árî			118	Sütra-krtänga-s	ūtra		6
Subhūti-śrī-śānti		1.4.4	106	Süträlankäratil	a		73
Subject		29, 90), 91	Sūtrānta		1	67
Subsequent	••	1	42	Sūtra upadeša			63
Subsequent non-	existence	24	1, 25	Sutta			58
Substance	43, (93, 95,	128	Suttanta		1	67
Subtle	4.4		12	Sutta pitaka		, 59, 60.	. 63



MINISTRY



The second s
Page
lactual 59
Takakusu xix, 63, 64, 66, 76, 84,
89, 99, 101, 102, 103, 105,
119, 123, 146
Takka 60
Fakki 59, 60
Takkika 59, 60
Fakşaśilā 67
Fāmraśātīya 65
Tangyur xx, 62, 70, 72, 78, 84, 85,
89, 99, 100, 101, 106, 107,
108, 109, 117, 118, 119 120
121, 123, 124, 125, 129, 130,
131, 132, 134, 135, 136, 137,
138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143,
144, 150
Tantra 70, 124, 129, 140, 146, 147
Tantric 124
Tapāgaceha 1, 3, 52, 55
rapagaechapattavali 48
Tārā 121, 122
Fārānātha, Lama xv, 66, 67, 68,
69, 70, 71, 72, 74, 80, 81, 82, 103, 106, 118, 119, 121, 123,
103, 106, 118, 119, 121, 123,
130, 131, 134, 135, 136, 137,
139, 140, 141, 142, 145, 148,
150, 151, 152
Tarka 29, 40, 41, 54
Tarkabhāşā 53, 54, 55, 138, 139
Tarkābhāsa 32
Tarka-nyāya 117, 120
Tarka-pungava 80, 81 Tarka-rahasya-dipikā 52 Tarka-rahasya-dipikā 52
Tarka-rahasya-dipikā 52
Larkasaingrana 120, 128
Tarka-śāstra xvii, 76, 77
Farka-țîkā 133
l'arki 60
Tārkika 26, 59, 72, 73
Färkika-cakra-cūdāmaņi, 26
Farkin
Tashilhunpo 78



MINISTRU

184

Page	Page
Tathāgata 57	Thibaut, Dr. G xvi, 14
Tāthāgata 27,54	Third Council 58
Tathāgata-guhyaka 64	Third Council 58 Thi-srong-deu-tsan 148
Tathagata Gupta 145	Tholing 148
Tātparya-pariśuddhi 53	Thomas, Mr. F. W. xv, 84
Tātparyatīkā 53	Тhos-ра 129
Tattva 125	Thos-pa-brtag-pahi-tshig-lehur-
Tattva-āloka xviii	byas-pa 130
Tattvacintāmaņi xvii, xviii, 48	Three Baskets 58
Tattvacintāmaņiprakāša xviii	Three-formed 111
Tattvärtha-bhāsya 54	Thunderbolt 80
Tattvārthādhigama sūtra xiii, 8,	Thun-mon 93
9, 10, 11, 12, 22, 23	Thun-mon-ma-yin 94
Tattvārtha-bodha-vidhāyinī 36	Tibet xv, xx, 50, 63, 69, 71, 78, 79,
Tattvārtha-sloka-vārtika 54	89, 100, 120, 122, 124, 125,
Tattvärtha-sätra 54	129, 131, 134, 135, 137, 139,
Tattvārtha-tīkā 22	140, 148, 151
Tattva-samāsa 125	Tibetan Dictionary
Tattva-samgraha 53, 125, 126, 128,	Tibet Mission xv
130	Tiger-cub 47
Tattva-samgraha-kārikā 125	Ţīkā 28 Tilakācārya 46
Tattva-samgraha-panjika 130	
Tattva-tikāxviiiTawney, Mr14Taxila67	Tilopa 134
Tawney, Mr 14.	Time 101, 129
Taxila 67	Tin-no-hdsin-bzan-po 140
Technicality 70, 138, 139	ippanaka 35
Telingāna 78, 82 Tepitaka 58, 59, 63 Terai	Tirtha 80, 83, 103, 104, 152
Tepitaka 58, 59, 63	Tirthakalpa 1, 9
	Tîrthankara 1
Testimony 4, 10, 15, 32, 40, 41, 43,	Tirthika 71, 116, 121, 139, 147
85, 88, 89, 130 Text xvii, xix	Tiryak 32 Tissa 60, 61
Text xvii, xix	Lissa 60, 61
Inams-cad-mkhyen-pa-grob-	Tongue 15, 87
pain-tshig-lehur byas-pa 141	Total unreality 68
Than	Touch 15, 87
Tha sam-tsan 100	Tissa 60, 61 Tongue 15, 87 Total unreality 68 Touch 15, 87 Tower 15, 87 Tower 15, 87 Tower 15, 87 Tradition 1, 54, 58, 62 Traditional 12
ineory of example	Tradition 1, 54, 58, 62
Thera 58, 65, 67 Theraväda 58	Traditional
Theravada	Transcendental 15, 40, 41
Thesis xili, xv, xvi, 74, 76, 90, 91,	Transcendental perception 21
94, 98, 112	Treatise



L. H. S. Links		I	age
Turuska	62,	147, 151,	152
Tutelary		11 1	121
Туре		5, 16,	40
11			
	U		
Udāharaņa		a forest	31
Udāna	1.2	S	
Udayana		53	
Udayanācārya		xvii	
Udayaprabha		47, 48	, 51
Udyāna			139
Udyotakara xvii			
	8	5, 88, 89,	105
Ūha			29
Ujjainī		xviii, 14	, 15
Umā	••		9
Umāsvāmin		xviii, 14	9
Umāsvāti xiii, 4	L, 8,	9, 10, 12,	22,
			23
Uncertain 19, 93	, 94,		
	••		
Unconnected	••		
Unfamiliar		A	91
Universal	••	95, 96,	97
Universal connec			
Universal proposi			
University i, xvi, 102, 103, 13			
		147, 150, 1	
University studie		, 100,	1
		18, 93,	
	•••		
Unseparated			
Upadeśamālā-ţīki		1.1.1	
Upadeśamālā-više			46
Upadesamālā-vrti			
		1.1.1	30
Upamāna		4, 10, 74,	
Upanaya		16, 31, 42,	
Upanaya-catukka		101. 1.4	
Upāngas			





SL

Dest	
Page Upanisad 127, 128	Page
U-pa-ni-șa-di-kas 128	Vairocana 123
Upāsaka 103, 122, 123, 139	Vaišālī
Upäsakādhvevena 02 04	Vaišesika 27, 46, 49, 62, 65, 82, 91 94, 127
Upāsakādhyayana 23, 24 Upavarsa 33	
	Vaisnava xx
IItnEdeaidd1: 1	Vajiriya 58
Utgampini	Vajra 80, 145
Uttara	Vajracchedikā 75 Vajrašākhā 44
Uttaradharma	Vajrašākhā 44 Vajrāsana 139, 141
Uttarādhyayana-brhad-vrtti 37	
Uttarādhyayana-sūtra . 6	
Uttaravähini 150	
Uvasagadasao 23	
	Valabhi xviii, 13 Valid 94, 100
V V	
Vācakācārya 8	Validity
Vācaka-śramaņa 8	21, 28, 29, 32, 40, 43, 54, 55,
Vācaspati 53, 105	84, 99, 109, 110, 129, 132
Vācaspati Miśra xvii, 23, 49, 66,	Vana Pāla 131, 149
81, 86, 88, 105 Vēde	Vande-dpal-brtsegs 121
Vāda 8, 44 Vāda-mahārņava 36, 37	Vande-nam-mkhas 118, 120
Vāda-mahārņāva 36, 37 Vāda-nyāya 117, 120, 125	Vande-ye-ses-sde 120, 135
Vāda-nyāya-vrtti-vipancitār-	Varāhamihira 6, 14
tha 125	Vararuci 192
Vāda-nyāya-vyākhyā 120	Vardhamāna 1, 54
Vādi	Vardhamāna-sūri 3
Vādin	Värendra 121, 122, 123, 124, 136,
Vādipravara 38	148, 151
Vāgbhata: vide Vākpraja.	Vasubandhu xix, 74, 75, 76, 78,
Vāgīšvarakīrti 137, 141, 151	80, 81, 146
Vähyärtha 129	Vasubhūti 2
Vähyärtha-siddhi-kärikä 130	Vasudhara 141
Vaibhāşika 65, 66, 67, 74, 75, 78,	Vasudhara Raksita 100
130	Vasu Mitra 63
Vaidharmya 17, 20, 31, 90	Vatsagotra 9
Vaidharmya-drstäntäbhäsa 20, 21,	Vātsīputra 66, 128
97	Vātsīputrīya 65, 66, 80
Vaidharmyavat 112	Vātsī-sūta 9
Vaidūryakarpo 148	Vātsyāyana xvii, 53, 86, 87
Vairasvāmi 47	Vațtagămaņi

P	age	Page
Veda 62,	103	Vikala 41
Vedānga	103	Vikrama 1, 2, 35, 63, 131
Vedānta	51	Vikramāditva xix, xx, 6, 14, 15,
Vedāntasūtra 23,	and the second	22, 81, 146
Vedäntin	1. 1. 1. 1.	Vikramanipura 117
Vengi 81		Vikrama samvat 49
Venkapatta		Vikramaśilā xx, xxi, 79, 135, 136,
Verbal 12		137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 146,
Verbal fallacy	43	148, 150, 151
Verbal testimony 4, 10, 15, 32,		Vimala Candra 119, 140
41, 85, 88, 89,		Vimala Dāsa 8
Vibhāşā	66	Vīmamsā 60
Vibhāsā-sāstra	67	Vīmamsi 60
Vibhāṣā-vinaya	76	Vinaya 146
Vicārāmrta-samgraha	48	Vinayāditya xx
Vicāra-ratna-samgraha	5	Vināyaka
Vicāra-sāra-prakaraņa 1, 14		Vinaya Pitaka 57, 58, 59, 63, 78
17	, 10	Vinaya Vibhāşā 63
Vicitrādvaitasiddhi	140	Vinaya Vijaya Gani 13
Victory	44	Vindhya 103, 104, 148
Vidarbha	68	Vinita Deva 119, 121, 140
Vidhi		Viññāņa 59
Vidyabhusana, Satis Chandra	00	Vipaksa 7
i, xvi, xxi, 63, 66, 67, 68,	70.	Vipakşa-pratişedha 7
71, 72, 76, 80, 121, 122,	124.	Viparītānvaya 20, 21, 97, 116
133, 138, 140,		Vinapyaya 40
Vidyādhara	121	Viparyaya 40 Vira 13, 35 Viraha
Vidyāmātra-siddhi-śāstra-		Viraha 49, 50
vyākhyā	102	Viruddha 18, 94, 111, 113
Vidyānanda 23, 26, 27, 28, 34,		Viruddhakāryopalabdhi 111
82,	105	Viruddhāvyabhicāri 114
Vienna	35	Viruddhavayāptopalabdhi 111
Vienna Oriental Journal	35	Viśalāmalavatī xvii, 124
Vigraha Pāla		Viśālāmalavatī-nāma-pramāna-
Vigraha-vyavartanī-kārikā	70	samuccaya-tikā xvii, 124
Vigrahavyavartanî vrtti	70	Visava 28 40
Vihāra xx, 104,	125	Vişaya 28, 40 Višeşa 17, 32, 128
Vijaya	37	Vișnuvardhana 81
Vijayasena 47	. 50	Visual 10
Vijfiāna	59	Visuddha-simha 121, 130
Vijñapti-mätra-siddhi	140	Viévanātha

All of Light & 140



187

81 10 , 130 xvii



	Page	Page
Vitaņda	62	Word for the sake of others 106
Vītarāgastuti	51	Wordsworth, Mr. W. C xvi
Vivartavada	129	Wordy 62
Vivrti	13, 133	World 60, 129
Vow	5. 45	World-renowned 37
Vrata	5, 45	
Vrddhavādi	14	X
Vrhadgaccha	50	Xylograph xv, 72, 100, 101, 108,
Vrhat-svayambhu-purana	150	109, 121, 125
Vyäghra-śiśuka	47	Yajña 147
Vyāpaka	29, 111	Yākinī 48
	111	Yaksa Pāla 149
Vyäpakaviruddhopalabdhi	111	Yamāri xviii, 141
Vyāpti 18, 20, 29, 42	2, 48, 92	Yaśodharma Deva 14
	55	Yaśovijaya 39, 50, 51, 52, 54, 131
Vyāруа 21	9, 30, 31	133
Vyatireka	32	Yaśovijaya Gani 54
	31	Yaśovijaya-granthamālā 6, 39, 52,
Vyavahāra	4, 11, 21	53, 55
Vyavahārābhāsa	43	Yaśovijaya Pāthaśālā 55
	6	Yauga 54
Vyāvahārika	15	Yoga 10, 27, 33, 62, 65, 71, 73, 83
W		Yogācāra xix, 51, 66, 67, 71, 72,
		74, 75, 78, 102, 122
Waddell, Col. 78, 124,		Yogācārya 71
and the second se	67, 105	Yogācārya-bhūmi-śāstra 83
YET	68	Yogaśāstra 45
	62	Yogin 141
	22	Yuan Chwang 63, 67, 68, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 80, 146
Watters, Mr. 63, 67, 68, 71		
74,75	, 80, 146	
Weber, Dr. 3, 4, 5, 37, 5		Yuga-pravara 5 Yuka Ron 73
Weir Smyth Wenzel, Dr.	4	Yukti-prayoga 139
Wheel	71	Yuktişaştikā kārikā 70
		Yuktyanuśāsana 23
White, Mr. Claude	99, 100	Yul-lho-phyog 107
Wijesimha 58, 6	·· IVI	
Williams, Monier	1, 00, 07	Za-hor
Winding up	62	Zaschuh 75
Word 85, 88, 89,	108 111	Zla-wa-dge-bsfien 121
	100, 111	1 200 100 200 VIII 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1



